BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)
)
JAKE J. GALLINETTI, INC.,) OTA NO. 19105358
)
APPELLANT.)
)
)

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Thursday, November 18, 2021

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)
6	JAKE J. GALLINETTI, INC.,) OTA NO. 19105358
7)
8	APPELLANT.))
9	,
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,
15	taken in the State of California, commencing
16	at 9:40 a.m. and concluding at 10:22 a.m. on
17	Thursday, November 18, 2021, reported by
18	Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and
19	for the State of California.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		
4		
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ TERESA STANLEY
4	Panel Members:	ALJ ANDREA LONG
5		ALJ JOHN JOHNSON
6	For the Appellant:	J. GALLINETTI O. J. WHITE
7		P. WHITE
8	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	101 the Respondent.	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
10		ERIC BROWN MARIA BROSTERHOUS
11		MARIA BROSIERHOUS
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>INDEX</u>
2	
3	<u>EXHIBITS</u>
4	(Amazallantia Eubibita 1 Carron magained at mana 0)
5	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-6 were received at page 8.)
6	(Department's Exhibits A-I and K were received at page 8.)
7	OPENING STATEMENT
8	PAGE
9	By Mr. White 10
10	by MI. WHILE
11	WITNESS TESTIMONY
12	
13	<u>PAGE</u>
14	Mrs. White 13
15	Mr. Gallinetti 14
16	PRESENTATION
17	
18	<u>PAGE</u>
19	By Mr. Brown 19
20	
21	<u>CLOSING STATEMENT</u>
22	<u>PAGE</u>
23	By Mr. White 29
24	
25	

1	California; Thursday, November 18, 2021
2	9:40 a.m.
3	
4	JUDGE STANLEY: We're going on the record in the
5	Appeal of Jake J. Gallinetti, Inc. Our Office of Tax
6	Appeals Case Number is 19105358. The date is
7	November 18th, 2021, and the time is approximately
8	9:40 a.m. This matter was originally scheduled for a
9	hearing in Cerritos, California, but it is being conducted
10	remotely due to Covid-19.
11	The judges on the panel today are myself,
12	Judge Stanley, and we also have Judge Andrea Long and
13	Judge John Johnson. As I said, I will conduct the
14	hearing, but the panel will equally deliberate and issue a
15	written opinion.
16	Let's start with identifying the parties,
17	starting with the Appellants, Mr. White.
18	MR. WHITE: Okay. James White, Certified Public
19	Accountant, 100 percent shareholder, and CEO, president of
20	O. James White, CPA Accountancy Corporation.
21	JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Thank you.
22	And Franchise Tax Board representatives?
23	MR. BROWN: I am Eric Brown, Tax Counsel with the
24	Franchise Tax Board.
25	MS. BROSTERHOUS: Maria Brosterhous, also of the

1 Franchise Tax Board. 2 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Thank you. 3 Right now we need to discuss a couple of things about exhibits. We did have Appellant's Exhibits 1 4 5 through 5 that were submitted on time. We have an 6 additional four-page document that was delivered after the 7 due date established at the prehearing conference. So let me go into that for a second. 8 9 Mr. Brown, did you receive a copy of that? 10 MR. BROWN: I did receive a copy. 11 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. So it's a four-page 12 document, and I will note that page 1 just appears to be a copy of page 1 of my prehearing conference minutes and 13 14 orders. 15 So, Mr. White, you're not saying that would be 16 evidence, right? 17 MR. WHITE: No. It's like a transmittal --18 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Like a --19 MR. WHITE: -- that's same as the support 20 documents for the first item of the issues to be resolved. 21 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. This is Judge Stanley. 22 Thank you. So page 2 is a business entity e-file waiver 23 request confirmation. 2.4 Mr. White, would you please address why you were

unable to get this to us by Friday the 12th.

25

1 MR. WHITE: I could not locate it. One piece of 2 paper amongst many pieces of paper, but I found it to my 3 great relief. It may be -- well, you'll make an administrative decision regarding its applicability and 4 5 timeliness, but that's why it was submitted. It was a 6 lost lamb, but I found it. 7 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. And, Mr. Brown, does the Franchise Tax Board have any objection to admitting this 8 9 document into evidence? 10 MR. BROWN: This is Eric Brown, and, no, we don't 11 have any objection. 12 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. This is Judge --13 MR. WHITE: Thank you. 14 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. Pages 3 15 and 4 appear to be schedules of the Appellant's tax return 16 for the tax year at issue. I note that these are already 17 in Respondent's Exhibit A. 18 Mr. White, is there any reason that we need to 19 readmit these particular schedules? 20 MR. WHITE: I'll leave that administrative 2.1 decision to you, Judge Stanley. The reason why they were 22 supporting documents is because they were -- they are 23 referred to in the Franchise Tax Board document that was

just a few moments ago admitted. And they identify

line 5, Schedule M-2, which the Franchise Tax Board's

2.4

25

1 reasoning as to why the return was rejected. And that 2 reasoning, based upon the statistics reflected on the tax 3 return pages that I have submitted is unclear to me. JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. This is Judge Stanley. 4 5 Mr. Brown, does FTB have any objection to 6 attaching those two pages to the waiver request 7 confirmation? 8 MR. BROWN: Eric Brown. And, no, we don't have 9 any objection. 10 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. This is Judge Stanley. 11 What we'll do is we'll mark these three pages as 12 Appellant's Exhibit 6, with the business entity e-file 13 waiver request and the two documents that are supporting 14 that document. So we'll mark those as Exhibit 6 and 15 finding good cause and no objection by Franchise Tax 16 Board, we will admit that document into evidence as well. 17 So we'll be admitting Appellant's Exhibits 1 18 through 6 into evidence at this time and Respondent's 19 Exhibits A through -- let's see, A through I and Exhibit K 20 into evidence. 21 (Appellant's Exhibits 1-6 were received 22 in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 23 (Department's Exhibits A-I, and K were received 2.4 in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 25 And the reason that I'm omitting Franchise Tax

1 Board's Exhibits J and L are because those are law 2 summaries, so they're really not evidence. 3 Mr. Brown, do you have any reason why you want those admitted into evidence? 4 5 MR. BROWN: No. I don't have any -- any feelings regarding that. 6 7 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. This is Judge Stanley. Thank you. Do either -- does either party have any other 8 9 issues with respect to exhibits, starting with Mr. White? 10 MR. WHITE: I have none other that have come to 11 mind, Your Honor. That's the end of the sentence. 12 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. 13 Mr. Brown, do you have any other issues with respect to 14 exhibits? 15 MR. BROWN: This is Eric Brown, and we have no 16 other issues. 17 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Great. Then let's move 18 forward. We have three issues in this appeal. One is 19 whether Appellant has established reasonable cause to 20 abate the late-filing penalty imposed, pursuant to 2.1 Revenue & Taxation Code Section 19172.5. Number Two is 22 whether Appellant has established a basis to abate 23 interest. And Number Three is whether Appellant has 2.4 established a basis to abate the collection cost recovery

25

fee.

Starting with Mr. White, does that comport with your understanding of the issues?

MR. WHITE: James White. At the moment, Judge Stanley.

JUDGE STANLEY: And this is Judge Stanley.

Mr. Brown, are those the issues that presented in this case today?

MR. BROWN: Yes, those are the issues.

JUDGE STANLEY: Great. Okay. Let's then move onto presentation of the case, and Mr. White had requested just a five-minute opening statement.

So I'll let you proceed when you're ready,
Mr. White.

2.1

2.4

OPENING STATEMENT

MR. WHITE: My opening statement is that I'm trained and have conducted myself in the last 50 years of practice to follow procedures. And the continuing education courses that I take teach me the proper procedures for following Revenue & Taxation law. I do not interpret law. I do not practice law. It's my understanding that the procedures for properly -- not for properly -- but for timely filing the 2016 Form 100-S for Gallinetti, Incorporated was for -- to file by -- and if not filed by that date, the extension would automatically

be given until October the 15th, which was Sunday,
October 16th of 2017. That was accomplished so there
should be no late-filing penalty applied.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. Does that conclude your opening?

MR. WHITE: That is my opening.

Move on to witness testimony, and I'm going to swear in any witness who will testify. And I want to point out, while we're on the record, that we will not be swearing any Franchise Tax Board members because their only participation will be argument and presenting their case. They will not be presenting any facts. They will just be referring to any facts that are already in the evidentiary record. That's just to explain so it doesn't look unfair why I'm swearing one side but not the other.

MR. WHITE: James White. That does appear to be an unbalanced teeter-totter. Please continue.

JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. I understand that, and that's why I explained so that people know they will not be testifying to any facts. Mr. White, who would you like to testify first.

MR. WHITE: Let me ask. James White. Let me ask Patricia White, my spouse of 60 years, if she would like to go first.

1	MRS. WHITE: Okay.
2	JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. This is Judge Stanley.
3	Why don't I since I can see you all three there
4	together, why don't I just swear you all three in and then
5	your statements can be taken as fact and used as evidence
6	in the hearing. So would you please raise your right
7	hands.
8	
9	O. JAMES WHITE,
10	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
11	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified
12	as follows:
13	
14	P. WHITE,
14 15	$rac{ ext{P. WHITE}}{ ext{NHITE}}$ produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
15	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
15 16 17	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified
15 16	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified
15 16 17 18	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows:
15 16 17 18	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows: J. GALLINETTI,
15 16 17 18 19	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows: J. GALLINETTI, produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows: J. GALLINETTI, produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows: J. GALLINETTI, produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified

having witnesses testifying in the narrative or asking questions, whichever is more comfortable.

2.4

WITNESS TESTIMONY

MR. WHITE: James White. Patricia, did you, in fact, file and enter into our log the J. J. Gallinetti, Inc., 2016 return on October the 16th, 2017.

MRS. WHITE: I did. I put it in the mailbox and mailed it. And the reason we ended up mailing it was because of our software. When we sent the return to the Franchise Tax Board, the Franchise Tax Board rejected it. And we requested that our software experts, which is the Lacerte, why it was rejected, and they said there was no reason for it to be rejected. But because it was rejected, we mailed it. And we mailed it on time.

That's it for me.

JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Thank you. This is Judge Stanley.

Mr. White, do you have any questions of Mr. Gallinetti?

MR. WHITE: James White. My client for 30 years has been J. J. Gallinetti and then J. J. Gallinetti, Inc., an esteemed chicken rancher in the Clovis area. He has produced millions of birds that all of us enjoyed at various locations during our lifetime, I'm sure.

Jake, do you have any observations regarding the proceedings?

2.1

2.4

WITNESS TESTIMONY

MR. GALLINETTI: Not on the proceedings, but I would like to interact. I've been -- if I can. This is Jake Gallinetti. I've been a taxpayer ever since I was 12 years old. I worked with my dad for many years out at the chicken ranch. I took it over. I purchased it in my 20s, and I've been paying taxes all my life. I have a 100 percent confidence in O. J. White in the last 30 years that he's been doing my accounting.

And I really am disappointed in this and -- on what's going and on what has happened. And I was embarrassed with my bank and other people that I work with on what has happened. And I'd really appreciate it if we can resolve this and take care of this with no other action taken.

Thank you.

JUDGE STANLEY: Thank you. This is Judge Stanley.

Mr. White, do you yourself have any testimony to present.

MR. WHITE: I believe I have stated our case in my opening statement, Your Honor.

1 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. You can call me 2 Judge Stanley. Since we're not a court we don't -- we try 3 not to use "Your Honor." 4 MR. WHITE: As you wish. 5 JUDGE STANLEY: Thank you. Okay. Again, this is 6 Judge Stanley, and I want to see if any of our judge 7 panel, our ALJs have any questions for any of these witnesses. 8 I'll start with Judge Long. 10 JUDGE LONG: Good morning this is Judge Long. 11 just had a quick clarifying question. It seems that --12 MR. WHITE: You need to help me here. 13 JUDGE LONG: Can you hear me, okay? 14 MR. WHITE: I cannot. 15 MRS. WHITE: Okay. I can hear you. 16 JUDGE LONG: All right. Can you hear me now? 17 That's better. Yes. MRS. WHITE: 18 Okay. I'll speak a little louder. JUDGE LONG: 19 So I just had a couple of clarifying questions about the 20 dates that -- that you mailed the return. Was that in 2.1 October or in September? 22 MR. WHITE: James White. I affirm Mrs. White's 23 testimony per our written record chronologically maintained. It was filed on October the 16th, 2017. 2.4 25 October the 5th, 2017 was a Sunday. So I believe Monday

October 16th was a valid date for filing. That's it.

MRS. WHITE: Yeah.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Long. I think the record show it was in September. I'm trying where I found that date originally, and I just want to make sure we have our dates correct.

MR. WHITE: James White. I am curious myself as to all of the records into it or Lacerte, which is a division into it, the Franchise Tax Board and their records. In any regarded, being a professional following the standards that I've been taught, we had an automatic extension allowed to us to file that return, which rolled over to the 16th of October 2017, and we complied.

I'm finished.

JUDGE LONG: Thank you. I was mistaken. Thank you. That was my only question.

JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Thank you, Judge Long.

Judge Johnson do you have any questions of these witnesses?

JUDGE JOHNSON: Hi. This is Judge Johnson.

Mrs. White or Mr. White or whoever can answer, with regard to trying to file electronically and it being rejected and ultimately filed by paper, by mail, you submitted that business entity e-file waiver request. Do you know the dates of when you requested the waiver and when you

1 received the waiver granted from FTB?

2.4

MR. WHITE: James White. Judge Johnson, thank you for the question. I have no recall whatsoever regarding that waiver.

JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. Thank you.

And, Mrs. White, you would not have any other information about that as well?

MRS. WHITE: No. Just that it was rejected when we tried to e-file it, and that was in September when it was rejected.

JUDGE JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you. And it may be in Franchise Tax Board's presentation that the explanation of that was an automatic granting of a waiver or something like that, but we can wait for them to discuss that as well. No further questions.

Thank you, Judge Stanley.

MR. WHITE: Thank you.

JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. And just as a follow up, I know, Mr. White, you said you don't have any independent recollection, but your last attempt to submit electronically appears to be -- if I'm remembering correctly -- on or about September 17th. Do you know who would have processed that document, the request for the waiver, and whether it would have been filed -- whether it

1 was filed immediately after the final rejection or whether 2 it would have been later on down the road? 3 MR. WHITE: James White. Judge Stanley, thank you again for asking the question in another way. I -- I 4 absolutely have no recall regarding that document. 5 6 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. 7 MR. WHITE: The whole process was a maze of months of time and frustration with -- with responses from 8 9 people we rely upon to help us do our business 10 efficiently. So a one-time issue, it's not occurred 11 subsequently for which everyone is very grateful. 12 JUDGE STANLEY: Thank you, Mr. White. MR. WHITE: Thanks. 13 14 JUDGE STANLEY: I'm sorry. I forgot to ask 15 Mr. Brown if the Franchise Tax Board has any questions of any witness. 16 17 MR. BROWN: This is Eric Brown. And, no, I have 18 no questions at this time. 19 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Thank you. So not a huge 20 faux pas there by skipping you. Sorry, Mr. Brown. 21 Okay. If you nothing further, Mr. White, we'll 22 move on to the presentation by the Franchise Tax Board. 23 Mr. Brown, you may proceed when ready. 2.4 MR. BROWN: Thank you. /// 25

PRESENTATION

2.1

2.4

MR. BROWN: Good morning. My name is Eric Brown, tax counsel with the Franchise Tax Board, and my co-counsel is Maria Brosterhous.

The Appellant corporation seeks a refund of \$639.99 consisting of the S corporation late-filing penalty of \$252, a statutory collection cost recovery fee of \$374, and interest. The facts are set forth in FTB's opening brief, but I'll provide a brief summary.

Appellant filed its return seven months late, and the Franchise Tax Board imposed the S corporation late-filing penalty. After several notices were sent to Appellant without a response, the FTB initiated collection action and imposed a collection cost recovery fee. Appellant argues that it made several unsuccessful attempts to electronically file its S corporation tax return and that the attempts were unsuccessful because its tax preparer's tax preparation software caused the returns to be rejected by the Franchise Tax Board.

Appellant argues that the FTB inexplicably and periodically rejects electronically filed returns, and Appellant's return was one such return that was arbitrarily rejected. However, the evidence shows that Appellant's unsuccessful attempts to electronically file its return were, in each case, due to problems that had

nothing to do with the Franchise Tax Board. Indeed, the evidence shows that the problems were due to preparation errors in the returns themselves, which the tax preparation software rejected each time electronic filing was attempted.

2.1

2.4

As indicated in the declaration of Muna Yan, a longtime FTB employee who was familiar with FTB's electronic filing system, whenever electronically filed tax returns are rejected, and electronic file is kept.

Ms. Yan declared that she made a diligent search for evidence that Appellant's attempted electronic filings were rejected by FTB but found no record of attempted filings.

Ms. Yan further asserted that she spoke with a representative from the tax preparation software company who confirmed that in Appellant's case the returns were rejected because of a schema validation error, which means FTB never received Appellant's actual return, let alone that it rejected the return. Nevertheless, notwithstanding that Appellant was unable to timely file its tax return electronically, Appellant made no effort to file a printed return.

The Board of Equalization determined that a taxpayer's oversight leading to an untimely filing of its tax return does not constitute reasonable cause.

Likewise, in 2018 in the precedential decision of Quality Tax Financial Services, Inc., the Office of Tax Appeals determined that reasonable cause was not shown when a taxpayer had difficulty e-filing its return and held that after multiple e-filing rejections, the ordinary prudent businessperson would have made other attempts to file prior to the end of the extension period, such as filing a paper return.

2.4

Since Appellant ignored numerous demands for payment, the FTB was required to employ collection activities, and so it imposed a collection cost recovery fee. There is no provision in the law to abate a collection cost recovery fee. Similarly, Appellant failed to show any evidence or present any argument as to why interest should be abated. Imposition of interest is mandatory. It is not a penalty, and there is no reasonable cause exception to the imposition of interest. Interest is simply a compensation to the State of California for the use of money until the amount owed is paid in full.

In view of the above, FTB's proposed assessment should be sustained. Thank you. I will respond to any of the panel's questions.

JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. Thank you, Mr. Brown.

Judge Long, do you have any questions of the 1 Franchise Tax Board? 2 3 JUDGE LONG: This is Judge Long. I do. Just give me a moment so I can review them guickly. 4 5 So, FTB, do you know when the Appellant submitted their waiver for the e-filing? 6 7 MR. BROWN: I was unable to find anything about the waiver that he was discussing. I didn't see it in any 8 of the briefs, and we don't have any record of a waiver. 10 JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you. And so you've 11 mentioned that because FTB has no records of the 12 Appellant's attempts to e-file, does that mean there would be no avenue for reasonable cause in FTB's opinion? 13 14 MR. BROWN: I'm not sure I understand your 15 question. Are you asking that because FTB has no record 16 of attempts to e-file that that wouldn't constitute 17 reasonable cause? 18 So I was trying to understand JUDGE LONG: Yes. 19 FTB's position on this. As you stated that there was 20 no -- in FTB's records there was no records of Appellant's 2.1 attempting to e-file. So I guess my question is how -- is 22 that the only -- if -- had FTB had records, would that 23 be -- would that constitute reasonable cause? 2.4 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge. Eric Brown. The 25 way I would answer that is I would tell you that we

1 have -- we keep records of any electronic filings that are 2 That would suggest that records -- or that rejected. 3 filings that are attempted but rejected do come into FTB. That is not the case here as we explained. It appears 4 5 that the filings were rejected. The tax preparation 6 software vendor -- and didn't get to FTB, and that was the 7 purpose of the evidence of Ms. Yan. 8 JUDGE LONG: Then my last question for now is, 9 can you explain what a schema validation error is? 10 MR. BROWN: Thank you. I do not know what that 11 I just know that it indicates from the tax 12 preparation vendor -- software vendor that it is an error 13 that is not on FTB's side. It was on the preparer and tax 14 preparation software's end, if you will. 15 JUDGE LONG: Thank you. I have no further 16 questions. 17 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. 18 Judge Johnson, do you have any questions? 19 JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. 20 In Appellant's opening arguments, I believe he 2.1 mentioned that he filed by the auto extension for 22 October 2017. When was the extension? Franchise Tax 23 Board, when was the extension -- sorry -- automatic filing 2.4 extensions for S corporations for the 2016 tax year?

MR. BROWN: Eric Brown. The extension is six

25

months after the third month after the close of the tax 1 2 That would have been September 15th. 3 JUDGE JOHNSON: Thank you. No further questions. 4 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. 5 Mr. Brown, you indicated that you don't have a record of 6 that waiver request or grant. Do you happen to have any 7 personal knowledge of whether those waivers are automatic 8 through the FTB website? 9 MR. BROWN: Eric Brown. I am not aware. 10 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. So the waiver indicates 11 that it was granted based on reasonable cause. 12 explain whether it's the Franchise Tax Board's position 13 that reasonable cause in the -- with respect to the 14 e-filing mandatory requirement is different from 15 reasonable cause with respect to a late-filing penalty? 16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge. May I ask for you 17 to repeat the question to clarify, please? 18 MS. BROSTERHOUS: Eric, you know what, can I take 19 I think I know what Judge Stanley is trying to get this? 20 at. 21 Thank you. MR. BROWN: 22 MS. BROSTERHOUS: I'd like to indicate that the 23 document we received as an exhibit regarding the waiver 2.4 indicates that the taxpayer requested the waiver based on

reasonable cause. There's nothing actually in that waiver

25

to indicate that we granted it based on that reason. 1 2 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley again. So, 3 Ms. Brosterhous, it says that -- the document does say, "Your waiver has been granted for the return and tax 4 5 period." And then they say, "Reason for waiver, reasonable cause," and it's based on rejection of the 100 6 7 S for negative number in Schedule M-2, line 5. So --8 MS. BROSTERHOUS: Okay. Perhaps I misread it. 9 read that line as that that was the reason it was 10 requested. I apologize for that. I would say that 11 because we don't know whether that waiver is an automatic 12 grant, we can't really speak to the reason it was granted. 13 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. And this 14 document was submitted late. So I understand that the 15 Franchise Tax Board may not be prepared to address the 16 kind of questions that we're asking today, and we --17 MR. WHITE: Pardon me, Judge. James White. That 18 statement appears prejudicial. 19 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. 20 MR. WHITE: Yeah. James White. It was not filed 2.1 late, but it may not be appropriate for me to speak. 22 so, I apologize. 23 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. I'll take 2.4 that as an objection to what I was saying. With respect 25 to late, our deadline that we established for new exhibits was Friday, November the 12th, and this document was submitted to us on November 15th, three days later or after the weekend. So with respect to our deadlines, it was late, and we decided to admit it based on good cause and without Franchise Tax Board's objection.

2.1

2.4

So all I'm saying is that they may need an opportunity after the hearing to address the kind of questions we're asking about a document they just received just a couple of days ago. So that's all I'm saying.

MR. WHITE: James White. Judge Stanley, I was entirely out of line. I was referring to my perception of the conversation going to the tax return being filed late. I wish it was not. And I'll read to you statutory information relative to the return being — to be filed on the 15th day of the 4th month and statutory statements which identify that the extensions are automatically given to October the 15th. That's it for me. James White.

JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. This is Judge Stanley.

I'll just continue with my questions because I don't think
the Franchise Tax Board has had a chance to consider
whether or not reasonable cause, with respect to the
e-file waiver request, would be the same standard with
respect to reasonable cause for a late-filed return.

So if the Franchise Tax Board would like to do so, I can give you time to look into that and also

possibly check Franchise Tax Board's records to see if you know when this form was submitted and granted; and thirdly, whether this is an automated kind of grant as opposed to something that's considered by a person at the Franchise Tax Board.

Would the Franchise Tax Board like the opportunity to do that?

2.4

MR. BROWN: Yes, Judge. Eric Brown. In so far as the date -- the extended deadline is concerned, we have attached copy of FTB Notice 2016-04, which indicates that the hearing or the extension deadline for S corporation returns is the 6th month. That would be September 15th after the third month, which would have been March 15th.

MR. WHITE: It's right here. James White. Verbatim.

JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. Let me just say that the panel is capable of figuring out the dates and that we will include that discussion in the opinion when we issue the opinion and the appropriate response.

MR. WHITE: No disrespect was intended, Judge.

JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. So, Mr. Brown, were you
saying that you would want the opportunity to brief this
following the conclusion of the hearing?

MR. BROWN: Yes. We would like that. However,

the filing deadline is the filing deadline. And whether 1 2 the tax return was filed electronically or received by 3 mail is not the point here. The point is when it was received. 4 5 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. understand. Okay. 6 7 Judge Long or Judge Johnson, do you have any follow-up questions? 8 9 JUDGE LONG: This is Judge Long. No questions 10 from me. 11 JUDGE JOHNSON: Judge Johnson. No questions. 12 Thank you. 13 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. This is Judge Stanley. 14 Mr. Brown, how much time would you like to be able to just 15 brief that, basically what you just said? 16 MR. BROWN: I would say a week. I'm sorry. Let 17 me extend that. I want to make sure I give myself and my 18 staff adequate time. 30 days, please. 19 JUDGE STANLEY: Yes. And I was going to point 20 out -- this Judge Stanley. I was going to point out that 2.1 the holiday week is next week. So we don't want to set 22 you on Thanksqiving Day. So I'll give you 30 days, and 23 I'll issue a new order to put that into writing. 2.4 And, Mr. White, once the Franchise Tax Board does 25 that, we will give you an opportunity to respond -- a

1 30-day opportunity to respond. So we'll hold the record 2 open for that purpose only. 3 MR. WHITE: Thank you. JUDGE STANLEY: And let's go -- let's turn to 4 5 conclusions. 6 Mr. White, you had asked for an opportunity to 7 sum everything up and make a closing statement. You may proceed. 8 9 10 CLOSING STATEMENT 11 MR. WHITE: James White. Thank you for the 12 respect that you provided to us. We appreciate you as 13 hard-working California citizens doing your job, and I 14 want you to know that we're out here out of government 15 attempting to do our job also. 16 That is my conclusion. 17 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. 18 you. 19 And, Mr. Brown, Franchise Tax Board does not 20 require a closing statement? 21 MR. BROWN: No, Judge. Thank you. JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Then this matter is 22 23 concluded. The record will remain open for 30 days for the Franchise Tax Board to brief the matters that were 2.4

raised here today, and 30 days, thereafter, for the

25

1 Appellant to respond to the Franchise Tax Board if they 2 desire to do so. At that point the record will be closed 3 and within 100 days from that point, the panel will issue a written opinion. 4 5 Are there any questions before we conclude, Mr. White? 6 7 MR. WHITE: James White. Patricia White, any 8 observations? 9 Mr. Gallinetti, any observations? 10 MR. GALLINETTI: I will hold my tongue for right 11 now, but thank you for allowing us to be in your presence. 12 JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley. Thank you 13 all for your participation. 14 We're going to recess and reconvene at 10:45 for 15 another hearing. So thank you all. It was good to see 16 you this morning. Have a nice day. 17 (Proceedings adjourned at 10:22 a.m.) 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 2.4 25

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 15th day 15 of December, 2021. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25