

BEFORE THE STATE BOARD OF ' EQUALIZATION OF 'THE 'STATE OF CALIFORNIA

· The LA

In the Matter of the Appeal of)
ANN SCHIFANO ANN SCHIFANO

Appearances:

For Appellant: Ann Schifano, in pro. per.

For Respondent: Richard Watson Daniel

Counsel

<u>o</u> P_ I_N_ I-O_N

This appeal is made pursuant to section 18594 of the Revenue and Taxation Code from the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protest of Ann Schifano against a proposed assessment of additional personal income tax and penalties in the total amount of \$3,680.77 for the year 1963.

Appellant was married to Anthony J. Schifano' during 11 of 1963, but because of marital difficulties they lived in separate homes during that year. They were divorced in 1969. Although appellant and her husband, filed separate federal income tax returns for 1963, neither' spouse filed a'-California personal income' tax return for that year. Both federal returns were audited by the Internal Revenue Service, and the revenue agent increased the spouses ' interest and business. income in the total amount of \$64,851.71. Business adjustments were made for sales, purchases, auto and truck expenses, bad debt expense, entertainment expense and miscellaneous expense. One-half of the additional income was allocated to each spouse by the separate audit reports prepared for appellant and her husband. After receiving copies of these audit reports, respondent issued to both spouses notices of proposed assessment based on the income- and

Appeal of Ann Schif ano

adjustments contained in the reports. To tal taxable income included in appellant's notice was \$17; 948.07. Fenalties for failure to file a timely return and for negligence were added to the tax proposed in the notice. Appellant protested the assessment. of tax and penalties, and she appeals from respondent's denial of her protest.

Like other determinations made by respondent, a determination based on a federal audit report, is presumptively correct and the taxpayer has the burden of showing that it is erroneous. (Todd v. McColgan, 89 Cal. App. 2d 509 [201 P.2d 414]; Appeal of Hugh S. and Barbara L. Jenings, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., April 7, 1970; Appeal of Boris S. Stanley, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., Dec. 7, 1970.) Appellant has not challenged the correctness of the federal report or the propriety of the penalties imposed by respondent. Rather, her contentions are that. her-husband gave her only a flat weekly amount for her living expenses in 1963, that she never-received any of the additional income giving rise to this assessment, that she was unaware of Mr. Schifano's business transactions and the substantial income which he derived from them, that she cannot afford to pay the assessment here in question, and that her former husband refuses to discuss these matters with her.

In two earlier appeals, we rejected similar arguments on similar facts. (Appeal of Esther Zoller, Gal. St. Bd. of Equal., Dec. 13, 1960; Appeal of Beverly Bortin, -'Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., Aug. 1, 1966.) Both cases discussed the 'California community property law setforth in the Civil-Code and firmly established the principle that a wife is liable for income tax on her one-half community interest in the earnings of her husband. Both cases also held that the wife's liability is not affected by the fact, that she lived. separate and apart from her husband during the year in question, and Zoller held that the wife's liability was not changed'.-; by the fact.-that she received none of her husband's earnings. Since no reason appears for deviating from. the rule of those, cases, we ad-here, to it and dispose of the 'present appeal on' that basis.

Appeal of Ann Schifano

QRDER

Pursuant to the views expressed in the opinion of the board on file in this proceeding; and good cause appearing therefor,

pursuant to section 18595 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, that the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protest of Ann Schifano against a proposed assessment of additional personal income tax and penalties in the total amount of \$3,680.77 for the year 1963, be and the same is hereby sustained.

Done at Sacramento, California, this 27th day Of October, 1971, by the State Board of Equalization.

Chairman

Con Wender

Member

Member

Member

_, Member

ATTEST: