## BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,	)
W. HARB and A. HARB,	) ) OTA NO. 21108747
w. mile and m. mile,	)
APPELLANT.	)
	)

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Tuesday, May 31, 2022

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5 6 7	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF, )  W. HARB and A. HARB, ) OTA NO. 21108747
	APPELLANT. )
8	)
9	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,
15	taken in the State of California, commencing
16	at 9:31 a.m. and concluding at 9:46 a.m. on
17	Tuesday, May 31, 2022, reported by
18	Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and
19	for the State of California.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:		
2			
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	ANDREW KWEE	
4			
5	For the Appellant:	RICHARD DONAHUE	
6	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
7	For the Respondent:	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD	
8		DAVID HUNTER NANCY PARKER	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1		I N D E X
2		
3		E X H I B I T S
4		
5	(Appellant's Exhibi	ts 1-3 were received at page 7.)
6	(Department's Exhib	its A-E were received at page 7.)
7		
8		PRESENTATION
9		DA CE
10		<u>PAGE</u>
11	By Mr. Donahue	9
12	By Mr. Hunter	11
13		
14		CLOSING STATEMENT
15		PAGE
16	By Mr. Donahue	13
17	By Mr. Hunter	14
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

California;	Tuesday,	May	31,	2022
	9•31 a m			

2.4

JUDGE KWEE: We're on the record in the Appeal of William and April Harb. This matter is being conducted electronically pursuant to the provisions of the Small Case Program, and that's before The Office of Tax Appeals. The OTA Office of Tax Appeals Case Number is 21108747, and today's date is Tuesday, May 31st, 2022. The time is approximately 9:31 a.m.

This hearing is being conducted electronically, and it's also being live streamed on OTA's YouTube channel.

Today's hearing is being heard by one

Administrative Law Judge. My name is Andrew Kwee, and I

will be the judge conducting this appeal today. Just a

reminder that I might ask questions of either party at any

time during the appeals process, and that's just to ensure

that OTA has all the information that we need to decide

this appeal.

With that said, for the record, would the parties please state their names and who they represent.

And I'll turn it to the tax agency to go introduce yourselves first. Thank you.

MR. HUNTER: Good morning, Judge Kwee. David

Hunter on behalf of Respondent Franchise Tax Board.

2.1

2.4

MS. PARKER: Nancy Parker on the behalf of Respondent Franchise Tax Board.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And for Appellant, would you please identify yourself for the record.

MR. DONAHUE: Richard Donahue representing William and April Harb.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you. So we don't have any witnesses scheduled to testify today, so I'll just do a quick run-through of the exhibits. As you know we had a prehearing conference on May 9th. And after the prehearing conference, I distributed the exhibits that were submitted to OTA. For the Franchise Tax Board, I have Exhibits A through E, and those were attached to the minutes and orders and emailed to the parties.

Appellant's representative, do you -- or does

Appellant have any objections to the admission of Exhibits

A through E for Franchise Tax Board?

MR. DONAHUE: No, I do not.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And for Appellant, I have -so we have marked for identification Exhibits Numbers 1
through 2, but -- and listed 1 -- a third document as an
attachment. However, I think for clarity it would be
easier to number Appellant's Exhibits as 1 through 3. So
the first two exhibit are the Franchise Tax Board's

letter, and the third exhibit would be the withholding statement.

Exhibit 3 was previously identified as an attachment, but I think -- I don't think it was attached to either of those two letters. So I think it would just be easier just to -- or it would be more clear just to identify your exhibits, Mr. Donahue, as Exhibits 1 through 3. Is that okay for you?

MR. DONAHUE: Yes.

2.4

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And for Franchise Tax Board, do you have any objection to the admission of Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 3 as just discussed, which are the two letters that you sent plus the withholding statement?

MR. HUNTER: No objection, Judge.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Great. So then Exhibits A through E for Appellant [sic] and Exhibits 1 through 3, as just summarized and as distributed during -- after the prehearing conference, are now admitted into evidence.

(Appellant's Exhibits 1-3 were received

in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

(Department's Exhibits A-E were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

And with that said, I believe we are just about ready to get started. Oh, and just as a summary, the issue -- there's only one issue today. That issue to

decide is whether OTA may grant the refund claim. And as relevant, FTB's position, from my understanding, is that the refund claim is barred by the statute of limitations. And in addition, the parties agree that the tax was paid by withholdings, and that the amount paid does exceed the amount due for the tax year at issue. So the only issue is basically whether it's barred by the statute of limitations, or if it could be granted on some other basis. Is that a correct summary of the issue?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

I'll start with FTB.

MR. HUNTER: That's correct. Statute of limitations case.

Okay. And for Appellant, is that JUDGE KWEE: summary that I've provided credit?

MR. DONAHUE: Yes, it is.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you.

So then the proceedings today will basically be five minutes for Appellant's opening presentation, 10 minutes for the Franchise Tax Board's opening presentation, and then a closing statement of 5 minutes per party. With that said, does anyone have any questions before I turn it over to the Appellant's representative for his opening statement?

MR. HUNTER: No, Judge Kwee.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Then I will turn it over to Mr. Donahue.

Mr. Donahue, you have 5 minutes for your opening presentation.

MR. DONAHUE: Thank you, Judge.

2.4

## PRESENTATION

MR. DONAHUE: As I outlined in previous correspondence, this issue arose when the California Department of Revenue notified the taxpayers that they had funds on deposit from the partnership for the benefit of Mr. Harb. This correspondence came to the taxpayers in February of 2021. Taxpayer contacted me. I told him that we have never received the California K-1 or any other documents that would have said that any of the income was earned or taxable in the State of California.

So I asked him to go back to the partnership management company and to get a copy of the California K-1, and we would file a tax return. Not an amended one because there was never one filed in the beginning.

However -- and there was no question that the return did not get filed until August of 2021, and I realize that the statute expired in April of 2021 for the year 2016.

But I think there were some extenuating circumstances in this case. And that is that I'm

basically a sole practitioner with one administrative assistant, and my main office is in Easton, E-a-s-t-o-n, Massachusetts. I reside in Falmouth, Massachusetts, and I have a small satellite office in Falmouth. Due to Covid, I was operating primarily out of my Falmouth office, and my assistant was operating out of the Easton office.

2.4

Mr. Harb sent the California K-1 to -- via email. Normally, my assistant would either keep a copy in a hard-copy file here waiting for me to -- my next visit to Easton, or she would email it to me; scan it and email it to me. I did not get it via email, and I only come to the Easton office, at least at that time, only but once every two weeks. And when I come to the office, she works from home; again, the whole Covid situation.

And to make a long story short and not get into the details of it, it wasn't acted upon. And we weren't made aware of that until quite frankly, my client Mr. Harb asked for a status report in the beginning of August of 2021. And that's when we had to go back and find the email with the K-1 on it, and we filed the tax return and the request for a refund for the \$3,640. So that's the long and short of it, quite frankly.

There's no question the statutory date was missed, April 15, 2021, but I do request some understanding for the circumstances that we were dealing

1 with certainly at that time. So that's -- that's the bulk 2 of my presentation. 3 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. This is Judge Kwee. Thank 4 you. 5 So if I understand Appellant's position it's that 6 you had the K-1 after, I guess, FTB sent their 7 February 12th letter. Unfortunately, there was a mix up 8 between the office locations in Easton and Falmouth, so 9 you were unable to -- the representative was unable to 10 process it until August when there was a status update, 11 and that was the reason for the delay. Is that a correct 12 summary? 13 MR. DONAHUE: Yeah, it is. It's just the fact 14 that we had to be operating out of two separating offices 15 at the time. 16 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. I think I understand your 17 position, and I don't have any other questions at this 18 So I will turn it over to the Franchise Tax Board. 19 Mr. Hunter, you have 10 minutes for your opening 20 presentation. Thank you. 21 MR. HUNTER: Thank you, Judge Kwee. 22 23 PRESENTATION 2.4 MR. HUNTER: Again, this is a statute of 25 limitations case, and Appellant's representative just laid out what happened. Appellant is a securities attorney, and Respondent received information from his law firm that he earned income during tax year 2016. Respondent also received a California income tax withholding payment from his employer for that year, but Appellant failed to file -- timely file a 2016 California income tax return.

2.4

And even though Respondent is not obligated to inform a taxpayer of the deadline by which a claim must be filed, and Respondent has no duty to notify the taxpayer of such overpayment, Respondent still reached out to taxpayer as a courtesy in February of 2021 and notified Appellant that Respondent had withholding on account, that Appellant did not file a 2016 tax return, and he needed to do so in order to claim a refund.

Respondent further notified Appellant and made it clear that his return must be received before the expiration of the statute of limitations, which typically would be in April, but in this case was actually May 17th, 2021, due to the Covid extension. And Appellant prepared the return and gave us information showing that he was entitled to about \$3,600 in a refund. However, he signed his return in August of 2021, and we received it August 27th, 2021, which is 3 months past the deadline provided by the statute of limitations.

So the law is clear. It does not excuse the

1	taxpayer's failure to file a timely claim for refund, even			
2	if the taxpayer is unaware of the statute of limitations.			
3	And the strict language of the law provides that there's			
4	no waiver of the statutory period based on reasonable			
5	cause. And the evidence we've heard this morning thus			
6	far, is that there was a miscommunication, and there was			
7	an email. And, you know, all of that would give rise to a			
8	pass that Appellant is asking for, but sadly the law does			
9	not give us any flexibility to entertain that.			
10	So given that, Respondent's action should be			
11	sustained. Thank you.			
12	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. For Franchise Tax Board, I			
13	just have one question. So is the amount of the			
14	overpayment, the \$3,640, you're not disputing that amount?			
15	The only issue is the timeliness?			
16	MR. HUNTER: That's correct.			
17	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Then I don't have any other			
18	questions for the Franchise Tax Board at this time. So I			
19	will turn it over for a closing remark.			
20	So, Mr. Donahue, you have five minutes for any			
21	closing or final remarks that you wish to make.			
22	MR. DONAHUE: Thank you, Judge.			
23				
24	CLOSING STATEMENT			
25	MR. DONAHUE: You know, I just want to thank you			

1 all for your time spent on this matter and for the 2 consideration given to this request for the -- for the 3 refund. Like I said, I am positive this would not have happened if -- if we weren't forced, basically, to work 4 5 from two different locations due to the Covid situation. 6 But I do respect the fact that I can't -- I cannot dispute 7 the fact that the return was filed after the extended due date. 8 That's the extent of my remarks. 10 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you. 11 So then I will turn it over to Mr. Hunter for 12 your closing remarks. You have five minutes. Thank you. 13 MR. HUNTER: I won't even need that, Judge Kwee. 14 15 CLOSING STATEMENT 16 We thank you. The record is very MR. HUNTER: 17 The facts are undisputed and, again, Respondent's clear. 18 action must be sustained. 19 Thank you. 20 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. This is Judge Kwee. Thank 2.1 you everyone. We're ready to conclude this hearing. I'll 22 just double check. Are there any questions from either 23 party before we conclude the matter?

MR. HUNTER: No, Judge.

MR. DONAHUE: None.

2.4

25

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So then this case is submitted on Tuesday, May 31st, 2022, and the record is now closed. I'd like to thank everyone for coming in today. OTA will send a written opinion of our decision within 100 days from today's date. So the hearing in the Appeal of William and Aril Harb is now adjourned. And this concludes all oral hearing scheduled for today. Thank you everyone and good day. (Proceedings adjourned at 9:46 a.m.) 2.4 

## 1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 13th day 15 of June, 2022. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25