BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ΙN	THE MATTER OF	THE APPEAL OF,)		
М.	ALONSO, JR.,)	OTA NO.	20025879
		APPELLANT.)		
)		

TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Tuesday, August 17, 2021

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS				
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
3					
4					
5 6 7 8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,) M. ALONSO, JR.,) OTA NO. 20025879 APPELLANT.)				
9)				
10					
11					
12					
13					
14	Transcript of Virtual Proceedings,				
15	taken in the State of California, commencing				
16	at 1:09 p.m. and concluding at 1:50 p.m. on				
17	Tuesday, August 17, 2021, reported by				
18	Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and				
19	for the State of California.				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	APPEARANCES:			
2				
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ MIKE LE		
4	Panel Members:	ALJ ALBERTO ROSAS ALJ ANDREW WONG		
5	raner members.			
6	For the Appellant:	M. ALONSO, JR.		
7				
8	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD		
9		SARAH FASSETT CYNTHIA KENT		
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	<u>I N D E X</u>				
2					
3	EXHIBITS				
4					
5	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-7 were previously received at the prehearing conference.)				
6					
7	(Department's Exhibits A-F were previously received at the prehearing conference.)				
8					
9		PRESENTATION			
10		DA CE			
11		<u>PAGE</u>			
12	By Mr. Alonso, Jr.	7			
13	By Ms. Fassett	20			
14					
15		REBUTTAL STATEMENT			
16					
17		<u>PAGE</u>			
18	By Mr. Alonso, Jr.	25			
19					
20		CLOSING STATEMENT			
21					
22		<u>PAGE</u>			
23	By Mr. Alonso, Jr.	26			
24					
25					

California; Tuesday, August 17, 2021 1:09 p.m.

2.4

JUDGE LE: We are opening the record in the appeal of M. Alonso, Jr. This matter is being held before the Office of Tax Appeals. The OTA Case Number is 20025879. Today's date is Tuesday August 17, 2021, and the time is approximately 1:09 p.m. This hearing is being conducted electronically with the agreement of the parties.

Today's hearing is being heard by a panel of three Administrative Law Judges. My name is Mike Le, and I'm the lead judge. Judge Alberto Rosas and Judge Andrew Wong are the other members of this tax appeals panel. All three judges will meet after the hearing and produce the written opinion as equal participants. Although the lead judge will conduct the hearing, any judge on this panel may ask questions or otherwise participate to ensure that we have all the information needed to decide this appeal.

Also, I would like to note that our stenographer Ms. Alonzo has no relations to Appellant in this matter.

Now, for introductions, my understanding is that for Respondent Franchise Tax Board Hearing Representative Sarah J. Fassett Tax Counsel is appearing together with

1 Cynthia Kent Tax Counsel Four. Is that correct, 2 Respondent? 3 MS. FASSETT: Judge Le, that is correct. 4 JUDGE LE: Thank you. 5 This is Judge Le. And my understanding is that 6 for Appellant Mr. Alonso will be appearing for himself. 7 Is that correct, Mr. Alonso? 8 MR. ALONSO: Manuel Alonso, Jr. The answers is 9 That's correct. yes. 10 JUDGE LE: Thank you very much. As discussed and 11 agreed upon by the parties at the prehearing conference on 12 August 3rd, 2021, and notated in my minutes and orders the 13 issues in this matter is whether Appellant qualifies for 14 the Head of Household filing status for 2018 tax year. 15 Appellant will testify as a witness at this oral 16 hearing. Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 4 were entered 17 into the record in my minutes and orders. Respondent's 18 Exhibits A through F were also entered into the record in 19 my minutes and orders. This oral hearing will begin with 20 Appellant's presentation/witness testimony for up to 15 minutes. 21 22 Does anyone have any questions before I swear in 23 Mr. Alonso, and Mr. Alonso will begin his presentation and 2.4 testimony?

Hearing none from either party, Mr. Alonso would

25

you raise your right hand.

M. ALONSO,

produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE LE: Thank you, Mr. Alonso. You have up to 15 minutes. Please proceed with your presentation.

MR. ALONSO: Manuel Alonso, Jr. Am I to begin right now?

JUDGE LE: Yes.

2.1

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. ALONSO: Okay. Well, good afternoon. As I stated my name, I'm Manuel Alonso, Jr. And I, obviously, for the 2018 I had claimed Head of Household for which I -- which I would put my mother on, Elisa Alonso, now deceased and was a witness. I had done this on basically after my father passed away in 2010 -- January 2010, maybe a few years after I began this process.

I don't really necessarily know why the 2018 year was scrutinized. However, I know that when I did my taxes for 2019, when I got back the state portion, they had reduced that amount, which was initially \$2,823 -- \$2,823.

They reduced that to \$813. I mean, that's the amount that -- well, you know, do the math. That's \$2,000, basically, and \$10.

2.4

Basically, I claimed my mother those years
because although my mother passed away nine months ago -it will be to the date in a couple of days. She was
living alone. She was on her own, and I was basically -I had not applied. She had not applied for IHSS, which is
In-Home Support Services from the state or the County of
Los Angeles, I should say. She was just basically living
off of her social security, which she was getting pretty
much from my father and benefits that my father was
receiving for, like, \$130. And that was totaling a month,
about close to \$1,400 a month.

My mother was not a home owner. My parents rented. As she passed she was paying probably in \$865 a month. And if you say that's impossible in Los Angeles, Mr. Alonso, that just shows how long they had been there. However, exactly, she had been there, and she was paying that. My mother was still driving an automobile. She was paying insurance on her car. Basically, she was paying for food. She went out. You know, doing the math that adds up quickly. I was helping out my mother with regards to cleaning her apartment. This was a two story apartment.

I was funding sometimes her phone bills. She was calling Mexico. I was paying for her bills. I was basically -- once in a while I'd help her out for her car insurance -- her car insurance, et cetera, and when bills kept coming towards the end. My mother had bypass surgery in 2000 -- probably 11 years ago. She had two valves put in, and they harvested veins out of her leg. She couldn't climb the stairs that well. It was a two-story. She would sleep upstairs sometimes but since, probably, her -- when she turned maybe 86, she would sleep in a sofa bed that we had.

2.1

2.4

And she used one of the closets there, and she would use that also for some of her necessities at times. I was paying for cleaning at times, et cetera, et cetera; of course, you know, under -- disposable under garments, her laundry bill, bedding that we sometimes had to change. But I was basically taking care of my mother. I have a sister. She is not participating in any way shape or form with -- with my mother and I. I have no other siblings. But I was claiming my mother, et cetera, up until she passed away this last November 2020.

And, obviously, whatever she was receiving a month, I mean, that was my parents' doing. They, you know, she was receiving that amount, but she always obviously, living in this day and age she needed to recoup

funds or needed help with funds. Or towards the end she was not doing her accounting as she used to. But I, basically, was supplanting or supplementing her income for sometime which -- but I was always there for whatever she needed.

2.1

2.4

everything or with interest, it comes out to the amount of -- I just wrote it -- of \$2,000, et cetera. Excuse me. Long story short, but with that said, I -- I don't know why that year was chosen. I -- I would like to know if it was something when I was doing my taxes, I was doing my mom's taxes as well. There was a time where I was told she didn't even need to file. I -- I think I may not have turned in her taxes when I did mine at the time or -- or for that year. Maybe that's why she was flagged while I was claiming her Head of Household. I did send things in. But once again, I was basically supplanting or -- or supplementing my mom's income, especially, until November of 2020.

Unless there's any questions from anybody on the panel or the judges, et cetera, unless, you know -- unless you have questions for me, I would just maybe have a minute or so, so I can have a closing statement.

JUDGE LE: Yeah. This is Judge Le. I'm sorry to hear about your mother. And thank you for your

1 presentation and testimony. Are you asking for time to make a closing statement on your portion of the rebuttal? 2 3 MR. ALONSO: Yes, I am Judge. JUDGE LE: Okay. Since you concluded your 4 5 opening presentation a little early, you can have an 6 additional few minutes as part of your rebuttal to make a 7 closing statement. 8 MR. ALONSO: That's perfect. Thank you. 9 JUDGE LE: Respondent Ms. Fassett, do you have 10 any questions on Appellant's factual statements in his 11 testimony? 12 MS. FASSETT: This is Sarah Fassett. I -- I do 13 not have any questions. 14 JUDGE LE: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Fassett. 15 This is Judge Le. Now, I would like to turn to 16 my ALJ panel to see if they have questions on factual 17 statements and Appellant's testimony. 18 Starting with Judge Rosas, do you have any 19 questions for Appellant? 20 JUDGE ROSAS: Good afternoon. This is 2.1 Judge Rosas. I do have a few questions for Mr. Alonso. 22 Mr. Alonso, first of all I do want echo 23 Judge Le's sentiments, our condolences for your mother's 2.4 passing. I know you mentioned it was approximately nine

months ago. Our sincere condolences, sir.

25

Now, I have a few questions regarding some of the evidence that you submitted. I don't want to assume or speculate about the exhibits. So I'd rather just ask you for what I'm looking at here. For example, there's a CVS Pharmacy receipt. What is that, or what connection are you making? I do notice that receipt is dated January 7th, 2020.

2.1

2.4

MR. ALONSO: Manuel Alonso, Jr. Judge Rosas, in -- in the past I submitted some evidence of spending that I was paying for -- for my mother, you know, from CVS. This is before -- oh, well, not including IHSS. I was not able to get a prescription for, you know, for mats, for her bedding, or she -- or -- or the undergarments that she would wear on a daily base. You know, that's what I believe I was submitting at one time when they were asking me -- when I was asked to produce some type of documentation with regards to, like, what I was spending on. And I believe that's what you may have in front of you.

JUDGE ROSAS: And is there any connection -- I'm sorry. This is Judge Rosas again. Is there any connection regarding this receipt dated 2020 to the tax year at issue?

MR. ALONSO: Manuel Alonso, Jr. Once again,

Judge, I submitted that just to -- now listening to you

saying the date. I'm just submitting that with regards to, you know, a constant of what I was spending on with regards to my mother as far as claiming her as Head -- you know, when I was claiming Head of Household, et cetera, or, you know, for being audited in this way that I was trying to give some type of proof or something that I have continuously been supplanting and supplementing my -- my, you know, whatever, you know, the \$1,300 my mother had been receiving, you know, for the last, you know,

2.1

2.4

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you for that you are clarification. It relates to my next question, Mr. Alonso. I know you did submit an AT&T monthly statement, again, dated 2020. But are you saying that you -- this is an example of the monthly bills that you were paying on behalf of your mother? Are you saying this is what you paid every month in 2018 on your mother's behalf?

MR. ALONSO: Manuel Alonso, Jr. As you broaden it, Judge, I would say that's an accurate statement, yes. I was trying to -- without being able to provide or, you know, not -- I did not save receipts, but I was trying to show some type of track record, and I did. My intention was to -- and my intention or I did annotate somewhere that I was trying to prove that, you know, these are the

types of assistance I was giving my mother. And this was on a continuum, you know, probably from, you know, probably from when she was in her early 80s on, et cetera et cetera, et cetera after she -- after she had her double bypass.

2.4

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you,
Mr. Alonso. Looking at your additional exhibits, again,
just trying to wrap my brain around your exhibits and try
to have those tie into your argument and your case
presentation. I see there's something related to
vehicles. There's a smog check and there's a DMV renewal.
The DMV renewal is from November 2019, and it's in your
name. So can you connect the dots? How is that related
to assistance you were providing to your mother in 2018?

MR. ALONSO: What most of -- I, mean, my mother was still driving locally prior to, you know, let's say April of 2020 until, you know, we -- I -- we had that conversation at the kind of, you know, at the beginning of the pandemic. And -- but I was trying to once again illustrate -- excuse me. Manuel Alonso, Jr. is speaking.

I was trying to illustrate that I was, you know, my mother's bills she was still driving. You know, she would sometimes not spend so much a month of what she had. But, you know, my mother was always good with -- with her account, with her bookkeeping, et cetera. And my father

had a business for a while prior to his passing, and my mother would do the books for him or help with the books.

2.1

2.4

But long story short, yes, I was trying to illustrate that there was maintenance for the car and, you know, the DMV renewal. And long story short, that -- we kind of rectified that if you're referring to the name on the DMV. Manuel Alonso, the car was in my father's name. But, you know, as far as AAA and maintenance, et cetera, et cetera, or whatever we had that was basically, you know, we -- if she wasn't able to cover those cost, I was covering those costs as well. Not that we had major break because she was probably driving, you know, probably 6 to 10 miles a day not excluding weekends.

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you,
Mr. Alonso. Last question. Just so you know in terms of
what I'm looking at in terms of exhibits, the last receipt
that I have in front of me is -- the name of the business
is cut off, but it's an auto repair tire service. It's
dated November 15, 2019, made out to you. The total is
about \$360. Do you want to connect the dots regarding
that to your argument.

MR. ALONSO: Manuel Alonso, Jr. Speaking. Simply once again, I was trying to -- to just keep some type of composite, so to speak, as far as like what I was paying for on the car, et cetera. It wasn't, you know, I mean,

oil changes were minuscule but, you know, from air conditioning cost to tires. There was an issue where we -- the alignment was off, and we never fixed it for several years. And the way my mother would access the parking, she would sometimes -- it -- it would -- you know, there was wear in tear, but we kind of put that problem to and end with the tires. But it's just trying to show, Judge Rosas, that, you know, I was paying for, you know, the maintenance on her automobile over the years. That's simply it.

2.4

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you,
Mr. Alonso. That's the last of the exhibits that I have
in front of me, and I wanted to list them out because you
did mention that you had submitted accountings and other
things. Are we missing anything? Did you submit any
other receipts to the Office of Tax Appeals in support of
your case?

MR. ALONSO: Manuel Alonso, Jr. speaking. No, panel. As far as I did write, like, a statement of an appeal where I included, you know, some of the things, you know, that we saw as expenses that once again I was supplanting or -- or supplementing. But, basically, that. I mean, most of it was probably for house cleaning and, you know, house maintenance. And -- and although it was and apartment, it's kind of like a town home. And they

did have these gardens that several neighbors shared, so it was like gardening.

2.4

She did have some fruit trees in the back. She had a guava tree and a fig tree and, you know, I was paying for that, the clean up of that. But that was obviously seasonal. The guavas, you know, figs in the summer, the guavas kind of in the fall, et cetera, around this time. Well, they did it twice a year. But those experiences, I was just trying to just paint a picture of the expenses that I was assisting my mother with, et cetera.

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you,
Mr. Alonso, for painting a picture. It's very helpful to
get a broad idea of the situations. I mean, you lived
through these events. We did not. So it's very helpful
for you, you know, walk us through. Last question,
Mr. Alonso. I understand where you're coming from. In
reviewing the briefs I also understand where Respondent is
coming from. And I understand their position that, you
know, to determine whether you -- that -- strike that.

They need to determine that you provided more than half of the cost of your mother's total support. And in looking at that, they're considering your mother's social security benefits, which if I'm not mistaken, as you said in your testimony it was a little less than

\$1,400 a month. I believe it was \$1,395 if I'm not mistaken. If I am mistaken, please correct me.

2.4

establish that your contributions to your mother's support were more than that. And I understand the arguments that you've made. You've painted the whole picture, and I understand the exhibits. I just want to make sure that we're leaving anything out, that we're not missing anything. Other than what you've already said and other than the evidence that you have submitted, is there anything else that you want us to consider in terms of establishing your support of your mother in 2018?

MR. ALONSO: Manuel Alonso, Jr. speaking. Thank you. And yes -- yes, I would. I would like to address the panel. My mother was living in Los Angeles. I was blessed that she was independent, that she was in good health up until -- I'd say up until 90. Aside from harvesting when they took out the veins out of her leg when they were putting in one of her valves, it left her with a bad limp. It was difficult for her to maneuver.

As far as the \$13, almost \$1,400 a month, I -- I understand if mother were to -- my parents were to have had a mortgage that they had maybe in the late 60s or in the 70s, it probably a third of that or half of that or -- or a different amount of what she was getting a month.

But she was paying about \$800 -- about close to \$900 on her rent every -- every month. And the rest of the money it just wasn't enough for her to make ends meet.

She stopped cooking, and I hired some lady to cook for her in the evenings and to leave food done. That didn't work out. My mother was eating out, et cetera, et cetera. And we -- we wanted to keep her -- she was very independent. But most of the -- most of what I was spending on was house cleaning, you know, paying for the undergarments that she was using two to three a day that wreck -- excuse me -- that racked up cost. And -- and just other -- other things, you know, which I was trying to illustrate and what you were explaining, Judge Rosas.

But aside from that, you know, the house cleaning towards the end after -- I mean, prior to 2019, 2018, I did not have anyone staying with her. There was a family -- a family that came from out of the country to stay with her for several month, I believe, back in 2017. However, she stayed here for a relatively -- maybe three months, four months to assist. But, you know, whatever the spending was, you know, for my mother and for those expenses, that's what I -- I was paying for.

That was the supplanting. That's the picture I was trying to paint. But if there are any questions of specifics, well, obviously, Judge Rosas, you've asked them

1 of me already. So I just want everybody to understand that that's what I was doing as her son while claiming 2 3 House Hold, while claiming my mother, et cetera. And that's it. 4 5 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you, 6 Mr. Alonso. I have no further questions at this time. 7 JUDGE LE: Thank you, Judge Rosas. 8 Judge Wong, do you have any questions for 9 Appellant? 10 JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. I have no 11 questions. Thank you. 12 JUDGE LE: Thank you, Judge Wong. 13 I have no questions myself. So Respondent 14 Ms. Fassett, it's now your turn for your presentation. 15 You have up to 15 minutes. Please proceed. Thank you. 16 17 PRESENTATION 18 MS. FASSETT: This is Sarah Fassett. Thank you, 19 Judge Le. So good afternoon. 20 As I already said my name is Sarah Fassett, and I 2.1 along with Cynthia Kent represent the Franchise Tax Board 22 or FTB. And as Judge Le mentioned in this appeal there's 23 only one issue, and that is whether Appellant has established entitlement to use of the Head of Household 2.4

filing status for the 2018 tax year.

25

So here, Appellant claims that he properly filed his 2018 California income tax return using the Head of Household filing status. Appellant claimed his then 92-year-old mother as his qualifying person for purposes of the Head of Household filing status. Appellant asserts, in both his protest and appeal, that his mother's living expenses included her approximately \$865 in rent and other normal recurring monthly expenses as well as expensed that helped to keep her living independently. Appellant also claims that he provided \$300 to \$500 per month and sometimes more to supplement his mother's sole source of income, her social security.

2.4

For the reasons set forth in FTB's opening brief as well as what I am going to discuss today, FTB's action should be sustained as the evidence in the record clearly shows that Appellant has not established entitlement to use of the Head of Household filing status for the 2018 tax year. And because Appellant has not established entitlement to that filing status, Franchise Tax Board correctly proposed an assessment of \$1,871 of additional tax.

In order for a taxpayer to be eligible for the Head of Household filing status, they must meet certain legal requirements as outlined in California

Revenue & Taxation Code Section 17042 of the -- excuse

me -- which incorporates by reference Section 2 subsection (b) and (c) of the Internal Revenue Code. As relevant to this appeal, the only requirement at issue is whether Appellant's qualifying person, his mother, meets the requirements to be a qualifying relative.

2.4

Specifically, whether Appellant has established that the requirements of this support test, as set forth in Section I subsection (4) of FTB's Law Summary Exhibit E, have been met. To meet the requirements of this support test, Appellant must have paid more than half the cost of keeping up a home, that was his mother's main home, for the entire year in 2018. Being his parent, his mother's home could have been her own home, such as a house or an apartment. However, Appellant did have to provide more than half the cost of his total -- of this mother's total support.

As discussed in the precedential opinions by this office, the Appeals of Sedillo and Verma, it is the taxpayer's burden to substantiate and establish their entitlement to use of the Head of Household filing status through the production of confident and credible evidence. Unsupported assertions are never sufficient to satisfy a taxpayer's burden or to overcome the presumption of correctness that attaches to FTB's determinations.

In this case, while FTB believes that Appellant

has provided some support to his mother during all of the years that he's mentioned for 2018, Appellant has not met his burden. Appellant's unsupported arguments did not establish his entitlement to use of the Head of Household filing status. While Appellant has provided documentation establishing the total of his mother's income for the tax year at issue, he has provided no evidence of the amounts of her expenses or the amounts he actually paid toward her support during 2018.

2.1

2.4

And even though Appellant attached copies of receipts to his Appeal Letter, unfortunately, two are from 2019 and two are from 2020. And those amounts without more do not substantiate the total actual expenditures made by Appellant during the tax year at issue. Even using Appellant's reported monthly expense -- or supplements to his mother's income of even \$3 to \$500, he has not shown that he has provided more than half of his mother's total support for the tax year at issue.

So based not on the unsubstantiated arguments made by Appellant on the appeal, he has not met his burden and has not established entitlement to the use of the Head of Household filing status for the 2018 tax year.

Therefore, on the facts and evidence in the record,

Franchise Tax Board respectfully request you sustain its position.

I am happy to address any questions the panel may have and thank you.

JUDGE LE: Thank you, Ms. Fassett.

2.1

2.4

Let me turn to my ALJ panel to see if they have any questions for you.

Starting with Judge Rosas, do you have any questions for Respondent.

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. I have one question. Technically, it's a question that Mr. Alonso raised during his case at presentation. I believe he was curious about why was this matter flagged for 2018. I'm wondering, Ms. Fassett, whether you would be able to provide a response, if you know.

MS. FASSETT: Initially, it looks like because on his Form 3532, which is Head of Household Verification that he attached to his 2018 return, he reported his mother's gross income. I believe at that point it was \$17,000. I'm sorry. One second. That's Exhibit A, page 6. And he reported his qualifying person's gross income in 2018 as \$17,862. So without further verification, that does not meet the gross income test and would disqualify her as a qualifying person based on that amount of income.

Does that answer your question, Judge Rosas?

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you for

that clarification, Ms. Fassett. That does answer my question, and I have no further questions. Thank you.

JUDGE LE: Thank you, Judge Rosas.

This is Judge Le. Judge Wong, do you have any questions for Respondent?

JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. No questions. Thank you.

JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. Thank you, Judge Wong.

At this time let's turn to Appellant Mr. Alonso.

It is now your turn for your rebuttal to Respondent's arguments. And you have up to 10 minutes plus a few minutes for a closing statement if you wish. Please proceed. Thank you.

2.1

2.4

REBUTTAL STATEMENT

MR. ALONSO: Manuel Alonso, Jr., speaking. Well, obviously, I heard the report she just gave, you know. I understand what Judge Rosas said, you know, as far as I -- I track what his question was about, you know, for that year, and what she said. I don't know if what laws changed that year or were different because I don't see any inconsistencies with -- with what I was doing with regards to doing my part as a citizen, you know, paying taxes, et cetera, and claiming my mother. So it's still

a -- I'm still surprised for -- for that.

But honestly, I just ask the judges when they make their decision if the amount I have to pay can be reduced substantially. And I would like it to be reduced to half of what I owe. I'd like that -- I would like them to consider that, please.

JUDGE LE: Thank you, Mr. Alonso. Would you also like to make a closing statement at this time?

2.1

2.4

CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. ALONSO: At this time just that I'd like to reduce the amount of \$2,086 by half and -- during financial times, yes, I am employed. Thank goodness I'm healthy. My -- my partner is healthy. However, I wish if the Court could -- I ask for some mercy there, if they could reduce that amount to half instead of \$2,086, to half, \$1,043.04. That's all I have to say.

Thank you.

JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. Thank you,
Mr. Alonso. Let me turn again to my ALJ panel to see if
they have any final questions.

Judge Rosas, do you have any final questions for Appellant?

JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you, Judge Le.

1 I have a question for Respondent in terms of 2 whether -- I think, they may be in a better position to 3 provide general information about the Franchise Tax Board's alternative resolutions, services, and I'm 4 5 referring to offers and compromise or settlement. More on 6 point to Mr. Alonso's attempt at settlement, perhaps the 7 Franchise Tax Board can respond to that question. 8 MS. FASSETT: This is Sarah Fassett. I'm happy 9 to e-mail Mr. Alonso the information about offer and 10 compromise, installment agreements, and/or how to request 11 a settlement. 12 JUDGE ROSAS: This is Judge Rosas. Thank you, 13 Ms. Fassett. And I do not have any other questions. 14 Thank you. 15 JUDGE LE: Thank you, Judge Rosas. 16 Judge Wong, do you have any questions for either 17 party? 18 JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. I have no 19 questions. Thank you. 20 JUDGE LE: Thank you. Judge Wong. 2.1 This is Judge Le. I myself do not have any 22 questions for either party. Does either party have any 23 questions before we conclude this hearing? 2.4 MR. ALONSO: Manuel Alonso. So what she was just

saying as far as -- I don't know what the outcome is, so

25

2.4

are you settling -- is this going -- are you reaching a settlement right now? Are you reaching, you know, a verdict or something? I don't understand. Is that where we're going with this?

JUDGE LE: So after this hearing the ALJ panel will meet and confer, and then we will issue an opinion that will have our determination.

MR. ALONSO: Okay. And --

JUDGE LE: So it will be about 100 days after today, and you will receive a written determination from us.

MR. ALONSO: And will that amount still continue to gain interest on that in those 100 days?

JUDGE LE: I believe so. I will have to have Respondent answer that question for you, if they wish to.

MR. ALONSO: And when -- when will I find out?
Will I find out at that time if -- if they agree to reduce the amount of \$2,086.08 to half that or a portion of that?

JUDGE LE: As to Respondent's settlement program for offer and compromise they mentioned, I believe they mentioned that they will send you information about that at the end of this hearing. And so we don't have -- the Office of Tax Appeals does not administer the Franchise Tax Board settlement program.

MS. FASSETT: This is Sarah Fassett. Mr. Alonso,

the decision -- well, and -- when OTA sends a decision, they're going to decide on the issue as it is right now.

I believe they do not have the authority to reduce an assessment of tax. To your question of interest, interest does continue to accrue. You have not made a deposit -- a tax deposit for that amount. So that amount does continue to accrue interest every day.

2.4

The offer and comprise program as well as settlement can only occur after this appeal is concluded. You can enter into an installment agreement if you wanted to pay monthly, but that's something you would have to set up. And I am more than happy to send you the information about settlement — the settlement program, the OIC, Offer and Compromise Program, and the possibility of installment agreement. And excuse me. The settlement can occur now if you choose to go the settlement route.

MR. ALONSO: Manuel Alonso, Jr., speaking. I don't know what you mean by the settlement route as opposed to -- what do you mean? I mean, I'm going to have to pay this amount. So what do you mean by settlement?

MS. FASSETT: So settlement would be outside of the appeal itself. It would be -- you would provide -- you would request from a different bureau here in Legal.

So I couldn't take care of your settlement request. So that bureau here in Legal Division at Franchise Tax Board

1 would look at it and determine if -- if they're -- would 2 choose you to accept your appeal into the settlement 3 program. MR. ALONSO: Okay. I'm sorry. It's -- what 4 5 you're saying, so I have a -- an option to do a settlement 6 program? 7 JUDGE LE: So I'm going to stop both parties at this point. 8 9 MR. ALONSO: Judge Le, I don't have any -- I 10 don't understand what she's talking about. Is that 11 something -- a conversation I need to have on our own or 12 something? 13 JUDGE LE: Yes. So in regard to the FTB 14 settlement program, the FTB and you, Mr. Alonso, would 15 need to contact each other after this hearing to 16 coordinate on how the settlement would proceed. 17 Office of Tax Appeals does not have jurisdiction on the 18 FTB's settlement program. 19 MR. ALONSO: Okay. Great. 20 JUDGE LE: Thank you. Okay. Are there any other 2.1 questions before we conclude this hearing today from 22 either party? 23 MR. ALONSO: Yeah. When do I contact you, the 2.4 woman who was explaining to me, with the glasses? Not

25

Ms. Alonzo.

1 JUDGE LE: I believe you have her contact 2 information. MR. ALONSO: Exactly I do, Judge Le. I was just 3 wondering, do I contact her ASAP? Is that the case for an 4 5 appeal that you were stating? 6 JUDGE LE: Yeah. I believe you can contact her 7 at any point after this hearing. 8 Okay. Just checking one more time. Any other 9 final questions before we conclude today's hearing? 10 Hearing none from either party, we're ready to conclude 11 this hearing. 12 This case is submitted on August 17, 2021. 13 record is now closed. 14 Thank you everyone for coming in today. 15 Judges will meet and decide this case later on. And we 16 will send you a written opinion of our decision within 100 17 days. Today's hearing in the Appeal of M. Alonso, Jr., is 18 adjourned. 19 (Proceedings adjourned at 1:50 p.m.) 20 21 2.2 23 2.4 25

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 27th day 15 of August, 2021. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25