

BEFORE THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Appeal of)

JOHN K. STRODE

Appearances:

For Appellant: John K. Strode,

in pro. per.

For Respondent: Allen R. Wildermuth

Counsel

OPINION

This appeal is made pursuant to section 13593 of the Revenue and Taxation Code from the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protest of John K. Strode against a proposed assessment of additional personal income tax and penalty in the total amount of \$1,767.00 for the year 1979.

Appeal of John K. Strode

Respondent received information from the Employment Development Department indicating that appellant was required to file a California personal incometax return for 1979. Having no record of such a return being filed, respondent demanded that appellant file. When appellant did not file a return, a proposed assessment was issued based on the wage and interest income information that respondent had received. Penalties were also imposed for failure to file and failure to file after notice and demand.

In August 1981, after filing this appeal, appellant filed a Form **540X**, which reported only **\$45.00** in interest income and \$488.57 in tax withheld. A W-2 form from appellant's employer, the **State** of California, was attached. Respondent determined that the Form **540X** did not constitute a valid return, **but** has agreed to take into consideration the amount shown as withheld.

Appellant contends that wages are not income and that he is not required to file a return. He also argues that respondent's determination violates the state and federal constitutions.

We have recently considered the same wage and filing requirement contentions in Appeals of Fred R. Dauberger, et al., decided March 31, 1982, and rejected them as totally without merit. With respect to the constitutional issues raised, we are precluded from determining them because of both article III, section 3.5 of the California Constitution and our own policy of abstention from deciding such issues in appeals involving deficiency assessments, (Appeals of Fred R. Dauberger, et al., supra.)

For the reasons stated above, respondent's action is sustained.

Appeal of John K. Strode

ORDER

Pursuant to the views expressed in the opinion of the board on file in this proceeding, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to section 18595 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, that the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protest of John K. Strode against a proposed assessment of additional personal income tax and penalty in the total amount of \$1,767.00 for the year 1979, be and the same is hereby sustained.

Done at Sacramento, California, this 29th day of June 1982, by the State Board of Equalization, with Board Members Mr. Bennett, Mr. Dronenburg and Mr. Mevins present.

William M. Bennett	Chairman
Ernest J. Dronenburg, Jr.	Member
Richard Nevins	Member
To the state of th	Member
	Member