BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)
HOMER H. HUMMEL, JR., AND)) OTA NO. 18011268
MARGARET A. HUMMEL,)
APPELLANT.)
)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Van Nuys, California

Thursday, January 24, 2019

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	IN THE MATTER OF THE OF, HOMER H. HUMMEL, JR., AND MARGARET A. HUMMEL, APPELLANT.) OTA NO. 18011268) APPELLANT.)
14	Transcript of Proceedings, taken at
15	6150 Van Nuys Blvd., Van Nuys, California, 91401,
16	commencing at 10:08 a.m. and concluding
17	at 10:29 a.m. on Thursday, January 24, 2019,
18	reported by Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter,
19 20	in and for the State of California.
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Panel Lead:	Hon. TERESA STANLEY
4	raner boad	
5	Panel Members:	Hon. KENNY GAST Hon. NGUYEN DANG
6	For the Appellant:	
7	For the Appellant:	
8	For the Respondent:	State of California Franchise Tax Board
9		By: BRAD COUTINHO NATASHA PAGE
10		TAX COUNSEL
11		Legal Division P.O. Box 1720
12		Rancho Cordova, CA 95741 916-845-2498
13		
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

ĺ			
1	<u>I</u>	N D E X	
2	<u>WITNESSES</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
4	Homer H. Hummel, Jr.		6
5			
6	ЕХН	IBITS	
7			
8	(Appellant's Exhibits were	received at pag	ge 17.)
9	(Franchise Tax Board's Exh		
10			
11			
12	CLOSIN	G STATEMENTS	
13	CHOOLIN	<u> </u>	
14		<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>
15	By Mr. Hummel	12	21
16	By Mr. Coutinho	13	10
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	Van Nuys, California; Thursday, January 24, 2019
2	10:08 a.m.
3	
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Let's go on
5	the record in this case. This is the matter of the appeal
6	of Homer H. Hummel Jr., and Margaret A. Hummel,
7	Case No. 18011268. The date is January 24th, 2019. We're
8	hearing this matter in Van Nuys, California, and the time
9	now is 10:08 a.m.
10	Once again, for the record, I am Teresa Stanley.
11	I have Judge Dang and Judge Gast with me. And can you
12	please restate your name for the record.
13	MR. HUMMEL: Yes. My name is Homer H. Hummel Jr.
14	MR. COUTINHO: Yes. It's Bradley Coutinho. My
15	last name is spelled, C-o-u-t-i-n-h-o. And I'm with
16	Natasha Page. Her last name is P-a-g-e.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Thank you.
18	The issue that we have today to determine is whether the
19	Appellants qualify for the senior head of household credit
20	for tax year 2016. And a threshold question a
21	threshold issue that we had listed at the prehearing
22	conference is whether Appellants qualified for head of
23	household filing status for taxable year 2014 or 2015.
24	Do you agree that's what we're deciding today?
25	MR. HUMMEL: Yes. I will I will touch on

1	those two issues.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Okay.
3	MR. COUTINHO: Yes, Franchise Tax Board agrees.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. And
5	then we had one stipulation at the prehearing conference
6	that Mr. And Mrs. Hummel were married in 2014, 2015 and
7	2016; correct?
8	MR. HUMMEL: Yes, that's correct.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Okay.
10	MR. COUTINHO: Correct.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. So then
12	you are still stipulating to that?
13	MR. HUMMEL: Yeah.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Then we
15	can go ahead and just jump into it, if you want to talk in
16	the narrative. You mentioned a statement. If you want to
17	read a statement, that's fine too. Whatever is most
18	comfortable for you.
19	MR. HUMMEL: Right. I have a written I have
20	my testimony written. I find that helpful so I don't
21	stutter, ohs and ahs, ums. Okay.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: You may
23	proceed.
24	MR. HUMMEL: Thank you. I begin with my position
25	on this case, OTA Case No. 18011268, which is that I'm

1	entitled to claim the credit for Senior Head of
2	Household-Code 163 for 216 excuse me 2016 tax year.
3	I cite California Law, Revenue and Tax Code abbreviated
4	R&TC, Division 2, Other Taxes, Part 10; Personal Income
5	Tax Chapter 2, Imposition of Tax; Section 17054.7 as the
6	tax relevant to the Credit for Senior Head of
7	Household-163.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Oh, excuse me,
9	Mr. Hummel. I'm sorry to interrupt, but I forgot that we
10	take witness testimony under oath. I got it in my notes
11	here, and I forgot to swear you in. So if you don't mind,
12	can you stand for a moment.
13	MR. HUMMEL: Sure.
14	
15	HOMER H. HUMMEL JR.
16	produced as a witness by and on behalf of himself, and
17	having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law
18	Judge, was examined and testified as follows:
19	
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Thank
21	you.
22	MR. HUMMEL: Okay. Should I begin again, or may
23	I continue?
24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: You may
25	continue.

MR. HUMMEL: Okay. Thank you.

2.0

Section 17054.7 Subdivision C, as in Charlie, reads quote, "Qualified senior head of household means an individual who meets all of the following.

"Sub-item 1, attain the age of 65 before the close of the taxable year.

"Sub-item 2, qualified as the head of household in accordance with section 10742 for either of the two taxable years immediately preceding the taxable year by providing a household for qualifying individual who died during either of the two taxable years immediately preceding the taxable year.

"Sub-item 3, whose adjusted gross income for the taxable year does not exceed \$37,500."

Now, my birth certificate -- that's Exhibit Log

Item No. 2 -- can be used to verify that I was over the

age of 65 at the close of the taxable year. That

addresses Subdivision(c)(1). My adjusted gross income -
abbreviated AGI -- for 2016 did not exceed the AGI limit.

And that addresses Subdivision(c)(3).

Note that 17054.7 subdivision(b), as in bravo,

(3), provides for specified inflation adjustment to the

AGI limit in subdivision(c)(3). Therefore, I clearly meet
the requirements of 17054.7 subdivision (c)(1) and (3).

And these two requirements are not contested by the FTB.

The crux of this case is the second requirement, that is 17054.7 subdivision (c)(2). It begins with these words, quote, "Qualified as the head of household in accordance with Section 17042," unquote. Section 17042 is brief and reads in total, quote, "Section 2(b) and (C) of the Internal Revenue Code relating to definitions of head of household and certain married individuals living apart respectively, shall apply except otherwise provided," unquote.

2.0

The FTB document titled "Forms and Instructions, California 5402016 Personal Income Tax Booklet," that's in FTB's opening brief Exhibit F, which I will refer -- subsequently refer to as the, quote, "Tax Booklet," unquote.

Page 13 contains instructions for the credit for senior head of household, Code 163. And these instructions meet the, quote, "Except as otherwise provided," unquote, cause of section 17042. It is also important to note that tax return filing status is nowhere mentioned in section 17054.7.

The tax booklet on page 13, Instructions for the Credit for Senior Head of Household-Code 163, the second bulleted requirement has its own definition of head of household. That requirement begins with the words, "Qualified as a head of household in 2014 or 2015 by

providing, dot, dot, dot. That is providing something. Well, that something has two parts.

One, a household for qualifying individual, and two, it defines that qualifying individual as one who died during 2014 or 2015. Now, addressing the second part of that providing, my son, Homer H. Hummel III, died during 2015. So he is a qualifying individual. And addressing the first part, I provided a household for him his entire life. Therefore, I have met the second bulleted requirement in the tax booklet.

The tax booklet, page 13, Instructions for the Credit for Senior Head of Household-Code 163, does refer to filing status in one sentence, quote, "If you meet all the conditions listed above, you do not need to qualify to use the head of household filing status for 2016 in order to claim this credit," unquote.

Therefore, I am entitled to claim the Credit for Senior Household-Code 163 for the 2016 tax year. I now point out an important error in the FTB's opening brief, dated January 25, 2018. In the quote, "FTB's position," section of the brief, the second paragraph purports to report the second bulleted requirement of the tax code booklet instructions for the Credit for Senior Head of Household-Code 163 as, quote, "Item 2, qualify for the head of household filing status in 2014 or 2015 by

1 providing a household for a qualifying individual who died 2 during 2014 or 2015, "unquote. That is correct. The correct quote, you can refer to FTB's opening 3 4 brief, Exhibit F, is, quote, "Qualified as the head of household in 2014 or 2015 by providing a household for a 5 6 qualifying individual who died during 2014 or 2015." Note 7 that the correct quote does not contain the words "filing 8 status." 9 The entire multipage discussion of head of 10 household filing status in the FTB's opening brief is 11 irrelevant to the case being heard today. 12 This concludes my testimony for this case, and 13 I'm open for questions from panel or the FTB 14 representative. 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Mr. Coutinho, 16 do you have any questions? 17 MR. COUTINHO: We do not have any questions. 18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Judge Dang? 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DANG: No questions. 2.0 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Judge Gast? 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE GAST: No questions. 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Nor do 23 I. 24 MR. HUMMEL: Okay. 25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: So you must

1	have done a great job. So rather than unless you have
2	something you want to conclude with, we will turn to the
3	Franchise Tax Board and see what their response is.
4	MR. HUMMEL: Okay. Thank you. Excuse me. The
5	next thing on the agenda would be a well, questions and
6	then next one after that is closing statement by the
7	Appellant. That's listed here.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Right. Right.
9	So you're prepared to do that?
10	MR. HUMMEL: No. In fact I'm going to wave that,
11	and I will have a final statement.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. So
13	Mr. Coutinho, you said you don't have any witnesses. I
14	take it that's still accurate?
15	MR. COUTINHO: That is correct.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. So then
17	if you do have a concluding statement of some sort to
18	make, Mr. Hummel, now is it the time for that.
19	
20	CLOSING STATEMENT
21	MR. HUMMEL: Okay. Members of the panel, please
22	note that I have in a detailed manner cited California law
23	relevant to this case and relevant portions of the FTB's
24	tax booklet document as evidence of my claim that I'm

entitled to the credit for Senior Head of Household-Code

25

163 for the 2016 tax year.

I implore you to rule favorably toward my position in this case, and thank you for your attention to my testimony today. Thank you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Thank you.

Mr. Coutinho, do you have a closing statement.

MR. COUTINHO: I do.

2.0

CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. COUTINHO: Good morning. There's only one question to be answered in this appeal, and that is whether Appellants -- that is Appellants are not entitled to the Senior Head of Household Credit for the 2016 tax years, because Appellants are not entitled for head of household filing status for the 2014 and 2015 tax years.

Appellants filed a timely 2016 California tax return with the filing status married, filing joint. On their return, Appellants reported a Senior Head of Household credit of approximately \$800. Subsequently, FTB issued a notice of tax return change denying Appellants the credit.

Appellants paid the balance owed and filed a claim for refund asserting that they are entitled to Senior Head of Household Credit. FTB denied the claim for refund that the Appellants filed as timely appealed. The

Senior Head of Household Credit is available to senior citizens who can use the head of household filing status, but have lost the person who is their qualifying individual due to death.

2.0

The credit can be claimed by an individual who meets all of the following requirements. As Appellant stated, one, the individual is 65 years or older as of the last day of the taxable year.

Two, he or she qualifies as head of household in accordance with Section 17042 for either of the two taxable years immediately preceding the taxable year by providing a household for a qualifying individual who died during either of the two taxable years immediately preceding the taxable year.

And three, the individual's adjusted gross income does not exceed \$71,370 for the 2016 tax year. As stated by Appellants, the main contention in this appeal is that Appellants do not meet the second requirement of the Senior Head of Household Credit. Specifically, they have not established that either of them qualified for head of household filing status for the 2014 or the 2015 tax years.

Under Revenue and Taxation Code Section 17042,
California has adopted the federal definition of head of household. The federal analysis for head of household

filing status begins with whether the taxpayer was unmarried or considered unmarried during the tax year.

Because only a taxpayer who falls into one of those statuses may qualify for head of household filing status.

A taxpayer is considered unmarried if they did not live with his or her spouse for the last six months of the tax appeal. In this appeal Appellants do not qualify for head of household filing status for 2014 or 2015.

Because for both tax years, they filed tax returns using the filing status married, filing joint.

Further, Appellants have not provided any evidence establishing that they were separated for the last six months of the 2014 or the 2015 tax years. Therefore, Appellants do not qualify for the Senior Head of Household Credit for the 2016 tax year because they have not established that they were entitled to head of household filing status for the 2014 or the 2015 tax years.

Appellants incorrectly assert that they are entitled to the Senior Head of Household Credit because they provided a household for a qualifying individual who died in 2015. However, the law not only requires Appellants to provide a household for qualifying individual, but also requires that Appellants meet the requirements for head of household filing status under

Section 17402.

2.0

Appellant's arguments today was regarding the instructions found in FTB's booklet. Appellant argues that the booklet provides a new definition for head of household. However, FTB as an administrative agency does not have the legal authority to interpret a statute in such a way to change its meaning or effect.

Accordingly, the reason the instructions do not provide a comprehensive definition of head of household is due to space, and thus forth, does not fully recite

Revenue Taxation Code Section 17054.7. However, Appellant has not established that he's entitled to head of household for 2014 and 2015 tax years, and thus, he's not entitled to Senior Head of Household Credit for the 2016 tax year.

If you have any questions, I would be happy to address them. Thank you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Okay.

Actually, I'm going to ask Mr. Hummel if he wants to have the final word here.

MR. HUMMEL: Yes, I do.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Okay.

MR. HUMMEL: Okay. He quotes Section 17042, and as I have noted in my testimony, that includes four important words at the end of that section, "except as

otherwise provided." And I claim that the FTB's own document, the tax booklet, is satisfy -- meets that clause of Section 17042.

And furthermore the tax booklet on page 13 has this sentence, "If you meet all the conditions listed above, you do not need to qualify to use the head of household filing status for 2016 in order to claim this credit."

And there was another important point that -- the entire section of 17054.7 does not have the words "filing status" in it. And so for those reasons and with that evidence, I feel I'm entitled to claim that Senior Head of Household Credit.

Okay. That's all I have to say.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. One thing that I failed to do in the beginning was to admit the exhibits into evidence on the record. So exhibits 1 through 6 for Appellant and Exhibits A through E, with the inclusion of the Personal Income Tax Booklet, will be entered into evidence.

Any objections?

MR. HUMMEL: No.

MR. COUTINHO: No objection.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Okay.

///

2.0

1	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-6 were received
2	in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)
3	(Respondent's Exhibits A-E were received
4	in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: All right.
6	Then I would like to thank you for coming and
7	participating in this hearing. And the panel is going to
8	take what you said under consideration, and we'll have a
9	decision out to you within we shoot for within 100
10	days. It may be sooner than that.
11	MR. HUMMEL: Okay.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. All
13	right. Thank you.
14	MR. HUMMEL: Thank you.
15	MR. COUTINHO: Thank you.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE STANLEY: Off the
17	record.
18	(The preceding was concluded at 10:29 a.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 8 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 10 proceedings taken at that time. 11 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested in the outcome of said action. 13 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 15th day 15 of February, 2019. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 2.0 HEARING REPORTER 21 22 23 2.4 25