BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ΙN	THE	MATTER	OF	THE	APPEAL	OF.)			
R.	TRU:	ΓNΑ,)	OTA	NO.	21108825
		,		Δ.	PPELLAN'	יי)			
				71.		•)			
)			

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Tuesday, July 26, 2022

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS					
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
3						
4						
5						
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,) R. TRUTNA,) OTA NO. 21108825					
7)					
8	APPELLANT.))					
9	,					
10						
11						
12						
13						
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,					
15	taken in the State of California, commencing					
16	at 11:09 a.m. and concluding at 11:33 a.m. on					
17	Tuesday, July 26, 2022, reported by Ernalyn M.					
18	Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and for the State					
19	of California.					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Administrative Law Judge:	ANDREW WONG
4	For the Appellant:	R. TRUTNA
5	For the respondent:	
6		FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
7		JOEL SMITH
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>INDEX</u>
2	
3	<u>EXHIBITS</u>
4	
5	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-2 were received at page 6.)
6	(Department's Exhibits A-D were received at page 6.)
7	
8	PRESENTATION
9	DA CE
10	PAGE 7
11	By Mr. Trutna 7
12	By Mr. Smith 15
13	
14	
15	CLOSING STATEMENT
16	<u>PAGE</u>
17	By Mr. Trutna 18
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	California; Tuesday, July 26, 2022						
2	11:09 a.m.						
3							
4	JUDGE WONG: We are now going on the record.						
5	We're opening the record in the Appeal of Trutna						
6	before the Office of Tax Appeals. This is OTA Case Number						
7	21108825. Today is Tuesday, July 26th, 2022. The time						
8	is let's see 11:09 a.m. We're holding this hearing						
9	by video conference.						
10	I'm Administrative Law Judge Andrew Wong, and						
11	I'll be hearing and deciding this case pursuant to the						
12	procedures of OTA's Small Case Program.						
13	Individuals representing Appellant, please						
14	identify yourselves for the record.						
15	Mr. Trutna?						
16	MR. TRUTNA: Yes. Yes.						
17	JUDGE WONG: Could you please identify yourself						
18	for the record.						
19	MR. TRUTNA: Richard Trutna here.						
20	JUDGE WONG: Thank you.						
21	Individuals representing FTB, Franchise Tax						
22	Board.						
23	MR. SMITH: Joel Smith. Good morning. This is						
24	Joel Smith with the Franchise Tax Board.						
25	JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. Thank you.						

1 We're considering one issue today, whether Appellant's claim for refund for the 2011 tax year is 2 3 barred by the statute of limitations. Mr. Trutna, is that correct? 4 MR. TRUTNA: Richard Trutna here. 5 That's 6 correct. 7 JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. FTB, is that correct? 8 MR. SMITH: Joel Smith. Yes, that's correct. 10 JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. Appellant has identified proposed Exhibits 1 through 2 and has no other 11 12 exhibits to offer as evidence. FTB had no objections to them, but since they were late filed, reserves the right 13 14 to address them in post-hearing briefing. FTB has 15 identified and submitted proposed Exhibits A through D as 16 evidence, and Appellant has not objected to them. And so 17 we will admit both proposed Exhibits 1 through 2 and 18 Exhibits A through D into the record. 19 (Appellant's Exhibits 1-2 were received 20 in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 21 (Department's Exhibits A-D were received in 22 evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 23 Appellant has one witness, Mr. Trutna. And so I will swear Mr. Trutna in. FTB has no witnesses. 2.4 25 And, Mr. Trutna, I'm about to turn it over to you to begin your presentation. Let me swear you in so that any factual statements you make can be taken as evidence.

Please raise your right hand.

R. TRUTNA,

produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. Thank you.

Okay. Mr. Trutna, you have 25 minutes. Please proceed with your presentation.

2.1

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. TRUTNA: Okay. So I'll begin by just describing what the medical -- what the one-page document for medical records were. They were an excerpt from medical records that I requested from each individual medical provider. And particularly, you know, I guess, you know, regarding the case that I made -- or was making was that, you know, prior to 2010, I had submitted returns that were fairly complex, included multiple schedules, Schedule C and depreciation and business expenses and so on, you know.

So basically, you know, I, for a number of

purposes -- none may be that substantial -- I submitted, you know, I guess what I considered for a lack of time and energy, basically to satisfy my requirements to file tax returns on time, I submitted the simple form of tax returns that weren't recognizing, you know, all the deductions that I was properly entitled to.

2.1

2.4

I, you know, I guess -- and my medical conditions, they're also sort of hard to recognize, you know. But, you know, I guess what I just had noticed was that, you know, I was extremely fatigued. You know, at one of my jobs I, in fact, had episodes where I'd fallen asleep practically for a minute or so, you know, at a stop sign and, actually, in office settings. Excuse me. And, you know, so, you know, plus the time after the financial crisis was kind of a difficult time because of the kind of work that I did.

It kind of had sort of was drying up, so I had to -- to really struggle to kind of, you know, maintain income. In any event -- excuse me -- I -- you know, I guess that went on for a number of years. And then, basically, you know, I had -- you know, I guess my income improved over time but, I realize that I had this situation hanging over me where I -- I felt that I needed to go back to the point where I had stopped doing complete returns.

However, you know, I guess with work requirements, you know, I felt I'd never really had the time to do it. So at the end of 2017, I moved out of the State of California to sort of cut down expenses, and I actually took several months off in terms of being able to reorganize all my records. And -- and I had contacted the IRS and had gotten records of complete income so that I could accurately, you know, complete amended returns, and they sent those to me.

2.4

And then I went about really, kind of, you know, putting together the records and submitting -- sorry -- creating and submitting the proper forms for what I -- what I should, you know, could have been originally done if I had done them on time so -- and then following that, you know, I guess with -- there was this -- I listed in the summary, basically, some of the timelines. I think that, you know, I guess with Covid, you know, I think that there was a delay in their processing. And also, kind of, I think things were not done in sequence and also, kind of, done by different offices.

But in any event, there was a delay between filing my fed tax returns and then, kind of, you know, actually after, kind of, going back to work and doing various things, then I filed my State amended tax returns to match those that, you know, based on my -- on my

revised federal tax returns. And so I think that that is the situation. I think, in fact, I'm still getting, you know, even as of the end of May, you know, that I'm getting adjustments at the federal level to -- to, you know, it seemed to indicate that they were accepting those amended returns.

And then I even received some statements recently about, kind of, some payments at the State level where some of those returns might have been considered as well. So in any event, you know, I guess, you know, what I'm just wondering about is -- is, you know, based on what I -- the content that I read from the brief, that really there is -- it says there are no exceptions to the statute of limitations, you know. But also, you know, as I am currently making payments. For what, I'm not exactly sure or what my balances are.

But, you know, I guess even if the amended returns weren't accepted that maybe I might get adjustment on any tax penalties and things like that that might be considered. So I think that's in conclusion to what I have to say.

Thank you.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. Thank you, Mr. Trutna.

Mr. Smith, did you have any questions or

cross-examination for Mr. Trutna?

2.1

2.4

MR. SMITH: Joel Smith. I do not have any questions for cross-examination.

JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. Thank you.

Mr. Trutna, I did have a few questions about -for you. So based on your summary of argument, you
weren't sure when your medical condition started or were
first diagnosed; is that correct?

MR. TRUTNA: That's roughly correct. I was looking through the complete medical -- Richard Trutna here. And I was looking through the medical report and didn't actually see a statement from the doctor when the condition started. I did, however, see, you know, I -- I had taken blood tests at various times. And I guess the -- what I indicated in the evidence, that one-page PDF from -- was that basically, you know, it had indicated that I had this condition and basically started a process where they -- they really tried to just -- medication that basically brings you to a proper level.

The second document that I provided really indicated, sort of, you know, basically I think I still suffer a little bit from that condition. And since it was diagnosed with having sleep apnea and -- and then very low testosterone level. So then I was prescribed medication for that.

1 JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. 2 MR. TRUTNA: I think --3 JUDGE WONG: Sorry. Go ahead finish, please. This is Richard Trutna. 4 MR. TRUTNA: That's it for me. 5 6 JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. Thank you. 7 I noticed in your summary of argument you had mentioned that were getting medication and treatment for your 8 9 medical conditions in 2012 or 2013; is that correct? 10 The first indicate -- sorry. MR. TRUTNA: 11 Richard Trutna here. And the first indication I got from 12 the medical report was that from that 2000 -- from the 13 2013 date where I had been prescribed Synthroid, and then 14 it takes some amount of time to kind of -- and I don't 15 know that was indicated in the medical report where it 16 takes some time to adjust the prescription level. Because 17 I guess, apparently, you don't want to go either too high 18 or too low. 19 But I guess I noticed it I in -- I was looking at 20 my employment report. I guess when I made a transition 21 from contracting at the VMware to a company called 22 Parexcel that I noticed the condition particularly at work 23 in either 2011 or 2012 where it's, you know, basically 2.4 even when my manager kind of commented on some of my 25 behavior --

JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong.

2.1

2.4

MR. TRUTNA: -- but I'm not -- sorry. But I'm not providing that as any evidence. I didn't bother to contact that person and indicate that. Sorry for the interruption.

JUDGE WONG: No. This is Judge Wong. No worries. I noticed in FTB's Exhibit D you did timely file your tax returns for tax years 2012, 2013, and 2014; is that correct?

MR. TRUTNA: Richard Trutna here, and I basically I believe I had filed amended returns for 2010 through 2015. And I had basically, you know, received notices from the State that -- that I hadn't filed for 2016 and 2017. It may be correct that I didn't submit 2015 in that first tranche. But, you know, I guess what I did do after completing the revisions of 2010 through 2015, then I completed the, you know, remaining outstanding returns for 2016 and 2017.

JUDGE WONG: This Judge Wong. I was just referring to your original filings for 2012 through 2014. It looks like you filed before the automatic extended deadline. I guess so my question just is, like, did this medical condition -- your medical conditions prevent you from timely filing financial documents? Because it seems like for -- at least for 2013 -- for 2012 through 2014 you

did file them on time.

2.4

MR. TRUTNA: Richard Trutna here. That's correct. I know maybe what I would say is that, kind of, I was afraid perhaps. And I can't recall about 2015. But I know when it came to 2016 and 2017 I -- knowing that I couldn't, kind of, file really a -- my income had improved by that time, and I know that if I had filed a simple return or didn't take into account the previous amended returns, that I would be assessed, kind of, amounts that were much higher than what I expect was accurate. So I know that I did not file 2016 and 2017 in a timely manner.

JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. Thank you.

Just a couple of more questions, maybe just one. So

throughout the period of time we're talking about, say

2011 and onward, you were -- were you employed throughout
that time continuously?

MR. TRUTNA: I think pretty much. I basically had kind of a longstanding, kind of, relationship working through an agency for VMware working in various departments within that group and had a good reputation. However, you know, I guess going back to that time period it was sort of spotty employment in terms of, you know, there were -- it was very difficult for them to justify keeping contractors. And then I actually transitioned to working for a company Parexcel, which provided more steady

employment.

JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. Thank you. I had no further questions at this time, Mr. Trutna.

So I will now turn it over to Mr. Smith for FTB's presentation.

Mr. Smith, you have five minutes. Thank you.

MR. SMITH: Thank you.

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. SMITH: As stated, this is Joel Smith with the Franchise Tax Board, and the issue is whether Appellant filed a timely claim for refund for the 2011 tax year. The facts are not in dispute. Mr. Trutna filed an original 2011 tax return on December 2nd, 2012. He then filed an amended 2011 tax return on January 15th, 2021, reporting an overpayment. The FTB accepted the amended return but could not issue a refund because the overpayment was barred by the statute of limitations.

Under Revenue & Taxation Code Section 19306, taxpayers must file a claim for refund four years from the date of the original due date of the tax return or one year from the date of overpayment. Here, for Mr. Trutna's 2011 tax year, the four-year statute of limitations expired on April 15th, 2016, and the one-year statute of limitations expired on April 15th, 2013. These dates are

not in dispute.

2.4

California law does not provide for the waiver of the statutory period based on reasonable cause or extenuating circumstances. Based on documentation received this morning and today's hearing, it appears Mr. Trutna is arguing statute of limitations should be suspended due to his medical conditions. Under Revenue & Taxation Code Section 19316, in rare circumstances the statute of limitations may be suspended if taxpayer shows they're unable to manager their financial affairs due to a physical or mental impairment that is considered terminal or expected to last for a continuous period of at least 12 months.

Admittedly, this is a high standard imposed by the legislature. In addition, taxpayers must provide a signed affidavit from a physician to establish financial disability as defined under Revenue & Taxation Code Section 19316. The FTB does not question the veracity of Mr. Trutna's documented medical conditions; however, the facts and documentation do not support the application of Revenue & Taxation Code Section 19316.

The FTB's Exhibit D shows Mr. Trutna timely filed numerous tax returns following the 2011 tax year.

Judge Wong, you brought that question up moments ago.

And also, Mr. Trutna admits we worked during the relevant time period. This indicates Mr. Trutna could manager his financial affairs during the time period at issue. Further, Mr. Trutna has not provided a physician's signed affidavit to establish financial disability. Based on California law and the evidence in the record, the FTB properly denied Mr. Trutna's claim for the refund under the statute of limitations.

2.4

I can answer any questions you may have.

JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. Thank you,
Mr. Smith. I did have one question. Mr. Trutna had
referred to his 2015 return, which would have been due
April 15th, 2016, the same date as the four-year statute
of limitations would have expired. Do you know if he
filed a 2015 return by that date or, if not, when he did
file one -- the original one? I didn't see that quite in
the exhibits for FTB.

MR. SMITH: Okay. Joel Smith. The Exhibit D shows that the 2015 tax return was -- the original 2015 tax return was file on January 15th, 2021.

JUDGE WONG: Okay.

MR. SMITH: And then real quick, just as a relates to Mr. Trutna's comment about interest, penalties, outstanding balances, that 2011 as shown by Exhibit C there's no -- there are no penalties. There is no

interest. There's no outstanding balance. So that's not relevant to the tax year on appeal today.

JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. Thank you, Mr. Smith.

Okay. I have no further questions for FTB.

So now, Mr. Trutna, we will turn it over to you for the last word, for your rebuttal and closing remarks and for anything -- sorry -- for anything you wanted to tell us. You have five minutes. Please proceed.

2.1

2.4

CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. TRUTNA: Richard Trutna here again. And maybe when it came to, you know, asking about the taxes, penalties, and anything like that, I guess when I filed the amended returns, basically, I didn't ask for a refund. I basically had -- had wanted to apply it to future returns, and I believe that's what I stated in my amended returns. So, you know, I guess, basically, the fact that the refund was denied basically kind of created, sort of, additional tax liability for which I was probably paying penalties on.

And then the second thing was is that, you know, based on the complexity of the returns granted, you know, I think -- I mean, to a certain extent, I think I -- I'm financially able to handle most things. It was just that

the amount of preparation that it takes to prepare all the 1 2 schedules, particularly when I got kind of behind and 3 needed to prepare multiple years, it -- essentially, I didn't really have it -- a substantial block of time where 4 5 I could prepare those returns until I actually took -- you 6 know, only after I moved and basically, you know, really 7 took the time off; took, essentially, months off to -- to do that preparation because I thought that that's what it 8 9 required. 10 And that's, I think, the only comments that I 11 Thank you. have. 12

JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. Thank you, Mr. Trutna.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Okay. This will conclude the hearing. The record is closed, and the case is submitted today.

I want to thank Mr. Trutna and Mr. Smith, both of you for your time and your presentations.

I will decide the case based on the exhibits presented and the testimony -- exhibits presented as evidence as well as the testimony.

Oh, Mr. Smith, FTB had reserved the right to address the late-submitted exhibits. Did you want an opportunity to comment on that in post-hearing briefing, or are you fine with the record as is?

MR. SMITH: Joel Smith. I do not anticipate

needing to file an additional briefing to address those documents. Thank you. JUDGE WONG: This is Judge Wong. Okay. Thank you. Then I'll decide the case based on the exhibits presented and admitted as evidence as well as Mr. Trutna's testimony. I will send both parties my written decision no later than 100 days from today. The oral hearing is now adjourned. We'll go off the record now. (Proceedings adjourned at 11:33 a.m.) 2.4

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 4th day 15 of August, 2022. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25