# BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN	THE	MATTER	OF	THE	APPEAL	OF,	)			
К.	ESTI	ER.					)	ΑΤΟ	NO.	21129246
1	пот						)	0111	110.	21123210
				Α.	PPELLAN'	Γ.	)			
							)			

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Tuesday, June 28, 2022

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF, )
6	)
7	)
8	APPELLANT. ) )
9	<u> </u>
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,
15	taken in the State of California, commencing
16	at 9:30 a.m. and concluding at 9:49 a.m. on
17	Tuesday, June 28, 2022, reported by Ernalyn M.
18	Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and for the State
19	of California.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ KEITH LONG
4	For the Appellant:	K. ESTER
5	ror one appearance.	<u>2012</u> 1.
6	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
7		SARAH FASSETT
8		CYNTHIA KENT
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		I N D E X					
2							
3	<u>EXHIBITS</u>						
4							
5	(Appellant's Exhibi	ts 1-10 were received at page 6.)					
6	(Department's Exhib	its A-K were received at page 6.)					
7							
8		PRESENTATION					
9		DACE					
10		<u>PAGE</u>					
11	By Ms. Ester	6					
12	By Ms. Fassett	11					
13							
14							
15		CLOSING STATEMENT					
16		PAGE					
17	By Ms. Ester	14					
18	By Ms. Fassett	15					
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	California; Tuesday, June 28, 2022
2	9:30 a.m.
3	
4	JUDGE LONG: We're ready to go on the record.
5	This hearing is for the Appeal of K. Ester, OTA
6	Case Number 2112946. It is Thursday, June 28th, 2022, at
7	9:30 a.m. This appeal is being heard electronically.
8	I am Administrative Law Judge Keith Long. I will
9	be hearing the matter this morning. I'm the ALJ, meaning
10	I will be conducting the proceedings, reviewing the
11	evidence, asking questions, and reaching a determination
12	in this case.
13	Parties, please state your name and who you
14	represent for the record.
15	We'll start with you, Ms. Ester.
16	MS. ESTER: My name is Keshia Ester, and I
17	represent myself.
18	JUDGE LONG: Thank you.
19	And Franchise Tax Board.
20	MS. FASSETT: This is Sarah Fassett for the
21	Franchise Tax Board.
22	MS. KENT: This is Cynthia Kent for the Franchise
23	Tax Board.
24	JUDGE LONG: Thank you.
25	Today we are determining whether Appellant has

established error in FTB's proposed assessment of additional tax of \$966 for the 2017 tax year. Appellant has submitted Exhibits 1 through 10, which are admitted into evidence with no objection.

(Appellant's Exhibits 1-10 were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

FTB has submitted Exhibits A through K, which are also admitted into evidence with no objections.

(Department's Exhibits A-K were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)
We'll begin with opening presentations.

Ms. Ester, you have up to 10 minutes, and you may begin whenever you are ready.

2.1

2.4

### PRESENTATION

MS. ESTER: Okay. Good morning. My name is Keshia Ester.

In 2017 was the first year for the IHSS, In-Home Supportive Services, to be nontaxable if the recipient and the provider lived in the same household. That particular year in 2017 I filled out and mailed in the live-in self-certification form for the federal and state tax wage exclusion in 2017, which is the form SOC2298. I expected the IHSS W-2 was exempt. After mailing in my form, I filled out my 2000 -- when I filled out my 2017 taxes the

following year.

2.4

In 2019 I received a proposed amount due from IRS. That's Exhibit H. And that was on March 11, 2019. At that time, I amended my taxes, and I added Notice 2014-7 to my amended taxes. As well, I amended my California taxes, but I didn't mail those in. I assumed, since IRS sent me a letter, I was going to get one right away from the Tax Board but didn't get one until two years later. And when I received my letter, my notice, stating the amount -- oh, excuse me.

I received -- the Franchise Tax Board sent me my proposed assessment on April 29th, 2021, and at that time I went on and I faxed them over my amended taxes. And I informed them that I amended my IRS taxes. They said they did not see -- they stated that they did not see that in their system. They did not see my amended taxes. I called the IRS -- where am I at? I called the IRS twice and was informed both times when I amended my taxes in 2017 it fixed my error. They didn't file my amended for 2017. They just fixed it in their system.

I was told that the State of California should have been able to see the error in their system and that it was corrected. I also mailed out when I faxed over the Franchise Tax Board the notice stating that the amount that I owe the IRS is zero, and that was on June 24th,

2019, and my inquiry was closed.

2.1

2.4

So I did not owe the IRS anymore when I did my -I faxed them over my amended taxes. So I've known from
that point on, when I amended my taxes for the Franchise
Tax Board, that it should have been -- I should not had
owed any more money as well. Excuse me. In 2021 when I
received the information from the Franchise Tax Board last
year, I went on and logged into the In-Home Supportive
Services' electronic services portal and reapplied for
live-in certification because I had to do my research and
find out that they said they never received my
certification from back in 2017.

I didn't know what to expect what my W-2 was supposed to look like as far as being exempt or anything. I just thought I was supposed not to have put it on my taxes. But when I did my search with the IRS, I noticed I'm supposed to put Notice 2014-7. So I thought that was sufficient for the State of California as well.

Thank you.

JUDGE LONG: Thank you. And then -- my apologies.

MS. ESTER: That's okay.

JUDGE LONG: I actually need to swear you in -- swear your statement in as a witness retroactively.

MS. ESTER: Okav.

1	JUDGE LONG: So can you please raise your right
2	hand?
3	MS. ESTER: Of course.
4	
5	KESHIA ESTER,
6	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
7	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified
8	as follows:
9	
10	JUDGE LONG: Thank you. And you may lower your
11	hand.
12	MS. ESTER: Okay.
13	JUDGE LONG: Thank you. And so Franchise Tax
14	Board has the opportunity to ask you questions now.
15	MS. ESTER: Yes.
16	JUDGE LONG: Franchise Tax Board, do you have any
17	questions for Ms. Ester?
18	MS. FASSETT: This Sarah Fassett. At this time
19	Franchise Tax Board does not have any questions for
20	Ms. Ester.
21	JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you.
22	I do have some questions myself. With respect to
23	the IHSS income included on your did you include that
24	on your original federal income tax return, Ms. Ester?
25	MS. ESTER: No. This is Ms. Ester speaking. On

1 2017 I did not include that on my original. I did it 2 amended. And when I amended it -- when I did the 3 amendment, I faxed it over to IRS. And what IRS told me both times was that they didn't file it because they saw 4 5 the error, and they just wanted the error corrected. 6 JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you. So -- but then 7 you didn't file the amended California return. 8 MS. ESTER: When California sent me the 9 information in March of 2021 -- April -- excuse me -- of 10 last year, I went on and faxed them over my amendment as 11 well, my amended taxes. But then they stated to me that 12 they couldn't see it in the system. That was the problem that Ms. Caroline was having, was that she couldn't see it 13 14 in their system that my amended was even filed. 15 JUDGE LONG: Okay. Okay. And so then on the 16 amended return, did you include the IHSS income in your 17 federal adjusted gross income? 18 MS. ESTER: Yes, I did. And when I included that 19 in my amended, that's when IRS said they were sufficient. 20 They were okay with me because I corrected the error 21 because I added the IHSS. 22 JUDGE LONG: Gotcha. Sorry. I'm just making 23 sure I type out your answer for my notes. 2.4 MS. ESTER: It's okay. 25 JUDGE LONG: Okay. I do not have any more

questions at this time. So we will turn to the Franchise Tax Board and -- who also requested 10 minutes.

So you may begin your presentation when you are ready, Ms. Fassett.

MS. FASSETT: This is Sarah Fassett. Thank you Judge Long.

2.4

#### PRESENTATION

MS. FASSETT: So good morning. My name is Sarah Fassett and I, along with Cynthia Kent, represent the Franchise Tax Board or FTB.

For the reasons set forth in FTB's opening brief as well as what I'm going to discuss today, FTB's action should be sustained as the evidence in the record clearly shows that Appellant did not and has not established error in FTB's proposed assessment of additional tax for the 2017 tax year. And because Appellant did not establish error in the proposed assessment, FTB correctly proposed an assessment of \$966 of additional tax.

Here as Appellant has explained that she -- that because the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, closed its inquiry into her 2017 federal return, FTB should do the same. Appellant also claims that the income she subtracted is nontaxable and excludable because it is wage income paid by In-Home Support Services or IHSS. And

while Respondent Franchise Tax Board agrees that IHSS income may be excluded from a taxpayer's federal adjusted gross income and from the calculation of California taxable income, there is no indication that any of Appellant's \$15,086 of IHSS income was ever included in her federal AGI.

2.4

Appellant's federal tax return found in Exhibit A shows wage income and a federal AGI of \$73,776, the exact amount of her wage income from the County of Los Angeles. Because she did not report her IHSS wages anywhere on the original filed 1040, and the IHSS wages were not included in Appellant's federal AGI, she subsequently and improperly subtracted an amount equal to her IHSS wages from the wage income earned from the County of L.A. on her 2017 California income tax return.

And as to discuss the error for the federal return is not the same as the error for California tax purposes. As to her -- Appellant's arguments that her IHSS wages are also not taxable for federal income tax purposes, and that's why the IRS closed the inquiry into her 2017 federal return, that is true. And FTB agrees with that. As seen on her original filed 1040, the only amounts used to reduce her federal AGI were the standard deductions and her exemption credits.

Because Appellant did not attempt to reduce her

federal AGI, i.e. the amount of her wages from L.A. County by her IHSS wage income, there would be and could be no change to the federal return, and the IRS would not have had a basis upon which to assess any additional tax.

2.4

As seen in Exhibit K, the refund amount seen on the pro forma federal return is the same as Appellant's originally filed federal return, even when the IHSS income is reported and then subsequently excluded from her federal AGI and, ultimately, taxation. The same is not true for Appellant's California return because she improperly excluded an amount equal to her IHSS income, \$15,086, from her L.A. County income of \$73,776 to reach the incorrectly reported California AGI of \$58,690.

Appellant has not provided any documentation or argument that her subtraction of income from her L.A.

County wages was proper or allowed, and that she has not established error in FTB's proposed assessment of additional tax. To be very clear, Respondent is not attempting to tax Appellant's IHSS income in any way.

Respondent's actions reflect a correction to Appellant's improper subtraction from her L.A. County wage income, income which is fully taxable to Appellant as a California resident, and which Appellant has provided no support for any reduction. Therefore, on the facts and evidence in the record, Franchise Tax Board respectfully request you

1 sustain its position. 2 I'm happy to address any questions you may have. 3 Thank you. 4 JUDGE LONG: Thank you. 5 This is Judge Long. I do not have any questions. 6 So we will turn to Ms. Ester for her closing argument. 7 Ms. Ester, you requested five minutes, and you may begin whenever you're ready. 8 9 MS. ESTER: Okay. This is Ms. Ester. 10 understanding, if you're saying -- can I -- may I ask a question? No. 11 12 JUDGE LONG: You may ask a question to me. Franchise Tax Board is not appearing as a witness. 13 14 MS. ESTER: Okay. 15 JUDGE LONG: So only I may ask them questions. 16 But if you ask me a question, I can -- they can choose to 17 answer if they would like to. 18 MS. ESTER: Okay. Well -- okay. I'll do my 19 closing statement. 20 2.1 CLOSING STATEMENT 22 MS. ESTER: From what I understood from the Franchise Tax Board, she's saying that I did not subtract 23 2.4 my county wages. I did subtract my county wages from my 25 first original. I amended. I amended. I added.

subtracted. If I did amend -- if I did the same thing for IRS, I did the same thing for the Franchise Tax Board.

And I still feel that I don't owe anything because I did

JUDGE LONG: Okay. I think I understand your position.

Franchise Tax Board, did you want to respond to that at all?

MS. FASSETT: This is Sarah Fassett. Yes, I would like to respond.

2.1

2.4

my taxes correctly.

## CLOSING STATEMENT

To Ms. Ester's point, or I the think question is that -- that we -- that amended return was never officially filed with the Franchise Tax Board. And if you're talking about the amended return in Exhibit D, which was sent over to Franchise Tax Board, looks like on June 7th, 2021, that amount on the back end, Schedule CA, does show a \$73,776. It shows the same subtraction to the \$73,776. There is -- that is the issue.

You needed to add \$73,776 plus \$15,086 and then subject \$15,086 to stay at \$73,776, which would match your federal adjusted gross income. That's not what has happened, and that amended return was never accepted as a filed return. So even that amended return was correct,

1 which it is not, it was never properly filed with the Franchise Tax Board. 2 3 Thank you. JUDGE LONG: Thank you. 4 5 This is Judge Long. Before we conclude I do want to make sure Ms. Ester is given her full five minutes to 6 7 make a closing argument. 8 Ms. Ester, do you have anything more that you 9 would want to add? 10 MS. ESTER: No. I don't have anything else to 11 say. 12 JUDGE LONG: Okay. And so we will go ahead and 13 conclude the hearing. The -- I will prepare a written 14 decision no later than 100 days from today. It will be 15 issued to both parties. Thank you for your participation. 16 The case is now submitted, and the record is 17 closed. The next hearing will begin at 10:00 a.m., and 18 this hearing is now adjourned. Thank you. 19 (Proceedings adjourned at 9:49 a.m.) 20 21 2.2 23 2.4 25

# 1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 7th day 15 of July, 2022. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25