BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)
M. HOMAMI & M. HOMAMI)) OTA NO. 18011998
DBA PERSIAN GRILL,)
APPELLANT.)
)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Wednesday, December 15, 2021

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS		
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)		
7	M. HOMAMI & M. HOMAMI) OTA NO. 18011998 DBA PERSIAN GRILL,)		
8))		
9	APPELLANT.)		
10)		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	Transcript of Proceedings, taken in		
16	Sacramento, California, commencing at 1:13 p.m.		
17	and concluding at 2:27 p.m. on Wednesday,		
18	December 15, 2021, transcribed by Ernalyn M. Alonzo,		
19	Hearing Reporter, in and for the State of California.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ SHERIENE RIDENOUR
4	Panel Members:	ALJ JOSHUA ALDRICH
5	raner members.	ALJ MIKE LE
6	For the Appellant:	M. HOMAMI
7	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8	ror the Respondent.	DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION
9		COURTNEY DANIELS
10		CHRISTOPHER BROOKS JASON PARKER
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>I N D E X</u>		
2			
3	<u>EXHIBITS</u>		
4			
5	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-11 were received at page 7.)		
6	(Department's Exhibits A-D were received at page 7.)		
7			
8	PRESENTATION		
9	PAGE		
10			
11	By Mr. Homami 9		
12	By Ms. Daniels 40		
13			
14	CLOSING STATEMENT		
15			
16	<u>PAGE</u>		
17	By Mr. Homami 49		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Sacramento, California; Wednesday, December 15, 2021 1:13 p.m.

2.4

JUDGE RIDENOUR: M. Homami, et al, doing business as Persian Grill, OTA Case Number 18011998. Today's date is Wednesday, December 15th, 2021, and the time is 1:13 p.m. This hearing is being convened in Sacramento, California.

Today's hearing is being heard by a panel of three administrative law judges. My name is Sheriene Ridenour, and I'm the lead judge. Judges Josh Aldrich and Mike Le are the members of this tax appeal panel. All three judges will meet after this hearing and produce a written decision as equal participants. Although the lead judge conducts this hearing, any judge on this panel may ask questions or otherwise participate to ensure that we have all the information to decide this appeal.

For the record, will the parties please state their names, and who they represent, starting with the representatives for CDTFA.

MS. DANIELS: Hi. My name is Courtney Daniels
I'm here for the Department with Christopher Brooks and
Jason Parker.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: All right. Thank you.

And Mr. Homami, please introduce yourself.

MR. HOMAMI: My name is Masoud Homami. I'm the former owner of Persian Grill.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you. This is Judge Ridenour. Thank you.

2.4

As discussed and agreed upon by the parties at the prehearing conference on November 16th, 2021, and as stated in my minutes and orders, dated

November 18th, 2021, there are two issues on appeal. They are whether Appellant has established that a reduction to the amount of disallowed claimed exempt sales to the United States government is warranted; and whether Appellant has established that a reduction to the amount of unreported taxable sales is warranted.

As for exhibits, each parties' exhibits are listed in an exhibit log, which was attached to the minutes and orders, as well as provided in an exhibit binder, which was emailed to the parties after the prehearing conference. Appellant submitted Exhibits 1 through 11, and CDTFA submitted Exhibits A through D. During the prehearing conference, CDTFA raised no objections to Appellant's exhibits.

Appellant's Exhibits 8 and 9 consist of medical records, which are personal information. Therefore, we order them sealed pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 18, sections 30213 subdivision (a) (10)

and 30430 subdivision (b).

2.4

Appellant raised an objection to CDTFA's Exhibit D. CDTFA's Exhibit D is a revised audit that CDTFA performed during the pendency of this appeal.

Appellant raised an objection to Exhibit D because Appellant disagrees with the revised measure of tax proposed to be assessed in Exhibit D.

As we discussed during the prehearing conference, Appellant's objection and disagreements relate to the merits of the revised audit, which is at issue on appeal, and Appellant will have the opportunity to make arguments regarding the audit during this hearing. Therefore, we concluded that we are overruling the Appellant's objection based on Exhibit D being relevant.

Therefore, pursuant to my minutes and orders,
Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 11 were admitted into
evidence, with Exhibits 8 and 9 ordered sealed, and
CDTFA's Exhibits A through D were entered into evidence.

(Appellant's Exhibits 1-11 were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

(Department's Exhibits A-D were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

JUDGE RIDENOUR: Mr. Homami indicated that he'll be testifying as such today, as such that he will be sworn in before his presentation. There are no other witnesses

1 today. 2 As a reminder to the parties, during the 3 prehearing conference, we decided that Mr. Homami will have 45 minutes to make his presentation, followed by 4 5 CDTFA having 15 minutes to make its presentation. 6 Mr. Homami will have five minutes to provide closing 7 remarks, should he choose to. 8 Does anyone have any questions before we move on 9 to the presentations? Mr. Homami? 10 MR. HOMAMI: Okay. 11 JUDGE RIDENOUR: Do you have any questions? 12 MR. HOMAMI: No. 13 JUDGE RIDENOUR: No. 14 CDTFA? Ms. Daniels? 15 MS. DANIELS: No. 16 JUDGE RIDENOUR: No. Okay. Thank you. 17 This is Judge Ridenour. We're ready to proceed 18 with Mr. Homami's presentation. 19 However, first I need to place you under oath so 20 we can consider your statements as testimony, and you will 21 remain under oath until the close of this hearing. Can 22 you please raise your right hand? 23 /// 2.4 /// /// 25

M. HOMAMI,

produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE RIDENOUR: This is Judge Ridenour. Thank you. When you're ready, Mr. Homami, please begin your presentation.

MR. HOMAMI: That is for 45 minutes?

JUDGE RIDENOUR: Yes.

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. HOMAMI: Okay. I can start, actually, from the beginning how I can -- I can actually get to him, this -- this position right now. That is that the person who actually inspired me to actually go to open the restaurant because it wasn't any person, actually. It was the dean of the DNI Middle Eastern Department. He told me, actually, that you can open your restaurant, and then we can send our service man or women to go over there to -- to actually practice their languages and learn the culture. Also, they ask me to actually do the choreography of the Persian rite.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: Mr. Homami, can I interrupt you real quick? Can you put the mic closer to you, please?

1 MR. HOMAMI: Okay. That was - that was the name 2 It was Mr. Mahmoud [INDISCERNIBLE]. of the person. 3 was the dean of the Middle Eastern Department Order. And 4 if you don't want me to mention his name in the beginning 5 when we started, he said he don't want the other people to think they get some benefit out of this -- this, for 6 7 example, the idea. He told me you can establish your restaurant and then -- as a instrumentalities. 8 JUDGE RIDENOUR: As a -- pardon me. As a what? 10 MR. HOMAMI: As a instrumentalities. 11 JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay. Can you move --12 MR. HOMAMI: Instrument to the Persian rite, to 13 help the -- to help the -- the learning for the man and 14 woman in the military. 15 JUDGE RIDENOUR: I'm going to ask you again, 16 Mr. Homami. I -- I understand. I had the same 17 difficulties. Can you put the mic, like, extremely close 18 to your mouth? 19 MR. HOMAMI: Okay. This is good? 20 Thank you JUDGE RIDENOUR: That's much better. 2.1 so much, sir. 22 MR. HOMAMI: Okay. And then -- yeah. 23 that's about 600 students, actually. They are learning 2.4 the far -- the Farsi language over there. We can send

the -- send them periodically to your restaurant to

25

actually have Persian cuisine and also your staff, actually. And I can communicate with them about their conversation, how they order, for example, and also learn the cuisine, and also the writing and the choreography.

2.4

And from the day one, that he recommended sergeant, I actually come over there and join our staff. The sergeant, that was -- their rank was -- I don't know why they called it -- the chief military instructor. And he actually came on board for the -- for the day one. He wanted to work from 4:30 to 9:30 in my restaurant. He was, I think, a high-paying active military person. But he didn't need the job, or he didn't have any skill of cooking or any, for example, any -- any restaurant, for example, the experience.

And I thought that he was the one they send over as a -- as a, for example, the security for the military people. They come over there. They don't want to [INDISCERNIBLE]. There's something that can be done to be harmed over there. And he was actually undercover. They came over from day one. And he told me to about [INDISCERNIBLE]. I asked him about the -- they are getting the contract with the military. And he told me if you are inside the military, if you come, I can open your restaurant inside the military installation. Then you have to have a very strict agreement with the government.

But when you are outside the premises that is, a simple agreement is going to be enough, for example, for you to do the business. And that is all I actually -- for approximately to go get the -- the agreement from them.

And I saw -- I thought that is -- is going to be just one side of -- one side of that, I think, because they are not actually obligated to do anything. It is only me. I have to, for example, give them the service. I give them the food. I'm just not charging the tax. That was it.

2.1

2.4

And then that is why I actually procrastinated and didn't go get the agreement. I tried two or three times, and I tried to do that to get the agreement. But getting to the military installation, it was very difficult. Anytime I go there, I ask them. They say what you want to do? I say I wanted to talk to, for example, there is a public relation. I wanted to get that agreement. They say, okay. You have to actually send a letter or something to them or email, then we can actually give you an appointment or whatever.

That's why it's just going back and forth. It took nine years after that for -- I was doing this. I was doing, actually, I didn't collect the tax for the military people who came over there, and then they gave me all their IDs. They say this is a -- this is a military person, and I didn't charge on tax. And for nine years, I

think that is how long I actually opened the business.

2.4

\$213,000 and pull out from my home, and then I put it into this restaurant. And this was -- this was actually -- and still today I'm paying every month \$1,000 for the things that are actually lost with the business. And Mr. Rodman was the person that actually came over there as a coordinator with the -- the military and our staff. And he was working in my establishment from 4:30 to 5:30 everyday. He started the operation in 2000 -- 2005 to 2006 and continued the business until 2014.

And no one actually raised the red flag for me to say, for example, you are doing it wrong. You should have, for example, the agreement or the piece of paper. It says, for example, that you are not charging the tax to military people. What I did, I did. I thought that is a patriotic thing to do. And I was -- I was doing it, and I was proud to do that. And everyday we worked about -- my wife and my -- both without pay all these years. The evidence of this, you can take a look at our -- our tax returns to see we didn't have to get any benefits out of this restaurant. We just actually put our money in there and created jobs for nine years, and then after that we actually lost it.

In March 2 to March 7, 2012, my wife actually get

into a major accident, and they airlift her to -- to Santa Clara Hospital. And she actually got very -- the -- actually very bad injuries, and she got disabled from that one. And she couldn't help me at the restaurant at that time from 2012. And then I have to do it everything alone. And then it seems like it evolved. I didn't have time. I have to actually take her back and forth to the hospital, and that's why I have to actually hire someone else to come and help in the restaurant. And I couldn't do it all together. I was doing it for free, and then I have to pay the people to actually come and help me.

On the -- and then we were actually falling back on the rent, on the expenses and everything as everything got worse. And we were falling behind on -- and we were under pressure from the landlord from here and then from the suppliers. And we couldn't pay it. That's why, actually, I file bankruptcy. And -- and the bank, actually -- the bankruptcy discharge is there, actually, as an exhibit. We actually put it in there. And I actually kept the restaurant at that time. And we actually thought maybe we are able to sell it to someone, and I can get some money.

And then we get lucky to sell it. But on, actually, November 30th that is we run out of options. We couldn't sell it, and no one come forward to buy it. And

that is why, actually, we close the restaurant on November 30th, 2014, and we close the restaurant at that time. And then after that, the Board of Equalization I could send -- send us after. After that, I don't what it was. They send us a letter there for the audit, and I told them this restaurant is closed. I didn't know. I didn't know, actually, that says they closed restaurant.

2.4

The business, actually, went out of business and still it's subject to audit. I thought that we are actually done. In nine years when I was doing this kind of practices, and I was doing it the same. And there's nine years too, and no one actually tell me, for example, you are doing it wrong. And finally at this stage they tell me, actually, give us some information, whatever you have. Then I wanted to actually make a deal with the landlord. I told him I wanted to actually leave everything I have; all the equipment, all the computer.

I'm sorry. The computer and network and everything I have over there. And I put it over there, and then you can sell it to give it to someone else to come -- a new tenant -- and use this. For example, that was about \$15,000 worth something that I had in the restaurant. And finally they find someone. And they said if you put your network intact and don't actually dismantle it, and they can come and start using it for

the -- in the day one, you can actually do it.

2.4

We can -- we can actually forgive, for example, the rent or the person actually come in. They can then pay your back rent. And I accepted it. And from that time I start, actually, cleaning up all those computers. I didn't have -- because the -- from the tax court they retain, I kept my information on the laptop. I never have laptop in my premises. I had two computers. It was one of them it had a Maitre'D that was a software, actually, doing the business for restaurant. I had it in the back office. And I start cleaning up all of those things and delete all the files for Persian Grill. I didn't want it, actually, all the information from the customers to actually -- for the customers' security.

I -- I did all of them. I did all of them. I didn't know actually that later on I'm going to be audited. I delete it, and then I delivered everything, actually, to landlord. I give the key and all the equipment intact because there -- and then I receive the letter from the articles. They said they wanted to, actually, some information. I went back to -- to the -- my old restaurant and asked them if -- if I can take a look at my back office. And I restored some of the files actually, just my cash register report.

I got the cash register reports from the --

restored from -- from the deleted file. And then those cash register reports are raw information. It does have all the errors, all the duplicates, and everything in it.

And I -- I just hand it over to the tax agency and to do that for them to take a look at it. And finally they send me a bill and say you have to actually charge the customer that you claim, and that was in the military. You're not supposed to give them a tax free. You actually have to charge them taxes. I said I didn't charge them tax. I didn't collect the tax. They say you have to pay.

2.4

And then I'm not at the position that I came to.

I am -- all of my fixed income. I take social security.

And my wife had it a small business. Actually, that was just a hair salon. She was actually making a few. My tax return shows actually how much she made. We didn't have that much money, actually, to pay. And still I'm paying, for example, for -- for \$1,000 a month. That's still today is 2021. That's still every month I'm paying \$1,000 for the restaurant, for the loan. Actually, I get it from my -- I tried to actually get a loan for my small business. They didn't give me. That's why they didn't give me. They denied me because I didn't have a good credit.

And the -- and these are -- they find, for example, some discrepancies in my -- in my, actually,

file. I -- I am not a perfect person, and the people actually working in the kitchen in the restaurant, for example, the server. Those are not the expert, for example, without error. They are making lots of errors in that one. I can explain how the error actually made.

2.4

The service member, when they came over there, for example, they are coming in the group. We were taking their order. They are not paying in the first place. They have to sit down and eat, and all the things actually are done. And then after that, they actually come to the payment. And then those people when they come over there they -- for example, eight people come into the restaurant. There was a problem with the Maitre'D. I had the old version of Maitre'D software that was the -- it had problem with the splitting the table.

For example, the person wanted to actually pay separate from the total bill. That was problem with splitting the table, and it did have problem with the -- with the gift card. These two problems was exist from the day one. And anytime eight people come, for example, to have dinner, at the end they were saying, okay, we will have to split the table. And the sever didn't know how to split. They close this ticket as a cash, and they try to open the new -- the new table for every individual, and they take the ticket to the kitchen.

They just -- they don't let the -- the ticket go to the kitchen because they already deducted their food. And at the end of the day when they want to reconcile their -- their account, they were bringing those -- those table. They close it as a cash, but they didn't get cash. But they close it as a cash, and then they give us all those tickets like they didn't go to the kitchen. So I say, okay. We will actually reconcile those tickets. We supposed to -- we actually kept all of those things in the binders everyday. And those binders, unfortunately, I destroyed all of them. I don't have them. I don't have things to represent it.

2.4

I am -- I am not a dishonest person. I am -- I serve as a public servant in the County of Monterey for 15 years, and that is -- that is not what -- I am. I'm not a dishonest person. The thing I'm telling you, this is a -- this is the right things -- correct. I'm saying -- saying that this is the truth. It's not right. And that is another thing. And the thing, actually, the tax agent did. They take my -- my cash register report, and they put it -- I don't know. They convert it to Excel or whatever, and they just add it up. And they say, okay, this is going to be your final amount.

This amount, these are all -- everything there.

All the errors are in there. All the duplicates is in

there. And they claim last time they actually find the duplicate. There is no way you can find the duplicate. They — they actually — that isn't going to be on my benefit if they find the duplicate, but I'm saying they can't find it. Because table for eight and then return it, actually, convert them to table for four. For four table as a — as a one person for each — for eight, one person. That is how only they can find duplicate. These are the duplicate I'm starting — I'm talking about.

The -- the table for eight first, it wasn't in the cash, and they put it in every individual in another table. The timestamp and the sequence number is different. There's no -- no single parameter in these files that is going to be -- I think we can find it as a -- as a, for example, similarity to say these are duplicates. These are not. I'm sorry. I'm -- maybe I have to actually check these for myself. I'm looking at it one by one. I want to see what it is.

The only mistake I -- I had, actually, my mistake was I didn't pursue to get the piece of paper after that, the agreement. I -- I didn't get it, this is -- the piece of paper. I -- I use the tax category, actually, exists -- exists in the file. I -- I use that tax category. And if that piece of paper you assume, for example, you have that paper, you would have actually

granted my file. And just the missing paper -- and I couldn't -- and Mr. Tabatabaye [sic] told me after I reached him when he was alive, I told him.

2.4

He said, if you are taking me to the Sacramento, I can come and testify for you. But I told him that he was actually recommended me to go get the -- get their agreement. But that was what -- that was my fault. I didn't do it. But the other thing, actually, all the things I talked about I said Mr. Tabatabaye, first come and testify. But, unfortunately, he passed away, and I don't have anything. There's nothing I can present, for example, as an evidence, as a paper, or whatever because I destroyed it. I just want it because I'm -- I don't want -- my mind -- I am -- I have a cancer, and my wife has a cancer also.

And all of these are numbers, you know -- and then I actually don't want to put burden on my -- my kids, for example, to get -- to carry out all of these, actually, tax liability and all of those things. I didn't collect the tax. I didn't cheat, you know. Some people, I think, they actually they get the tax, and they say I didn't get it. But I didn't, and this paper shows. That is all my cash register reports. It shows who I'm getting the tax, and who I didn't get it. And that shows I didn't actually collect the tax.

That is why I just want to -- as in -- oh, gosh. For the fairness of actually that said, to be fair, I ask you to actually grant my request to accept my tax filing and the -- these are all the things I could get.

Actually, I'm not arguing about what the tax agency actually did. They are doing their job. They can't actually -- if they -- I don't have a paper, they have to do it. Something like that because I didn't have any other supporting document.

2.4

And they were asking about the cash, for example. I had to put lots of cash because I have in my account. Then I get a loan from -- for my mortgage. They said if you put it in, actually, a direct deposit to your account, the direct deposit you have 25 -- .25 percent discount. That is why I was paying all my mortgages every month from the account I created. Actually, it's the only account I have for the -- for my business. That's why I put in my own cash. My son actually paying every month \$1,000 helping me by giving me loan for paying my -- my mortgages.

And those are all inside that. And they said there was some cash, actually, deposited in your account. Those cash they consider, actually, cash from the restaurant, but -- but it wasn't from restaurant. There was the -- the amount of actually my car crash. Actually,

they paid my AAA. I put it in that account. I got a loan from On Deck, about \$8,000. I put it in that account.

Those are all they consider this -- this amount actually accumulated as a restaurant income, but it wasn't.

2.4

These are -- I'm not a perfect person, and everyone makes a mistake. And this is -- this is the mistake. These are -- I just wanted you guys, actually, just consider all these things for me and just believe me. I am under pressure from -- from 2014 since now when they -- actually I got this letter. And still I'm under pressure. And I didn't bring my wife. My wife is on a chemotherapy everyday. And then she couldn't actually handle this kind of stress. That's why I didn't bring her over here.

And this is -- this is what I wanted to do, and I'm asking for the interest of fairness and equity, just grant me whatever -- whatever I have. I don't have anything else to give you. I don't have anything because I just destroyed everything because I -- I thought -- I didn't know. It took so long. Right now we are talking about at least 2021. And from 2014, 2015 to now, that was six years it took. And at that time I was wrong. This time I was under pressure. I don't know.

That is in your hands, and I am public servant like you. I appreciate it. Thank you very much.

1 JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you, Mr. Homami. Thank 2 you very much. 3 Ms. Daniels, does CDTFA have any questions for Mr. Homami? 4 5 MS. DANIELS: No, we don't. JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay. Thank you. 6 7 This is Judge Ridenour. Judge Aldrich, do you have any questions? 8 9 JUDGE ALDRICH: Yeah, this is Judge Aldrich. 10 Yeah, I've got a couple of questions for Mr. Homami. 11 So when you're referring to the missing piece of 12 paper, are you saying that that's some sort of contract between DLI and your restaurant, or --13 14 MR. HOMAMI: Yes, yes. 15 JUDGE ALDRICH: Yeah. 16 MR. HOMAMI: I'm preparing for that one because I 17 didn't pursue on that one. It's just I procrastinated 18 that one for the day one. I thought that I am doing the 19 right thing. I thought I'm doing the right thing. I -- I 20 was actually proud to do it because I thought that it was 21 the patriotic thing to do. We are actually giving all 22 these services to the military people, and they -- they 23 are not actually paying, for example, taxes for the food 2.4 that they are eating. 25 JUDGE ALDRICH: And is that in reference to

1 off-site --MR. HOMAMI: Off-site --2 3 JUDGE ALDRICH: -- food services or on-site? MR. HOMAMI: No. Off-site. I -- I wasn't 4 5 inside. I was off-site. My -- my restaurant was actually 6 close to the base -- I can explain -- base center. 7 in the street. And that is why it was a little bit relief for me. Because from the beginning I thought they said 8 9 strict contract with the military. If you are inside --10 inside the military, then you have to have all of those things put there, you know, the security. Especially 11 12 after 9/11, you know, getting to a military installation 13 it was very hard. 14 I -- I don't know if you experienced that, but 15 I -- I did several times. Even I was food delivery, food 16 to their installation, sometimes, for example, their events, it was very hard. Very hard to get in and get 17 18 out. 19 Thank you. And you had made a JUDGE ALDRICH: 20 reference to an ID. Were you talking about, like, 21 specifically a military ID or some sort of USAA credit 22 card? 23 MR. HOMAMI: At that time USAA or whoever, I -- I 2.4 thought that is the -- that's the military credit card.

Okav.

JUDGE ALDRICH:

25

1 MR. HOMAMI: They go on a credit card. But right 2 now they are actually open to everyone. But at that time 3 I -- I thought that this -- those are the military -- that 4 is the government credit card. 5 JUDGE ALDRICH: And, I guess, how did you come to that belief? Or why did you think that that was the 6 7 military credit card? MR. HOMAMI: They -- they show me the -- their ID 8 9 also because they're in uniform. They come over there. 10 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. So just an association? 11 MR. HOMAMI: Yeah. 12 JUDGE ALDRICH: And then I was just hoping to go through the timeline. So restaurant closes when? 13 14 MR. HOMAMI: About the -- that is in November 30th. 15 16 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And at what point do you 17 go back to access the hard drives? 18 MR. HOMAMI: Back then they actually -- they told 19 me, actually, just send me the letter. 20 JUDGE ALDRICH: So after you got the audit report 2.1 or the audit engagement letter? 22 MR. HOMAMI: When they send me a letter, they 23 said you are subject to audit. And I said I don't have 2.4 anything. I don't have the -- nothing actually present. 25 They insisted you have to find something. Then I actually

went to see. I went back to the restaurant and see if they don't delete all the file, or they didn't actually formatted the file, maybe deleted item is still there.

And it was a few things actually that I got out of there.

I just recovered and restore from that. They let me do that.

2.4

JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And so you mentioned something about paper copies that you would keep. So was that, like, a sales ticket? How is the record keeping in general of the restaurant?

MR. HOMAMI: Yeah, I was actually—that's it. I was keeping it, the credit card slips, and also I kept the things. Actually, they were — when they close the ticket, for example, for eight people or four people, for example, and they closed it as a cash. It was at the end of the day they didn't have any cash to give me. And then they say, okay, this cash is this ticket, actually. We didn't send it to — we didn't send it to our kitchen to make the food, and we actually cross—referenced those things.

And those are the two things, and then we put those things in the binder. And at the end of the day, I can really reconcile with the server. Actually, it was to reconcile his account and give him whatever tip actually he is supposed to give him, and then close his account.

And these are the account I can't do in Maitre'D, in that 1 2 software. It doesn't let you do this. And then you close 3 the ticket. You can't delete it. You can't modify it. 4 Nothing. That is just going to go in your record. 5 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. So you said at some point those binders were destroyed? 6 7 MR. HOMAMI: Yes. 8 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. When did that happen? 9 MR. HOMAMI: The time, actually, I wanted to give it to them -- give it to the landlord. 10 11 JUDGE ALDRICH: So when you -- your business --12 when you were vacating the -- the lease, you wanted to leave --13 14 MR. HOMAMI: Yeah. I -- I just didn't take it 15 anywhere. I just put it in the -- take it to Watsonville 16 at a place, a shredding office. And there was boxes, for 17 example, all the credit cards and all these binders and 18 everything, actually. There was boxes of information. 19 And I -- I didn't want to keep those things. And, you 20 know, unknowingly actually I did that. Unknowingly. 21 Then I didn't know that they were going actually 22 after nine years come after me, because I -- I was doing 23 it the same thing. I was doing it, for example, from 2.4 2005, 2006. All these years they didn't actually raise

the flag, and I thought that was -- that was right.

25

okay.

2.4

JUDGE ALDRICH: And so you had mentioned that -with respect to the cash register reports that there are
some errors --

MR. HOMAMI: Yeah.

JUDGE ALDRICH: -- and that it may be difficult for CDTFA to see what the errors are.

MR. HOMAMI: Oh, yeah. I explained it to them.

I said, that is -- that is splitting the ticket. In this way I can really explain it. I don't know if I explain it right or not. That is -- that's it. Eight people come to the restaurant.

JUDGE ALDRICH: I -- I understand that part, but could you point out in the exhibits an example of that error -- that kind of error?

MR. HOMAMI: Exhibit you say? I didn't make an exhibit. I just told him that is -- that is for example, if you find -- find, for example, one table for eight, and then after that it says that one it closes as a cash. And then there are some -- one, if not after that. This not a sequence. For example, if you close the reg -- close the eight-person ticket, it come, for example, maybe three or four or five down there.

It's not going to be after that. They closed that ticket, for example, and they recreated one. In the

meantime the other customers, like, at least order the 1 2 food also. That is why the sequence is not going to be 3 after that, for example. JUDGE ALDRICH: So I understand that you're 4 5 saying that the error could be out of sequence of the 6 cash --7 MR. HOMAMI: Yeah, it is there. 8 JUDGE ALDRICH: -- register report, but I'm 9 asking could you, like, refer to a specific example in the 10 cash register report? So we have an exhibit log. It 11 looks like there's cash -- cash register reports for 12 October 1st, 2012, through December 31st, 2012. Is there 13 an example you can give in that attachment? I believe 14 it's 51 pages. 15 MR. HOMAMI: Yeah. Okay. I can do that. I can 16 find one, for example, that say it's closed as a cash, and 17 then -- actually --18 JUDGE RIDENOUR: Mr. Homami, do you want us to 19 take a recess so you can look for it? 20 MR. HOMAMI: Pardon me? 21 JUDGE RIDENOUR: Would you like us to take a 22 recess so you could look for it, an example? 23 MR. HOMAMI: Yeah, I can do that. 2.4 JUDGE RIDENOUR: We can take a five-minute recess 25 at anytime.

```
1
               MR. HOMAMI: Okay. Okay. And I find it.
2
               JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay. We're going off the
3
      record and taking a five-minute recess. Thank you.
               (There was a pause in the proceedings.)
 4
               JUDGE RIDENOUR: We're back on the record.
5
               MR. HOMAMI: Okay.
 6
7
               JUDGE RIDENOUR: Please proceed answering
      Judge Aldrich's question.
8
9
               MR. HOMAMI: There is 10 -- 10/27/2012.
10
               JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. Just one second.
11
      you say the page number of the exhibit, so --
12
               MR. HOMAMI: Page -- it doesn't have page.
13
      Mine -- mine doesn't have page.
14
               JUDGE ALDRICH: That's okay. So the date then?
15
               MR. HOMAMI: That is the sequence number is going
16
      to be 126266.
17
               JUDGE ALDRICH: 126266?
18
               MR. HOMAMI: Yes.
19
               JUDGE ALDRICH: So if anyone else is looking at
20
      the exhibit binder that should be Exhibit 4, page 7 of 51.
21
      Okay.
22
               MR. HOMAMI: This is -- this is a cash activity.
23
      It closed at $102.91. Then later they open, for example,
2.4
      for -- for five people. It depends, actually. You have
25
      to find it. That is an individual or maybe two people
```

next to this.

2.4

JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. So --

MR. HOMAMI: Next -- it's not -- it's not the next record. It's going to be down -- down in the list. And they might -- they might actually, for example, some other stuff. They order something else, and they -- they actually pay their own. For example, the tape and everything is not going to add up the same when you split it.

JUDGE ALDRICH: So just to, you know, there's lots of different point of sale systems. But it looks like in this cash register report there is a number associated with the entry, a date, and then the next item is a time. It looks like it's in military time, a 24-hour time. So would it be fair to say that if there was some sort of division it would come after 90829?

MR. HOMAMI: Yes. Yes. That is -- that is after that. They -- they all come after -- after this record. It doesn't say, for example, which one it is, but -- but that is the date. It created another sequence, another timestamp. Actually, it depends when it's going to end. For example, after this ticket closed, they might actually have a lot of customers, actually, in between. They come and they pay and they go. And then after that, they are going to create another record for that. These are --

these are very hard to actually do, but you can. But this supposed what happen in my restaurant.

2.4

It was always when there was, for example, splitting the ticket. Always they had problem. And they were closing it as a cash because they -- they wanted, actually, to get rid of it because very time consuming, actually, for the customer. They got frustrated. They would get angry, for example, when it took so long. And that is why they closed it as a cash and go and create, for example, individual ticket and ask them, for example, what did you have to order. They have to go one by one again and take their ticket to the kitchen.

And those -- they take the ticket to the kitchen and attach it to their, for example, that file for reconciliation at the end of the day. And that was -- that was it.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Thank you. I think I understand.

That's all the questions that I had for Mr. Homami at the moment. I'm going to refer it back to Judge Ridenour.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: This is Judge Ridenour. Thank you very much.

Judge Le, do you have any questions?

JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. I do have a follow-up question on that, the point that -- so looking

```
1
      at the -- looking at the ID that you mentioned, the
      126266, it looks like the next entry is for the following
2
3
      day. So the entry for 126266, the entry date is
      October 27, 2012. And that looks to be the last entry for
 4
5
      the day. I guess my question is, wouldn't this have
6
      happened on the same day at a later time?
7
               MR. HOMAMI: So you're saying that the ticket is
      10/27?
8
9
                         I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?
               JUDGE LE:
10
                            10/27?
               MR. HOMAMI:
11
               JUDGE LE: Yeah. So for the examples that you
12
      pointed out the --
13
               MR. HOMAMI: Yeah.
               JUDGE LE: -- one for 10/27/2012.
14
15
                                   Then after that is the end of
               MR. HOMAMI: Yeah.
16
          I -- I think that maybe that they closed it, for
17
      example, at the end.
18
               JUDGE LE: Okay.
19
                            They -- they might close it, for
               MR. HOMAMI:
20
      example. They might close it after they did those
21
      tickets. They separate them. They say this is the one I
22
      did is over here. I identify that one as the one.
23
      depends, for example, when the server closes, you know.
2.4
               JUDGE LE: Okay.
25
                            The server actually left and maybe
               MR. HOMAMI:
```

1 left that thing open until the end and closed it at that 2 time. JUDGE LE: I see. 3 MR. HOMAMI: Maybe, actually, they -- they -- in 4 5 the -- split a ticket before that. 6 JUDGE LE: I see. Okay. Thank you. No further 7 questions from me. 8 JUDGE RIDENOUR: This is Judge Ridenour. Thank 9 you. 10 Mr. Homami, I have a quick question for you. So just that I can clarify, CDTFA approached you 11 12 for an audit, and you indicated you did not have any documentation because they were left at your --13 14 MR. HOMAMI: I -- I couldn't hear you. 15 JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay. CDTFA contacted you to 16 conduct an audit, and you originally indicated you were 17 unable to get source documentation because it was left at 18 the premise of your restaurant; is that correct? 19 MR. HOMAMI: No. I don't think so. They said --20 they said they came over there. They came over there. 21 haven't seen them. In nine years I didn't see them. 22 JUDGE RIDENOUR: No. When they -- when they 23 approached you to conduct an audit, you originally said 2.4 you did not have source documentation, but then you went

back to the premises to find your laptops.

25

MR. HOMAMI: Yeah. When -- yeah. When they told me, I went back. I think when they asked me, for example, give me some information, I said I don't have it. And they -- then I -- I went to find out if there is any files over there. It's not. It's still in the deleted file, then I can recover it. And fortunately I find the -- I think that's this cash register report. That was in my garbage. It wasn't in my -- if was in my Maitre'D network, those all wiped out because they wanted, actually, to refresh for their businesses. They wiped the main and everything.

2.4

And all things, actually, are wiped out. But this was the one actually that I got it from -- from the back office. It was in my document as a -- as a pdf file and I could recover those things fortunately, and I gave it back to them. Because at the first time I told them I don't have anything.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you. This is Judge Ridenour. So my follow-up question is, you provided that during the audit, and then on appeal you have provided additional quarterly reports. However, they differ. The latter ones include additional sales.

MR. HOMAMI: Additional sales, actually, I do remember that one. There was -- there was a template.

Because there are discrepancies and you're talking about

the discrepancies for the -- for example, the tax return.

I put it for the federal, and the tax, actually, I filed

it. That is the discrepancy you're talking about?

2.1

2.4

JUDGE RIDENOUR: The discrepancy is that the latter provided quarterly reports that show additional sales that were not provided on the originals. And so I'm just trying to understand how you would have two different sales reports.

MR. HOMAMI: That was -- that was one template I created. For example, I had a monthly -- monthly template. I always put the monthly figures in one -- on that template and put it in -- in my -- I actually add it to my QuickBooks. The QuickBooks I was using. That one was 13 months instead of 12 months. One month that template was included. I supposed to actually change the date of that -- of that template too, for example, the future month. That is why I didn't -- I actually forgot to do that. That was a 13 month, and the figures a little actually more.

But they didn't -- didn't actually effect the outcome. I -- I was in loss position, you know. And that was different timing, you know. Then I filed, for example, for the State Board. It was one time and all tax return. For my federal, it was a different time. And that is why I -- I just didn't crosscheck that one.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: I see. Okay.

2.4

MR. HOMAMI: And that was -- that was the problem on that. That's when I found out that there was a template that is 13 months instead of 12 months.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you. And so your Maitre'D information is on a computer at the restaurant. So where was the QuickBook file?

MR. HOMAMI: It was in my back office. Those are -- those are deleted also. And I -- I never have -- I never had laptop. They were actually referring that I have a laptop, but I didn't. But they were actually trying to show, for example, I could have a laptop and take it home over here, but I didn't have it.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: So was it on the hard drive. I assume you --

MR. HOMAMI: It was on hard drive, yes. I -there's just two network computers I have in the
restaurant, and those are all my information for that one.
And after these many years when they didn't actually raise
the flag and all of those things, I said everything was
okay. That's why I deleted all of the thing -- these
things, and then I -- I just end up in this position.
I -- I'm telling the truth.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you, Mr. Homami. This is Judge Ridenour. I have some additional questions. So on

```
1
      your sales summaries you have a column that says "Number
      of Customers." Is that number of customers at the table
2
3
      for that particular check?
               MR. HOMAMI: No. At the -- at the table.
 4
5
               JUDGE RIDENOUR: At the table. Okay. Thank you.
6
      This is Judge Ridenour. So I looked at your sales
7
      summaries and some check sequences have zero -- zero under
      the number of customers.
8
9
               MR. HOMAMI: Those are -- those are -- those --
10
      zero number of customers?
11
               JUDGE RIDENOUR: Yes. So let me -- Check
12
      Sequence Number 126879, I'm trying to find where it is.
13
               MR. HOMAMI: What was the sequence number?
14
               JUDGE RIDENOUR: 126879. Hold on.
15
               MR. HOMAMI: 8 -- 26879.
16
               JUDGE RIDENOUR: So page 23 of Exhibit -- of 51.
17
      That's where they would be located.
18
               MR. HOMAMI: My -- mine doesn't have --
19
               JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay.
20
               MR. HOMAMI: -- page there.
21
               JUDGE RIDENOUR: I'm sorry.
22
               MR. HOMAMI:
                            126879?
23
               JUDGE RIDENOUR: So January 4, 2013, for
      date-wise.
2.4
25
               MR. HOMAMI: That is January?
```

1	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Yes.
2	MR. HOMAMI: 126879.
3	JUDGE RIDENOUR: So as you can see
4	MR. HOMAMI: 7 79. Okay.
5	JUDGE RIDENOUR: that one as well as the one
6	there after, 126880, they both have zero. And so if you
7	could please clarify as to why.
8	MR. HOMAMI: Yeah. I don't know. I have no
9	argument.
10	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay. Thank you. I have
11	MR. HOMAMI: That is that is the thing of it.
12	I don't know.
13	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay. Thank you.
14	MR. HOMAMI: It suppose supposed to be
15	customer number.
16	JUDGE RIDENOUR: It's supposed to be pardon
17	me?
18	MR. HOMAMI: It's supposed to be customer number.
19	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay. Thank you.
20	MR. HOMAMI: Is is that in the software,
21	actually, what it does or the server data. I have no
22	idea.
23	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you. One additional
24	question. You indicate you didn't collect sales tax
25	reimbursement. Is and if you need additional time, a

1	recess, I'd be more than happy to provide it. Could you
2	please point to an example of a transaction where you
3	didn't collect sales? Like, I've looked at the numbers
4	and sometimes the amounts, it appears that you collected,
5	like, tips or, et cetera. But if you could point to a
6	specific transaction where it indicates you didn't collect
7	tax and how it adds up efficiently to show that?
8	MR. HOMAMI: Tax, actually, when we actually
9	compare this to the total. And the payment, for example,
10	I think maybe that one you see the difference is
11	between between the total and the the payment is
12	going to be the amount of, for example, the tip or
13	whatever they put in there.
14	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay. Thank you very much. I
15	just wanted to
16	MR. HOMAMI: Okay.
17	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you very much. Thank you,
18	Mr. Homami. This is Judge Ridenour.
19	When you are ready, Ms. Daniels, you may please
20	begin your presentation.
21	MS. DANIELS: Thank you.
22	
23	PRESENTATION
24	MS. DANIELS: Appellants are a husband and wife
25	partnership that operated a restaurant called the Persian

Grill in Monterey, California, near the Naval

Postgraduate. Appellants sold hot prepared food items to
the general public, including individuals who were US

Military personnel attending in/or involved with the
language Department at the Naval Postgraduate Academy.

2.4

Upon being audited by the Department in 2015, the Appellants alleged that they did not charge sales tax for a large majority of their sales because Appellants believed that certain customers were exempt from paying sales tax due to their employment with the US Military. The Department disallowed the claimed nontaxable sales to the U.S. government employees and issued a Notice of Determination for the period of October 1st, 2012, through March 31st, 2015, for approximately \$27,000. And you can see that on Exhibit C.

In preparing this case, the Department reviewed the audit work papers and determined that adjustments were necessary. Those adjustments reduced the tax measure by almost \$36,000. And, thus in turn reduced the owed tax amount to \$20,803. And you can see that at the revised work -- revised audit work papers, Exhibit D.

Appellants have provided the following arguments in support of their appeal. First, Appellants contend that they should not be liable because they did not collect sales tax due to their mistaken belief that

military customer sales were nontaxable sales to the United States Government. Second, Appellants contend that the audit is somehow deficient and is based on sales data that was not provided by Appellants. Third, Appellants request a reduction to the liability amount due to an inability to pay. I will address each argument in turn.

2.4

As to Appellants' first argument, Appellants have presented a few reasons for why they believed that tax exemptions were appropriate. First, Appellants allege that certain payments made with USAA bank credit cards denote government transactions. Second, Appellants asserts that Rodman, the chief master language instructor for the Naval Postgraduate Academy, was acting as an agent for the United States government when he purchased food from Appellants' business. Finally, Appellants appear to argue that their business was an integral part of the Naval Postgraduate Academy's language program. And, thus, sales under this program should be exempt from tax.

Personal property sales to the United States
government or its agencies are exempt from sales tax under
Revenue & Tax Code Section 6381 subdivision (a). Tax
applies to sales to persons in the armed services of the
United States, notwithstanding the circumstance of the
merchandise may be billed through an Army or Air Force
Exchange service, Navy Exchange, Coast Guard Exchange, or

a similar organization. And that's California Code of Regulations Title 18 Section 1614 subdivision (b).

Therefore, for a sale to be tax exempt, the purchaser must be acting as an agent for the United States government or its agencies, as sale to a government employee alone does not suffice.

2.4

Any seller claiming a transaction as exempt under Tax Code Section 6381 must obtain from the purchaser and retain a government purchase order or documents demonstrating direct payment by the United States to support their claim. And that's California Code Regulation Title 181614 subdivision (g).

Here there is no dispute that Appellants sold hot prepared food items directly to members of the United States armed services. However, members of the armed services are not considered the United States or an agency of United States without documentation that identifies the United States government as the purchaser. Appellants have not provided any evidence of an executed contract with the United States government.

Instead, Appellants provided evidence of sales where the buyer used a USAA credit or debit card. The Decision and Recommendation at Exhibit A correctly identified that USAA is a private financial institution that markets to military personnel, but is not, in fact,

affiliated with the US government. Although the

Department understands the basis for Appellant's

confusion, this mistake is not a basis for tax exemption.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

To the extent that Appellants contend that Mr. Robin was acting as an agent of the United States when purchasing food for members of the armed forces that were enrolled in the Naval Postgraduate Academy, Appellants have not provided any documents demonstrating direct payment by the United States for any of these audited The documents provided consist of letters from Appellants' business to the Naval Postgraduate Academy detailing the menu options and prices that Appellants offer. You can see Appellants' Exhibits 1-A and 1-B. These do not suffice as purchase orders or proof of payment from the United States government as required under Section 1614 subdivision (g). As a result, Appellants' sales to members of the public who happen to be in the military do not qualify for exemption.

Lastly, to the extent that Appellants argue that their business was a part of a language program at the Naval Postgraduate Academy, this argument also fails because it is not supported by any documentation from the United States government. While the Department assumes that Appellants provided valuable insight into military personnel, Appellants have failed to meet their

requirements for tax exemption. Again, Appellants have not provided any documentation that their services were contracted by or paid for by the United States government.

2.4

Although the Department is sympathetic to
Appellants' situation, a mistaken belief does not relieve
Appellants from liability. Taxpayers are charged with
knowledge of the law, and ignorance of the law is not a
defense to the liability, not is it reasonable cause for
relief of a failure to filed penalty. See MacFarlane v.
Department of Alcohol Beverage Control (1958) 51 Cal.2d
84, at page 90.

As to Appellants' concerns regarding the accuracy of the data used in the Department's revised audit,

Appellants today have raised some specific transactions that cause concern. The audit utilized items that were provided to the Department by Appellants, including your tax returns and Excel spreadsheets summarizing monthly sales. The Department imported the information provided into its audit software.

As previously addressed, the Department did identify some duplicate and missing transactions and adjusted the audit to rectify the duplications resulting in reduction of tax liability amount. See the revised audit work papers in Exhibit B, page 1. It provides a summary of the deductions. The deductions included check

amounts that were duplicate as well as some transactions that appear to be check number duplicates.

Finally, Appellants have requested relief from their tax liability due to an inability to pay the assessment. Unfortunately, Appellants have not provided a legal basis that would support granting relief. The Department is sympathetic to their mistaken belief and the reasoning that resulted in the failure to collect sales tax from military personnel purchasers. However, there's, unfortunately, no basis to grant Appellants' request because a mistake of the law is not grounds for reduction in liability.

Pursuant to the foregoing, the Department ask the Panel to affirm the Decision and Recommendation with the exception of the adjustments made to the taxable measure in the amount of \$35,778, and, thus, affirm Appellants' tax liability in the amount of \$20,803 plus penalties and interest.

Thank you.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE RIDENOUR: This is Judge Ridenour. Thank you.

Judge Aldrich, do you have any questions?

JUDGE ALDRICH: This is Judge Aldrich. No questions. Thank you.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you.

This is Judge Ridenour. Judge Le, do you have any questions?

JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. No questions.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you.

2.4

Ms. Daniels, I do have one question. So the restaurant closed on November 30th, 2014, which I don't -- does CDTFA contest that?

MS. DANIELS: I don't believe so.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: So then my question is for fourth quarter of '14, when you apply the percentage of error to the lack of sales for that quarter, did you prorate it to only -- did you only for the amount of days up to 11/30 as opposed for the full quarter?

MR. PARKER: This is Jason Parker. So we used the actual reported amounts by the taxpayer. So anything that would have been prorated would have -- there was already a reduction based on the amounts that they reported for that period. So in Exhibit D -- let me pull this up real quick.

So Exhibit D, page 9, shows the calculation of the percentage of error. So we used the amounts reported versus the amounts reported for fourth quarter 2012 through third quarter 2014, and we came up with the percentage difference for those periods. So we applied that to the reported amount. So the reported amount for

fourth quarter '14 was less than half of any other quarterly. So technically it is prorated.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you. I just wanted to clarify for the record.

Mr. Homami, if you would like, you may make a brief closing statement or response to CDTFA's argument or to further address any of the questions asked by the panel, but it is not required. Would you like to make closing remarks?

2.1

2.4

CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. HOMAMI: I -- I did everything they say.

They are right because I was -- I was -- didn't have any documentation. That is -- that is just didn't have documentation. That's why all the things I didn't have it because of that. And I just wanted to send my explanation of why it happened. That -- that's why because for nine years I did this and no one actually told me, for example, you're doing it wrong. You have to have this and that.

And then when I closed the restaurant, there's no way. And this outfit, I think, it's going to be for correction. For example, if someone make a mistake, they want to correct it. I don't have anything to correct right now. I -- I lost everything. Everything, actually, I have done. At least I created, actually, nine years

more before becoming, you know. And I -- I was trying to 1 2 be successful, but I failed. When someone fall, I'm happy 3 to actually give them a hand to pick them up, not to shake it down. 4 5 And this thing, it seems to me they are pushing me down more. I just want help, because I -- I was doing 6 7 something I was thinking that is the right thing to do. But I didn't. 8 Thank you, Mr. Homami. JUDGE RIDENOUR: 10 MR. HOMAMI: It was too late when I came to 11 getting corrected when I didn't collect the tax. That has 12 not benefited me, and you can check my -- my tax returns for all these years to see if I -- I got benefited from 13 14 all of this. I can -- I can authorize you to check all of 15 my tax returns. 16 Thank you, Mr. Homami. JUDGE RIDENOUR: 17 MR. HOMAMI: Okay. 18 JUDGE RIDENOUR: Before -- I just want to check 19 with my co-panelists to make sure they have any questions 20 for either party. 21 Judge Aldrich, do you have any questions? 22 JUDGE ALDRICH: No further questions. Thank you. 23 JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you. This is Judge

50

Judge Le, do you have any questions for either

Ridenour.

2.4

25

party? JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. No further questions from me. JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay. This is Judge Ridenour, and I do not have any additional questions either. So I want to thank everyone for participating today. At this point the case is now submitted, and the record is closed. The judges will meet and decide this case later on, and we will issue a written opinion or decision within 100 days of today. Today's hearing in the appeal of The Partnership of M. Homami, Et Al, Doing Business as Persian Grill is now adjourned. (Proceedings adjourned at 2:27 p.m.) 2.4

HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for the State of California, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was taken at the time and place set forth, that the testimony and proceedings were written stenographically by me and later transcribed by computer-aided transcription under my direction and supervision, that the foregoing is a true record of the testimony and proceedings taken at that time.

I further certify that I am in no way interested in the outcome of said action.

I have hereunto subscribed my name this 14th day of January, 2022.

ERNALYN M. ALONZO

HEARING REPORTER