BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF:)
)
SOUL LOUNGE, LLC,) OTA NO. 19075065
)
APPELLANT.)
)

CERTIFIED COPY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Sacramento, California

Wednesday, August 24, 2022

Reported by:

SARAH M. TUMAN, CSR, RPR CSR No. 14463

Job No.: 37125 OTA

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF:)
6	SOUL LOUNGE, LLC,) OTA NO. 19075065
7	APPELLANT.)
8	/
9	
LO	
11	
L2	
13	
L4	
L5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at
L6	400 R Street, Sacramento, California,
L7	commencing at 9:30 a.m. and concluding
L8	at 12:09 p.m. on Wednesday, August 24, 2022,
L9	reported by Sarah M. Tuman, RPR, CSR No. 14463,
20	a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for
21	the State of California.
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ ANDREA LONG
4		
5	Panel Members:	ALJ ANDREW KWEE ALJ JOSHUA ALDRICH
6		ALO OOSIIOA ALDRICII
7		
8	For the Appellant:	BASIL BOUTRIS J. PERKINS
9		
10	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11		DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION
12		JASON PARKER CHRISTOPHER BROOKS
13		RANDY SUAZO
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		IND	E X		
2					
3		EXHI	вітѕ		
4	(Appellant's Exhib	its A-AD we	re received	l at page 8)	
5	(Department's Exhi	bits A-G we	re received	l at page 9)	
6					
7					
8		OPENING S	ratement		
9					PAGE
10	By MR. BOUTRIS				10
11					
12		PRESENTA	ATION		
13					PAGE
14	By MR. BOUTRIS				17
15	By MR. PARKER				76
16					
17	APPELLANT'S				
18	WITNESSES:		CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
19	JASON PERKINS	20			
20					
21		CLOSING A	RGUMENT		
22					PAGE
23	By MR. BOUTRIS				92
24					
25					

1	Sacramento, California; Wednesday, August 24, 2022
2	9:30 a.m.
3	
4	JUDGE LONG: Good morning. I'm Andrea Long, the
5	lead ALJ for this appeal. We are here today for the
6	Appeal of Soul Lounge, OTA Case Number 19075065. Today is
7	Wednesday, August 22nd I'm sorry 24, 2022. And it's
8	approximately 9:30 a.m. We are this hearing is taking
9	place in Sacramento, California.
10	With me today on the panel of judges are Andrew
11	Kwee and Josh Aldrich. As the lead ALJ for this appeal, I
12	will be conducting the proceeding in this matter. But my
13	co-panelists and I are equal participants. We will be
14	reviewing the evidence, asking questions, and reaching a
15	determination in this case.
16	We will begin with the parties stating their
17	names and who they represent for the record. Let's start
18	with CDTFA.
19	MR. PARKER: Jason Parker, Chief of Headquarters
20	Operations Bureau with CDTFA.
21	MR. BROOKS: Christopher Brooks, Tax Counsel
22	Christopher Brooks, Tax Counsel for CDTFA.
23	MR. SUAZO: Randy Suazo, Hearing Representative
24	C CDTFA.
25	JUDGE LONG: And for the Appellants, please

introduce yourselves.

2.4

MR. BOUTRIS: Basil Boutris, Attorney, acting on behalf of Soul Lounge, LLC.

JUDGE LONG: Can you please turn on your mic or maybe scoot in a little closer.

MR. BOUTRIS: Basil Boutris -- thank you.

Basil Boutris appearing for Soul Lounge, LLC, the -- the Appellant.

MR. PERKINS: Jason Perkins, Managing Partner, Soul Lounge, LLC.

JUDGE LONG: Thank you.

The parties have agreed that the issue before today is whether Appellant has shown that adjustments are warranted to the audited understatement of reported taxable sales of food and beverages established by markup; whether Appellant has shown that adjustments are warranted to the audited amount of facility rentals subject to tax; whether Appellant has shown that adjustments are warranted to the audited amount of sales of drink tickets for non-alcoholic beverages subject to tax; whether Appellant has shown that adjustments are warranted to the audited -- audited amount of self-consumed alcoholic beverages subject to tax; and whether respondent properly imposed the negligence penalty.

Appellant submits Exhibits A through AD to be

1 admitted into the record. However, CDTFA has stated that 2 it objects to Appellant's submissions. 3 CDTFA, can you please state for the record your 4 objections to Appellant's exhibits? 5 MR. BROOKS: Good morning. Good morning. This 6 is Christopher Brooks. 7 The Department has objected to Appellant's Exhibits N -- N, O, P, U, and AD. We find these -- we 8 believe these are not relevant because some of these 9 10 involve a -- a separate legal entity rather than the 11 entity that's been audited. 12 JUDGE LONG: Okay. We will take note of your 13 objections. We will overrule it and admit those into 14 evidence. However, the panel will give each exhibit the 15 appropriate weight based on the relevance and probative 16 value. I'll also remind Appellants that we're here to 17 18 discuss the five issues that we just -- that I mentioned 19 earlier. And so please focus your arguments and relate 20 your exhibits to those arguments. 21 Any other objections by CDTFA? 22 MR. PARKER: No further objections. Thank you. 23 Just to clarify, the objections JUDGE LONG: 24 regarding blank pages -- that's no longer at issue?

MR. BROOKS: We didn't want -- the Department

25

1	didn't know what blank pages that we didn't know it was
2	going to appear. We couldn't establish what blank pages
3	were going to be quote/unquote why they were there,
4	when they could have been just not included.
5	JUDGE LONG: I I if you wanted to clarify,
6	Mr. Boutris?
7	MR. BOUTRIS: The the blank pages were were
8	just a mistake when I put the exhibits together. And then
9	I created them. And I had to take those out because they
10	were not relevant to the case.
11	So I didn't want to have to recopy everything and
12	go through that hassle. So I just said blank pages
13	it's just a numbering issue, really.
14	JUDGE LONG: I understand. Thank you.
15	So we will exhibit all of I'm sorry admit
16	all of Appellant's Exhibits A through AD into the record.
17	(Appellant's Exhibit Nos. A-AD were received in
18	evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)
19	JUDGE LONG: CDTFA submits Exhibits A through G
20	to be admitted. And again, Appellants have stated that it
21	objects to CDTFA's submissions.
22	And Mr. Boutris, can you please state for the
23	record your objections to CDTFA's exhibits?
24	MR. BOUTRIS: Well, my objections really go to
25	the weight to be given to some of the evidence.

We don't have their auditor here, today, as a witness; they have no witnesses. We don't have anybody from any of these vendors here to authenticate any of their vendor survey numbers that they're relying on.

They didn't provide us with some of these surveys at any time in the process until these exhibits were

2.4

They didn't provide us with some of these surveys at any time in the process until these exhibits were produced. And we -- we have concerns about the relevance; authentication; and, essentially, double hearsay going on here.

JUDGE LONG: So I'll note, under the OTA Rules For Tax Appeals, under our Regulation Section 30214(f), rules relating to evidence contained in the California Evidence Code don't apply to our proceedings before OTA.

But I -- as I mentioned earlier -- that OTA kind of -- we rely on the Evidence Code when evaluating the weight. So we will give it the proper weight that we -- we deem fit.

So we will be admitting all of CDTFA's exhibits. Exhibits A through G will be admitted.

(Department's Exhibit Nos. A-G were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

JUDGE LONG: All right. So I think we are ready to begin the parties' presentations.

Appellants, you will have ten minutes to make your opening statements. And then we will move on to

1 Mr. Perkins' testimony. But again, before he begins, we 2. will swear him in. But we will start with your opening 3 statements. 4 Please begin, when you're ready. 5 6

OPENING STATEMENT

MR. BOUTRIS: Thank you so much, Judge Long.

Again, my name is Basil Boutris. I've been a specialist tax attorney for 35 years. I teach at Golden Gate University in the LLM Program as an adjunct professor. I've had a number of opportunities to work towards policy issues with the -- with the State of California.

JUDGE LONG: Mr. Boutris, I'm sorry. Can you speak more directly into the mic?

> MR. BOUTRIS: Sorry.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

And in particular, I spent many years working for the Executive Committee of the State Board Tax Section. And I just want to say, this is my first appearance before the OTA.

And one of our biggest efforts during my time on the Executive Committee was to get an OTA-type of institution established for the State of California. Because it always felt in -- in the private bar that -that the -- the mechanisms set up with the BOE and the

political appointees were -- were not truly an objective way to have an appeal -- to have an effective appeal mechanism in California.

2.4

So I just wanted to thank you for, you know, being -- offering your services for the OTA. And -- and I'm so glad to see that the OTA is up and functioning. And it's my first opportunity to be before you. So I'm honored to be here.

So let me just start by saying I think there were a lot of misunderstandings between the auditor and the taxpayer during the course of -- of this particular audit. I don't think that the CDTFA auditor ever really understood what this business was.

He thought it was a bar that was open from 8:00 a.m. until 2:00 a.m. every day. And that's not at all what it is. It's -- it's a music venue in San Francisco. Doors open at 8:00 p.m. Music starts at 9:00 p.m. Everybody leaves by 11:30 p.m. It's a very specific type of business. It is not just a bar.

And that -- I think that misunderstanding is -you will see, is perpetuated throughout this audit.

Because then, what you have going on here is that
so-called alcohol purchases are then extrapolated to these
huge numbers that absolutely make no sense. And

Mr. Perkins will -- will testify as to this.

But just looking at the numbers extrapolated by the CDTFA, they -- they make no sense. If you look at the forest for the trees, there's absolutely no way that this business is generating the amount of liquor sales that are being attributed to it by the CDTFA.

And again, I think that's in part because of their misunderstanding about what kind of operation this is.

And the other thing here is that they're treating 2012 as -- as a full year. And this business didn't start at the beginning of 2012. Mr. Perkins didn't acquire this business until into the year. And again, he will testify as to that.

The -- the biggest point of misunderstanding here is that Mr. Perkins doesn't just operate the Soul Lounge. He was operating five or six other venues.

MR. PERKINS: Nine.

MR. BOUTRIS: Nine other venues -- I stand corrected -- nine other venues at this period in his life. And these were all music-oriented-type venues. And they were in San Francisco and the East Bay.

And because of the economies of scale, what would happen is that the -- the businesses had a central warehouse where the liquor was brought. And then from there, the liquor would be dispersed out to the various

venues.

And what happened here is that the auditor treated all liquor coming into the warehouse as being liquor solely and exclusively used by Soul Lounge.

And that is why, for example, in the exhibits, we have a tax return of PEG Oakland. That was one of the venues that was doing far more revenue generation and used a considerable amount of the -- the liquor and alcohol in its business and is directly relevant to this case.

But the CDTFA, all along, ignored that and just treated it as though it was one entity. And somehow conflated that because some of the invoices went to the address of Soul Lounge -- that somehow Soul Lounge was receiving a hundred percent of those liquor shipments.

And that -- that's just not the case here. So we will be -- Mr. Perkins will be testifying as to that erroneous assumption of the CDTFA.

The other part to this is that -- again, because it's a music venue, a good portion of its revenues are generated, actually, by ticket sales to -- to music events and by rental of the venue to outside parties.

So if somebody wants to have an engagement party or a big birthday party or, you know, something like that, they -- they will pay Soul Lounge a fee for the use of the facility. And Soul Lounge was not collecting sales tax on

those transactions.

12.

Whether or not that was specifically correct is a -- is at issue, you know, before you today. But -- but the point being is that -- and -- and is that Mr. Perkins believed that -- it was advised that -- that rentals of the venue were not subject to sales tax and that he didn't -- he shouldn't collect it. And he didn't collect it.

And then as to ticket sales, well, those were done through a third-party operation, Ticketfly. And we will, again, get into that in the course of reviewing the exhibits here.

But the -- fundamentally, at the end of the day, the big -- the big adjustment here has to do with the fact that the -- the auditor here treated all alcohol purchases as belonging to Soul Lounge, when that simply was not the case, and then extrapolated a huge markup on top of that fallacious assumption.

And that's why we get -- we get projected taxable sales in -- in the audit report that have no -- no basis in reality. They don't even make sense if you were to look at the number of hours that the -- that the club was open.

You know, I think that Jason told me it would require a bartender to serve, like, ten drinks in one

minute. You know, it's just not -- it's just not feasible based on -- on the numbers that were extrapolated from -- from the false assumptions here.

2.4

And -- and as to the issue of the venue sales, there may have been mistakes made there. I think that my read of the regulations here is that -- is that -- to the extent that a caterer, for example, provides any labor -- like a security guard or a waiter or a bartender -- or provides plates and silverware and flowers and such -- that -- that that does make a rental of a facility subject to sales tax on the entire amount.

And so there may have been mistakes in that regard. In some cases, the venue was simply rented to the lessee. The lessee would handle everything. Okay? So there was no involvement on the part of any Soul Lounge personnel. But on other occasions, there was involvement by Soul Lounge personnel.

And -- and, you know, that's just a -- a misunderstanding on -- on the part of Mr. Perkins. And -- and he -- he -- he acknowledges that -- or will acknowledge that.

And -- and -- but -- but I just want to point out to -- to the Judges that, you know, it was not done with malice or ill intent. He -- he was under the understanding that he did not need to collect sales tax on

1 those amounts; so he did not collect sales tax on those 2 rental venue charges. 3 And if he was wrong, you know, it wasn't -- it 4 wasn't due to negligence. He was seeking the advice of his CPA and -- and tax lawyer. And they advised him that 5 a rental venue was not subject to -- to sales tax. 6 7 I don't think maybe they understood some of the 8 intricacies of the regulations the way, maybe, I do or you 9 do. 10 So I think we're ready to segue to the witness. I -- my feeling is rather than just letting the witness 11 give dialogue -- and I ask for your feedback on this --12 maybe I could sort of face him with questions but not do 13 14 it in sort of the true sense that I would in a trial 15 court. 16 Is that -- is that acceptable? 17 JUDGE LONG: Yes, that's fine. 18 MR. BOUTRIS: Okay. 19 JUDGE LONG: So are we ready for Mr. Perkins' 20 testimony? 21 MR. BOUTRIS: We're ready to start. 22 JUDGE LONG: Mr. Perkins, can you please raise 23 your right hand? 2.4 Sorry. My mic was not on. 25 Please raise your right-hand.

JASON PERKINS,

called as a witness on behalf of the Appellant, having first been duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

7 JUDGE LONG: Great. You may begin.

2.4

PRESENTATION

THE WITNESS: Well, first of all, I'd -- I'd like to thank the Judges because it's been about six years since I've been trying to get to talk to someone in person about this issue. So this is a relief in a lot of ways.

I really believe, like, this case comes down to some basic misunderstandings. And in 2012, when my business partners and I decided to open up an entertainment company, we -- we opened a bunch of venues at the same time. And in the process, we also opened up a cafe, and we had our offices.

So when the auditor came -- and this is really the heart of what -- what happened -- is when Mr. Jalal [sic] came to our offices, which is two buildings over from Brick & Mortar -- from Soul Lounge. And he was like, "Well, is this -- this is your office?"

And we're like, "Yes. This is where the liquor

comes in for all the businesses."

And he was like -- and he was confused. And he thought that the cafe that's next-door was also -- was Soul Lounge.

I was like, "No. This cafe is Crafty Fox. This is a separate business. And you have to walk around the corner to go to Brick & Mortar, the -- the music venue."

And I understand if someone -- you don't really understand the flow of what we're doing. It's -- it's -- to him, I think it was one large business. When it was, actually, separate -- there's separate street numbers, separate businesses, separate LLCs with separate business intents.

And when we tried to explain to him that we made a deal with our liquor distributors, you know, because we were going for central distribution to get a better discount.

You know, obviously, I think Fred Smith came up with the idea. It certainly wasn't me.

And -- we just took it all in because they gave us a better discount. And then we would distribute it but also keep control of our inventory that way.

And at that time, we had Place Pigalle, which is also listed -- even on the invoices that they are using in the CDTFA's, you know, case against us -- it says "Place

1 Pigalle" right on them, which is a bar, like, a wine bar 2 that we had on K Street, which is, like, six blocks away, 3 you know. 4 And we were just trying to and -- and we got --5 we had an issue with it at that time. He said, "Well -well, it's not legal." 6 7 And then we talked to them, like, no. When you go to the ABC and explain to them what you're doing, 8 9 you're allowed to central distribute. That's why Safeway 10 does it; that's why most people who have multiple 11 locations do it. 12 And I think that confusion just carried through. 13 And we were never able to explain ourselves clearly to the 14 CDTFA and what was going on in this location -- and what 15 was separate about the separate businesses and the separate warehouse that was used also for our businesses 16 17 in the East Bay and the Sierras, you know, and in -- in 18 Contra Costa County. 19 And it just wasn't -- we never had that dialogue. 20 And I think, unfortunately, that fundamental, basic 21 misunderstanding has carried through this entire process.

So I appreciate you guys taking the time to, at least, give me the chance to explain what happened.

24 ///

22

23

25 / ///

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. BOUTRIS:

Q So -- so Jason let me -- let me just try to direct you here.

Can you describe the nature of the Soul Lounge business?

A The Soul Lounge, when we bought it -- the Soul -- Soul Lounge -- I bought Soul Lounge. It was a -- like, a supper club. And we bought -- we -- when we bought it off the old owner, we turned it into a straight music venue.

We pulled out all the booths and everything. And we put in a sound system and a stage. And -- and we did -- for the first two years, we did try to run some food service.

And then we gave up. And we gave it to a third-party caterer. So we didn't have food service after 2013. And I even gave contracts showing our catering contracts to the CDTFA on this point.

And -- but we were -- we're a music venue, you know. We were -- doors open at 7:00 or 8:00, depending on the time of week, band starts an hour later, two-and-a-half-hour show, and that's it.

And so we have -- it's the -- the venue was 110 people seated. And then we would -- we would -- much to the fire department's chagrin -- were not happy -- we

would probably push it, on shows that were open seating, you know, with no seating -- we would push it to 200, 240.

2.4

And that's -- and we probably did 140, 150 shows a year, which is a lot for a music venue, actually. It was probably the most of any of our music venues -- the most days. We were probably open more than most.

Q Jason, when did you actually acquire Soul Lounge and -- and start operating it?

A Well, we acquired it in 2011. We sort of ran it jointly with the old ownership as we sort of were absorbing all these new businesses and new employees.

And then we closed the business down and did a complete remodel over Christmas 2011 to about March 20th of 2012. And then we reopened as Brick & Mortar.

Q Can you talk about your -- your other venues? What -- what they were? And where they were located?

A I mean -- so on the corner, where my partner and I -- and we -- we -- we still operate, to this day, and own the Crafty Fox, which is on the corner -- which is 1700 Mission.

And then 1710 Mission is where Brick & Mortar was -- Soul Lounge. And then 11 Duboce, which is just around the corner, is where our office was, where it also had the liquor warehouse.

So to us, it made perfect sense. But we were

doing it every day. I think for someone who -- who showed up, and it -- it looked -- just, like, they weren't sure if it was one complete building or three different separate buildings, which it was.

And at the time, we just -- we just knew from our past experiences that we -- we had to operate multiple venues to be successful in the Bay Area. It's a competitive market.

And to be able to book bands over other clubs, we -- where we were able to give them, say, "Hey. You can play in Oakland. You can play in San Francisco. And you can play in the Sierras." And we gave them three dates, which gave us a huge competitive advantage over other clubs, who can only offer them one date in San Francisco.

So we went in with a plan. We probably never worked so hard in our lives. And, you know, we adjusted along the way.

I -- I think, at the time, we weren't -- we didn't quite understand about the -- about the way that -- that the CDTFA would look -- or the BOE, as it is at the time -- would look at the central warehousing, you know.

And it was something that, you know -- that was sold to us by our vendor -- vendors loved it because they can just drop up one giant truck of liquor. And so they were very enthusiastic and sold us on this -- this idea.

1 And I think we could have probably done a better 2 job explaining. I did -- I did have -- I did have 3 Southern Wine and Spirits -- which by the end of the turn, 4 became our biggest vendor -- talked with the -- with the 5 BOE at the time and explained what we were doing. And I think it was just -- again, it was -- it's 6 7 not an easy -- it was never a smooth communicative process. And I think that's why we're here today. 8 MR. BOUTRIS: Point of procedure, in terms of 9 exhibits, do the Judges have the exhibits in front of 10 11 them? 12 JUDGE LONG: Yes. 13 MR. BOUTRIS: Okay. So I can point to my 14 exhibits and pages within the exhibits, and everyone will 15 be on point? 16 JUDGE LONG: Yes. 17 MR. BOUTRIS: Okay. 18 BY MR. BOUTRIS: 19 So I wanted to jump into our exhibits, 0 20 Mr. Perkins, on page 1. 21 Α Yes. 22 There is a tax return here. 0 23 Can you identify what that is? 2.4 Yes. It's our amended tax return for 2012. Α 25 And -- and on line -- line 2 of that tax return, O

1 it says, "cost of goods sold --" 2 JUDGE LONG: Mr. Boutris, can you speak into the 3 mic, please? 4 MR. BOUTRIS: Sorry. 5 JUDGE LONG: Thank you. I'll just get it closer. 6 MR. BOUTRIS: I'm going 7 to put it closer. BY MR. BOUTRIS: 8 On -- on -- on the front of the ten form -- Form 9 0 10 1065, line 2, "Cost of Goods Sold". 11 Do you see that, Mr. Perkins? \$343,567? 12 I think that's line 3. Cost of Goods is number Α 13 2 -- \$299,285. 14 Thank you. Thank you. Thank you. Q 15 Α Sure. I don't have my bifocals on. 16 Q 17 Now, can you flip to page 15? 18 Yes. Α 19 You see -- you see the section breaking down the Q 20 cost of goods sold from form line -- from Form 1125, line 21 5? 22 Α Yes, I do. 23 And what does it say the "alcohol purchases" 0 2.4 were? 25 \$87,289. Α

1	Q And and and you believe that to be the
2	correct amount of alcohol purchases?
3	A Absolutely. On our amended return, I hired an
4	outside accountant to come in. And we redid all the
5	forms, which we turned over to our tax attorney, Basil.
6	And we are a hundred percent confident in that
7	number.
8	Q And if you could, now, flip to the 2012 Profit
9	and Loss Statement that's on page 80.
10	A Page 80?
11	Q Yes.
12	A Okay. I'm here.
13	Q It showed do you see on the second line under
14	"Gross Receipts," it says, "Alcohol Sales, two"
15	A \$261,865.86.
16	Q And then correct. And then it says it
17	says, "Alcohol Sales, \$261-".
18	And then underneath, it says, "Cost of Goods
19	Sold, \$87,288"?
20	A Sixty-two, yes.
21	Q And does that match your reported amount on your
22	tax return?
23	A Yes, on our amended on our amended return.
24	And which we we did prepare with much more extreme
25	thoroughness as it was as we knew we were under the

1 audit. 2 And we knew that we had to correct some mistakes 3 that were made with our first accountant, who -- who was 4 trying to -- a small accounting firm trying to take in 5 nine different venues at the same time. And so I -- I'm a hundred percent confident in 6 those numbers. 7 So let's flip, now, to page 16. This is the 2003 8 9 U.S. return of partnership income for Soul Lounge. Again, 10 I would ask you to look at the cost of goods sold amount, 11 there. 12 What is that, Mr. Perkins? 13 \$373,095. Α 14 And then flip to the -- to the summary on page 0 15 30. Again, the summary reflects the breakdown of the 16 17 cost of goods sold alcohol purchases as \$112,814? 18 Do you believe that's a correct number? 19 Yes. I'm -- on the amended return, I have a Α 20 hundred percent confidence in that number. 21 0 Okay. Can we now flip to the 2013 Profit and 22 Loss Statement that was provided to the CDTFA? 23 This is going to be on page 82. 2.4 Okay. I'm -- I'm here at this page. Α 25 So you see where it says, "Cost of Goods Sold, 0

1	\$112,814	. 24"?
2	А	Yes, I do.
3	Q	And and does that conform to the amount shown
4	on the fe	ederal income tax return?
5	А	Yes, it does.
6	Q	Let me jump to 2004 amended federal return on
7	page 31.	
8	А	Yes. And cost of goods sold on line 2 is
9	\$285,299	•
10	Q	Okay. And if you flip to page 45, the last page
11	of the re	eturn, which shows the breakdown of the cost of
12	goods so	ld, it shows alcohol purchases of \$90,787.
13		Do you believe that's an accurate number?
14	А	Yes, I do. I'm I'm a hundred percent
15	confidenc	ce [sic] on the number.
16	Q	Let's jump to the Profit and Loss Statement on
17	page 84.	This is the P&L for Soul Lounge for tax year
18	calendar	year 2014. It shows cost of goods sold at
19	\$90,786.	50.
20		Do you see that?
21	А	Yes, I do. And that's an accurate number.
22	Q	And does that reconcile with the federal income
23	tax retu	rn?
24	А	Yes, it does.
25		And I would like to tell the Judges that we used

a -- a tax preparer in the beginning, when we first started -- probably because they were less expensive and wouldn't cost us dearly.

And so when we started working with a tax attorney, we hired also a proper tax, you know, firm to -- to handle and help us do our accounting, which is why our amended returns were all accepted by -- by the IRS.

Q Mr. Perkins, if you could turn to page 46 of the exhibits. This is a document identified as a "Transaction List By Date" covering the tax period January 2012 to December 2014.

Do you see that, Mr. Perkins?

A Yes, I do.

2.4

- Q And what -- what is this -- what is this document? If you could just identify it for -- for the Judges.
- A This is a -- a -- it's a transaction -- it's just a transactional list printout from our accounting system that shows a, you know -- a -- an event-by-event of -- of invoicing, expensing, and payments coming into Soul Lounge for those three years.
- Q So take, for example, this entry, here, on 1/18/2012. It's about one -- again, we're on page 46, and it's -- it's the sixth entry down -- it says, "1/18/2012 Expense, Morris Distributing, Supplies and Materials."

1		Maybe that's not the best one to do it. That
2	shows as	a credit. Let's go to further down.
3		1/31/2012 there is an expense for Matagrano.
4	It's	it's about 12 line items down.
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	Do you see that?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	And then it says, "Supplies and Materials."
9		Just trying to so so is that maybe, I
10	don't un	derstand the document.
11		Is that reflecting, then, a a payment that was
12	made to	Matagrano, as far as you can tell?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. And and what is "Matagrano"?
15	A	Matagrano is a beer distributor in San Francisco.
16	Q	Okay. So that would have been the purchase of
17	beer by	Soul Lounge?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	And and do you believe this transaction list
20	covering	the entire audit period is is an accurate
21	reflecti	on of the transactions performed by Soul Lounge?
22	A	Yes, I do.
23	Q	Let's jump over to page 53 of our exhibits.
24		Now, I have notated this as "Rental Sales" here.
25		Can you describe exactly what this is,

Mr. Perkins?

2.4

A This is -- is -- many times, when you work with either promoters or a private party person or a nonprofit or a school -- like, my partner and I, like -- are -- we used to do, you know -- like, the school fundraisers every year. Or, like, we had, like, a music promoter who wanted to use the club on a day, and we would rent it out.

And this is a reflection of the venue rentals.

- Q And -- and was the income from these rental sales reported on your federal income tax return?
 - A Yes, they were.
- Q And to your knowledge, was it reported on your sales and use tax returns?
 - A I do believe so, yes.
- Q Mr. Perkins, if we could turn to page 57, I have written on here printouts from Ticketfly Ticketing Services.

Can you -- can you provide to -- the Judges with an explanation of what this represents?

A This is -- this represents, like, a nightly total of advanced ticket sales from Ticketfly, which was our ticketing vendor at the time.

And as Brick & Mortar -- Brick & Mortar was more of a local music venue rather than something like the Fox or the Sacramento Auditorium. Probably, our advanced

sales are much lower than, let's say, our bigger venues,
which would have a much higher percentage of advanced
sales.

So that's why it shows advance \$20 or \$0. But on local shows, people just don't buy advanced tickets; they just show up at the door.

Q And -- and Ticketfly is an independent business, is it not?

A Yes.

Q So how does it work? The -- the customers who want to buy tickets ahead of time call Ticketfly to get advanced tickets?

A Well, the way it works with our venue, and every venue I know, is -- is you make a, you know -- you make a -- an agreement with a ticketing service. You -- you -- on your website, when they see the list of the events, they click on it. And it actually takes you to the vendor's website.

So like, if you go to Brick & Mortar's website, and you click on, "I want to see this show," it'll take you, then -- then you go to the Ticketfly's website or Ticketmaster's website for the closeout of the sale.

And that's the way, you know, the Fox Theater does it. That's the way, you know, the Oakland Coliseum does it as well. All -- all venues do the same in a

1 similar manner. 2 0 And -- and -- let me see if I understand you. Do you then get a percentage of the advanced ticket sales? 3 4 How does -- how does the split work between you 5 and Ticketfly? We get -- basically, we get the -- we get the 6 Α 7 ticket sales. And they get the ticket fee, which is usually -- sometimes you get something from the ticket 8 9 fee, like a percentage. 10 But in the beginning, we did not know that. 11 we didn't get it. We were not aware that that -- that was 12 something that was shareable. 13 And so basically, Ticketfly is doing this as a 14 service so they can charge you those ticket -- ticket 15 fees. Give me an idea. What is the ticket price in 16 relation to the ticket service fee? 17 18 I mean, generally, these days, I would say it's Α 19 running 20 -- 20 percent, almost. I mean, it's pretty 20 high. 21 And was the revenue generated from the ticket 22 sales -- was that reported on your federal income tax 23 return?

the -- the funds that we received from Ticketfly on a

On -- what you're seeing on this list, is

2.4

25

Α

Yes.

1	per-show basis.
2	Q Okay. These numbers represent what Ticketfly
3	actually paid you?
4	A Paid us without these do not reflect the
5	amount they collected; it reflects what they paid us.
6	Q And and to your knowledge, were you required
7	to collect sales tax on any of these ticket sales?
8	A There's no sales tax on advanced tickets.
9	(Interruption by reporter to resolve a minor
10	technical difficulty)
11	BY MR. BOUTRIS:
12	Q Okay. Mr. Perkins, I want to jump over to the
13	CDTFA's audit report. This starts on page 590 of the
14	exhibit.
15	So there's a lot of numbers here. And I wouldn't
16	expect you, necessarily, to understand these tables. But,
17	you know, I'm a tax attorney. I'm able to parse it out
18	and and figure out what assumptions are being made to
19	generate which numbers here.
20	If you turn to page 594, you will see that the
21	CDTFA is asserting that you underreported taxable sales of
22	food and alcoholic beverage purchases by \$1.966 million.
23	Do you see that?
24	A I I did see that. It's just not physically
25	possible. It's just not physical possible for two

bartenders to serve that kind of volume.

I mean, I'm -- I'm fairly good knowing the size of clubs having -- Brick & Mortar was our smallest club.

And even our largest club, which was three and a half times the size of that, did not do that kind of business.

Q So Mr. Perkins, if you could -- and Judges, if you could have -- move to page 596. These are sort of the underpinnings of how the CDTFA came up with some of its figures.

Okay. So -- so first of all, I just want to direct you to audited food sales, which is line 46 of page 596 here. And the CDTFA is claiming that Soul Lounge had \$26,019 of audited food sales in 2014.

Is that -- is that possible?

A No, it's not possible because we discontinued food sales after 2013. And we rented our kitchen to a -- to a third-party caterer.

And the person handling the -- and I don't want to mis-say the name -- the person who was handling the audit that was provided the information for the -- the -- our kitchen catering and the copy of the contract and their contact information.

- Q Your auditor was Mr. Mustafa Jalid; is that right?
 - A I think by this time when they added this, this

was a different auditor. 1 2 0 Okay. I think there was at least three different 3 Α 4 auditors. 5 The -- the original auditor, who you were dealing 0 with was Mustafa -- Mustafa Jalid? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 Now, I want you to flip over to page 601. 9 going to have you turn it sideways. 10 Α I see it. 11 Okay. So this is the basis for how the CDTFA 0 12 determined what your alcohol purchases were. 13 Now, in 2013, the CDTFA is claiming that Soul Lounge had a total of, by my computations, \$17,159 of beer 14 15 purchases from DBI. Did Soul Lounge actually use purchases of beer 16 17 from DBI? 18 Α We did not use DBI at Soul Lounge. And as the 19 BOE's previous audit and the invoices themselves show --20 it says "New Pigalle" right on the invoices. And they 21 used those same invoices, again, when they audited Place 22 Pigalle. 23 Moving along, Column C says "Southern Wine 0 24 and Spirits" and alleges that, based on my summary -- that 25 in 2013, Soul Lounge purchased \$141,123 of liquor from

1 Southern Wine and Spirits. 2 Is -- is that a correct statement? 3 I -- I -- I'm sorry. Α What? 4 So -- so -- so if you look at --Q 5 Α 140? -- Column C there, the CDTFA is claiming that in 6 2013 -- that this -- these four quarters -- so there's 7 four quarters of purchases listed here. 8 9 Do you see the --10 Α Yes. \$31,429 --11 0 12 Α Yes. 13 -- \$38,043, \$34,539, and \$37,112? O 14 They're claiming that all that alcohol was 15 purchased and used in Soul Lounge. 16 Is -- is that --17 Α No. Is that -- is that true? 18 Q 19 That's absolutely not just -- it's not true, and Α 20 it's not possible. That means we would be, just from 21 Southern Wine & Spirits -- would have been purchasing over 22 a thousand dollars a night just for Brick & Mortar. 23 It's just -- and then, when I -- and this is 24 another thing -- is it says it very clearly on the Southern invoices that the -- that the liquor is not going 25

to Soul Lounge. It says it's going to 11 Duboce, not 1710 Mission.

2.

2.4

It says it right there on the -- on the thing.

And that's part of the continual miscommunication that we kept having with the auditor -- is -- is we would explain,

"Look, this is where --"

It's not even -- excuse me. It's two buildings over. It's two buildings over. And -- and this is -- and we're using this as our liquor storehouse.

It's -- it's not even -- it didn't even get delivered to Brick & Mortar.

Q So if you jump over to "Column I" here on the audit report, it looks like the -- that there was liquor purchased from Young's Market Company in 2013.

\$25,542 for the first quarter, \$12,273 for the second quarter, \$23,357 for the third quarter, and \$4,494 for the fourth quarter.

Do you believe that accurately reflects the liquor purchases by Soul Lounge in 2013?

A No. It's the same -- it's the same -- we're at the same -- exactly the same issues that the Southern Wine & Spirits survey has -- is they were not delivered to the Soul Lounge. They were delivered to our central liquor warehouse, which it says right there.

And we used it for all of our venues and -- and

just like all the -- all the venues. And as we can -- and it's reflected in the tax returns on our -- and in the invoices and the audits of our other bars, you can see all the same invoices.

Q Let's jump over to 2014 on that same page.

According to the CDTFA, in 2014, Soul Lounge purchased and sold alcohol -- purchased alcohol from DBI, beer specifically -- \$4,587, \$4,453, \$2,269.

Is -- is that a true statement? That Soul Lounge acquired and sold beer from DBI?

A No.

2.4

- O -- in 2014?
- A The -- no. I believe even -- it says it right on the invoices that it was going to New Pigalle.
- Q Okay. Jumping over to Southern Wine & Spirits, this is "Column C" here. This is for the fourth -- this is for 2014, rather.

We have \$51,417 purchases in the first quarter, \$67,899 in the second, \$65,595 in the third, \$59,218 in the fourth. Now, I added those up to be \$244,129.

Do you believe it's true that -- that those -- all of those liquor purchases that the CDTFA listed there were purchased by Soul Lounge and sold by Soul Lounge in 2014?

A No. It -- it -- it -- they clearly were not.

1	mla
1	The reason why our business also increased was
2	Southern Wine & Spirits in in the last years is
3	because they wanted, really, the the biggest venue in
4	the Bay Area at the time was the New Parish, which we
5	owned, which was in Downtown Oakland. And so they gave us
6	a great deal so they could be in the New Parish.
7	And and those numbers reflect the total sales
8	from Southern Wine & Spirits to all of our venues.
9	Q Okay. So if we could jump for a moment based
10	on what you just said, Mr. Perkins, if you could jump to
11	page 528 of Appellant's exhibits.
12	A Yes, I see it.
13	Q Could you identify what this document is?
14	A This is our the partnership return for
15	PEG Oakland, LLC, which was the LLC's name for the New
16	Parish in Oakland.
17	Q Okay. If you could flip to the front page of
18	that tax return, which I believe is on
19	A 555?
20	Q 535?
21	A No, 555.
22	Yes.
23	Q So again, what is PEG Oakland, LLC? What kind of
24	a venue is it? And where is it located?
25	A PEG Oakland, LLC, is the New Parish, which is

1 located Downtown Oakland. And it's a 650-person venue. 2 And it -- it was definitely our busiest venue. It was 3 probably the busiest venue in the Bay Area. Now, this return indicates that your gross 4 0 5 receipts from this venue were \$2,363,476. Do -- do you believe that's accurate? 6 7 Α Yes, I do. And flipping back to the 2014 return for Soul 8 Q 9 Lounge, which is on page 31. 10 Α Yes. The gross receipts from Soul Lounge were \$537,517 11 0 12 in 2014. 13 Α Yes. 14 Is that right? Q 15 Α That's correct. Okay. So effectively, New Parish is generating 16 17 four or five times more revenue than Soul Lounge; is that 18 right? 19 Α I mean, and being, also -- knowing the Bay 20 Area scene, I think they did more business than any club 21 of its size in the Bay Area. Now, looking at cost of goods sold here, which is 22 23 on line 2, this \$348,451 -- do you believe that represents 2.4 alcohol purchases of -- by New Parish? 25

So I'm on page 535.

1 Α Sorry. 2 0 Sorry. 3 Α Sorry. I've got -- excuse me. 4 So line 2 is cost of goods sold? Q 5 Yes, cost of goods sold. Yes, I do believe Α 6 that's right. 7 And do you believe that a number of -- or that 0 that number represents, in -- in -- in large part, 8 9 purchases of liquor from Southern Wine & Spirits? 10 Α I think it's Southern Wine & Spirits and beer. mean, we definitely sold more of a -- it's more of a 11 liquor crowd than, you know -- it's just the type of music 12 13 and stuff -- more liquor-oriented than our other venues. 14 So -- so is it your contention that the liquor 0 15 from Southern Wine & Spirits that was purchased was primarily utilized for New Parish Oakland venue as opposed 16 17 to -- to the Soul Lounge venue? I -- I -- I definitely think so. And I believe 18 19 that we even had correspondence with Southern Wine & 20 Spirits that confirms that. 21 Q Okay. Let's jump to that. 22 If you could turn to page 672. Tell me when you 23 get to -- tell me when you get to 672. 2.4 Α Okay. Yes, I'm there. 25 Can you -- it looks like this is an email from Q

you or between you and -- and Arwen Johnson.

Who is Arwen Johnson?

A She was the district manager -- she was in charge of, like, sales for San Francisco and Oakland for Southern Wine & Spirits.

Q And can you explain what -- what this email is about?

A The email is about, when there's a process with the ABC -- and, again, I'm not -- I can't tell you details. I forget because it was ten -- eight years ago. But you have to, like -- when you're warehousing your liquor, you have to let the ABC know.

And we were trying to work this out between us and them. And also, I was asking, you know -- I knew that I had put Arwen in touch with the auditor to explain how we were doing our liquor sales. And that's what this is about.

We had -- we were running festivals, also, up in the Sierras. So we were trucking everything up there, too, as well.

And that was just, you know -- I -- I -- it was just a process that, you know -- that became -- there were just forms that we had to figure -- figure out, fill out, and go and present to the ABC and have the ABC come down and inspect our -- our liquor warehouse. It was a

1 complicated process. 2 But I want to get to the central point here. And 3 that is you -- that -- that you -- when I say "you," I 4 mean Soul Lounge and related entities -- were using the 5 warehouse on Duboce to receive all of the liquor for the various businesses; is that right? 6 7 Α Correct. And Southern Wine & Spirits was well aware of 8 0 9 that? 10 Α Very aware. 11 0 And, again, what was the reason for that 12 arrangement? 13 Α I believe our discount went to, like, an 14 additional 11 percent when we centralized all of our 15 liquors that, you know, we were purchasing. So some fairly significant savings for the 16 17 company? 18 Α Absolutely. I mean, that's a -- that's a lot of 19 dollars. 20 If you could now turn to page 695. Again, these Q 21 are emails between you and -- and Arwen at Southern Wine & 22 Spirits. 23 Can you, again, describe exactly what -- what's 2.4 going on here? What -- what this communication is about?

This was -- it was -- it was the same issue.

25

Α

The first time, the issue was with the ABC about the -- about the central warehousing. Because the ABC has a particular process.

And then -- and then the last we email was about the BOE inquiring about the central warehouse.

Q And if you could flip to the next page,
Mr. Perkins, 696. It looks like there's a different
email.

Can you --

A So --

2.4

- 0 -- tell us what this one is?
- A This is a discussion -- because we were doing sponsorships, which, sadly for bar owners, stopped in, I believe -- in 2018. We're not allowed to do that anymore. They would -- we would have a liquor brand sponsor a festival or a night or something. And they would give the venue a thousand dollars or something. And, you know, it was a big revenue stream for bars at the time.

And Arwen Johnson was in charge of those partnerships. So I think at the time we were doing this thing called the High Rode Festival in Oakland. And she was giving us sponsorship money. And also, as part of the deal, we had to do Malibu at Brick & Mortar as part of the deal.

And I think this was just one of our emails back

1 and forth of the discussions on that point. 2 0 So how -- how did you -- how did you track what 3 liquor purchases went to which venues? 4 Α We would -- the liquor, when they came in, they 5 would pull -- the guys would pull a sheet. And, again, this is something that we showed the auditor, you know. 6 7 You have, like, a -- a warehouse; so you sign out from 8 what you're taking for each venue. 9 And then the bookkeeper in the office would 10 assign invoices to the different entities according to 11 what was pulled and what was --So let me see if I understand this. Mr. Jalal 12 13 [sic], the original auditor --14 Α Yes. 15 -- came to the warehouse and spoke to you --0 16 Α Yes. -- about this? 17 0 18 Α Yes. And tell -- tell me a little bit more about what 19 Q 20 happened at that meeting. He -- I don't believe he -- he did not like the 21

A He -- I don't believe he -- he did not like the procedure. He thought it was not correct. He wanted -- he thought that the -- that the company should invoice every single one to every single different venue, which wasn't really the way that anyone that -- that --

22

23

2.4

Southern or ourselves -- you know, do it.

2.4

And we walked him through the process about how my delivery guys would pull, like -- like, our bar managers come in for a meeting, like, twice a week. And they would pull inventory and take it to the bars. And then they would do an inventory sheet, and they hand it in.

And that was our basic process -- which I explained that to him, and I showed him. And he wanted to see how we did it with Brick & Mortar because it was around the corner.

And so then we showed him how our bar manager -Barry, at the time -- showed him how, like, okay. We
signed out this case of -- of Altos tequila. And then
we'd bring it over to the venue, and we'd put it into the
venue's inventory.

Q Are you aware of other businesses using -- other bar-type or music venue businesses using a -- a central warehousing location for its supplies?

A I mean, I don't know any -- any business that has had four or more locations that was not centrally organized. I mean, it's -- it's -- it's -- again, this wasn't my brilliant idea. It was -- this has been done for a long time.

I think, you know, Jeff Bezos made a lot of money

with central distribution, you know. And I think it's 1 2 a -- it's just an efficient way to run your business --3 discounts and inventory control. 4 And, you know, you have to watch your liquor as 5 the bar owner. And this was a much better way to do it rather than have trucks go to nine different locations. 6 7 And also the liquor -- let's face it, the distributors loved it too. They would much rather make 8 9 one delivery than nine. Let's go over to your sales and use tax returns, 10 Mr. Perkins. I believe -- bear with me, I have to find 11 12 these. 13 Let's jump over to --14 JUDGE LONG: I apologize. 15 MR. BOUTRIS: -- page 668. 16 JUDGE LONG: Sorry. I apologize for 17 interrupting. 18 MR. BOUTRIS: Yeah? 19 JUDGE LONG: I just wanted to remind you all to 20 please --21 MR. BOUTRIS: Speak into the microphone? 22 JUDGE LONG: -- face -- face the mic when you're 23 speaking. 2.4 THE WITNESS: Okay. 25 JUDGE LONG: I know you're answering Mr. Boutris'

1 questions. 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll make sure to go this 3 way. Just face the mic. Thank you. 4 JUDGE LONG: 5 MR. BOUTRIS: Thank you. I'm guilty as charged, as well. 6 7 BY MR. BOUTRIS: Page 668, Mr. Perkins. 8 Q 9 So I -- I think there was some confusion, 10 Mr. Perkins, about the -- what was reported on the sales 11 and use tax returns. 12 So in this particular -- page 668 here -- are you 13 with me? 14 Α I'm trying. 15 I know some of your pages got --0 16 Α Okay. 17 Yeah. Q 18 Α Okay. I'm ready. 19 So this would be a -- an example of a printout Q 20 for the filing period 10/1/15 to 12/31/15? 21 Α Yes. I see that. 22 You see that? 0 23 Α Yes, I do. 2.4 Okay. So -- so this shows total gross sales of 0 \$26,661. 25

1	I believe, in reviewing the return, that you're
2	not reflecting your sales of tickets or your sales of
3	of rental venue on your sales and use tax return.
4	A No. This was I believe this was taxable sales
5	of of
6	Q So so when you were filing the sales and use
7	tax return, you would just reflect what you believed were
8	taxable sales? Not total gross sales?
9	A Yes. And I I think that's we we
10	that's a couple of procedural errors. And this is one, I
11	think, right around the time we we got ourselves a tax
12	attorney and came and started doing this correctly.
13	Q Just just for the sake of clarity here,
14	Mr. Perkins, the way a sales tax return is done is you
15	report your total gross sales. And then you you show
16	on a separate line what your taxable sales are.
17	And so you're sort of required to report both
18	A Right.
19	Q total revenues and total taxable sales?
20	I don't do your sales tax returns.
21	A No. No. No. I was just saying we got better
22	about our procedures.
23	Q Okay. So let's go back to the audit report.
24	Okay. If you could turn to page 596. Tell me
25	when you get there.

1 I'm there. Α Okay. 2 Okay. So again, this is, you know, this is the 0 3 schedule that is generating the markup analysis here. 4 This is sort of the proof in the pudding here that the 5 CDTFA is relying on. Now, if you look at the top here, under their 6 reference 11 it says, "Alcohol purchase per new P&L 7 provided." And it says "\$112,814". 8 9 Do you see that? 10 Α Yes, I do. 11 And does that conform to what was reported on the 0 12 federal income tax return and the profit/loss statement? 13 Α I -- I -- I believe so. 14 Well let's --Q 15 Sorry. I'm getting --Α 16 Q Well, let's go ahead and flip to that return 17 again. 18 Α Yeah. 19 This is on page 16 -- is the return. Q Okay. 20 then the summary description -- breakdown description is 21 on page 30. Go ahead and flip to page 30. You see where it says "Alcohol Purchases"? 22 23 Yes. Okay. Α 24 \$112,814. 0 25 Yes. Okay. Α

1 Now, flip back to page 596. 0 2 Is that -- is that the number the CDTFA is -- is 3 inputting there? 4 Α Okay. 5 It's okay. We'll wait for you. 0 6 Α The report was supposed to say -- yes. 7 It's the same one. Yeah. Okay. And in 2014, you see where the number is 8 Q \$90,787? 9 10 Α Yes. 11 And does that match out to the cost of goods sold 0 you reported on your federal income tax return and Profit 12 13 and Loss Statement, to your knowledge? And if it's on the amended return, I have 14 Α Yes. 15 total faith in the number. 16 Okay. And if you could, turn to page 45. Q 17 Α That's \$90,000 --Yes. 18 \$90,787? Q 19 Yes, I do. Α 20 And does that match the \$90,787 listed on the Q 21 CDTFA's audit report? 22 Yes, it does. Α 23 Okay. Now, what the CDTFA then does is it takes 0 24 your acknowledged -- admitted numbers of purchases, and it 25 adds \$141,123 of liquor purchases from Southern Wine &

1	Spirits in 2013.
2	Do you see that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q So now we're back on page 396.
5	You won't understand my questions unless you're
6	on that page.
7	A I know. Sorry. Sorry. I'm struggling with the
8	paper.
9	Yes, I'm here.
10	Q Okay. Take a minute to look at it. You see
11	you see those numbers? The numbers that you are
12	verified as what you reported on your federal income tax
13	returns and your Profit and Loss Statement of \$112,814 of
14	alcohol purchases in 2013 and \$90,787 of alcohol purchases
15	in 2014.
16	You see that; right?
17	A Yes, I do.
18	Q Okay. So my question then is the the auditor
19	then proceeded to say, "Oh. Well, that's not correct.
20	Soul Lounge also purchased \$141,123 of liquor from
21	Southern Wine & Spirits in 2013 and, on top of that, an
22	additional \$17,159 of beer from DBI."
23	Is that do you believe that's an accurate
24	statement of what's going on at Soul Lounge?
25	A It's not an accurate statement. And I and we

went through this, again, with the auditor and explained that. But I think, to the auditor, all they saw was a warehouse full of liquor and assumed it was all for Soul Lounge.

2.4

Q And in 2014, the auditor is claiming that -- or asserting that Southern -- that on top of the reported amount of alcohol purchases on your federal income tax return and your Profit and Loss Statement and your -- or rather on those two financial documents -- that you had an additional \$244,130 of liquor purchased from Southern Wine & Spirits and an additional amount of beer purchased from DBI of \$11,308.

Do you believe those are accurate numbers?

A No. This is -- the vendor surveys, especially on these two points, I think, was where we were having trouble on where they were coming up with the number that was never explained to us.

Q So -- so just to reiterate, is it your -- is it your contention that the amount of liquor purchases as reported on your federal returns and your Profit and Loss Statements in 2013 and 2014 -- in this case \$112,814 in 2013 and \$90,787 in 2014 -- constitutes all of the alcohol purchases made by Soul Lounge in those respective years?

- A Yes, that's correct. That is correct.
- Q Okay. Now, let's kind of look down that page.

And if you see there "reference number 32," here on the far left-hand side of the page. It has there -- it says, "average markup, 200 percent per taxpayer".

2.4

And then it goes on to say that -- that -- they're going -- the CDTFA decided that -- that somehow 200 percent wasn't enough -- that it needed to be 300 percent.

Is there -- did you have a discussion with the auditor about the markup? And -- and -- and further, what do you think the markup is at Soul Lounge?

A Well, first of all, there's -- the problem is -- there's two issues here. When we first spoke to him, we never said it was 200 percent markup. We always said -- we thought our average at Soul Lounge was 300 percent.

Soul Lounge is more of the city's sort of hipster crowd where we were doing more craft beers where you don't get quite the markup like you do on a lot of liquor. So we -- we really averaged it out to 300.

But the auditor not only ignored our initial number but added 200 percent to it and also mis-claimed that we -- we said it was 200 percent, which we never said it was 200 percent. And I -- I stood right next to our bar manager when he explained it to the auditor.

Q I'm not sure that's how I read this, Mr. Perkins.

I think what the CDTFA did here -- and I could be wrong --

but I believe they used an average markup of 300 percent for all of the -- all of the alcohol sales, rather than using a 200 percent markup.

2.4

So are you -- are you okay with the 300 percent?

A I'm okay with the 300 percent. But that's -- I know that's what we said originally.

Q Okay. So based on this particular schedule here, this 12A-1. If you turn to page 597, the CDTFA is alleging that, based on their analysis and their interpretation of what the alcohol sales were -- that you had underreported taxable sales in 2013 of \$644,000 and in 2014 of \$763,000.

So just in these two years -- and this isn't including 2012 because 2012 gets extrapolated on its own through a separate extrapolated formula -- you have underreported your taxable sales by approximately \$1.4 million.

What do you have to say about that?

A I think that anyone who visited Brick & Mortar and saw the size of the venue and saw it's a two-bartender operation would say it's physically impossible to reach those numbers. And it's -- especially when you consider the size of the -- considering the size of the operation alone, it's just not possible.

And as we pointed out, if you look at any other

club or various -- in a same size, which I'm sure the

CDTFA has had the ability to look up other clubs of the

same size, you could see that those numbers just don't -
do not lineup what -- whatsoever.

And also, neither did our -- we went through our

And also, neither did our -- we went through our bank accounts with them. They had bank statements. They had bank records. There was no -- I -- I don't know where the money magically came from or how they -- in San Francisco, especially, where I would say -- I think it was -- we were running like 91, 92 percent credit card.

So it's not like you could hide \$1.4 million in credit card sales.

Q Let's -- let's flip back to the federal income tax returns for Soul Lounge. So let's go back to page 1 of the exhibits. Tell me when you get there.

Okay. So page one indicates that you had gross receipts in 2012 of the \$642,852.

Do you see that?

- A Yes, I do see that.
- Q And it shows cost of goods sold of \$299,285 leaving a gross profit of \$343,567.

Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q Okay. And then, after other expenses -primarily rent, but other ordinary, necessary, business

1 expenses -- you had a -- Soul Lounge had a profit for the 2 year of \$35,528. 3 Do you see that? 4 Α Yes, I do. 5 It doesn't sound like it's a highly-profitable 6 business, Mr. Perkins. 7 It certainly wasn't in our first year of Α operation. I don't know many bars and restaurants that 8 9 are profitable their first year of operation. I actually 10 took the fact that we didn't lose money as a positive 11 step. 12 But yeah, that is accurate. Let's look at the 2013 return. This is on page 13 0 16. 14 15 Α Sixteen? 16 Q Sixteen. One-six. 17 In 2013, you reported gross revenues of \$632,431; 18 cost of goods sold, \$373,095; and a gross profit of 19 \$259,336. You then had expenses. 20 And in that particular year, you had a -- you 21 reported an ordinary loss of \$32,797? 22 Α Yes, that's correct. 23 Let's jump to tax year '14, page 31. 0 2.4 In 2014, you had gross revenues of \$537,517; cost 25 of goods sold, \$285,299; gross profit of \$252,218. After

other expenses, rent, payroll, et cetera, you had a loss 1 2 that year of \$16,659. 3 Is that -- is that -- reflect a true picture of 4 your operations in 2014, Mr. Perkins? 5 Yes, it does. Α And have -- has the IRS questioned these amended 6 7 returns that you filed? 8 Α No, they have not. 9 And you said that you provided Mr. Jalal [sic] 0 10 with the bank statements for Soul Lounge; is that right? 11 Yes. Bank statements and credit card statements. And to your knowledge, does -- does -- do the 12 13 bank statements and credit card statements support a -- a 14 revenue --15 And let's see if we can flip, again, to the audit 16 report. Bear with me a second. So let's flip to page 594. 17 18 So this is kind of extraordinary. The CDTFA, 19 based on its assumptions, goes on to say that during the 20 audit period, you -- Soul Lounge -- under-reported your 21 sales by \$1,966,075. 22 Do you see that? 23 Yes, I do. Α 24 In other words, that -- in -- in those years, 0

when you reported on your federal income tax return,

respectively, \$642,000 in 2012, \$537,000 in 2013 --1 2 Oh. No. \$537- -- I stand corrected. Let's go 3 back. 4 \$642,000, approximately, in 2012; \$632,000, 5 approximately, in 2013; and \$537,000, approximately, 2014. And in addition to those three numbers, you -- you 6 7 actually cheated the government out of \$1.966 million of 8 revenues. 9 Α That number is -- is just magical thinking, 10 unfortunately. 11 To be clear, there's nothing that supports that. 12 I mean -- I mean, I guess you can put whatever you want on 13 a piece of paper. But there's no support. We asked them about this. We showed them our --14 15 again, we reviewed bank statements and credit card statements. And we asked for further clarification. And 16 17 that was never forthcoming. 18 What percentage of your revenues do you think is Q 19 received in the form of cash? 20 Eight or 9 percent. In San Francisco, it's about Α 21 8 or 9 percent. And -- and the rest would be coming from --22 0 23 Credit cards. Α 2.4 Credit cards? 0 25 And how does Ticketfly pay you? By check?

1	A No.
2	Q ACH?
3	A ACH deposits.
4	Actually, I'm talking about 8 or 9 percent cash
5	back in 2014. I would say, today, it's more like 2 or 3
6	percent, in 2022.
7	JUDGE LONG: Mr. Boutris, I just wanted to let
8	you know, we're at the one-hour mark. So close up the
9	testimony in the next few minutes.
10	MR. BOUTRIS: Do you no. I think I have,
11	Judge Long. I think I have covered what I wanted to cover
12	with Mr. Perkins. So I can conclude my direct questioning
13	of him at this time.
14	JUDGE LONG: Thank you.
15	I'll turn it over to CDTFA to see if they have
16	any questions for Mr. Perkins.
17	MR. PARKER: We have no questions for the
18	witness.
19	JUDGE LONG: Thank you.
20	I will turn it over to Judge Kwee.
21	Do you have any questions for Mr. Perkins?
22	JUDGE KWEE: Yes. This is Judge Kwee.
23	Oh. That's really loud.
24	Just give me a couple of minutes. I do have a
25	couple of questions for the witness.

1 Thank you for -- so -- so at the beginning of 2 your testimony, you were referring to "different address locations for different entities". 3 4 THE WITNESS: Correct. 5 JUDGE KWEE: And I think you mentioned one was the Crafty Fox. And then there was one which was Brick & 6 7 Mortar. 8 Can you clarify the respective addresses for those two entities? 9 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. The Crafty Fox is 11 1700 Mission; Brick & Mortar is 1710 Mission. Thev're separate buildings. You can see it on Google. They're 12 13 next to each other. And then on the back of 1700 Mission is 11 14 15 Duboce, which is a -- has a separate entrance. And that's 16 where our storage area was. 17 And then, upstairs, we have offices I rented on 18 the third floor to someone else. 19 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And the returns -- the 20 amended returns that are in the evidence -- those are only 21 for the entity here, which was Soul Lounge doing business 2.2 as Brick & Mortar. They're not for any other entity. 23 It that my --2.4 THE WITNESS: It's on the amended returns, yes --25 only amended returns we have were for Soul Lounge.

1	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So when I was looking at
2	those amended returns, there was still a difference
3	between the gross receipts versus, you know, what was
4	reported.
5	And from my understanding what you were saying
6	today that difference between the gross receipts
7	reported on the federal returns and the sales and use tax
8	total sales was due to ticket sales, venue rentals, and I
9	guess it was the venue rentals and the ticket sales, which
10	you thought were nontaxable.
11	Is that my understanding?
12	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Maybe I'm not
13	understanding correctly.
14	Are you talking about what our taxable sales were
15	on the returns?
16	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So just to clarify so when
17	I was looking at the amended federal income tax returns
18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
19	JUDGE KWEE: So for example, for 2012, I think
20	the original return had reported around \$900,000 in gross
21	receipts. And then there was an amended 2012 return.
22	I think that was on, like, page 1 or 2
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24	JUDGE KWEE: on your first exhibit that
25	dropped it down to \$642,000 in gross receipts.

1 THE WITNESS: Correct.

2.4

JUDGE KWEE: But then the sales and use tax returns for 2012, I believe they were, like, \$150,000 in total sales reported, in my understanding.

THE WITNESS: Because -- because there was a difference between liquor sales and ticket sales and the venue rentals.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And I see what you're saying.

So as far as the venue rentals were concerned, I see that you attached invoices for the venue rentals. And some of them say just "venue rentals" some say, like, "bar and venue rental". And I think there was, like, "door" or "cover".

And I'm just wondering -- so I don't see anything specific in the rentals that would indicate, other than the title, whether or not food is included.

Is that title, like --

THE WITNESS: We -- we rarely -- we never did food as a rental because that was just such a complicated thing.

When we say "venue rentals," it's a music promoter, like, a person who wants to bring a band that they like in. Or they're a professional promoter or a group that wants to rent it as a -- just as a -- as a party or a meeting hall.

1	And then, you know, also private parties, which
2	were included. And that included bartenders and liquor
3	sales I mean, liquor was going to be added on to that.
4	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So how do I tell from looking
5	at those documents which ones would have included the bar
6	and which ones would have not included the bar?
7	Or was there an easier way to ascertain or for
8	us to ascertain that?
9	THE WITNESS: Well, on the room rentals when
10	it's room rental, it's just for the room. It doesn't
11	include the bar.
12	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So then the only ones that
13	included the bar are the ones that say "bar" on the title?
14	THE WITNESS: They should. It was very rare that
15	we did a room rental with with full bar included. I
16	think that might have happened once or twice in eight
17	years.
18	Most most of the room rentals were just for
19	the room itself. It was just a charge for the room.
20	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And as far as the
21	I'm sorry. I'm kind of jumping around on the
22	order in which I was taking notes of your testimony.
23	And I was going to move on to the ticket sales
24	you have listed. I think it was Ticketfly?
25	THE WITNESS: Ticketfly, correct.

JUDGE KWEE: And those ticket sales are for when you do room rentals or --

2.4

THE WITNESS: Just for, like, concert tickets -- like, advance concert tickets. Like, you know, if you want to go see "band whatever," and you want to buy a ticket in advance, you can go through their website.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So all the ticket sales involved when you were operating -- not you, the entity -- was operating the Soul Lounge, Brick & Mortar, not when it was being rented out -- there were no ticket sales involved in this?

THE WITNESS: Correct. A lot of times the -- a lot of times, if they're a professional music promoter -- let's say someone like Shawn Healy or Another Planet -- they have their own ticket link.

So they take their own ticket sales because it's part of the value as a promoter. That's how you make your money. They don't want you controlling their money. So you put their ticket link up on the website.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you. That is helpful.

And the one other aspect that you had mentioned about the ticket sales was that you said there was approximately a "percent fee" for using the Ticketfly.

And I wasn't sure why you were -- were you mentioning that in the context of that -- that shouldn't have been

1 included. 2 Or I guess --3 THE WITNESS: I believe the question was, like, 4 how much -- how does the ticket company make money if 5 they're giving you all the ticket fee; right? They're giving me all the -- if a ticket's \$20, you know, how does 6 7 the ticket company make money? And I said they charge a fee, like, a ticket 8 9 service fee. And generally it's 20 -- unfortunately, now, 10 it's probably higher. It's probably 25 percent. So if you go to Ticketmaster, you'll see the 11 price of the show and then a ticket fee. And they keep 12 13 the ticket fee. JUDGE KWEE: 14 Okay. So if I'm correctly 15 understanding this, there's no concern about the way the audit was handled with respect to whether or not a fee was 16 17 or was not included. 18 You were just explaining how they made their 19 money? 20 THE WITNESS: I was just explaining how they made 21 their money. 22 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. 23 Sorry. I just need a minute to go down my notes. 24 You had a lengthy testimony, which was very helpful for --25 for me in understanding what was going on.

1 I would like to go -- you had mentioned on your 2 exhibit, page 596, which was the -- the summary of CDTFA's 3 markup for the -- for the bar -- the beer and wine and 4 alcohol purchases. 5 And I believe you had mentioned on your documents -- you had indicated a 200 percent markup. 6 But then I think CDTFA used a 300 percent markup. 7 And was I understanding that you -- you did not 8 9 dispute the use of the 300 percent markup? 10 THE WITNESS: No, I do not dispute that at all. 11 I thought we always said 300 percent. 12 JUDGE KWEE: Oh, okay. My only concern -- I 13 don't want to say "only" -- but the main concern was the 14 amount of additional purchases that were brought in from the central distribution locations and not the markup 15 aspect. 16 THE WITNESS: 17 Oh, okay. 18 JUDGE KWEE: Is -- that is my understanding. 19 THE WITNESS: Maybe I'm misunderstanding the 20 question. I'm sorry. Sorry, Judge. If you could repeat that back? 21 22 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So from my understanding, you 23 know, the liability calculated on that aspect was, you 2.4 know, to -- well, there were two large elements: One was

using a 300 percent markup versus a 200 percent markup.

1 The other aspect was including purchases from those 2. other --3 THE WITNESS: The --4 JUDGE KWEE: -- you know -- the vendors, which 5 were, from your testimony, routed to separate entities. That's correct. 6 THE WITNESS: 7 JUDGE KWEE: So at first -- I was just confirming 8 that you didn't dispute the markup aspect. 9 So looking at the purchase aspect -- so I guess 10 my understanding was that the main dispute was with the 11 purchase aspect. 12 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. 13 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. THE WITNESS: I think the whole miscommunication 14 15 from day one has been over the assigning of all liquor sales for what we call -- we call ourselves "Parish 16 17 Entertainment Group" to -- to one venue, rather than, as 18 we explained -- that we had -- they were part of a group 19 of nine venues that we used and distributed through. 20 And even on the invoices they were -- they would 21 mark, you know, like, "New Pigalle," "Place Pigalle". 22 was directly marked on the invoice itself. It says it 23 right there. 2.4 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And that was my other

question. I did see that you included invoices. And if

1 we were to add up all the numbers on the invoices, would 2 they track what was listed here? And would we be able to 3 figure out -- because I did see that some had addresses 4 for other locations. 5 If we added them up, would they track? amounts for those locations that CDTFA has listed? 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, they would. 8 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And the ones listed as 9 "purchases" on your amended returns as what was allocable 10 to Brick & Mortar -- all of those invoices would add up to 11 the number that you reported? So we'd be able to basically look at the invoices 12 13 that you submitted and find out how you reported what you 14 reported and had how CDTFA reported what they had for, you 15 know, Southern Wine and DBI; is that correct? Yeah. For all the different ones, 16 THE WITNESS: 17 you should be able to see that right there. 18 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So -- so we have everything 19 that we need to do that? 20 THE WITNESS: I believe so. 21 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. 22 Sorry. Just one minute. 23 I think that was everything that I had in my 2.4 notes to ask or clarify. That's all.

Thank you very much for your time.

1	I will turn it back to the lead judge, Judge
2	Long.
3	Thank you.
4	JUDGE LONG: Judge Aldrich, do you have any
5	questions for the taxpayer I'm sorry for the
6	witness?
7	JUDGE ALDRICH: Mr. Perkins, can you hear me?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.
9	JUDGE ALDRICH: I had a few questions for you
10	with respect to the catering contract.
11	Is there anything in the evidence that would
12	support the catering contract from 2014?
13	THE WITNESS: I I gave it to the CDTFA.
14	JUDGE ALDRICH: My question is
15	THE WITNESS: Do you want us to provide you with
16	that contract? We can get a copy of that. I don't think
17	we brought it.
18	Did we bring that? No?
19	Also, just for clarification, I sold my interest.
20	And I have not been involved with the business for four
21	years. But I know the people that do; so I can still get
22	access to it.
23	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And I guess you had
24	mentioned that Brick & Mortar typically has two
25	bartenders?

1	THE WITNESS: Correct.
2	JUDGE ALDRICH: And how many individuals could
3	that serve, I guess?
4	THE WITNESS: I would say 100 to 120, 140.
5	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay.
6	THE WITNESS: They should be able to cover that,
7	if they're any good.
8	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And for those shows where,
9	perhaps, the limits were pushed a little, would another
10	bartender
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	JUDGE ALDRICH: be brought in from another
13	venue?
14	How is that
15	THE WITNESS: We got very good at since we had
16	a wide body of work I mean, we did 25,000 shows. We
17	had an idea of which crowd is going to be a drinking
18	crowd. And, like, if we knew we had a band from New
19	Orleans that was going to be sold out, we'd have three
20	bartenders.
21	And that happened maybe eight times a year, you
22	know, like, there's certain music types if it's, like,
23	a jam band or a New Orleans band, you're going to have
24	a you're going to have a heavy drinking crowd.
25	If it's a reggae night, you can go to one

1 bartender for 500 people because they'll just drink water, 2 you know. 3 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. So -- and you had given 4 testimony with respect to the hours. 5 And could you -- so -- clarify that? I quess 6 Monday through Friday was the same hours consistently? 7 changed depending on whether or not they were shows? It's like a, you know -- it was 8 THE WITNESS: 9 really a music venue. We were open four -- we really 10 tried to be open four to five nights a week. To book 11 those kind of shows, it's tough. 12 So I would say we did 150 shows a year. And usually it was earlier in the week. Let's say, if it was 13 14 a Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, we would do doors, 7:00; show, 15 8:00. If it was later in the week, we would do doors, 8:00; show, 9:00. 16 17 JUDGE ALDRICH: So you had mentioned that you 18 provided bank statements and credit card statements. THE WITNESS: Correct. 19 20 JUDGE ALDRICH: With respect to credit card 21 statements, are you referring to form 1099K's? Or are you -- okay. 22 23 THE WITNESS: No. The actual -- we gave them all 2.4 the information for our credit card provider.

JUDGE ALDRICH: So for the costs?

1 THE WITNESS: No. No. No. For, like, our daily 2 total receipts every night. 3 JUDGE ALDRICH: Got it. Okay. 4 THE WITNESS: You know, the -- forgive me. 5 trying to remember who our provider was back in 2012. But it was one of the big, you know, ones of the 6 7 bank -- bank. And they give you the printout of all the -- because it goes into your bank account the next 8 9 day. And they send you a statement every month of all of your credit card deposits. 10 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And then, I quess, just 11 for clarity, I was wondering if you could give me a view 12 of the "forest," so to speak, between the different 13 entities. 14 15 Soul Lounge is operating Brick & Mortar? 16 THE WITNESS: Correct. JUDGE ALDRICH: And some other entity has the --17 18 has the distribution point, I guess, for the liquor? 19 THE WITNESS: So we -- we allowed at the time --20 we said -- we're going to -- because our company -- we 21 called it "Parish Entertainment Group," but Parish 22 Entertainment Group doesn't have an ABC License. 23 So we couldn't -- they couldn't invoice, under 24 California law, to Parish Entertainment Group, which would 25 have solved everyone's problems.

1	But so we we did it you had to pick a			
2	License 47 that was the closest to your to the			
3	warehouse, which was Brick & Mortar, which is Soul Lounge,			
4	which is why we're here.			
5	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And internally, you said a			
6	bartender			
7	THE WITNESS: Bar bar manager.			
8	JUDGE ALDRICH: Bar manager would come in and			
9	check out			
10	THE WITNESS: Correct.			
11	JUDGE ALDRICH: Let's say they needed a case of			
12	tequila. You they			
13	Was there, like, a form to check out?			
14	THE WITNESS: Yeah. There's, like, a clipboard			
15	to sign everything out.			
16	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay.			
17	THE WITNESS: And they drop it off in the office			
18	and take the liquor to the venue.			
19	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And then so since these			
20	venues you said "we" as have nine of them.			
21	But really, the person is an LLC right?			
22	that owns the venue or the DBA?			
23	THE WITNESS: Or the yeah. There was a DBA			
24	for each liquor license.			
25	JUDGE ALDRICH: And there was an LLC for each			

1	DBA?
2	THE WITNESS: Correct. Yeah.
3	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And so would someone
4	generate that invoice based off of that clipboard and send
5	it to the respective LLC that was doing business?
6	THE WITNESS: And then they and then they pay
7	the bills out of that account.
8	And that was again this wasn't easy. It
9	was it was this was our theoretical setup in the
10	beginning that took us you know, we had to knock out
11	the kinks a little bit.
12	We grew very quickly. We went from 0 to 300
13	employees in about, you know about a year and a half.
14	And, you know, it was a struggle. It was a lot of work.
15	But we got there. And we we their systems were
16	really good.
17	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. No further questions at
18	this time.
19	I'm going to hand it back over to Judge Long.
20	Thank you.
21	JUDGE LONG: Thank you.
22	So I think, Mr. Boutris, you wanted additional
23	time to make your argument.
24	Did you still need ten minutes?
25	MR. BOUTRIS: I don't, your Honor. I think we've

covered everything adequately at this point.

JUDGE LONG: Okay. Great.

We will, then, move over to CDTFA's presentation.

CDTFA indicated they require 20 minutes.

So you may begin whenever you're ready.

MR. PARKER: Thank you.

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. PARKER: The Appellant and LLC operated a full bar with live music entertainment in San Francisco, California, with a start date of August 2009. The Department performed an audit examination for the period of January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2014.

Appellant reported total sales of approximately \$547,000 and claimed no deductions resulting in taxable sales of \$547,000 for the audit period. The Appellant provided limited books and records for the audit period.

Appellant did not provide 1099K data, credit card sales data, guest checks, daily cash register tapes, sales journals, or general ledgers for the audit period. Due to lack of complete books and records, the Department was unable to determine Appellant's reporting method and verify the accuracy of its reported amounts.

The Department compared gross receipts for the federal income tax returns with sales and use tax returns

and noted unexplained differences of approximately \$1.6 million for 2012 and 2013. And that's on your Exhibit A, page 19.

2.2

The Department compared recorded total sales per Profit and Loss Statements with reported sales per the sales and use tax returns and noted an unexplained difference of approximately \$115,000 for the audit period. And that's on Exhibit A, page 24.

Using the Appellant's records, the Department computed a markup which compares cost of goods sold per the Appellant's federal income tax returns for 2012 and 2013 to recorded gross receipts. The markup was negative 58 percent for 2012 and 2013. And that's on Exhibit A, page 18.

And this means that reported total sales were less than the cost of goods sold.

Based on the above analysis, the Department decided -- the Department determined that provided books and records were incomplete and unreliable. Therefore, the Department used an indirect audit method to verify the accuracy of reported amounts and determined audited taxable sales.

The Department performed a vendor survey for known vendors and noted Appellant's Profit and Loss
Statement didn't include any purchases from Southern Wine

& Spirits or DBI Beverage.

The Department used the revised Profit and Loss Statements for 2013 and 2014 and the vendor surveys from Southern Wine & Spirits and DBI Beverage to determine purchases of a little more than \$617,000 for 2013 and 2014 for alcohol and beverages. And that's on Exhibit A, page 8, line 15.

The Department used the revised profit and loss statements for 2013 and determined that audited purchases of food for 2013 was \$9,000 -- Exhibit A, page 8, line 37.

In the absence of food purchases data for 2014, the Department assumed that food purchases would be the same for 2014 as they were in 2013, which was \$9,000.

Due to lack of books and records, the Department could not perform any self-test. So the Department used an estimated markup of 200 percent for bar and food sales, which is lower than generally expected, based on audits of similar businesses.

In the Appellant's testimony today, they indicated that the markup was not 200 percent, but was, in fact, 300 percent. If a 300 percent markup on costs is used in the audit, the audited taxable bar and food sales would increase the liability for the Appellant.

In the audit working papers, the 300 percent indicated is for the markup factor, which the markup

factor is the cost plus the markup on the cost. So if a 300 percent markup were used, the markup factor would be 400 percent.

For the bar, the Department used audited purchases and made an adjustment of 1 percent for mixers, 2 percent for pilferage, and 2 percent for self-consumption, and a little more than \$2,000 for non-alcohol items to calculate adjusted cost of goods sold of approximately \$582,000 for 2013 and 2014. And that's on Exhibit A, page 8, line 30.

The Department used the estimated markup of 200 percent to determine audited taxable sales of a little more than \$1.7 million for 2013 and 2014. And that's Exhibit A, page 8, line 35.

The Department used food purchases of approximately \$18,000 for 2013 and 2014, allowed pilferage adjustment of 2 percent, self-consumption of 2 percent, and applied an estimated markup of 200 percent to determine audited taxable sales of \$52,000 for 2013 and 2014. That's on Exhibit A, page 8, line 46.

Based on the above audit procedures, audited taxable sales for the bar and food was determined to be approximately \$1.8 million for 2013 and 2014.

When compared with reported taxable sales of approximately \$392,000 for 2013, 2014, the Department

calculated an error rate of 359 percent. And that's on Exhibit A, page 8, line 54.

2.4

Due to lack of books and records for 2012, the Department used the combined error rate of 359 percent for 2013 and 2014 to determine unreported taxable sales for 2012.

The Department applied the error rates to the reported taxable sales to determine unreported taxable sales of approximately \$1.97 million for the audit period. That's Exhibit A, page 7.

For the bar, based on self-consumption allowance of 2 percent, the Department determined cost of alcohol and beverage of approximately \$17,000 subject to use tax. And that's on Exhibit A, page 15.

During the audit process, the Department noted that Appellant sold entrance tickets to customers under 21 years of page. Per Appellant's website and Yelp's review, customers under 21 must buy a drink ticket at the door for \$5 each, which is on Exhibit B, pages 496 and 497. And this would allow them to get a free nonalcoholic drink.

The Department used the available information for each week's events to determine unreported taxable sales of approximately \$22,000 for the audit period. And that's on Exhibit A, page 10.

During the audit process, the Department also noted facility rental revenue for private events and parties as a bar where food and drinks are served. The fees for the rental of the facility are taxable.

2.4

The Department used the Appellant's revised

Profit and Loss Statements and determined unreported

taxable facility rental of approximately \$319,000 for the

audit period. That's Exhibit A, page 11.

Based on the above audit procedures, the Department determined unreported taxable measure of approximately \$2.3 million for the audit period. Exhibit A, page 5.

The Department assessed a 10 percent negligence penalty. The Appellant's error rate for unreported taxable sales was 425 percent, which was substantial and constitutes evidence of negligence.

Unreported taxable sales are due to Appellant's failure to maintain and provide mandatory books and records as required by Revenue and Taxation Code 7053 and 7054 and Regulation 1698, which is also evidence of negligence.

Appellant contends that the additional purchases from Southern Wine & Spirits and from DBI Beverage include items purchased for use at a separate legal entity. Based on the review of the vendor surveys, all purchases were

made by Appellant at the address registered for its business activities related to the appeal before OTA.

2.4

The Appellant claimed that the DBI Beverage invoices were for a separate entity. However, based on the vendor's survey from DBI, it specifically lists Brick & Mortar as the customer on the invoice listing. This is Exhibit B, pages 350 to 356.

Despite various requests, the Appellant has not provided any documentary proof or evidence to support its contention that any of these purchases were transferred to any of the separate legal entities.

Appellant contends that it stopped selling food in 2014. Appellant has been licensed with the Alcoholic Beverage Control with a Type 47 License, which states "47 On-Sale General Eating Place". This is Exhibit G, page 569.

A Type 47 ABC License authorizes the sale of alcohol for consumption on the premises with the requirement that Appellant must maintain suitable kitchen facilities and must make actual and substantial sales of meals for consumption on the premises.

Further, a Type 47 License also allowed minors on the premises, as advertised by Appellant on his website.

Appellant, in their testimony today, contends that it was not open all of 2012 and was closed from

Christmas 2011 until March 2012. However, based on the 1 2 information from Ticketfly, there are events starting in 3 early 2012 and have dates all throughout the year. This is in Exhibit B, page 303 and 304. 4 5 Also during this time period, the Appellant made purchases, as can be seen on the purchases from the vendor 6 7 surveys. This is Exhibit B, page 396 to 405. Based on the above, the Department has fully 8 explained the basis for the deficiency and provided that 9 10 the determination was reasonable based upon the available 11 books and records. Further, Department used approved 12 audit methods to determine the deficiency. 13 Therefore, based on the evidence presented, the 14 Department requests that the Appellant's appeal be denied. 15

This concludes my presentation. And we are available to answer any questions you may have.

JUDGE LONG: Thank you. We will be asking our questions, but we are at the two-hour mark. So we are going to break -- recess for about ten minutes.

And we'll come back at -- my clock says 11:28 -- so 11:38.

Thank you.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

(The morning recess is taken at 11:28 a.m.)

JUDGE LONG: Okay. We are back on the record.

We are now going to turn to CDTFA. And I'm going

1 to ask my co-panelists to see if they have any questions. 2 And I'll start with Judge Kwee. 3 JUDGE KWEE: Could you start with Judge Aldrich? 4 My computer shut down. 5 JUDGE LONG: Yes. We will start with Judge Aldrich. 6 7 Or maybe -- give us a minute to get things back uploaded, if you need it. 8 9 JUDGE ALDRICH: Hi, Department. This is Judge 10 Aldrich. 11 So I guess one of the arguments I see is that 12 Appellant didn't provide 1099K data. But Appellant 13 indicated that he provided the credit card statements. But I don't see the credit card statements in the 14 15 record with -- is -- is there, I quess, more? Or were 16 those, I guess, scheduled? Or not provided, according to 17 the Department? 18 MR. PARKER: We did not see anything in the 19 evidence showing that those were provided. However, the 20 credit cards would be deposited into the bank deposits that are included in the audit. 21 And so those same credit card statements --22 23 merchant statements should be the same amount as what's 2.4 deposited in the bank statements as well.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And then -- I guess,

25

1 that's all of the questions that I had for the moment.

Judge Kwee, did you want to resume with your questioning?

JUDGE KWEE: Yes. This is Judge Kwee. Thank you.

I had one or two questions.

2.4

So with the taxpayer's exhibit index, they had their Exhibit J, which was those venue rental invoices. And looking at the invoices, some of them do say "venue only". And then some of them say "venue and bar".

And I'm curious what was CDTFA's position on why, at least, the ones that said "venue only" -- why those weren't accepted to show nontaxable revenue.

MR. PARKER: So generally speaking, we would expect to see the contract between the party for the rental of the venue, which contracts were not provided. The only thing that we have were these invoices that I believe were received at a later date.

And generally, with a bar or a restaurant, they're typically serving food and beverages. So we would expect to see that.

So without the contracts, there's no evidence to show that they weren't selling food and beverages in conjunction with the rental of the room.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you.

1 And so maybe I -- do you mind, Judge Long, if I 2 briefly ask the taxpayer one question? JUDGE LONG: Go ahead. 3 4 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So I guess CDTFA was saying 5 that the documentation that you had on your invoices, which was your Exhibit J -- that I think one of the 6 concerns they had there was their -- you had their 7 invoices, but you didn't have the supporting agreement --8 9 agreement between yourself and your customer. 10 And I'm just asking, is that something that you 11 still have? I understand it's been a while. 12 Is that something that you would be able to 13 provide? 14 THE WITNESS: I can certainly go back and ask. 15 But I'm going to be very clear, I personally gave copies of the contracts to the auditor. Okay? 16 17 So for them to say, at this point, that I did not 18 provide that -- this is the first time I've heard that I 19 did not provide copies of the contract. This is a 20 brand-new charge. 21 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you. 22 And I'm going to go back to CDTFA, then, for, I 23 believe, one more question. 2.4 And that was regarding the taxpayer's Exhibits K, 25 L, and M, which were their purchase invoices for alcohol.

And, you know, hearing their testimony today that some of the purchases were for, you know -- they had the central distribution -- and some of their purchases were for other permitized entities.

2.4

And they had attached tax returns for other permitized entities with the addresses on the returns.

And I think some of the invoices showed addresses for other permitized entities as opposed to the Soul Lounge DBA Brick & Mortar.

I think that was the testimony today. And I'm wondering what was CDTFA's position on why the invoices — at least the invoices listing addresses of different entities — why those weren't accepted to reduce the amount of purchases that was used by CDTFA in determining the underreported taxable sales?

MR. PARKER: So the -- so the -- their -- their exhibits -- we used the -- our vendor surveys, which should be similar to theirs. I didn't verify that they were the exact same throughout. And our exhibits that listed Brick & Mortar as the purchaser.

At least, from what I saw in our record today -I can get the pages for you here in a second -- the -what we would expect -- generally speaking, most taxpayers
don't transfer alcohol between locations since it's highly
regulated by the Alcoholic Beverage Control.

So the Appellant has indicated that they did make transfers, but they have not provided any records showing that they made these transfers to other locations.

2.4

If there were records showing that alcohol was withdrawn from their location in San Francisco and transferred to other locations, we would have made those adjustments in the audit. But we have not been provided those records.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And I'm sorry. I'm jumping around.

Do you mind if I ask one follow-up question of the witness?

So I'll turn back to the question about the invoices I just asked for CDTFA. I am looking, for example, at the Morris Distributing invoice. So I guess there were a lot of them, but I think they used the same format.

And I do see where you say on the invoice where it says on the invoice, you know, office at 11 Duboce.

THE WITNESS: Duboce.

JUDGE KWEE: I'm sorry. Duboce, which, you know, is the other entity. But then -- and that's on the center of the invoice.

But then on the top right, it does list Brick & Mortar -- Mortar Lounge. And -- with the 1710 Mission

Street.

And I guess, would you just please clarify the -the reason, you know, both entities are listed on the
invoice?

THE WITNESS: Judge, like I said, I think this would have saved us a lot of time.

Under the ABC's rules, the liquor distributor cannot invoice a non-liquor license holding entity. So our overall entity, the Parish Entertainment Group, couldn't be the one on file.

So even though it says "Brick & Mortar Lounge/closed," you know, on there -- and then it says -- and then they show where the delivery address is around the corner. And that was our way of working around these different agencies and their different rules.

And of course, it probably wasn't the greatest idea after six years of going through -- but also -- I would also like to point out that we did give copies -- I personally was there, you know, when their auditor was there.

And the auditor walked in, saw our inventory sheets, saw copies of all that, saw copies of our sales contracts. They saw copies of our rentals. They saw copies of our catering, the kitchen, and the people that took over.

This was all done. I was there. I handled it.

I've been to at least 15 different meetings with different auditors on this. And -- which was very hard to get a hold of -- and that always changed. And they always -- it always got delayed.

But, you know, the -- I -- I really just take issue with the fact that now, three people we have never met before in this case are saying we didn't do our job. And I have a problem with that.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you for your response and for -- CDTFA for your response. And that was helpful.

And I don't have any additional questions. So I will return it to Judge Long.

JUDGE LONG: Thank you.

2.4

So I did have a couple questions.

The taxpayer, or Appellants, have stated that the vendors, Southern Wine & Spirits and DBI, were not attributable to Soul Lounge.

How did CDTFA make that connection?

MR. PARKER: So when we did the vendor survey, we asked for them to provide sales that those -- the commonly known vendors for bars and restaurants that deliver in the San Francisco area -- we reached out to them and asked for their sales made to Brick & Mortar at the address of the business.

And so the records that they provided from the vendors were deliveries and sales made to Brick & Mortar at that business. So that's how we determined that those, Southern Wine and DBI Beverage, belonged to Brick & Mortar.

JUDGE LONG: Thank you.

2.4

And then you mentioned that Soul Lounge has an ABC License Type 47 that would indicate that they also sold food.

And based on that licensing, there's an assumption that Brick & Mortar must also have sold food; is that correct? And is that where the numbers relating to the sale of food came about?

MR. PARKER: Well, the -- the sales of food came about from their revised Profit and Loss Statement, especially for 2013.

We made the assumption that they continued to make food sales in 2014 because of the type of license.

And I believe it's Exhibit G, page 549 -- has information on the type of license.

I'm sorry. I think it's page 564 -- has information on the -- the type of license and what a Type 47 means.

JUDGE LONG: Would that require a business to make food on their own? Or could they have -- could they

have this license and then, as well, have another separate 1 2 vendor or caterer providing food under this license? MR. PARKER: You know, I'm not an expert in ABC 3 4 licenses. So my understanding is that they need to have a 5 kitchen and sell food. I'm not sure if that means that they can't have a caterer make sales at -- at -- out of 6 their kitchen. 7 8 So -- and actually the -- the information from 9 DMV -- I mean, ABC is on page 569. My apologies for that. 10 JUDGE LONG: Thank you. At this time -- at this time we're going to 11 12 let -- if the taxpayer wishes to make a final statement or 13 rebuttal. 14 MR. BOUTRIS: Yes, please. 15 16 CLOSING ARGUMENT 17 MR. BOUTRIS: Again, thank you so much for 18 holding this hearing. And again, I'm -- as a senior 19 member of the Tax Bar in California, I'm very happy to see 20 that the OTA is up and running and doing its job. So, you

I think we're having -- I'm thinking of this movie, "Cool Hand Luke," and where Paul Newman says, "We're having a failure to communicate here."

know, kudos to you for taking on these difficult cases.

21

22

23

2.4

25

The problem that we've got here is that we have

three gentlemen over here who weren't involved in this case for years, who really just picked up the case, and are just repeating the same mistakes and the same verbiage from the original audit.

That's not really helpful here.

We have provided lots of evidence and given lots of testimony as to the problems associated with this audit. And rather than taking a proactive approach and making necessary adjustments to what is clearly an erroneous audit, they just stick to their guns.

And I want to point out here that not only is there a problem, here, at the administrative level, but there's a problem at the collection level.

The CDTFA seized \$200,000 from my client without the benefit of an assessment. So while this appeal was pending, we have seizures going on. And that's a very serious situation. Because you have not made a determination. There has not been a final determination.

And yet the CDTFA is making seizures. \$200,000 they took from -- from Mr. Perkins without due process. That's a very serious situation. So you can understand why this has sort of, you know, bounced out of control here.

I want to address the CDTFA's point, which they continually say that adequate books and records were not

provided. That's just not true. The original auditor,
Mr. Mustafa, was provided bank statements, credit card
statements, he was provided inventory statements.

He did -- he appeared at the offices and went through a whole rigmarole with Mr. Perkins about how the operation worked at the warehouse.

We've -- we've provided federal income tax returns. We've provided Profit and Loss Statements.

We've provided Transaction Detail Reports. To say that -- that records were not provided -- it's just wrong.

It's -- it's -- it's a misconstrual of the facts.

And I -- I understand. Mr. Mustafa may no longer work for the CDTFA, and so he can't be here to testify to what he did or didn't do.

But we're here to testify -- Mr. Perkins is under oath. Mr. Perkins is, you know, a very moral, upright, credible witness. And I ask that you take that into account.

Now, once the, you know -- the basis for the markup test is that, you know -- that the federal income tax returns, number one, did not reconcile with the sales and use tax returns.

And -- and that's not actually true. If you look at the amended federal income tax returns, they reconcile with the sales tax returns once you understand that the

sales tax returns are only showing taxable sales, not total revenues of the business -- because, unfortunately, whoever was doing the returns just didn't understand that you have to report total revenues, not just taxable sales.

2.4

But it's not -- it doesn't result in a -- it's -- it's not a fraud. It's not even negligence. It's just filling the form out incorrectly. The -- the form -- the CDTFA -- BOE is still getting the full amount that it's entitled to get. So the return is accurate in that regard.

Then they go on to say that -- that there is a 359 percent -- percentage of error. And it's like, well, the only reason you're getting that percentage of error is because you're counting inventory that was not used. It was not purchased by Soul Lounge.

Sure, if you want to count Southern Wine & Spirits. But I would remind the Judges that Southern Wine & Spirits, contrary to what the CDTFA is saying, did not deliver that inventory to Soul Lounge's address. It delivered that inventory to 11 Duboce, where the warehouse is.

And the only reason that Brick & Mortar or Soul Lounge is on that invoice is because of the requirement under the ABC that you have to have a licensed business as the recipient.

And so because Brick & Mortar was the closest establishment to the warehouse, Brick & Mortar was used on those invoices for purposes of those relationships with the vendors. And -- and Mr. Perkins testified as to that with respect to those emails that went back and forth with Arwen at Southern Wine & Spirits.

2.4

Southern Wine & Spirits was completely aware of the fact that these -- alcohol were not being purchased just for Soul Lounge -- that it was going to the warehouse for the greater Parish Entertainment entity.

Mr. Perkins testified, also, that the venue contracts were, in fact, provided to Mr. Mustafa Jalal [sic]. And in terms of the -- the CDTFA's taking the position that, well, because the addresses were different, we're just going to just attribute it all to Soul Lounge.

And it's like, well, I think there's an explanation for that. And I don't think that that merits treating all of the inventory that was going to the warehouse as being Soul Lounge's inventory.

I -- I just want to reiterate, though, that the deliveries weren't made to Soul Lounge's business establishment. They were made to the warehouse at 11 Duboce Street. And that's the warehouse for the greater Parish Entertainment Group of businesses.

And as far as food sales go, I don't know the ins

and outs of permits and regulations and so on. But you've heard the testimony of Mr. Perkins. He didn't sell food in 2014. Can't -- can't we just trust the man and his word?

It's his business. He knows what he did. And he says he didn't make any food sales in 2014. Whether or not he properly manipulated or changed or amended a permit is not really relevant today. The question is yes or no. Food sales, yes or no?

So I mean, it's frustrating because this has gone on now for close to eight years, this case. We have another case coming now. We have an unlawful seizure. We have a change within the CDTFA and a lack of institutional memory as to what was done by the previous auditors.

And it's just a -- it's a sad state of affairs.

And I'm sorry it had to come to this. But we're now at sort of the end of our rope here. And we're asking for your help to, you know, understand what transpired here and to apply some -- some -- some logic and some fairness to the facts here.

Thank you.

2.4

JUDGE LONG: Thank you.

I'm going to turn it to my panel members and see if they have one last question.

Judge Kwee?

JUDGE KWEE: So I think -- would the parties be amenable to holding the record open to allow the Appellant to provide additional documentation?

2.4

And I guess, CDTFA would have an opportunity to respond to any additional documentation.

MR. BOUTRIS: The -- the problem that we're going to have, Judge Kwee, is that he doesn't -- Mr. Perkins doesn't work there anymore. The business has been sold four years ago.

So he -- I guess, what records are we talking about and whether or not we could retrieve them is -- is a big question mark given that -- that he's not the business anymore. It's not his business any longer.

JUDGE KWEE: Right. I think, during the course of the hearing, there were a couple of items that were referenced by the panel that might be potentially helpful.

I understand they might not be available anymore. So if it's not something that you would be able to provide or that you would have, there would be no point in holding the record open. But it is something that -- which could be potentially useful. I'll list the items:

The first one is the contracts for the venue rentals. With the contracts, I believe CDTFA mentioned there was concern with the invoices because they didn't have supporting documentation. So that was one thing

noted as potentially helpful for the panel.

A second one is internal invoices, which -- for the alcohol, which would have shown what alcohol was specifically allocated to Brick & Mortar. My understanding was that it would have been an allocation internally. And if there was any documentation of that, that could potentially be helpful.

And then I think Judge Aldrich had mentioned wanting to see the contract with the third-party food caterer that was taking over either at the end of 2013 or the beginning of 2014 to show that Appellant no longer did food.

MR. BOUTRIS: We -- we -- I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt you.

I think we can try to produce those documents for you.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So yeah, I think those were the -- oh. And Judge Aldrich, I think, mentioned, if -- if you have any 1099K forms for the period at issue, just to show what the credit card receipts were for the entities.

So then, that would be four documents. Not four documents, but four categories of documents that might be potentially helpful. If you don't have them, that's fine. But I think, potentially, that could be helpful.

1	And do you know how much time you would like to
2	look for that, if you decided that you want to to
3	submit it?
4	MR. BOUTRIS: 30 days.
5	JUDGE KWEE: Okay.
6	And turning to CDTFA, does CDTFA have an estimate
7	of time how much time it would take them to review the
8	documents and determine if it would impact their position
9	at all on the appeal?
10	MR. PARKER: Depending on the volume of the
11	documents, I would estimate up to 30 days.
12	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So if we did 30 days for
13	Appellant followed by 30 days for CDTFA with either party
14	having the opportunity to request extension of time either
15	to get more documents or to respond but initially set
16	up for 30 and 30 does that work for the Appellant?
17	MR. BOUTRIS: It does, your Honor.
18	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And does that work for CDTFA,
19	also?
20	MR. PARKER: Yes, it does.
21	JUDGE KWEE: Okay.
22	And if it were if there were a potential
23	and I'm not saying, you know, obviously, we don't know
24	what direction it would go but if it was potentially
25	possible that an adjustment would be ordered, would it

make sense to hold the record -- or to request feedback on CDTFA to do, like, a --

2.4

Like, say, for example, if -- if you were to leave venue-only rentals after receiving documentation, would it make sense to hold the record open to get CDTFA to provide an estimate of what that impact on the liability would be?

Or would that be something where we would just order it in a decision, and CDTFA would make an adjustment after?

Is there a preference? Do either parties have a preference on whether or not they would like to see an adjustment before the decision is issued? Or have the adjustment ordered in a decision, if that were something that would potentially be at issue?

MR. BOUTRIS: Our preference would be just that you order the adjustment as you determine based on the evidence.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. I'm just waiting -- giving CDTFA an opportunity to respond.

MR. PARKER: Yeah. We -- if adjustments are warranted based on the additional documentation, we could make those in advance. Or if you ordered it in the opinion, we could make the adjustments there as well.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay.

1	MR. PARKER: We're open to either way.				
2	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Great. Thank you.				
3	Then I will turn it back to Judge Long.				
4	Thank you.				
5	JUDGE LONG: Judge Aldrich, do you have any final				
6	questions?				
7	JUDGE ALDRICH: Just one quick final question.				
8	I understand there's multiple entities. And the				
9	Soul Lounge the 1099K's wouldn't be there wouldn't				
10	be any other income attributable to a separate entity.				
11	It would only be for Soul Lounge doing business				
12	as Brick & Mortar; right?				
13	THE WITNESS: Correct. We had separate credit				
14	cards and bank accounts for each entity.				
15	JUDGE ALDRICH: That's what I was getting at.				
16	THE WITNESS: Yeah.				
17	JUDGE ALDRICH: Thank you.				
18	THE WITNESS: Yeah.				
19	JUDGE ALDRICH: No further questions from me.				
20	Thank you.				
21	JUDGE LONG: Okay.				
22	As Judge Kwee mentioned, we're going to hold the				
23	record open for additional documents to be submitted				
24	within the next 30 days. So by my calendar it looks like				
25	it will be September 23rd.				

1	But I will also issue an order indicating which
2	documents we we are seeking. As Judge Kwee
3	mentioned is the contracts for the venue rentals, the
4	internal invoices for the alcohol, and the catering
5	contract, oh, and the 1099K's.
6	So I believe that concludes the hearing for
7	today.
8	Thank you, everyone for your participation.
9	(Proceedings concluded at 12:09 p.m.)
LO	
11	
12	
13	
L4	
15	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2.4

I, Sarah M. Tuman, RPR, CSR No. 14463, a

Certified Shorthand Reporter and Registered Professional

Reporter in and for the State of California, do hereby

certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand, which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given.

Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a federal case, before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [] was [x] was not requested.

I further certify I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party to this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name.

Sarah M. Tuman, CSR, RPR, CSR No. 14463

Dated: September 13, 2022 Certified Shorthand Reporter
For The State of California

\$	*252.040	AFO 040 00 10	400011
·	\$252,218 57:25	\$59,218 38:19	1099k's 72:21 102:9 103:5
\$0 31:4	\$259,336 57:19	\$617,000 78:5	11 21:22 37:1
\$1,966,075 58:21	\$26,019 34:13	\$632,000 59:4	43:14 50:7 61:14
\$1.4 55:17 56:11	\$26,661 48:25	26,661 48:25 \$632,431 57:17	81:8 88:19 95:20 96:22
\$1.6 77:2	\$261,865.86 25:15	\$642,000 59:1,4 62:25	110 20:23
\$1.7 79:13	\$261- 25:17	\$642,852 56:17	1125 24:20
\$1.8 79:23		\$644,000 55:11	11:28 83:20,23
\$1.966 33:22 59:7	\$285,299 27:9 57:25	•	
\$1.97 80:9	\$299,285 24:13 56:20	\$65,595 38:19	11:30 11:18
\$11,308 53:12		\$67,899 38:19	11:38 83:21
\$112,814 26:17	\$31,429 36:11	\$763,000 55:12	12 29:4
50:8,24 52:13 53:21	\$319,000 81:7	\$87,288 25:19	12/31/15 48:20
	\$32,797 57:21	\$87,289 24:25	120 71:4
\$112,814.24 27:1	\$34,539 36:13	\$9,000 78:10,13	12:09 2:18 103:9
\$115,000 77:7	\$343,567 24:11	\$90,000 51:17	12A-1 55:8
\$12,273 37:15	56:21	\$90,786.50 27:19	14 57:23
\$141,123 35:25 51:25 52:20	\$348,451 40:23	\$90,787 27:12	140 21:3 36:5 71:4
\$150,000 63:3	\$35,528 57:2	51:9,18,20 52:14 53:22	14463 2:19
\$16,659 58:2	\$37,112 36:13	\$900,000 62:20	15 24:17 78:7
\$17,000 80:13	\$373,095 26:13		80:14 90:2
\$17,159 35:14	57:18	0	150 21:3 72:12
52:22	\$38,043 36:13	0 75:12	16 26:8 50:19 57:14
\$18,000 79:16	\$392,000 79:25	0 73.12	1698 81:20
\$2,000 79:7	\$4,453 38:8	1	17 4:14
\$2,269 38:8	\$4,494 37:16		1700 21:20 61:11,
\$2,363,476 40:5	\$4,587 38:8	1 23:20 56:14 62:22 76:13 79:5	14
\$2.3 81:11	\$5 80:19	1/18/2012 28:23,	1710 21:21 37:1
\$20 31:4 66:6	\$51,417 38:18	24	61:11 88:25
\$200,000 93:14,	\$52,000 79:19	1/31/2012 29:3	18 77:14
19	\$537,000 59:1,5	10 4:10 80:25	19 77:3
\$22,000 80:24	\$537,517 40:11 57:24	81:13	19075065 2:6 5:6
\$23,357 37:16		10/1/15 48:20	
\$244,129 38:20	\$537- 59:2	100 71:4	2
\$244,130 53:10	\$547,000 76:15,	1065 24:10	2 23:25 24:10,13
	. •	1099K 76:18	27:8 40:23 41:4

Index: 20..absorbing

22nd 5:7 7054 81:20 60:5 62:22 79:6, **47** 74:2 82:14,17, 17 80:12 22 91:8,23 23rd 102:25 **76** 4:15 20 4:18 32:19 66:9 **496** 80:19 **24** 2:18 5:1.7 77:8 **7:00** 20:20 72:14 76:4 **497** 80:20 **240** 21:2 200 21:2 54:3,6, 8 13,20,21,22 55:3 **25** 66:10 5 67:6,25 78:16,20 **25,000** 71:16 **8** 4:4 59:21 60:4 79:12,18 **5** 24:21 81:12 78:7.10 79:10.14. 2:00 11:15 **2003** 26:8 20 80:2 **500** 72:1 2004 27:6 **80** 25:9,10 3 **528** 39:11 **2009** 76:11 **82** 26:23 **53** 29:23 **3** 24:12 60:5 2011 21:9,13 83:1 **84** 27:17 **535** 39:20 40:25 **30** 26:15 50:21 2012 12:10,11 **8:00** 11:15.17 **54** 80:2 79:10 100:4,11, 17:15 21:14 23:24 20:20 72:15,16 12,13,16 102:24 25:8 28:10 55:14 **549** 91:19 56:17 59:1,4 **300** 54:7,14,18 **555** 39:19,21 9 62:19,21 63:3 55:1,4,5 67:7,9, 73:5 76:13 77:2, 11,25 75:12 **564** 91:21 9 4:5 59:20,21 60:4 11,13 80:3,6 78:21,24 79:2 569 82:16 92:9 82:25 83:1,3 **91** 56:10 30214(f) 9:11 **57** 30:15 **2013** 20:17 26:21 92 4:22 56:10 303 83:4 34:16 35:13.25 **58** 77:13 36:7 37:14,19 9:00 11:18 72:16 **304** 83:4 **590** 33:13 52:1,14,21 53:21, 9:30 2:17 5:2,8 **31** 27:7 40:9 57:23 22 55:11 57:13,17 **594** 33:20 58:17 76:13 59:1,5 77:2,12,13 **596** 34:7,12 49:24 78:3,5,9,10,13 Α **32** 54:1 51:1 67:2 79:9,13,16,19,23, **35** 10:9 79:14 25 80:5 91:16 **A-AD** 4:4 8:17 **597** 55:8 99:10 **350** 82:7 **A-G** 4:5 9:20 **2014** 27:18 28:11 **356** 82:7 6 **a.m.** 2:17 5:2,8 34:13 38:5,6,12, **359** 80:1,4 95:12 11:15 83:23 17,24 40:8,12 **601** 35:8 51:8 52:15 53:5, **37** 78:10 **ABC** 19:8 42:9.12. 21,22 55:12 57:24 **650-person** 40:1 24 44:1,2 73:22 **396** 52:4 83:7 58:4 59:5 60:5 82:17 91:8 92:3,9 **668** 47:15 48:8,12 70:12 76:13 78:3, 95:24 5,11,13 79:9,13, **672** 41:22,23 4 **ABC's** 89:7 16,20,23,25 80:5 **695** 43:20 82:13 91:18 97:3, ability 56:2 **400** 2:16 79:3 6 99:11 **696** 44:7 absence 78:11 **405** 83:7 **2018** 44:14 absolutely 11:24 7 **425** 81:15 **2022** 2:18 5:1,7 12:3 25:3 36:19 60:6 **45** 27:10 51:16 43:18 68:12 **7** 80:10 20th 21:13 **46** 28:8,23 34:11 absorbing 21:11 7053 81:19 79:20 21 80:17,18

Index: acceptable..appellant's

acceptable 16:16 52:22 53:10,11 **affairs** 97:15 62:2,17,21 69:9 67:14 75:22 81:22 94:24 97:7 agencies 89:15 accepted 28:7 90:12 98:3,5 85:13 87:13 **amount** 6:17,19, 101:22 102:23 agreed 6:12 22 12:4 13:8 access 70:22 address 13:13 agreement 31:15 15:11 25:2,21 account 73:8 61:2 82:1 89:13 26:10 27:3 33:5 86:8,9 75:7 94:18 90:24 93:24 95:19 53:7.11.19 67:14 **ahead** 31:11 84:23 87:14 95:8 addresses 61:8 accountant 25:4 50:16,21 86:3 69:3 87:6,7,12 amounts 16:1 26:3 alcohol 11:23 96:14 69:6 76:23 77:21 accounting 26:4 13:8 14:15 24:23 28:6,18 adds 51:25 25:2,14,17 26:17 analysis 50:3 55:9 77:17 27:12 35:12 36:14 adequate 93:25 accounts 56:6 38:7 40:24 50:7, 102:14 **Andrea** 3:3 5:4 adequately 76:1 22 52:14 53:7,22 Andrew 3:5 5:10 accuracy 76:23 55:2,10 67:4 78:6 adjunct 10:10 80:12 82:18 86:25 77:21 answering 47:25 87:24 88:4 96:8 adjusted 22:16 accurate 27:13, 99:3 103:4 79:8 anymore 44:14 21 29:20 40:6 98:8,13,17 alcoholic 6:22 52:23,25 53:13 adjustment 33:22 82:13 87:25 57:12 95:9 apologies 92:9 14:14 79:5.17 100:25 101:9.13. accurately 37:18 Aldrich 3:5 5:11 apologize 47:14, 14,17 70:4,7,9,14,23 **ACH** 60:2,3 adjustments 71:2,5,8,12 72:3, appeal 2:5 5:5,6, acknowledge 17,20,25 73:3,11, 6:13,16,18,21 11 11:2 82:2 17 74:5,8,11,16, 88:7 93:9 101:21, 15:21 83:14 93:15 100:9 19,25 75:3,17 24 acknowledged 84:3,6,9,10,25 **Appeals** 2:1 9:11 **ADMINISTRATIO** 51:24 99:8,18 102:5,7, appearance **N** 3:11 15,17,19 acknowledges 10:19 15:20 administrative ALJ 3:3,5 5:5,11 **APPEARANCES** 8:18 9:21 17:3 acquire 12:11 alleges 35:24 93:12 3:1 21:7 alleging 55:9 appeared 94:4 admit 7:13 8:15 acquired 21:9 allocable 69:9 admitted 7:1 8:20 appearing 6:7 38:10 9:19 51:24 allocated 99:4 Appellant 2:7 3:7 acting 6:2 admitting 9:18 6:8,13,16,18,20, allocation 99:5 activities 82:2 25 17:2 76:9.14. advance 31:4 allowance 80:11 actual 72:23 16,18 78:23 80:16 65:4,6 101:23 82:20 81:22 82:1,3,8,12, allowed 19:9 advanced 30:21, 13,19,23,24 83:5 44:14 73:19 79:16 AD 6:25 7:8 8:16 84:12 88:1 98:2 25 31:2,5,12 32:3 82:22 add 69:1,10 99:11 100:13,16 33:8 **Altos** 46:14 appellant's 4:4, added 34:25 advantage 22:13 amenable 98:2 38:20 54:20 64:3 17 7:2,4,7 8:16,17 advertised 82:23 39:11 76:22 77:9, 69:5 amended 23:24 11,24 78:19 80:17 advice 16:4 25:3,23 26:19 addition 59:6 81:5,14,17 83:14 27:6 28:7 51:14 advised 14:5 16:5 additional 43:14 58:6 61:20,24,25

Index: Appellants..big

7:17 8:20 9:24 90:16 applied 79:18 80:7 apply 9:13 97:19 appointees 11:1 approach 93:8 approved 83:11 approximately 5:8 55:16 59:4,5 65:23 76:14 77:1, 7 79:9,16,23,25 80:9,13,24 81:7, 11 area 22:7 39:4 40:3,20,21 61:16 90.23 argument 4:20 75:23 92:16 arguments 7:19, 20 84:11 arrangement 43:12 **Arwen** 42:1,2,15 43:21 44:19 96:6 ascertain 64:7,8 aspect 65:21 67:16,23 68:1,8,9, asserting 33:21 53:6 assessed 81:13 assessment 93:15 **assign** 45:10 assigning 68:15 assumed 53:3 78:12 assumption 13:17 14:18 91:11,17

Appellants 5:25

assumptions 15:3 33:18 58:19 attached 63:10 87:5 attorney 6:2 10:9 25:5 28:5 33:17 49:12 attributable 90:18 102:10 attribute 96:15 attributed 12:5 audit 11:11,21 14:20 26:1 29:20 33:13 34:20 35:19 37:13 49:23 51:21 58:15,20 66:16 76:12,16,17,20 77:7,20 78:22,24 79:21 80:9,15,24 81:1,8,9,11 83:12 84:21 88:7 93:4,8, 10 audited 6:14.17. 19,21,22 7:11 34:11,13 35:21 77:21 78:9,22

79:4,12,19,21 auditor 9:1 11:10, 12 13:2 14:15 17:20 34:23 35:1, 5 37:5 42:15 45:6, 13 52:18 53:1,2,5 54:9,19,23 86:16 89:19,21 94:1

Auditorium 30:25

auditors 35:4 90:3 97:14

audits 38:3 78:17

August 2:18 5:1,7 76:11

authenticate 9:3

authentication 9:8

authorizes 82:17

average 54:3,14 55:1

averaged 54:18

aware 32:11 43:8, 10 46:17 96:7

В

back 40:8 44:25 49:23 51:1 52:4 56:13,14 59:3 60:5 61:14 67:21 70:1 73:5 75:19 83:20,24 84:7 86:14,22 88:13 96:5 102:3

band 20:21 63:22 65:5 71:18,23

bands 22:9

bank 56:6,7 58:10,11,13 59:15 72:18 73:7,8 84:20,24 94:2 102:14

bar 10:24 11:14,19 19:1 44:13 46:3, 12 47:5 54:23 63:11 64:5,6,11, 13,15 67:3 74:7,8 76:10 78:16,22 79:4,22 80:11 81:3 85:10,19 92:19

bar-type 46:18

Barry 46:13

bars 38:3 44:18 46:5 57:8 90:22

bartender 14:25 15:8 71:10 72:1 74:6

bartenders 34:1 64:2 70:25 71:20

based 7:15 15:2 35:24 39:9 55:7,9 58:19 75:4 77:17 78:17 79:21 80:11 81:9,24 82:4 83:1, 8,10,13 91:10 101:17,22

basic 17:15 19:20 46:8

basically 32:6,13 69:12

Basil 3:7 6:2,6,7 10:8 25:5

basis 14:20 33:1 35:11 83:9 94:19

Bay 12:21 19:17 22:7 39:4 40:3,19,

bear 47:11 58:16

beer 29:15,17 35:14,16 38:8,10 41:10 52:22 53:11 67:3

beers 54:16

begin 5:16 9:23 10:4 17:7 76:5

beginning 12:11 28:1 32:10 61:1 75:10 99:11

begins 10:1

behalf 6:3 17:2

believed 14:5 49:7

belonged 91:4

belonging 14:16

benefit 93:15

beverage 33:22 78:1,4 80:13 81:23 82:3,14 87:25 91:4

beverages 6:15, 20,22 78:6 85:20, 23

Bezos 46:25 bifocals 24:16

big 13:23 14:14

Index: bigger..Christmas

44:18 73:6 98:12 breaking 24:19 35:11,13 36:6 C 38:6,22 50:5 51:2, bigger 31:1 **Brick** 17:23 18:7 23 54:5,25 55:8 21:14,21 30:23 biggest 10:21 56:2 58:18 60:15 cafe 17:19 18:3,5 31:19 34:3 36:22 12:14 23:4 39:3 67:7 69:6,14 37:11 44:23 46:10 calculate 79:8 70:13 76:4 83:25 **bills** 75:7 55:19 61:6,11,22 86:4,22 87:14 calculated 67:23 65:9 69:10 70:24 birthday 13:23 88:14 90:11,19 80:1 73:15 74:3 82:5 93:14,19 94:13 87:9,20 88:24 **bit** 45:19 75:11 calendar 27:18 95:8,18 97:13 89:11 90:24 91:2, 102:24 98:4,23 100:6,13, **blank** 7:24 8:1.2. 4,11 95:22 96:1,2 18 101:2,5,9,20 7,12 California 2:2,16, 99:4 102:12 21 3:10 5:1,9 9:12 **CDTFA's** 8:21,23 blocks 19:2 briefly 86:2 10:13,23 11:3 9:18 18:25 33:13 **Board** 10:18 73:24 76:11 92:19 brilliant 46:23 51:21 67:2 76:3 85:11 87:11 93:24 **body** 71:16 **call** 31:11 68:16 bring 46:15 63:22 96:13 70:18 **BOE** 10:25 22:20 called 17:2 44:21 **center** 88:22 23:5 44:5 95:8 73:21 Brooks 3:12 5:21, central 12:23 22 7:5,6,25 **BOE's** 35:19 card 56:10.12 18:16 19:9 22:21 58:11,13 59:15 brought 12:24 book 22:9 72:10 37:23 43:2 44:2,5 72:18,20,24 73:10 67:14 70:17 71:12 46:18 47:1 67:15 bookkeeper 45:9 76:18 84:13,14,22 87:2 building 22:3 94:2 99:20 **books** 76:17,21 centralized 43:14 buildings 17:22 77:18 78:14 80:3 cards 59:23,24 22:4 37:7,8 61:12 81:18 83:11 93:25 84:20 102:14 centrally 46:21 **bunch** 17:17 **booths** 20:11 carried 19:12.21 Certified 2:20 Bureau 5:20 bought 20:7,8,9 **case** 5:6,15 8:10 cetera 58:1 13:9,15 14:17 **busiest** 40:2.3 bounced 93:22 chagrin 20:25 17:14 18:25 46:14 **business** 11:13, **Boutris** 3:7 4:10, 53:21 74:11 90:8 **chance** 19:23 19 12:4,10,12 14,22 6:2,6,7 8:6, 93:2 97:11,12 **change** 97:13 13:9 17:16 18:6, 7,22,24 10:7,8,14, cases 15:13 92:21 10,12 20:6 21:12 16 12:18 16:18,21 changed 72:7 31:7 34:5 39:1 20:2 23:9,13,17, cash 59:19 60:4 90:4 97:7 40:20 46:20 47:2 18 24:2,4,6,8 76:19 56:25 57:6 61:21 **charge** 32:14 42:3 33:11 47:15,18,21 categories 99:23 70:20 75:5 82:2 44:19 64:19 66:8 48:5,7 60:7,10 90:25 91:3,24 86:20 75:22,25 92:14,17 caterer 15:7 95:2,24 96:21 98:6 99:13 100:4, 20:16 34:17 92:2, charged 48:5 97:5 98:8,12,13 17 101:16 6 99:10 102:11 charges 16:2 Boutris' 47:25 catering 20:17 businesses cheated 59:7 34:21 70:10,12 **brand** 44:15 12:23 18:1,12 89:24 103:4 check 59:25 74:9, 19:15,16 21:11 brand-new 86:20 13 **CDTFA** 5:18,20, 43:6 46:17,18 break 83:19 22,24 7:1,3,21 78:18 96:24 **checks** 76:19 8:19 11:12 12:2,5 breakdown 26:16 **buy** 31:5,11 65:5 **Chief** 5:19 13:10,17 19:14 27:11 50:20 80:18 20:18 22:20 26:22 Christmas 21:13 33:21 34:8,12

Index: Christopher..cost

83:1 **collect** 14:7 15:25 concert 65:3,4 continually 93:25 16:1 33:7 conclude 60:12 continued 91:17 Christopher 3:12 collected 33:5 5:21,22 7:6 concluded 103:9 **Contra** 19:18 city's 54:15 collecting 13:25 concludes 83:15 contract 34:21 claimed 76:15 collection 93:13 103:6 70:10,12,16 85:15 86:19 99:9 103:5 82:3 **Column** 35:23 concluding 2:17 claiming 34:12 36:6 37:12 38:16 contracts 20:17, conducting 5:12 35:13 36:6,14 18 85:16,22 86:16 combined 80:4 53:5 confidence 26:20 89:23 96:12 commencing 98:22,23 103:3 27:15 clarification 2:17 59:16 70:19 confident 25:6 contrary 95:18 Committee 26:6 **clarify** 7:23 8:5 **control** 18:22 10:18,22 61:8 62:16 69:24 confirming 68:7 47:3 82:14 87:25 72:5 89:2 commonly 90:21 93:22 confirms 41:20 clarity 49:13 controlling 65:18 communicate conflated 13:12 73:12 92:24 Cool 92:23 conform 27:3 clear 59:11 86:15 communication 50:11 **copies** 86:15,19 43:24 click 31:17.20 89:18,22,23,24 confused 18:2 communicative **client** 93:14 **copy** 34:21 70:16 23:7 confusion 19:12 clipboard 74:14 48:9 **corner** 18:7 company 17:17 75:4 21:17,19,23 46:11 37:14 43:17 45:23 conjunction 89:14 clock 83:20 66:4,7 73:20 85:24 correct 14:2 25:2, close 60:8 97:11 compared 76:24 connection 16 26:2,18 29:18 77:4 79:24 90:19 **closed** 21:12 36:2 40:15 43:7 compares 77:10 45:22 52:19 53:24 82:25 considerable 57:22 61:4 63:1 13:8 closeout 31:22 competitive 22:8, 64:25 65:12 68:6 13 consistently 69:15 71:1 72:19 closer 6:5 24:6,7 72:6 73:16 74:10 75:2 complete 21:13 closest 74:2 96:1 91:12 102:13 22:3 76:21 constitutes CLOSING 4:20 53:22 81:16 corrected 12:19 completely 96:7 92:16 59:2 consumption complicated **club** 14:22 20:9 82:18.21 correctly 49:12 43:1 63:19 30:7 34:3,4 40:20 62:13 66:14 contact 34:22 56:1 computations correspondence 35:14 contained 9:12 **clubs** 22:9,14 41:19 34:3 56:2 computed 77:10 contends 81:22 cost 24:1,10,12,20 82:12,24 co-panelists computer 84:4 25:18 26:10,17,25 5:13 84:1 contention 41:14 27:8,11,18 28:3 concern 66:15 53:19 82:10 40:22 41:4,5 Code 9:13,15 67:12,13 98:24 51:11 56:20 81:19 context 65:25 concerned 63:9 57:18,24 77:10,16 Coliseum 31:24 continual 37:4 79:1,8 80:12 concerns 9:7 86:7

deliveries 91:2 differences 77:1 Costa 19:18 D 96:21 costs 72:25 78:21 difficult 92:21 delivery 46:3 47:9 Counsel 5:21.22 difficulty 33:10 daily 73:1 76:19 89:13 **count** 95:16 direct 4:17 20:1,4 data 76:18,19 denied 83:14 34:11 60:12 78:11 84:12 counting 95:14 Department 3:10 direction 100:24 date 22:14 28:10 **County** 19:18 7:7,25 76:12,21, 76:11 85:18 24 77:4,9,17,18, directly 10:15 **couple** 49:10 20,23 78:2,8,12, 13:9 68:22 dates 22:12 83:3 60:24,25 90:15 14,15 79:4,11,15, discontinued 98:15 day 11:15 14:13 25 80:4,7,12,15, 34:15 21:18 22:1 30:7 **court** 16:15 22 81:1,5,10,13 68:15 73:9 83:8,11,14 84:9, discount 18:17, cover 60:11 63:13 21 43:13 days 21:6 32:18 71:6 100:4,11,12,13 department's 4:5 discounts 47:3 covered 60:11 102:24 9:20 20:25 discuss 7:18 76:1 **DBA** 74:22,23 depending 20:20 covering 28:10 discussion 44:12 75:1 87:9 72:7 100:10 54:8 29:20 **DBI** 35:15,17,18 deposited 84:20, **CPA** 16:5 discussions 45:1 38:7,10 52:22 24 53:12 69:15 78:1, **craft** 54:16 dispersed 12:25 deposits 60:3 4 81:23 82:3,5 Crafty 18:5 21:19 73:10 84:20 dispute 67:9,10 90:17 91:4 61:6,10 68:8,10 describe 20:5 deal 18:15 39:6 29:25 43:23 created 8:9 distribute 18:21 44:23,24 19:9 description credible 94:17 dealing 35:5 50:20 distributed 68:19 **credit** 29:2 56:10, dearly 28:3 Detail 94:9 12 58:11,13 Distributing December 28:11 59:15,23,24 28:25 88:15 details 42:10 76:13 72:18,20,24 73:10 distribution determination 76:18 84:13,14, decided 17:16 18:16 47:1 67:15 5:15 83:10 93:18 20,22 94:2 99:20 54:5 77:18 100:2 73:18 87:3 102:13 determine 76:22 decision 101:9, distributor 29:15 **CROSS** 4:17 78:4 79:12,19 13.14 89:7 80:5,8,23 83:12 **crowd** 41:12 deductions 100:8 101:17 distributors 54:16 71:17,18,24 76:15 18:15 47:8 determined **CSR** 2:19 **deem** 9:17 35:12 77:18,21 district 42:3 78:9 79:22 80:12 curious 85:11 deficiency 83:9, **DMV** 92:9 81:6,10 91:3 12 customer 82:6 document 28:9, determining 86:9 delayed 90:5 15 29:10 39:13 87:14 customers 31:10 deliver 90:22 documentary dialogue 16:12 80:16,18 95:19 82:9 19:19 delivered 37:11, documentation difference 62:2,6 22,23 95:20 86:5 98:3,5,25 63:6 77:7 99:6 101:4,22

documents 53:9 64:5 67:6 99:15. 22,23 100:8,11,15 102:23 103:2

dollars 36:22 43:19 44:17

door 31:6 63:12 80:19

doors 11:17 20:20 72:14,15

double 9:8

Downtown 39:5 40.1

drink 6:19 72:1 80:18,21

drinking 71:17,24

drinks 14:25 81:3

drop 22:24 74:17

dropped 62:25

Duboce 21:22 37:1 43:5 61:15 88:19,20,21 95:20 96:23

due 16:4 62:8 76:20 78:14 80:3 81:17 93:20

duly 17:3

Ε

earlier 7:19 9:14 72:13

early 83:3

easier 64:7

East 12:21 19:17

easy 23:7 75:8

Eating 82:15

economies 12:22

effective 11:2

effectively 40:16

efficient 47:2

efforts 10:21

elements 67:24

email 41:25 42:6,8 44:4,8

emails 43:21 44:25 96:5

employees 21:11 75:13

end 14:13 23:3 97:17 99:10

engagement

13:22

entertainment

17:17 68:17 73:21,22,24 76:10 89:9 96:10,24

enthusiastic 22:25

entire 15:11 19:21 29:20

entities 43:4 45:10 61:3,9 68:5 73:14 82:11 87:4, 6,8,13 89:3 99:21 102:8

entitled 95:9

entity 7:10,11 13:11 61:21,22 65:8 73:17 81:24 82:4 88:22 89:8,9 96:10 102:10,14

entrance 61:15 80:16

entry 28:22,24

equal 5:13

erroneous 13:17 93:10

error 80:1,4,7 81:14 95:12,13

errors 49:10

essentially 9:8

establish 8:2

established 6:15 10:23

establishment 96:2,22

estimate 100:6,11 101:6

estimated 78:16 79:11,18

evaluating 9:15

event-by-event 28:19

events 13:20 31:16 80:23 81:2 83:2

everyone's 73:25

evidence 5:14 7:14 8:18.25 9:12. 13,15,21 61:20 70:11 81:16,20 82:9 83:13 84:19 85:22 93:6 101:18

exact 87:19

examination

20:1 76:12

examined 17:4 exclusively 13:4

excuse 37:7 41:3

Executive 10:18, 22

exhibit 7:14 8:15, 17 9:20 33:14 62:24 67:2 77:3.8. 13 78:6,10 79:10, 14,20 80:2,10,14, 19,25 81:8,11 82:7,15 83:4,7 85:7,8 86:6 91:19

exhibits 4:4,5 6:25 7:4,8,20 8:8, 16,19,23 9:6,18, 19 13:5 14:12 23:10,14,19 28:9 29:23 39:11 56:15 86:24 87:17,19

expect 33:16 85:15,21 87:23

expected 78:17

expense 28:25 29:3

expenses 56:24 57:1,19 58:1

expensing 28:20

expensive 28:2

experiences 22:6

expert 92:3

explain 18:14 19:8,13,23 37:5 42:6,15

explained 23:5 46:9 53:1,17 54:23 68:18 83:9

explaining 23:2 66:18,20

explanation 30:19 96:17

extension 100:14

extent 15:7

extraordinary 58:18

extrapolated

11:23 12:1 14:17 15:2 55:14,15

extreme 25:24

F

face 16:13 47:7,22 48:4

facilities 82:20

facility 6:17 13:25 15:10 81:2,4,7

fact 14:14 57:10 78:21 90:7 96:8, 12

Index: factor..guess

factor 78:25 79:1, fine 16:17 99:24 Francisco 11:17 gentlemen 93:1 12:21 22:11,14 fire 20:25 giant 22:24 29:15 42:4 56:9 facts 94:11 97:20 firm 26:4 28:5 59:20 76:10 88:5 give 7:14 9:16 90:23 **failure** 81:18 16:12 19:23 22:10 fit 9:17 92:24 32:16 44:16 60:24 fraud 95:6 flip 24:17 25:8 73:7,12 84:7 fairly 34:2 43:16 Fred 18:18 89:18 26:8,14,21 27:10 fairness 97:19 35:8 39:17 44:6 free 80:20 giving 44:22 66:5, 50:16,21 51:1 6 101:19 faith 51:15 Friday 72:6 56:13 58:15,16 fallacious 14:18 **qlad** 11:6 flipping 40:8 front 23:10 24:9 Golden 10:9 **false** 15:3 39:17 floor 61:18 feasible 15:1 frustrating 97:10 **qood** 5:4 7:5 flow 18:9 13:19 34:2 71:7, full 12:10 53:3 federal 27:4,6,22 flowers 15:9 15 75:16 64:15 76:10 95:8 30:10 32:22 50:12 focus 7:19 goods 24:1,10,12, 51:12 52:12 53:7, fully 83:8 20 56:13 58:25 20 25:18 26:10, **follow-up** 88:11 62:7,17 76:25 17,25 27:8,12,18 functioning 11:6 40:22 41:4,5 77:11 94:7,20,24 **food** 6:15 20:14, fundamental 16 33:22 34:11, 51:11 56:20 **fee** 3:10 13:24 19:20 57:18,25 77:10,16 13,16 63:16,19 32:7,9,17 65:23 78:10,11,12,16,22 fundamentally 79:8 66:5,8,9,12,13,16 79:15,22 81:3 14:13 Google 61:12 feedback 16:12 82:12 85:20,23 fundraisers 30:5 government 59:7 101:1 91:9,11,13,14,18, 25 92:2,5 96:25 funds 32:25 great 17:7 39:6 feeling 16:11 97:2,6,9 99:9,12 76:2 102:2 fees 32:15 81:4 forest 12:3 73:13 G greater 96:10,23 felt 10:24 forget 42:10 greatest 89:16 Gate 10:10 festival 44:16.21 forgive 73:4 grew 75:12 gave 18:20 20:15, festivals 42:18 form 24:9,20 17 22:12.13 39:5 gross 25:14 40:4, figure 33:18 42:23 59:19 72:21 74:13 70:13 72:23 86:15 11 48:24 49:8,15 95:7 69:3 56:16,21 57:17, general 76:20 **format** 88:17 18,24,25 62:3,6, figures 34:9 82:15 20,25 76:24 77:12 file 89:10 forms 25:5 42:23 generally 32:18 group 63:24 99:19 66:9 78:17 85:14, filed 58:7 68:17,18 73:21, 19 87:23 **formula** 55:15 22,24 89:9 96:24 filing 48:20 49:6 generate 33:19 forthcoming guard 15:8 fill 42:23 75:4 59:17 guess 59:12 62:9 filling 95:7 generated 13:20 **fourth** 37:17 66:2 68:9 70:23 32:21 final 92:12 93:18 38:16,20 71:3 72:5 73:11, 102:5.7 generating 12:4 18 84:11,15,16,25 **Fox** 18:5 21:19 40:16 50:3 86:4 88:15 89:2 30:24 31:23 61:6, financial 53:9 98:4,10 10 generation 13:7 find 7:8 47:11 69:13

guest 76:19 **Hey** 22:10 included 8:4 internally 74:5 63:16 64:2,5,6,13, 99:6 guilty 48:5 hide 56:11 15 66:1,17 68:25 interpretation guns 93:10 high 32:20 44:21 84:21 55:10 guys 19:22 45:5 higher 31:2 66:10 including 55:14 interrupt 99:14 68:1 46:3 highly 87:24 interrupting income 26:9 27:4, highly-profitable 47:17 Н 22 30:9,10 32:22 57:5 50:12 51:12 52:12 interruption 33:9 53:7 56:13 58:25 **hipster** 54:15 half 34:4 75:13 intricacies 16:8 62:17 76:25 77:11 hired 25:3 28:5 hall 63:25 94:7,20,24 102:10 introduce 6:1 hold 90:4 101:1,5 hand 16:23 46:6 incomplete 77:19 inventory 18:22 102:22 75:19 92:23 46:5,6,16 47:3 incorrectly 95:7 holding 89:8 89:21 94:3 95:14, handle 15:14 28:6 19,20 96:18,19 increase 78:23 92:18 98:2,19 handled 66:16 increased 39:1 invoice 45:24 **Honor** 75:25 90:1 68:22 73:23 75:4 100:17 independent handling 34:18, 82:6 88:15,18,19, 31:7 honored 11:8 19 23 89:4,8 95:23 index 85:7 hour 20:21 **happen** 12:23 invoices 13:12 hours 14:22 72:4, indicating 103:1 18:24 35:19,20,21 happened 13:2 36:25 38:3,4,14 17:21 19:23 45:20 indirect 77:20 45:10 63:10 64:16 71:21 huge 11:24 14:17 individuals 71:2 68:20,25 69:1,10, 22:13 happy 20:25 12 82:4 85:8,9,17 information 92:19 86:5,8,25 87:7,11, hundred 13:14 34:20,22 72:24 12 88:14 96:3 25:6 26:6.20 hard 22:16 90:3 80:22 83:2 91:19, 98:24 99:2 103:4 27:14 22 92:8 hassle 8:12 invoicing 28:20 **initial** 54:19 Headquarters ı involve 7:10 5:19 initially 100:15 **involved** 65:8,11 **Healy** 65:14 idea 18:19 22:25 inputting 51:3 70:20 93:1 32:16 46:23 71:17 **hear** 70:7 inquiring 44:5 89:17 involvement heard 86:18 97:2 15:15,16 **ins** 96:25 identified 28:9 **hearing** 5:8,23 **IRS** 28:7 58:6 inspect 42:25 identify 23:23 87:1 92:18 98:15 28:15 39:13 issue 6:12 7:24 institution 10:23 103:6 8:13 14:3 15:4 ill 15:24 institutional hearsay 9:8 17:13 19:5 43:25 97:13 **impact** 100:8 44:1 90:7 99:19 heart 17:21 101:6 101:15 103:1 intent 15:24 **heavy** 71:24 imposed 6:23 **issued** 101:13 **intents** 18:13 helpful 65:20 impossible 55:21 interest 70:19 issues 7:18 10:12 66:24 90:11 93:5 37:21 54:12 98:16 99:1,7,24, include 64:11 internal 99:2 25 77:25 81:23 items 29:4 79:8 103:4 81:24 98:15,21

limits 71:9 14 99:8,17,18 101:19,25 102:2, J 100:5,12,18,21 22 103:2 lineup 56:4 101:19,25 102:2, 3,5,7,15,17,19,21, link 65:15,19 Jalal 17:21 45:12 L 22 103:2 58:9 96:12 liquor 12:4,24,25 judges 5:10 15:23 13:3,4,8,14 17:25 Jalid 34:23 35:6 **labor** 15:7 17:11 23:10 27:25 18:15 21:24 22:24 lack 76:21 78:14 jam 71:23 28:16 30:18 34:6 35:25 36:25 37:9, 80:3 97:13 95:17 13,19,23 38:22 January 28:10 41:9,12,14 42:12, 76:13 large 18:10 41:8 jump 23:19 27:6, 16,25 43:5 44:15 67:24 16 29:23 33:12 Jason 3:11 4:18 45:3.4 47:4.7 37:12 38:5 39:9. 5:19 6:9 14:24 largest 34:4 51:25 52:20 53:3, 10 41:21 47:13 17:1 20:3 21:7 10,19 54:17 63:6 law 8:18 9:21 17:3 57:23 64:2.3 68:15 **Jeff** 46:25 73:24 jumping 38:15 73:18 74:18,24 **iob** 23:2 90:8 lawyer 16:5 64:21 88:9 89:7 92:20 lead 3:3 5:5,11 liquor-oriented **Johnson** 42:1,2 K 70:1 41:13 44:19 leave 101:4 **liquors** 43:15 kind 9:14 12:7 **jointly** 21:10 **leaves** 11:18 **list** 28:10,18 29:19 34:1,5 39:23 Josh 5:11 31:16 32:24 88:24 53:25 58:18 64:21 leaving 56:21 98:21 72:11 **JOSHUA** 3:5 ledgers 76:20 listed 18:24 36:8 kinks 75:11 journals 76:20 left-hand 54:2 38:22 51:20 64:24 kitchen 34:16,21 judge 5:4,25 6:4, 69:2,6,8 87:20 legal 7:10 19:6 82:19 89:24 92:5, 11 7:12,23 8:5,14, 89:3 81:24 82:11 18,19 9:10,21,22 **listing** 82:6 87:12 lengthy 66:24 10:7,14 16:17,19, knew 22:5 25:25 22 17:3,7 23:12, lists 82:5 26:2 42:14 71:18 lessee 15:14 16 24:2,5 47:14, live 76:10 knock 75:10 16,19,22,25 48:4 **letting** 16:11 60:7,11,14,19,20, lives 22:16 knowing 34:2 level 93:12,13 22 61:5,19 62:1, 40:19 **LLC** 2:6 6:3,7,10 16,19,24 63:2,8 liability 67:23 39:15,23,25 knowledge 30:12 64:4,12,20 65:1,7, 78:23 101:7 74:21,25 75:5 33:6 51:13 58:12 20 66:14,22 license 73:22 76:9 67:12,18,20,22 kudos 92:21 74:2,24 82:14,17, 68:4,7,13,24 69:8, **LLC's** 39:15 22 89:8 91:8,18, Kwee 3:5 5:11 18,21 70:1,4,7,9, 20,22 92:1,2 **LLCS** 18:12 14,23 71:2,5,8,12 60:20,22 61:5,19 72:3,17,20,25 62:1,16,19,24 licensed 82:13 **LLM** 10:10 73:3,11,17 74:5,8, 63:2,8 64:4,12,20 95:24 local 30:24 31:5 65:1,7,20 66:14, 11,16,19,25 75:3, licenses 92:4 17,19,21 76:2 22 67:12,18,22 located 21:16 68:4,7,13,24 69:8, 83:17,24 84:2,3,5, licensing 91:10 39:24 40:1 9,25 85:2,4,25 18,21 84:2,3 85:2, life 12:19 location 19:14 86:1,3,4,21 88:9, 4,25 86:4,21 88:9, 46:19 88:5 21 89:5 90:10,13, 21 90:10 97:25 limited 76:17 14 91:6,24 92:10 98:1,7,14 99:17 locations 19:11 97:22,25 98:1,7, 100:5,12,18,21

Index: logic..mistakes

46:21 47:6 61:3 67:15 69:4,6 87:24 88:3,6 logic 97:19 long 3:3 5:4,25 6:4,11 7:12,23 8:5,14,19 9:10,22 10:7,14 16:17,19, 22 17:7 23:12,16 24:2,5 46:24 47:14,16,19,22,25 48:4 60:7,11,14, 19 70:2,4 75:19, 21 76:2 83:17,24 84:5 86:1,3 90:13, 14 91:6,24 92:10 97:22 102:3,5,21 longer 7:24 94:12 98:13 99:11 looked 22:2 lose 57:10 loss 25:9 26:22 53:8,20 57:21

27:16 51:13 52:13 58:1 77:5,24 78:2, 8 81:6 91:15 94:8

lot 11:10 17:13 21:4 33:15 43:18 46:25 54:17 65:12,13 75:14 88:16 89:6

lots 93:6

loud 60:23

Lounge 2:6 5:6 6:3,7,10 12:15 13:4,13,24,25 14:16 15:15,17 17:23 18:4 20:5,7, 8 21:8,22 26:9 27:17 28:20 29:17.21 34:12 35:14,16,18,25 36:15 37:1,19,23 38:6,9,23 40:9,11, 17 41:17 43:4 52:20,24 53:4,23 54:10,14,15 56:14 57:1 58:10,20

61:21,25 65:9 73:15 74:3 87:8 88:25 90:18 91:7 95:15,23 96:9,15 102:9,11

Lounge's 95:19 96:19,21

Lounge/closed 89:12

loved 22:23 47:8

lower 31:1 78:17

Luke 92:23

M

made 15:5 18:14 21:25 26:3 29:12 33:18 46:25 53:23 66:18,20 79:5 82:1 83:5 88:3,6 90:24 91:2,17 93:17 96:21,22

magical 59:9 magically 56:8

main 67:13 68:10

maintain 81:18 82:19

make 9:24 11:24 12:2 14:21 15:10 31:14 47:8 48:2 65:17 66:4,7 75:23 82:20 88:1 90:19 91:18,25 92:6,12 97:6 101:1,5,9,23,24

making 93:9,19

Malibu 44:23

malice 15:24

man 97:3

manager 42:3 46:12 54:23 74:7,

managers 46:4

Managing 6:9

mandatory 81:18

manipulated 97:7

manner 32:1

March 21:13 83:1

mark 60:8 68:21 83:18 98:12

marked 68:22

market 22:8 37:14

markup 6:15 14:17 50:3 54:3,9, 10,13,17 55:1,3 67:3,6,7,9,15,25 68:8 77:10,12 78:16,20,21,25 79:1,2,11,18 94:20

Matagrano 29:3, 12,14,15

match 25:21 51:11,20

Materials 28:25 29:8

matter 2:5 5:12

meals 82:21

means 36:20 77:15 91:23 92:5

measure 81:10

mechanism 11:3

mechanisms 10:25

meeting 45:20 46:4 63:25

meetings 90:2

member 92:19

members 3:5 97:23

memory 97:14

mentioned 7:18 9:14 61:5 65:21

67:1,5 70:24 72:17 91:7 98:23 99:8,18 102:22 103:3

mentioning 65:24

merchant 84:23

merits 96:17

met 90:8

method 76:22 77:20

methods 83:12

mic 6:4 10:15 16:24 24:3 47:22 48:4

microphone 47:21

million 33:22 55:17 56:11 59:7 77:2 79:13,23 80:9 81:11

mind 86:1 88:11

minor 33:9

minors 82:22

minute 15:1 52:10 66:23 69:22 84:7

minutes 9:24 60:9,24 75:24 76:4 83:19

mis-claimed 54:20

mis-say 34:19

miscommunicati on 37:4 68:14

misconstrual

94:11

Mission 21:20,21 37:2 61:11,14 88:25

mistake 8:8

mistakes 15:5,12 26:2 93:3

misunderstandin

g 11:20 12:7,14 15:19 19:21 67:19

misunderstandin gs 11:10 17:15

mixers 79:5

moment 39:9 85:1

Monday 72:6,14

money 44:22 46:25 56:8 57:10 65:18 66:4,7,19, 21

month 73:9

moral 94:16

morning 5:4 7:5 83:23

Morris 28:25 88:15

Mortar 17:23 18:7 21:14,21 30:23 34:3 36:22 37:11 44:23 46:10 55:19 61:7,11,22 65:9 69:10 70:24 73:15 74:3 82:6 87:9,20 88:25 89:11 90:24 91:2,5,11 95:22 96:1,2 99:4 102:12

Mortar's 31:19

move 9:25 34:7 64:23 76:3

movie 92:23

Moving 35:23

multiple 19:10 22:6 102:8

music 11:16,17 13:19,20 18:7 20:10,19 21:4,5 30:6,24 41:12 46:18 63:21 65:13 71:22 72:9 76:10 music-orientedtype 12:20

Mustafa 34:23 35:6 94:2,12 96:12

Ν

names 5:17

nature 20:5

necessarily 33:16

needed 54:6 74:11

negative 77:12

negligence 6:24 16:4 81:13,16,21 95:6

Newman 92:23

next-door 18:3

night 36:22 44:16 71:25 73:2

nightly 30:20

nights 72:10

non-alcohol 79:8

non-alcoholic 6:20

non-liquor 89:8

nonalcoholic 80:20

nonprofit 30:3

nontaxable 62:10 85:13

Nos 8:17 9:20

notated 29:24

note 7:12 9:10

noted 77:1,6,24 80:15 81:2 99:1

notes 64:22 66:23 69:24

number 5:6 10:11 14:22 24:12 25:7 26:18,20 27:13, 15,21 41:7,8 51:2, 8,15 53:16 54:1, 20 59:9 69:11 94:21

numbering 8:13

numbers 9:4 11:24 12:1 15:2 18:11 26:7 33:2, 15,19 39:7 51:24 52:11 53:13 55:22 56:3 59:6 69:1 91:12

0

Oakland 13:6 22:11 31:24 39:5, 15,16,23,25 40:1 41:16 42:4 44:21

oath 94:16

objected 7:7

objections 7:4, 13,21,22,23 8:23, 24

objective 11:1

objects 7:28:21

occasions 15:16

offer 22:14

offering 11:5

office 2:1 17:24 21:23 45:9 74:17 88:19

offices 17:19,22 61:17 94:4

On-sale 82:15

one-hour 60:8

One-six 57:16

open 11:14,17 14:23 17:16 20:20 21:1,6 72:9,10 82:25 98:2,20 101:5 102:1,23

opened 17:17,18

opening 4:8 9:25 10:2,6

operate 12:15 21:18 22:6

operated 76:9

operating 12:16 21:8 65:8,9 73:15

operation 12:7 14:10 55:21,23 57:8,9 94:6

operations 5:20 58:4

opinion 101:24

opportunities 10:11

opportunity 11:7 98:4 100:14 101:20

opposed 41:16 87:8

order 64:22 101:9, 17 103:1

ordered 100:25 101:14,23

ordinary 56:25 57:21

organized 46:22

original 35:5 45:13 62:20 93:4 94:1

originally 55:6

Orleans 71:19,23

OTA 2:6 5:6 9:10, 13,14 10:20 11:5, 6 82:2 92:20

OTA-TYPE 10:22

outs 97:1

overrule 7:13

owned 39:5

owner 20:10 47:5 30:4 performed 29:21 35:20,22 38:14 76:12 77:23 68:21 owners 44:13 partners 17:16 **period** 12:19 **pilferage** 79:6,16 ownership 21:10 partnership 26:9 28:10 29:20 48:20 39:14 **place** 5:9 18:23,25 owns 74:22 58:20 76:12,16, 35:21 68:21 82:15 partnerships 17,20 77:7 80:9, 24 81:8,11 83:5 plan 22:15 44:20 Р 99:19 party 13:22,23 Planet 65:14 Perkins 3:8 4:18 30:3 63:25 85:15 **P&I** 27:17 50:7 **plates** 15:9 6:9 11:25 12:11, 100:13 p.m. 2:18 11:17,18 15,17 13:16 14:4 **play** 22:11,12 past 22:6 103:9 15:19 16:22 17:1 point 12:14 14:4 **Paul** 92:23 23:20 24:11 26:12 pages 7:24 8:1,2, 15:22 20:18 23:9, 28:8,12 30:1,15 7,12 23:14 48:15 pay 13:24 59:25 13,15 43:2 45:1 33:12 34:6 39:10 80:19 82:7 87:22 75:6 73:18 76:1 86:17 44:7 47:11 48:8, 89:18 93:11,24 paid 33:3,4,5 10 49:14 54:24 payment 29:11 98:19 57:6 58:4 60:12. panel 3:3,5 5:10 payments 28:20 16,21 70:7 93:20 pointed 55:25 7:14 97:23 98:16 94:5,15,16 96:4, payroll 58:1 99:1 **points** 53:15 11 97:2 98:7 PEG 13:6 39:15, paper 52:8 59:13 **policy** 10:12 Perkins' 10:1 23,25 papers 78:24 16:19 political 11:1 penalty 6:24 **Parish** 39:4.6.16. permit 97:7 portion 13:19 81:14 25 40:16,24 41:16 permitized 87:4, position 85:11 pending 93:16 68:16 73:21,24 6,8 87:11 96:14 100:8 89:9 96:10,24 **people** 19:10 permits 97:1 20:24 31:5 70:21 positive 57:10 Parker 3:11 4:15 72:1 89:24 90:7 5:19 7:22 60:17 perpetuated potential 100:22 76:6,9 84:18 11:21 per-show 33:1 potentially 98:16, 85:14 87:16 90:20 **person** 17:12 21 99:1,7,24,25 percent 13:14 91:14 92:3 30:3 34:18,19 25:6 26:6,20 100:24 101:15 100:10,20 101:21 63:22 74:21 27:14 32:19 43:14 102:1 preference 54:3,6,7,13,14,20, personally 86:15 101:11,12,16 **parse** 33:17 21,22 55:1,3,4,5 89:19 56:10 59:20,21 **premises** 82:18, part 12:6 13:18 personnel 15:16, 60:4,6 65:23 21,23 15:15,19 37:4 17 66:10 67:6,7,9,11, 41:8 44:22,23 prepare 25:24 25 77:13 78:16, 65:17 68:18 physical 33:25 20,21,24 79:2,3,5, preparer 28:1 participants 5:13 physically 33:24 6,12,17,18 80:1,4, present 42:24 12 81:13,15 95:12 55:21 participation presentation 103:8 pick 74:1 percentage 31:2 4:12 17:9 76:3,8 32:3,9 59:18 parties 5:16 6:12 picked 93:2 83:15 95:12,13 13:21 64:1 81:3 picture 58:3 presentations 98:1 101:11 perfect 21:25 9:23 **piece** 59:13 parties' 9:23 **perform** 78:15 presented 83:13 **Pigalle** 18:23 19:1 partner 6:9 21:17

Index: pretty..record

pretty 32:19 91:15 94:8 35:12,15,16 36:8 R 37:19 38:18,22 previous 35:19 profit/loss 50:12 40:24 41:9 45:3 97:14 profitable 57:9 50:22 51:24,25 raise 16:22,25 52:14 53:7,19,23 price 32:16 66:12 Program 10:10 ran 21:9 67:4,14 68:1 69:9 primarily 41:16 projected 14:19 77:25 78:5,9,11, **Randy** 3:12 5:23 56:25 12 79:5,15 81:22, promoter 30:6 rare 64:14 printout 28:18 25 82:10 83:6 63:22,23 65:13,17 87:2,3,14 48:19 73:7 rarely 63:18 promoters 30:3 printouts 30:16 purchasing rate 80:1,4 81:14 36:21 43:15 **proof** 50:4 82:9 **private** 10:24 30:3 **rates** 80:7 purposes 96:3 64:1 81:2 proper 9:16 28:5 reach 55:21 push 21:1,2 proactive 93:8 properly 6:23 reached 90:23 97:7 pushed 71:9 probative 7:15 reaching 5:14 **provide** 9:5 30:18 put 8:8 20:12 24:7 **problem** 54:11 70:15 76:18 81:18 read 15:6 54:24 42:15 46:15 59:12 90:9 92:25 93:12, 84:12 86:13.18.19 65:19 13 98:6 ready 9:22 10:4 90:21 98:3,18 16:10,19,21 48:18 problems 73:25 101:6 76:5 Q 93:7 provided 26:22 **reality** 14:21 procedural 49:10 34:20 50:8 58:9 quarter 37:15,16, 72:18 76:17 77:18 reason 39:1 43:11 procedure 23:9 17 38:18 82:9 83:9 84:13, 89:3 95:13,22 45:22 16,19 85:16 88:2, quarters 36:7,8 reasonable 83:10 procedures 7 91:1 93:6 94:1, question 52:18 49:22 79:21 81:9 2,3,7,8,9,10 96:12 rebuttal 92:13 66:3 67:20 68:25 provider 72:24 proceeded 52:19 70:14 86:2,23 receipts 25:14 73:5 88:11,13 97:8,24 40:5,11 56:17 proceeding 5:12 98:12 102:7 62:3,6,21,25 73:2 providing 92:2 proceedings 76:24 77:12 99:20 questioned 58:6 2:15 9:13 103:9 pudding 50:4 receive 43:5 questioning pull 45:5 46:3,5 process 9:6 60:12 85:3 received 4:4.5 17:18 19:21 23:8 **pulled** 20:11 8:17 9:20 32:25 42:8,22 43:1 44:3 questions 5:14 45:11 59:19 85:18 46:2,8 80:15 81:1 16:13 48:1 52:5 93:20 purchase 29:16 60:16,17,21,25 receiving 13:14 50:7 68:9.11 70:5,9 75:17 101:4 produce 99:15 86:25 83:16,18 84:1 recess 83:19,23 produced 9:7 85:1,6 90:12,15 purchased 35:25 102:6,19 recipient 95:25 36:15 37:14 38:7, professional 23 41:15 52:20 63:23 65:13 quick 102:7 reconcile 27:22 53:10,11 81:24 94:21,24 professor 10:11 quickly 75:12 95:15 96:8 recopy 8:11 profit 25:8 26:21 quote/unquote purchaser 87:20 27:16 51:12 52:13 8:3 record 5:17 7:1,3 53:8,20 56:21 purchases 11:23 8:16,23 83:24 57:1,18,25 77:5, 14:15 24:23 25:2 84:15 87:21 98:2, 24 78:2,8 81:6 26:17 27:12 33:22

20 101:1,5 102:23 relating 9:12 58:25 62:4,7,20 resulting 76:15 91:12 63:4 69:11,13,14 **recorded** 77:4,12 resume 85:2 76:14,23 77:5,15, relation 32:17 records 56:7 21 79:24 80:8 retrieve 98:11 76:17,21 77:9,19 relationships reporter 2:20 return 13:6 23:22, 78:14 80:3 81:19 96:3 33:9 24,25 25:3,22,23 83:11 88:2,4,8 relevance 7:15 26:9,19 27:4,6,11, 91:1 93:25 94:10 reporting 76:22 9:7 23 30:10 32:23 98:10 Reports 94:9 39:14,18 40:4,8 relevant 7:9 8:10 RECROSS 4:17 49:1,3,7,14 50:12, 13:9 97:8 represent 5:17 16,19 51:12,14 redid 25:4 33:2 relief 17:13 53:8 57:13 58:25 **REDIRECT** 4:17 Representative 62:20,21 90:13 **rely** 9:15 5:23 95:9 **reduce** 87:13 relying 9:4 50:5 returns 28:7 represents reference 50:7 remember 73:5 30:19,20 40:23 30:13 38:2 47:10 54:1 41:8 48:11 49:20 52:13 remind 7:17 referenced 98:16 53:20 56:14 58:7 47:19 95:17 request 100:14 61:19,20,24,25 101:1 referring 61:2 62:2,7,15,17 63:3 remodel 21:13 72:21 69:9 76:25 77:6, requests 82:8 rent 30:7 56:25 11 87:5,6 94:8,21, reflect 33:4 39:7 83:14 58:1 63:24 22,24,25 95:1,3 49:7 58:3 require 14:25 rental 13:21 15:10 reflected 38:2 76:4 91:24 revenue 13:7 16:2,6 29:24 30:9 32:21 40:17 44:18 reflecting 29:11 required 33:6 49:3 63:12,19 58:14 81:2,19 49:17 81:19 49:2 64:10,15 81:2,4,7 85:13 85:8,16,24 reflection 29:21 requirement revenues 13:19 82:19 95:23 30:8 rentals 6:17 14:5 49:19 57:17,24 30:8 62:8,9 63:7, 59:8,18 95:2,4 reflects 26:16 resolve 33:9 9,10,11,15,21 33:5 37:18 **review** 80:18 respect 66:16 64:9.18 65:2 81:25 100:7 **regard** 15:13 89:23 98:23 101:4 70:10 72:4,20 95:10 103:3 96:5 reviewed 59:15 **rented** 15:13 respective 53:23 reggae 71:25 reviewing 5:14 34:16 61:17 65:10 61:8 75:5 14:11 49:1 register 76:19 reopened 21:14 respond 98:5 revised 78:2,8 registered 82:1 100:15 101:20 81:5 91:15 repeat 67:20 regulated 87:25 respondent 3:10 right-hand 16:25 repeating 93:3 Regulation 9:11 6:23 rigmarole 94:5 81:20 report 14:20 response 90:10, 33:13 37:13 Rode 44:21 regulations 15:6 11 49:15,17,23 51:6, 16:8 97:1 rest 59:22 **room** 64:9,10,15, 21 58:16 95:4 18,19 65:2 85:24 reiterate 53:18 reported 2:19 restaurant 85:19 96:20 6:14 25:21 30:10, **rope** 97:17 restaurants 57:8 12 32:22 48:10 relate 7:19 routed 68:5 90:22 50:11 51:12 52:12 related 43:4 82:2 **RPR** 2:19 53:6,20 57:17,21 result 95:5

rules 9:10,12 **saved** 89:6 September **Sierras** 19:17 102:25 89:7,15 22:12 42:19 **savings** 43:16 run 20:13 47:2 serve 14:25 34:1 sign 45:7 74:15 **scale** 12:22 71:3 running 32:19 signed 46:14 scene 40:20 42:18 56:10 92:20 served 81:3 significant 43:16 schedule 50:3 service 20:14,16 silverware 15:9 55:7 S 31:15 32:14,17 scheduled 84:16 66:9 similar 32:1 78:18 87:18 Sacramento 2:16 school 30:4.5 services 11:5 5:1,9 30:25 30:17 **simply** 14:16 scoot 6:5 **sad** 97:15 15:13 serving 85:20 seated 20:24 **single** 45:24 **sadly** 44:13 set 10:25 100:15 **seating** 21:1,2 situation 93:17, Safeway 19:9 **setup** 75:9 section 9:11 21 **sake** 49:13 10:18 24:19 shareable 32:12 **Sixteen** 57:15,16 sale 31:22 82:17 security 15:8 **Shawn** 65:14 sixth 28:24 91:13 sheet 45:5 46:6 seeking 16:4 **Sixty-two** 25:20 **sales** 6:15,19 12:4 103:2 **sheets** 89:22 13:20,25 14:6,9, **size** 34:2,5 40:21 **segue** 16:10 20 15:4,11,25 shipments 13:14 55:20,23 56:1,3 16:1,6 25:14,17 **seized** 93:14 Shorthand 2:20 **small** 26:4 29:24 30:9,13,21 seizure 97:12 31:1,3 32:3,7,22 **show** 20:22 31:6, smallest 34:3 33:7,8,21 34:11, 20 35:19 49:15 **seizures** 93:16,19 **Smith** 18:18 13,16 39:7 42:4, 66:12 72:14,16 self-consumed 16 47:10 48:10,24 85:13.23 89:13 smooth 23:7 49:2,3,4,6,8,14, 6:22 99:11,20 15,16,19,20 55:2, so-called 11:23 selfshowed 22:1 10,11,16 56:12 sold 22:23,25 consumption 25:13 45:6 46:9, 58:21 62:7,8,9,14 24:1,10,20 25:19 79:7,17 80:11 12,13 59:14 87:7 63:2,4,6 64:3,23 26:10,17,25 27:8, 65:1,7,10,16,22 self-test 78:15 showing 20:17 12,18 38:7,10,23 68:16 76:14,16, 84:19 88:2,4 95:1 sell 92:5 97:2 40:22 41:4,5,11 19,25 77:4,5,6,15, 51:11 56:20 **shown** 6:13,16, 22 78:16,22 selling 82:12 57:18,25 70:19 79:12,19,22,24 18,21 27:3 99:3 85:23 71:19 77:10,16 80:5,8,9,23 81:15, **shows** 21:1,3 79:8 80:16 91:9. send 73:9 75:4 17 82:20 87:15 27:11,12,18 28:19 11 98:8 89:22 90:21,24 **senior** 92:18 29:2 31:4,5 48:24 91:2,14,18 92:6 solely 13:4 56:20 71:8,16 sense 11:24 12:2 94:21,25 95:1,4 72:7,11,12 **solved** 73:25 14:21 16:14 21:25 96:25 97:6,9 101:1,5 **shut** 84:4 sort 16:13,14 **San** 11:16 12:21 21:9,10 34:7 separate 7:10 sic 17:22 27:15 22:11,14 29:15 49:17 50:4 54:15 18:6,11,12 19:15, 42:4 56:8 59:20 45:13 58:9 96:13 93:22 97:17 16 22:4 49:16 76:10 88:5 90:23 side 54:2 55:15 61:12,15 **Soul** 2:6 5:6 6:3,7, **Sarah** 2:19 68:5 81:24 82:4, sideways 35:9 10 12:15 13:4,13, 11 92:1 102:10,13 24,25 14:16

15:15,17 17:23 **spoke** 45:15 82:12 surveys 9:5 53:14 18:4 20:5,7,8 54:12 78:3 81:25 83:7 storage 61:16 21:7,22 26:9 87:17 sponsor 44:15 27:17 28:20 storehouse 37:9 swear 10:2 29:17,21 34:12 sponsorship straight 20:10 35:13,16,18,25 44:22 **sworn** 17:3 36:15 37:1,19,23 **stream** 44:18 sponsorships **system** 20:12 38:6,9,23 40:8,11, 44:13 **street** 2:16 18:11 28:18 17 41:17 43:4 19:2 89:1 96:23 52:20,24 53:3,23 **stage** 20:12 **systems** 75:15 54:10,14,15 56:14 struggle 75:14 stand 12:18 59:2 57:1 58:10,20 Т struggling 52:7 61:21,25 65:9 **start** 5:17 10:2 73:15 74:3 87:8 11:9 12:10 16:21 **stuff** 41:13 tables 33:16 90:18 91:7 95:15, 21:8 76:11 84:2,3, **Suazo** 3:12 5:23 19,22 96:9,15,19, takes 31:17 51:23 21 102:9,11 subject 6:17,20, **started** 28:2,4 taking 5:8 19:22 23 14:6 15:10 sound 20:12 57:5 49:12 45:8 64:22 92:21 16:6 80:13 93:8 96:13 99:10 Southern 23:3 starting 83:2 submissions 7:2 35:23 36:1,21,25 talk 17:12 21:15 starts 11:17 20:21 8:21 37:21 38:15 39:2, 33:13 talked 19:7 23:4 8 41:9,10,15,19 **submit** 100:3 42:4 43:8,21 46:1 state 2:2,21 3:10 talking 60:4 62:14 submits 6:25 51:25 52:21 53:6, 7:3 8:22 10:12,18, 98:10 8:19 10 69:15 77:25 23 97:15 tapes 76:19 78:4 81:23 90:17 submitted 69:13 stated 7:1 8:20 91:4 95:16,17 102:23 tax 2:1 3:10 5:21, 90:16 96:6,7 22 6:17,20,23 substantial 81:15 statement 4:8 speak 10:15 24:2 9:11 10:9,18 13:6, 82:20 10:6 25:9 26:22 47:21 73:13 25 14:6 15:11,25 27:16 36:2 38:9 successful 22:7 16:1,5,6 23:22,24, speaking 47:23 50:12 51:13 25 25:5,22 27:4, suitable 82:19 85:14 87:23 52:13,24,25 53:8 17,23 28:1,4,5,10 73:9 77:25 91:15 **summary** 26:14, specialist 10:9 30:10,13 32:22 92:12 16 35:24 50:20 33:7,8,17 38:2 specific 11:19 67:2 39:18 47:10 48:11 statements 9:25 63:15 49:3,7,11,14,20 10:3 53:21 56:6 **Sunday** 72:14 specifically 14:2 50:12 51:12 52:12 58:10,11,13 supper 20:9 53:7 56:14 57:23 38:8 82:5 99:4 59:15,16 72:18,21 58:25 62:7,17 77:5 78:3,9 81:6 supplies 28:25 **spent** 10:17 63:2 76:25 77:6, 84:13,14,22,23,24 29:8 46:19 11 80:13 87:5 **Spirits** 23:3 35:24 94:2,3,8 support 58:13 92:19 94:7,21,22, 36:1,21 37:22 **states** 82:14 59:13 70:12 82:9 24,25 95:1 38:15 39:2,8 41:9, 10,15,20 42:5 stating 5:16 taxable 6:15 supporting 86:8 43:8,22 52:1,21 14:19 33:21 49:4, 98:25 step 57:11 53:11 78:1,4 8,16,19 55:11,16 supports 59:11 81:23 90:17 stick 93:10 62:14 76:15 77:22 95:17,18 96:6,7 78:22 79:12,19, supposed 51:6 stood 54:22 22,24 80:5,8,23 **split** 32:4 survey 9:4 37:22 stopped 44:13 81:4,7,10,15,17 77:23 82:5 90:20

Index: Taxation..understanding

87:15 95:1,4 thousand 36:22 told 14:24 turn 6:4 23:3 28:8 44:17 30:15 33:20 35:9 Taxation 81:19 top 14:17 50:6 41:22 43:20 49:24 ticket 13:20 14:9 52:21 53:6 88:24 taxpaver 11:11 51:16 55:8 60:15, 30:21 32:3,7,8,14, 54:3 70:5 86:2 total 30:20 35:14 20 70:1 83:25 16,17,21 33:7 90:16 92:12 39:7 48:24 49:8, 88:13 97:23 102:3 62:8,9 63:6 64:23 15,19 51:15 62:8 taxpayer's 85:7 turned 20:10 25:5 65:1,6,7,10,15,16, 63:4 73:2 76:14 19,22 66:4,5,7,8, 86:24 turning 100:6 77:4,15 95:2,4 12,13 80:18 taxpayers 87:23 touch 42:15 two-and-a-halfticket's 66:6 teach 10:9 hour 20:22 tough 72:11 Ticketfly 14:10 technical 33:10 two-bartender 30:16,21 31:7,11 track 45:2 69:2,5 55:20 ten 9:24 14:25 32:5,13,25 33:2 transaction 28:9, 24:9 42:10 75:24 59:25 64:24.25 two-hour 83:18 17 29:19 94:9 65:23 83:2 83:19 type 11:19 41:12 transactional Ticketfly's 31:21 tequila 46:14 82:14,17,22 91:8, 28:18 74:12 18,20,22 ticketing 30:16, transactions 22 31:15 terms 23:9 96:13 types 71:22 14:1 29:21 **Ticketmaster** test 94:20 typically 70:24 TRANSCRIPT 66:11 85:20 testified 17:4 2:15 Ticketmaster's 96:4,11 transfer 87:24 31:22 U testify 11:25 transferred 82:10 tickets 6:19 31:5, 12:12 94:13,15 88:6 **U.S.** 26:9 11,12 33:8 49:2 testifying 13:16 65:3,4 80:16 transfers 88:2,3 unable 76:22 testimonv 10:1 time 9:6 10:21 transpired 97:18 under-reported 16:20 60:9 61:2 17:18 18:23 19:5, 58:20 treated 13:3,11 64:22 66:24 68:5 22 20:21 22:5,18, 72:4 78:19 82:24 14:15 underneath 21 23:5 26:5 87:1,10 93:7 97:2 25:18 30:22 31:11 34:25 treating 12:9 39:4 44:1,18,20 Theater 31:23 96:18 underpinnings 46:13,24 49:11 34:8 theoretical 75:9 trees 12:3 60:13 69:25 73:19 underreported 75:18,23 83:5 trial 16:14 thing 12:9 36:24 33:21 55:11,16 86:18 89:6 92:11 37:3 44:21 63:20 **trouble** 53:16 87:15 100:1,7,14 85:17 98:25 truck 22:24 understand 8:14 times 30:2 34:5 things 84:7 40:17 65:12,13 18:8,9 22:19 trucking 42:19 thinking 59:9 29:10 32:2 33:16 71:21 trucks 47:6 92:22 45:12 52:5 86:11 **title** 63:16,17 93:21 94:12.25 third-party 14:10 true 16:14 36:18, 64:13 95:3 97:18 98:17 20:16 34:17 99:9 19 38:9,21 58:3 today 5:5,6,10 102:8 94:1,23 thoroughness 6:13 9:1 14:3 23:8 understanding 25:25 trust 97:3 60:5 62:6 78:19 15:25 62:5,11,13 82:24 87:1,10,21 Tuesday 72:14 thought 11:14 63:4 66:15,25 97:8 103:7 18:3 45:22.23 67:8,18,22 68:10 **Tuman** 2:19 54:14 62:10 67:11

92:4 99:5 understatement 6:14 understood 11:13 16:7

unexplained 77:1,6

University 10:10 unlawful 97:12

unreliable 77:19

unreported 80:5, 8,23 81:6,10,14, 17

uploaded 84:8 upright 94:16 upstairs 61:17

utilized 41:16

٧

vendor 9:4 22:23 23:4 30:22 53:14 77:23 78:3 81:25 83:6 87:17 90:20 92:2

vendor's 31:18 82:5

vendors 9:3 22:23 68:4 77:24 90:17,22 91:2 96:4

venue 11:16 13:19,21 14:6 15:4,13 16:2,6 18:7 20:10,19,23 21:4 30:8,24 31:13,14 39:3,24 40:1,2,3,5 41:16, 17 44:17 45:8,24 46:15,18 49:3 55:20 62:8,9 63:7, 9,10,11,12,21 68:17 71:13 72:9 74:18,22 85:8,9, 10,12,16 96:11 98:22 103:3

venue's 46:16

venue-only 101:4

venues 12:16,18, 19,20 13:1,7 17:17 21:5,15 22:7 26:5 31:1,25 37:25 38:1 39:8 41:13 45:3 68:19 74:20

verbiage 93:3 verified 52:12

verify 76:23 77:20 87:18

versus 62:3 67:25

view 73:12

visited 55:19

volume 34:1 100:10

W

wait 51:5

waiter 15:8

waiting 101:19

walk 18:6

walked 46:2 89:21

wanted 8:5 11:4 23:19 30:6 39:3 45:22 46:9 47:19 60:7,11 75:22

wanting 99:9

warehouse 12:24 13:3 19:16 21:24 37:24 42:25 43:5 44:5 45:7,15 53:3 74:3 94:6 95:20 96:2,9,19,22,23

warehousing 22:21 42:11 44:2 46:19 warranted 6:14, 16,18,21 101:22

watch 47:4

water 72:1

ways 17:13

website 31:16,18, 19,21,22 65:6,19 80:17 82:23

Wednesday 2:18 5:1,7

week 20:21 46:4 72:10,13,15

week's 80:23

weight 7:15 8:25 9:16

whatsoever 56:4

wide 71:16

wine 19:1 23:3 35:23 36:1,21 37:21 38:15 39:2, 8 41:9,10,15,19 42:5 43:8,21 51:25 52:21 53:10 67:3 69:15 77:25 78:4 81:23 90:17 91:4 95:16,17 96:6,7

wishes 92:12

withdrawn 88:5

witnesses 4:17 9:2

wondering 63:14 73:12 87:11

word 97:4

words 58:24

work 10:11 30:2 31:10 32:4 42:13 71:16 75:14 94:13 98:8 100:16,18

worked 22:16 94:6

working 10:17 28:4 78:24 89:14

works 31:13

written 30:16

wrong 16:3 54:25 94:10

Υ

year 12:10,12 21:4 27:17,18 30:6 57:2,7,9,20,23 58:2 71:21 72:12 75:13 83:3

years 10:9,17 17:11 20:13 28:21 39:2 42:10 53:23 55:13 58:24 64:17 70:21 80:17 89:17 93:2 97:11 98:9

Yelp's 80:17 Young's 37:14