BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,) MORIS BENBENIST,) OTA NO. 18073456 APPELLANT.) ______)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Cerritos, California

Tuesday, December 17, 2019

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE OF,)
6)
7	MORIS BENBENIST,) OTA NO. 18073456)
8	APPELLANT.)
9)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Proceedings, taken at
15	12900 Park Plaza Dr., Cerritos, California, 91401,
16	commencing at 10:29 a.m. and concluding
17	at 11:30 a.m. on Tuesday, December 17, 2019,
18	reported by Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter,
19	in and for the State of California.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:			
2				
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ RICHARD TAY		
4	Panel Members:	ALJ JOHN JOHNSON		
5		ALJ JEFFREY MARGOLIS		
6	For the Appellant:	MORIS BENBENIST		
7		C. THOMAS MALLOS		
8	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	-	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD By: JOEL SMITH		
10		NANCY PARKER		
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1		<u>index</u>
2		
3		<u>E X H I B I T S</u>
4	(Appellantle Exhibi	ts were received at page 6.)
5		pits were received at 6.)
6	(Department 5 Exhib	its were received at 0.)
7		OPENING STATEMENT
8		PAGE
9	By Mr. Benbenist	8
10	By Mr. Smith	32
11		
12		WITNESS STATEMENT
13		PAGE
14	By Mr. Mallos	19
15	By Mr. Colaruotolo	25
16	By Mr. Paletz	30
17		
18		REBUTTAL STATEMENT
19		PAGE
20	By Mr. Benbenist	40
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Cerritos, California; Tuesday, December 17, 2019 1 10:29 a.m. 2 3 JUDGE TAY: Good morning. We are opening the 4 record in the appeal of Moris Benbenist before the Office 5 6 of Tax Appeals, Case Number 18073456. This hearing is 7 being convened in Los Angles on December 17th, 2019. The 8 time now is 10:29 a.m. 9 Today's case is being heard and decided equally 10 by a panel of three judges. My name is Richard Tay, and I'll be acting as the lead Judge for the purposes of 11 12 conducting this hearing. Also on the panel with me today are Judges John Johnson and Jeff Margolis. 13 14 Will the parties please introduce themselves for the record, beginning with the Appellant. Please just 15 16 state your name and spell your name for the record. 17 MR. BENBENIST: Moris Benbenist, M-o-r-i-s, B-e-n-b-e-n-i-s-t. 18 19 MR. MALLOS: Thomas Mallos, M-a-l-l-o-s. 20 JUDGE TAY: Okay. Thank you, Appellants. 21 And Franchise Tax Board? 22 MR. SMITH: Joel Smith, S-m-i-t-h. 23 MS. BROSTERHOUS: Maria Brosterhous, B-r-o-s-t-e-r-h-o-u-s. 24 25 JUDGE TAY: Okay. The issues before us today

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

are: Whether reasonable cause exist to justify abatement of the late payment penalty for Appellant's 2015 tax year; and whether Appellant is entitled to abatement of the estimated tax penalty; and whether Appellant is entitled to abatement of interest.

6 Prior to the hearing, we circulated exhibits 7 submitted by both parties, and we receive a few more. It 8 contains -- we now have Appellant's 10 exhibits and FTB's 9 Exhibits A through J. There are no objections to 10 admitting the exhibits into evidence. 11 Is that right, Appellant?

12 MR. BENBENIST: Yes, sir.

13 JUDGE TAY: And Franchise Tax Board?

14 MR. SMITH: Correct.

JUDGE TAY: Okay. Thank you. The exhibits will now be admitted into the record.

17 (Appellant's Exhibits 1-10 were received 18 in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 19 (Department's Exhibits A-J were received in 20 evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 21 JUDGE TAY: We'll start with Appellant's 22 presentation, which includes the examination of witnesses. 23 After each witness testifies, I'll give Franchise Tax Board the opportunity to cross-examine each witness. 24 25 So before you begin, I'd like to ask you to call

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 up your witnesses forward, and I'll swear them in. 2 MR. BENBENIST: Mr. Damiano Colaruotolo, head 3 salesperson, and Mr. Clive Paletz one of my export customers. 4 5 JUDGE TAY: Sure. Maybe I can call them to 6 introduce themselves before they give testimony just for 7 the record. 8 MR. COLARUOTOLO: Damiano Colaruotolo, 9 C-o-l-a-r-u-o-t-o-l-o, last name. First name is 10 D-a-m-i-a-n-o. Head sales manager for Bentex. JUDGE TAY: And sir, if you don't mind 11 12 introducing yourself, please. 13 MR. PALETZ: Clive Paletz, C-l-i-v-e, last name 14 P-a-l-e-t-z. Owner of Malibu Industries, DBA Malibu 15 Threads. 16 JUDGE TAY: Okay. Thank you. If you both can stay standing and Mr. Mallos, if you could stand and 17 18 please raise your right hand. I'll swear you in at this 19 time. 20 21 DAMIANO COLARUOTOLO, 22 produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by 23 the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows: 24 25 111

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1	<u>CLIVE PALETZ</u> ,
2	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
3	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified
4	as follows:
5	
6	THOMAS MALLOS,
7	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
8	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified
9	as follows:
10	
11	JUDGE TAY: Thank you.
12	Appellant, you will have 30 minutes. Please
13	begin when you are ready.
14	
15	OPENING STATEMENT
16	MR. BENBENIST: Good morning, Your Honors, and
17	all the legal team for the Franchise Tax Board. I want to
18	take
19	JUDGE TAY: I'm so sorry. Would you mind moving
20	the microphone a little bit closer so we can hear you a
21	little bit better.
22	MR. BENBENIST: This way?
23	JUDGE TAY: Yes, please. Thank you.
24	MR. BENBENIST: Good morning, Your Honors and all
25	the legal team for the Franchise Tax Board. I want to

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 thank you all for allocating this time for me to explain 2 in person my own cause -- my case. I decided to represent 3 my case by myself instead of getting a lawyer or a doctor.

I would like to start with a short history of my medical history. In 2011 I was diagnosed with a rare cancerous large tumor in my lower abdomen. After seeing many doctors in Southern California and traveling across the country, Texas and New York, I concluded to get the large tumor removed surgically against advice, instead of getting long-term chemotherapy.

11 During the pre-op examines, my surgeon noticed a 12 serious difficulty in my breathing and referred me to a 13 Dr. Wachtel at Cedars to help me with the wheezing and 14 breathing stabilizer. I was treated for a few weeks, and I was made ready for surgery. After 3 of serious 15 16 abdominal surgeries, each taking about 6 or 7 hours back 17 to back, 7 days between the first and the second and 18 40 days between the second and the third.

And after dropping about 34 pounds in 30 days, I came back to normality towards the end of 2012, unfortunately, continuing with the breathing issues. While Dr. Wachtel was my primary pulmonologist, I tried to seek help from other doctors, like Dr. Adair at Saint Joseph in Santa Monica, Dr. Eric Kleerup at UCLA, Dr. Ansari, Dr. Schroeder, and Dr. Akhavan, all

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 pulmonologist specialist.

2	Later on in 2014, I met a new doctor,
3	Dr. Bellack, an ENT doctor, who suggested getting a sinus
4	surgery may help me; may help to solve the problem of my
5	breathing. As a result, I went I underwent a surgery
6	at Cedars in January 2015. For a few months I felt super,
7	reacquainting with life again, tasting, smelling,
8	breathing, and sleeping, much, much better.
9	Unfortunately, a few months later, maybe around
10	May, June, the problem started to reoccur slowly; losing
11	smell, taste. Breathing became a huge problem and
12	continued cough. I went to see a multitude of doctors
13	again. With Dr. Wachtel and Bellack's suggestion, I was
14	referred to Dr. Eitches, a top allergy specialist in
15	Cedars, treating me with weekly injections.
16	Unfortunately, every month I noticed my condition
17	was getting worse and worse. I continue to take every
18	kind of inhalers, nasal sprays, albuterol, breathing
19	treatments, and above all continued Cortisone treatments,
20	which for short term was helping me a lot, but long-term
21	big side effect. My observation was doctors did not
22	really care too much. They just saw me for 5 to
23	10 minutes, a short exam, and wrote me a big prescription.
24	Each time I stated my complaints, I felt I had

25 I was under the impression that it was a broken record,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

time and time again as far as I was concerned. Nobody really cared about. Each visit was about 30 to 40 minutes driving to doctors, sitting in doctors' offices, 1 hour and 40 minutes for me to see the doctor and having 5 to 10 minutes visitations with doctors. So it really created a huge, huge frustration for me.

Eventually in 20116, around February, I had a massive asthma attack that I could not attend to myself. I went straight away to Dr. Eitches. The assistant referred me immediately to ER at Cedars, and I stayed in the hospital for four days with heavy treatment. All these doctors made their usual visits with a big smile and with a big billing.

14 I started to believe that there was no solution to my problem. Instead of seeing the regular 15 16 pulmonologist and ENT doctors, I start to medicate myself. 17 I found alternative medicines made by the same U.S. 18 companies in France for a fraction of the price, like, 19 Advair, like, Ventolin, like, other inhalers. 20 Unfortunately, I continued to take Cortisone. This 21 Cortisone was prescribed to me, not by any pulmonologist, 22 but otologist surgeon who is a very good friend of mine, a 23 biking buddy, and who saw my deterioration. And Dr. Brioji and his name is on the CVS medications. 24 25 I would have called him as a witness to explain

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

what I went through, but I decided not to. I could also have called my wife who lived this misery with me day and day, day after day, but I decided not to. What I have forgotten to mention to the -- while I was interviewed by the tax examiner in 2017, was the misfortune of having had a very big robbery in my warehouse in Vernon.

7 The damage was about \$520,000. And, 8 unfortunately, this was submitted to you only last Sunday. 9 It was on my original submission, but the size of the file 10 was too big. I think it did not go through. That's why 11 it was a late submission, and my apology for that.

12 It took the police, the Vernon Police and LAPD and the insurance companies months to deal with this. I 13 14 was unfortunately underinsured. So on top of my health issues, I had to go through this agony, and I tried to 15 16 keep my business afloat. At the time I had 17 or 18 17 people working for me. The condition -- my condition and 18 the breathing problems, feeling like choking -- not every 19 day, but made it was once or twice a week. It made me 20 very uncomfortable.

The lack of sleep made me totally unfocused on my day-to-day life. It made me even afraid to go to sleep with the feeling that I would choke. I would dose for 30 to 15 to 20 minutes at times, and then stay woken for hours. This was night after night. I tried to explain

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 this to doctors, but nobody would hear me. For them I was 2 just an ignorant patient. I lost a lot of concentration, 3 focus, you name it, even at times, the desire to live.

I was very upset all the time. Sleepless night after night turned me in a way to a little zombie not following conversations, not registering what was told me, what was going on around me in my office, not paying attention to anything, to work, most importantly, to family and friends. Maybe I was even seriously depressed.

10 While this was happening, in 2016, as always, I must have received a bunch of K-1s. About 14 years ago --11 12 12 to 14 years ago, I invested in a big office project in 13 Dallas, Texas. After many years of zero distribution, the 14 managers decided to dispose of this asset, while the net goes to me -- sorry -- while the net goes to me and all 15 16 the investors. This is not the -- the reason we're -- we 17 have a very large profit according to Franchise Tax Board.

18 Higher -- neither myself nor investors have 19 realized that this special K-1, this single K-1, had illustrated the capture of a very high-profit, paper 20 21 profit that the amortizations over the years created. 22 This was a paper profit, but not actual cash profit, but 23 it was on my K-1. I had no idea about the enormity of this tax bill. First of all, I've not even seen that K-1 24 25 come into my office.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 There was a pile of folders sitting in my office 2 or envelopes sitting in my office, and everybody can 3 witness to that. I could have brought more witnesses from my office, but I didn't. And I was not even aware that 4 5 there was one K-1 that illustrated such a big -- big 6 profit. I had received many times phone calls from my 7 CPA's office asking where the K-1s were. I had totally 8 ignored him. The ignoring was not on purpose, it's just a 9 lack of concentration. I had no idea what was my -- my 10 annual vacation was.

You're going to laugh at it, but I had my water 11 12 shut off once and my phone cut twice for not being paid. 13 It's a 4, 5, \$600.00 bills. But even that was, you know, 14 I don't know. I did not pay. I was not really present. During meetings, office meetings, I would leave the 15 meetings just to take a rest, go to my car and take a nap. 16 17 I was in a, kind of, floating mode, depressed and 18 demoralized. Was I comatose? No. Did I seek psychiatric 19 help? No. Was I withdrawn from life? Yes. Did T mistrust everybody, all doctors? Yes. Was I taking 20 21 Cortisone regularly? Yes.

I'm sure everyone has experienced sleepless nights in their regular lives. And I'm sure everybody knows what it does to them the next day. From being a very strong person, I became like a little lamb. I could

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

not challenge anybody. I could not argue with anyone. I
 was just -- just there.

3 When I was phoned by Mr. Don West from Sacramento about -- about why I had not filed on time and all these 4 5 questions, I was in such a -- such an absent mode. I'd 6 even forgotten to mention to him that I had a very, very 7 big robbery in 2016. We're talking an inside job. And 8 unfortunately, you know, in my conversation I only said I 9 was not feeling well while this robbery had -- was -- had 10 a big, big influence on my life and on my finances.

11 Upon ill advice from my CPA's office, I simply 12 produced a doctor's letter saying that I was under the 13 care of Dr. Wachtel. It was a letter, I think a four or 14 five liner, and this was my only evidence and all the excuse, as far as the Tax Board is concerned about the 15 16 delay. I apologize for that. It was negligence on my 17 side. Even before coming to this trial or to this 18 hearing, I did contact a couple of doctors who treated me 19 to submit a letter. They did not even bother to do that. 20 All of them, it was only Dr. Bellack.

Going back to the brief, naturally, the Franchise Tax Board requires facts. What I have cannot be factualized. What I went through time and time and time again when you're sick, you're alone. Nobody is with you. Not your wife. Not your family. They come and see you.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 They come and give you a kiss, but you're alone. I stayed 2 in Cedars-Sinai for 34 days in 2011. It's very, very hard 3 to be alone and to deal with it on your own.

The examiner claims that on the briefs that I had 4 \$2,173,000 of income in my 2015 tax year. This is true on 5 6 paper. Unfortunately, this is not true in cash terms. It 7 was a recapture of amortization that the K-1s had done in 8 the previous years. So I ask everyone, someone who makes 9 over \$2 million in cash would be silly not to pay 10 somebody -- I'm a businessman for the last 40 years. Ιt 11 would be totally silly not to pay a \$240,000 tax.

I came to know about all that under the pressure of Mr. Mallos when he really came to my office and demanded me to give the K-1s and seeing the consequence of the tax consequence since October 2016, I think. If you look at my previous records, I was not late in paying my IRS and California tax obligations ever. And the numbers were normal numbers. This year we see a huge, huge peak.

And I'm asked to produce facts. Facts are doctor reports, which it doesn't really reflect my situation. The Tax Board is saying I failed to pay my taxes. I was doing the regular quarterly installments based on my previous tax years. My income had not gone up much besides this huge peak.

25 On page 2 on the -- on the brief, Appellant

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 argues from 2012 to 2016, he had a chronic medical 2 condition. This is not true. This is all the way to 3 present. I packed a bag of inhalers and other medications to bring to the court today. Unfortunately, I forgot it 4 5 at home. But I keep getting the same medication produced by Glaxo from France. And if the court is interested, I 6 7 can show you. There is the picture. But my condition is 8 continuing, and I'm feeling much, much better now.

And if there's an interest, I'll even explain 9 10 what happened. But I'm going to continue on my prepared text. I cannot produce facts. If this court is only 11 12 going to judge me on -- based on facts, there's no facts. 13 There's only my personal experience; the person who has 14 been ill, from being an avid biker biking four times a week, becoming a person who could hardly climb the steps 15 in his own house. 16

The best way to put my case is if any of you has got a kin who has been ill, ask him what he went through. Ask him what he went through himself. Do not ask his doctor. Do not ask his wife. Just ask him. Had I been more focused, healthy, and concentrated, I'm sure I would have been able to deal with this matter in a much, much better way.

The robbery was a huge blow to -- to -- to my existing condition. It made me very upset, and I lost

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

faith even to the law enforcers. I got a few more things to respond to on the briefs. And, actually, if anybody is interested to see how the story ended, I was in Turkey in 2017 for my brother's 80th birthday, and I caught an infection. A friend of mine referred me to an ENT doctor. While visiting him and getting cleaned up, I said, "Do you mind to check me?"

8 He went in through cameras and everything. He 9 saw my breathing. He said this is caused with heavy sinus 10 issues. I said, can you take care of it? He said yes. I 11 said, well, I'm leaving now. Can I come back next year 12 and get operated? He said yes. I was in and operated on 13 in Turkey by a doctor educated in Turkey then trained in 14 U.S.

I had a four-hour surgery. While I could not breath from my nose at all, now I'm able to breath, and much, much better and back to, somehow, connected to life. I don't know what this has any relevance with all of this, but I felt to explain this myself rather than my lawyer. That's all I've got to say.

I've got two witnesses who somehow lived what I lived through, you know, in my office hours. And Mr. Mallos, of course, was my dear CPA who had warned me several times about what's, you know, what was going on. Thank you.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 JUDGE TAY: Thank you Mr. Benbenist. 2 Mr. Mallos, will you be examining the witnesses? 3 MR. MALLOS: Yeah. I will present some testimony from the witness. 4 5 JUDGE TAY: Okay. I just ask you to be weary of the clock while you do so. 30 minutes is close, but I'm 6 7 willing to give you a little bit more time to state your 8 argument. 9 MR. MALLOS: Okay. Then I'll be brief. 10 JUDGE TAY: Okay. 11 12 WITNESS TESTIMONY 13 MR. MALLOS: I just say that Mr. Benbenist 14 usually mailed me the K-1s sometime in March or April of 15 '16 for '15. It didn't happen at that time. I called him 16 repeatedly. He didn't present anything in June. And then 17 I had to go to his office in about August or September of 18 that year, and I -- we went through his office, and I got 19 the K-ls. 20 We prepared the tax return, and we came with the 21 liability. He was in a fog. He didn't understand what 22 was going on. And I presented with him -- to him the 23 liability that he had, which was enormous, and he was unable to pay it at that time. And we went on extension 24 25 to the Franchise Tax Board and the IRS. And the IRS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 waived the penalty. But the Franchise Tax Board, they're 2 rules are different. But he had a medical condition that 3 arose to the occasion that he was incapacitated.

4 So I want to state that. That was important. 5 And luckily, he's better now. But at the time, he was 6 unable to pay the tax for medical reasons. That's all I 7 have to say.

8 Well, he just said that it was a liability. The 9 recapture was -- that caused the income to rise to 10 2.2 million and the liability was so high for the IRS and 11 the Franchise Tax Board beyond what he had estimated, and 12 what we had paid in normally. And so the penalty was 13 exorbitant under the circumstances, and it should be 14 waived. Okay.

15 JUDGE TAY: Thank you.

16 Franchise Tax Board, any questions for 17 Mr. Mallos?

18 MR. SMITH: No.

JUDGE TAY: I'm going to turn to my panelist.Judge Margolis, any questions for Mr. Mallos?

JUDGE MARGOLIS: Mr. Mallos, you said that you had warned Mr. Benbenist several times that he had to deal with his taxes. Can you elaborate on that a little bit of when that happened, and what did you say?

25 MR. MALLOS: Well, yeah. Most of his income came

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

from K-1s, unearned income. And so we -- I called him to get the K-1s, and he was unresponsive. And I had to go out to the office eventually, and we went through his office and found some K-1s. Others he didn't have, and we had to call the accountants for them, and they sent them to us. That was it.

JUDGE MARGOLIS: And did the -- so the IRS waived the penalty?

MR. MALLOS: Yes.

9

JUDGE MARGOLIS: What was the basis for the IRS waiver? Was that because of the first time to abate the penalty or --

13 MR. MALLOS: Well, it was referred -- well, the 14 laws are different. They waived it because it was his 15 first time. They took into consideration of his past 16 history, and they waived the penalty. And the Franchise 17 Tax Board has different regulations.

JUDGE MARGOLIS: And I'm not sure if this question is best addressed to Mr. Benbenist or to you, but you talked about -- you talked about the -- his inability to pay, and you also mentioned your -- the theft from your office. Did those two relate to each other, or other than the fact that it made you more distracted or was your financial relationship --

25 MR. BENBENIST: It was a -- it was a big

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 financial loss. And the effect was basically a very 2 moral, you know, it morally made me go down a lot. It was you wake up one morning and you get a phone call saying 3 that, you know, you've been robbed and this -- this 4 5 happened. The robbery happened before my big asthma 6 attack before I was admitted to the hospital. I was -- I 7 went to the hospital in mid-February 2016. This happened 8 towards the end of January 2016. But both things 9 combined, of course, takes a big toll not only on me but 10 on anybody. 11 JUDGE MARGOLIS: And over how long a period did 12 it take to pay off these liabilities? 13 MR. MALLOS: Within a year it was paid off. 14 Within a year. Maybe less. 15 MR. BENBENIST: Less than a year. 16 MR. MALLOS: I'm not sure of the exact time, but 17 it was the medical condition that caused the problem. And 18 when we got the penalty, we were just shocked by it that 19 they didn't accept our medical excuse. Let's put it that way. His condition was much more serious. 20 21 JUDGE MARGOLIS: Okay. I don't have any further 22 questions. JUDGE TAY: Thank you. 23 24 Judge Johnson. 25 JUDGE JOHNSON: Yes, just a question.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

Mr. Benbenist, you mentioned that you had 17 or 18 employees around early 2016, presumably during the tax year at issue as well. And were you typically on-site every day for your business?

5 MR. BENBENIST: I beg your pardon?
6 JUDGE JOHNSON: Were you on site every day for
7 your business?

8 MR. BENBENIST: No. I would -- I missed -- I 9 would miss many days of work basically and my mind really 10 isn't in Vernon. So I said well, it's already 11:30 in 11 the morning. I start work when I'm driving. I would be 12 on the phone. I would get phone calls about inquiries, 13 customs inquiries. When I arrive to the office, 14 unfortunately, it looks funny, but I would drive into the parking lot and they'll see my car, but they would not see 15 16 me in the office. So everybody would look for me. Was 17 I -- was I in the warehouse or in the bathroom? They 18 would find me dosing in the car many, many times. 19 Unfortunately, it looks funny.

At the beginning they thought something happened to me, then they understood what was -- I was in touch on the phone. I was not comatose. I was not insane. You know, I don't know how to exaggerate, you know, the situation, but I was, basically, not focused at all for lack of sleep, you know. At the end of the day, the lack

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

of sleep caused that. But the asthma caused the lack of
 sleep. Unfortunately, they were all related to each
 other.

JUDGE JOHNSON: Thank you. And was there any period during the early 2016 that you missed sort of a large period or was it sort of a day-to-day, depending on how you felt.

8 MR. BENBENIST: Starting from second half or 9 third quarter of 2015, I start to see a big deterioration 10 in my day-to-day activities, you know. I lack -- I was a 11 hiker. I would walk and stop, walk and stop. I could not 12 finish the hikes. I was a biker. I would stop biking 13 after 10 minutes. I was just -- I couldn't. I couldn't. 14 I called my wife to pick me up.

And then the situation deteriorated, you know. I 15 16 would have attacks, but I would deal with attacks myself. 17 I mean, I carry with me Ventolin always. That one time it 18 was so bad I said, you know, I think I'm in a very bad 19 situation, and I went to see Dr. Eitches in February 2016. 20 They immediately referred me to ER, and put on me a big 21 mask, and oxygen mask, you know, for the first hour and a 22 half until they stabilize you. And then, you know, all 23 the treatments. I was four or five days in the hospital. 24 JUDGE JOHNSON: And sorry. What month or days 25 was that?

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 MR. BENBENIST: This was mid-February of 2016. 2 JUDGE JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you. 3 MR. BENBENIST: And the robbery took place, unfortunately, the third week in January of '16. 4 5 JUDGE JOHNSON: Okay. And just a final question. I understand the explanations for the difficulties or 6 7 delay in getting the K-1s to the tax preparer. Was the 8 K-1s still received in normal time in early 2016? Is 9 there any indication that it was received? 10 MR. BENBENIST: I believe so. I mean, the K-1s 11 were from the management companies in which I make 12 investments. So, basically, they prepare the K-1s most of 13 them are sensitive. I mean, it was coming to my office, 14 and it was piling up. I - I did not realize it. 15 JUDGE JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you. 16 JUDGE TAY: Mr. Benbenist or Mr. Mallos, are you 17 now also going to examine the two witnesses you've brought 18 today? Do they have any prepared statements or are you 19 going to ask them any questions? 20 I'm asking from you, Mr. Benbenist? 21 22 WITNESS TESTIMONY 23 MR. COLARUOTOLO: Yeah. I was -- I was hired as 24 the sales manager in 2012. 25 JUDGE TAY: Hold on. I'm sorry to interrupt.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

THE STENOGRAPHER: Can you bring your microphone
 closer to you?

3 MR. COLARUOTOLO: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. First
4 time.

5 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. And could you 6 please repeat your name?

7 MR. COLARUOTOLO: Yeah. My name is Damiano Colaruotolo, D-a-m-i-a-n-o. The last name is 8 9 C-o-l-a-r-u-o-t-o-l-o. I was hired in 2012, February, as 10 a sales manager for Bentex. And when I first started with 11 Moris and I, he was like a tiger in -- in -- in the 12 business. I mean, it was -- we're a pretty good crew. 13 We're working very hard. We had huge inventories. We 14 were moving those inventories down.

15 And then as I noticed by 2014 going into 2015, it was -- he was -- he was changing. We would go into 16 17 meetings with customers, and he would have these cough attacks, and he would have to excuse himself. And I would 18 19 have to run the meetings by myself. He would call me at 20 6:00 o'clock in the morning coughing. Because, you know, 21 he would call me at home, or he would text me and I would 22 call him right away.

And, again, as he said, I would find him in the parking structure just zoned out sleeping. And I didn't want to disturb him because I knew having a child who had

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 asthma growing up, the medications that you do take -2 again, I'm not a doctor, but I've been around it
3 personally. I know what albuterol does. I know what
4 steroids do.

5 I, again, with my own son going through it, I 6 know what it does. It -- it affects you. It affects your 7 mind. It affects your thinking. There are days where my 8 son couldn't go to school for two or three days because 9 the cough was so bad that he had to miss two or three days 10 of school.

11 So I do know what Moris is going through. And 12 we, you know, again, we would lose orders where I would 13 tell him, "Moris, hey, so and so has an order. What do 14 you want to do? There's a price."

He's like, "Okay. I'll talk to -- we'll talk about it later. We'll talk about it later." You know, because he was completely zoned out. We would lose those orders. We had clients who were just really upset with us because of that situation. And it was going on in 2015, 2016. Then it got even worse. Then we had the robbery. Again, it was an inside job with one of our employees.

And it just -- it was like a mountain. It just kept rolling and getting bigger and bigger. The other thing too that it was affecting was even our invoicing. There would be -- there would be stacks of invoices

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

sitting on his desk waiting to be invoiced, and we -- I
 would have customers approved.

3 They were approved through the factories, you know, that they were shipped and ready to go. And I'd 4 5 have customers call me two or three weeks later and say, "Hey, Damiano, I haven't received those invoices," because 6 7 they're telling me it's those invoices because there's a 8 certain amount of time to pay those invoices, and they 9 would just be sitting there for -- for weeks at a time not 10 ready to be going out.

11 And I would talk to our own controllers, and, you 12 know. So they leaned on me to make sure that I would have the -- Moris, we have to send these out. They have to go 13 14 out. So, again, this was -- again, and him biking was true because, again, besides calling me about business, I 15 16 would get phone calls or text messages at 6:30 in the 17 morning. I'd call him back. "Oh, Damiano, I'm in the 18 Santa Monica mountains. It's gorgeous. It's beautiful. 19 He was telling me where he was when I first started.

Again, he was a tiger in this business. And -and it was good because I was aggressive that way too, so we had a great thing going. And, again, 2013, 2014, going into 2016, that's when I started noticing what was going on. And it wasn't right. And I knew the medication, again, he was taking. I know it has effects on -- on your

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 minds and thinking.

2 So I mean, if there's any questions, I'm --3 feel -- free to answer. JUDGE TAY: Thank you. 4 Franchise Tax Board, any questions? 5 6 MR. SMITH: Just briefly. Between January and 7 April of 2016, do you have any recollection of 8 Mr. Benbenist's attendance at work or availability via 9 phone? 10 MR. COLARUOTOLO: Again, yeah. I mean, again, sometimes he would show up for work. And there were times 11 absolutely yes, he would -- I would text him. I would 12 call him, and he wouldn't answer my phone. 13 14 MR. SMITH: Thank you. 15 JUDGE TAY: Panelist? Judge Margolis? 16 JUDGE MARGOLIS: No. 17 JUDGE TAY: Judge Johnson? 18 JUDGE JOHNSON: No. 19 JUDGE TAY: Does your last witness have any statement or any -- do you have any questions for him? 20 21 MR. PALETZ: I can make a statement. 22 JUDGE TAY: I'm sorry. Before you make your 23 statement, will you please state name one more time for the record. 24 25 MR. PALETZ: Clive Pellets. Is it on?

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

JUDGE TAY: Yes.

3

1

2

WITNESS STATEMENT

MR. PALETZ: As a customer of Bentex, I would --4 I think we started doing business in 2011, 2010, 2011, 5 somewhere around there. We would send in orders and I 6 7 would get responses pretty quickly. We had a business 8 with Bentex through the years, and by the time we got to 9 the middle or end of 2015, I wouldn't get responses. Ι 10 would put in request for information regarding orders, and 11 there would be no response at all.

And it would lead to a situation where I was losing business because I wasn't getting a response, and I wasn't responding to my customers. The customers that I would supply, I would supply major distributors like Forever 21, Urban Outfitters, et cetera. And I ended up losing a lot of business due to the inconsistency of not getting any response from Mr. Benbenist and Bentex itself.

In 2016 or late 2015, early 2016, I actually started having to go to other suppliers, which caused major problems for myself due to the fact that the quality of the goods was not the same, and I had to readdress how to deal with it. It got to a point where I actually had to start making the goods myself. He would bring in gray goods that he would use and convert them into finished

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 good.

And it got to the point that I couldn't trust other people's goods, so I was having to buy yarn and actually manufacture the fabric myself, and then produce for the clients that I had. So due to the fact that I lost a lot of business, I had to reduce what I did with him because of nonresponse over the extended period.

8 I can vouch for the fact that if I ever did get 9 ahold of Mr. Benbenist he was coughing and hacking like 10 nothing I've ever heard before. There were times when I 11 would think he actually may need to be in a hospital. He should not have been home. It got to the point where 12 13 sometimes I'm like, you need to just go to a hospital 14 because he couldn't even respond. The coughing was so bad. And it caused a rift in our business situation. And 15 16 when I found out what was really going on, I realized he was very ill. 17

18 And if there's any questions, I'm more than19 willing to answer them.

20 JUDGE TAY: Thank you.

21 Franchise Tax Board, any questions?

22 MR. SMITH: No.

23 JUDGE TAY: I'll turn to my panel. Judge 24 Margolis?

25 JUDGE MARGOLIS: No.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 JUDGE TAY: Okay. Judge Johnson? 2 JUDGE JOHNSON: No. JUDE TAY: Okay. Appellant, I think that 3 concludes your presentation; is that correct? 4 5 MR. BENBENIST: Yes, sir. JUDGE TAY: Okay. You'll have five minutes of 6 7 rebuttal after Franchise Tax Board. 8 Franchise Tax Board, I'll give you an opportunity 9 to make your presentation. You have 10 minutes whenever 10 you're ready. MR. SMITH: Okay. Thanks. I think I can keep it 11 12 under 10 minutes, though I will say a large portion of 13 that argument is entirely new information. So I will be 14 addressing stuff that I did not account for when I told you that I would keep my argument to 10 minutes. 15 JUDGE TAY: That'll be fine. 16 17 18 OPENING STATEMENT 19 MR. SMITH: As has been stated, there are three 20 issues for your office to decide. First, is whether 21 reasonable cause exist or abate the late payment penalty. 22 Second, is whether Appellant is entitled to abatement of 23 the estimated tax penalty. I'll refer to that as the estimate penalty. And third, is whether Appellant is 24 entitled to abatement of interest. All three of these 25

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

issues have their own standards for abatement, which is
 why we need to address them separately.

3 In this matter Appellant has not met his burden to establish that these matters should be abated in his 4 5 favor. I will address each issue separately, starting with the late payment penalty. To be clear, this late 6 7 payment penalty was calculated based on Appellant's 8 self-reported income. There was no audit conducted for 9 this tax year. So I'm not sure what the illusions to 10 communication with FTB personnel were regarding this 11 balance. This was a self-reported tax.

12 To abate this penalty, Appellant must show that the failure to timely pay was due to reasonable cause, not 13 14 willful neglect. Appellant must show that his failure to timely pay occurred despite the exercise of ordinary 15 16 business care and prudence. A review of Appellant's 17 federal 2015 tax year does indicate that the late penalty 18 was abated under their first-time abatement program. As 19 stated, the FTB does not have authority to abate this 20 penalty under those circumstances.

And the time period at issue to consider for the late payment penalty is January 2016 to April 2016, which is when the tax for the 2015 tax year was due. It's an important timeline or time frame to keep in mind as we consider abatement of this penalty. Here Appellant argues

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

his medical condition prevented him from timely paying his
 tax.

Respondent does not dispute the nature of Appellant's medical condition or suggest that he did not have any issues medically. This is not what this is about. However, a taxpayer's medical condition may establish reasonable cause if the taxpayer demonstrates said condition rendered him incapable of exercising ordinary business care and prudence.

10 In Taylor v. Commissioner, which is a 2009 U.S. Tax Court Case 97 TCM 1109 is the citation. 11 That case 12 is -- appears similar to this one here. There, the 13 taxpayer was a professional singer who suffered a heart 14 attack in December of 2001. 2001 was one of the tax years at issue. The taxpayer was hospitalized for nine days and 15 16 did not return to work as a singer until February 2002. 17 Despite the hospitalization and recovery, the United 18 States Tax Court held the taxpayer did not establish 19 reasonable cause to abate the late payment penalty for the 20 2001 tax year because she did not establish that she was 21 incapable of exercising ordinary business care and 22 prudence.

JUDGE MARGOLIS: Is that case in your briefs? If not, can you restate the -- can you give me the cite again?

1 MR. SMITH: Right. I don't have every piece of 2 the brief memorized. It's Taylor v. Commissioner 2009 97 3 TCM 1109.

Here, Appellant has provided a letter from a 4 treating physician indicating Appellant experienced 5 6 medical difficulties during the relevant time period. Не 7 also provided medication lists and discharge instructions 8 from his February 2016 hospitalization. In addition, 9 today he has gone into further detail regarding treatment 10 that was taken before and after the relevant time period. As stated, Respondent does not dispute that Appellant had 11 12 health issues.

13 However, Appellant's documentation does not show 14 that he was incapable of exercising ordinary business care and prudence. Appellant's argument establishes that he 15 was -- he was present at the office on occasion. He was 16 17 available via phone during this time period. He -- and he 18 did work in 2016 as evidenced by the 2016 tax return that 19 he filed, which indicated he earned the same amount of income from the corporation that he did in 2015. 20

In addition, Appellant made an estimated payment for the 2015 tax year on February 15, 2016. Admittedly, that's a couple days before the February hospitalization, but that estimate payment was made. As it relates to these arguments that he was addressing other issues in his

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

life, there are a number of cases, Triple Crown Baseball
 LLC, an OTA case, being one of them. That stands for
 sacrificing tax obligation to attend to other matters is
 not reasonable cause.

5 In this case, the exhibit provided today, Exhibit 10, shows that February 1st, 2016, the Appellant was the 6 7 one who reported the -- the burglary and vandalism. And 8 then as you look further into this document, there is an 9 e-mail from the insurance company dated March 22, 2016, 10 where the insurance company addresses an e-mail to the 11 taxpayer starting off with, "Thank you for taking the time 12 to discuss your claim with me." So he was addressing this 13 matter in March of 2016.

14 There's also another e-mail attached, April 22nd, 2016, that is from a CPA in New Jersey that 15 16 also notes that they were in contact with the taxpayer 17 regarding the warehouse robbery. So in addition to the 18 medical condition not rising to the level of reasonable 19 cause, given evidence of the Appellant's work at the corporation, the -- these other evidence, pieces of 20 information, show that he was able to attend to other 21 22 matters while he was not attending to tax matters.

Now, the third element which, again, was brought up today is a reference to an inability to pay argument, it seems. Again, this the first we've heard of this. The

Exhibit H, page 2, is -- this was not part of our brief.
This is an exhibit, but it gives a rundown of what an
inability to pay -- what a taxpayer has to show for that
to be considered reasonable cause.

5 It's that the taxpayer needs to show that he was 6 unable to pay the tax or would suffer an undue hardship if 7 paid on the due date. An undue hardship is defined as 8 more than an inconvenience to the taxpayer. It must 9 appear that substantial financial loss, such as loss to 10 the a -- or really to a sale of property will result to 11 the taxpayer. So there's nothing in the record to suggest 12 that the financial inability to pay exists.

13 So with all that being said, the evidence shows 14 Appellant was capable of exercising ordinary business care 15 and prudence between January and April of 2016. Meaning 16 Appellant has not established reasonable cause to abate 17 the late payment penalty.

The second issue is the abatement of the estimate 18 19 penalty. California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 20 19136, a certain modification is not relevant to today's 21 appeal, conforms to Internal Revenue Code Section 6654, 22 which imposes an addition to tax if the taxpayer fails to 23 make timely estimated tax payments. The standard to abate the estimated penalty is not a reasonable cause standard. 24 25 IRC Section 6654 provides for abatement of the

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

estimated penalty if it's determined that by reason of casualty, disaster, or other unusual circumstances, the imposition of a penalty would be against equity in good conscious. Appellant has not made any argument to support abatement of the estimate penalty.

The third and final issue concerns abatement of 6 7 Respondent's imposition of interest is interest. 8 mandatory, and Respondent is not allowed to abate interest 9 except where authorized by law. Revenue and Taxation Code 10 Section 19104 provides for abatement if there's been an 11 unreasonable error or delay in the performance of a 12 ministerial or managerial act by one of Respondent's 13 employees.

Appellant has made no argument and there's nothing in the record to suggest abatement of interest under Section 19104 is appropriate in this matter. As shown in the facts and evidence in the record, Respondent requests you sustain this position.

I can answer any questions you may have.
 JUDGE TAY: Thank you.

21 Panelist, any questions? Judge Margolis? 22 JUDGE MARGOLIS: I just, you know, I guess here 23 the evidence shows that he was, you know, I don't know if 24 he was capable of taking care of his business affairs in 25 an ordinary businesslike fashion. But, certainly, it

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 seems to show that he was not taking care of those affairs in an ordinary business fashion. Maybe you would like to 2 3 speak to what the standard applies, you know, here where you have some evidence that he was not -- that, you know, 4 he was not taking care of his business. Does that show 5 that he wasn't able to take care of his affairs in a 6 7 businesslike fashion? I mean, we have this evidence here 8 today about how, you know, his health problem was 9 affecting his business. Invoices were not being paid, things like that. You know, is that sufficient for 10 11 purposes of the reasonable cause standard?

12 MR. SMITH: Well, if considered in a vacuum, perhaps. However, Exhibit 10 indicates that Appellant was 13 14 very involved in the -- the crime that was committed at the warehouse during this relevant time period, which 15 speaks to the -- the standard that if you're attending to 16 17 other matters, that's not reasonable cause for not 18 attending to tax matters, which is what we're concerned 19 with as it relates to his ability to not attend to 20 business matters that's not necessarily relevant to the 21 consideration of attending to the tax matters.

JUDGE MARGOLIS: That's my only questions.Thanks.

24JUDGE TAY: Judge Johnson, any questions?25JUDGE JOHNSON: No.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 JUDGE TAY: Okay. That concludes Franchise Tax 2 Board's presentation. 3 Appellant, you have five minutes to rebut. MR. BENBENIST: Thank you. 4 5 6 REBUTTAL STATEMENT 7 MR. BENBENIST: After hearing what happened to the gentleman with the heart attack the senior, and he 8 9 lost the case, I realize that the Franchise Tax Board has 10 no heart. In order for a case like mine to be -- to go 11 through, I need to produce a death certificate. I should 12 be in my -- in my grave to be accepted that I was not 13 capable. 14 I said earlier on that I was not comatose. Т 15 was -- I was alive. And the robbery was a present case, 16 and I was getting phone calls by detectives. And it was a big case for City of L.A. and Vernon. It affected 17 18 Vernon's business community very badly. And I never said 19 I did not -- I was totally disconnected from life. I was 20 totally afloat but, you know, I could not -- I was not 21 comatose. 22 And in my opinion -- in my view, the whole 23 situation with the abatements of the penalties and the tax and everything goes in the same package. So I did not 24 25 make an attempt to say, "Okay. I accept the penalty, but

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

I'm not accepting the interest." You know, they're all in
 the same package.

3 So I was not well. I was not capable to attend to my day-to-day business, and I was not even aware that I 4 5 received the K-1s. The K-1s keep coming and coming and 6 coming. And for someone like me not to pay a phone bill 7 or not to pay a water bill is not acceptable. It's not 8 considerable, but this happened to me. And, 9 unfortunately, I do not -- I don't have those facts, but 10 I'm just saying what I went through in the whole year of 2016. 11

12 Not answering an e-mail -- yes, I did answer the e-mails, you know. I mean, half a million dollars of 13 14 violent robbery by gangs, it's -- it's, you know, you have to ask it. When the detective calls you to the Vernon 15 16 Police Station, you have to go. That's all I can say. But this was not my day-to-day life. My day-to-day life 17 18 was to attend my business, to answer inquiries, and run my 19 business. This I cannot do.

20 JUDGE TAY: Okay. Thank you. That concludes
21 Appellant's rebuttal; is that correct?

22 MR. PALETZ: Can I state something?

23 JUDGE TAY: Sure.

24 MR. PALETZ: With regards to the robbery, we were 25 made aware of this in the whole community of Vernon, City

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

of Industry. And I can vouch for Mr. Benbenist that he wasn't exactly where he needed to be with the way he related to business because I had some product in one of the warehouses where it was stolen. And it only became aware to him this past year that my goods were actually also stolen as well.

7 So he was not really completely aware of everything that was going on. And, you know, for them to 8 9 say that he attended to everything, is not the way it 10 really looks. He didn't attend to everything the way 11 exactly he should have. Because if he was aware, he would 12 have known what was going on. He would have been aware 13 exactly at the time when the goods were stolen of mine as 14 well, because he was not completely in his self-mind. 15 JUDGE TAY: Okay. Thank you.

16 That concludes Appellant's rebuttal. I'm going 17 to ask the panelist if they have any final questions. 18 Judge Margolis?

19 JUDGE MARGOLIS: No.

20 JUDGE TAY: Judge Johnson?

21 JUDGE JOHNSON: No.

JUDGE TAY: Okay. Thank you everyone for your presentations. The record in this appeal is now closed and the appeal will be submitted for decision. We will endeavor send you our written decision no later than 100

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 days from today.

2	This hearing	is now ad	journed.	Thank y	ou again.
3	(Proceedings	adjourned	at 11:30	a.m.)	
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for
4	the State of California, do hereby certify:
5	That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was
6	taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the
7	testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically
8	by me and later transcribed by computer-aided
9	transcription under my direction and supervision, that the
10	foregoing is a true record of the testimony and
11	proceedings taken at that time.
12	I further certify that I am in no way interested
13	in the outcome of said action.
14	I have hereunto subscribed my name this 8th day
15	of January, 2020.
16	
17	
18	
19	ERNALYN M. ALONZO
20	HEARING REPORTER
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	