BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ΙN	THE	MATTER	OF	THE	APPEAL	OF,)			
Х.	QU,)	OTA	NO.	20116938
		Z	Al	APPELLANT.	Т.)				
)			

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Cerritos, California

Tuesday, October 11, 2022

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS					
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
3						
4						
5						
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)) X. QU,) OTA NO. 20116938					
7)					
8	APPELLANT.))					
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14	Transcript of Proceedings, taken					
15	at 12900 Park Plaza Dr., Cerritos, California,					
16	91401, commencing at 11:21 a.m. and concluding					
17	at 12:49 p.m. on Tuesday, October 11, 2022,					
18	reported by Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter,					
19	in and for the State of California.					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	APPEARANCES:					
2						
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ NATASHA RALSTON				
4	Panel Members:	ALJ JOSHUA LAMBERT				
5	ranci Members.	ALJ JOSHUA ALDRICH				
6	For the Appellant:	X. QU				
7	For the Degrandent.	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
8	For the Respondent:	DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION				
9		SUNNY PALEY				
10		STEPHEN SMITH KIMBERLY WILSON				
11						
12	Interpreter:	Kenneth Chen				
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1		INDEX				
2						
3		E X H I B I T S				
4						
5	(Appellant's Exhibits	1-2 were received at page 9.)				
6	(Department's Exhibits A-E were received at 10.)					
7						
8	<u>(</u>	OPENING STATEMENT				
9		PAGE_				
10	Day May Ou					
11	By Mr. Qu	11				
12	By Ms. Paley	18				
13						
14		CLOSING STATEMENT				
15		PAGE				
16	By Mr. Qu	27				
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

Cerritos, California; Tuesday, October 11, 2022 11:21 a.m.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE RALSTON: We are now on the record in the Appeal of X. Qu. These matters are being heard before the Office of Tax Appeals. The OTA Case Number is 20116938. Today's date is Tuesday, October 11th, and the time is approximately 11:21.

I want to note that before we went on the record we did swear in the interpreter, and the interpreter has affirmed that he is certified to interpret between English and Mandarin and Mandarin and English, including the Wenzhou dialect.

THE INTERPRETER: The interpreter is not able to interpreter Wenzhou dialect.

JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. Is Mandarin sufficient for Mr. Qu?

MR. QU: Yes.

JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.

Today's hearing is being heard by a panel of three Administrative Law Judges. I am Judge Ralston, and I will be the lead judge. Judge Aldrich and Judge Lambert are the other members of this tax appeals panel. All three judges will meet after the hearing and produce a written decision as equal participants. Although the lead

1 judge will conduct the hearing, any judge on this panel 2 may ask questions or otherwise participate to ensure we 3 have all the information needed to decide this appeal. I'm going to ask the parties to introduce 4 5 themselves. I'm actually going to start with Respondent 6 CDTFA, if you could please state your name, and who you 7 represent for the record. 8 MS. PALEY: My name is Sunny Paley, and I 9 represent CDTFA. 10 MR. SMITH: My name is Stephen Smith, and I 11 represent CDTFA. 12 MS. WILSON: Kim Wilson, represents CDTFA. 13 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. 14 And for the Appellant's, if we can have everyone 15 introduce themselves. 16 JUDGE RALSTON: Can I ask you to sit a little closer to the mic or make sure it's on. 17 18 MR. QU: My name is Xin Liang Qu. 19 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. 20 MR. QU: Thank you. 21 JUDGE RALSTON: And Ms. Ling, if you could 22 introduce yourself. 23 MS. LING: My name is Zhou Ai Ling. 2.4 JUDGE RALSTON: And for the interpreter, if you 25 could introduce yourself also.

1 THE INTERPRETER: My name is Kenneth Chen, and 2 I'm the interpreter. 3 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. As confirmed at the prehearing conference, there 4 5 are two issues to be decided in this appeal. The first is 6 whether appellant has established that an adjustment to 7 the measure of unreported purchases of counterfeit items subject to use tax is warranted, and the second issue is 8 9 whether the finality penalty should be abated. 10 Is that the parties understanding of the issues? 11 MS. PALEY: Yes. 12 MR. QU: Do I need to tell you the information 13 right now regarding why we're not imported? 14 JUDGE RALSTON: No. Right now we're just going 15 over how the hearing is going to run. And then we'll get 16 to your opening presentation, and I will swear Mr. Qu in, 17 and then you can present your case. 18 So Respondent's Exhibits A through E are Okav. 19 admitted without objection. 20 MR. QU: Right now I'm going to ask my wife to 2.1 read my statement. 22 JUDGE RALSTON: Not at this time. I will let you 23 know. 2.4 MR. QU: Sorry. 25 JUDGE RALSTON: No problem.

1 As far as the exhibits that Mr. Qu submitted 2 today, can you just confirm when these were prepared? 3 MR. QU: It was prepared yesterday, last night, and I -- she prepared this until 2:00 a.m. this morning. 4 5 JUDGE RALSTON: And how are these documents 6 different than the documents that CDTFA has already 7 submitted in the record? 8 MR. QU: So that the cost that I wrote out right 9 here and the one that we sold are different. And whatever 10 that the CDTFA written out for us, and we believe that 11 there are -- so there is discrepancy between the items 12 that I purchased from the resource, and also the price I sold at. And police purchased twice, once on 13 14 June 14th, 2017, and the other one is on August 30th, 2017. And all together they purchased, price 15 16 was \$1,184.60. 17 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. 18 MR. OU: So I continue? 19 JUDGE RALSTON: I just wanted -- at this point, I 20 just wanted kind of a general explanation of what these 2.1 documents were, and I will give you a chance later to 22 really explain your arguments. 23 MR. QU: Okay. 2.4 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. 25 So Respondent CDTFA has objected to these

1 They are not timely. We are going to go ahead exhibits. 2 and admit them. And we will assign the appropriate weight 3 to them when we evaluate this case. 4 JUDGE RALSTON: Oh, yes. Ms. Paley, please. 5 MS. PALEY: May I inquire just for references, which is Exhibit 1 and which one is Exhibit 2? 6 7 JUDGE RALSTON: Yes, that's what I was looking I'm trying to figure out what I can refer to to 8 9 distinguish them. 10 MS. PALEY: If I may make a suggestion? 11 JUDGE RALSTON: Please. 12 MS. PALEY: One denotes selling price, and one 13 denotes purchase price. So perhaps one of them could be 1 14 and one could be 2, please. 15 JUDGE RALSTON: Perfect. Okay. So the exhibit 16 with the purchase price, we are going to label that 17 exhibit Appellant's Exhibit 1 and admit it. 18 (Appellant's Exhibit 1 was received in 19 evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 20 And the one with the selling price we will label Exhibit 2 and admit it. 2.1 22 (Appellant's Exhibit 2 was received in 23 evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 2.4 And as noted Respondent's Exhibits A through E 25 are admitted without objection.

(Department's Exhibits A-E were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

Mr. Qu will be testifying under oath without objection. As far as the order of the hearing, Appellant will have 30 minutes to present. Respondent will also have 30 minutes to present. And then the Appellant will have ten minutes for rebuttal. At any time the Panel members may ask questions of either party.

And because, Mr. Qu, you're going to testifying under oath, Respondent will also have the opportunity to ask you questions after your presentation. So, Mr. Qu, I'm going to swear you in now. Can you please raise your right hand.

X. Qu,

produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. You can put your hand down. So we are ready to proceed with Appellant's opening presentation. Mr. Qu, you have 30 minutes. Please begin when you are ready.

MR. QU: Is it possible to ask my wife to present?

1 Sorry. I should have been JUDGE RALSTON: Yes. 2 more clear. But, yes, she can present. 3 MR. QU: Thank you very much. JUDGE RALSTON: I'm sorry. Can I ask the 4 5 Appellant to turn on your microphone. Thank you. 6 MR. QU: Thank you. 7 MS. LING: Right now, I'm going to present my husband's and then what he wants to say in the statement 8 9 that I wrote down last night. I'm going to present to 10 you. 11 MR. QU: Shall I take this to the judge? My wife 12 is able to speak like in English. 13 MS. LING: No, I'm going to speak in Mandarin. 14 JUDGE RALSTON: No. You can just read it. will be into the record, and it'll be transcribed. 15 16 we'll have a copy. Thank you. 17 Thank you very much. MR. QU: 18 19 PRESENTATION 20 MS. LING: Your Honor, my name is Zhou Ai Ling. 2.1 The following is my statement, and I swear everything I 22 say is truth. Because I've never been to school, and I 23 live in poverty. So even though I can speak Mandarin, I'm 2.4 not able to read Chinese. So this letter was originally

written in my original dialect, and I ask my wife to write

25

them down. So today I ask my wife to present this to you, and I just ask for your forgiveness.

2.4

Because of financial difficulty in the family back in 2017, and I heard from some of my friends, and they say I can make some money if I purchase some belts online and sell them out, and I can make some money. And during that time, I didn't know it was illegal. So I imported some of those products online, and I didn't even have any receipts. And that's it. And now I know that I made a mistake. In the future I'm not going to do anything illegal like this. And because of this I also pay my price, and I also have been in jail.

And I admit that on June 14th, 2017, and

August 30th, 2017, altogether I sold products that worth

of \$1,184.60. And there's discrepancy from your agency,

what you have said. And I've already listed out the

selling price and purchase price, and I would like to have

judge to read it. And I'm willing to pay the tax for all

the products that I have sold out.

And regarding the products that was confiscated by the police on September 13, 2017, at my home in Arcadia, all these products were not sold out. And I didn't make any profit from them. So I refuse to pay the tax for all these confiscated items. Right now I don't have any income. Because of the Covid-19, during the last

few years, we lost our jobs and gigs. Right now I even have more difficulties in finding a job because I have -- I was incarcerated. And then the background checks won't be -- I won't pass the background checks. Therefore, no businesses would hire me.

2.1

2.4

I even thought about driving an Uber, but the background was not passed. I do not have any assets. So I share an old car with my wife. Originally, I just wanted to help my family, and I broke the law. We have been in the United States for 20 years already, and we always pay tax. Right now I don't have money to have an attorney to represent me.

THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter needs her to repeat again.

I can only make uphill by myself. So, Your

Honor, and also the representative from tax agency, please consider my situation and to deduct the tax that I need to pay.

Thank you very much.

JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. Does that conclude your opening presentation?

MR. QU: Yes.

JUDGE RALSTON: Yes. Thank you.

Ms. Paley, I forgot to ask. With regard to the exhibits that Appellant submitted today, do you need time,

1 like after the hearing to respond to them? 2 MS. PALEY: Depending on whether or not the Panel 3 has questions. I guess I would ask to reserve. I'm able 4 to proceed today with the information, and I've had the 5 opportunity to review them. If the Panel has questions 6 that I can't answer based upon them, then, yes, I would ask for the opportunity to address that further. 7 8 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. So depending on how the 9 rest of the hearing goes, we'll revisit that at the end. 10 And if I forget, just let me know. 11 MS. PALEY: Yes, please. 12 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. So, Ms. Paley, did 13 you have any questions for Mr. Qu? 14 MS. PALEY: No, thank you. 15 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. 16 I'm going to turn to my Panel members. 17 Judge Aldrich, did you have any questions? 18 No questions. JUDGE ALDRICH: Thank you. 19 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. 20 And, Judge Lambert, did you have any questions? 21 JUDGE LAMBERT: This is Judge Lambert. 22 question. In these documents, what's the total purchase 23 price you're stating for these items? What's the purchase 2.4 price that's different than what CDTFA is stating? 25 MR. QU: So whatever that we purchase online the

1 price was much lower. And also there was another -- and 2 then it's different from whatever that was listed by the 3 tax agency. And also, there is another -- and then the 4 selling price it just -- it's not correct. The selling is 5 not listed out, and the one tax agency listed out are 6 different. 7 JUDGE LAMBERT: This is Judge Lambert. I was just wondering if you knew the exact amounts of the 8

MR. QU: Are you talking about the -- the price that we purchased?

purchase price that you're claiming.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

JUDGE LAMBERT: Yeah. I believe that CDTFA is stating that the purchase price is around \$100,000.

No. CDTFA, can you correct that or clarify?

MS. PALEY: Well, I'll go into it in my preparation. However, since we did not have purchase invoices, we were left to rely upon calculated sales prices.

JUDGE LAMBERT: Right. That's what I was meaning, that the sales -- the purchase price, I mean, is based on the sales price. Well, maybe we can move on and Appellants can take a look at the information. If they come up with anything later, you could let us know. Thanks.

MR. QU: Is it possible for me to present him --

in representing them? Can I ask my wife to present this 1 2 to you? 3 JUDGE RALSTON: You want her to explain to us 4 what you're saying? 5 MR. QU: I'm going to ask my wife to present it 6 to you because I cannot read it. I cannot read this, and 7 I can swear that everything is true. I'm not telling a lie. 8 9 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. So you just want her to 10 read what's on the documents for us? 11 MR. OU: Correct. 12 JUDGE RALSTON: Yes, she can read what's in the document. 13 14 MR. QU: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 JUDGE RALSTON: Sure. 16 MS. LING: So the first one is regarding 17 June 14th, 2017; and then the purchase total was \$598.80, 18 and then the selling total was \$704.60. The next one is 19 regarding August 30th, 2017, and then the purchase total 20 is \$408. I made a mistake because I missed one page. 21 it possible to give me two to five minutes to find out? 22 Is it possible for me to calculate that really fast with 23 my phone? 2.4 JUDGE RALSTON: Yeah. You want to take, like, a 25 five-minute recess?

1 MR. QU: Yes. 2 JUDGE RALSTON: Sure. We'll take a five-minute 3 recess. We're going to go off the record and will be back at -- let's just make it five-and-a-half. We'll be back 4 5 at noon. 6 (There is a pause in the proceedings.) 7 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. We're ready to go back on 8 the record. Thank you everyone. 9 MR. QU: I 'm sorry to cause -- to ask for a 10 break for five minutes. After I read and then I found out 11 it was a mistake. But I'm sorry for using up people's 12 time. So once again on August 30th, the purchase total is \$408, and then the selling total is \$480. And then 13 14 regarding the purchase total for the confiscated item from 15 September 13th, I find out the total and present it to 16 you. Because all these items were confiscated by the 17 police. 18 If you have the total available, JUDGE RALSTON: 19 you can give it to me right now. If you don't have it, 20 that's fine. We can go ahead and total it later. 21 MS. LING: I already have the total, but those 22 are the items that were not sold. 23 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. 2.4 MS. LING: Shall I present to you.

JUDGE RALSTON: Just give me the number quickly.

25

1 MS. LING: Okay. So the total of the purchase on 2 September 13th was \$25,632.60. But we didn't sell them. 3 And if they were sold all together, it would be about \$31,147.40. 4 5 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. 6 Thank you very much. MR. QU: 7 JUDGE RALSTON: So we're going to move on to 8 Respondent's presentation. Ms. Paley, are you ready to 9 present? 10 MS. PALEY: Yes. 11 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. You have 30 minutes. 12 can start whenever you're ready. 13 MS. PALEY: Thank you. 14 And Mr. Interpreter, please let me know if you'd 15 like for me to stop more often. 16 17 PRESENTATION 18 MS. PALEY: This is a use tax case based upon the 19 possession for sale of counterfeit goods. Use tax is 20 imposed on the storage use or other consumption of 2.1 tangible personal property purchased from any retailer for 22 storage use, or consumption in this state measured by the 23 sales price, unless that use is specifically exempted or

18

Every person storing, using, or otherwise

2.4

25

exclude by statute.

consuming tangible personal property in this state that was purchased from a retailer is liable for the tax. And the purchaser's liability for the tax is not extinguished until the tax has been paid to the State, unless the purchaser can produce a receipt for the tax from a retailer who is engaged in the business in the state or is otherwise authorized by CDTFA to collect the tax. Revenue & Taxation Code Section 6009.2(a), provides that storage and use includes a purchase by convicted purchaser of tangible personal property with a counterfeit mark or counterfeit label or an illicit label on that property or in connection with that purchase, regardless of whether the purchase is for resale in the regular course of business.

2.4

Convicted purchaser means a person convicted of a counterfeiting offense, including a violation under Penal Code Section 350 on or after the date of purchase.

Between April and September 2017, Appellant Mr. Qu sold accessories with brand-name labels, such as Burberry,

Chanel, Coach, Gucci, Hermès, Louis Vuitton, MCM, Michael Kors, and Prada, including handbags, handbag emblems, sunglasses, belts and wallets in and around Los Angeles,

California.

Appellant did not report any sales or purchases subject to tax. He has also not provided any records for

audit or during this appeal until we received 1 and 2 just before the hearing today. Exhibit 2 appears to be a restatement of the audit work papers schedules 12A, 12B-1 and 12B-2, however with incorrect or different totals than ours prepared by Ms. Wilson. We submit on both Exhibits 1 and 2 that the amounts provided are unsubstantiated and were reported or prepared over five years after the fact.

2.4

Whereas, we relied upon timely recorded evidence, and that an investigator made two undercover purchases of counterfeit goods from Mr. Qu in June and August of 2017.

And that's detailed in Exhibit C of the investigative consultant's report. On June 14th, 2017, Appellant sold 124 items with counterfeit marks or labels for \$740,000.

But for being counterfeit, the items would have had a total manufacturer suggested retail price or MSRP of about \$81,000, which is a markdown of \$80,620.

And on August 30th, 2017, Appellant sold the investigator 90 items for \$540. But for being counterfeit, items have an MSRP of \$84,150, and that is a mark down of \$83,610. The MSRPs and sales prices compute to an overall markdown percentage of 12,830.47 percent.

Would you like me to say the number again?
THE INTERPRETER: Yes.

MS. PALEY: 12,830.47 percent; and that's shown in Exhibit A-3 of the appeals decision. On

1 September 13th, 2017, detectives from the Los Angeles 2 County Sheriff's Department arrested Appellant and 3 executed a search warrant seizing from him 19,955 items with counterfeit marks or labels with a total of MSRPs of 4 5 just over \$13 million. That's at Exhibit A-4. Is it possible that I can object? 6 MR. QU: 7 JUDGE RALSTON: Excuse me. I didn't hear you. Is it possible I can object right now? 8 MR. QU: 9 JUDGE RALSTON: After Respondent's presentation, 10 you will have ten minutes for a rebuttal. So just make a 11 note, and then you can present your information. 12 MR. QU: Okay. Thank you. 13 MS. PALEY: Applying the known markdown factor of 14 12,930.47 percent, the calculation reveals Appellant could have sold the seized goods for \$100,998. 15 16 Say it again? 17 Please say again. THE INTERPRETER: 18 Applying the known markdown MS. PALEY: Yes. 19 factor of 12,930.74 percent, the calculation reveals that 20 Appellant could have sold the seized goods for \$100,998. 2.1 On July 13th, 2018, in Los Angeles County 22 Superior Court, Appellant was convicted of two felony 23 counts of Penal Code Section 350(a)(2) for selling or possessing for sale over 1,000 items of counterfeit goods 2.4

pursuant to a quilty plea on June 21st, 2018. A sentence

25

of 16 months imprisonment was imposed as reflected in Exhibit D, at page 5 through 7. As a result of that counterfeiting offence conviction, Appellant is a convicted purchaser as described in Revenue & Taxation Code Section 6009.2(b).

2.4

As a result of that counterfeiting offense conviction, Appellant is a convicted purchaser as described in Revenue & Taxation Code Section 6009.2(b), and is liable for use tax based on Appellant's purchase price for the counterfeit goods. Appellant has not provided any supporting documentation of his purchase price for the counterfeit goods. Therefore, the Department used an estimate for the purchase price for the counterfeit goods in line with Revenue & Taxation Code Section 6481.

The audited estimate was calculated by taking the MSRP and applying the established markdown percentage of 12,830.47 percent, which was computed by comparing the MSRPs to the actual sales prices Appellant charged to the undercover buyer. In the absence of any purchase records, the Department must and has used the best information available to it. And we submit that Exhibits 1 and 2 are unreliable and unsubstantiated and urge them to be treated as argument.

The Department's audit, Exhibit E, methodology

forms the basis for the August 14th, 2018, Notice of Determination, Exhibit B. The Department has the minimal initial burden of showing that its determination was reasonable and rational, and the burden shifts to Appellant to establish that a different result is warranted, pursuant to the Office of Tax Appeals 2019 precedential opinion Appeal of TFCG, Inc, in California case law.

2.1

2.4

Except as otherwise specifically provided by law, the burden of proof is upon the taxpayer to prove all issues of facts by a preponderance of the evidence. That is, the taxpayer must establish by documentation or other evidence that the circumstances it asserts are more likely than not to be correct. As held in the Appeal of TFCG and codified in Title 18 Section 35003(a), unsupported assertions are not sufficient to satisfy a taxpayer's burden of proof.

Appellant's hardship claims are heard and understood but do not form a basis for an adjustment of measure. Additionally, a finality penalty of \$945.60 was imposed for the liability period after Appellant had failed to timely appeal the Notice of Determination and failed to pay the liability when it became final or due and payable, pursuant to Revenue & Taxation Code Section 6565.

1 Appellant had previously been informed during the 2 appeals process that in order to request relief of the 3 penalty, the CDTFA-735 form needed to be completed and submitted. Since no such form or request was received, 4 5 the appeals decision did not consider penalty relief. 6 Revenue & Taxation Code Section 6596 provides that the 7 penalty for failure to make timely payment may not be relieved for reasonable cause without a written request 8 9 setting forth the facts on which the claim for relief is 10 based. 11 We submit to the Panel that no adjustments are 12 warranted to the penalty or to the use tax determination. 13 Thank you.

JUDGE RALSTON: This is Judge Ralston. I have a question for Respondent. Are you able to meet with the taxpayer after the hearing to discuss, like, offer and comprise or any --

MS. PALEY: We are not. However, we -- I can provide information regarding that program.

JUDGE RALSTON: Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Mr. Qu, if you were provided with forms or information from CDTFA, do you have someone that can help you read them and fill them out?

MR. QU: I will not understand it at all, and I don't understand any English at all. So I don't know what

1 to do. 2 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. 3 So every time I received a letter from MR. QU: OTA, I always ask somebody to read it to me because I 4 5 don't understand any -- any written document in Chinese or 6 English. 7 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Does CDTFA happen to know 8 if the Form 735 is available in Mandarin? And I 9 understand if you don't know off the top of your head. 10 MS. PALEY: I don't know. Would you like --11 going back to your prior question on the offers and 12 comprise, I can provide a telephone number for that program. However, it requires a final adjustment prior to 13 14 any engagement in the program, but I can provide that 15 phone number. 16 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. And also for 17 CDTFA, does it have to be the form 735, or can it be any 18 written request for the relief of the finality penalty. 19 MS. PALEY: Any written request would. 20 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. What about, like, an oral 2.1 request that was made under oath. I know that's kind of a 22 new thing. 23 MR. SMITH: CDTFA would not consider that a 2.4 written request but --

Okav.

JUDGE RALSTON:

25

So if CDTFA were to provide you, Mr. Qu, with some information about some additional programs or things they have, is there -- I know you said you have people that can assist you in reading it. Do you have someone who can read the information for you?

2.1

MR. QU: Because previously they sent email to me or like they even send the paper mail to me, or they even like tried to provide me any information, but I don't understand anything. I don't even know how to read anything on my phone. I rarely even use my phone.

Besides making phone calls or receiving phone calls, I don't use anything with my phone.

Is it possible for me to talk to you?

JUDGE RALSTON: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?

MR. QU: Is it possible for me to say something?

JUDGE RALSTON: Yes, please.

MR. QU: Your Honor, let me say something from the bottom of my heart. I've never broken any laws during the 20 years that I've been in the United States. And I never asked the government to provide any subsidy to me. And I just try to make some money, including going to swap meets and selling items.

JUDGE RALSTON: Let me just stop you there for just a minute. We're going to give you ten minutes to respond to CDTFA's presentation.

MR. QU: And regarding everything that has been said from the Office of Tax Appeals, I don't agree with them.

JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. We're going to -- I'm going to let you do your rebuttal now. So I'll give you ten minutes to speak. You can give the Panel any information, and then the Panel may have some follow-up questions.

MR. QU: Okay. Thank you.

JUDGE RALSTON: Please begin when you're ready.

2.1

2.4

CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. QU: Your Honor and also ladies and gentlemen in this room, and I've been in the United States for about 20 years already, and my family has some difficulties right now. And whatever the Office of Tax Appeals request for, I believe that they are not reasonable.

And because all these products are fake products right here, and then I also purchase all these logos online as well. And then all these logos maybe cost about a few cents or -- and then you say that all these products are counterfeit. I just don't understand. And I respect all the -- all the personnel right here on the Panel, and I would just like to be treated fairly and reasonably because I don't understand anything, and I also was not

educated.

2.4

And then because I've been in jail before, so for me to go anywhere it's just kind of difficult. And my mom is already over 80 something years old already. And for me to visit her, it's really difficult. And I'm afraid not able to enter the United States if I leave this country, and also my wife is not healthy. And I also asked -- I never request government for any type of assistance or subsidies, and I sold in swap meet before. And then I also had all the permits.

Right now I sustain my life by working a few different common gigs. Sometimes I cannot even pay my rent. And right now my wife and I share one car to drive together. It's a Honda 2011. Right now if I were capable, I would do it. But right now I'm really not capable to pay all this money to the government. So if the government is able to help me to reduce this tax and then maybe pay for a few hundred dollars, then I might be able to do that.

And then I'm just trying to make money and then just pay maybe a few -- some dollars, under \$100 per month. And then besides, I would just try to make some money right now, and my wife is not healthy. She goes to doctor all the time, and then she's using Medi-Cal right now. And then last year I also had a surgery. And then

despite the assistance from governments, and overall the U.S. Government still treat me really nicely.

2.4

And right now the point is that I just need to continue to work hard and then to provide for my family and not to break the law again. And regarding all the laws I've broken in the past, I guess I would just go ahead and not to commit that again and believe I don't make same mistake.

Okay. Thank you, everyone.

JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.

MR. QU: Thank you very much.

JUDGE RALSTON: I did have a follow-up question. So when you referred -- to CDTFA, when you referred to the telephone number regarding the offer and compromise program --

MS. PALEY: Yes.

JUDGE RALSTON: -- do you know if they have Mandarin available?

MS. PALEY: They have the interpreter relay service available. The telephone is 916-322-7931. Again, however, there has to be a final judgment for the officer and comprise to engage.

JUDGE RALSTON: Okay.

MS. PALEY: There's also publication 56. It's in English, a publication, but if someone were able to

interpret that for him.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE RALSTON: Okay.

So, Mr. Qu, what Ms. Paley was referring to is she provided a phone number that you can reach out to at a later date, and they can give you some information about some programs that CDTFA has to assist taxpayers in your situation, if it applies to you. So -- and they do have someone that could speak to you in Mandarin on that phone line.

MR. QU: Is it possible that it's able to be settled by today?

JUDGE RALSTON: What's going to happen after today is what the Panel does is we review the information that has been submitted by both parties, including the conversations and presentations that we had today at this hearing. And then the three Panel members will meet and discuss this case after this hearing, and we will issue a written decision within 100 days.

What -- the number that Ms. Paley provided is for a program with CDTFA, which you could reach out to after the hearing. And I'm hoping that they could discuss with him whether or not he would qualify, whether there was a final liability that he qualified, and that he could -- they could explain that to him better than we can here.

MS. PALEY: Yes.

JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.

2.1

2.4

Okay. So I am going to turn to my Panel members to see if they have any questions. Judge Aldrich, did you have any questions?

JUDGE ALDRICH: This is Judge Aldrich. I don't have any questions for either of the parties. Thank you.

JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.

And, Judge Lambert, did you have any questions?

JUDGE LAMBERT: This is Judge Lambert. I just
had a question for CDTFA on the purchase prices based on
the sales price. Is that really reasonable that someone
would purchase something and sell something for the same
price and not make any profit? And also if we're saying
that there's no evidence of what the purchase price is,
you know, I believe that CDTFA often computes average
markups and is aware of possible markups. So would it be
really reasonable that -- to say that the purchase price
would equal the sales price?

MS. PALEY: We recognize the limitation of our calculation in that it would be basically selling at cost. However, we do not have any documentation to justify or to show what -- what is the markup on a criminal enterprise of counterfeit goods? We do not know and do not have anything to base that upon. So without evidence it would be unsubstantiated to justify.

JUDGE LAMBERT: Okay. Thank you. JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. I think that will conclude our hearing today. So thank you everyone for attending. Today's hearing in the Appeal of Qu is now adjourned, and the record is closed. As I mentioned. The judges will meet and will send you a written opinion of our decision within 100 days. And the next hearing will resume at 1:20. Thank you. (Proceedings adjourned at 12:49 p.m.) 2.4

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 19th day 15 of October, 2022. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4

25