

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	In the Matter Appeal of)
6	Zoubek Consulting, LLC,) OTA No. 18010923
7	Appellant.
8)
9	
10	
11	
12	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at 15350 Sherman
13	Way, Suite 300, Van Nuys, California 91406, commencing
14	at 9:00 a.m. and concluding at 9:43 a.m. on Tuesday, May
15	29, 2018, reported by Dorothy M. Simpson, Hearing
16	Reporter, in and for the State of California.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Panel Lead:	Hon. Neil Robinson
3	Panel Members:	Hon. Linda Cheng Hon. Douglas Bramhall
4	For the Appellant:	(In Pro Per)
5		Paul Zoubek
б	For the Respondent:	State of California Franchise Tax Board
7		BY: BRADLEY COUTINHO MARGUERITE MOSNIER
8		TAX COUNSEL Legal Division
9		MS A260 P. 0. Box 1720
10		Rancho Cordova, California 95741
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		I NDEX
2	WI TN	ESSES PAGE
3	Paul	Zoubek 9
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		EXHI BI TS
12		
13		(Respondent's Exhibits 1 through 3 were received at
14		page 7.)
15		
16		(Appellant's Exhibits A through K were received at
17		page 7.)
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

l	
1	Van Nuys, California; Tuesday, May 29, 2018
2	9:00 a.m.
3	
4	JUDGE ROBINSON: We are on the record. We are
5	opening the hearing in the Appeal of Zoubek Consulting,
б	LLC, before the Office of Tax Appeals in OTA Case No.
7	18010923. Today's date is May 29, 2018. And we are
8	holding the hearing in Van Nuys, California.
9	For the record, would you please state your
10	names and who you represent.
11	MR. ZOUBEK: Paul Zoubek, Zoubek Consulting, LLC,
12	taxpayer.
13	MR. COUTINHO: Brad Coutinho, representing the
14	Franchise Tax Board.
15	MS. MOSNIER: Marguerite Mosnier
16	JUDGE ROBINSON: I'm
17	MS. MOSNIER: Oh, excuse me.
18	JUDGE ROBINSON: I'm sorry. I interrupted you.
19	MS. MOSNIER: Marguerite Mosnier, Franchise Tax
20	Board.
21	JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. As we discussed in the
22	prehearing conferences, the way that this will unfold is
23	that we are going to have an opportunity for Mr. Zoubek
24	to give us testimony under oath.
25	We're going to dispense with opening

1	statements, and we are going to have closing arguments.
2	And at any time during that process, the judges may ask
3	questions about the case.
4	Today's hearing is being heard by a panel of
5	three judges.
6	My name is Neil Robinson, and I will be acting
7	as the lead judge for the purpose of conducting this
8	hearing.
9	Linda Cheng and Doug Bramhall are also hearing
10	the evidence today.
11	All three judges will meet after the hearing
12	and produce a written decision as equal participants.
13	Now, we have during the prehearing
14	conference accumulated evidence on this evidence form
15	that we have reviewed earlier today.
16	And off the record, we said that these were
17	the exhibits that we would expect to see in the hearing
18	today; is that correct?
19	MR. ZOUBEK: Yes.
20	JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. So I am going to enter into
21	evidence into the record, Respondent's Exhibits A
22	through L, and Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 3.
23	Does anybody have any objection to the
24	entrance into evidence of these exhibits?
25	MR. ZOUBEK: I do not.

1	MR. COUTINHO: Respondent just notes that we only
2	have Exhibits A through K, I believe.
3	JUDGE ROBINSON: My mistake. So we are entering
4	Respondent's A through K. Thank you for the reminder.
5	(Respondent's Exhibit A through K and
б	Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 3 were
7	received into evidence.)
8	JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. We also had a prehearing
9	conference that occurred on August 31, 2018. We had
10	some agreed facts that were 1 through 14 in length
11	did I say August? It's April 30th, 2018. I just had
12	eye surgery, and I'm having a difficult time seeing
13	April 30th, 2018, and we had agreed facts 1 through 14.
14	I can read them into the record, but I
15	thought, perhaps, if we're all familiar with these
16	documents, that we could just adopt and incorporate
17	those stipulated facts.
18	Would everybody be comfortable with that?
19	MR. ZOUBEK: Yes.
20	MR. COUTINHO: Yes.
21	JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you. What I do wish
22	to read into the record, however, are the agreed issues:
23	Issue No. 1. Has Appellant established that
24	its failure to timely file its 2013 and 2014 returns was
25	due to reasonable cause such that it is entitled to a

-	
1	refund of the delinquent filing penalties imposed under
2	Revenue and Taxation Code Section 19131?
3	Issue 2. Has Appellant established that it is
4	entitled to relief from a 10 percent underpayment of the
5	estimated limited liability company fee imposed under
6	Section 17942(d)2?
7	Issue No. 3. Does Appellant have to pay LLC
8	fees from total income from all sources pursuant to
9	Section 17942?
10	And Issue 4, is Section 17942 constitutional?
11	As to Issue 4, we are also in agreement that
12	OTA cannot rule on the constitutionality of a statute,
13	but it was agreed that we would put it in the agreed
14	issues to preserve the record on that issue for the
15	benefit of Zoubek Consulting, LLC.
16	Does that accurately reflect the issues we are
17	going to hear today?
18	MR. ZOUBEK: Yes.
19	MR. COUTINHO: Yes.
20	JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. So at this stage of the
21	proceeding, unless there are any questions, I would like
22	to have Mr. Zoubek give his evidence, other than the
23	exhibits that are on file.
24	My understanding is, Mr. Zoubek, you wanted to
25	testi fy?

1	MR. ZOUBEK: Yes.
2	JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. And so I'm going swear you
3	in.
4	Mr. Zoubek, if I could please ask you to stand
5	and raise your right hand.
б	
7	PAUL ZOUBEK,
8	having solemnly stated to tell the truth, was
9	examined and testified as follows:
10	MR. ZOUBEK: Yes, I do.
11	JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. Please be seated.
12	Mr. Zoubek, this is your opportunity to tell
13	us about your circumstances surrounding this case and
14	the reasons why you are going to persuade us to rule in
15	your favor.
16	MR. ZOUBEK: Okay. So, first of all, what I want
17	to point out to the Judge and the FTB, I'm a small
18	business within this this state. I employ seven
19	people. I pay those seven people. I feel that I
20	contribute, you know, to the economy, the well-being of
21	this state via the people that I employ, my clients that
22	I serve.
23	And I'll bet you FTB didn't even research my
24	company. I actually do environmental compliance. But
25	that is the nature of my organization.

What my company does is we help people comply 1 with California regulations, so things like Cal-OSHA, 2 Department of Toxic Substances Control, we actually help 3 companies and organizations within this state comply 4 5 with rules and regulations to help people to insure that people mostly are safe on the job, because we do a lot 6 7 of Cal-OSHA consultation. 8 Therefore, as attorneys do, you know, I 9 collect fees for those particular -- that's my business. That's specifically what I do. 10 As I've -- I'm going to go to, as far as my 11 exhibits are concerned, I am adamant about paying my 12 taxes to my knowledge of what is supposed to be paid as 13 14 far as tax is concerned both on my business, on behalf of my employees as far as their income tax are 15 concerned, et cetera. 16 I was extremely dumbfounded a few years ago 17 when I had -- I changed accountant and an accountant 18 19 tells me that FTB requires that I pay a tax on a gross 20 revenue. I was -- I'm totally dumbfounded on that. Whv 21 am I paying taxes on a gross revenue, when I've already paid taxes? I pay taxes on my personal taxes. I paid 22 23 them \$800 a year as far as the LLC tax fees are That's double taxation. 24 concerned. 25 That is why I bring the constitutional issue,

1	and I know Mr. Coutinho is going to say, "Oh, you can't,
2	you know, judge as far as a constitutional issue." This
3	is a constitutional issue, and I've got backup, you
4	know, as far as my exhibits are concerned, that I'm
5	being double taxed.
6	I'm being double taxed by FTB as far as my
7	business is concerned. \$5,200 doesn't seem maybe
8	seem a lot to those folks. That is one quarter of my
9	payroll. I pay my payroll is between 20 and \$25,000
10	per pay period and the people that I pay.
11	I can actually partially hire somebody and
12	have them contribute to the economy of this state. They
13	are prohibiting me from doing that, because they are
14	double taxing me on what they consider a gross revenue.
15	I don't understand that. That is double taxation.
16	Further, with the exhibits that I have I'm
17	assuming I'm going to have the opportunity as far as
18	going through my exhibits eventually
19	JUDGE ROBINSON: Sure.
20	MR. ZOUBEK: at a further point after they
21	are
22	JUDGE ROBINSON: Well, this is your opportunity to
23	do it at this point in time.
24	MR. ZOUBEK: Okay. Okay.
25	So my Exhibit 1, you know, as far as my Issue

1	1, or I believe it's my Exhibit 3 as far as me
2	paying my taxes on time, what I have submitted as far as
3	the exhibit is concerned is from their Web page from
4	their Web. It's from their Web page, MYFTB whatever
5	their Web page comes out to be.
6	And as far as when I filed my taxes, my taxes
7	were filed timely as far as is is is what one
8	of the arguments is.
9	JUDGE ROBINSON: Forgive me for interrupting.
10	The documents that you provided show that
11	these were personal tax returns, not LLC returns; right?
12	MR. ZOUBEK: The LLC was a pass-through through my
13	personal taxes at the time. That I I was not
14	established as an S corp. Now I am established as an S
15	corp.
16	When these taxes were filed, I was a single
17	owner L single owner LLC or single member LLC.
18	That's that's why, and that was Mr. Coutinho's
19	question to me in one of the emails correspondence, as
20	far as that.
21	So, on the first issue, I paid my taxes on
22	time, you know. I paid my taxes on time what I knew
23	what was due, in addition to the canceled checks that I
24	have provided in that exhibit as far as the \$800 per
25	year that they require of every limited liability

1 company in the state.

2	JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. And, for the record, the
3	cancelled checks that you are referring to are the \$800
4	checks that were attached to Exhibit 3
5	MR. ZOUBEK: Correct.
6	JUDGE ROBINSON: is that correct?
7	MR. ZOUBEK: Yes. So those are cancelled checks.
8	They have gotten their money, you know, as far as what
9	is what I knew at the time was due as far as what
10	being taxed on a gross income mind boggles me, you know,
11	as far as the taxpayer is concerned.
12	I have taken as far as the legal deductions on
13	my income tax as a pass-through through things that I am
14	supposed to that I am able to take deductions on as
15	far as these exhibits are concerned. As far as
16	exhibits, the the second argument it actually goes
17	into the third argument what is referred to in
18	17942(d)2 1749 17942(d)2, goes into exclusions for
19	a sales factor.
20	So reading into what I can interpret from the
21	sales factor, it goes sales of assets disregarding
22	for sales purposes under the regulations of 25137.
23	Well, I go to 13527. 13527 says, "Amounts of gross
24	receipts arising from incidental or occasional
25	transaction may be excluded from a sales factor."

1	So what they are doing is, I if I go out
2	if I go out of town, I go up to Sacramento, I go up to
3	the Bay Area from San Diego, I get reimbursed for a
4	airfare by my client. What they are telling me is that
5	reimbursement is taxable. That's not what I am reading
6	here.
7	I am not reading that that actual
8	reimbursement that I get for for incidentals that I
9	get from a client, or what I pay my employees, which
10	their 401K, their taxes, which normally is tax
11	deductible under the realm of other taxations, under
12	IRS, under the State, as far as what what I am
13	paying.
14	So as far as going into just going through
15	the Exhibits 2 and 3, I just read the sales factors
16	where I don't have to pay taxes on that under FTB. That
17	is my argument to taxations.
18	In going into the constitutional issue and
19	I know they don't want to talk about the Constitution
20	JUDGE ROBINSON: Well, it's not that so much. It's
21	that we don't have the authority
22	MR. ZOUBEK: I understand.
23	JUDGE ROBINSON: to decide a constitutional
24	issue. We you know, we talked about this at the time
25	of the conference

1	MR. ZOUBEK: I understand.
2	JUDGE ROBINSON: and I know that you were
3	very
4	MR. ZOUBEK: Adamant.
5	JUDGE ROBINSON: motivated to bring that up.
6	MR. ZOUBEK: But you can see I mean, this is
7	like you paying your taxes. You take deductions for
8	your kids, your mortgage. They come back to you and
9	say, "Oh, now you owe us on everything that you have
10	earned." I mean, you can see how outrageous this is.
11	You know, that that in good conscience, they can
12	sit here and say, "Gee, you owe us on everything that
13	you have already paid taxes on." I've already paid
14	taxes on those.
15	That that that is and I'm trying to
16	be as respectful as I can, but you can see, as a small
17	business person, this is something I can be paying
18	somebody this money, as opposed to giving it to them.
19	That is what frustrates me about this.
20	JUDGE ROBINSON: When you say "them," you
21	MR. ZOUBEK: I'm sorry. For the record, Franchise
22	Tax Board.
23	JUDGE ROBINSON: mean these representatives from
24	FTB are not personally responsible.
25	MR. ZOUBEK: I understand that. Bad choice

1	better choice of words.
2	JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay.
3	MR. ZOUBEK: Franchi se Tax Board.
4	This is an issue, and that's why I appreciate
5	the three of you at least hearing me on this, is I want
6	to you put a face to what goes on in the state.
7	What goes on in the state, is basically we
8	have a tax board that is double taxing small business
9	well, small businesses in this case in this state.
10	That is the basis of my argument.
11	And the basis of my argument is within 17942.
12	It says that I have certain things that can be
13	disregarded as gross income. And I've taken that as far
14	as my knowledge of what is due to Franchise Tax Board
15	and what is due on the whole realm of my my taxation.
16	Thank you. That is my argument.
17	JUDGE ROBINSON: Thank you, Mr. Zoubek.
18	JUDGE BRAMHALL: Thank you, Mr. Zoubek.
19	JUDGE ROBINSON: Mr. Coutinho, do you have any
20	questions for Mr. Zoubek?
21	MR. COUTINHO: We do not.
22	JUDGE ROBINSON: You know, I've got a few
23	questions.
24	You know, in reading FTB's opening brief,
25	there were already some assertions made that concerned

1	me a little bit, and I just want to ask a few questions
2	about it.
3	There on page 4 of the opening brief, there
4	is a an analysis of tax advice; right? And you say
5	that two things that the the taxpayer would have to
6	show to get relief from penalties for following the
7	advice of a tax professional, is the person relied on by
8	the taxpayer is the tax professional with competency in
9	the subject, and the tax professional's advice is based
10	on the full disclosure of the relevant facts and
11	documents.
12	And then you go on to say that both of those
13	tests were not met. But I'm not clear on the evidence
14	that you are using to to justify those statements.
15	MR. COUTINHO: Yes. Judge Robinson, I believe
16	Respondent erred in that sentence there regarding the
17	facts that Appellant did not timely and fully disclose
18	all relevant facts to his accountant. We do not have
19	any evidence to that.
20	JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. And do you have any
21	evidence that the person relied on by the taxpayer was
22	not a tax professional?
23	MR. COUTINHO: We do not.
24	JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Coutinho.
25	Mr. Zoubek, I'm a little unclear about the

the sequence of events. 1 In -- you started the LLC in 2010; right? 2 MR. ZOUBEK: Correct. 3 JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. So -- and you filed LLC 4 returns for 2010, '11, and '12? 5 Correct. MR. ZOUBEK: 6 7 JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. And then there were -there were no filings again for LLC returns, even though 8 9 you paid the \$800 until 2016? MR. ZOUBEK: No. It was -- the taxes were paid the 10 entire history --11 JUDGE ROBINSON: 12 Right. 13 MR. ZOUBEK: -- of the company. JUDGE ROBINSON: 14 Yes. But I'm talking about the actual filing of the LLC returns for 2013, 2014, and --15 and then 2015. There were three years of your returns 16 that were filed in 2016; right? 17 18 MR. ZOUBEK: I believe so, yes. 19 JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. In your -- in your opening 20 brief, you said that you were -- you were -- you had an 21 accountant that was preparing your returns and giving you advi ce? 22 23 MR. ZOUBEK: Correct. 24 JUDGE ROBINSON: And at some point in time --25 that's the part that's a little vague for me -- you

1	changed accountants
2	MR. ZOUBEK: Correct.
3	JUDGE ROBINSON: and then received advice that
4	was different from the first accountant?
5	MR. ZOUBEK: Yes.
б	JUDGE ROBINSON: At what point in time did you
7	change accountants?
8	MR. ZOUBEK: I believe it was the 2015 time range.
9	I don't recall, Judge Robinson, right off the top of my
10	head.
11	But I mean, this is another thing that,
12	respectfully, frustrates me is I told them via
13	accounting advice that per subsequent accountant, that
14	these had to be filed for the years in question. I was
15	not advised that of my original accountant. That
16	accountantjust said, "LLC, \$800 a year. That's all you
17	got to do."
18	It's when I changed accountants and he audited
19	my books for the time frame in question that he stated,
20	"Well, this is what you are supposed to do, you know, as
21	far as the as far as the time range is concerned."
22	So at the time, you know, I did not have, you
23	know, obviously, the best accountant and advice until a
24	certain point in my my company, as far as the
25	development of my company. And that's where these years

1	had come into question, and I paid those per his advice.
2	JUDGE BRAMHALL: I want to get right to the point.
3	Did your accountant ever tell you you did not have to
4	file returns?
5	MR. ZOUBEK: He didn't no, he did not. He did
6	not say that.
7	JUDGE BRAMHALL: Thank you.
8	JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. Judge Cheng, do you have
9	any questions?
10	JUDGE CHENG: Yeah. Just I think going back and
11	getting a better understanding of the issues.
12	My understanding is that we're talking about
13	delinquent filing issues for 2013 and '14 and not not
14	the taxes or fees for, you know, 2013 and '14.
15	Are you disputing that there are no taxes due,
16	or
17	MR. ZOUBEK: I'm disputinging there's no taxes due
18	in accordance to 17942(d) that I had taken a I had
19	had had been I was charged on taxes that would
20	have normally been exempt under 17942(d) as far as a
21	gross income was concerned, because a lot of that gross
22	income was as a result of reimbursements from my clients
23	as well as those that revenue that came in that would
24	normally be written off in other taxable situations.
25	That is what I am arguing here as far as

1	what's in what's in the Tax Code.
2	JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay.
3	JUDGE CHENG: Do you have any arguments for the
4	delinquent filing penalties on 2013 and '14, because,
5	you know, you filed them in 2016.
6	MR. ZOUBEK: Based on that discussion of the
7	accounting advice, I did not know that I was supposed to
8	file those because that was the previous accounting
9	advice. He didn't tell me that. My original accountant
10	did not tell me that these had to be filed by a certain
11	day.
12	My subsequent accountant told me to back file,
13	which I did, as far as the dates in question, as well as
14	the years in question.
15	JUDGE CHENG: Do you know if you filed a 2010
16	return for the LLC?
17	Does FTB know if the taxpayer filed twenty
18	2010 return?
19	MR. COUTINHO: Yes, I believe Exhibit K in the
20	record shows the 2010, 2011, and 2012 tax returns filed
21	by the Appellant.
22	JUDGE CHENG: Timely?
23	MR. COUTINHO: Only '10 and '12 are timely. '11
24	was I believe, was filed on September 25th, 2013.
25	JUDGE CHENG: Mr. Zoubek, did you have the same

accountant in 2010? Well, you said you had changed 1 accountants in 2015? 2 MR. ZOUBEK: Correct. 3 So if the 2010 and 2012 were filed JUDGE CHENG: 4 5 timely, what was different about 2013 and 2014? MR. ZOUBEK: I was not advised. I was not advised 6 7 at the time to file those. JUDGE BRAMHALL: 8 Understood. 9 JUDGE ROBINSON: Mr. Coutinho, do you have any questions of Mr. Zoubek? 10 MR. COUTINHO: We do not. 11 JUDGE ROBINSON: 12 Okay. 13 Anything further? Okay. Well, Mr. Zoubek, this is the time that we're 14 concluding the evidence portion of the case, and now 15 we're going to move into the closing statements. 0kay? 16 And that's -- thank you. 17 I skipped FTB. It was my understanding that 18 FTB doesn't have any further evidence or witnesses to 19 20 present? MR. COUTINHO: That is correct. 21 JUDGE ROBINSON: 22 Okay. So this is the stage of the 23 case where, Mr. Zoubek, you go first in your closing statements, and then FTB will make their closing 24 statements, and then you will have an opportunity for a 25

1	brief rebuttal, if necessary. Okay?
2	Could we just take a moment off the record?
3	(Off the record.)
4	JUDGE ROBINSON: Okay. We're back on the record.
5	So, Mr. Zoubek, I just want to caution both
6	parties to talk to the Court to us. We're not
7	actually a court, but to the judges, and not to each
8	other during the closing statements, if that's
9	acceptable to everyone; okay?
10	So, Mr. Zoubek, it's your turn to give us a
11	brief summary of your case, and then at the conclusion,
12	we'll let Mr. Coutinho and FTB do the same.
13	MR. ZOUBEK: Thank you. And I appreciate the
14	opportunity to to speak, and on what I'm
15	passionate as far as the issue is concerned.
16	Again, I I'm a little disturbed, and I have
17	attempted to go through this at the legislative end with
18	that anywhere in a Tax Code it says that a small
19	business has to pay a tax on a gross income. That is
20	double taxation. That is being doubly taxed.
21	As far as what FTB is actually requiring
22	further in as I have elaborated on, tax advice was
23	was spotty as what was pointed out. There were certain
24	years that I was advised to, you know, to file with
25	FTB with FTB certain years that I had not.

1	It wasn't until the 2015 time range where I
2	got what I feel more competent advice as far as an
3	accountant as concerned. In fact, that accountant
4	actually told me to switch to to, you know, that I am
5	big enough to switch to an actual corporation, and
6	that's what I did at that point.
7	As far as me knowing what specifically had to
8	be filed, what had to be to be paid at the time, I
9	relied on, obviously, some not-so-good advice, you know,
10	as far as filings and payments are concerned.
11	Further, I don't feel that I should be
12	subjected to a tax on a gross income based what I had
13	stated in 17942(d) as far as those exemptions that I am
14	allowed to take in the Tax Code as far as what is
15	considered income on my organization.
16	I did make the attempt to file my taxes on
17	time. I made a very good attempt to do that based on
18	the advice that was given me at the time. With that, as
19	far as concluding and putting aside that, I'm just
20	I'm really disturbed, you know, as to the way this
21	this Tax Code is written. I feel I'm being squeezed as
22	a small business by Franchise Tax Board as far as what
23	they are requiring on this.
24	As far as attempts to pay my taxes on time
25	which I am adamant about, which I do as far as my

1	bills what actually is in 17942 as far as examptions,
2	I don't feel that this applies to me as far as my
3	organization is concerned.
4	So I would respectfully ask that the judge
5	panel take that into consideration. Please do be in
6	my seat as a small business, as somebody that's trying
7	to contribute to the economics of the state, you know,
8	as far as the people that I employ, the people that I
9	help within the state.
10	This is extremely unfair as far as what
11	what I have had to go through as far as the this
12	amount and what this is imposed on my business.
13	With that, I appreciate the opportunity to
14	speak out, and I hope that you will rule in my favor.
15	JUDGE ROBINSON: Thank you.
16	Mr. Coutinho?
17	MR. COUTINHO: Good morning. FTB has three points
18	to make.
19	The first is that the delinquent filing
20	penalty imposed for the 2013 and 2014 tax years cannot
21	be abated because Appellant does not establish that his
22	failure to file was due to reasonable cause;
23	Second, that the estimated LLC fee penalty
24	cannot be abated for the 2014 and 2015 tax years;
25	And, third, Appellant is required to pay LLC

1	fees on total income under California law. The
2	California legislature enacted Revenue and Taxation Code
3	Section 18633.5. Subsection i3 states that a limited
4	liability company that is registered with the Secretary
5	of State that has disregarded, shall file its return by
6	the 15th date of the fourth month following the close of
7	the taxable year.
8	Appellant registered with the California
9	Secretary of State on January 4th, 2010, as an LLC.
10	Thus, Appellant was required to file its 2013 tax return
11	by April 15th, 2014, and its 2014 tax return by April
12	15th, 2015.
13	As shown on Exhibit C of FTB's opening brief,
14	Appellant did not file its 2013 and 2014 California tax
15	returns until April 15th, 2016. Accordingly, FTB
16	imposed a delinquent filing penalty for both years.
17	Under California law, the delinquent filing
18	penalty can only be abated if the taxpayer can show
19	failure to file was due to reasonable cause.
20	Appellant's argument that it was unaware that it had to
21	file tax return and it acted in good faith,
22	unfortunately, it does not constitute reasonable care
23	under the law.
24	The Supreme Court in United States versus
25	Boyle stated that every taxpayer has an obligation to

file a tax return by the due date and reliance on an 1 agent to file a tax return does not constitute 2 reasonable cause to abate the delinquent filing 3 penalties, and accordingly, the delinguent filing fees 4 5 imposed for the 2013 and 2014 tax years cannot be abated. 6 7 To FTB's second point, Revenue and Taxation Code Section 17942(d)(1) requires that an LLC be 8 9 estimated and paid by June 15th of the current taxable If an LLC does not make the estimate payment by 10 year. 11 June 15th, a penalty of 10 percent of the amount of the

underpayment must be imposed. Accordingly, Appellant
had a requirement to pay by June 15th the LLC fee for
the 2014 and 2015 tax years.

As shown on Exhibit B of FTB's opening brief, 15 Appellant did not pay the LLC fee for 2014 and 2015 tax 16 years until April 15th, 2016. Accordingly, FTB 17 18 correctly imposed the LLC fee estimate penalties and the penalties are not subject to reasonable cause abatement. 19 20 To FTB's third and final point --JUDGE BRAMHALL: Can I just stop you there? 21 There is a safe harbor. Are you alleging that 22 23 was not satisfied as well? 24 MR. COUTINHO: The safe harbor provision was

applied to the 2013 tax year. There was no LLC fee owed

1	for the 2012 year; however, there was an LLC fee owed
2	for the 2013 tax year, and that's why it was imposed for
3	the 2014 and 2015 years, and not not the 2013 tax
4	year.
5	JUDGE BRAMHALL: Thank you.
6	MR. COUTINHO: To FTB's final third and final
7	point, Appellant is required to pay an LLC fee under
8	California law. LLCs that register with the Secretary
9	of State are subject to the annual requirements with the
10	Franchise Tax Board, including filing a tax return,
11	paying the \$800 LLC annual tax, and LLC fee when the LLC
12	meets certain gross income requirements.
13	As stated previously, Appellant registered
14	with the California Secretary of State on January 4th,
15	2010, as an LLC. Appellant has filed a California Form
16	568 Limited Liability Company return for each of the
17	years at issue and self-reported sufficient total income
18	to require Appellant to pay an LLC fee.
19	FTB understands Mr. Zoubek's argument
20	regarding double taxation; however, the laws pertaining
21	to LLCs registered with the Secretary of State are
22	enacted by the California Legislature, not the Franchise
23	Tax Board.
24	FTB has no authority change the laws at issue,
25	but its function is limited to applying the laws enacted

-	
1	by the California Legislature. Accordingly by law,
2	Appellant is required to pay the an LLC fee for the tax
3	years at issue and the FTB's actions should be
4	sustai ned.
5	Thank you for your time.
б	JUDGE ROBINSON: Mr. Zoubek, do you have any
7	rebuttal?
8	MR. ZOUBEK: Well, I think the issue of reasonable
9	cause abatement what FTB fails to realize is that a
10	lot goes into running a small business.
11	I utilized what I felt was competent services
12	at the time. That competent service failed me. That
13	competent services did not tell me what was specifically
14	required under FTB's requirements.
15	So as far as reasonable cause abatement, they
16	are within reason within reason as far as abatement
17	is concerned and the fact that I sought expert advice
18	and did not receive that expert advice as far as what I
19	thought I was what I thought I was paying for.
20	So as far as reasonable cause abatement, what
21	is reasonable, is my business, you know, as far as
22	what's reasonable and what advice was given to me at the
23	time, as far as what was due to FTB. And I just I
24	really have to reiterate this gross income argument that
25	I have. I mean, it just mind boggles me as far as

double taxation is concerned. 1 But as far as reasonable cause, I'm going to 2 respectfully disagree with Mr. Coutinho that I have not 3 proved reasonable cause. I have proved reasonable 4 5 cause. I have a business to run. I cannot watch over 6 7 other people that I don't have -- that I hire for a 8 certain expertise that they are going advise me in 9 accordance to the way things are supposed to be. So the argument of reasonable cause, I will --10 that is my rebuttal as far as what they feel I have not 11 12 established. 13 Thank you. JUDGE ROBINSON: Thank you. Are there any 14 questions before we conclude this hearing? Is there 15 anything else we need to address? 16 17 MR. COUTI NHO: No, Respondent doesn't have any. MR. ZOUBEK: No, sir. 18 JUDGE ROBINSON: Then this matter will stand 19 20 submitted today. 21 You can expect a decision within the next 100 22 days. And I want to thank both of you for your 23 24 professionalism in presenting your cases today. 25 Thank you very much.

1	MR. ZOUBEK: Thank you.
2	MR. COUTINHO: Thank you.
3	(Proceedings concluded at 9:43 a.m.)
4	
5	
б	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
1	HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, DOROTHY M. SIMPSON, hearing reporter,
4	in and for the state of California do hereby certify:
5	That the foregoing transcript of
б	proceedings was taken down before me at the time and
7	place set forth, that the testimony and proceedings were
8	reported stenographically by me and later transcribed by
9	computer-aided transcription under my direction and
10	supervision, that the foregoing is a true record of the
11	testimony and proceedings taken at that time.
12	I further certify that I am in no way
13	interested in the outcome of said action.
14	I have hereunto subscribed my name this
15	4th day OF June, 2018.
16	
17	Durchy MSimpson
18	DOROTHY M. SIMPSON
19	HEARI NG REPORTER
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	