

BEFORE THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Appeal of) GLENN T. AND STELLA DODGE

Appearances: .

For Appellants: Stella Dodge, in pro. per.

For Respondent: John D. Sahell

Counsel

O PINILO N

This appeal is made pursuant to section 18594 of the Revenue and Taxation Code from the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protest of Glenn T. and Stella Dodge against a proposed assessment of additional personal Income tax in the amount of \$85.71 for the year 1966.

The sole question for decision 18 whether the cost of tuition for two minor boys at a school for gifted children constituted a deductible medical expense.

Appellants, husband and wife, are residents of Huntington Beach, California. In January of 1966 they placed their two sons, ages nine and twelve, In the Eldorado School for the Gifted Child (hereafter referred to as Eldorado), a private school located In Orange, California. Prior to that time the boys had attended public schools. Appellants' sons attended classes at Eldorado for the full 12 months of 1966, but they continued to live at home during that period.

Eldorado's enrollment in 1966 was approximately 170 students, ranging In age from four to seventeen. One

Appeal of Glenn T. and Stella Dodge

1

of **Eldorado's** student entrance requirements was a minimum intelligence quotient of 130. The school was operated on an ungraded basis, **class** groupings being determined by student ability rather than by chronological age. Classes were small and, as a result, each pupil received substantial individual attention and Instruction.

The director of Eldorado was Glory Ludwick, M.D., a psychiatrist. She was the only member of Eldorado's staff who had medical training; however, the other regular teachers did receive some In-service training from her with respect to the handling of emotional problems of students. While they attended Eldorado In 1966, appellants' sons received no Intensive psychiatric care, although Dr. Ludwick apparently held consultations with them five or six times during the year.

In their personal income tax return for 1966 appellants claimed a medical deduction of \$2,857.00, the cost of one year's tuition for their two sons at Eldorado. Respondent disallowed that deduction on the ground that the expenditure for tuition constituted a nondeductible personal expense.

Appellants contend that the placement of their children in Eldorado was necessary for medical reasons because the boys were highly Intelligent and very sensitive, and they therefore encountered great trauma and frustration In the slower-paced public schools. Although the children were not emotionally disturbed to the point of needing drugs or psychiatric care at the time they entered Eldorado, appellants state that they were concerned that If their boys continued to attend public schools, emotional disturbances and eventual delinquency might result. In support of their contentions, appellants have submitted statements from two physicians. The first, dated February 15, 1967, is signed by one Martin J. Gavin, M.D., a specialist In Internal medicine practicing in Anaheim, 'California. It reads as follows:

It was realized some time ago that the Dodge children were exceptional with extremely high Intelligence quotients, It was felt that they were Inadequately challenged intellectually by the public school system; and that they, therefore were underachieving at school; For this reason It was advised that they be entered in private school In order that they might achieve a greater stimulus.

Appeal of Glenn T. and Stella Dodge

The second statement, dated April 5, 1968, is signed by Glory Ludwick, M.D., the director of Eldorado. It reads:

Steve and Tom Dodge, now aged 14 and 11, have been enrolled in the Eldorado school since January 1966. I tested them at entrance and found them to be extremely sensitive, to the 'extent that they cried when they couldn't answer correctly. Such children can't be educated In public schools without extensive emotional trauma and high risk of mental Illness. Since the Eldorado School is able to educate them without the precipitation of such illness It la my opinion that It la medically (psychiatrically) necessary for these boys.

As a general rule, under both California and federal Income tax law, no deduction is allowed for personal, living, or family expenses. (Rev. & Tax. Code, § 17282; Int. Rev. Code of 1954, § 2 2) Normally, the cost of educating one's children falls within those classes of nondeductible expenses. (Everett F. Glaze, T.C. Memo., Aug. 30, 1961.) In special circumstances, however, the federal tax courts have allowed the deduction of a portion of the cost of an emotionally disturbed child's attendance at a special school, on the ground that that expenditure was actually a payment for medical care under section 213 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. (C. Fink Fischer, 50 T.C. 164; Hobart J. Hendrick, 35 T.C. 1223.)

Under the applicable state and federal regulations, In order for educational cost8 to be treated a8 a medical expense the Individual must be In an Institution or must attend a special school with resources for alleviating his particular physical or mental handicap, and such resources must be a principal reason for his presence there. (Cal. Admin. Code, tit. 18, req. 17253-17256(a), subd. (b)(v)(a); Treas. Reg. § 1.213-1(e)(1) (v)(a); Estate of Reuben A. Baer, T.C. Memo., Feb. 27, 1967.) In the evwent that the individual's primary reason for attending a special school is to obtain a good education, rather than to receive medical or psychiatric care, the cost of attending such a school does not qualify a8 a medical expense. (Maurice Felnberg, T.C. Memo., June 24, 1966.) This determination of nondeductibility is not altered by the fact that the individual's general phyelcal or mental health improve8 a8 a result of his attendance

Appeal of Glenn T. and Stella Dodne

at the special school. (Arnold P. Grunwald, 51 T.C. 108.)

In the Fischer and Hendrick cases cited earlier, In which a portion of the coat of sending a child to a special school was allowed as a medical expense, the children In question were severely emotionally disturbed before entering the special schools. They were placed In those schools upon the specific recommendation of Independent psychiatrists. In both cases the children lived at the achoola and received intensive psychotherapy from staff members, as well as a formal education. In each case the court held that that portion of the tuition fees which could be attributed to the psychiatric care received by the child while at the school was deductible, The remainder of the tuition was treated as a nondeductible personal expenditure for the child's education.

The federal tax courts have denied any medical expense deduction in several cases where emotionally disturbed children were placed In private schools on the recommendation of Independent psychiatrists, but the children received no special medical treatment for their emotional problems while attending the schools.

(H. Grant Atkinson, Jr., A4 T.C. 39; Edward S. Enck, T.C. Nemo., Mar. ?7 1957.) Deduction of tuition expenses was also denied where the principal reason for enrolling a blind child In a private school was to provide him with a more challenging educational environment, rather than to treat his blindness. (Arnold P. Grunwald, supra.)

The evidence In the Instant case Indicates that appellants' boys were originally sent to Eldorado. School for the Gifted Child because they were extremely bright and were Inadequately challenged by the public schools. They did not suffer from any severe emotional disturbances at the time they entered Eldorado, but appellants feared that their continuation in public schools might lead to emotional difficulties In the future. The weight to be given Dr. Ludwick's statement regarding the psychiatric necessity of the Dodge boys' attending Eldorado is lessened somewhat by her close association with the school as Its director.

Even If that association did not exist, however, Dr. Ludwick's statement doss not In Itself establish that the boys were emotionally disturbed, in the sense of any real mental illness, but only that they were very sensitive and were subjected to emotional trauma In the public schools. It was apparently not thought necessary for them to have a complete change of environment since they continued to live at homethroughout

Appeal of Glenn T. and Stella Dodge

1966. Furthermore It does not appear that Eldorado offered any special facilities for the treatment of emotionally disturbed children. There 18 no evidence that the occasional conferences which Dr. Ludwick held with the boys were anything more than the normal counseling services offered by most schools. Eldorado appears to be primarily an educational Institution, offering the same academic courses which would be taught Inpublic school, albeit gearing them to exceptionally Intelligent children.

Upon review of the entire record and the principles set forth In the existing case law, we conclude that the coat of *sending* appellants' children to Eldorado In 1966 constituted a nondeductible personal, living or family expense rather than an expenditure for medical care. Respondent's position In this matter must therefore be sustained.

ORDER

Pursuant to the views expressed In the opinion of the board on file In **this** proceeding, and good **cause** appearing therefor,

IT IS HEFEBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to section 18595 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, that the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protest of Glenn T. and Stella Dodge against a proposed assessment of additional personal Income tax In the amount of \$85.71 for the year 1966, be and the same is hereby sustained.

Done at Sacramento, California, this 18th day of
February, 1970, by the State Board of Equalization.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Secretary