BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN	THE	MATTER	OF	THE	APPEAL	OF:)			
в.	СОН	EN,)	OTA	NO.	1806332
				AI	PPELLANT	Г.)			
)			

CERTIFIED COPY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Sacramento, California

Wednesday, July 20, 2022

Reported by:

SARAH M. TUMAN, RPR Hearing Reporter

Job No.: 371230TA(D)

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF:)
6	B. COHEN,) OTA NO. 18063324
7	APPELLANT.)
8)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at
16	400 R Street, Sacramento, California,
17	commencing at 3:15 p.m. and concluding
18	at 4:58 p.m. on Wednesday, July 20, 2022,
19	reported by Sarah M. Tuman, RPR, Hearing
20	Reporter in and for the State of California.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:			
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ ANDREW KWEE		
4				
5	Panel Members:	ALJ JOSH LAMBERT ALJ KEITH LONG		
6		ALO RETTI LONG		
7	For the Appellant:	B. COHEN		
8	TOT OHE TIPPETTAME			
9	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION		
10				
11		COURTNEY DANIELS		
12		SCOTT CLAREMON JASON PARKER		
13				
14				
15 16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	INDEX	
2		
3	EXHIBITS	
4	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-30 were received at page 8)	
5	(Department's Exhibits A-H were received at page 8)	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	PRESENTATION	
11		PAGE
12	By Mr. Cohen	10
13	By Ms. Daniels	39
14		
15		
16	CLOSING ARGUMENT	
17		PAGE
18	By Mr. Cohen	55
19	By Ms. Daniels	61
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Sacramento, California; Wednesday, July 20, 2022 3:15 p.m.

2.4

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So we are going on the record now.

So we're opening the record in the Appeal of Barry Allen Cohen. This matter is being conducted before the Office of Tax Appeals, and the OTA Case Number is 18063324. Today's date is Wednesday, July 20th, and it's approximately 3:15 p.m. And the time is -- and this hearing is being conducted in Sacramento, California. And it's also being livestreamed on our YouTube channel.

Today's hearing is being heard by a panel of three Administrative Law Judges. My name is Andrew Kwee, and to my right is Josh Lambert and to my left is Keith Long. The three of us are the judges who will be hearing this case today. All three of us will meet as equal participants after today's hearing and produce a written result.

Although I will be conducting the hearing, all of the judges on this panel will be participating as equal participants. And any judge on this panel may interrupt at any time to ask questions or ensure that we otherwise have everything that we need to decide this appeal.

For the record, I'd ask that CDTFA and the

1 representatives for CDTFA please state your names. 2 MS. DANIELS: I'm Courtney Daniels. MR. CLAREMON: I'm Scott Claremon, and we also 3 4 have Jason Parker with us. 5 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you. And I'll turn to Appellant. Would you please 6 7 state your name for the record? 8 MR. COHEN: Barry Cohen. 9 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you. 10 So as a preliminary matter, we have received --11 my understanding is that there are 27 new documents, numbered Exhibits 1 through 30, and I believe that they 12 were -- eight numbers that were skipped. So it would be, 13 14 like, 1, 4, 5, and then five unmarked documents. That's 15 why we have 27 documents although these are numbered 1 through 30; is that -- is that correct? 16 17 MR. COHEN: That's correct. Because when I did 18 it first time, they said it was going to take too long, 19 and I wouldn't get through the day. So I picked out the 20 ones I didn't want to take the time with. 21 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Perfect. I understand. That 22 makes sense. I appreciate -- I appreciate that. 23 I would ask, CDTFA, have you had a chance to --24 do you know if these documents are documents that you have

reviewed? Or will you need additional time to review

25

1 these documents because they were just submitted today? 2 MS. DANIELS: We were able to review the documents right before the hearing. 3 4 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So you don't require that the 5 record be held open to provide a response based on the any of the documentation that is here? 6 7 MS. DANIELS: No, we do not. 8 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Great. And thank you. 9 Do you have any objections to the taxpayer's 10 exhibits listed on the index? 11 MS. DANIELS: No objections. 12 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Great. Thank you. 13 For Appellant -- or, I guess, actually, for 14 CDTFA. We just did Appellant. 15 I believe that for you, we have Exhibits A through H. And there was one new exhibit that you added. 16 So previously, it was A through G, but then you added the 17 18 decision, and that was the extra exhibit. Are there any 19 additional exhibits that you have? 20 MS. DANIELS: No additional. JUDGE KWEE: 21 Okay. 22 And for Appellant, I did previously rule on your 23 objection to Exhibit D, which is now Exhibit E, because 2.4 they added the decision. Do you have any other objections 25 that weren't previously raised to the admission of CDTFA's

1	documents?
2	MR. COHEN: I didn't understand all that, but I
3	don't have any objection to anything.
4	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Great.
5	So then I will admit CDTFA's Exhibits A through H
6	and your Exhibits 1 through 30 or the documents listed
7	on your index which are listed 1 through 30, including
8	several unnumbered documents listed by title. And they're
9	all admitted as evidence.
10	(Appellant's Exhibit Nos. 1-30 were received in
11	evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)
12	(Department's Exhibit Nos. A-H were received in
13	evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)
14	JUDGE KWEE: And what I was saying was that I
15	think, last year, you had raised a concern about an
16	Exhibit D, and I previously addressed that. So I was just
17	asking if there were any additional concerns. But since
18	you don't have any additional concerns, I think we're good
19	there.
20	MR. COHEN: I can't say I have any objections
21	because I don't know what they're going to say.
22	JUDGE KWEE: Oh, okay. So, yeah. After they
23	have their presentation, you'll have an opportunity to

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

Okay. So with that said, I -- we have one

to make any -- raise any concerns about that.

24

25

witness today. CDTFA does not have any witnesses, and the issue that we will be hearing today in this appeal is whether Appellant, Mr. Cohen, is personally liable for the unpaid taxes of G&B Seafoods, Inc., doing business as Village Fish Market.

There was one update following the second prehearing conference, and that is the second and third quarters of 2013 are no longer at issue in this Appeal because the liabilities have been satisfied by a different person. But that doesn't change Appellant's dispute of the remaining liabilities.

MS. DANIELS: Sorry. Excuse me, Judge Kwee. The third quarter is no longer at issue, but the first and second still are.

JUDGE KWEE: Oh. The second quarter is still -- I'm sorry.

MS. DANIELS: Yes.

2.4

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So the second quarter -- the second quarter is at issue; the third quarter is not at issue.

MS. DANIELS: Correct.

JUDGE KWEE: And you did submit a supplemental sheet listing what the liabilities were. I apologize for that.

MS. DANIELS: Okay.

1	JUDGE KWEE: Okay.
2	So with that said, are there any questions before
3	we proceed with the opening presentations?
4	MR. COHEN: I'm ready to talk.
5	JUDGE KWEE: Okay.
6	So I just have to swear you in, and then you'll
7	have 60 minutes to discuss your case.
8	So would you please raise your hand?
9	
LO	BARRY COHEN,
11	called as a witness on behalf of the Appellant, having
12	first been duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was
13	examined and testified as follows:
L4	
15	MR. COHEN: I do.
L6	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you. Then you will
L7	have 60 minutes. You may begin.
L8	MR. COHEN: Thank you.
L9	
20	PRESENTATION
21	
22	MR. COHEN: Well, the first thing I'd like to
23	bring up is my Exhibit 1, which was the letter from Gerald
24	Cigliano to Mr. Sang (sic). And it starts out, "Per your
25	request"

1 Mr. Cohen, I'm sorry to stop you. JUDGE KWEE: 2. I'm getting feedback from the team that your mic may not 3 be on, or they are unable to hear you. Is it possible 4 that you double check that the green light is -- is on? 5 And that you possibly bring the mic a little closer to 6 you? 7 MR. COHEN: Well, the cord is -- I'll try and get 8 it up more. Is this better? 9 JUDGE KWEE: That is. It looked like 10 it wasn't on. Thank you. 11 MR. COHEN: Got the other green light on. So I want to start with Exhibit 1, which 12 13 is a letter from Gerald Cigliano to Mr. Sang (sic), who worked 14 for -- I don't know their letters, again, but State Board 15 of Equalization. 16 I never met Mr. Sang (sic); so I never got to talk to 17 But this letter says, "To Mr. Sang (sic), per your 18 request, this will confirm that Barry Cohen was the 19 president, director, and 50 percent shareholder of G&B Sea 20 Foods Inc. for the entire period that business was 21 operating, which was January 7, 2013, through September 12, 2013." 22 23 One thing that I'm saying about that is I don't 2.4 know what a "director" is. I'm going to continue. 25 So here's what he says, "Mr. Cohen was

responsible -- Mr. Cohen was responsible for the day-to-day operation of the business -- "

Not true. We, in a court, picked out which -which jobs we would have -- that's the top one here -Gerald would be responsible for all the bookkeeping,
taxes, all the -- all the paperwork. I was responsible
for the restaurant, making sure the food was good, the
fish was fresh, and then I would make sure people enjoyed
the bar. We had a small wine bar.

So I wasn't -- I wasn't responsible for the day-to-day operation; we both were. We were 50 percent partners. And it doesn't -- and -- and he's trying to lay the whole thing on me.

So then he said, "-- and was kept fully informed of our financial situation by me on a regular basis. All of the tax returns filed by G&B Seafoods, Inc., like all financial matters, were discussed with Barry Cohen. He was aware of all the sales taxes."

Now, I got to jump a little bit ahead. But I put -- when -- when things slowed down a little, we were -- we were going to put in extra money into the company. And I put in \$235,000, and Gerald put in zero dollars.

So this is the question I have: If -- if he's saying that he told me everything about the taxes --

because that's what I think this whole thing's about, who's responsible for the taxes -- Gerald did not inform me of anything.

In fact, I can make a good analogy to show you.

If -- if you gave me \$240,000, and I didn't pay the taxes, and that was my job, do you think I would keep you informed every day? Because that doesn't make any sense.

He's not going to tell me anything if he doesn't do it.

Because he doesn't want to get the backlash from me of not paying the taxes.

He also worked for his uncle for 20 years. And he -- he writes in this -- in this letter, "Prior to this venture, I had operated a successful business --" it was his Uncle's business "-- for over 20 years and always paid our obligations, including any taxes owed."

So he's telling you that he knows he's supposed to pay the taxes. But with me, he didn't pay the taxes. The first -- I'm -- I'm getting ahead -- I want to keep going on this letter.

"Not long thereafter, I told Mr. Cohen we were going to have to close because our food and labor costs were out of control." That's not the truth, either.

Because -- and I gave an exhibit that's from our accountant that said, "Hey, guys, is anybody going to give us how much is in inventory so we can figure the taxes?"

Not -- I mean not the taxes, but the food cost -- because you can't do food cost without inventory. So that was another untruth.

He said, on May 30th, that we owed approximately \$20,000 in sales tax. And over my objection, he made a \$10,000 payment towards the May 30, 2013, liability. But what he didn't say was he also got a -- an extension. He got a one-month extension to make the payment. But the rest of it is -- I didn't know he made a \$10,000 payment on our \$20,000 loan. And I didn't know he had a \$20,000 owe -- I mean, owed -- until this got to be an issue. So in other words, he did not tell the truth about his May 30th payment.

Now, I wrote up how much I gave Gerald in extra money to pay because he's -- he said if we don't get -- if we don't put more money in the business, we're not going to make it. And he was the bookkeeper; so I believed him.

And I wrote -- here's what I did. I'm just trying to find it.

Okay. This is my timetable that I wrote up:

January, we opened up. February, we're in full operation
and people seem to really like it. Gerald asked Barry,
what happens if he pays the State Board of Equalization
later -- late. And I say to Gerald, which is not in your
full text -- I said, "We will have to pay a fine and

interest. But you have to pay the State Board of Equalization."

Gerald, number one, is an attorney. And he just got finished saying that he, for 20 years -- he paid the State Board of Equalization. Now, he's -- now, he's saying a whole different story -- what happens if I'm late? -- and I told him, "But it must be paid."

Then, to the best of my current knowledge, Gerald asked for and received an SBA one-month extension, which I believe that he was given. Because they told me that they gave him a -- a 30-day extension.

Gerald was responsible for all the taxes. He filled out the -- the -- how much it was. He gave a personal -- it's in the back of one of these pages. I don't know if you saw that -- he filled out how much he owed, and he determined who got paid. He paid because he wrote the checks.

Now, I wrote some that were like an emergency check if a driver came and needed a COD or something. I'd write that check. And I wrote a couple of people their checks that didn't get them for payday. I did that, but Gerald -- all the purveyors. I didn't -- I didn't write -- just, maybe, one. I'd get live lobsters.

Okay. So I want to try to get to the -- my point. And I'm -- I'm stressing a little because -- okay.

Here we go -- because I'm pressed for time.

Okay. Now, this is May that he's telling us that he -- we owe \$20,000, and he paid ten. But he didn't tell me that. He just asked me what happens if you paid it late.

Now, on March 17, 2013, I personally loaned G&B Seafoods a \$25,000 loan. March -- April -- April 2013, I personally loaned G&B Seafoods another \$25,000 loan because he was telling me he wanted a -- keep up with everything.

May 13th -- now, this is the month where Gerald said he gave a \$10,000 deposit, or partial payment, on a \$20,000 loan. On May 23rd, I gave G&B \$20,000. On May 13th, I gave G&B \$20,000. May 23rd, I gave him \$20,000. This is above and beyond our intake of capital from sales. I lent him the money -- lent the company the money.

So now, I wrote, "Wow, in the last three months, I gave Gerald \$90,000 extra." And he says he has to sneak to pay \$10,000 to SBOE -- I got the word right that time -- now, watch this and see how easily he can pay back the \$10,000, what else was owed.

June 2013 -- June 27th, I personally lent G&B Seafoods \$50,000. Gerald paid in taxes for that month \$48.83. If -- if we were doing that, somebody would get

shot here. I mean it's -- it's crazy. You can't give a guy that much money to pay the bills, and then he pays \$48.

July -- July, he says he needs more money. July, I gave him -- well, not him. I gave it to him, but it was for a company loan -- \$50,000 check. So now, we're up to \$190,000 extra that I put in. He could have used some of that money to pay back May, June, and we're in July.

July 11th of 2013, I lend G&B Seafoods, through Gerald, another \$40,000. And I have all the bank records to show that he deposited the money. He spent it the way he saw fit. I had -- had I didn't do anything like that.

All I did is I had to take care of the restaurant. I was there early in the morning before Gerald showed up. I left late at night because we had to clean up before -- and Gerald was long gone. The only time I even saw Gerald is if he'd come out for lunch. And I'm telling -- like you asked, I'm telling you the truth.

So I want to get back to this -- this one. This is back to Exhibit 1, Gerald's letter. In early June -- you heard the numbers that I gave you already and -- because I told you about the food and the food cost. It was not -- you couldn't get it yet.

But now, in June, he's telling me that we need to put more money into the business. He means I need to put

more money into the business. And -- and he told me that he -- when I asked him, "Are you going to catch up with me with dollars I just put in?"

He said, "No. I'm getting out. I'm quitting."

So I went and looked for another partner because

I -- I was -- I've done the restaurant business for a lot

of years. And I was tired of working that hard all the

8 time.

2.4

So I found another guy, and his last name happened to be Cohen too, but not related. And he said, "I'll put in \$500,000. We'll buy Gerald out."

Gerald didn't want to get out. He wanted to get out if we guaranteed everything to him, even though -- we'd pay all the bills, even what he was behind -- we'd pay all the bills, but he wanted liability something -- whatever you call it. I'll come to the name.

JUDGE KWEE: Mr. -- Mr. Cohen, I'm wondering, because we're running a little short on time, if I could just help you focus your argument a little.

You know, we're not looking, here, to determine if, you know, Gerald was -- was more willful or more responsible than you. We only have -- have you here before us today.

MR. COHEN: Okay. I got it.

JUDGE KWEE: And, you know, we're looking,

1 really, whether you --2 MR. COHEN: Right. 3 JUDGE KWEE: -- willfully failed to pay the 4 taxes, or if you were responsible for failing to pay the 5 taxes. So I just--MR. COHEN: My point is I had no knowledge that 6 7 the taxes weren't being paid. And that's the point -- is that if I don't know that the taxes aren't being paid, I'm 8 9 not supposed to be responsible for them. That's my 10 understanding of what the law said. He never told me, ever, that he was behind in all this. That's what I 11 12 read -- that first part. I'm sorry I took so long. 13 Exhibit 6 is going to show all the checks and 14 stuff that I put in through him to -- to our account. 15 Now, Gerald hires an attorney. And his attorney says that he wants to make a deal with the same guy. I 16 17 forgot his name, now. 18 Anyhow, he -- he told him he would pay -- him and 19 Gerald would pay half of what was owed in taxes. And the 20 guy said, "I can't do that because we have to do a dual system. There's two of you, and I have to find out all 21 the information." 22

So -- he didn't do it with him at that moment.

But I don't know if he didn't do it. Because when you get to the dual, rebuttal, dual, and rebuttal of it -- request

23

24

25

for the dual -- I read this very carefully. I have to read some of this.

Okay. This is what Mr. Sang (sic) said. He said,

"Who --" I'm going to just -- I'm not going to be able to

do this -- "who managed and directed the business

operations? Responsible party, Barry Allen Cohen."

Then he asked who would know the -- the paperwork of, you know, the book work, and they put me. They put me. The same thing -- that I would be the party to come to for the book work. Gerald never once told me he was behind on taxes. And that's the "crutch" of this whole thing.

But I tried to give him as much money as I could so we wouldn't have any problems. He's -- he said that -- he says that he told me all the time. But it only makes sense if I -- if you gave me a lot of money, and I didn't pay; I'm not going to be saying I didn't pay -- I didn't pay. You'd say, then, what are you doing with it?

Now, this one -- this gets me upset with State Board of Equalization. Because they never treated me fair.

They said that we got to take this right date, 3/3/16. 3/3/16, Mr. Sang (sic) wrote, "Incoming call from Barry Cohen verified Sailview Lane, Westlake Village --" was my post office -- "and Barry stated that Gerald Cigliano is

the one that should be liable for this, not me."

And he told me, at -- Mr. Sang (sic) told me, at that time, that you are going to be "reliable" for the taxes -- me.

And I didn't -- I didn't appreciate -- not only did he do it on March 3rd of '16, but this -- this request for the dual came out March 9th of '16. So he was telling me before he even did the dual, that I'm going to owe the money. So that doesn't seem that can even be. How would -- what evidence did he have, if anything, to say that before we decide you're -- you're going to be the responsible party? That's on the dual thing.

Another thing on the -- on this dual thing that really ticked me off is because nobody tells anybody what, really, is going on. Also, that one that called up was saying I'm responsible for the day-to-day operation; and I'm not. I'm responsible for the restaurant and bar, and he's responsible for all the paperwork. And he's an attorney.

So Gerald's letter was 3/31/16, and I'm accused of -- that I'm going to be owing the money -- what'd I say? 3/3? 3/6? Something like that? -- and Henry Sang (sic) signed this paper 3/9 -- the request for three -- for the dual was signed by -- Gerald wrote the letter on 3/31 that -- that Mr. Sang (sic) requested.

The 3/9/16 request for the dual -- they used Gerald's letter in there -- in the dual. And if you check it out, you're going to see, it says, "Letter received on 3/16 by Gerald Cigliano states that all matters, including the sales tax returns and sales tax liabilities, were discussed with Barry Cohen."

2.4

How does -- how did they do this? The -- the times don't fit.

Then there's one more thing about the dual that really ticks me off -- is Gary Dewlo. He was our bookkeeper under Gerald. And you know what he wrote? Gerald was the one that handled the sales tax. Gerald handled it. Gerald sent it -- Gary did one time. He did it to help Gerald. He filled out the taxes that were due.

And on the back of these papers -- which I'm all "gablungered" (phonetic) here, now -- here's all the past-due notices that Gerald received. He had his own mailbox. I got none of it. I was never informed that there was past due notices. But they put in this -- in the dual, they didn't mention that Gary Dewlo said he -- that Gerald is the one that did the taxes. He didn't put that in. He didn't put it in any place. The only one that said it was Gary. Because Gary was telling the truth.

And he got -- he got -- Donald Elterman -- Donald

Elterman worked for us. He was a bartender; he calls himself a "bar manager" in this. He got caught stealing. I caught him stealing, and he -- he -- -- I forgot what you call it when you harass women. There's a -- legal term for it. He harassed the -- a waitress at night when he was alone with her at the end of the night, and I fired him because you can't do that to women. You can't -- you can't take them alone and tell them all this stuff is going to happen if they don't do what you tell them.

2.4

And he -- and I caught him stealing. And I told this to the guy from State Board of Equalization, who was my guy, the one that was trying to get me. He never let me write a letter. He just told me that he was getting all these people as witnesses.

I said, "You can't use Donald Elterman." I had to have an attorney stop him from the things he was putting on the internet. He -- and if you look at the papers where -- where he signs -- he says, "These guys are crooks. Don't trust them."

But my attorney had to write -- he -- it's right here. He wrote -- here's the version -- cease and desist letter that he can't put this on the internet anymore -- that we're crooks and stuff like this. But he was mad at me because I fired him for stealing and for harassing one of our waitresses.

And you know what? They used him as a witness.

And I told them, "Don't use him as a witness. It's not fair. He hates me. He's biased."

2.4

Here's one from State Board of Equalization.

This is really good. State Board of Equalization

writes -- of course, they're -- "CDTFA has no evidence to establish when Mr. Cohen had knowledge of the unpaid three-quarter '13 sales tax."

They have never -- they never called me, they never wrote me a letter, nothing. After this was all over, I received one letter from them that they wanted to help me -- "help me" -- it's in quotes -- they wanted to help me reduce it because they were going to do some kind of thing, and so that letter came to my house. So I knew they had my -- my address. But they never sent me any in the -- anything that says the taxes aren't being paid.

How -- how are you making me responsible? You don't even tell me that I'm behind or we're behind or anything.

I asked Mr. Chen, who was my guy against me. He was representing State Board of Equalization. I wrote to him, and I said -- because I didn't have Gerald's letter. I didn't have anything -- I wrote to Mr. Chen, "Why wasn't I sent the alleged evidence against me?"

I called him on that. And you know what he told

me? He called me back. I sent him -- I sent him paper, but he didn't send me back paper. He called me and said, "Just do like you're doing. You'll be fine."

2.4

This is -- this is our State Board of

Equalization. I don't know how him and how the guy I told

you with the other one, the dual guy, and the one that

wrote told Gerald to write a letter. And he told Gerald

what to write in the letter, Henry Sang (sic) -- how can he

accuse me of -- of being -- not paying taxes before there

was any evidence?

And that's right in the dual. You look in there, and you'll see my name. He -- he -- he screwed up. He screwed himself. Because when you lie, you wind up screwing yourself up. He wrote to me. He said I -- he told me that I'm going to be responsible before this dual ever came out, before any evidence showed up, before Gerald's letter came in this.

And Gerald's letter had no business being in this, either. Because you know what? Who -- who fact checked Gerald's letter about me always being told everything?

I'm getting carried away. I'm getting emotional.

I'm sorry. I don't mean to be this way. I'm just so

frustrated with this whole thing. It was so unfair, and I
have to drive all the way up here to do this. And I get

short-timed, and I'm -- and I'm beside myself.

2.4

And then you want to know -- I'll tell you the biggest kicker. Gerald wound up -- I sold him -- I wanted to get rid of him. I just -- I wanted out. I paid him a dollar. I said -- I -- no, I charged him a dollar -- "You pay me a dollar, and it's yours."

And what do they do? They used that against me and said, "Oh, that proves you knew that all this money was owed."

That's not what it was. I just wanted out of it with him. And what did he do? He -- I -- when I made a paper with an attorney that if I break it up with him for a dollar, he has to bankrupt the company. He can't just take it from me.

He did that. He went -- he went through bankruptcy. And what did he do? He went to the landlord, and he talked to the landlord -- into giving him the lease. He got rid of me, he got the lease, he got -- and you'll see on this last Exhibit -- "Looks like Gerald got his way," says the salesman that works for his company -- for his family's business -- is a friend of mine. Because him and I worked together at American Fish, Tommy.

And I asked him again last night, "Are you sure that restaurant was Gerald's?"

And he said, "Yes. He bought fish from me. He

1 | bought stuff from me."

And not only -- not only that, but I also looked in -- see, because I didn't know. Tommy told me first. That's his name, Tommy. "Hey. Do you know Gerald took over your restaurant?"

I said, "No."

He said, "Yes."

I went and looked on -- what do you call this thing? I wish I didn't get so stressed out -- where they give you stars if you're a good restaurant? Anybody help me a little? If you're looking for something that's --

MS. DANIELS: I believe he's referring to "Yelp."

MR. COHEN: Yelp. I went on Yelp, and I looked this up. And here's what -- here's what I found:

This is -- that he renamed it. He did it farm-to-table. Calabasas Farms is a five. Located in the Summit at Calabasas. That's where we were. That's where I opened up the Village Fish Market and Restaurant. It's a five -- they say it's a five located at the Summit off of Calabasas at Lost Hills Avenue.

Considering owner -- "owner" -- Gerald

Cigliano's, history with Santa Monica Seafood, which is

terrible because they -- they bought him out -- their own

family. But this shows -- right there -- when somebody -
when I saw that, I went to that restaurant myself, and I

knocked on -- I went in and knocked on the door. I talked to the manager. I said, "I want to talk to the manager."

And I asked him, "Who owns this restaurant?"

And he told me Gerald Cigliano. The guy that did all this -- he kicked me out, not even -- not fairly, and he took over. And I called Tommy yesterday -- this is all -- I swear, this is all true. I can show you my phone -- I called Tommy. "Tommy, are you sure that was Gerald's restaurant?"

He said, "Barry, I sold him the stuff."

I said, "Okay. Thank you."

Because I got that -- I got -- I went there myself. I walked into the restaurant. It was all redone. That's probably where the tax money went. It was all redone. And who's the owner? Gerald Cigliano.

And that's why I'm frustrated. Because I wish I could go through all of this with you. Because there's so much more in these papers. You will see that. If you look at the back of some of them -- some of them have a back to it -- it shows when Gerald paid -- no -- when he made the slip for what taxes he figured we owed them, for the people, you know -- the sales we did. He would sign it, "Gerald Cigliano, owner." He put in -- he put in how much the taxes should be, and he does -- he did all this stuff, shows it right on there, and I get the blame for

everything.

2.4

I never had anybody in -- in the State Board of Equalization ask me to write a letter. They were against me because -- and this is -- and this is speculation -- because I think that Gerald and his attorney made a deal with the State Board of Equalization. And that's why they became so hard on me -- because they couldn't go against Gerald. And, I said, it's speculation. But it sure smells like it to me.

Why does he tell Gerald what to write on the letter? And how did that letter get into the -- into the dual before the dual was made? I mean, he -- the timelines don't fit. They didn't get the letter, and it's in there. I was accused -- that I'm going to be paying the taxes before the dual was made.

Look. Look in the papers. I can't lie about the papers. It's all there. Everybody has a copy. Just look in the dual. And you will see on the dual, also -- on the dual, where they talked to people. Of course, they have the guy I told you that I fired -- I forgot his name already. I'm going crazy. The guy I fired for stealing -- he was a witness. They put him on the dual. He -- he -- he put -- I don't know. I'm just getting -- I'm getting carried away here.

But it's all there. It's here. That's why I

went through so much trouble writing all of this.

I had to pay -- you know, the money I put into that company? -- I had one big asset in my life -- was my commercial fishing boat, and I put up to get -- I got \$20,000 from the guy that I fished for -- that I helped him. He gave me \$20,000, but I had to pay him back.

And I had to pay him back. I had to sell the boat. And he -- he also -- he was -- he owned American Fish. American Fish is where -- the second time I met Gerald. He was the general manager. And they asked me -- me -- American Fish asked me if I would help them because they have a quality problem. And I went there and worked for them, and I got acquainted, again, with Gerald.

Because I saw him as his uncle's charity when it was his Uncle's place. And when I got acquainted with Gerald, we talked about doing something like this, going together on it. Gerald got fired from his job -- he doesn't say that. No place in here -- it's not in here, except that I wrote it.

Gerald got fired, and he's an attorney. And he's -- he's done books for 20 years for his uncle paying State Board of Equalization taxes. And he doesn't know what he's supposed to do?

I'm the one that said I'm going to do all the work. I'll -- I'll do all the physical work.

1	I'll do I'm going to clean up, I'll do I'll do
2	everything. I took care of the cooks; I took care of
3	everything. All you have to do is keep all the paperwork
4	straight, do what's right.
5	And I don't have any more to say.
6	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Cohen.
7	I did have a couple of questions, clarifications,
8	to ask you.
9	MR. COHEN: Sure.
10	JUDGE KWEE: I believe the gist of your
11	testimony, as far as the taxes are concerned, was that the
12	notices were being mailed to a mailbox that was managed by
13	Gerald and not you; so
14	MR. COHEN: Only Gerald's mailbox.
15	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So when when exactly did
16	you become aware that the taxes were not being paid to
17	the
18	MR. COHEN: When did I be
19	JUDGE KWEE: When did you first become aware
20	that that he wasn't paying the taxes that the taxes
21	for the business weren't being paid to the
22	MR. COHEN: When the State Board of Equalization
23	started suing me, or whatever you call it.
24	JUDGE KWEE: Was that
25	MR. COHEN: No. I was there. If you I'll say

1 My name is not in the State Board of Equalization, 2 except for -- for what they did. Because I didn't fill 3 out any tax forms. I didn't pay taxes. I didn't do 4 anything. They just sent me one time to the correct address, where I lived. So they had my address. But they 5 6 never sent me anything. And how do you -- I don't know -- it's just, 7 ethically, not right to hold somebody responsible for 8 something and not tell them what's happening. 9 10 Gerald had a box in Westlake Village. JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And as far as the bank 11 12 account, then, that was used to pay the --13 MR. COHEN: No. This was to receive mail.

MR. COHEN: Oh.

a new question.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE KWEE: So who had access to the bank account that was used to pay the bills and the sales tax from -- from the fish market?

JUDGE KWEE: Oh, yes. I understand that. I have

MR. COHEN: 90 percent Gerald. I told you, sometimes an emergency would come up, and I would have to take care of it if Gerald was not there.

Another thing, you know, Gerald left -- you don't know, but I'm telling you -- he left. And we had an agreement when we set this company up that we might have a

difference. And we had a neutral party, an attorney.

And -- and Gerald said he wanted his friend, who was a

good guy. And I said, "Okay. If you say he's a good guy,

I'll go along with it."

And so when all this stuff started getting bad, Gerald walked out, went home, and never came back. I didn't have -- I didn't have the key to the -- I didn't have anything. I couldn't get in any of the -- the safe deposit box, nothing. And I called up my attorney, and I said, "Will you please talk to Joel?" -- that's what -- our attorney was Joel -- I said, "Talk to Joel," and told him, "Gerald went home. He won't come back to work. He won't even talk to me."

And he -- Joel went to Gerald's house and said,

"Gerald --" in fact, my attorney said it first -- he had a

fiduciary duty to come to work. He was a -- he was an

officer in the corporation. He can't just not come back.

And so when Joel went and talked to Gerald, he said,

"Gerald you're hurting the company. You're hurting

yourself. Go back to work."

And Gerald said -- I swear to god -- "I'll think about it."

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So as far as when you -- you did become aware, then -- that was not until during or after the 3rd quarter of '13 -- 2013? When Gerald left

1 and you got a letter to your -- to your residence with the 2 unpaid notice? 3 MR. COHEN: No. When I got -- when I knew that 4 we were past due? 5 JUDGE KWEE: Yes. MR. COHEN: Even the State Board of Equalization 6 7 didn't know that they were past due. They sent a guy out -- the one I mentioned that was my guy -- he's the one 8 9 that said that you're past due. And then -- so he told me I'm past due. He goes, 10 "Well, you're not past due on May." But they said we 11 were. But he didn't even know. But he should. 12 I mean, 13 he's the one that should have known everything. 14 But I did not find out ever, ever, ever, that we 15 were past due on anything until it hit the fan. I didn't I was in the dark. 16 17 I -- I learned my lesson. I will never trust 18 anybody that way again, ever. 19 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And just a reminder 20 they're -- I'm getting feedback that they can't hear you 21 on the livestream. So if -- when you speak, if you could 22 bring the mic a little closer to you? 23 MR. COHEN: They can't what? 2.4 JUDGE KWEE: They can't hear what you're saying

on the livestream. So just a reminder to --

25

1	MR. COHEN: Oh. I'm sorry.
2	JUDGE KWEE: the microphone.
3	But I'm going to turn to the co-panelists.
4	Judge Lambert, do you have any questions at this
5	time?
6	JUDGE LAMBERT: I don't have any questions at
7	this time. Thanks.
8	JUDGE KWEE: Okay.
9	And Judge Long, did you have any questions?
10	JUDGE LONG: Yes, I do. Whoa. Too close.
11	Sorry.
12	First, to follow-up on your last answer, when the
13	BOE visited, was that before you found someone to buy out
14	Mr. Cigliano?
15	MR. COHEN: Yes, I did.
16	JUDGE LONG: Okay.
17	MR. COHEN: But Gerald wouldn't sell then. He
18	put he didn't we we offered to pay everything,
19	give him first, we wanted to give him a thousand
20	dollars. But then, when I saw how much we were going to
21	be paying out, then I made it \$500, and I wrote a letter
22	to my attorney. Draw that up that we'll pay him \$500.
23	But Gerald wanted a warranty. Like, an I
24	don't know the right word for it that if anything ever
25	happens I'm not saying it right there's a technical

term for it. It's in here. It says that if -- if something happens, he has no responsibility for it. He wanted that.

And I said, no, I'm not going to give you any more. I gave you -- first of all, you tell me we're going broke and -- and things are bad. And then you want more money? I said, I'm not going to do it. You know what? So -- that's when I said, "You know what, Gerald? I'll take a dollar and call it square."

Because I was done with him. I couldn't trust him anymore. I read this letter. I freaked out. They didn't send me this letter. You know that? I had to ask the -- there's a place up in -- by where they are that you can call in and say you need help and they -- they said, "What help do you need?"

And I said, "I keep hearing about this letter.

What -- what letter is that? What letter is there?"

And they said, "I can send you -- you're entitled to get all the information that Gerald has."

And I said, "Well, you see, my guy didn't tell me that."

And they sent me that letter. And when I got that letter, it was -- the whole letter is a lie. The whole thing is a lie. He said in -- in the letter -- you read it. It says I only gave him enough money to pay for

payroll. Then the next line he said I only gave him enough money to pay for the bills I wanted to pay.

Well which was it? I didn't get to pay the guy that I said lent me \$200,000 when I put my boat up. He was one of our suppliers. And he said, "Barry, I'll do it with you, but you're going to sign me a note."

And I said, "Okay."

2.4

And we owed him \$70,000. And you know what? If I was picking out what people I wanted to pay, he would have been right after the State Board of Equalization.

Get him paid down because that was -- I was liable for it.

I was responsible for it.

So bottom line, I had to sell my boat, and I had to pay him \$70,000. Because I'm not going to break my word on a friend. He did it to help me.

JUDGE LONG: I think that answers my question.

I did have another question about -- in March 2013, when you talked to Mr. Cigliano about the taxes -- when he said -- when he asked what would happen if the taxes were paid late, and you told him he had to pay the tax.

MR. COHEN: You would have to -- yeah. He would have to pay a tax. If you're late -- if you're late -- because this happened to me. I had restaurants for 50 years.

1 JUDGE LONG: Hold on. I -- I want to finish my 2 question. 3 MR. COHEN: Okay. 4 JUDGE LONG: Was there a follow-up conversation 5 after you lent him -- lent the business money to pay the Was there a follow-up question -- question 6 7 conversation to ensure the tax has been paid? MR. COHEN: No. Because I trusted him at that 8 9 time to pay. I mean, I -- I gave him plenty of money to 10 pay everything. I never -- he's an attorney. He, you know, what kind of guy could he be? He was my partner. 11 trusted him. I did not follow-up. 12 13 I didn't say, "Give me a note for loaning the 14 money." It's on our books, though. But I just -- all I 15 did was, I said, "You need the money? I want to keep 16 going. I want -- just, like, it's going to be good. 17 We're going to do good. It's going to be a good 18 restaurant." 19 But he had no stomach for it. 20 JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you. 21 And I don't have anymore questions. 22 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Then I will turn it over to 23 CDTFA. 2.4 I'm sorry. And I forgot to ask. Since there is 25 testimony today, did CDTFA have any questions for the

1	Appellant?
_	Apperrant

MS. DANIELS: No questions at this time. Thank you.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay.

Then I will turn it over to you for your opening presentation. And you have -- I'm sorry -- you have 20 minutes.

Thank you.

PRESENTATION

2.4

MS. DANIELS: Good afternoon.

The sole issue in this case is whether Appellant is personally liable for the unpaid liabilities of G&B Seafoods, Inc., hereinafter referred to as "the business," pursuant to Revenue and Tax Code 6829.

The unpaid liabilities of the business originate from self-assessed returns. The return for the first quarter of 2013 was timely filed on May 30, 2013, with reported tax due in the amount of \$20,640. As you heard testimony today, \$10,000 of the liability was timely paid resulting in an unpaid tax balance of \$10,640 for the first quarter.

The self-assessed return for 2013 was filed late on August 1, 2013, and it reported \$27,646 in tax due for

that period. Only \$48.83 was paid, resulting in an unpaid tax balance of \$27,597.17. The liability amount includes a late payment penalty for \$1,064 for the first quarter and a late payment penalty for \$2,764.60 for the second quarter.

As previously explained to Appellant and the Office of Tax Appeals, the liability amount has been reduced by payments made by Appellant's previous business partner. So the remaining liability is equal to \$3,400.56 for the first quarter of 2013 and \$14,538.67 for the second quarter of 2013. Thus resulting in a total liability amount of \$17,939.23.

At the outset, I'd like to address an issue regarding the dates on the dual memo that Appellant identified. The original memo was drafted with a date of March 9, 2016, but the final review letter was signed and actually finalized by the reviewer on April 22, 2016. And you can see that at Page 8 of Exhibit E. So although the original date was not ever revised, during that timeframe, we received Mr. Cigliano's letter, and so it was included in the finalized dual memo.

Under Section 6829, any person who has control or supervision of a corporation or -- or was under a duty to act for a corporation in complying with any requirement of the Sales of Use Tax Law is personally liable for that

corporation's unpaid tax, interest, and penalties if the person willfully failed to pay, or caused to be paid, any taxes due from the corporation.

2.4

Four elements must be met for personal liability detach under 6829: First, the corporation must have been terminated; second, the corporation must have collected sales tax reimbursement; third, the person must have been responsible for the payment of the sales and use tax; and fourth, the person's failure to pay must have been willful.

As to the first requirement here, termination,
Appellant conceded during the appeals conference that the
business did terminate after the liability period at
issue. And that's Exhibit A, page 4. On February 26,
2014, Appellant's business partner called and faxed a
letter to CDTFA's staff on that day to give notice that
the business had been terminated as of September 12, 2013.
You can see that at Exhibit E-1, page 2.

As a consequence -- sorry. Excuse me -- Exhibit E-1. Yes, page 2 -- as a consequence, the business's seller permit was closed with an effective closeout date of September 12, 2013.

As to the second requirement, it is undisputed that the business collected sales tax from its customers, which was confirmed by Appellant during the appeals

conference. And that's Exhibit A, page 4. And that's further corroborated by "BOA" Form 1508, signed by Appellant's business partner that sales were -- sales tax was collected; also, statements made by Appellant during telephone interview, which is recorded at Exhibit E-6; and records of statements made by the business's former legal representative, Barry Guterman. That's Exhibit E-4.

2.4

This brings us to our next requirement. Personal liability can only be imposed on a responsible person, and that's Revenue and Taxation Code Section 6829 Subdivision (b). A "responsible person" means any person having control or supervision of, or who was charged with the responsibility for, the filing of returns or the payment of tax or had a duty to act for the corporation in complying with any provision of the Sales and Use Tax Law. And that's Regulation Title 18, 1702.5 Subdivision (b)(1).

More than one person can be found responsible with respect to any tax quarter at issue, and liability can be imposed on each person. That is (c) In re Leal. That's Bankruptcy Appellate Panel Ninth Circuit 2007, available at 366 BR 77. "A person shall be liable only for taxes that became due during the periods he or she had control, supervision, responsibility, or duty to act for the corporation, plus interest and penalties on those taxes."

California Corporations Code Section 312

Subdivision (a) provides that a president of a corporation, quote, "is the general manager and chief executive officer of the corporation, unless otherwise provided in the articles or bylaws," end quote.

2.4

A general manager is presumed to have broad, implied, and actual authority to do all acts customarily connected with the business, including ensuring its compliance with sales and tax matters, even if that responsibility is delegated to others. See Commercial Securities Company v Modesto Drug Company 1919, available at 43 Cal.App. 162 at page 173.

We have provided numerous exhibits evidencing that the Appellant was the president of the business. For example, Appellant was listed as the incorporator on the business's articles of incorporation, which he signed on April 7, 2011. And he continued to be listed as president on the Secretary of State Annual Filings. He was also listed as a director on those papers. And that's -- C, Exhibit E-23.

Appellant was also listed as the business's president on the ABC license -- that's Exhibit E-22 -- as well as numerous other -- numerous other applications and bank loans including, but not limited to, the business's seller permit, that's Exhibit E-10; the business's Cisco

application, Exhibit E-11; the business's Southern California Gas Company Collection Notice, Exhibit E-13; the business's Commercial Security Agreement for Coast National Bank, that's Exhibit E-14; and his application for C-WIN at Exhibit 19 -- E-19.

2.4

So in addition to acting as president, Appellant was also clearly involved in the day-to-day operations of the business. Phone interviews with Chef Ogawa, at Exhibit E-9, and Mr. Elterman, at Exhibit E-5, both confirmed that Appellant was responsible for the day-to-day management of the business and business operations.

In fact, you heard, here, that Appellant said he got there very early and stayed very late every day. In fact, this was corroborated by Barry Guterman, the business's legal representative, who stated that Appellant and his business partner were in charge of running the business together. That's Exhibit E-4.

And Appellant stated that both he and his business partner agreed that any major decisions regarding the business would need to be discussed together. And that's Appellant's February 4, 2018, email at Exhibit F, page 31.

Appellant's statement is consistent with a statement made by Appellant's former business partner who

said that he kept Appellant fully informed on a regular basis on all financial matters and sales tax returns. That's Exhibit E-20.

2.4

Appellant's involvement in the business's financial matters is also evidenced by the fact that he was involved in writing and signing employee paychecks, which is evidenced by Exhibits E-5 and E-9, and paying vendors, which he also testified to today, but is also available at Exhibits E-11 and E-19.

Based on the foregoing, it is clear that

Appellant was a responsible person for the business under

the Sales and Use Tax Law. Appellant appears to argue

that he is not, in fact, responsible because he had an

agreement with his business partner to divide duties

with -- regarding to operating the business and that

Appellant was not responsible for the financial matters of

the business -- specifically, the Sales and Use Tax

matters.

Regardless of Appellant's assertions, an agreement to share or delegate duties with regard to the running of a business does not equate to an agreement to absolve Appellant from the legal responsibilities that are inherent in his position as president. And there is no written evidence pertaining to an agreement between him and his former business partner.

To the contrary, as already discussed, the evidence indicates that Appellant was involved in the day-to-day operations, discussed the running of the business with his partner on a daily basis, and retained responsibility over the major decisions of the corporation. As such, regardless of any agreement between Appellant and his business partner as to who managed different aspects of the business, as president, Appellant retained responsibility over the business's sales and use tax matters.

Lastly, the evidence establishes that Appellant's failure to pay the business's tax liabilities was willful. Per Regulation 1702.5 Subdivision (b)(2), "willfulness" means a voluntary, conscious, and intentional course of action, but it does not require bad purpose or motive.

A responsible person willfully fails to pay the taxes if the Department can establish that the responsible person had actual knowledge of the taxes were due but not being paid, authority to pay the taxes, or to cause them to be paid, and when the taxes became due and the responsible person had actual knowledge of the taxes were due, and had the ability to pay the tax but chose not to.

We'll address each in turn:

Knowledge -- knowledge means the person knew the taxes were not being paid on or after the date the taxes

became due. Here, the tax liability originates from the self-assessed returns for first and second quarters of 2013.

As stated, Appellant and his business partner were both involved in the day-to-day operations of the business and were both physically present at the business on a daily basis. And you can see the Exhibit 4; as well as Ogawa's statement, Exhibit 9; and Mr. Elderman's statement, Exhibit 5, evidencing that.

In the March 31, 2006, letter to the Board of Equalization, Appellant's business partner stated that he kept Appellant, quote, "fully informed on regular business," end quote; and stated, quote, that "All the of the sales tax returns filed by G&B Seafoods, Inc., like all financial matters, were discussed with Barry Cohen. He was aware of all tax liabilities," end quote. That's Exhibit E-20.

In light of just this evidence of Appellant's daily activities and contact with the business partner, it seems highly unlikely that Appellant remained unaware of the tax liabilities after they accrued. In fact, Appellant invested an additional \$230,500 into the business between March and July of 2013, specifically trying to pay the business's debts, indicating that he was aware of the business's debts, which, at the time, would

have included the first -- first 2013 quarter sales and use tax liability. And that's Exhibits -- Appellant's Exhibit 1-C and 6 show a timeline for those payments and the amounts.

Appellant's August 1, 2013, email sates that he decreased his offer to purchase his business partner's shares due to the payroll and sales tax liabilities that Appellant would have to assume. That's Exhibit G. So his knowledge, at this time, is corroborated by subsequent emails. Also, that one's dated November 8, 2017, in which he stated that he knew by late-July or August 2017 that taxes were owed. That's Exhibit H.

So based on the foregoing, it's established by a preponderance of the evidence that Appellant knew about the tax liabilities of the business as they became due and, at the latest, clearly knew about the first-quarter liability at the time he was making the payments towards that 230- -- \$230,500. And that he also knew about both the first quarter and the second quarter liabilities on or before August 1st, 2013.

As to the second element, authority, a responsible person must have authority to pay the taxes or cause them to be paid on the date the taxes became due and when the responsible person had actual knowledge the taxes were due but not paid. We have already established that,

as president of the business, Appellant had authority over the business in its day-to-day operations. In fact, Appellant admitted during the appeals conference that he had the authority to cause the business to make payments, including tax payments. And that's at Exhibit A, page 12.

As previously stated, he also admitted to having check-signing authority, which is recorded in an ACMS interview from March 3, 2016, Exhibit E-16. This admission is corroborated by additional evidence including, but not limited to, BOE 1508 Form, which was signed by Appellant; Exhibit E-6, the BOA -- BOE 1509 Form signed by his former bookkeeper, Gary Dewlo; a telephone interview conducted with Chef Ogawa (phonetic), which is Exhibit E-9; and business checks that were signed by Appellant on behalf of the business, which are Exhibits E-11 and E-19.

Appellant has not provided any evidence to contradict that he had the authority to sign checks and make payments on behalf of the business. As such, he had the requisite authority.

As to the final requirement for willfulness, the responsible person must have had the ability to pay the taxes but chose not to do so at the time the responsible person had actual knowledge the taxes were due. Appellant had sufficient funds available to pay the taxes when they

became due.

2.4

In 2013, Appellant's business reported total sales of \$396,648 for the second quarter and \$342,083 dollars for the portion of the third quarter before the business closed on September 12th. And that's Exhibits -- Exhibit E-17, pages 1 and 8.

Moreover, Appellant made numerous deposits throughout the first and second quarters, which is evidenced by his Exhibit 6. The business paid wages in the amount of \$307,413 for the second quarter and \$267,270 for the portion -- portion of the third quarter before the business closed. That's Exhibit E-16.

Additionally, during the second and third quarters of 2013, there is evidence of payments made by the business to Southern California Gas Company, that's Exhibit 13; Southern California Edison, Exhibit 15; and Prospect Enterprises, Inc., Exhibit 18, totaling a little over \$47,000. Thus there's ample evidence to support that Appellant had the ability to pay \$36,237 of sales tax, which was collected from its customers on and after the dates that they became due.

Based on the foregoing, Appellant willfully failed to pay, or cause the payment of, the business's sales tax liabilities for the liability period and is, thus, personally liable as a responsibility for the

business's sales tax liabilities for the liability period.

In conclusion, the evidence shows that Appellant is liable pursuant to Section 6829 of the Revenue and Tax Code because, one, the business terminated its business in 2013; two, the business collected sales tax reimbursement from its customers; three, Appellant was responsible for the business's payment of sales and use tax; and four, Appellant's failure to pay was willful.

Therefore, we ask this panel to affirm the decision in this matter.

Thank you.

2.4

JUDGE KWEE: Yes. Thank you.

This is Judge Kwee.

And I -- I did have a question, specifically, about the "willfulness" aspect. And in hearing his testimony today that, you know, he didn't become aware of the sales taxes weren't being paid until this all blew up, which from my understanding, was sometime around July and August of 2013. And I believe that is corroborated by some of the Exhibits -- that timeframe, anyway.

For example, Exhibit 19 is when he wrote that he changed his offer to \$50,000 to purchase, you know, the business due to new knowledge. And he highlighted the word "new knowledge" in the email, you know, which indicated that, you know, he didn't -- it was sometime by

August 1, 2013, he knew. But it was around that timeframe. And then there was also his, I believe, Exhibit 1. And that was the email from -- or a letter from Gerald mentioning that the \$100,000 buyout was on July 14, 2013.

So that -- it does seem to corroborate, that he didn't learn about the unpaid taxes -- at least, that there is documentation to corroborate that he didn't -- he didn't learn about the taxes not being paid until sometime between July 4, 2013, when there was the initial \$100,000 offer, and August 1st, 2013, when that \$100,000 was reduced to \$50,000 due to new knowledge of the sales taxes.

And -- and I guess what I'm getting at here is, you know, the quarters at issue, you know, is the first quarter, 2013, and second quarter, 2013. So, you know, he -- he didn't, potentially, learn about -- I guess there is some evidence indicating that he didn't learn about the taxes, you know, until definitely after the first quarter became due and until after the end of the second quarter. But, you know, prior to the actual due date for the payment of the second quarter.

But if all those -- money had been gone by -- by then -- and it does indicate that the money was gone because he was loaning his personal funds. Like, he had

1 that list of payments that he was putting money -- his 2 personal money into the account -- I mean, like, does he 3 still have ability to -- you know, the -- still have 4 the -- the willfulness aspect -- the ability to pay if 5 there was no funds left by the time he discovered that sometime between July 4th and August 1st -- that 6 7 Mr. Gerald wasn't paying the taxes? 8 That's a long question, but I think you 9 get the gist of it. 10 MR. COHEN: I have a question, if you don't mind. 11 JUDGE KWEE: I'd like to hear CDTFA respond to my 12 question, first, and then I'll turn it over to you. 13 MR. COHEN: Sure. 14 JUDGE KWEE: You'll -- You'll have an opportunity 15 for a rebuttal. They waited for me. It's only fair. 16 MR. COHEN: 17 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. 18 MS. DANIELS: So we're not clear, I mean, that 19 there was not knowledge prior to the end of July. But we 20 certainly do know that there was knowledge end of July, 21 beginning of August. And the payments that we referenced 22 were after that time. So there was still an ability to 23 pay, even if knowledge had not been -- had not occurred 2.4 until that time.

Yeah.

Excuse me.

MR. CLAREMON: Yeah.

25

1 I would add that, again, the -- as Ms. Daniels 2 stated, the sales tax return for the third quarter, which 3 would have been through September 12, which would have 4 been -- which means half of that quarter that it was in 5 operation was after August 1st -- or more than half, like, 6 42 days. 7 The sales tax return showed \$342,000 in total sales for that quarter. So even if you were to assume 8 9 that a proportionate share was -- of those sales were made 10 after August 1st, it -- it shows a significant amount of money that would have been able to pay the liability. And 11 I think you could say the same for the wages that were 12 13 shown to be paid for that quarter. 14 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you. 15 And before I return it to -- back to Mr. Cohen, did either co-panelist have questions for CDTFA? 16 17 I'll start with Judge Lambert. 18 JUDGE LAMBERT: I don't have any questions. Thanks. 19 20 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. 21 And Judge Long, did you have any questions for CDTFA? 2.2 23 No questions. JUDGE LONG: Thank you. 2.4 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. 25 Then, Mr. Cohen, I'll turn it back to you.

1	MR. COHEN: Thank you.
2	JUDGE KWEE: Sure.
3	
4	CLOSING ARGUMENT
5	
6	MR. COHEN: Okay. Number one is I don't know
7	her name but I think she got something a little
8	confused when she said that I knew the taxes were not
9	being paid as of August. Was was that what she said?
10	I didn't know when the I didn't know the taxes
11	were paid, ever. What what she's talking about and
12	this is what the State Board of Equalization did too I
13	told them that I when I looked in the office, when I
14	was going to make a valid offer to this guy to come in
15	I looked at what moneys were owed not not State
16	Board of Equalization, not payroll, but our short-term
17	liabilities.
18	And when I looked at that paper, it included
19	those two. But that was definitely not a a way to say
20	that I knew that we didn't pay the taxes. That was
21	just and they asked me for the paper, and I said it
22	was it was just one on the desk.
23	I looked up short-term liabilities, and it showed
24	them there. It didn't say what's due, what's not due,
25	it it just said this is the total amount. And that's

why I wrote to the attorney and said, "If we're going to have to pay all this money, then I don't want to give him a hundred thousand. We'll give him \$500,000."

So it was -- it was not clear, ever, that I knew, ever, if the taxes were being paid. I always thought they were being paid because I gave him the money to do it. I didn't think they were not being paid. And I didn't have any knowledge, at all, about that. I swear to god. I did not know.

The way he was talking, he just needed this money to keep, like, operating expenses. Okay. And I wanted it to be successful. He wanted -- he wanted to get out. A lot of times he wanted to get out. In fact, at the end, he did. He got out, and he got everything.

But I did not -- I had no idea. He was an attorney. He paid the court. He wrote in his own letter. He said, "For 20 years, I paid all the taxes." Why would I think that he wasn't paying it when I'm giving him this money he asked for?

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Mr. Cohen, first, what you might want to address -- what we were talking about is your Exhibit 19. And it's titled, "Email I Sent to My Attorney." And then what we were talking about -- my question had to do with -- it was the email that "Fishman Cohen" sent to "S-C-A-M-H-I."

1 And item -- it says, "Here's the offer I made." 2 And item -- item one -- the second item one says, "Changed 3 to \$50,000 due to new knowledge of payroll and sales taxes 4 that Sasha and I will assume." 5 I guess, I -- when I was reading that, I thought that was referring to you having new knowledge that the 6 7 sales taxes were not being paid. And perhaps you would like to clarify if that if that was not the case. 8 9 MR. COHEN: No. I knew they had to be paid. 10 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. I didn't -- I didn't get your first 11 MR. COHEN: 12 question. I -- you wanted me to look up -- I got my 13 papers all over the place. What Exhibit? 14 JUDGE KWEE: Yes. This is your -- your 15 Exhibit 19, and it's titled, "Email I Sent to My 16 Attorney." 17 MR. COHEN: Oh. 18 JUDGE KWEE: And then that's where that word, 19 "new knowledge," came. And so that -- we were just 20 quoting that, and that was what my question was about --21 was what new knowledge you were referring to about -- and 22 it said the sales taxes. So I thought that was in 23 reference --2.4 MR. COHEN: It didn't -- it didn't -- it never

25

entered my mind.

If you remember in the beginning, when I said this, when Gerald asked, "What happens if I pay it late?"

And I said, "You're going to have to pay -- we're going to have to pay interest and -- interest and a fine or late fee, but you have to pay the taxes."

So I didn't think for one second that he wouldn't pay the taxes. Because, like I said to you, and I -- if I gave you money and said, "Here, we need the money to keep the business going," you're not going to tell me that you're behind and didn't pay. You're going to say we need it, but you're not going to say, "I didn't pay the taxes."

He's an attorney. He did this for 20 years for his uncle. He paid the taxes. He's the one that sends the slip to the State Board of Equalization. It's on the back of some of these, where he signs it "Gerald, owner."

And -- and the one thing we did talk about is that we didn't say -- we didn't make anything formal with who was going to do what. It was written on that paper. Remember when that lady came in and said, "Here's, like, an extra paper"; and I said, "I'll take it. It's not that important"?

Because what it was is Gerald sued me. And -- and they're -- both attorneys said that both of us had the responsibility. If Gerald was not going to pay taxes, any major decision, he had to notify me. And if I did

1 anything that -- that went against him, I had to give
2 him --

We didn't have equal only partnership. It had stipulation that if it was something that was important, you had to tell the other guy. And I considered not paying taxes number one important.

I've done it for 50 years. I've paid taxes.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you.

So I guess, CDTFA has five minutes for their closing.

And so that would mean you would have two minutes left, if you -- if there's anything further that you want to say before I turn it over to CDTFA, and then we conclude today.

MR. COHEN: I didn't know what one we were talking about.

Gerald wound up with the business anyhow.

I -- okay. I'll say this -- and I'm not being facetious because I know they're both attorneys, and you're Judges -- I read that law because I was curious when -- when I was getting questioned from the State Board of Equalization.

They had a hound dog on me, but Gerald got the right letters. I didn't get that opportunity. I read that law, and it said that one of the -- one thing you had

to do is have the knowledge that it wasn't being paid. Am I not right?

2.4

JUDGE KWEE: If -- yes. The question we're addressing and we're looking at in this appeal is when you acquired the knowledge. And your presentation was -- your position was that you didn't know. And we understand.

MR. COHEN: Well -- and that's where communication comes in. Because I didn't have time to explain everything as we went through these papers.

Because I could explain right from the beginning that what I looked at was short-term bills that we had -- short-term that had to be paid. And it included the State Board of Equalization, and the payroll was twice that.

It had -- it's -- I have it in paper. But I didn't get called on anything. It was -- I didn't have knowledge that it was due. I knew it was owed, but I didn't know it was due. I mean you can owe somebody, but if they don't say, "Oh, you know, time's up. You've got to pay" --

It's like when we -- when Gerald sent in those slips to the State Board of Equalization, he put on there how much money he thought we had in sales tax. I never saw those, ever. But if you look on there, you're going to see Gerald did. He got notices. He got noticed. He didn't tell me he got notices.

1	So I think that's why the the law, to be fair,
2	says that, at least, the guy has to have knowledge that he
3	didn't pay. You can't just take it, pull it out of the
4	hot, you know you know, out of the air and say, "Oh, I
5	didn't pay. You've got to pay."
6	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you.
7	Yes. I understand the the position that
8	you've taken, and what you're saying and explaining. And
9	with that, your time is up.
LO	And we're almost at the 5:00 p.m. end time; so
11	I'll turn it over to CDTFA for your final five minutes.
12	MR. COHEN: I just want to apologize for getting
13	excited.
L4	JUDGE KWEE: I I understand. It's fine.
15	Thank you.
L6	
L7	CLOSING ARGUMENT
18	
L9	MS. DANIELS: I'm going to keep it really short.
20	The question is knowledge.
21	We have the August 1st email, where the offer to
22	buy the business was decreased because of, specifically,
23	things were owed. And sales tax is is mentioned there.
24	But knowledge of that date is also corroborated in a

second email that Appellant sent on November 8, 2017.

25

1	If you look at Exhibit H, page 3, these questions
2	were posed to Appellant. And under number 3, it says,
3	"I'm requesting a statement as to when did you learn about
4	the taxes being due as referenced in the August 1, 2013,
5	email."
6	And Appellant's response is, quote, "It must have
7	been late July or August 1, 2013, but I would have only
8	known taxes were owed, not if they were due or part due."
9	So there's knowledge of them being owed. And if
10	you know that something is owed and you can't just put
11	your head in the sand and then not look at the due date.
12	So it's clear there was knowledge at that time.
13	Thank you.
14	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you.
15	With that said, I'll turn it over to the
16	panelists.
17	Judge Lambert, do you have anything before we
18	conclude today.
19	JUDGE LAMBERT: I have no questions. Thank you.
20	JUDGE KWEE: And, Judge Long, do you have any
21	questions before we conclude today?
22	JUDGE LONG: No questions. Thank you.
23	JUDGE KWEE: Then we are ready to conclude this
24	record. The record so the record is closed, and this
25	case is submitted on Wednesday July 20th, 2022.

25

This concludes our oral hearings calendar for today. After today, the Judges we will meet and discuss the case and we will send out a written opinion of our decision within a hundred days. And thank you. And the next cases won't resume until next week and those are virtual cases. MS. DANIELS: Thank you. MR. CLAREMON: Thank you. MR. COHEN: Thank you. (Proceedings concluded at 4:58 p.m.)

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, the undersigned, a Registered
4	Professional Reporter of the State of California, do
5	hereby certify:
6	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
7	me at the time and place herein set forth; that any
8	witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to
9	testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the
10	proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand, which
11	was thereafter transcribed under my direction; that the
12	foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony
13	given.
14	Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the
15	original transcript of a deposition in a federal case,
16	before completion of the proceedings, review of the
17	transcript [] was [x] was not requested.
18	I further certify I am neither financially
19	interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any
20	attorney or party to this action.
21	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed
22	my name. Sarah Tuman
23	Dated: August 17, 2022
24	

\$	57:3	46:13	26 41:14
<u> </u>	\$500 35:21,22	173 43:12	27 6:11,15
\$1,064 40:3	\$500,000 18:11 56:3	18 42:16 50:17	27th 16:23
\$10,000 14:6,9	\$70,000 37:8,14	18063324 2:6 5:9	3
16:12,20,22 39:21	\$90,000 16:19	19 44:5 51:21 56:22 57:13,15	
\$10,640 39:22		1919 43:11	3 49:8 62:1,2
\$100,000 52:4,10,	(1st 48:20 52:11	3/16 22:4
\$14,538.67 40:10	(a) 42:2	53:6 54:5,10	3/3 21:22
\$17,939.23 40:12	(a) 43:2	61:21	3/3/16 20:23
\$190,000 17:7	(b) 42:11	2	3/31 21:25
\$2,764.60 40:4	(b)(1) 42:16		3/31/16 21:20
\$20,000 14:5,10	(b)(2) 46:13	2 41:18,20	3/6 21:22
16:3,13,14,15	(c) 42:19	20 2:18 5:1 13:11,	3/9 21:23
30:5,6	1	14 15:4 30:21 39:7 56:17 58:12	3/9/16 22:1
\$20,640 39:20		2006 47:10	30 6:12,16 8:6,7
\$200,000 37:4 \$330,500 47:33	1 6:12,14,15 8:6,7 10:23 11:12 17:20	2007 42:20	14:6 39:19
\$230,500 47:22 48:18	39:25 48:5 50:6	2011 43:17	30-day 15:11
\$235,000 12:22	52:1,3 62:4,7	2013 9:8 11:21,22	30th 14:4,13
\$240,000 13:5	1-30 4:4 8:10	14:6 16:6,7,23	31 44:23 47:10
\$25,000 16:7,8	1-C 48:3	17:9 33:25 37:18 39:19,24,25	312 43:1
\$267,270 50:10	10 4:12	40:10,11 41:17,22	366 42:21
\$27,597.17 40:2	11th 17:9	47:3,23 48:1,5,20 50:2,14 51:5,19	39 4:13
\$27,646 39:25	12 11:22 41:17,22 49:5 54:3	52:1,5,10,11,16	3:15 2:17 5:2,10
\$3,400.56 40:9	12th 50:5	62:4,7	3rd 21:6 33:25
\$307,413 50:10	13 24:8 33:25	2014 41:15	4
\$342,000 54:7	50:16	2016 40:16,17 49:8	<u> </u>
\$342,083 50:3	13th 16:11,14	2017 48:10,11	4 6:14 41:14 42:1 44:22 47:7 52:10
\$36,237 50:19	14 52:5	61:25	400 2:16
\$396,648 50:3	15 50:16	2018 44:22	400 2.16 42 54:6
\$40,000 17:10	1508 42:2 49:10	2022 2:18 5:1	43 43:12
\$47,000 50:18	1509 49:11	62:25	4:58 2:18 63:11
\$48 17:3	16 21:6,7	20th 5:9 62:25	
\$48.83 16:25 40:1	162 43:12	22 40:17	4th 53:6
\$50,000 16:24	17 16:6	230- 48:18	
17:6 51:22 52:12	1702.5 42:16	23rd 16:13,14	

Index: 5..attorneys

account 19:14 admit 8:5 10:11 39:1,13 5 32:12,18 53:2 40:6,14 41:12,25 admitted 8:9 42:4 43:14,15,21 accountant 49:3,6 44:6,10,13,16,19 **5** 6:14 47:9 13:24 **affirm** 51:9 45:1,11,12,16,22 **50** 11:19 12:11 accrued 47:21 46:2,7,8 47:4,12, afternoon 39:12 37:25 59:7 20,22 48:8,14 accuse 25:9 49:1,3,11,15,17, agreed 44:20 **55** 4:18 accused 21:20 24 50:7,19,22 agreement 32:25 **5:00** 61:10 51:2,6 61:25 62:2 29:14 44:3 45:14,20,21, appellant's 4:4 **ACMS** 49:7 24 46:6 6 8:10 9:10 40:8 acquainted ahead 12:19 41:15 42:3 44:22, 30:13,15 13:18 24,25 45:4,19 **6** 19:13 48:3 50:9 46:11 47:11,18 air 61:4 acquired 60:5 **60** 10:7,17 48:2,5 50:2 51:8 act 40:24 42:14,23 **ALJ** 3:3,5 **61** 4:19 62:6 acting 44:6 alleged 24:24 Appellate 42:20 6829 39:16 40:22 41:5 42:10 51:3 **action** 46:15 Allen 5:7 20:6 application 44:1, 4 activities 47:19 American 26:22 7 30:8,9,11 applications acts 43:7 43:23 amount 39:20 7 11:21 43:17 actual 43:7 46:18. 40:2,7,12 50:10 21 48:24 49:24 approximately **77** 42:21 54:10 55:25 5:10 14:4 52:21 amounts 48:4 **April** 16:7 40:17 **add** 54:1 8 43:17 **ample** 50:18 added 7:16.17.24 **argue** 45:12 analogy 13:4 **8** 4:4,5 40:18 48:10 addition 44:6 50:6 61:25 argument 4:16 **Andrew** 3:3 5:14 additional 6:25 18:19 55:4 61:17 7:19,20 8:17,18 **Annual** 43:18 9 **articles** 43:5,16 47:22 49:9 answers 37:16 aspect 51:15 53:4 Additionally 9 40:16 47:8 anymore 23:22 50:13 aspects 46:8 36:11 38:21 90 32:20 address 24:15 assertions 45:19 apologize 9:23 **9th** 21:7 32:5 40:13 46:23 61:12 asset 30:3 56:21 appeal 2:5 5:6,24 assume 48:8 54:8 Α addressed 8:16 9:2,8 60:4 57:4 addressing 60:4 appeals 2:1 5:8 **A-H** 4:5 8:12 attorney 15:3 **ADMINISTRATIO** 40:7 41:12,25 19:15 21:19 **ABC** 43:22 **N** 3:10 49:3 23:16,20 26:12 ability 46:22 29:5 30:20 33:1,9, **APPEARANCES** Administrative 49:22 50:19 53:3, 11,15 35:22 38:10 3:1 5:14 8:11,13 4,22 56:1,16,23 57:16 10:12 appears 45:12 58:12 absolve 45:22 admission 7:25 Appellant 2:7 3:7 attorneys 58:23 49:9 access 32:17 6:6 7:13,14,22 9:3 59:19

August 39:25 48:5,11,20 51:19 52:1,11 53:6,21 54:5,10 55:9 61:21 62:4,7 authority 43:7 46:19 48:21,22

49:1,4,7,18,20 **Avenue** 27:20

aware 12:18 31:16,19 33:24 47:16,25 51:16

В

back 15:14 16:21 17:8,19,20 22:15 25:1,2 28:19,20 30:6,7 33:6,12,17, 20 54:15,25 58:15

backlash 13:9

bad 33:5 36:6 46:15

balance 39:22 40:2

bank 17:10 32:11, 17 43:24 44:4

bankrupt 26:13

bankruptcy 26:16 42:20

bar 12:9 21:17 23:2

Barry 5:7 6:8 10:10 11:18 12:17 14:22 20:6,24,25 22:6 28:10 37:5 42:7 44:15 47:15

bartender 23:1

based 7:5 45:10 48:13 50:22

basis 12:15 45:2 46:4 47:7

begin 10:17

beginning 53:21

58:1 60:10

behalf 10:11 49:15,19

believed 14:17

biased 24:3

big 30:3

biggest 26:3

bills 17:2 18:14,15 32:18 37:2 60:11

bit 12:19

blame 28:25

blew 51:17

BOA 42:2 49:11

Board 11:14 14:23 15:1,5 20:20 23:11 24:4, 5,21 25:4 29:2,6 30:22 31:22 32:1 34:6 37:10 47:10 55:12,16 58:14 59:22 60:12,21

boat 30:4,8 37:4,

BOE 35:13 49:10,

book 20:8,10

bookkeeper 14:17 22:11 49:12

bookkeeping

вооккеері 12:5

books 30:21 38:14

bottom 37:13

bought 26:25 27:1,23

box 32:10 33:9

BR 42:21

break 26:12 37:14

bring 10:23 11:5 34:22

brings 42:8

broad 43:6

broke 36:6

business 9:4 11:20 12:2 13:13, 14 14:16 17:25 18:1,6 20:5 25:18 26:21 31:21 38:5 39:15 17 40:8

39:15,17 40:8 41:13,15,17,24 42:3 43:8,14 44:8, 11,17,18,20,21,25

45:11,14,15,17, 21,25 46:4,7,8 47:4,6,11,13,19,

23 48:6,15 49:1,2, 4,14,15,19 50:2,5, 9,12,15 51:4,5,23

58:9 59:17 61:22

business's 41:20 42:6 43:16,21,24, 25 44:1,3,16 45:4 46:9,12 47:24,25 50:23 51:1,7

buy 18:11 35:13 61:22

buyout 52:4

bylaws 43:5

С

C-WIN 44:5

Cal.app. 43:12

Calabasas 27:16, 17.20

calendar 63:1

California 2:2,16, 20 3:9 5:1,11 43:1 44:2 50:15,16

call 18:16 20:23 23:4 27:8 31:23 36:9,14

called 10:11 21:15 24:9,25 25:1,2 28:6,8 33:9 41:15 60:15

calls 23:1

capital 16:15

care 17:13 31:2 32:22

carefully 20:1

carried 25:22 29:24

case 5:8,17 10:7 39:13 57:8 62:25 63:3

cases 63:6,7

catch 18:2

caught 23:2,3,10

caused 41:2

CDTFA 5:25 6:1, 23 7:14 9:1 24:6 38:23,25 53:11 54:16,22 59:9,13 61:11

CDTFA's 7:25 8:5 41:16

cease 23:21

chance 6:23

change 9:10

changed 51:22 57:2

channel 5:12

charge 44:17

charged 26:5 42:12

charity 30:14

check 11:4 15:19, 20 17:6 22:2

check-signing 49:7

checked 25:20

checks 15:17,21 19:13 49:14,18

Chef 44:8 49:13

Chen 24:20,23

chief 43:3 18,22,25 32:13, **confirm** 11:18 couple 15:20 31:7 16,20 34:3,6,23 confirmed 41:25 **chose** 46:22 court 12:3 56:16 35:1,15,17 37:22 49:23 44:10 38:3,8 47:15 Courtney 3:11 Cigliano 10:24 53:10,13,16 confused 55:8 6:2 11:13 20:25 22:4 54:15,25 55:1,6 connected 43:8 crazy 17:1 29:21 28:4,15,23 35:14 56:20,25 57:9,11, 37:18 17,24 59:15 60:7 conscious 46:14 **crooks** 23:19,23 61:12 63:10 Cigliano's 27:22 **crutch** 20:11 consequence collected 41:6,24 40:20 41:19,20 curious 59:21 42:4 50:20 51:5 Circuit 42:20 considered 59:5 current 15:8 Collection 44:2 Cisco 43:25 consistent 44:24 customarily 43:7 commencing Claremon 3:12 contact 47:19 2:17 customers 41:24 6:3 53:25 63:9 continue 11:24 50:20 51:6 commercial 30:4 clarifications 43:10 44:3 continued 43:17 31:7 D communication contradict 49:18 clarify 57:8 60:8 contrary 46:1 daily 46:4 47:7,19 clean 17:16 31:1 company 12:22 control 13:22 **Daniels** 3:11 4:13, 16:16 17:6 26:13, clear 45:10 53:18 40:22 42:12,23 19 6:2 7:2,7,11,20 56:4 62:12 20 30:3 32:25 9:12,17,21,25 33:19 43:11 44:2 conversation close 13:21 35:10 27:12 39:2.12 50:15 38:4,7 53:18 54:1 61:19 **closed** 41:21 compliance 43:9 cooks 31:2 63:8 50:5,12 62:24 complying 40:24 **copy** 29:17 dark 34:16 closeout 41:21 42:15 **cord** 11:7 date 5:9 20:22 closer 11:5 34:22 conceded 41:12 40:15,19 41:21 corporation closing 4:16 55:4 46:25 48:23 52:21 concern 8:15 33:17 40:23,24 59:10 61:17 61:24 62:11 41:3,5,6 42:14,24 concerned 31:11 co-panelist 54:16 43:3,4 46:6 dated 48:10 concerns 8:17, co-panelists corporation's dates 40:14 50:21 18,24 35:3 41:1 day 6:19 13:7 conclude 59:14 **Coast** 44:3 Corporations 41:16 44:14 62:18,21,23 43:1 **COD** 15:19 day-to-day 12:2, concluded 63:11 **correct** 6:16,17 11 21:16 44:7,11 Code 39:16 42:10 concludes 63:1 9:21 32:4 46:3 47:5 49:2 43:1 51:4 concluding 2:17 corroborate days 54:6 63:4 **Cohen** 2:6 3:7 52:6,8 conclusion 51:2 4:12,18 5:7 6:8,17 deal 19:16 29:5 8:2,20 9:3 10:4, corroborated conducted 5:7.11 debts 47:24,25 10,15,18,22 11:1, 42:2 44:15 48:9 49:13 7,11,18,25 12:1, 49:9 51:19 61:24 decide 5:24 21:11 conducting 5:20 17 13:20 18:10, **cost** 14:1,2 17:22 **decision** 7:18,24 17,24 19:2,6 20:6, conference 9:7 51:10 58:25 63:4 24 22:6 24:7 costs 13:21 41:12 42:1 49:3 27:13 31:6,9,14,

decisions 44:20 dollar 26:5,6,13 **E-17** 50:6 50:17 46:5 36:9 **E-19** 44:5 45:9 **entire** 11:20 decreased 48:6 **dollars** 12:23 18:3 49:16 entitled 36:18 35:20 50:4 61:22 **E-20** 45:3 47:17 **equal** 5:17,21 delegate 45:20 Donald 22:25 **E-22** 43:22 40:9 59:3 23:15 delegated 43:10 **E-23** 43:20 Equalization door 28:1 Department 3:10 11:15 14:23 15:2, **E-4** 42:7 44:18 double 11:4 46:17 5 20:20 23:11 **E-5** 44:9 45:7 24:4,5,21 25:5 department's 4:5 drafted 40:15 29:3,6 30:22 8:12 **E-6** 42:5 49:11 **Draw** 35:22 31:22 32:1 34:6 deposit 16:12 37:10 47:11 **E-9** 44:9 45:7 drive 25:25 33:9 55:12,16 58:14 49:14 59:22 60:13,21 **driver** 15:19 deposited 17:11 early 17:14,20 **equate** 45:21 **Drug** 43:11 44:14 deposits 50:7 establish 24:7 dual 19:20,25 20:1 **easily** 16:21 desist 23:21 46:17 21:7,8,12,13,24 **Edison** 50:16 desk 55:22 22:1,2,9,20 25:6, established 11,15 29:12,15, effective 41:21 detach 41:5 48:13.25 18,19,22 40:14,21 Elderman's 47:8 establishes determine 18:20 due 22:14,19 34:4, 46:11 element 48:21 determined 7,9,10,11,15 ethically 32:8 39:20,25 41:3 15:16 elements 41:4 42:22 46:18,20,22 **evidence** 8:9,11, **Dewlo** 22:10,20 Elterman 22:25 47:1 48:7,15,23, 49:12 13 21:10 24:6,24 23:1,15 44:9 25 49:24 50:1,21 25:10,16 45:24 51:23 52:12,20,21 difference 33:1 **email** 44:22 48:5 46:2,11 47:18 55:24 57:3 60:16. 51:24 52:3 56:22, 48:14 49:9,17 directed 20:5 17 62:4,8,11 24 57:15 61:21,25 50:14,18 51:2 director 11:19,24 62:5 52:18 **duly** 10:12 43:19 **emails** 48:10 duties 45:14,20 evidenced 45:5,7 discovered 53:5 50:9 emergency 15:18 duty 33:16 40:23 discuss 10:7 63:2 32:21 evidencing 43:13 42:14,23 47:9 discussed 12:17 emotional 25:22 22:6 44:21 46:1.3 Ε examined 10:13 employee 45:6 47:15 excited 61:13 end 23:6 43:5 dispute 9:10 **E-1** 41:18,20 47:13,16 52:20 Excuse 9:12 divide 45:14 **E-10** 43:25 53:19,20 56:13 41:19 53:25 61:10 documentation **E-11** 44:1 45:9 executive 43:4 7:6 52:8 enjoyed 12:8 49:16 **exhibit** 7:16,18,23 ensure 5:23 38:7 documents 6:11, **E-13** 44:2 8:10,12,16 10:23 14,15,24 7:1,3 11:12 13:23 17:20 ensuring 43:8 E-14 44:4 8:1,6,8 19:13 26:19 40:18 entered 57:25 **E-16** 49:8 50:12 41:14,18,19 42:1, dog 59:23 5,7 43:20,22,25 **Enterprises**

Index: exhibits..green

44:1,2,4,5,9,18,22 45:3 47:7,8,9,17 48:3,8,12 49:5,8, 11,14 50:6,9,12, 16,17 51:21 52:3 56:22 57:13,15 62:1

exhibits 4:4,5 6:12 7:10,15,19 8:5,6 43:13 45:7,9 48:2 49:16 50:5 51:20

51:20 **expenses** 56:11

explain 60:9,10 explained 40:6

explaining 61:8

extension 14:7,8 15:9,11

extra 7:18 12:21 14:14 16:19 17:7 58:20

F

facetious 59:19

fact 13:4 25:19 33:15 44:13,15 45:5,13 47:21 49:2 56:13

failed 19:3 41:2 50:23

failing 19:4

fails 46:16

failure 41:9 46:12 51:8

fair 20:21 24:3 53:16 61:1

fairly 28:5

family 27:24

family's 26:21

fan 34:15

farm-to-table 27:16

Farms 27:16

faxed 41:15

February 14:21 41:14 44:22

fee 3:10 58:5

feedback 11:2 34:20

fiduciary 33:16

figure 13:25

figured 28:21

filed 12:16 39:19, 24 47:14

filing 42:13

Filings 43:18

fill 32:2

filled 15:13,15 22:14

final 40:16 49:21 61:11

finalized 40:17,21

financial 12:15,17 45:2,5,16 47:15

find 14:19 19:21 34:14

fine 14:25 25:3 58:4 61:14

finish 38:1

finished 15:4

fired 23:6,24 29:20,21 30:17,20

first-quarter 48:16

fish 9:5 12:8 26:22,25 27:18 30:9,11 32:19

fished 30:5

fishing 30:4

Fishman 56:24

fit 17:12 22:8 29:13

focus 18:19

follow-up 35:12 38:4,6,12

food 12:7 13:21 14:1,2 17:22

Foods 11:20

foregoing 45:10 48:13 50:22

forgot 19:17 23:3 29:20 38:24

Form 42:2 49:10,

formal 58:17

forms 32:3

found 18:9 27:14 35:13 42:17

fourth 41:9

freaked 36:11

fresh 12:8

friend 26:21 33:2 37:15

frustrated 25:24 28:16

full 14:21,25

fully 12:14 45:1 47:12

funds 49:25 52:25 53:5

G

G&b 9:4 11:19 12:16 16:6,8,13, 14,23 17:9 39:14 47:14

gablungered 22:16

Gary 22:10,13,20, 23 49:12

Gas 44:2 50:15

gave 13:5,23

14:14 15:11,13 16:12,13,14,19 17:5,21 20:16 30:6 36:5,25 37:1 38:9 56:6 58:8

general 30:10 43:3,6

Gerald 10:23 11:13 12:5,22 13:2 14:14,22,24 15:3,8,12,22 16:11,19,24 17:10,15,16,17 18:11,12,21 19:15,19 20:10,25 21:24 22:4,11,12, 13,14,17,21 25:7 26:3,19 27:4,21 28:4,15,20,23 29:5,8,10 30:10, 13,16,17,20 31:13 32:10,20,22,23 33:2,6,12,15,18, 19,21,25 35:17,23 36:8,19 52:4 53:7 58:2,15,22,24 59:17,23 60:20,24

Gerald's 17:20 21:20 22:2 24:22 25:17,18,20 26:24 28:9 31:14 33:14

get all 36:19

gist 31:10 53:9

give 13:24 17:1 20:13 27:10 35:19 36:4 38:13 41:16 56:2,3 59:1

giving 26:17 56:18

god 33:21 56:8

good 8:18 12:7 13:4 24:5 27:10 33:3 38:16,17 39:12

Great 7:8,12 8:4 **green** 11:4,11

Index: guaranteed..knew

quaranteed helped 30:5 incorporator **iob** 13:6 30:17 43:15 18:13 Henry 21:22 25:8 jobs 12:4 index 7:10 8:7 guess 7:13 52:14, hereinafter 39:15 **Joel** 33:10,11,14, 17 57:5 59:9 indicating 47:24 18 Hey 13:24 27:4 Guterman 42:7 52:18 Josh 3:5 5:15 44:15 highlighted **inform** 13:2 51:23 judge 5:4,22 6:5, guy 17:2 18:9 information 9,21 7:4,8,12,21 19:16,20 23:11,12 **highly** 47:20 19:22 36:19 8:4,11,13,14,22 24:20 25:5,6 28:4 Hills 27:20 9:12,15,18,22 29:20,21 30:5 informed 12:14 10:1,5,12,16 11:1, 33:3 34:7,8 36:20 hires 19:15 13:7 22:18 45:1 9 18:17,25 19:3 37:3 38:11 55:14 47:12 history 27:22 31:6,10,15,19,24 59:5 61:2 inherent 45:23 32:11,14,17 33:23 hit 34:15 guys 13:24 23:18 34:5,19,24 35:2,4, **initial** 52:10 hold 32:8 38:1 6,8,9,10,16 37:16 intake 16:15 38:1,4,20,22 39:4 Н home 33:6,12 51:12,13 53:11, intentional 46:14 14,17 54:14,17, **hot** 61:4 **half** 19:19 54:4,5 18,20,21,23,24 interest 15:1 41:1 hound 59:23 55:2 56:20 57:10, hand 10:8 42:24 58:4 14,18 59:8 60:3 house 24:14 internet 23:17,22 handled 22:12.13 61:6.14 62:14.17. 33:14 19,20,22,23 interrupt 5:22 happen 23:9 hundred 56:3 37:19 judges 5:14,16,21 interview 42:5 63:4 59:20 63:2 49:8,13 happened 18:10 **hurting** 33:19 37:24 July 2:18 5:1,9 interviews 44:8 17:4,8,9 47:23 happening 32:9 ı inventory 13:25 51:18 52:5,10 harass 23:4 14:2 53:6,19,20 62:7, idea 56:15 25 invested 47:22 harassed 23:5 identified 40:15 jump 12:19 involved 44:7 harassing 23:24 45:6 46:2 47:5 implied 43:7 **June** 16:23 17:8, hard 18:7 29:7 20,24 involvement important 58:21 **hates** 24:3 45:4 59:4,6 just-- 19:5 head 62:11 **issue** 9:2,8,13,19, imposed 42:9,19 20 14:11 39:13 hear 11:3 34:20, Κ included 40:20 40:13 41:14 42:18 24 53:11 48:1 55:18 60:12 52:15 **Keith** 3:5 5:15 **heard** 5:13 17:21 includes 40:2 item 57:1,2 39:20 44:13 key 33:7 including 8:7 hearing 2:19 kicked 28:5 13:15 22:4 43:8, J 5:11,13,16,18,20 24 49:5,10 kicker 26:3 7:3 9:2 36:16 51:15 Incoming 20:23 January 11:21 kind 24:13 38:11 14:21 hearings 63:1 incorporation knew 24:14 26:8 Jason 3:12 6:4 43:16 34:3 46:24 48:11, **held** 7:5 14,16,18 52:1

42:11 46:14,24

meet 5:17 63:2

54:4

55:8,20 56:4 57:9 59:20,25 61:1 license 43:22 M 60:16 lay 12:12 lie 25:13 29:16 knocked 28:1 36:23,24 Lead 3:3 **mad** 23:23 knowledge 15:8 life 30:3 **Leal** 42:19 made 14:5,9 19:6 24:7 46:18, light 11:4,11 26:11 28:21 29:5, 21,24 48:9,24 learn 52:7,9,17,18 47:18 12,15 35:21 40:8 49:24 51:23,24 62:3 42:4,6 44:25 50:7, 52:12 53:19,20,23 limited 43:24 learned 34:17 14 54:9 57:1 56:8 57:3,6,19,21 49:10 60:1,5,16 61:2,20, lease 26:18 **mail** 32:13 list 53:1 24 62:9,12 **left** 5:15 17:15 mailbox 22:18 **listed** 7:10 8:6,7,8 **Kwee** 3:3 5:4,14 32:23,24 33:25 31:12,14 43:15,17,19,21 6:5,9,21 7:4,8,12, 53:5 59:12 **mailed** 31:12 21 8:4,14,22 9:12, listing 9:23 legal 23:4 42:6 15,18,22 10:1,5, major 44:20 46:5 44:16 45:22 live 15:23 16 11:1,9 18:17, 58:25 25 19:3 31:6,10, lend 17:9 lived 32:5 **make** 8:24 12:8 15,19,24 32:11, lent 16:16.23 37:4 livestream 34:21. 13:4,7 14:8,17 14,17 33:23 34:5, 38:5 25 19:16 49:4,19 19,24 35:2,8 55:14 58:17 38:22 39:4 51:12, **lesson** 34:17 livestreamed 13 53:11,14,17 5:12 makes 6:22 20:15 letter 10:23 11:13, 54:14.20.24 55:2 17 13:12,19 17:20 loan 14:10 16:7,8, making 12:7 56:20 57:10,14,18 21:20,24 22:2,3 13 17:6 24:17 48:17 59:8 60:3 61:6,14 23:13,22 24:10, 62:14,20,23 loaned 16:6,8 managed 20:5 11,14,22 25:7,8, 31:12 46:7 17,18,20 29:3,11, **loaning** 38:13 L 13 34:1 35:21 52:25 management 36:11,12,16,17, 44:11 loans 43:24 labor 13:21 22,23,24 40:16,20 manager 23:2 41:16 47:10 52:3 lobsters 15:23 lady 58:19 28:2 30:10 43:3,6 56:16 located 27:16,19 **Lambert** 3:5 5:15 **March** 16:6,7 letters 11:14 35:4,6 54:17,18 **long** 3:5 5:16 6:18 21:6,7 37:18 59:24 62:17,19 13:20 17:16 19:12 40:16 47:10,23 liabilities 9:9,11, 35:9,10,16 37:16 49:8 landlord 26:16,17 23 22:5 39:14,17 38:1,4,20 53:8 market 9:5 27:18 Lane 20:24 46:12 47:16,21 54:21,23 62:20,22 32:19 48:7,15,19 50:24 **Lastly** 46:11 longer 9:8,13 51:1 55:17,23 matter 2:5 5:7 late 14:24 15:7 looked 11:9 18:5 6:10 51:10 liability 14:6 16:5 17:15 37:20, 27:2,8,13 55:13, 18:15 39:21 40:2, **matters** 12:17 23 39:24 40:3,4 15,18,23 60:11 7,9,12 41:4,13 22:4 43:9 45:2,5, 44:14 58:2,5 62:7 42:9,18 47:1 48:2, Lost 27:20 16,18 46:10 47:15 17 50:24 51:1 late-july 48:11 **lot** 18:6 20:16 means 17:25

56:13

lunch 17:17

54:11

liable 9:3 21:1

37:11 39:14 40:25

42:21 50:25 51:3

latest 48:16

42:15 45:12

law 5:14 8:11,13

10:12 19:10 40:25

Index: Members..partners

Members 3:5 neutral 33:1 **Ogawa's** 47:8 P one's 48:10 memo 40:14,15, **night** 17:15 23:5,6 21 26:23 one-month 14:8 **p.m.** 2:17,18 5:2, mention 22:20 Ninth 42:20 15:9 10 61:10 63:11 mentioned 34:8 Nos 8:10,12 **open** 7:5 pages 15:14 50:6 61:23 note 37:6 38:13 **opened** 14:21 paid 13:14 15:4,7, mentioning 52:4 27:18 16 16:3,4,24 19:7, notice 34:2 41:16 8 24:16 26:4 met 11:16 30:9 44:2 opening 5:6 10:3 28:20 31:16,21 41:4 39:5 noticed 60:24 37:11,20 38:7 mic 11:2,5 34:22 operated 13:13 39:21 40:1 41:2 **notices** 22:17,19 46:19,20,25 microphone 35:2 31:12 60:24,25 operating 11:21 48:23,25 50:9 45:15 56:11 mind 53:10 57:25 notify 58:25 51:17 52:9 54:13 operation 12:2,11 55:9,11 56:5,6,7, mine 26:21 November 48:10 14:21 21:16 54:5 16,17 57:7,9 61:25 minutes 10:7,17 58:13 59:7 60:1, operations 20:6 39:7 59:9.11 **number** 5:8 15:3 12 44:7,12 46:3 47:5 55:6 59:6 62:2 61:11 49:2 panel 3:3,5 5:13, Modesto 43:11 numbered 6:12, 21,22 42:20 51:9 opinion 63:3 15 **moment** 19:23 panelists 62:16 opportunity 8:23 numbers 6:13 53:14 59:24 money 12:21 paper 21:23 25:1, 17:21 14:15,16 16:16,17 2 26:12 55:18,21 oral 63:1 numerous 43:13, 17:2,4,8,11,25 58:18,20 60:14 18:1 20:13,16 23 50:7 original 40:15,19 **papers** 22:15 21:9,21 26:8 originate 39:17 23:18 28:18 28:14 30:2 36:7, 0 29:16,17 43:19 25 37:2 38:5,9,14, originates 47:1 57:13 60:9 15 52:23,24 53:1, OTA 2:65:8 objection 7:23 2 54:11 56:2,6,10, paperwork 12:6 8:3 14:5 19 58:8 60:22 outset 40:13 20:7 21:18 31:3 objections 7:9, moneys 55:15 Parker 3:12 6:4 owe 14:11 16:3 11,24 8:20 21:8 60:17 Monica 27:22 part 19:12 62:8 obligations owed 13:15 14:4, month 16:11,24 **partial** 16:12 13:15 11 15:16 16:22 **months** 16:18 19:19 26:9 28:21 participants occurred 53:23 37:8 48:12 55:15 5:18,22 morning 17:14 offer 48:6 51:22 60:16 61:23 62:8, participating 52:11 55:14 57:1 motive 46:15 9,10 5:21 61:21 owing 21:21 partner 18:5 offered 35:18 Ν **owned** 30:8 38:11 40:9 41:15 office 2:1 5:8 42:3 44:17,20,25 owner 27:21 20:25 40:7 55:13 names 6:1 45:14,25 46:4,7 28:15,23 58:15 47:4,11,19 National 44:4 officer 33:17 43:4 owns 28:3 partner's 48:6 **needed** 15:19 **Ogawa** 44:8 49:13 56:10 partners 12:12

Index: partnership..quoting

partnership 59:3 percent 11:19 preliminary 6:10 51:3 12:11 32:20 preponderance party 20:6,9 21:12 purveyors 15:22 Perfect 6:21 33:1 48:14 **put** 12:20,21,22 past 22:19 34:4,7, period 11:20 40:1 present 47:6 14:16 17:7,25 9,10,11,15 41:13 50:24 51:1 18:3,11 19:14 presentation 20:8 22:19,21,22 past-due 22:17 periods 42:22 4:10 8:23 10:20 23:22 28:23 39:6,10 60:5 pay 13:5,17 14:15, **permit** 41:21 29:22,23 30:2,4 35:18 37:4 60:21 25 15:1 16:20,21 43:25 presentations 62:10 17:2,8 18:14,15 10:3 person 9:10 19:3,4,18,19 40:22 41:2,7 42:9, president 11:19 putting 23:17 20:17,18 26:6 11,17,19,21 45:11 43:2,14,17,22 53:1 30:2,6,7 32:3,12, 46:16,18,21,24 44:6 45:23 46:8 18 35:18,22 36:25 48:22,24 49:22,24 49:1 Q 37:2,3,9,14,21,23 38:5,9,10 41:2,9 person's 41:9 pressed 16:1 46:12,16,19,22 quality 30:12 personal 15:14 presumed 43:6 47:24 48:22 41:4 42:8 52:25 quarter 9:13,15, 49:22,25 50:19,23 previous 40:8 53:2 18,19 33:25 51:8 53:4,23 previously 7:17, 39:19,23 40:3,5, 54:11 55:20 56:2 personally 9:3 22.25 8:16 40:6 10,11 42:18 48:1, 58:2,3,4,5,7,10, 16:6.8.23 39:14 49:6 19 50:3,4,10,11 11.24 60:19 61:3. 40:25 50:25 52:16,19,20,22 5 prior 13:12 52:21 pertaining 45:24 54:2,4,8,13 53:19 paychecks 45:6 phone 28:8 44:8 **quarters** 9:8 47:2 problem 30:12 payday 15:21 50:8,14 52:15 phonetic 22:16 problems 20:14 paying 13:10 25:9 49:14 question 12:24 29:14 30:21 31:20 proceed 10:3 32:15 37:16,17 physical 30:25 35:21 45:7 53:7 38:2,6 51:14 53:8, proceedings 56:18 59:6 physically 47:6 10,12 56:24 2:15 63:11 57:12,20 60:3 payment 14:6,8, picked 6:19 12:3 produce 5:18 61:20 9.13 16:12 40:3.4 picking 37:9 41:8 42:13 50:23 proportionate questioned 51:7 52:22 place 22:22 30:15, 54:9 59:21 18 36:13 57:13 payments 40:8 Prospect 50:17 questions 5:23 48:3,17 49:4,5,19 plenty 38:9 10:2 31:7 35:4,6,9 proves 26:8 50:14 53:1,21 38:21.25 39:2 point 15:25 19:6,7 provide 7:5 54:16,18,21,23 payroll 37:1 48:7 portion 50:4,11 62:1,19,21,22 55:16 57:3 60:13 **provided** 43:5,13 **posed** 62:2 49:17 quitting 18:4 pays 14:23 17:2 position 45:23 provision 42:15 quote 43:3,5 penalties 41:1 60:6 61:7 47:12,13,16 62:6 42:24 **pull** 61:3 possibly 11:5 **quotes** 24:12 penalty 40:3,4 purchase 48:6 post 20:25 quoting 57:20 51:22 people 12:8 14:22 15:20 23:14 28:22 potentially 52:17 purpose 46:15 29:19 37:9 prehearing 9:7 pursuant 39:16

Index: raise..Section

remained 47:20	12:1,5,6,10 13:2	
	15:12 18:22 19:4,	
remaining 9:11	9 20:6 21:12,16,	S-C-A-M-H-I
40:9		56:25
remember 58:1,		Sacramento 2:16
19		5:1,11
reminder 34:19,	46:16,17,21	safe 33:8
25	48:22,24 49:22,23	Sailview 20:24
renamed 27:15	51:6	
reported 2:10	rest 14:9	sales 12:18 14:5
-	restaurant 12:7	16:16 22:5,12 24:8 28:22 32:18
	17:14 18:6 21:17	40:25 41:7,8,24
Reporter 2:20	26:24 27:5,10,18,	42:3,15 43:9 45:2,
representative		12,17 46:9 47:14
42:7 44:16	38:18	48:1,7 50:3,19,24
representatives	restaurants	51:1,5,7,17 52:12
6:1	37:24	54:2,7,8,9 57:3,7, 22 60:22 61:23
representing	result 5:19	
24:21	resulting 39-22	salesman 26:20
request 10:25		sand 62:11
11:18 19:25 21:6,		Sang 10:24 11:13,
23 22:1		16,17 20:3,23
requested 21:25	retained 46:4,9	21:2,22,25 25:8
•	return 39:18,24	Santa 27:22
	54:2,7,15	Sarah 2:19
require 7:4 46:15	returns 12:16	
requirement	22:5 39:18 42:13	Sasha 57:4
40:24 41:11,23	45:2 47:2,14	sates 48:5
42:8 49:21	Revenue 39:16	satisfied 9:9
requisite 49:20	42:10 51:3	
residence 34:1	review 6:25 7:2	SBA 15:9
	40:16	SBOE 16:20
•	reviewed 6:25	Scott 3:12 6:3
respond 53:11	roviouer 40:47	screwed 25:12,13
Respondent 3:9		·
response 7:5	revised 40:19	screwing 25:14
62:6	rid 26:4,18	Sea 11:19
responsibilities	RPR 2:19	Seafood 27:22
45:22		Seafoods 9:4
roeponeihility		12:16 16:7,8,24
	running 18:18	17:9 39:15 47:14
43:10 46:5,9 50:25 58:24	44:17 45:21 46:3	Secretary 43:18
		Section 40:22
	remember 58:1, 19 reminder 34:19, 25 renamed 27:15 reported 2:19 39:20,25 50:2 Reporter 2:20 representative 42:7 44:16 representing 24:21 request 10:25 11:18 19:25 21:6, 23 22:1 requested 21:25 requesting 62:3 require 7:4 46:15 requirement 40:24 41:11,23 42:8 49:21 requisite 49:20 residence 34:1 respect 42:18 respond 53:11 Respondent 3:9 response 7:5 62:6 responsibilities 45:22 responsibility 36:2 42:13,23 43:10 46:5,9	remember 58:1, 19 17,18 24:17 25:15 32:8 37:12 41:8 42:9,11,17 44:10 45:11,13,16 46:16,17,21 48:22,24 49:22,23 51:6 reported 2:19 39:20,25 50:2 restaurant 12:7 17:14 18:6 21:17 26:24 27:5,10,18, representative 42:7 44:16 38:18 representative 42:7 44:16 restaurants 6:1 37:24 result 5:19 result 5:19 resulting 39:22 40:1,11 required 46:4,9 return 39:18,24 54:2,7,15 require 7:4 46:15 requirement 40:24 41:11,23 42:8 49:21 required 49:20 residence 34:1 respond 53:11 respondent 3:9 response 7:5 62:6 responsibilities 45:22 responsibility 36:2 42:13,23 43:10 46:5,9 reuning 18:18 44:17 45:21 46:3

Index: Securities..taxes

42:10 43:1 51:3 **signed** 21:23,24 59:22 60:12,21 supplemental 40:16 42:2 43:16 9:22 Securities 43:11 **stated** 20:25 49:11,12,15 44:16,19 47:4,11, suppliers 37:5 Security 44:3 significant 54:10 13 48:11 49:6 support 50:18 self-assessed 54:2 signing 45:6 supposed 13:16 39:18,24 47:2 statement 44:24, signs 23:18 58:15 19:9 30:23 sell 30:7 35:17 25 47:8,9 62:3 37:13 situation 12:15 swear 10:6 28:7 statements 42:4, 33:21 56:8 seller 41:21 43:25 skipped 6:13 6 **sworn** 10:12 send 25:2 36:12. states 22:4 **slip** 28:21 58:14 18 63:3 **system** 19:21 stayed 44:14 slips 60:21 sends 58:13 **slowed** 12:20 stealing 23:2,3, Т 10,24 29:22 sense 6:22 13:7 **small** 12:9 20:16 stipulation 59:4 talk 10:4 11:16 **smells** 29:9 September 11:22 28:2 33:10,11,13 stomach 38:19 41:17,22 50:5 **sneak** 16:19 58:16 54:3 stop 11:1 23:16 sold 26:3 28:10 talked 26:17 28:1 set 32:25 **story** 15:6 29:19 30:16 33:18 sole 39:13 37:18 **share** 45:20 54:9 straight 31:4 Southern 44:1 talking 55:11 shareholder Street 2:16 50:15,16 56:10,21,23 59:16 11:19 stressed 27:9 **speak** 34:21 tax 2:1 3:10 5:8 shares 48:7 stressing 15:25 specifically 12:16 14:5 22:5, **sheet** 9:23 45:17 47:23 51:14 12 24:8 28:14 stuff 19:14 23:8. 61:22 32:3,18 37:21,23 **short** 18:18 61:19 23 27:1 28:10,25 38:6,7 39:16,20, speculation 29:4, 33:5 short-term 55:16, 22,25 40:2,7,25 8 23 60:11 Subdivision 41:1,7,8,24 42:3, 42:10,16 43:2 spent 17:11 14,15,18 43:9 short-timed 26:1 46:13 45:2,12,17 46:10, square 36:9 **shot** 17:1 12,22 47:1,14,16, submit 9:22 **staff** 41:16 21 48:2,7,15 49:5 **show** 13:4 17:11 submitted 7:1 50:19,24 51:1,3,5, 19:13 28:7 48:3 **stars** 27:10 62:25 7 54:2,7 60:22 **showed** 17:15 61:23 start 11:12 54:17 subsequent 48:9 25:16 54:7 55:23 Taxation 42:10 started 31:23 successful 13:13 **shown** 54:13 33:5 56:12 taxes 9:4 12:6,18, shows 27:24 **starts** 10:24 25 13:2,5,10,15, sued 58:22 28:20,25 51:2 17,25 14:1 15:12 state 2:2,20 3:9 sufficient 49:25 16:24 19:4,5,7,8, 54:10 6:1,7 11:14 14:23 19 20:11 21:3 sic 10:24 11:13, **suing** 31:23 15:1,5 20:19 22:14,21 24:16 16.17 20:3.23 23:11 24:4,5,21 **Summit** 27:17,19 25:9 28:21,24 21:2,23,25 25:8 25:4 29:2,6 30:22 29:15 30:22 supervision 31:22 32:1 34:6 sign 28:22 37:6 31:11,16,20 32:3 37:10 43:18 40:23 42:12,23 49:18 37:19,20 41:3 55:12,15 58:14 42:22,25 46:17,

Index: taxpayer's..willful

18,19,20,21,25 three-quarter 54:7 55:25 update 9:6 48:12,22,23,24 24:8 totaling 50:17 **upset** 20:19 49:23,24,25 51:17 ticked 21:14 52:7,9,13,19 53:7 TRANSCRIPT ٧ 55:8,10,20 56:5, ticks 22:10 2:15 17 57:3,7,22 58:5, time 5:10,23 6:18, treated 20:20 7,11,13,24 59:6,7 valid 55:14 20,25 16:1,21 62:4,8 trouble 30:1 17:17 18:8,18 vendors 45:8 taxpayer's 7:9 20:15 21:3 22:13 true 12:3 28:7 venture 13:13 30:9 32:4 35:5,7 team 11:2 trust 23:19 34:17 38:9 39:2 47:25 verified 20:24 36:10 technical 35:25 48:9.17 49:23 version 23:21 53:5,22,24 60:8 trusted 38:8,12 telephone 42:5 61:9,10 62:12 Village 9:5 20:24 49:13 truth 13:22 14:12 27:18 32:10 time's 60:18 17:18 22:24 telling 13:16 16:2, virtual 63:7 timeframe 40:19 9 17:18,24 21:7 **Tuman** 2:19 22:23 32:24 51:20 52:2 visited 35:13 turn 6:6 35:3 tells 21:14 timeline 48:3 38:22 39:5 46:23 voluntary 46:14 53:12 54:25 59:13 timelines 29:13 ten 16:3 61:11 62:15 W term 23:5 36:1 timely 39:19,21 terminate 41:13 times 22:8 56:13 U wages 50:9 54:12 terminated 41:6. timetable 14:20 **waited** 53:16 **unable** 11:3 17 51:4 tired 18:7 waitress 23:5 unaware 47:20 termination title 8:8 42:16 41:11 waitresses 23:25 uncle 13:11 30:21 titled 56:22 57:15 58:13 terrible 27:23 **walked** 28:13 today 5:17 7:1 33:6 uncle's 13:14 testified 10:13 9:1.2 18:23 38:25 30:14,15 45:8 wanted 16:9 39:21 45:8 51:16 18:12,15 24:11,12 understand 6:21 testimony 31:11 59:14 62:18,21 26:3,4,10 33:2 8:2 32:14 60:6 38:25 39:21 51:16 63:2 35:19,23 36:3 61:7,14 today's 5:9,13,18 text 14:25 37:2,9 56:11,12, understanding 13 57:12 thing 10:22 11:23 **told** 12:25 13:20 6:11 19:10 51:18 warranty 35:23 12:13 20:9,12 15:7,10 17:22 undisputed 21:12.13 22:9 18:1 19:10.18 watch 16:21 41:23 24:14 25:24 27:9 20:10,15 21:2 Wednesday 2:18 32:23 36:24 58:16 23:10,13 24:2,25 unfair 25:24 59:25 25:5,7,15,20 27:3 5:1,9 62:25 unmarked 6:14 28:4 29:20 32:20 thing's 13:1 week 63:6 33:11 34:10 37:20 unnumbered 8:8 things 12:20 55:13 Westlake 20:24 **unpaid** 9:4 24:7 23:16 36:6 61:23 32:10 **Tommy** 26:22 34:2 39:14,17,22 thought 56:5 27:3,4 28:6,8 what'd 21:21 40:1 41:1 52:7 57:5,22 60:22 top 12:4 Whoa 35:10 untruth 14:3 thousand 35:19 total 40:11 50:2 **willful** 18:21 56:3

41:10 46:12 51:8

willfully 19:3 41:2 46:16 50:22

willfulness 46:13 49:21 51:15 53:4

wind 25:13

wine 12:9

witnesses 9:1 23:14

women 23:4,7

wondering 18:17

word 16:20 35:24 37:15 51:24 57:18

words 14:12

work 20:8,10 30:25 33:12,16,20

worked 11:13 13:11 23:1 26:22 30:12

working 18:7

works 26:20

wound 26:3 59:17

Wow 16:18

write 15:20,23 23:13,20 25:7,8 29:3,10

writes 13:12 24:6

writing 30:1 45:6

written 5:18 45:24 58:18 63:3

wrote 14:14,18,20 15:17,18,20 16:18 20:23 21:24 22:11 23:21 24:10,21,23 25:7,14 30:19 35:21 51:21 56:1, 16

Υ

year 8:15

years 13:11,14 15:4 18:7 30:21 37:25 56:17 58:12 59:7

Yelp 27:12,13

yesterday 28:6

Youtube 5:12