

87-SBE-036

:

BEFORE THE STATS BOARD OF EQUALIZATION OF TEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IA the Hatter of the Appeal of) No. 86A-0536-VN

For Appellant: Louis N. Million, in pro per.

For Respondent: Israel Rogers Supervising Counsel

<u>O P I N I O N</u>

This appeal is made pursuant to section 185931/ of the Revenue and Taxation Code from the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protest of Louis N. Million against a proposed assessment of additional personal income tax in the amount of \$1,826 for the year 1982.

1/ Unless otherwise specified, all section references are to sections of the Revenue and Tazation Code as in effect for the year in issue.

Appeal of Louis N. Million

The sole issue **presented** for **our** decision is whether the Franchise Tax Board **properly** computed **appel lant's** tax as a part-year resident under section 17041, subdivision (b).

الاست الحالي المراجع المستعمل التي المن المحمد المراجع المحمد المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع ا المراجع المحمد المحم

i

:

During the first seven and a half months of 1982, appellant worked overseas for a Hong Kong company. He then spent a month in Texas on vacation before working in Saudi Arabia for about a month and a half. Appellant returned to this state for the remaining two months of the year. For 1982, appellant filed a nonresident return in which he reported California adjusted gross income of \$25,049 and taxable income of \$15,136. Appellant calculated his tax liability to be \$364, but since \$1,354 was withheld from his California wages, he obtained a tax refund. However, appellant did not include in his California income the \$48,655 that he earned abroad.

On review, respondent agreed that appellant was a part-year resident, but concluded that he had not computed his California tax liability in the correct manner. Respondent redetermined appellant's tax, employing. the apportionment formula set forth under section 17041, Subdivision (b), which provides:

There shall be **imposed** for each taxable year upon the **entire** taxable income of every nonresident or part-year resident which is derived from **sources** in this state . . . **a** tax which shall be **equal** to the tax computed under subdivision (a) as if the nonresident or **partyear** resident were a **resident** multiplied by the ratio **of** California source adjusted gross **income** to **total adjusted gross income** from all sources.

Section 17041, subdivision (a), imposes a personal income tax on the entire taxable income of every resident of this state.

The Franchise Tax Board first determined that appellant's California adjusted **gross** income should be increased to \$26,549 and his total adjusted gross income **vas** \$68,386 after inclusion of his IRA contribution and

<u>Appeal of Louis N. Million</u>

foreign income earned **abroad**.^{2/} Respondent then calculated that appellant's ratio of California **source** adjusted gross income to total adjusted gross income from all sources to be 38.82 percent (\$26,549 divided by \$68,386). Applying this apportionment ratio to the tax on appellant's total taxable income of \$65,791, respondent determined that his California tax. liability was \$2,190. titer taking into account the self-assessment of \$364, respondent issued the resultant deficiency assessment of \$1,826.

It is well settled that respondent's determinations with regard to the imposition of taxes are presump-tively correct, and the taxpayer has the burden of show-ing error in those determinations. (Appeal of K. L. Durham, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., Mar. 4, 1980; Appeal of Myron E. and Alice Z. Gire, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal, Sept. 10, 1969.) In the instant matter, appellant argues that, as someone who lived in California for only 2-3 months, he should only be required to pay tax on income that he earned in this state. Appellant contends that the Franchise Taz Board has unfairly included income that he earned abroad in the computation of his tax. Appellant has misconstrued respondent's action. As shown above, section 17041, subdivision (b), requires that a part-year resident's California tax liability be computed by dividing his California adjusted gross income by his total adjusted gross income and then applying this apportionment ratio against the total tax appellant would have incurred had he been a California resident. Since appellant's total income is used merely to compute the applicable ratio used to determine California source income, respondent is not taxing appellant on any non-California source income. Since appellant has not demonstrated

27 Respondent disallowed a \$2,000 deduction for contributions to an individual retirement account apparently because appellant was already an active participant in his employer's pension plan. (Rev. & Tax. Code, § 17240; Appeal of Kathy J. Schell, Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., July 30, 1985.) Appellant does not contest respondent's disallowance of his IRA deduction.

..

Appeal of Louis N. Million

error in respondent's determination of his tax pursuant to this section, we have no choice byt to sustain respondent's action in this matter.

^{3/} Appellant has also argued that it is unfair for respondent to assess interest on the deficiency assessment while it is being appealed by him. Under section **18688**, however, it is well settled that the assessment of interest on an unpaid deficiency assessment is mandatory and continues to accrue until the tax is paid. (Appeal of Frank R. and C. A. Moothart, Cal. St. Rd. of Equal., Feb. 8, 1978.)

<u>Appeal of Louis N. Million</u>

ORDER

Pursuant to the views expressed in the opinion of the board on file in this proceeding, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, pursuant to section 18595 of the Revenue and Tazation Code, that the action of the Franchise Tax Board on the protest of Louis N. Million against a proposed assessment of additional personal income tax in the amount of \$1,826 for the year 1982, be and the same is hereby sustained.

Done at Sacramento, California, this 7th **day** of May , 1987, by the State Board of Equalization, with Board Members Mr. Collis, Mr. Dronenburg, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Carpenter and Ms. Baker present.

Conway H. Collis	_, Chairman
Ernest J. Dronenbura, Jr.	, Member
William M. Bennett	, Member
Paul Carpenter	, Member
Anne Baker*	, Member

*For Gray Davis, per Government Code section 7.9