## BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN	THE MAT	TER OF	THE	APPEAL	OF,	)			
						)			0.1.1.0.0.0.1
Μ.	KENAN,					)	OTA	NO.	21129291
			A.	PPELLAN'	т.	)			
						)			

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Tuesday, August 30, 2022

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS						
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
3							
4							
5	TN MIE MAMMED OF MIE ADDEAL OF						
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF, ) )						
7	M. KENAN, ) OTA NO. 21129291 )						
8	APPELLANT. )						
9	)						
10							
11							
12							
13							
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,						
15	taken in the State of California, commencing						
16	at 10:49 a.m. and concluding at 10:58 a.m.						
17	on Tuesday, August 30, 2022, reported by						
18	Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and						
19	for the State of California.						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	APPEARANCES:				
2					
3	Administrative Law Judge:	MIKE LE			
4	For the Appellant:	M. KENAN			
5					
6	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD			
7		BRIAN WERKING			
8		DITIN WHITEING			
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	<u>INDEX</u>
2	
3	<u>EXHIBITS</u>
4	(Deposit months Euclidite 3 Tanana manaissala magaissalin
5	(Department's Exhibits A-J were previously received in Judge Le's minutes and orders.)
6	
7	PRESENTATION
8	PAGE
9	
10	By Mr. Kenan 7
11	By Mr. Werking 8
12	
13	CLOSING STATEMENT
14	<u>PAGE</u>
15	By Mr. Kenan 10
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

California;	Tuesday,	August	30,	2022
	10·49 a	m		

2.4

JUDGE LE: We are opening the record in the Appeal of Kenan. This matter is being held before the Office of Tax Appeals. The OTA Case Number is 21129291. Today's date is Tuesday, August 30th, 2022, and the time is 10:49 a.m. This hearing is being conducted electronically with the agreement of the parties.

I am Administrative Law Judge Mike Lee, and I will be hearing and deciding this case pursuant to the procedures of OTA's Small Case Program.

Now, for the parties introductions. For the record, will the parties state their name and who they represent, starting with Respondent Franchise Tax Board.

MR. WERKING: Brian Werking representing Franchise Tax Board.

MR. KENAN: Hi. Murat Kenan representing myself.

JUDGE LE: Thank you, both.

MR. KENAN: Thank you.

JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. Let's move on to my minutes and orders. As discussed with the parties at the prehearing conference on August 3rd, 2022, and notated in my minutes and orders, the issue in this matter is whether Appellant has shown any error in adjustments the IRS made

1 to Appellant's 2017 tax year or demonstrated that FTB 2 erred in proposing additional tax based on the IRS's 3 adjustments. Appellant Mr. Kenan will testify as a witness. 4 5 Respondent's Exhibits A through J were entered into the 6 record in my minutes and orders, and Appellant did not 7 submit an exhibit. This oral hearing will begin with 8 Appellant's presentation and witness testimony for up to 9 ten minutes. 10 Does anyone have any questions before we begin? 11 Respondent Franchise Tax Board, any questions? 12 MR. WERKING: No question from Respondent. 13 JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. Thank you. 14 And, Appellant, any questions? 15 MR. KENAN: No, sir. 16 JUDGE LE: Thank you. 17 In that case, at this time, Mr. Kenan, would you 18 raise your right hand. 19 20 M. KENAN, 2.1 produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by 22 the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified 23 as follows: 2.4

6

Thank you.

JUDGE LE:

25

MR. KENAN: Thank you.

JUDGE LE: You have up to ten minutes for your presentation and testimony starting at 10:51 a.m. Please proceed.

2.1

2.4

## PRESENTATION

MR. KENAN: Yes. This was total of, like -- I owed the IRS around \$5,000. I'm not exactly sure what was the exact amount. And what happened is I was paying it just fine. Everything was okay, and I paid I believe \$4,000 on it, and there's \$900 left. And, unfortunately, I lost my job, and I did have an accident. Currently I'm not working, and all the expenses came up. And, unfortunately, I do not have the funds to pay rest of it and ask for some kind of adjustment from the IRS, and here we are.

JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. Thank you,

Mr. Kenan. Does that conclude your presentation and
witness testimony?

MR. KENAN: Pretty much, yes. And I paid most of the -- whatever I owed to the IRS, and I had very good intention to pay rest of it. Even the IRS sent me some time ago -- long time ago, like, they said, okay, we can take half of it, you know, just pay everything. And I didn't accept it because I did have very good intentions

to pay completely everything to IRS but, unfortunately, 1 things didn't work out the way it was. 2 3 I mean, once I lost my job it was, like, horrible for me and all the expenses piled up and, unfortunately, I 4 5 do not have the funds. I would have paid it. I mean, 6 it's only, like, I think \$900 or something. But, 7 unfortunately, I have to choose between the rent and food 8 or paying the IRS. At this moment I do not have enough 9 funds to pay for it. JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. Thank you, 10 11 Mr. Kenan, for your testimony. 12 MR. KENAN: Thank you. 13 JUDGE LE: Let me turn to Respondent. 14 Respondent, do you have any questions for 15 Mr. Kenan? 16 MR. WERKING: We do not at this time. 17 JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. Thank you. 18 In that case, let's now turn it over to 19 Respondent. 20 You have up to ten minutes, starting at 2.1 10:53 a.m. Please proceed. 22 MR. WERKING: Thank you Judge Le. 23 2.4 PRESENTATION 25 MR. WERKING: The issue in this appeal is whether Appellant has met his burden to show error in a 2017 proposed deficiency assessment as based on federal adjustments to his 2017 tax year account. Appellant does not dispute the federal adjustments or the California application of the federal assessment that result in the 2017 proposed deficiency assessment.

2.1

2.4

The Appellant's contentions relate to his ability to pay the proposed assessment, which is not relevant in determining the Appellant's proper amount of tax due.

Accordingly, Appellant has not met his burden to error in the 2017 proposed deficiency assessment. In this case, the IRS examined Appellant's 2017 return, adjusted his federal tax year account to reflect an early distribution, and assessed additional tax.

Respondent, the Franchise Tax Board, applied the federal adjustment increasing Appellant's taxable income in the amount of the early distribution and proposed additional tax of \$990. A proposed deficiency assessment by Respondent that is based on federal adjustments is presumptively correct, and Appellant bears the burden to show error. A taxpayer must provide uncontradicted credible, competent, and relevant evidence to show that the FTB's determination is incorrect, or it must be upheld.

Appellant's contentions relate to his ability to

pay the proposed deficiency but are not relevant and do
not demonstrate that the proposed assessment is in error.

As such, Appellant has not met his burden to show error in
the 2017 proceed deficiency, and the proposed assessment

Should the OTA uphold the proposed deficiency,
Respondent welcomes Appellant to either submit an
application for an installment agreement or offer and
comprise. Information regarding both have been provided
as attachments to Respondent's opening brief and are also
available on FTB's website by searching for "Installment
Agreement" or searching for "Offer and Compromise."

Thank you, and I'll be happy to answer any questions the OTA may have.

JUDGE LE: Thank you. This is Judge Le.

I do not have any questions at this time. So let's turn it back to Appellant to see if they have any rebuttal statements they would like to make.

Mr. Kenan, you have up to five minutes for your rebuttal.

2.4

should be sustained.

## CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. KENAN: No. Actually, Mr. Brian Werking is saying whatever the law is, it is the law. I'm not against doing anything against to it, and I don't know the

tax laws or anything. If he says that's what it is, 1 2 that's what is. 3 But just, you know, I sent some papers to IRS for taking off some of the penalties and that kind of stuff, 4 5 I mean, I don't have any other but that's all I have. 6 paperwork or anything. Right now currently I only have 7 the papers from IRS for the prehearing. 8 Thank you. This is Judge Le. JUDGE LE: 9 MR. KENAN: Thank you. 10 JUDGE LE: Does this conclude your rebuttal? 11 MR. KENAN: Yes. 12 JUDGE LE: Okay. I do have a question for you, Mr. Kenan. The FTB is saying there was an early 13 14 distribution in 2017. Can you confirm whether or not that 15 happened? 16 MR. KENAN: I do not recall, Your Honor. 17 know is it was, like, total of \$5,000, and I paid \$4,000. 18 And I did have very good intention to continue on rest of 19 it but, unfortunately, certain unexpected things happen. 20 So that's what it is. 21 JUDGE LE: Thank you. This is Judge Le. 22 MR. KENAN: Thank you. 23 JUDGE LE: Okay. At this point I have no further 2.4 questions. So that concludes our hearing. 25 Thank you everyone for coming in today. This

case is submitted on August 30th, 2022, and the record is now closed. I will decide this case later on and send a written opinion of this decision within 100 days. Today's hearing in the Appeal of Kenan is now adjourned. (Proceedings adjourned at 10:58 a.m.) 

## 1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 6 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 6th day 15 of September, 2022. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25