BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ΙN	THE	MATTER	OF	THE	APPEAL	OF,)			
Ε.	GIM	BEL,)	OTA	NO.	21027226
				A.	PPELLAN'	Г.))			
)			

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Tuesday, July 26, 2022

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)
6)
7	E. GIMBEL,) OTA NO. 21027226) APPELLANT.)
8	APPELLANI.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,
15	taken in the State of California, commencing
16	at 2:35 p.m. and concluding at 3:06 p.m. on
17	Tuesday, July 26, 2022, reported by Ernalyn M.
18	Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and for the State
19	of California.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ MIKE LE
4	Panel Members:	ALJ DANIEL CHO
5	raner members.	ALJ JOHN JOHNSON
6	For the Appellant:	MICHAEL SY
7	East the Description to	
8	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
9		CHRISTOPHER COOK ERIC YADAO
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>I N D E X</u>
2	
3	<u>EXHIBITS</u>
4	
5	(Appellant's Exhibits 1 was received into evidence at the prehearing conference.)
6	
7	(Appellant's Exhibit 2 was received into evidence at page 6.)
8	(Department's Exhibits A-G were received into evidence at
9	the prehearing conference.)
10	
11	PRESENTATION
12	<u>PAGE</u>
13	By Mr. Sy 9
14	By Mr. Cook
15	
16	
17	CI OCING CERTENENE
18	CLOSING STATEMENT
19	<u>PAGE</u>
20	By Mr. Sy 14
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

California; Tuesday, July 26, 2022 2:35 p.m.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE LE: We are opening the record in the Appeal of Gimbel. This matter is being held before the Office of Tax Appeals. The OTA Case Number is 21027226. Today's date is Tuesday, July 26th, 2022, and the time is 2:35 p.m. This hearing is being held -- being conducted electronically with the agreement of the parties.

Today's hearing is being heard by a panel of three Administrative Law Judges. My name is Mike Le, and I will be the lead judge. Judge Daniel Cho and Judge John Johnson are the other members of this tax appeals panel. Originally Judge Amanda Vassigh was assigned to this panel, but due to a scheduling conflict Judge Johnson has replaced her.

All three judges meet after the hearing and produce a written opinion as equal participants. Although the lead judge will conduct the hearing, any judge on this panel may ask questions or otherwise participate to ensure we have all the information needed to decide this appeal.

Now for the parties' introductions, will the parties please state their name and who they represent, starting with Respondent.

MR. COOK: This is Chris Cook. I'm a Tax

1 Counsel III with the Franchise Tax Board representing 2 Respondent. 3 MR. YADAO: Good afternoon. This is Eric Yadao with the Franchise Tax Board also. 4 5 JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. Thank you both. Turning now for Appellant, please state your name 6 7 and who you represent. 8 MR. SY: This is Michael Sy representing 9 Evangeline Gimbel. 10 Thank you, Mr. Sy. JUDGE LE: 11 This is Judge Le. Let's move on to my minutes 12 and orders. As discussed with the parties at the prehearing conference on July 6th, 2022, and notated in my 13 14 minutes and orders, the issue in this matter is whether 15 Appellant has established reasonable cause to abate the 16 late-payment penalty. No witnesses will testify at this 17 hearing for either party. 18 Appellant's Exhibit 1 was entered into the record 19 in my minutes and orders. After the prehearing conference 20 Appellant submitted an additional exhibit, which is the 2.1 letter signed by Appellant dated July 11, 2022. 22 Respondent did not object to this exhibit, so this exhibit 23 will be entered into the record as Exhibit 2. 2.4 (Appellant's Exhibit 2 was received in

evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

25

1 Respondents Exhibits A through G were also 2 entered into the record in my minutes and orders. This 3 oral hearing will begin with Appellant's presentation for up to 10 minutes. Does anyone have any questions before 4 5 we begin? 6 Mr. Cook, any questions before we begin? 7 MR. COOK: This is Chris Cook. We also submitted additional Exhibits H through J subsequent to the 8 9 prehearing conference. 10 JUDGE LE: Give me just one moment here. Do you 11 know the date you submitted that? 12 MR. COOK: Let me -- those were sent by email on 13 July 8th, 2022. 14 Unfortunately, it does not look like JUDGE LE: 15 we have that in our record. Can you resubmit that 16 document -- those documents? 17 MR. COOK: Of course. 18 JUDGE LE: Okay. At this point let's take a 19 five-minute break so we can allow the FTB to resend those 20 exhibits that they mentioned. If everybody can mute your 21 microphone and stop your video but do not sign out of 22 Webex. 23 (There is a pause in the proceedings.) 2.4 JUDGE LE: We are now going back on the record.

Okay. We're now back on the record.

25

```
1
               As I mentioned earlier, this oral hearing will
 2
      begin with Appellant's presentation for up to 10 minutes.
 3
      I just want to check one more time. Any questions from
      either party before we begin with Appellant's
 4
 5
      presentation?
 6
               Franchise Tax Board, any questions before we
 7
      begin?
 8
               MR. COOK: This is Chris Cook. No questions,
9
      Judge.
10
               JUDGE LE: Okay. And, Mr. Sy, any questions
11
      before we begin?
12
                       Michael Sy. No questions, Judge.
               MR. SY:
13
               JUDGE LE: Okay. And I'm just going to say this
14
      one more time because I don't think I said it on the
15
      record. We will allow Franchise Tax Board five days -- we
16
      will keep the record open after this hearing and allow the
17
      Franchise Tax Board five days to submit their exhibits.
18
      And then after that, Appellant will have 10 days to file
19
      an objection if they want to.
20
               Okay. So now we're going to proceed with
2.1
      parties' arguments.
22
               Mr. Sy, you have up to 10 minutes starting at
23
      2:58 p.m. Please proceed.
2.4
      ///
      ///
25
```

PRESENTATION

2.4

MR. SY: Michael Sy, Appellant representing

Evangeline A. Gimbel. The taxpayer regularly files

extensions for all of her returns. And she regularly pays

taxes -- not regularly but sporadically because of her

type of work and clinic that she operates. So the 2019 is

a special year, which I want really to request for a

waiver of penalty.

Her clinic was closed when the pandemic happened, starting in March. And she was closed for approximately four months. So there was no activity, and it's a mess in their operation. No records and the patients couldn't see. What happened there is you had to do a telehealth for all these patients, and all records were all messed up. She gave me the records for 2019 to prepare her return late.

And with me in the same token, that pandemic year was also way behind in my work. I was dragged behind, way, way, way back. So I couldn't finish the return technically for a lot of people. In this regard, I would like the Judges, OTA, to reconsider my request to waive the penalty.

JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. Thank you, Mr. Sy. Does this conclude your presentation?

MR. SY: Yes, Judge.

1	JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. Thank you.
2	Let me turn to the panel to see if they have any
3	questions for Appellant. Judge Cho, any questions for
4	Appellant?
5	JUDGE CHO: This is Judge Cho. I don't have any
6	questions at this time. Thank you.
7	JUDGE LE: Thank you, Judge Cho.
8	This is Judge Le. Turning to Judge Johnson. Do
9	you have any questions for Appellant?
10	JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. No
11	questions. Thank you.
12	JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. Thank you.
13	Now it's Respondent's turn for their
14	presentation.
15	Mr. Cook, you have up to 10 minutes, starting at
16	3:00 p.m. Please proceed. Thank you.
17	MR. COOK: Thank you, Judge Le.
18	
19	PRESENTATION
20	MR. COOK: The issue in this case does not
21	concern whether Appellant filed her tax return late. The
22	only issue in this case is whether Appellant has
23	demonstrated reasonable cause to abate the penalties
24	imposed for not completely paying her tax liability when

25

it was due.

The following facts are not in dispute. One, because of the Covid pandemic, the deadline for filing the 2019 returns and paying 2019 income tax was delayed to July 15th, 2020.

2.4

Two, Appellant's 2019 return was received on July 14, one day before the deadline. It was timely filed.

Three, the return reported an unpaid tax due of approximately \$8,300. And finally, this tax due was not paid until October after FTB sent a notice to Appellant. These facts are not in dispute, so late-payment penalties were properly imposed.

Now, late-payment penalties may be abated for showing a reasonable cause. This requires evidence that despite her exercise of ordinary care and prudence, Appellant was nonetheless prevented from making a tax payment on time. Appellant has explained that unique circumstances caused by the Covid pandemic caused business complications to her medical practice, which in turn caused her to not timely pay her tax liability.

But this explanation is tantamount to Appellant saying that she sacrificed the timeliness of one aspect of her affairs to pursue others. Under precedent, taxpayers must bear the consequences for making this kind of choice. In other words, under the law, focusing on business

concerns to the detriment of paying attention to tax responsibilities does not create reasonable cause to abate the tax penalties.

2.1

2.4

But before I close, I must reemphasize that while Appellant did not timely pay her tax liability, she was still able to file her return that had the exact same deadline as her payment. Returning, which is going to — which is included in Respondent's A, also shows that the bulk of her income was from an S corporation that presumably is her medical practice business.

This S corporation's return is included in Respondent's Exhibit H -- which you'll be receiving -- and shows that Appellant is both the sole 100 percent shareholder and signer of the return as president of the corporation. This S corporation's return was also timely filed on July 14th just like her personal return. And the S corporation also timely paid its tax on July 14th.

Now, it does not require a leap to imagine that the efforts necessary to prepare the S corporation's return along with her personal return must be a significantly more complicated undertakings than the act of making a payment for the amount shown on the return. So it's not clear how circumstances conspired to prevent Appellant from paying her personal income tax, but at the same time, the same circumstances did not prevent her from

preparing and filing the returns that had the exact same deadline as the payment.

not pay on time, then surely the same circumstances should have caused her to not timely file the corporation's return or her personal return or timely pay the corporation's tax liability. Since it's not clear as to how it is possible to timely prepare and file two tax returns and make the corporation's payment, yet simultaneously impossible to timely pay the personal income tax, Respondent asks the OTA to determine that reasonable cause does not exist to abate the late-payment penalties in this case.

Thank you, and I'm happy to answer any questions.

JUDGE LE: Thank you, Mr. Cook.

This is Judge Le. Let me turn to my panel again.

Judge Cho, do you have any questions for

Respondent?

2.4

JUDGE CHO: This is Judge Cho. No questions.

Thank you.

JUDGE LE: Thank you.

Judge Johnson, any questions?

JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. No

questions. Thank you.

JUDGE LE: Thank you.

1 This is Judge Le. Let's now turn to Appellant's 2 rebuttal to Respondent's arguments. 3 Mr. Sy, you have up to five minutes, starting at 4 3:05 p.m. Please proceed. Thank you. 5 6 CLOSING STATEMENT 7 MR. SY: Michael Sy for the Appellant Evangeline Gimbel. 8 9 I don't disagree with what Mr. Cook said. 10 only concern is I have no control of the payment due to 11 her schedule of work and the backlog in her schedule. 12 prepared a return before the deadline, but because of her scheduling and also the backlog of the patients and I have 13 14 no idea when she was able to catch up. 15 Furthermore, my thinking would be her concern of 16 the patients' care instead of coming up with the payment 17 of the tax timely. And because of that backlog I think, 18 you know, I'm pretty sure that she didn't pay most of her 19 bills on time also during those times. 20 Thank you. 21 JUDGE LE: Thank you, Mr. Sy. 22 This is Judge Le. Let me, for a final time, turn 23 to the panel to see if they have any questions. 2.4 Judge Cho, any final questions for either party?

JUDGE CHO: This is the Judge Cho. No questions.

25

1	Thank you.
2	JUDGE LE: Thank you.
3	Judge Johnson, any final questions for either
4	party?
5	JUDGE JOHNSON: This is the Judge Johnson. No
6	questions. Thank you.
7	JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. I also do not have
8	any questions for either party, so I believe we're ready
9	to conclude this hearing.
10	Thank you everyone for attending today. The
11	record will be held open for the FTB to submit additional
12	exhibits within 5 days. Appellant will then have 10 days
13	after that to object, if you choose to do so. We will
14	issue an order after this hearing to confirm this
15	information.
16	Thank you, again, everyone for coming in today.
17	Today's hearing in the Appeal of Gimbel is now adjourned.
18	(Proceedings adjourned at 3:06 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 4th day 15 of August, 2022. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25