

BEFORE THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Appeal of)
CHARLES WORKMAN

For Appellant: Charles Workman,

in pro. per.

For Respondent: James T. Philbin

Supervising Counsel

O P I N I O N

This appeal is made pursuant to section 18593 of the Revenue and Taxation Code from the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protest of Charles Workman against proposed assessments of personal income tax and penalties in the total amounts of \$3,664.50 and \$2,390.10 for the years 1979 and 1980, respectively.

Appeal of Charles Workman

The sole issue presented by this appeal is whether appellant has established error in respondent's proposed assessment of personal income tax or in the penalties' assessed for the year in issue.

Respondent received information indicating that appellant was required to file California income tax returns for 1979 and 1980. Respondent so advised appellant, and demanded that he file the' required returns; appellant did not respond. Thereafter, respondent issued notices of proposed assessment based upon information received from the California Employment Development Department and certain financial institutions. The proposed assessments also included penalties for failure to file a return, failure to file upon notice and demand, and negligence. After due consideration of appellant's protest, respondent affirmed the proposed assessments, thereby resulting in this appeal.

It is well settled that respondent's determinations of tax are presumptively correct, and appellant bears the burden of proving them erroneous. (Appeal of K. L. Durham, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., March 4, 1930; Appeal of Harold G. Jindrich, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., April 6, 1977.) This rule also applies to the penalties assessed in this case. (Appeal of K. L. Durham, supra; Appeal of Myron E. and Alice Z. Gire, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., Sept. 10, 1969.) No such-proof has' been presented here.

On the basis of the evidence before us, we can only conclude that respondent correctly computed appellant's tax liability, and that the imposition of penalties was fully justified. 'Respondent's action in this matter will, therefore, be sustained.

Appeal of Charles Workman

ORDER

Pursuant to the views expressed in the opinion of the board on file in this proceeding, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to section 18595 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, that the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protest of Charles Workman against proposed assessments of personal income tax and penalties in the total amounts of \$3,664.50 and \$2,390.10 for the years 1979 and 1980, respectively, be and the same is hereby sustained.

Done at Sacramento, California, this 21stday of June , 1983, by the **State** Board of **Equalization**, with Board **Members** Mr. Bennett, Mr. Collis, Mr. Dronenburg and Mr. Nevins present.

William M. Bennett	, Chairman
Conway II. Collis	
Ernest J. Dronenburg, Jr.	, Member
Richard Nevins	, Member
	, Member