in the second second



BEFORE THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

.

In the Matter of the Appeal of)

SAMUEL McDANIEL

For Appellant: Samuel McDaniel, in pro. per. For Respondent: Bruce W. Walker Chief Counsel

)

Brian W. **Toman** Counsel

<u>' O P I N I O N</u>

This appeal is made pursuant to section 18594 of the Revenue and Taxation Code from the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protest of Samuel McDaniel against a proposed assessment of additional personal income tax in the amount of \$104.71 for the year 1973. Appeal of Samuel McDaniel

• •

. . .

The sole issue for resolution is whether respondent's'determination which was based on corresponding federal action was erroneous. - Ø

On his 1973 tax return, appellant claimed certain-itemized deductions for medical expenses, taxes, interest expenses and miscellaneous' expenses. He also filed as a head of household for that year. Thereafter, appellant's return was audited by the Internal Revenue Service which disallowed portions of the claimed itemized deductions and disallowed appellant's claimed head of household filing status. Appellant consented to the federal assessment and did not seek to appeal the federal determination. Since the federal changes were applicable under state law, respondent issued its notice of proposed assessment. Appellant's protest was denied and this appeal followed.

Section 18451 of the Revenue and Taxation Code provides, in part, that a taxpayer shall either concede the accuracy of a federal determination or state wherein it is erroneous. It is well settled that a determination by the Franchise Tax Board based on a federal audit is presumed to be correct and the burden is on the taxpayer to overcome that presumption; (Todd v. McColgan, 89 Cal. App. 2d 509 [201 P.2d 4143 (1949); Appeal of Willard D. and Esther J. Schoellerman, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal, Sept.. 17, 1973.) Here, appellant has offered no evidence to indicate that the federal action was erroneous. Therefore, we must conclude that appellant has failed to carry his burden of proof and respondent's determination of additional tax.for the year 1973 must be upheld.

ORDER

Pursuant to the views expressed in the opinion of the board on file in this proceeding, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to section 18595 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, that the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protest of **Samuel McDaniel** against a proposed assessment of additional personal income tax in the amount of \$104.71 for the year 1973, be and the same is hereby sustained.

Done at Sacramento, California, this 5th day of December, 1978, by the State Board of Equalization.

eef Chairman Member Member &ember Member