BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,) BARK EM SUPPLIES, LLC,) OTA NO. 19064942 APPELLANT.)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Cerritos, California

Thursday, December 17, 2020

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)
6	BARK EM SUPPLIES, LLC,) OTA NO. 19064942
7	APPELLANT.)
8)
9	/
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Proceedings, taken at
15	12900 Park Plaza Dr., Suite 300, Cerritos,
16	California, 91401, commencing at 2:06 p.m.
17	and concluding at 3:07 p.m., on Thursday,
18	December 17, 2020, reported by
19	Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and
20	for the State of California.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:			
2				
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ MICHAEL GEARY		
4	Panel Members:	ALJ TERESA STANLEY		
5	Taller Hembers.	ALJ JOHN JOHNSON		
6	For the Appellant:	JOHN FOUCHER		
7	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	for the hoppingent.	DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION		
9 10		MARIFLOR JIMENEZ		
10		JASON PARKER CHRISTOPHER BROOKS		
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18 19				
20 21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1		<u>i</u> N	IDEX		
2					
3		<u>e x h</u>	IBITS		
4					
5	(Appellant's Exhib	its 1-3 we	ere receiv	ved at page	10.)
6	(Department's Exhi	bits A-E v	were recei	lved at page	8.)
7					
8		OPENING	G STATEMEN	<u> T</u>	
9				∩	
10	Der Mer Bauchau	PAGE			
11	By Mr. Foucher	11			
12	APPELLANT'S				
13	WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
14	Zena Carlson	12			
15					
16		PRES	SENTATION		
17			PAC	<u>GE</u>	
18	By Mr. Foucher		2	6	
19	By Ms. Jimenez		32	2	
20					
21		CLOSIN	G STATEME	NT	
22			PAC	<u>GE</u>	
23	By Mr. Foucher		42	2	
24					
25					

Cerritos, California; Thursday, December 17, 2020 1 2:06 p.m. 2 3 JUDGE GEARY: Good afternoon again everyone. My 4 name is Michael Geary. I'm the lead Administrative Law 5 Judge in this appeal, and we're here today to take 6 7 evidence and hear argument in the appeal of Bark Em Pet 8 Supplies, Limited. Office of Tax Appeals Case 19064942. 9 Today is December 17th, 2020, and the time is 2:05 --10 2:06 p.m. 11 The issues in this case will be decided by a 12 panel of three judges. And I'm joined by my colleagues, 13 Judges Teresa Stanley and John Johnson. While I am lead, 14 each of us will be coequal participants in the 15 deliberations, and the issues will be decided by majority 16 vote. 17 Let's get the appearances for the record 18 beginning with the Appellant. 19 MR. FOUCHER: John Foucher on behalf of Zena 20 Carlson and Bark Em Pet Supplies. 21 JUDGE GEARY: And the Respondent. 22 MS. CARLSON: Zena Carlson with Bark Em Pet 23 Supplies, LLC. 24 JUDGE GEARY: That was the Appellant identifying herself. Thank you. 25

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1

Go ahead for Respondent.

MS. JIMENEZ: Good afternoon, Mr. Geary. This is
Mariflor Jimenez representing the CDTFA.

4 MR. PARKER: This is Jason Parker, Chief of 5 Operations Headquarters Bureau.

6 MR. BROOKS: And this is Christopher Brooks, tax 7 counsel for CDTFA.

8 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you everybody. This 9 Judge Geary speaking again. This is an appeal from a 10 decision issued by Respondent, California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, denying in part Appellant's 11 12 petition for redetermination of an April 5th, 2018, Notice 13 of Determination by audit of additional tax due of 14 \$31,908.09, plus accrued interest, for the period July 1st, 2012, through June 30, 2015. I say denied in 15 16 part because Respondent agreed earlier in the appeal to 17 delete a negligence penalty. So that is no longer 18 contested in this appeal.

19 The issue for hearing is whether Appellant is 20 entitled to a reduction of the measure of underreported 21 taxable sales determined by Respondent in its audit.

Before we discuss the evidence, I want to remind the parties that OTA is an independent agency completely separate and apart from the tax agencies that appear before it. So arguments and evidence that may have been

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 filed with the agency do not always make it into OTA's 2 files. Our decision will be based upon the evidence 3 presented in this hearing.

Appellant has disclosed its intent to call two 4 witnesses, Ms. Zena Carlson who is participating in this 5 6 proceeding by telephone. And also there was an accountant 7 identified, a David Mitchell.

8 Mr. Foucher, is Mr. Mitchell still planning to 9 testify?

10 MR. FOUCHER: No, Your Honor. We are not able to 11 reach him.

12 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Thank you. So it will just be Ms. Carlson, I take it, who will testify today? 13 14 MR. FOUCHER: That's correct.

15 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you.

16 Appellant has offered exhibits as proposed evidence, and they have been marked for identification as 17 18 1 through 3. Respondent has indicated that it does not 19 plan to call any witnesses, but it has also offered 20 exhibits that have been marked for identification A 21 through E. My office, Office of Tax appeals, has 22 incorporated Appellant's witness disclosure and all 23 offered evidence into a digital binder, which my Co-Panelists and the parties should have. 24 25

And I will address Mr. Foucher first.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 Mr. Foucher, did you receive the binder? 2 MR. FOUCHER: I received it yesterday, Your 3 Honor. JUDGE GEARY: And have you reviewed it to ensure 4 that it contains all of your proposed evidence? 5 6 MR. FOUCHER: It does contain all my proposed 7 evidence, yes. 8 JUDGE GEARY: Does the Appellant have any 9 additional physical evidence that it wants to offer? 10 MR. FOUCHER: No, Your Honor. 11 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. Have you reviewed 12 Respondent's proposed evidence? 13 MR. FOUCHER: I have not. I believe it's no 14 different from what's been presented previously, and I'm okay with all that. 15 16 JUDGE GEARY: All right. And this is Judge Geary again. The Respondent has indicated that its evidence is 17 18 what has already been produced earlier in this appeal. 19 I'm going to ask you whether you have any objection to the admission of Respondent's proposed evidence, Mr. Foucher. 20 21 MR. FOUCHER: I do not. 22 JUDGE GEARY: All right. The Respondent's 23 evidence Exhibits A through E are admitted. (Department's Exhibits A-E were received in 24 25 evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 Does -- pardon me. Ms. Jimenez, does Respondent still plan to call no witnesses? 2 3 MS. JIMENEZ: Mr. Geary, this is Mariflor Jimenez. That's correct. We will not have any witnesses 4 5 today. JUDGE GEARY: This is Judge Geary again. And 6 7 have you reviewed the hearing binder to ensure it contains 8 all of Respondent's proposed evidence? 9 MS. JIMENEZ: We did, and we have all the 10 complete evidence in there. 11 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Thank you. And this is 12 Judge Geary again. Does Respondent have any additional physical evidence that it want to offer? 13 14 MS. JIMENEZ: Mr. Geary, this is Mariflor 15 Jimenez. We don't have additional exhibits. 16 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. Judge Geary here. 17 And does the Respondent -- has the Respondent 18 reviewed all of Appellant's proposed evidence, 19 Ms. Jimenez? 20 MS. JIMENEZ: We have. 21 JUDGE GEARY: Ask does Respondent have any 22 objections to Appellant's proposed evidence 1 through 3? 23 MS. JIMENEZ: Mr. Geary, we have no objections to Appellant's exhibits. 24 25 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. Judge Geary here.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

Appellant's proposed evidence is admitted,
 Exhibits 1 through 3.

3 (Appellant's Exhibits 1-3 were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 4 Mr. Foucher, because you plan to call a live 5 6 witness, I will allow you to give an opportunity to give a 7 very brief opening statement for the sole purpose of providing a brief outline of what you expect Ms. Carlson's 8 9 testimony to be. You're not required to do that. If you want to, I will give you that opportunity. Do you want 10 11 to? 12 MS. CARLSON: Yes. 13 MR. FOUCHER: This is John Foucher and, yes, I 14 would like to give a very short opening statement. JUDGE GEARY: All right. And after you give your 15 16 opening statement let me know when you're through. I will 17 administrate an oath or affirmation to Ms. Carlson before 18 she actually gives her testimony. I don't administrate 19 oaths or affirmation, obviously, to you or to the 20 Department's representatives because you are not here to 21 testify to any facts. 22 Can you tell me, Mr. Foucher, do you plan to

examine Ms. Carlson in a question and answer format, or do you plan to ask her to just testify in a narrative form? MR. FOUCHER: I'm going to be asking her

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 questions in a question and answer format, Your Honor. 2 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. All right. You may proceed 3 with a very brief opening statement. MR. FOUCHER: So yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 4 5 6 OPENING STATEMENT 7 MR. FOUCHER: What we hope to establish here is that Ms. Carlson had a process in place at Bark Em Pet 8 9 Supplies, LLC, that would catch everything, all products 10 being sold and to collect sales tax on it; that the markup 11 system that was used by the CDTFA is misstating what --12 how much she sold in product. And that the -- and that it 13 does not take into account a lot of other cost that would 14 go into cost of goods sold to affect the markup, including shipping and handling and items like that. And so 15 16 that's -- that's what we hope to show in here, and that's 17 my opening statement. 18 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Mr. Foucher. 19 Ms. Carlson, can you hear these proceedings okay? 20 MS. CARLSON: Yes, sir. 21 JUDGE GEARY: Good. And we can hear you fine so 22 far. I'm going to ask you to please raise your right hand 23 and tell me when it's raised, since I can't see you. 2.4 MS. CARLSON: It's raised. 25 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1		ZENA CARLSON,
2	produced	as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
3	the Admir	nistrative Law Judge, was examined and testified
4	as follow	vs:
5		
6		JUDGE GEARY: Thank you.
7		Mr. Foucher, you may begin your examination of
8	the witne	ess.
9		MR. FOUCHER: Thank you, Your Honor.
10		
11		DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. FO	DUCHER:
13	Q	Ms. Carlson, when did you start Bark Em Pet
14	Supplies	
15	A	2010.
16	Q	Okay. And where did you start it?
17	A	In Camarillo.
18	Q	Okay. And what were you selling at first?
19	A	Product only.
20	Q	By product, what would that be?
21	A	Pet food, pet supplies, miscellaneous. Pretty
22	much all	pet supplies, yeah.
23	Q	Okay. Thank you. And did you ever start to sell
24	anything	other than pet supplies?
25	A	We opened up grooming and training at first, and

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

then we moved onto boarding, daycare, V.I.P. service,
 teeth cleaning.

3 Thank you. And -- I'm sorry. I'm getting Ο off-track already. And during the period of this audit, 4 5 2013 to 2015, how many stores did you operate? We opened -- we started off with one store, and 6 А 7 then in 2013 we opened up a location in Oxnard, 8 California, so two. But we also closed it a year later, 9 something close to that. 10 Okay. And about how much of your sales was in Ο 11 services during the 2013 to 2015 period? 12 А More service than product, I can say that. 13 And how do you know that? 0 14 Well, the way we did it was -- the easiest way Α for us is when we printed -- when we closed our register 15 16 out at the end of the day, whoever closed that night would 17 pull the daily report -- register report, and they would 18 pull tax money for product that was sold that day and put 19 it into a separate bank bag. And then I would get that 20 and process deposits and pull the tax money, separate it. 21 And then when the quarter -- the quarter would end, I 22 would go onto BOE online website and process the quarter 23 taxes. 2.4

Q Okay. So you did this on a quarterly basis?
A Correct.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 Q Is that correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Did ever change?

It changed once we received an audit. The gal 4 А 5 that I was working with, the first person, I don't recall her name. But she told me that it wasn't wrong the way I 6 7 was doing it, but I really should report every quarter 8 product sales plus service sales. So we changed it at 9 that point, but to every year every quarter we always did 10 it the easiest way we knew how. 11 Okay. Thank you. And were you actually 0 12 physically in the store yourself? 13 No. I worked for a computer parts warehouse in А 14 Camarillo from 2003 to 2016 full time. 15 So who was pulling these receipts? 0 16 It would be the staff -- the register operates. А 17 Okay. And so can we take a look at Exhibit 3? Ο 18 That's on page 7 of your binder. 19 MR. FOUCHER: Your Honor, I'm having trouble 20 getting to it myself. There you go. Okay. BY MR. FOUCHER: 21 And page 7, do you recognize this? 22 Q 23 А The register receipt? 2.4 Q Yes. 25 Yes. А

1 Q Okay. And what -- can you tell me what this 2 represents?

A This represents the daily sales for 3/13. That's the day. And then boarding, cat food, dog food, grooming, dog miscellaneous, bath, daycare, and nails are separated by department.

7 Q Okay.

8 A So on that day grooming was \$827 by itself.

9 Q Okay. And so that would be a service; right?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Okay. And so it looks like we've got \$1,356.29 12 total sold that day; is that correct?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q Okay. And we show tax \$8.34. How was that 15 arrived at?

16 A That was calculated at for at 7.25 percent for 17 all product; cat food, dog food, dog miscellaneous on that 18 ticket.

19 Q Okay. And is that automatically done by the 20 register?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Okay. Okay. And then we've gone an entry for 23 void, negative \$58. What is that?

A Sometimes things are voided if people don't have -- if their credit card doesn't go through or if it

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

was put in the wrong department or anything like that
 pretty much.

Okay. And then I think some of the items below 3 0 that are pretty self-explanatory; how much cash, how much 4 5 came in by checks, how much came in by charge card. 6 А Correct. 7 At the bottom it says, "CID, \$298.27." What is 0 8 that? 9 А That's the balance in the cash drawer because 10 there was a payout for \$56.43. So after that was taken 11 out, the total amount in the register was \$298.27. 12 Okay. And why was \$56.43 taken out and paid? Q 13 It could have been for a cash service. People А 14 come and clean our windows or, you know, stuff like that, or lunch for the employees. It was a payout. It was a 15 16 payable. 17 Okay. And then at the bottom, "GT". I assume Ο that means "Grand Total", \$245,000 --18 19 А Yeah. 20 -- and change? Q Yeah. Yes. 21 А 22 And what is that? 0 23 А Well, that's the gross total of all sales up to 3/13. But a lot of times you can't really bank on that 24 because if there's a mistake it can't be corrected. It's 25

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 just that's the daily take for that day. And if it's corrected, it goes on to a different day if there was an 2 3 issue. Sometimes Craig, my husband, would run the register, and his big fingers would hit the wrong keys and 4 5 we would have a sale in there that was just outrageous. 6 So I would have to correct it the next day with a 7 copy of the correction. And all that would be put into 8 the registers for back up. 9 Okay. And so that's the grand total of sales for 0 10 all year; is that correct? 11 А Yes. For that grand total, yes. 12 Starting January 1st going to March 13th? Q 13 13, yes. Correct. Yeah. А 14 Okay. Good. Thank you. All right. Let's see. 0 Okay. And so with this tape it shows a certain amount of 15 16 sales tax. What would have happened on that amount of 17 sales tax for that day? 18 That there would be a receipt -- an extra receipt А 19 printed to go along with the taxes that were due for that 20 day. And they would pull \$8.34 out of that register, and 21 that would all be put in one separate bag for taxes only. 22 Q Okay. 23 А And that would be given to Craig, and Craig would bring it home. And I would, you know, do my accounting in 24 25 the evenings.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

Q Okay. And we refer to Craig a lot. Can you tell
 us who Craig is?

3 A Craig is my husband. He's the one that ran the4 store 100 percent.

5 Q All right. Thank you. And do you see any way 6 that you would have missed any items that you owed sales 7 tax on?

8 You know, we have to bank on our staff to ring А 9 things up correctly. That's pretty much the way it's --10 I mean, there's no way I'd know, you know. So we did have cameras over the register. And, you know, so we know, you 11 12 know, the product that they -- if -- if there was an 13 issue, we'd be able to see if there was something rung up 14 wrong or, you know. But there was no flag. There was no way that I would know. 15

Q Okay. Thank you. Now let's -- and so you're familiar with how the CDTFA did its -- its audit on your store; correct?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Yeah. Okay. And they included a markup on the 21 product. Do you have any issues with that markup?

A Yes, I do. Because a lot of times, like the Green Tripe invoice, the product was calculated for the markup but none of the packing fees or the freight. And that vendor is one vendor that charges a lot because they

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 shipped us these frozen tubes of Green Tripe, and it's 2 heavy. They are frozen foods. So they charged, you know. They have to in order to -- for it to make sense for them. 3 So we do pay a lot on freight and packing fees. 4 So I 5 don't feel that's been counted into the markup figures. Okay. Well, let's go to -- let's see. 6 It's 0 7 Exhibit 1 or Exhibit A, page 5, in the binder. 8 I'm there, if you're there. А 9 Okay. Good. So and -- so can you tell us what 0 this is? 10 11 А This is the Green Tripe invoice. 12 Q Okay. 13 Is that what you're looking at? А 14 That's what we're looking at, yeah. 0 15 А Okay. 16 So you would get a shipment of Green Tripe, and 0 so what would you have to -- or for the shipment, it looks 17 like you would have to pay \$413.91; correct? 18 19 Α Correct. 20 0 Okay. Was that the figure that the CDTFA used 21 for its markup? 22 Α You know, I'm not sure because they just figured 23 a percentage based on the sale of the price of the items. So we're looking at the Green Tripe, and then we're 24 25 looking at the Excalibur.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

2 A It should be on there. Yeah.	
3 Q Let's go to the next page, if we can.	
4 A Okay.	
5 Q Do you recognize this piece of paper?	
6 A I don't have that one in front of me. Which	one
7 is it?	
8 Q It's the next page. It's going to be page 6	in
9 the in that binder. I've got it marked as Exhibit A,	and
10 that OTA has marked it as Exhibit 2?	
11 A Okay. I don't have that binder up. I just w	ent
12 off my printed paper.	
13 Q Oh, okay.	
14 A Yeah. So if you could	
15 Q Soit's	
16 A pretty much remember them all.	
17 Q Yeah. I'll represent to you it's the shelf t	est.
18 A Okay.	
19 Q And it's a list of products, and there's some	of
20 your handwriting at the top and bottom of it?	
A Oh, yeah. I have that. Yeah.	
22 Q Okay. So do you see. I guess it's line 25.	We
23 have Green Tripe two-pound roll. Do you see that?	
A Yes, I see it.	
Q Okay. And so they're showing a markup there	of

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 399-and-a-half percent. Is that a correct markup for 2 Green Tripe two-pound roll? 3 А Well, I don't think so because they didn't calculate the freight and the packing fees in there. I 4 5 mean, I don't see it on here. 6 Okay. And we can see the freight and packing 0 7 fees on the page previous to that, the invoice we were 8 just talking about; correct? 9 А Correct. They're just calculating the \$7.99, but 10 it should be actually more than \$7.99. Okay. Thank you. 11 0 12 Α You're welcome. 13 And how representative is Green Tripe of the Q 14 shipping costs for product that you had? I'm sorry. What was that? 15 А 16 So how -- did other products have similar 0 shipping cost to Green Tripe? 17 18 А Yes. We had other products that charged for 19 pallets. They would charge for packaging, you know, for boxes. It just varied, you know. Some of them, if they 20 were big -- big venders, they didn't charge because they 21 22 could have -- you know, they had deep pockets. So --23 0 Okay. All right. I'm going move to -- talk about the income tax returns you filed for these years. 2.4 25 How did you calculate how much you owed on income tax?

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

A Well, what I did at the end of the day of the year is I would pull all the expenses, all the sales, and put them on an income statement and balance sheet. And I would send them over to our accountant, and they would calculate our taxes for us.

6 Q Okay. And so do you know what went into the cost 7 of goods sold on your --

8 A I don't. I don't. I questioned them. I have 9 e-mails that I've sent them. I've called them, and they 10 wouldn't respond to me of how they came up with the cost 11 of goods sold.

Q Okay. All right. Thank you. Do you have any idea how you could have missed 100 percent -- or how you could have missed 50 percent of the product that was being sold?

A There's no way, because money was pulled daily and it was put aside. And then when the quarter came, I went online and processed the taxes and recorded the sales for product only and paid that amount online. So I don't know how that could have happened if we went off the register receipt.

22 Q Okay. And what has happened to Bark Em Pet 23 Supplies?

A We closed the store 2019 in April. So we don't exist anymore. It just got really hard to manage it with

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 my husband and myself. So we decided to close the store.
2 Q Okay. And if the -- if this determination is
3 sustained, what will it do to your family?

A It would be a huge hardship. Craig and I are both on unemployment. That's the only income we have, and that is running out at the end of December. So we -- we really don't know, you know. We've been looking for work, but it's really been hard. So --

Q All right. Thank you.

10 A You're welcome.

9

11 0 I'm going to ask if there's anything that you 12 want you want to add to what we've discussed so far? 13 I just -- I just want to say that, you know, А No. 14 we did it the easiest way we knew how. And if numbers didn't look right or didn't get crunched in right at the 15 year, you know, I don't -- I don't know, you know. I'm 16 17 not an accountant. But I just know how I processed our 18 sales taxes each quarter on product.

I mean, we had service and we had product, and everything went through the register, and we ran receipts. And when they were printed out at the end of the day and collected the money for it, that's what was processed. If something was missed or if somebody rung something up wrong, there's really no way that I would know. So --Q All right. Good.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 And we were consistent with that until we got А 2 audited, and then we changed it. 3 Okay. All right. Thank you. 0 You're welcome. А 4 MR. FOUCHER: I have no further questions. 5 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Mr. Foucher. This is 6 7 Judge Geary again. 8 I'll turn to Respondent. Ms. Jimenez, do you 9 have any questions for this witness? MS. JIMENEZ: Mr. Geary, this is Mariflor 10 11 Jimenez. We have no questions for the witness. 12 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. This is Judge Geary 13 again. 14 Let me ask my Co-Panelists, beginning with Judge Johnson. Judge Johnson, do you have any questions 15 16 for the witness? 17 JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. No 18 questions. Thank you. 19 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. Judge Geary again. 20 I'll turn to Judge Stanley. Judge Stanley, do 21 you have any questions for the witness? 22 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. I don't 23 have any questions for Ms. Carlson. Thank you. 2.4 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. Judge Geary again. And 25 I have no question for the witnesses.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

Thank you. Ms. Carlson. For your testimony.
 And I take it, Mr. Foucher, that this concludes
 the testimony part of your case?
 MR. FOUCHER: That's correct, Your Honor.

5 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Let me just confirm 6 with the Department. The Department has no live witnesses 7 to offer; correct?

8 MS. JIMENEZ: Judge Geary, this is Mariflor
9 Jimenez. You are correct.

JUDGE GEARY: All right. Then the evidence has been presented, and we are now going to move to the argument phase. I think we discussed in a prehearing conference that the parties would be allowed approximately 15 minutes for their chief arguments. Because the substantial part of the burden in a case like this rest with the taxpayer.

I believe I indicated to Mr. Foucher that he would be allowed 15 minutes for his first argument, and the Department would be allowed -- Respondent would be allowed 15 minutes for its argument. And then I would allow Mr. Foucher to make a closing brief argument with approximately five minutes to conclude the argument phase.

I'll just mention to you, Mr. Foucher, that if you wanted to reserve a little time from your first closing and save it for a final closing, I'll leave that

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

up to you. And the final closing is entirely optional.
You're not required to give a final closing if you don't
want to. Mr. Foucher, are you ready to give your closing
argument?
MR. FOUCHER: I am.
JUDGE GEARY: In that case you may proceed.
MR. FOUCHER: Okay. Thank you.

9

8

PRESENTATION

10 This is John Foucher, again, on MR. FOUCHER: 11 behalf of Zena Carlson and Bark Em Pet Supplies. And I 12 wanted to first -- let's look at the Green Tripe receipt. And we see there's packaging and shipping there in an 13 14 amount of more than \$111 on a -- on sales of less than 15 \$300 or just over \$300. They came in a single shipment. 16 It makes sense to consider them together. And so when we 17 look at these, we can do calculations same way as the 18 CDTFA did to determine what's -- to determine the amount 19 of markup here.

20 So the shop paid \$92 for the Green Tripe and 21 \$211.60 for the Excalibur. We add these up, and the shop 22 is paying \$313.60 for the product alone. The Green Tripe 23 makes up 30.3 percent of that. The Excalibur makes up 24 69.7 percent. The actual cost of all this is \$413.91. 25 The portion of the Green Tripe of that is \$125.43, and the

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 Excalibur would come to \$288.48.

2	So if you include the cost of shipping and
3	freight in the price per pound for Green Tripe, we come up
4	to \$2.73. For Excalibur it's \$3.14. That means the cost
5	for each of these items is understated by 36.5 percent.
6	The cost for Excalibur should you know, most of these
7	should be 36.5 percent higher. Adjusting the cost by 36.5
8	percent would bring us to \$500.29.
9	When we go to when we go to the second page of
10	my Exhibit A, that would be Exhibit 2. And so we look
11	here at the retail price on the cost. At line 24,
12	Excalibur, they show a cost of \$2 .30. That should be
13	\$3.14. And the total cost of these two items should be
14	\$520.29. The total retail price that they show on the
15	lines 24 and 25 would be \$597.50. If we readjust this
16	chart it should now show the cost as or the I'm
17	sorry.

The total retail price would be adding the -- so 18 19 if we go down -- so let's apply 36.5 percent to -- as an 20 adjustment to the cost of everything. We would go from \$366.51 at the bottom of Column E. That should come up to 21 22 \$500.29 because we're adjusting it by 36.5 percent, 23 because we're including freight and shipping in there as 24 part of the cost. We've got a gross profit that's going to be a lot less. The total retail on this doesn't 25

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 change.

If we add the cost and the gross profit, that 2 comes to -- on this chart comes to \$597.50. But if we are 3 putting \$500.29 at the bottom of Column E, that means that 4 5 the gross profit should be \$97.30, and the markup should 6 really be 19.44 percent. It seems to me that the CDTFA 7 has overstated the markup a great deal because it hasn't 8 included the shipping and handle. That's something that 9 commonly goes into cost of goods sold. We have a cost of 10 goods sold in Bark Em Pet Supplies' income tax returns 11 that appears to be higher than the cost -- than the price 12 of the product that was sold.

Part of that has got to be because the accountant -- who we've heard won't come and talk about what was going on here -- he must be putting a lot of cost like this into cost of goods sold, which is a standard thing for accountants to do, for tax returns to include these kinds of cost and the cost of goods sold. So we should be looking at a 19.4 percent markup.

I'm also going to talk about just how this works. I mean, we have, you know, we've got documentary evidence from the CDTFA who came in and did a statistical -- it did a statistical survey of what's going on with Bark Em Pet Supplies' records. I understand the basis for this. It makes sense statistically. I understand the process they

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

took. It's a long and complicated process. It's hard to understand for a layman. And I'll say I usually don't practice in sales tax. I come from the income tax world.

And so what we've got here is we started with a 4 sampling of month of sales or less than 3 percent of the 5 6 total audit period. We're applying a bunch of factors to 7 that month of sales; the percentage of sales by credit 8 card; the percentage of sales that are product versus 9 services. And they came up with an error factor of 10 100 percent and applied it to all three years, assuming that every month looks the same, like the sampled one. It 11 12 makes sense, and it gives the burden on the taxpayer to 13 come back and say, hey, you're wrong. We've got more 14 records that show that this is wrong.

Unfortunately, she doesn't have those records. Some of them were stolen. The -- as you'll see in the -in the CDTFA's evidence, some of them the receipts faded over time. And so if there's an error -- if that sampled month isn't representative of the entire period, there's an error that's going to be magnified by 36 times throughout the -- throughout this whole audit period.

And yeah, she's got the opportunity to bring more documentation to challenge the statistics, but the problems of doing that are immense to her. She's lost the underlying documents, and she's got a tough time figuring

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 out the CDTFA's methods. And so she allegedly

2 underreported her product sales by 100 percent. We've got 3 her oral testimony that she has no idea how something like 4 this could have happened.

5 100 percent. That means every -- for every piece 6 of product that she sold, there's another piece of product 7 that she didn't collect the tax on, that somehow got out of store that she -- that got paid for, and that with her 8 9 system of paying sales tax everybody and having cameras 10 looking on the people -- on the people at the cash register or the cashiers, somehow she missed every other 11 12 piece of product going out there. And that -- that just 13 kind of boggles the mind.

14 This is somebody who reported sales tax in good faith, and now the Department says, basically, she owes 15 16 much again as she has already paid out. It's going to 17 break her financial back. She's not sophisticated. She 18 doesn't make a lot of money, and she couldn't keep all of 19 the receipts. And it just seems that this is a situation 20 where the audit may make sense -- may make statistical 21 sense, but it doesn't. And we've got her oral testimony 22 that she did a system that would catch all the sales tax 23 and oral testimony is evidence.

24 So with that, I'll turn it over and hope to hear 25 from the CDTFA.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Mr. Foucher. This is
 Judge Geary.

Let me just ask my Co-Panelists if they have any
questions for Mr. Foucher about the -- about the
Appellant's argument. First, Judge Johnson.

JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. Noquestions. Thank you.

3 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. Judge Geary again.
9 Judge Stanley, do you have any questions for
10 Mr. Foucher?

JUDGE STANLEY: Just a quick one with respect to your Exhibit 3, Mr. Foucher, do you -- are you applying shipping and handling charges to every vendor that's on that chart based on the one invoice you included in the exhibits?

MR. FOUCHER: No. It's just to the product sales and not to the service sales. What I'm showing is that we -- and Ms. Carlson testified that the shipping and handling charges from Green Tripe were pretty representative. So, yes, we would be applying it to the dog food and cat food and nothing else on the -- or the miscellaneous, the taxable items but not the services.

JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. Thankyou, Mr. Foucher.

25

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Judge Stanley. This is

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 Judge Geary again.

2 Ms. Jimenez, are you prepared to -- I assume 3 you'll be giving the argument for Respondent? MS. JIMENEZ: Mr. Gearv, this is Mariflor 4 5 Jimenez. You are correct. 6 JUDGE GEARY: And you're ready to go? 7 MS. JIMENEZ: I am. JUDGE GEARY: You may proceed. 8 9 10 PRESENTATION 11 MS. JIMENEZ: All right. Appellant is a limited 12 liability company operating a pet store with two 13 locations. Both stores sold pet food, supplies and also 14 provided grooming, vaccination, training, and pet daycare services. The first store was located in the City of 15 16 Camarillo. It operated from July 1st, 2012, through 17 April 27, 2019. The second store was located in the City 18 of Oxnard. This shop operated from May 4, 2013, through 19 November 28, 2014. 20 The Department performed an audited examination 21 for the period of July 1st, 2012, through June 30, 2015. 22 According to Appellant, the daily sales are recorded in a 23 sales tax worksheet, and reported sales are based on the sales tax reimbursement collected. Therefore, Appellant 2.4 25 did not report its nontaxable sales.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 Appellant failed to provide cash register Z-tapes for the audit period. So there were no source documents 2 3 to show the total sales on both stores. There are no purchase journal or inventory records. And Appellant only 4 5 provided merchandise purchases invoices for first quarter 6 2015. Based on the reported taxable sales of around 7 \$292,000 for 2013 and 2014, and the recorded cost of goods 8 sold of \$421,000, the Department computed a taxable 9 bookmark up of negative 31 percent. And that would be on 10 your Exhibit D, page 1352.

11 A negative markup means reported taxable sales 12 are less than the cost of goods sold. It also means that 13 Appellant did not report all of its taxable sales. The 14 Department rejected reported taxable sales due to the lack 15 of reliable records and the negative taxable book markup.

California imposes sales tax on a retailer's retail sales in the state of tangible personal property measured by the retailer's gross receipts, unless the sale is specifically exempt or excluded from taxation by statute. And that's Revenue & Taxation Code Section 6051. All of a retailer's gross receipt are presumed subject to tax unless the retailer can prove otherwise.

It is the taxpayer's responsibility to maintain and make available, for examination on request, all records necessary to determine the correct tax liability,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

including bills, receipts, invoices, or other documents of
 original entry supporting the entries in the book of
 accounts. That's Section 7053 and 7054.

When the Department is not satisfied with the accuracy of the tax return filed, it may base its determination of the tax due upon the facts contained in the return or upon any information that comes within its possession, Section 6481. When a taxpayer challenges a Notice of Determination, the Department has the burden to explain the basis for that deficiency.

11 Where the Department's explanation appears 12 reasonable, the burden of proof shifts to the taxpayer to 13 explain why the Department's asserted deficiencies not 14 valid. Except as otherwise specifically provided by law, the burden of proof is upon the taxpayer to prove all 15 16 issues of facts by a preponderance of the evidence. That 17 is, the taxpayer must establish by documentation of other 18 evidence that the circumstances it asserts are more likely 19 than not to be correct.

20 When a right to an exemption from tax is 21 involved, the taxpayer has the burden of proving his --22 this right to the exemption. Any taxpayer seeking 23 exemption from the tax must establish that right by the 24 evidence specified by the regulation. A mere allegation 25 that sales are exempt is insufficient.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 The Department performed a shelf test based on 2 randomly selected purchase invoices from 2017. The test 3 was based on the retail sale prices of 28 item that included pet food and supplies. This test disclosed a 4 5 shelf test markup of approximately 63 percent. And that would be Exhibit A, page 68. Now, compare that to taxable 6 7 bookmark up negative 31 percent using the reported taxable 8 sales and reported cost of goods sold.

9 Department reduced that shelf test markup by 10 percent, from 63 to 53 percent, in order to allow for 10 sales discounts offered to customers. Also, the 11 12 Department reduced the claimed cost of goods sold by 1 13 percent pilferage allowance. Now, the Department did not 14 adjust the cost of goods sold for self-consumption since Appellant claimed that customers provided their own feed 15 16 for their pets in daycare and training. Plus, Appellant 17 stated that the cost of goods sold did not include any 18 grooming supplies which indicates that there was no 19 self-consumption of resale merchandise. These discussions are in your Exhibit A, page 24 and page 103, and 20 Exhibit E, page 7. 21

The reduced taxable markup of 53 percent, plus a markup factor of 1, was applied to the reduced cost of goods sold of around \$162,000. This is to compute the audited taxable sales of approximately \$246,000 for 2014.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

Department compared the audited taxable sales to the reported taxable sales of around \$122,000 for 2014 to compute unreported taxable sales of approximately \$123,000. This is an error ratio of around 101 percent. The calculations are in your Exhibit A, page 67.

6 The Department applied the error ratio of 7 101 percent to the reported taxable sales of around 8 \$435,000 for the audit period to compute unreported 9 taxable sales of \$438,000. And that will be on your 10 Exhibit A, page 66. A portion of the understatement was then allocated to each business location to account for 11 12 the applicable tax rates and local allocation. The 13 Department wants to point out that the Appellant only 14 reported taxable sales of \$435,000.

15 By using the markup of cost, the audited taxable sales of around \$873,000 was established. By using the 16 17 credit card projection of sales method, the audited 18 taxable sales of around \$823,000 was computed. That will 19 be on your Exhibit A, page 50. Now, both tests relied on 20 assumptions because of the lack of records. Both methods 21 showed substantial understated taxable sales. The 22 difference between the two methods that the Department 23 used is only 6 percent. The fact the variance between the 2.4 two tests is small shows that the markup test is 25 reasonable.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 I do want to address Appellant's contention 2 regarding the separately stated charge for packing and 3 shipping. The Department agrees that the purchases from GreenTripe.com have shipping and packing charges on it. 4 5 However, there's no evidence that shipping was added to 6 all the inventory purchases as Appellant is claiming. In 7 fact, majority of the available purchase receipts have 8 free shipping. You'll see all the purchase invoices on 9 Exhibit A, pages 109 to 136.

10 Now, the Department already adjusted down by 10 11 points the computed markup of 63 percent per shelf test to 12 reflect that lower markup of 53 percent. This is in order to factor in the coupons and discounts offered to 13 14 customers. This greatly benefits the Appellant. The Appellant did not provide purchase journals or purchase 15 16 invoices to support the amount claimed on the 2014 federal 17 income tax return. So the Department was unable to verify 18 if that purchase amount was complete.

In addition, the Department was unable to perform purchase segregation testing to assert if any expense items were actually inadvertently included with the purchase amount posted to the cost of goods sold. The Department asked Appellant on multiple occasions if the amount claimed as cost of goods sold on Appellant's federal income tax return included the cost of any

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

supplies or products, such as pet shampoo used in the
 service side of the business for pet grooming, training,
 or even the pet daycare.

The Appellant told the Department the only items 4 included in the cost of goods sold were purchases of items 5 6 for sale to customers in the retail portion of that 7 business. Therefore, the Department accepted the purchase 8 claimed on the 2014 federal income tax return without 9 additional investigation or surveys of venders. The 10 Department is willing to make additional adjustments by adding the prorated shipping and packing charges to the 11 cost on the individual items listed on the shelf test on 12 Exhibit A, page 68. 13

This in turn, will increase the cost of the item which will lower the markup and would decrease the taxable measure. If the purchase invoice for the shelf items listed on Exhibit A, page 68, includes a separately stated shipping, freight, and packing charges, the Department will adjust the cost of that specific item to include the prorated and/or handling when calculating that markup.

21 Besides that, the Appellant has not provided 22 documentation or essential information to support any 23 additional adjustment to the unreported taxable sales. 24 The Department's audit findings are more than reasonable 25 and fair. Therefore, the Department request the

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 Appellant's appeals be denied.

2 This concludes my presentation. I'm available to
3 answer questions you may have.

JUDGE GEARY: This is Judge Geary. Thank you,
Ms. Jimenez. I have a question to begin with for you.
MS. JIMENEZ: Okay.

JUDGE GEARY: I'll also open it up to my fellow Judges to see if they have anything. But you mentioned that the Department might be willing to make or would be willing to make some adjustments.

11 MS. JIMENEZ: Yes.

JUDGE GEARY: Are you -- is the Respondent proposing that we keep the record open to allow the Department to make adjustments based upon information it has now? Or does Respondent need additional information from the Appellant in order to make the adjustments you referred to?

MS. JIMENEZ: Mr. Geary, this is Mariflor. We could use the information that we already have at this point, because we do have the purchase invoice for the shelf test. And we're willing to adjust those cost on that shelf test if there is freight and handling charges included on that purchase invoice.

JUDGE GEARY: Okay. So Judge Geary again. At the conclusion of our discussion, it sounds like the,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

Department, Respondent, agrees that if we allow the record
 to remain open for a period of time, the Department would
 be able to make those adjustments?
 MS. JIMENEZ: Mr. Geary, this is Mariflor
 Jimenez. Yes, that's correct.

JUDGE GEARY: All right. Thank you. This isJudge Geary again.

8 Before I turn back to Mr. Foucher and ask him if 9 he would like to make a final closing, let me ask my 10 colleagues. Judge Johnson, do you have any questions for 11 Respondent?

JUDGE JOHNSON: Judge Johnson. No questions.Thank you.

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. Judge Geary again.
And let me ask Judge Stanley if she has any
questions for Respondent.

17 JUDGE STANLEY: Yes. This is Judge Stanley, and 18 I just -- I was trying to follow along with you, 19 Ms. Jimenez, and missed what page number you were talking 20 about when you were talking about making additional 21 adjustments. So you have the purchase invoices somewhere 22 in Exhibit A, but I missed the page number or numbers. 23 MS. JIMENEZ: All right. This is Mariflor Jimenez. Ms. Stanley, are you talking about the shelf 24 25 test or the actual copies of the purchase invoices?

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. I'm 2 asking about the documents upon which you're going to base 3 your additional adjustments. Where are you finding the shipping and handling charges that you propose to 4 5 adjustment for? 6 MS. JIMENEZ: Okay. That will be, I believe, 7 page -- Judge Stanley, this is Mariflor Jimenez. The 8 shelf test items are listed on Exhibit A, page 68. 9 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. Thank 10 you. I don't have any more questions. 11 MR. PARKER: Ms. Stanley, this is Jason Parker. 12 I wanted to add, you asked about the purchase invoices 13 that we would be looking at. We would be looking at the 14 Green Tripe invoice that the Appellant offered in their exhibits that has the shipping and handling. Then we 15 16 would also be looking at the purchase invoices from --17 it's Exhibit A, page 109 to, I believe, page 133, if they 18 have freight or shipping on those invoices. 19 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. Thank 20 you, Mr. Parker. That makes sense. 21 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. This Judge Geary back 22 again. 23 And Mr. Foucher, would you like to make a brief 24 final closing? 25 MR. FOUCHER: Certainly, Your Honor thank you.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

CLOSING STATEMENT

1

2.4

2 MR. FOUCHER: This is John Foucher on behalf of 3 Bark Em Pet Supplies, LLC, and Zena Carlson. And we have a situation here where, yeah, the CDTFA comes in and does 4 5 an audit, and it's got very -- you know, it feels very 6 strongly that the numbers say what they say, and it's hard 7 to argue with that. And, yet at the same time, we have a good-faith storekeeper who does her best every day to try 8 9 and collect the right amount of sales tax, and did her 10 best to collect the right amount of sales tax. 11 And for reasons beyond her control, was not able 12 to provide the documentation that showed what the correct 13 amount of sales tax was allowing the CDTFA to come in and 14 do a statistical audit. This is something I see fairly --I've seen a few times, and it's highly frustrating to 15 16 these store owners that when they workday and night 17 running their store, and they try. 18 And they understand the need to keep sales tax 19 and pay it, and then get audited and suddenly find out 20 that, my gosh, I missed every other piece -- or the CDTFA 21 is saying, I missed every other piece. I missed 100. You 22 know, I have got to have my sales tax increase by 23 100 percent. And I mean, it's just very frustrating but

25 she paid her sales tax, and she doesn't have the records

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

she did what she did in good faith and tried to make sure

1 to show otherwise at this point.

2 And I hope that the Judges take her oral 3 testimony for what it is, as testimony and evidence, that she had the right amount of sales tax every day collected 4 and turned over to the CDTFA. 5 6 Thank you. 7 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Mr. Foucher. This is Judge Geary again. This concludes the argument. And 8 9 before we close, I need to turn to Respondent to find out 10 how much time it will need to do the adjustments that we 11 discussed and provide to the Appellant and to OTA some 12 kind of a summary showing what Respondent contends is the 13 amount of the adjustment that it will allow. How much 14 time do you think, Ms. Jimenez, you will need? 15 MS. JIMENEZ: Mr. Geary, this is Mariflor 16 Jimenez. We will need at least 30 days. 17 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Judge Geary again. 18 We'll say 30 days. I'm fairly confident you'll be able to 19 do it in that period of time, and I think the plan should be -- I'm assuming you will provide some type of 20 21 explanation or spreadsheet with some type of narrative 22 explanation for what the adjustments were based upon what 23 I seen happen in other audits. And I anticipate it's possible Mr. Foucher may want to respond to that. 24 25 Mr. Foucher, I will allow you an opportunity to

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

1 respond before closing the record also. And I have 2 allowed the Department -- I'm allowing the Department 30 days. I will allow you 30 days to respond to whatever 3 the Department provides to you and to the Office of Tax 4 5 appeals, bearing in mind that your response should, of course, be focused on this additional information and the 6 7 adjustment that the Department is offering. 8 Is that acceptable to you, Mr. Foucher? 9 MR. FOUCHER: It is. Thank you very much. 10 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Let me check with my 11 Panel before closing. Judge Johnson, do you have any 12 questions or concerns you want to express? 13 JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. No. 14 Thank you everyone. 15 JUDGE GEARY: Thank vou. 16 And Judge Stanley, any questions or concerns? 17 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. No. 18 Thank you to all of you and happy holidays. 19 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 20 And I want to thank everybody for participating, 21 including the little dog we've hearing on a regular basis 22 in the background. 23 MS. CARLSON: That's Milly. 2.4 JUDGE GEARY: I hope everybody has a safe, 25 healthy, and happy holiday season. And we at OTA will

STATE OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

look forward to receipt of your additional documentation,
 Respondent, and then of course the response from
 Mr. Foucher. With that I'm closing this hearing today.
 We're going off the record.

5 I'll indicate to you, by the way, just for your information, that once we receive Mr. Foucher's response 6 or some indication from him that he does not -- he chooses 7 8 not to make a response to the Department's further 9 submission, we will issue a written decision. The three 10 Judges will deliberate. The three of us together will 11 issue a written decision within 100 days of that date. I 12 will issue a short order indicating this is the date on 13 which we close the record.100 days from that date, within 14 that time, you should receive our decision.

Again, thank you all very much. And this ends the hearing. And my understanding is that this ends the calendar day for OTA's hearings. And thanks very much. Take care everybody.

19 (Proceedings adjourned at 3:07 p.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25

1	HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for
4	the State of California, do hereby certify:
5	That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was
6	taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the
7	testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically
8	by me and later transcribed by computer-aided
9	transcription under my direction and supervision, that the
10	foregoing is a true record of the testimony and
11	proceedings taken at that time.
12	I further certify that I am in no way interested
13	in the outcome of said action.
14	I have hereunto subscribed my name this 28th day
15	of January, 2021.
16	
17	
18	
19	ERNALYN M. ALONZO
20	HEARING REPORTER
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	