1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
3	400 R STREET
4	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
10	JUNE 26, 2018
11	CORPORATE FRANCHISE AND PERSONAL INCOME TAX HEARING
12	APPEAL OF
13	MT. WHITNEY FARMS, LLC
14	18010712
15	AGAINST PROPOSED ASSESSMENT OF
16	ADDITIONAL INCOME TAX
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	Reported by: Kathleen Skidgel
28	CSR No. 9039

<u>P R E S E N T</u> Michael Geary Administrative Law Judge Panel Lead: Panel Members: Jeff Angeja Administrative Law Judge Jeffrey Margolis Acting Presiding Administrative Law Judge Office of Tax Appeals Staff: Dana Holmes Ombudsperson Appearing for Taxpayer: (No appearance) Appearing for Franchise Tax Board: Brian Werking Tax Counsel Michael Cornez Tax Counsel -----

1 400 R STREET, HEARING ROOM 2 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA JUNE 26, 2018 3 -----4 5 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Welcome again to 6 the Office of Tax Appeals. 7 Some 25 minutes ago or so we called the hearing, called for the hearing in the matter of 8 9 appeal of Mt. Whitney Farms, LLC, OTA case number 18010712. 10 11 I appeared, my colleagues Judge Angeja and 12 Judge Margolis appeared. There were appearances for the Franchise Tax Board. However, no one appeared 13 14 for the taxpayer and we recessed until now, just 15 past 1:30, to give the taxpayer an opportunity to 16 arrive. 17 I would mention that we had a pre-hearing 18 conference in this case on June 11th. I believe 19 Mr. Werking participated in that telephonic 20 conference and Mr. Felger participated on behalf of 21 the taxpayer and indicated at that time that he 22 would be submitting an affidavit from a witness, and 23 I never received anything. 24 Mr. Werking, did you receive anything from 25 the taxpayer? 26 MR. WERKING: I have not received 27 anything. 28 JUDGE GEARY: All right. So there being no

1 appearance, as I indicated before we went on the 2 record earlier that I assume Franchise Tax Board 3 would be happy if we simply decided this matter based on the written record as we would have done 4 5 had the taxpayer not responded to the hearing notice 6 or actually waived a hearing, and Mr. Werking you 7 agree with that? 8 MR. WERKING: That is correct. 9 JUDGE GEARY: And I don't have to admit any 10 exhibits. You have no new exhibits today, correct, you wanted to offer? 11 That's correct. 12 MR. WERKING: 13 JUDGE GEARY: Then I think that that's what 14 we will do. I will close the record, effective now, 15 and my fellow judges and I will decide this case 16 based on the written record. 17 Thank you all for appearing. Sorry to 18 waste your time. And the matter's now closed. 19 Thank you. 20 (The proceedings concluded at 1:35 p.m.) 21 -----22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 3 State of California) 4) SS 5 County of Sacramento) 6 7 I, Kathleen Skidgel, Hearing Reporter for the California State Office of Tax Appeals certify 8 that on June 26, 2018 I recorded verbatim, in 9 10 shorthand, to the best of my ability, the proceedings in the above-entitled hearing; that I 11 transcribed the shorthand writing into typewriting; 12 13 and that the preceding pages 1 through 4 constitute 14 a complete and accurate transcription of the 15 shorthand writing. 16 17 Dated: July 5, 2018 18 19 them Stedgel 20 21 22 KATHLEEN SKIDGEL, CSR #9039 23 Hearing Reporter 24 25 26 27 28