# BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APE	PEAL OF, )	
S. PRIYADARSHI and A. PR	RIYADARSHI, ) OTA NO. 21088	8419
APPEI	LLANT. )	
	)	

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Wednesday, June 29, 2022

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF, )
7	S. PRIYADARSHI and A. PRIYADARSHI, ) OTA NO. 21088419 )
8	APPELLANT. )
9	)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,
15	taken in the State of California, commencing
16	at 9:29 a.m. and concluding at 9:47 a.m. on
17	Wednesday, June 29, 2022, reported by
18	Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and
19	for the State of California.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ TOMMY LEUNG
4	Panel Members:	ALJ ASAF KLETTER
5		ALJ SHERIENE RIDENOUR
6	For the Appellant:	S. PRIYADARSHI
7	,	
8	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
9		ALISA PINARBASI MARIA BROSTERHOUS
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		I N D E X		
2				
3		E X H I B I T S		
4				
5	(Appellant's Exhibits	s 1-3 were received at page 7.)		
6	(Department's Exhibits A-M were received at page 7, and			
7	Exhibit N was received at page 9.)			
8		DDECEMENTON		
9		PRESENTATION		
10		PAGE		
11	By Mr. Priyadarshi	9		
12	By Ms. Pinarbasi	12		
13				
14		OLOGING OF THEMENE		
15		CLOSING STATEMENT		
16		PAGE		
17	By Mr. Priyadarshi	16		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	California; Wednesday, June 29, 2022
2	9:29 a.m.
3	
4	JUDGE LEUNG: This is Appeal Number 21088419,
5	Appeal of Priyadarshi for the tax year 2021. Today is
6	June 29th, 2022. It's approximately 9:35 a.m.
7	Good morning. Please introduce yourselves for
8	the record, starting with you, Mr. Priyadarshi.
9	MR. PRIYADARSHI: Yes. So good morning, Judges,
10	and good morning, everyone. Thanks for giving me this
11	opportunity to present my case here. You have seen the
12	exhibit. My appeal to the Court is, I had the right
13	intention to pay all the
14	JUDGE LEUNG: Please, Mr. Priyadarshi, just
15	introductions. So we'll get into your case in a few
16	minutes. So
17	MR. PRIYADARSHI: Okay. Sorry.
18	JUDGE LEUNG: let's let the Franchise Tax
19	Board introduce themselves first. Thank you.
20	MS. PINARBASI: Good morning. This is Alisa
21	Pinarbasi, Tax Counsel for the Franchise Tax Board.
22	JUDGE LEUNG: Good morning.
23	MS. BROSTERHOUS: And Maria Brosterhous also of
24	the Franchise Tax Board.
25	JUDGE LEUNG: Good morning. And I'm Judge Tommy

Leung. With me is Judge Sheriene Ridenour. Good morning,
Judge Ridenour.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: Good morning.

JUDGE LEUNG: And good morning to Judge Kletter.

JUDGE KLETTER: Good morning.

JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Thank you.

And the three of us will be deciding your case,
Mr. Priyadarshi. We each have an equal say in deciding
your case. This will be your panel for this appeal. And,
Mr. Priyadarshi, I'll let you start in a minute, but first
I need to get you sworn in. So would you please raise
your right hand and repeat after me.

#### SUDHANSHU PRIYADARSHI,

produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows:

2.4

JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you. And you have 10 minutes for your presentation. After your presentation, the Franchise Tax Board and/or the Judges may have questions for you. After that, the Franchise Tax Board has 10 minutes, and then the Judges will have questions for the Franchise Tax Board. And then after that,

Mr. Priyadarshi, you have the final say for about 5

minutes.

2.4

Before we go into that, I will admit into the record Exhibits A through M, as in Mary, for the Franchise Tax Board. I will admit into the order, order -- three exhibits from the taxpayer: Number 1, being the request for reasonable cause, the refund. Number 2, being the FTB's denial of the refund; and Number 3, being a declaration from Mr. Priyadarshi to somebody named Debra at the Franchise Tax Board, dated August 12, 2021.

(Appellant's Exhibits 1-3 were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

(Department's Exhibits A-M were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

This issue for the decision this morning, whether the electronic -- the mandatory electronic penalty should be imposed, and whether interest should be abated. We do have one late submission from the Franchise Tax Board, Exhibit N. A little background about this exhibit. We -- I had issued an instruction back in April -- about April 28th, directing the parties to provide declarations on or before May 31st, 2022, and also include a list of -- be prepared to bring a list of witnesses to our prehearing conference on June 17th, 2022.

No such declaration was provided to OTA by May 31st, 2022. And at our June 17th, 2022 prehearing

conference, the parties were asked whether there were any witnesses. And after discussion, it was determined that no witnesses were going to be called for this hearing.

2.1

2.4

OTA received Exhibit N, as in Nancy, from the Franchise Tax Board, which is a declaration of a witness on June 21st, 2022, about eight days before today's hearing. Regulation 30214 requires the admission of the declarations at least 15 days before hearing, unless good cause is shown.

Ms. Pinarbasi, can you show good cause why Exhibit N should be admitted into the record?

MS. PINARBASI: Good morning. This is Alisa
Pinarbasi. We submitted the exhibit after the due date.
I apologize. In the interest of having all facts
available, the exhibit demonstrates that
Mr. Priyadarshi --

JUDGE LEUNG: Ms. Pinarbasi, please hold on.

Please, restrict your response to the timeliness and not
go into the details of the exhibit. We don't want those
details in the record if they're going to be excluded from
the record. So just tell us why it was submitted so late.

MS. PINARBASI: It was submitted late because we did not know of its necessity until -- until that date.

JUDGE LEUNG: Mr. Priyadarshi, do you have any objections to admitting FTB's Exhibit N into the record?

MR. PRIYADARSHI: No, I don't.

JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Having no objection to its submission, I will admit Exhibit N into the record.

(Department's Exhibit N was received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

It's a statement from Chris Reali from the Franchise Tax Board, and we'll get into that once Franchise Tax Board begins its presentation.

Mr. Priyadarshi, you have the floor. You have 10 minutes for your presentation. Please proceed at your pleasure.

2.1

2.4

## PRESENTATION

MR. PRIYADARSHI: Thank you, Judge, and good morning, everyone.

As you can see from the different exhibits, I had to travel to India due to family emergency because of Covid in December 2020. Plus, I was supposed to go via UK to India, but due to Covid, the flights from UK was banned. Then in the emergency I had to travel -- I changed my flight from United Airways to go to India because that was the number one thing in my mind when you have a family suffering from Covid.

But at the same time, I had the right intention to pay the taxes. I had paid first three-quarter taxes

online. And India was also going through political unrest. There were some farmers protests where India was shutting internet for a long time. So I wasn't sure whether I will have internet access to pay the taxes. And I wasn't sure when I'll be able to come back to U.S. because of Covid emergency, and the government was banning both U.S. and India traveling to different countries.

2.4

But my intention was correct that I wanted to pay the taxes on time. I missed paying online, and I just wrote the check and gave it to my wife and two daughters who did not travel to India because my -- my daughters were not vaccinated at that time. So I -- I didn't want to take a risk. So we left with the check with my wife, and I went to India to attend to my personal matter. And that's the reason because of Covid, because of the different governments had different regulations about banning and India was banning internet.

I request the FTB to waive the penalty because of the special circumstances. I have paid the taxes on time. My intention was clear and pay the taxes before the 15th. So that's my position. I have written a letter as well as I've talked a couple of times with FTB. So that's my request to just pay the penalty. I already paid the penalty on time, penalty 2, but I requested to FTB to just waive the penalty because I paid the taxes. It was more

1 due to circumstances I was dealing with and because of special circumstances of Covid across different countries 2 3 and regulation. 4 That's all I have to say. 5 JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you, Mr. Priyadarshi. Does the Franchise Tax Board have any questions 6 7 for Mr. Priyadarshi? 8 MS. PINARBASI: This is Alisa Pinarbasi. No 9 questions from the Franchise Tax Board. 10 JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you. 11 And, Judge Ridenour, any questions for 12 Mr. Priyadarshi? 13 JUDGE RIDENOUR: Actually I do. Thank you very 14 much. 15 You mentioned leaving the check with your wife. 16 Was there any reason why you didn't instead instruct her 17 to do it electronically? 18 MR. PRIYADARSHI: She was here with two little 19 kids, and we were dealing with Covid emergencies in India. 20 And I managed all the finances in my house. I deal with 2.1 the taxes. So she just didn't have the access, and she 22 didn't know all the accounts, how to do it. And I just 23 didn't think about training them or telling them how to do 2.4 those things because of the health emergency issues. 25 JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay. Thank you. This is

Judge Ridenour. No further guestions.

JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Judge Kletter, any questions for Mr. Priyadarshi from you?

JUDGE KLETTER: No. I don't have any questions for Mr. Priyadarshi. Thank you.

JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you.

Okay. Franchise Tax Board, you can commence your presentation.

2.1

2.4

## PRESENTATION

MS. PINARBASI: Good morning. My name is Alisa Pinarbasi, and I, along with Maria Brosterhous, represent the Franchise Tax Board.

The issue in this case is whether Appellants have met their burden of proof to establish reasonable cause to abate the mandatory e-pay penalty for the 2020 tax year. Appellants paid their fourth quarter estimate payment by check. And because they were required to make payments electronically, FTB imposed the e-payment penalty. Taxpayers are required to make electronic payments when either their estimated tax or extension payment exceeds \$20,000, or their tax return has more than \$80,000 of tax liability.

Once this requirement is triggered, taxpayers must make all of their future payments electronically. If

they do not, they are subject to a penalty. This penalty can be abated upon a finding of reasonable cause and the lack of willful neglect, which the taxpayer has the burden to prove. To demonstrate reasonable cause, Appellants must show that their failure to pay electronically occurred despite the exercise of ordinary business care and prudence. Ignorance of the law is not reasonable cause.

2.4

In this case, Appellants were notified by letter on November 8th, 2019, that all future payments to FTB must be paid electronically. Appellants argue that they made their estimate payment by check because Mr. Priyadarshi had a family emergency that required him to leave the country. He was unsure whether he would have internet access while he was gone, or when he would be able to return. Because Appellants did not have the full amount of the estimate penalty -- or excuse me -- the estimate payment available in their account, Mr. Priyadarshi wrote a check to allow them time to fund the account before FTB withdrew the payment.

Mrs. Priyadarshi was not traveling, but Appellant states she could not make the payment electronically because she was focusing on child care, and she was not familiar with the account information and passwords.

Unfortunately, these facts do not demonstrate that

Appellants exercised ordinary business care and prudence in attempting to make their payment electronically.

2.1

2.4

First, FTB has included, as an additional exhibit, a declaration from a web pay coordinator at FTB, which states Appellants could have scheduled their payment on web pay. Additionally, Form 540-ES, which contains the vouchers that Appellants submitted with their check states that taxpayers can schedule their payments online up to one year in advance. Therefore, Appellants could have scheduled their payment online for a future date if they were concerned funds were not immediately available.

Additionally, if Appellants were unaware that they could schedule their payments online, they have not sufficiently demonstrated why Mr. Priyadarshi did not share passwords and instructions with Mrs. Priyadarshi so she could make the payment electronically once the transfers were complete. Taxpayers with a filing status married filing jointly have a joint and several liability to ensure taxes are paid timely and correctly. Therefore, a reasonably prudent person in this situation would ensure both spouses were capable of making payments.

Lastly, Mrs. Priyadarshi did not need any passwords to make the estimated payment on line. She simply needed to know her and her husband's social security numbers, the amount of the estimate payment, and

the banking information, which can generally be found on a 1 blank check. FTB greatly appreciates Appellants' efforts 2 3 to timely pay their estimate tax, but their arguments do not establish reasonable cause to abate the e-payment 4 5 penalty. 6 Therefore, based on the facts and evidence in the 7 record, FTB would respectfully request that you sustain its position. 8 9 I'm happy to address any questions the panel may 10 have. Thank you. 11 JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you, Ms. Pinarbasi. 12 Judge Ridenour, any questions for the Franchise Tax Board? 13 14 JUDGE RIDENOUR: This is Judge Ridenour. 15 questions. Thank you very much. 16 JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you. 17 And for Judge Kletter, any questions for the Franchise Tax Board? 18 19 JUDGE KLETTER: This is Judge Kletter. 20 don't have any questions for the Franchise Tax Board. 2.1 Thank you. 22 JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Thank you. 23 Thank you, Franchise Tax Board. 2.4 Mr. Priyadarshi, you have five minutes for your 25 closing or any other questions or statements you may have.

#### CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. PRIYADARSHI: First of all, thanks, Ms. Pinarbasi for the facts you laid out.

The issue is not here about me paying taxes. We have paid the taxes and the previous taxes was paid on online. I couldn't have anticipated the taxes, what will happen 12 months from now, especially with Covid was a global emergency. So could not have anticipated all that and register the account or schedule the penalty -- schedule the taxes 12 months in advance because you have to estimate the taxes during the year. So that's one of the reasons I didn't do it.

And while I understand there's a password, but dealing with health emergencies and one of the spouses is traveling where when they don't know when I can come back to the country, it's more about special circumstances.

And the intent was to pay the taxes, and I felt that was my main number one priority to pay the taxes on time, which I did. So my request is just to the penalty piece of it, because tax was paid on time. It was just -- didn't -- wasn't done online versus check.

So that's my humble request to the Judges.

JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you, Mr. Priyadarshi.

Any final questions from the panel members?

Judge Ridenour?

2.4

1 This is Judge Ridenour. JUDGE RIDENOUR: 2 questions. Thank you. 3 JUDGE LEUNG: Judge Kletter? Thank you, Judge Ridenour. 4 Judge Kletter? 5 JUDGE KLETTER: This is Judge Kletter. 6 7 questions. Thank you so much. 8 JUDGE LEUNG: This is Judge Leung. I do have a 9 question for the Franchise Tax Board. The section that 10 has the electronic -- mandatory electronic payment 11 requirement 19011.5 talks about telephonic payment. 12 or was that option available around December 2020? 13 MS. PINARBASI: I am unsure of the status of the 14 telephonic payments. I -- but I do note that the option 15 was available for the taxpayer to schedule his payment 16 online. So he could have done the exact same process that 17 he made for all of his previous estimate tax payments. 18 And instead of scheduling an immediate payment, just 19 schedule it to be paid in approximately 7 to 10 days when 20 his funds would be available. 21 However, I would be happy to brief the 22 telephonic, whether there was an option to pay by 23 telephone, if necessary. 2.4 JUDGE LEUNG: I don't think we need any brief 25 about that. I think that's my only question, and I

believe that completes our hearing for today. 1 The record is being closed. We will have a 2 3 decision for the parties within 100 days of this date. And if there are no other matters to discuss, we 4 5 will close this hearing. Our next hearing is scheduled 6 for 1:00 p.m. this afternoon. Thank you everybody, and 7 we'll be in touch with you. 8 JUDGE RIDENOUR: This is Judge Ridenour. I do 9 believe the next hearing is scheduled for 11:00 a.m. 10 JUDGE LEUNG: Oh, 11:00 a.m. for the next 11 hearing. Okay. Very good. Thank you, Judge Ridenour, 12 for that correction. Thank you. 13 Thank you very much. Bye, everybody. 14 (Proceedings adjourned at 9:47 a.m.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 2.4 25

# 1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 7th day 15 of July, 2022. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25