

## BEFORE THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Appeal of SAN LUIS MINING COMPANY

## Appearances:

For Appellant: McCutchen, Olney, Mannon & Greene, San

Francisco

For Respondent.: Reynold E. Blight, Franchise Tax Commission

## <u>OPINIO</u>N

This is an appeal under Section 25 of the Bank and Corporation Franchise Tam Act (Chap. 13, Stats. 1929) from the action of the Franchise Tam Commissioner in overruling the protest of San Luis Mining Company against a proposed assessment of an additional tam of \$818.62.

The sole point involved in this appeal is the question of the constitutionality of the requirement of the Bank and Corporation -Franchise Tax Act (Chap. 13, Stats. 1929) that income from all sources (including that derived from tax exempt federa state and municipal bonds and securities) be used in the calculation of the tax. Counsel for Appellant have argued earnest!: and-persuasively that this provision is unconstitutional and ha cited convincing authorities to this effect. However, for the reasons set forth in our opinion in the case of Vortox Manufacturing Company (filed August 4, 1930), we do not feel warranted in holding the law unconstitutional. On authority of our decision in that appeal, we believe that we must sustain the action of the Commissioner.

## QRDER

Pursuant to the views expressed in the opinion of the Board on file in this proceeding, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the actic of Reynold E. Blight, Franchise Tax Commissioner, in overruling the protest, of San Luis Mining Company, a corporation, against proposed assessment of an additional tax of \$818.62, under Chapter 13, Statutes of 1929, be and the same is hereby sustain

Done at Sacramento, California, this 4th day of August, 1930, by the State Board of Equalization.

R. E. Collins, Chairman H. G. Cattell, Member Fred E. Stewart, Member Jno. C. Corbett, Member

ATTEST: Dixwell L. Pierce, Secretary