BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ΙN	THE	MATTER	OF TH	IE APPEAL	OF,)			
)			
J.	LEE	and $V.$	LEE,)	OTA	NO.	19105396
)			
				APPELLAN	IT.)			
)			
)			

TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Wednesday, September 22, 2021

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)
6 7) J. LEE and V. LEE,) OTA NO. 19105396)
8	APPELLANT.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Virtual Proceedings,
15	taken in the State of California, commencing
16	at 1:03 p.m. and concluding at 2:02 p.m. on
17	Wednesday, September 22, 2021, reported by
18	Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and
19	for the State of California.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ ALBERTO ROSAS
4	Panel Members:	ALJ TOMMY LEUNG
5	raner Members.	ALJ JOHN JOHNSON
6	For the Appellant:	DAN LO
7		
8	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
9		SARAH J. FASSETT ERIC A. YADAO
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Ī						
1		<u>I N D E X</u>				
2						
3	<u>EXHIBITS</u>					
4						
5		its 1-8 were received at the previous				
6	prehearing conference.) (Department's Exhibits A-S were received at the previous prehearing conference.)					
7						
8						
9		PRESENTATION				
10		I INDUINITIT TON				
11		PAGE				
12	By Mr. Lo	20				
13	By Ms. Fassett	22				
14						
15		WITNESS TESTIMONY				
16		WIINDOO IDOIIITONI				
17		PAGE				
18	By Mr. Lee	9				
19						
20						
21		CLOSING STATEMENT				
22		PAGE				
23	By Mr. Lo	28				
24						
25						

California; Wednesday, September 22, 2021
1:03 p.m.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE ROSAS: We're on the record in the Matter of the Appeal of Lee, OTA Case Number 19105396. Today's date is September 22nd, 2021. The time is approximately 1:03 p.m.

This hearing was duly noticed to take place in person in Sacramento, California. But due to ongoing concerns regarding Covid and with the agreement of all the parties, we're holding this hearing remotely using video conferencing.

The panel of Administrative Law Judges includes Tommy Leung, John O. Johnson and me, Alberto Rosas.

Our stenographer today is Ms. Alonzo who is reporting this hearing verbatim. To ensure we have an accurate record, we ask that everyone speaks one at a time and does not speak over each other. Please state your name each time before you speak. Also, please speak slowly and clearly. If needed, Ms. Alonzo will stop the hearing process and ask for clarification. After the hearing, the stenographer will produce the official hearing transcript, which will be available on the Office of Tax Appeals website.

I do want to say something about virtual hearings

1 and the visual optics onscreen. During today's virtual 2 hearing it may sometimes seem that I'm not looking at you 3 or that I'm distracted, but that's not the case. I have multiple monitors in front of me. I'm also using an 4 5 instant messenger app where I can communicate with my 6 co-panelist or tech support or with the management and 7 staff that works behind the scenes to make these hearings possible. Regardless of how I may come across onscreen 8 9 visually, I sure you I am listening to you, and I am 10 taking good notes. 11 Before we continue, I want to ask whether there's 12 anything that either of my co-panelists wish to add. 13 Judge Leung?

JUDGE LEUNG: I have nothing to add. Thank you, Judge Rosas.

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas.

Judge Johnson?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. Nothing to add. Thank you.

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. For the parties, if you recall, we had a prehearing conference earlier this month on September 7th, 2021. Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 8 were admitted into evidence without objection. Respondent's exhibits Alpha through Sierra were admitted into evidence without objection.

1 A prehearing conference resulted in the issuance 2 of a prehearing conference minutes and orders, which 3 included six orders. These minutes and orders are 4 self-explanatory. But just in case, I'll ask the parties whether they have any questions. 5 6 Mr. Lo? 7 MR. LO: No, I don't. JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you, 8 9 Mr. Lo. We cannot see you. So I just want to remind you, 10 please state your name before you speak to avoid any 11 confusion to maintain a clear record. Thank you. 12 MR. LO: Yes. Thank you. JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Ms. Fassett, 13 14 do you have my questions regarding the prehearing conference minutes and orders? 15 16 MS. FASSETT: This is Sarah Fassett. Rosas, I have no questions. Thank you. 17 18 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. I stated in 19 the prehearing conference minutes and orders for tax year 20 2016, Respondent Franchise Tax Board imposed a late-filing 21 penalty of \$1,474.25, plus a demand penalty of \$7,830. 22 The parties agreed that the following are the two issues 23 on appeal:

reasonable cause to abate the late-filing penalty; and

Number one, whether Appellants established

2.4

25

1	number two, whether Appellants established reasonable
2	cause to abate the demand penalty.
3	Mr. Lo, do you have any questions before we move
4	onto Mr. Lee's testimony?
5	MR. LO: Mr. Lo speaking. I do not have any
6	questions before the testimony.
7	JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you,
8	Mr. Lo.
9	And to the Franchise Tax Board, Ms. Fassett, do
10	you have my questions before we move on?
11	MS. FASSETT: This is Sarah Fassett. I have no
12	question. Thank you.
13	JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. In that case
14	we're going to move forward to witness testimony from Mr.
15	Lee.
16	Before we do so, Mr. Lee, I'm going to swear you
17	in. Would you be so kind as to raise your right hand?
18	
19	J. L. LEE,
20	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
21	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified
22	as follows:
23	
24	JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you,
25	Mr. Lee.

And as was indicated in the prehearing conference minutes and orders, I would like to remind you that you may testify in the narrative, which basically means telling us in your own words what happened. You can also testify by reading from a prepared statement. Or you can also testify using a question-and-answer method with your representative, Mr. Lo, asking you questions. And, of course, as indicated you can use any combination of these methods.

Mr. Lo, it's your witness. So you may proceed with your witness' testimony whenever you're ready. And as indicated, we've allotted 30 minutes, Mr. Lee, for your testimony.

MR. LO: This is Daniel Lo speaking. I believe the testimony will be presented in a narrative format versus a question and answer-type format.

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. In that case, Mr. Lee, please now take your time, and you may begin whenever you're ready.

2.4

WITNESS TESTIMONY

MR. LEE: Okay. So first off, I'd like to take the opportunity, on behalf of my wife and myself, is to thank all the folks on this call and the Franchise Tax Board to listen to my case in this matter. So thank you.

In regard to the taxes for 2016 -- and by the way, if I'm looking at you and not looking, I'm looking at notes. So I'm not being rude or what not. So.

2.1

2.4

The issue all started in the beginning of 2017.

Beginning of that year my wife got laid off after 18 years of employment. So that kind of created some havoc in terms of the, you know, family dynamics financially. The very next month on February 28th, my father was admitted to the hospital for a fall. So if you look at -- I guess it's labeled Exhibit 2, page 5 of 12. That is on February 28th. That's the first time he went into the hospital.

Just to go back a little bit before this, he was going through chemo treatments in 2016. We thought everything was okay until he did fall. I don't know if that was partially a cause of it or not, but that was the start of the fall in terms of my father's health. On 3/23, that's Exhibit 2, page 10 of 12, you could see it went from moderate -- a moderate to high, and this was another emergency room visit. That was the second one. This caused my dad to -- when he fell, and he fell trying to unload a dish washer.

So he broke his pelvis bone or fractured it. I guess that's what the doctors were saying. He had to wear a body brace. This greatly decreased his mobility. He

couldn't walk around. He couldn't get out of bed. He couldn't get into bed. So we had to spend our time, you know, going to the house to help him get out of the bed in the morning if it's breakfast, lunch, or dinner, kind of help him move around the house. Now, the same procedure for his -- going to sleep was the same as well.

2.4

What had happened in March, it was a little bit more severe. He fell a second time, but this time he hit his head. At that point, he lost his ability to speak, not fully but partially. And his -- I guess his brain wasn't working 100 percent, maybe 20, 30 percent. So he needed a lot more care during that time. What also caused the issue too is he -- from what one reason or another, he couldn't go to the bathroom. He had problems eating. So then he had to get a catheter. So we had that to kind of deal with.

So we come to, I guess, it's May, May 23rd.

That's the next emergency room visit. That's also

Exhibit -- was it 3, page 4 out of 10. And according to

Kaiser, that was a high rate -- rated as a high. They

have these ratings of what they need to. So it was a

severe visit. Then the next visit was on June 23rd, and

that was -- is it 23rd -- the 27th. Exhibit 4, page 6 of

6, and that's also listed as a high.

7/13, there was another emergency room visit, but

that one was for that day. Ultimately, it got to a point 1 2 that we were not skilled enough to take care of my 3 parents, because this is not what I typically do nor my wife. So at that point it was switching him over to a 4 5 nursing home. He needed the 24-7 care. At that time, he 6 never wanted to be in a nursing home, so it was very 7 difficult. You know, it was difficult not only physically but emotionally on us because the whole time he was there 8 9 he would yell out loud telling us that he doesn't want to 10 be there. 11 So, again, even though we didn't have to take him up and out of bed, at that time, it was important to have 12 the emotional support for my father and mother at that 13 14 So -- so he was transferred around, let's say, 15 July. He had multiple other visits to the emergency room. 16 After that he kept on pulling his catheter out. I mention 17 all these different dates, which it was just --18 [TECHNICAL/VIDEO DIFFICULTIES]

JUDGE ROSAS: Mr. Lee, you're cutting in and out and it seems like you lost connectivity.

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. LEE: Okay. Where did I leave off?

JUDGE ROSAS: So if you can just -- just in the last 30 seconds you were starting to cut off. So if you just --

MR. LEE: Okay. I don't want to just go -- okay.

What I was explaining is the dates of the visit from the emergency room. The one that happened on May 23rd, June 27th, July 13th, you know, they're all rated it has high important -- I mean, high-level visits according to Kaiser.

2.4

[TECHNICAL/VIDEO DIFFICULTIES]

To help my dad, we had to move him to a skilled nursing facility, and that happened around July. I did mention -- I'm sorry if I have to repeat this. He never wanted to be there. So it was no longer us offering the physical support, it was more the emotional support for my father and my mother. During that time we really didn't have time to deal with anything else in life but to focus on my mother and father. I mean, we're talking about bills, my father -- I mean, my family, my son, my daughter, everything had to be on hold to just kind of basically take care of him.

Come October 22nd of 2017, he had pulled out his catheter, and this was probably the third time he has pulled it out. And the nursing facility is not skilled to put it back in. So, you know, he went straight back to Kaiser again. Unfortunately, at that time his health level deteriorated a little bit more. He became unconscious and never came to. On October 23rd, 2017, that was the day he passed.

So if you look at the years for 2017, pretty much the whole year was dedicated with -- to start off, my wife getting laid off, taking care of my dad and my mom, making sure he's okay. Then after the death, of course, comes the funeral. So we had to take care of all of that. Fast forward to 2018, we really didn't get our lives back in shape until probably the summertime after taking care of all these other, you know, leftover matters.

2.4

So that's when we filed -- or finally filed our taxes on 9 -- beginning of September. But I think during that time -- you should have it as one of the exhibits -- that we did respond to Franchise Tax Board explaining the situation through my CPA, Mr. Lo. So, you know, I understand, yes, we filed late. This is a very unusual circumstance. I can say even before this, I would always be prepared to take care of my elderly parents and take care of the funeral without any issues.

But when you go through it -- I hate to say it -part of the time we had our head in the sand just not sure
what to do, and we froze, right. So -- but, you know,
we're -- we finally got our lives back together now. My
mom currently is -- she had a fall as well, and I just
don't want the same thing to be repeated. Recently I did
mention on my other note that I had to leave my job at the
beginning of this year. So we're -- financially we're in

a different type of situation with my wife and myself.

2.1

2.4

In this case, I would like to ask if -- for forgiveness and to have that amounts refunded to me and my wife. So I think -- I think --

Mr. Lo, is there anything else you think I should add? I mean, it kind of seems, like I'm rambling on. And I apologize if that's the case.

MR. LO: Yeah. This is Daniel Lo speaking.

Mr. Lee, you know, I had reminded you that I know before

October 15, '17, which is the extended date to have your

taxes to be prepared and filed with the Franchise Tax

Board and get it posted. But as you have mentioned to me

that year that there was no way anybody else could

probably help you to put together the information that

would actually prepare an accurate on a -- an accurate

return that would represent, truly, your tax position for

that particular year, ending December 2016.

And I would reflect that would be accurately stated as well as filed, it could be filed in a timely manner. I asked you that if your spouse was going to be able to help you. I think you had mentioned that your spouse wasn't financially savvy to really put anything together because of all the years that you filed and every year you had your information ready for me to file an accurate and timely return, that it was all done by you.

1 Is that correct that your spouse is not 2 financially savvy? 3 MR. LEE: That -- that's correct. She relies on me to do all the financial things for the family. She's 4 5 never -- I'm sure you notice every time when you're asking 6 me for the financial information, it's always coming from 7 me throughout the years. It never would be coming from my wife. This is not what she does. I mean, sure. Her 8 9 specialty is, you know, her strengths are -- she has other 10 strengths besides, you know, getting involved with the 11 financial matters. And she much rather would me take care 12 of it than -- than her. So -- but, yes, you are correct. 13 MR. LO: I have no fur questions. This is Daniel 14 speaking. 15 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Mr. Lee --16 MR. LO: You're Honor, I think you're on mute. 17 can't -- I can't hear you speaking. So --18 This is Judge Rosas. JUDGE ROSAS: 19 MR. LO: I'm having audible difficulty hearing 20 vou. This is Daniel speaking. 21 JUDGE ROSAS: [INDISCERNIBLE] on the other 22 message that you're hearing me, but it's very quiet --23 same issue yesterday. 2.4 Mr. Lee, are you able to hear me at all? 25 MR. LEE: It's very, very faint. I have my

```
1
      speakers turned all the way up. So I don't know. Can you
 2
      hear me okay, though?
 3
               JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Yes, Mr. Lee,
 4
      we can hear you okay.
 5
               MR. LEE: Okay.
 6
               JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson.
                                                       Let's, if
 7
      we can, go off the record for five minutes here while we
      work with the audio to make sure we're all back to --
8
 9
               MR. LEE: Okay.
10
                 (There is a pause in the proceedings.)
11
               JUDGE ROSAS: Ms. Alonzo, we are back on the
12
      record.
13
               Mr. Lee, did you want to add anything else to
14
      your testimony before we open it up to questions?
15
      Mr. Lee, are you able to hear me?
16
               MR. LEE: Oh, yes. I can hear you now.
17
      you. Can you hear me?
18
                            This is Judge Rosas. Mr. Lee, you
               JUDGE ROSAS:
19
      are breaking up, but what I was asking you is do have any
20
      other thing to add before we open it up?
21
               MR. LO: I can barely hear you. Can you hear me?
22
               JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Yes, I can
23
      hear you.
2.4
               MR. LO: Daniel speaking. I can hear Mr. Lee and
25
      Judge Rosas, but Judge Rosas is coming in a little bit
```

1 more faintly. That's all. I can hear you. 2 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Mr. Lee, did 3 you want to add anything else before we turn it over to questions? 4 5 I was thinking about one other MR. LEE: Yeah. 6 thing that I did not mention is besides all the emergency 7 There were a lot of physical therapy visits that visits. we have had to take my father to. That's why I had put it 8 9 in all the exhibits, all the different dates for the 10 physical therapy, the speech therapist, and what not. 11 I failed -- I forgot to mention that prior to this stop. 12 So --13 JUDGE ROSAS: Judge Rosas. Thank you, Mr. Lee. 14 At this moment I'm going to turn it over to the Franchise Tax Board if they have any questions for you. 15 16 MS. FASSETT: This is Sarah Fassett. I have no 17 questions. 18 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you, 19 Ms. Fassett. 20 I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues to see 2.1 if they have any questions for Mr. Lee, starting with 22 Judge Leung. 23 JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you, Judge Rosas. 2.4 Mr. Lee, were you also late with your federal tax 25 return for 2016?

1 MR. LEE: For 2016, I believe I filed those both 2 at the same time. 3 Mr. Lo, is that -- that's correct; right? Yes. Yes. They both were late. 4 Thev 5 were not filed on a timely manner. Yes. 6 JUDGE LEUNG: And what happened at the federal 7 level? Did you get the same late penalty? 8 MR. LEE: No. I don't believe so. 9 JUDGE ROSAS: Okay. And is this the first time 10 in recent memory before 2016 or post 2016 that you had a 11 lapse in filing tax returns on time? 12 MR. LO: No. They're, typically, when I do the tax returns, we will do the extensions because I want to 13 14 get all the data by the usual tax date. And it's done by 15 the October 15th timeframe. 16 JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. But you've done it by the 17 extension date, not by April 15th, but at least by October 15th? 18 19 MR. LO: Yes. Yes. 20 JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Thank you. 2.1 Judge Rosas, this is Judge Leung. I'm finished 22 with my questions. Thank you. 23 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you, 2.4 Judge Leung. 25 Judge Johnson, do you have any questions for

Mr. Lee?

JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. No questions. Thank you.

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. In that case we're going to turn it over to Mr. Lee's representative, Mr. Lo.

Mr. Lo, whenever you're ready you can begin your presentation. As we discussed you have up to 15 minutes to present your case. You may begin whenever you're ready, sir. Thank you.

2.1

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. LO: Yes. This is Daniel Lo speaking on representing Mr. Jim Lee as a CPA.

As the case will present, it's pretty much where it stands. I truly believe Mr. Lee has gone through some quite detrimental family matters and issues related to his father's health in that particular year. So it -- it was quite emotional. And as I would basically say, emotionally draining and physically draining to be sole caretaker or sole provider for his father at that particular year.

And so with all that, basically, he had mentioned earlier in his 30-minute testimony, I'm sure you all have -- also have read all of his exhibits. The case that

I'm presenting on behalf of my client is that in itself it's one of those things that I think everyone should know that when they have a family member that has gone that far down, maybe downhill and need financial help with relating to their health matters.

2.4

It really would, in my sense as a practitioner, to believe that it really would fall into some reasonable cause within this particular provision that the Franchise Tax Board would impose a penalty under this particular failure to furnish a tax return timely. And as well as respected somewhat to pay the tax not on a timely manner due to the fact that I would believe more than 80 percent of his tax liability was being withheld through his normal wage withholding. The difference is, I believe, was about \$6,000 that he had to pay with the return.

At this point, I mean, making a point here that this -- this health matter falls into some reasonable cause that we're appealing today, so that hopefully all of you can grant him some kind of a waiver or abatement as to this penalty due to some current financial situation he's in right now. And having the fact that he's unemployed and this really would help him financially get his feet back off the ground.

That's pretty much what I have.

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you,

1 Mr. Lo, for that concise argument. At this point, I'm going to ask my colleagues if 2 3 they have any clarifying questions based on your case presentation, starting with Judge Leung. 4 5 JUDGE LEUNG: This is Judge Leung. I have no 6 questions for Mr. Lo at this time. Thank you, 7 Judge Rosas. 8 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you, 9 Judge Leung. 10 Judge Johnson, any questions for Mr. Lo? 11 JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. I have no 12 questions at this time as well. Thank you. 13 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Mr. Lo, 14 thanks again for your argument, but I also do not have any questions for you at this time. 15 16 MR. LO: Thank you very much. 17 JUDGE ROSAS: At this moment we're going to turn 18 it over to the Franchise Tax Board, which has up to 19 15 minutes to make its case presentation. 20 Ms. Fassett, Mr. Yadao, you may begin whenever 2.1 you're ready. 22 23 PRESENTATION 2.4 MS. FASSETT: This is Sarah Fassett. Thank you 25 and good afternoon. My name is Sarah Fassett and I, along with Eric Yadao, represent the Franchise Tax Board or FTB.

2.4

As we heard in this appeal, there are two issues: Whether Appellants have established reasonable cause to abate the late-filing penalty.

Just as a note for Mr. Lo, a late-payment penalty was not imposed in this appeal, only a late-filing penalty.

And for the second issue, whether Appellants have established reasonable cause to abate the demand penalty.

For the reason set forth in FTB's opening brief as well as what I am going to discuss today, FTB's action should be sustained as Appellants have not established that the untimely filing of their 2016 California income return was due to reasonable cause, nor have they established that their failure to timely respond to FTB's demand for 2016 tax return was due to reasonable cause.

Appellants have not contested the imposition or calculation of either penalty and only argue for the abatement of the penalties based on their reasonable cause type argument. For both penalties, to establish reasonable cause, Appellants must show that the failure to timely comply with the law occurred, despite the exercise of ordinary business care and prudence.

Appellants claim that the events and commitments of their lives during 2016, 2017, and into part of 2018,

were serious circumstances which caused them to not be able to timely file or respond to FTB's demand. While FTB is not unsympathetic to Appellants' situation over the last few years, and we extend our condolences for the passing of Mr. Lee's father in October of 2017, Appellants' arguments and evidence do not meet their burden of proof and do not establish that their failures to timely comply were due to reasonable cause.

2.4

Unfortunately, based on FTB's records of Appellants' filing history, this is not an unusual event as Appellants claim. Appellants have each received numerous demands and NPAs over the last 10 tax years. And over those tax years have only timely filed 3 times. Also for the 2016 tax year, Appellant filed their federal return on August 31st, 2018, and the IRS imposed both a late filing and a late-payment penalty. And as of September 2nd, 2021, those penalties have not been abated or refunded. FTB is happy to provide those records if they aid in a decision in this appeal.

Appellants have also not demonstrated that they made any effort to timely file, why or how they were prevented from providing their longtime CPA with their tax information for the 2016 tax year, and why or how they were prevented from responding to FTB's demand in 2018.

Instead, Appellants have argued that due to their busy

work schedules, personal issues, and the time spent caring for Mr. Lee's father, they were unable to comply with their tax obligation, and that equates reasonable cause.

2.1

2.4

While in some very limited cases taxpayers may demonstrate reasonable cause by presenting credible and competent evidence that the circumstances of an illness or other personal difficulty continuously prevented them from timely compliance, that is not the case in this appeal.

While FTB agrees that last few years appear to have been very busy and stressful for Appellants, Appellants earned substantial wages and worked, as they stated, 40 to 50 hours per week during the tax year at issue and during the years that followed.

They have not established with credible and competent evidence that they were both individually and continuously prevented from timely compliance with their filing obligation or obligation to timely respond to FTB's demand. No matter which spouse usually takes care of financial matters, each spouse has a nondelegable duty to file and to respond to FTB. And each spouse is jointly and severally liable for each tax year filed jointly.

Additionally, their assertions and evidence do not specifically speak to the time period surrounding FTB's demand for a tax return in 2018 or to why they were prevented from timely respond -- excuse me -- responding

to that demand. Although, some very limited cases exist where taxpayers have demonstrated reasonable cause, this is not the case in this appeal.

2.4

Furthermore, there's longstanding precedent discussed in the precedential opinions of the Appeal of Triple Crown Baseball and the Appeal of Head and Feliciano decided by this office that the penalty abatement isn't — that penalty abatement is not appropriate if the difficulties at issue simply cause the taxpayers to sacrifice the timeliness of one aspect of their business affairs to pursue other aspects, and that a taxpayer's selective inability to perform their tax obligations while participating in regular business activities, does not establish reasonable cause.

Thus, in this case, Appellants' evidence, or in some cases the lack thereof, makes it appear that Appellants both sacrificed, albeit it sometimes benevolently, their obligations to timely file and respond to FTB for other demands in their lives, and they were not continuously prevented from filing or responding timely.

Therefore, on the facts and evidence in the record, Franchise Tax Board respectfully request you sustain its position. I'm happy to address any questions the panel may have.

Thank you.

1 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you, 2 Ms. Fassett, for that concise argument. 3 I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues to see if either of them have any clarifying questions for FTB, 4 5 starting with Judge Leung. 6 JUDGE LEUNG: This is Judge Leung. I have no 7 questions for the Franchise Tax Board. Thank you, 8 Judge Rosas. 9 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you, 10 Judge Leung. 11 Judge Johnson? 12 JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. I don't 13 have any questions at this time. Thank you. 14 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you, 15 Judge Johnson. 16 Mr. Lo, as we discussed we're going to allot you 17 up to 10 minutes to provide a rebuttal argument and to 18 respond to anything that was mentioned during FTB's 19 argument. If you want, we can take a short recess if you, 20 by any chance, wanted to confer with Mr. Lee in private, 2.1 or we can just continue. I'll leave that up to, Mr. Lo. 22 MR. LO: I don't believe I need to meet with 23 Mr. Lee on his rebuttal. I don't have a lot. 2.4 /// 25 ///

CLOSING STATEMENT

2.4

MR. LO: I probably would make one statement as to the rebuttal. And that would be that if this situation had fallen on someone that would be in the post-pandemic level we're in right now, and if this situation would have caused the death of Mr. Lee's father if it was a Covid-19 related situation, my argument with that, would that change the failure of furnishing a return that would be imposed by this particular provision code? I wouldn't know if that will be in similar case because things have changed quite a bit since March of 2012.

And this particular case that facts and circumstances had obviously have fallen outside of that Covid-19 window. And I truly would believe my argument as to if Mr. Lee's father had passed away as of some Covid situation, I would really believe that the Franchise Tax Board would be enforcing this failure to furnish a tax return penalty based on the fact that this health-related issue causing a death would not be a reasonable cause.

That's all I have.

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you, Mr. Lo, for your concise rebuttal.

At this point I'm going to turn it over to my colleagues to see if they have questions of either side.

Judge Leung?

JUDGE LEUNG: This is Judge Leung. Thank you, Judge Rosas. I have no further questions. Thank you.

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you, Judge Leung.

Judge Johnson?

2.4

JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. I do have a question for Franchise Tax Board. I noticed -- I think it's your Exhibit D -- that the NPA issued to Mr. Lee -- and issued separate NPAs for both Appellants. But the one to Mr. Lee also included a demand penalty on there. In the record he did provide a 2013 demand for Ms. Lee. Was there an earlier demand as well for Mr. Lee?

MS. FASSETT: This is Sarah Fassett. And while the one demand for Mrs. Lee for 2013, which is in the record, does comply with the demand penalty requirements, there is not in the record a demand for Mr. Lee for 2013. But there is for Mr. Lee for 2012, both a demand and NPA, which I can provide if you request.

JUDGE JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you. And if I could, question for Mr. Lee. Again, this is with regard to the demand penalty, which is penalty for not responding to FTB's demand for a tax return. Those were issued in March and April of 2018. I know in your testimony you mentioned that you were still trying to catch up after everything that happened during the beginning of 2018. Do

1 you have anything in particular or specific that was 2 affecting your ability to respond to those demands in 3 March, April, and May of 2018? I'm sorry. This is Judge Johnson again. I don't 4 think I can hear you, if you're muted or just quiet. 5 6 MR. LO: This is Daniel Lo speaking. Mr. Lee, I 7 can't hear you either. 8 This is Mr. Lo speaking. Can anyone hear Mr. Lee 9 at all? 10 JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. 11 hear you, Mr. Lee. I don't see you as being muted, but I 12 hear no audio coming through. 13 Mr. Lee, this is Judge Johnson again. We'll try 14 to give you a call and walk you through the process so you 15 can get alternative audio. 16 Mr. Rosas, is it okay if we go off the record for just a five-minute break? 17 18 JUDGE ROSAS: Yes. That's fine, Mr. Johnson. 19 JUDGE JOHNSON: Thank you Judge Rosas. 20 JUDGE ROSAS: Thank you Judge Johnson. 2.1 (There is a pause in the proceedings.) 22 JUDGE ROSAS: Ms. Alonzo, we can go back on the 23 record. 2.4 And, Judge Johnson, the floor is yours. You had 25 a pending question.

1 This is Judge Johnson. JUDGE JOHNSON: Yes. 2 Mr. Lee, again, describe any specific difficulties you 3 were experiencing during March, April, and May of 2018 with regards to responding to FTB's demand letters. 4 5 MR. LEE: Okay. Yeah. So to answer that, you 6 know, unfortunately, you know, with the death of my father 7 happening in the end of 2017, there's a lot of things that had to be kind of put back together. And so I had 8 9 mentioned to you that, you know, I had to put my family on 10 I had to put my work on hold and everything else. 11 So to kind of catch up with things, it took me a while to 12 kind of get all these things together for work, family, 13 you know, and even the tax returns. 14 So, you know, around that time I -- you know, we 15 didn't -- really didn't get our lives back together where 16 we can kind of see a little bit more clear path until around -- I would say around the summertime. 17 18 JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. 19 you, Mr. Lee. 20 MR. LEE: Okay. 21 Judge Rosas, that's all my questions. 22 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you, 23 Judge Johnson. 2.4 Judge Leung, we're getting ready to wrap this up.

Any final questions from you, Judge Leung?

25

1 JUDGE LEUNG: I have no final questions, 2 Judge Rosas. Thank you. 3 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. I only had two final questions for the parties. Starting with 4 5 Franchise Tax Board, is there anything else that you would 6 like to add? 7 This is Sarah Fassett. MS. FASSETT: I don't believe there's anything else that I would like to add. 8 9 Thank you, Judge Rosas. 10 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Mr. Lo and 11 Mr. Lee, I'll give you the last question and the last word. The Appellant has the burden of proof, so I want to 12 13 give you the last word, but please do not repeat yourself. 14 My question is, other than what you've already told us here today, and other than all of the exhibits that you 15 16 have submitted into evidence, is there anything else that 17 either of you, Mr. Lee or Mr. Lo, would like this panel to 18 know in order for us to make a well-informed decision? 19 MR. LEE: This is Mr. Lee. For my behalf I 20 believe that you have heard everything that I have wanted 2.1 to say. 22 So Mr. Lo? 23 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Mr. Lo, we're 2.4 not able to hear you.

MR. LO: Mr. Lo speaking. I don't have any

25

1	further
2	MR. LEE: Oh, there you are.
3	MR. LO: I don't have any further evidence or
4	documents to present for these particular comments.
5	JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. In that case
6	this concludes the hearing in the appeal of Lee. Evidence
7	has been received. The record is now closed, and this
8	matter is submitted as of today, September 22nd, 2021.
9	The parties will receive a written decision no later than
10	100 days from today.
11	Thank you, everyone. And that includes everyone
12	on-camera and everyone behind the scenes. This hearing is
13	now adjourned, and that concludes today's hearing.
14	Thank you, and we may now go off the record.
15	(Proceedings adjourned at 2:02 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 6 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 30th day 15 of September, 2021. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25