BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF:) E. HONARCHIAN,) OTA NO. 20127046 APPELLANT.)

CERTIFIED COPY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Fresno, California Thursday, September 29, 2022

Reported by:

Cynthia P. Hernandez CSR No. 13521

Job No.: 38707 OTA(A)

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF:)
6	E. HONARCHIAN,) OTA NO. 20127046
7	APPELLANT.)
8	/
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at
16	855 M Street, Suite 960, Fresno, California,
17	commencing at 1:00 p.m. on Thursday,
18	September 29, 2022, reported by
19	Cynthia Hernandez, CSR No. 13521, a
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for
21	the State of California.
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ TERESA STANLEY
4		
5	Panel Members:	ALJ JOSHUA ALDRICH ALJ SARA HOSEY
6		ALU SAKA HOSET
7	For the Appellant:	E. HONARCHIAN
8	ror ene apperrane.	
9	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10		DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION
11		RAVINDER SHARMA
12		CHRISTOPHER BROOKS
13		RANDY SUAZO
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX
2	
3	EXHIBITS
4	
5	(Appellant's Exhibit 1 was received in evidence at
6	page 7)
7	(Department's Exhibits A-E were received in evidence at page 7)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	PRESENTATIONS
13	PAGE
14	By Mr. Honarchian 8
15	By Mr. Sharma 12
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 FRESNO, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2022 2 1:00 P.M. 3 -000-4 JUDGE STANLEY: We're on the record, then, in the appeal of Honarchian, case number 20127046. The date is 5 September 29, 2022, in Fresno, California. The time is 6 7 1:05 p.m. Again, for the record, I'm Judge Teresa Stanley. 8 And I have with me Judge Josh Aldrich and Judge 9 10 Sara Hosey, who is replacing Judge Amanda Vassigh. The 11 parties did not object to that substitution. 12 The lead will conduct the panel, but the three of 13 us will equally deliberate and issue a written opinion 14 within 100 days of the conclusion of this hearing or 100 15 days of the closure of the record, whichever one comes later. 16 17 Please identify yourselves and who you represent, 18 and I'm going to start with the appellant. 19 MR. HONARCHIAN: Eddie Honarchian, Appellant. 20 Ravinder Sharma, hearing representative MR. SHARMA: 21 for the CDTFA. 22 MR. BROOKS: Christopher Brooks, tax counsel for 23 CDTFA. 24 MR. SUAZO: Randy Suazo, hearing representative of 25 CDTFA.

JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And for the benefit of the public that's viewing this and the parties, I note that the Office of Tax Appeals, which I might sometimes refer to as OTA, is independent from the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, which I might refer to as CDTFA or "the department." And any -- and we're also independent of any other tax agency.

The Office of Tax Appeals is not a court, but we're an independent appeals agency. We're staffed with our own tax experts.

The only evidence in our record is that which was submitted to us during this appeal by both parties.

The issue that we discussed at the pre-hearing conference was whether for the liability period, April 1st, 2012, through March 31st, 2015, has appellant established that a reduction to the measure of unreported taxable sales is warranted?

The second issue was that appellant previously disputed but does -- no longer disputes the CDTFA's disallowance of a bad debt deduction.

Is that correct, Mr. Honarchian?
MR. HONARCHIAN: Correct.
JUDGE STANLEY: And Mr. Sharma?
MR. SHARMA: That is correct. Thank you.

1	JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Appellant submitted as evidence
2	CDTFA's Appeals Bureau decision, to which CDTFA did not
3	object.
4	And, Mr. Honarchian, you don't have any additional
5	exhibits to present; is that correct?
6	MR. HONARCHIAN: Not at this time.
7	JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. And the CDTFA so I'm going
8	to go ahead and admit Appellant's Exhibit we'll call
9	it Exhibit 1 into the record.
10	(Appellant's Exhibit 1 was received in
11	evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)
12	JUDGE STANLEY: And then CDTFA submitted Exhibits A
13	through E. Appellant did not object at the prehearing
14	conference, but he claimed that he didn't see them.
15	Have you do you have any objections at this
16	point, Mr. Honarchian?
17	MR. HONARCHIAN: I do not.
18	JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. So without objection, CDTFA's
19	Exhibits A through E will be admitted into evidence too.
20	(Department's Exhibits A-E were received in
21	evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)
22	JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. So that means we're ready to
23	proceed. I'm going to turn it over to you,
24	Mr. Honarchian.
25	We talked about a 10-minute presentation, but I

1	assume that you will be testifying as to the facts
2	related to the case, right?
3	MR. HONARCHIAN: Correct.
4	JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. So I'm going to ask that you
5	raise your right hand.
6	And do you swear or affirm that you'll tell the
7	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
8	MR. HONARCHIAN: Yes.
9	JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Thank you.
10	And just for purposes of the public, I will say
11	that when we do CDTFA's presentations, since they don't
12	have any witnesses testifying to facts, they will only
13	be arguing the case, that their members will not need to
14	be sworn in.
15	So, Mr. Honarchian, you can proceed when ready.
16	
17	PRESENTATION
18	MR. HONARCHIAN: Okay. First of all, I signed a
19	statute of limitation that extended only until
20	July 31st, 2016, so I would like the judges to look at
21	that.
22	Second, I hired a CPA to seek help in reviewing
23	and responding to the exhibits. I didn't receive the
24	exhibits until September 14th, which was a couple weeks
25	ago, but I gave him 142 pages of documents I received.

And he said that -- he said he is in the middle of
 income tax. I guess October 17th he has to file a bunch
 of people's income tax.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

So he said that he won't be able to look at them until October 24th of -- next month, October 24th, because of -- so he says he would probably need about 40 hours to compare my invoices to the DMV data and to review all the exhibits that CDTFA has provided. So he's going to need 40 hours for that. I'm hoping that we could keep this hearing open until I conduct a review of the DMV data.

Third, on the prehearing, the CDTFA claims the DMV data is more reliable than my invoices, but I don't see how, because the DMV data is an estimate only; my invoices are the exact figure.

So my CPA has to prove that the DMV data is wrong or that the DMV -- that the vehicles were not sold or the vehicles were repossessed or the DMV paid -- the money I paid DMV was on vehicles that were returned or refunded -- was issued -- a refund was issued to the customer.

Fourth, on June 10th of 2020, the Business Tax and Fee Division was ordered by the Tax Council to perform a re-audit. Business and Tax and Fee Division never conducted the re-audit. They still never even wanted to look at my invoices.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

That would be it for now.

JUDGE STANLEY: Mr. Sharma, does the department have any questions of Mr. Honarchian?

MR. SHARMA: No. The department doesn't have any questions at this time. Thank you.

JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. And is the department able to address what I understand might be a recently raised issue just now?

10 The first point that Mr. Honarchian made is with respect to a waiver he signed. And I don't know -- I 11 haven't looked into that, because this is the first time 12 13 I've heard it, so I don't know if the department knows 14 when the waiver was signed, what cover -- what period it 15 covered and whether the NOD was issued during that time. We can address that issue. 16 MR. SHARMA: Yes.

JUDGE STANLEY: Okay.

18 MR. SHARMA: Appellant signed a waiver of limitation 19 extension after July 31st, 2016, and the NOD was issued 20 on February 16, 2016. Properly excluded and signed 21 waivers are attached as part of Department's exhibit, 22 Exhibit A, page 66, which shows waiver extended after 23 July 31st. Page 67 shows original waiver after 24 January 31st, 2016.

25

17

So those were properly executed waivers and time

1 of -- I mean, notice of determination was timely issued under the properly executed and signed waivers by the 3 appellant.

JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Thanks for addressing that out of turn.

I do also -- before I ask the panel if they have 6 any additional questions I wanted to address 7 Mr. Honarchian's statement that he only received the 8 9 exhibit a couple weeks ago. The Office of Tax Appeals 10 records does reflect that we sent an email dated April 26, 2021, to Appellant that included a link to 11 12 CDTFA's exhibits, and Appellant did respond to that 13 email. That doesn't mean that he went in and looked at 14 the documents in the link, though. And that's not to 15 take away from your request to have more time to review 16 them.

I just want to say that most of CDTFA's exhibits are also -- were papers which would have been presented to you at the end of the audit.

20 Okay. And, Judge Hosey, do you have any questions for the witness? 21

JUDGE HOSEY: Not at this time. Thank you. JUDGE STANLEY: Judge Aldrich, do you have any

24 questions?

2

4

5

17

18

19

22

23

25

This is Judge Aldrich. I had a JUDGE ALDRICH: Hi.

couple of questions for the appellant.

1

2	So you raised the issue of the timeliness of the
3	notice of determination. And so are you calling into
4	question the validity of the waiver of limitations? So
5	there's two in evidence that the department referenced.
6	Are you saying that those aren't valid or you didn't
7	sign them or I guess, what's your argument there?
8	MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, I was just I'm not saying I
9	didn't sign them. I'm just saying that it extended only
10	until July 31st, 2016, the ones I signed. So we are now
11	in 2022, so I don't know if that even you know, the
12	limitations even
13	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. I think I understand your point
14	in that regard. No further questions.
15	MR. HONARCHIAN: Thank you.
16	JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Thank you.
17	Since there are no more questions for
18	Mr. Honarchian, Mr. Sharma, you can proceed.
19	MR. SHARMA: Thank you. This is Ravinder Sharma.
20	
21	PRESENTATION
22	MR. SHARMA: Appellant operated a car dealership in
23	Fresno, California, since June 2009. The department
24	performed an audit examination for the period of
25	April 1, 2012, through March 31, 2015.

Appellant reported total sales of approximately \$2.1 million; claimed deductions of a little more than \$533,000, resulting in reported taxable sales of \$1.5 million for the audit period.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Appellant also reported X-tax purchases subject to use tax for approximately \$12,000 for the audit period. Exhibit A pages 5 to 6.

Appellant provided federal income tax returns for years 2012 to 2014. Appellant did not provide any detailed books or records for the audit period. Due to lack of books or records the department could not verify the reporting method or the accuracy of reported amounts.

The analysis of Appellant's reported total sales per sales and tax returns and reported gross receipts per federal income tax returns reveal a difference of \$186,000 for 2012. Exhibit A, page 42.

The department compared reported taxable sales with cost of goods sold per federal income tax returns and arrived at inconsistent markups for the audit period. Exhibit A, page 42.

Based on the above analysis, the department determined that Appellant's books and records were inadequate and unreliable for sales and use tax purposes. In the absence of reliable books or records, the department used an indirect audit method to verify the accuracy of reported amounts and to determine unreported taxable sales.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Since Appellant did not provide any general or subsidy ledgers, dealer jackets, sales contracts, purchase invoices, bank statements, the department obtained Department of Motor Vehicle -- that's called DMV data -- from its data analysis section to verify whether the taxable sales were properly reported.

The department used the estimated sales price for each vehicle from DMV data and determined audited taxable sales of a little more than \$3.3 million for first quarter 2012 to fourth quarter 2014. Exhibit A, pages 14 to 25; and \$570,000 for first quarter 2015. Exhibit A pages 10 and 26 to 41.

Based on the above audit procedures, the department determined audited taxable sales of around \$3.87 million for the audit period. Exhibit A, page 10.

Appellant reported taxable sales of \$1.53 million, resulting in unreported taxable sales of a little more than \$2.34 million for the audit period.

This audit procedure also resulted in credits of around \$26,000 for 2012, but Appellant failed to provide any documents to support those credit amounts; therefore, the department disallowed credits for 2012.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

During the appeals process the department noted duplicate transactions of around \$12,000, which weren't adjusted, resulted in unreported taxable sales of \$2.36 million for the audit period. Exhibit A, page 8.

Appellant has not provided any documentary evidence to show that audited taxable sales established based on the DMV data is not correct.

During his opening statement, Appellant talked about -- that the department has not conducted a re-audit. The department submits that the re-audit has been conducted as directed by the seasoned report.

And Appellant also talked about the DMV data is estimate. Department submits that DMV data is estimate, but it is based on the Vehicle License Fee code, which is generally used by the DMV to assign a value within the range of \$200. And it's been the department's practice to use that estimated sales price with the lowest range, and that's what the department has done.

And as it goes to Appellant's contention that the DMV data is more reliable, the department submits that the DMV data is based on the cost adjusted by the buyer, and the only difference is -- as explained earlier, is the estimated sales price. Other than that, DMV data is more reliable because it has been maintained by a third party and other independent government agency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Based on the above, the department has fully explained the basis for deficiency. The department has used approved audit methods to determine the deficiency. Therefore, based on the evidence presented, the department requests that Appellant's appeal be denied.

This concludes my presentation and I'm available to answer any questions you may have. Thank you. JUDGE STANLEY: Thank you.

Judge Hosey, do you have any questions for CDTFA? JUDGE HOSEY: Yes, I do have one. This is Judge Hosey.

In your presentation you stated that no books and records were provided by the appellant during the initial audit. Was that the case during the re-audit as well?

MR. SHARMA: Yes, that's correct.

18 During the re-audit the appellant wanted the 19 department to go over at his business and look at all 20 these boxes, which is not the department's policy. 21 Because as I explained earlier, department has used DMV 22 data, which is more reliable and complete, which is 23 monitored by a third party, which the department denied 24 that, to go to his business and look at each and every 25 invoice or whatever he claimed in the boxes.

1	JUDGE HOSEY: Okay. Thank you for the clarification.
2	And then, Appellant, these are the invoices you
3	referenced in your presentation, the
4	MR. HONARCHIAN: Correct.
5	JUDGE HOSEY: The boxes of invoices you have?
6	MR. HONARCHIAN: Correct, yes.
7	JUDGE HOSEY: Okay. Thank you.
8	JUDGE STANLEY: Judge Aldrich, do you have any
9	questions?
10	JUDGE ALDRICH: Hi. This is Judge Aldrich.
11	For the department, I guess, if you could give me
12	a quick distinction between the audit and the re-audit,
13	since Appellant has put forth the argument that the
14	re-audit wasn't conducted. Just the highlights.
15	MR. SHARMA: The only information we noted during the
16	re-audit was duplicate transaction of \$12,400. During
17	the assessment there was duplicate transaction and that
18	has been adjusted.
19	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. Thank you. No further
20	questions.
21	JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Thank you.
22	Mr. Honarchian, you can have five minutes to
23	respond to whatever you heard CDTFA say or any other
24	information that you want the panel to know before we
25	conclude.

MR. HONARCHIAN: On the re-audit they only looked at nine invoices, and that's where they found that there was a duplicate. I'm sure if they looked at all of them there would be more duplicates and more credits.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Like, in their audit there isn't any allowance of any returns. I mean, I had several returns, several repossessions, several -- I mean, numerous valid returns there.

The BOE does have procedures for requesting records from taxpayers. In regulation 698.5 it requires that they issue an information document request with a 30-day deadline and a second information document request with a 15-day deadline, and a final demand prior to issuing a bill. The auditor just disregarded those procedures and just issued a bill.

Also, the BOE failed to educate me on how to file a return and now I owe over \$46,000. Basically the publication I received when I registered for a permit is not enough knowledge or education to file a return. It's very frustrating how to input numbers into the BOE system. I didn't know how to do the vehicle returns or refunds. I didn't even know how to input them.

Also -- I mean, I had a secretary that helped me and she supposedly knew how to do it, but I think I knew more than her. Now that they've switched over from the BOE to the CDTFA it's way easier and now we pay the sales tax directly to the DMV, so, you know, there is no way to make a mistake. If from the beginning we would have been able to just pay the DMV directly for the sales tax instead of paying it quarterly we wouldn't be in this situation.

That's all I have.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

JUDGE STANLEY: Thank you, Mr. Honarchian.

Judge Hosey, do you have any additional questions? JUDGE HOSEY: No further questions. Thank you. JUDGE STANLEY: Judge Aldrich, do you have any additional questions?

JUDGE ALDRICH: This is Judge Aldrich. Just a couple of additional questions.

You were referring to credits. What credits specifically were you --

MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, I have returns, repossessions. Like, we paid the DMV for a vehicle's registration and then we returned the vehicle, so we never got credited for the tax for that. Because if they are going by the DMV data, it will show that that tax is due and where we gave the customer a refund.

23 So there's some credits there that I'm hoping that 24 we get more time to have a CPA look into, and take my 25 invoices and the DMV data and figure out where there

1	should be some credits. I'm sure there should be
2	numerous credits for returns, repossessions. So there
3	should be some valid returns there.
4	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. Thank you.
5	And I guess I'm wondering at the time that you
6	filed your sales and use returns did you denote any of
7	those credits or
8	MR. HONARCHIAN: No.
9	JUDGE ALDRICH: So they wouldn't be reflected
10	elsewhere? So on your federal returns or your sales and
11	use tax returns they wouldn't have appeared?
12	MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, on the federal tax returns I
13	did I remember we had some repossessions. And it
14	would be under "transportation" on the federal tax
15	returns. But as far as income coming in is all I
16	reported to the income tax returns.
17	JUDGE ALDRICH: So quick question there.
18	On the repossession, you said that would be under
19	transportation and not under bad debts deductions?
20	MR. HONARCHIAN: Bad debt deductions? Yeah, it would
21	be under repossessions oh, it would be under
22	transportation. I don't I never filed a bad debt
23	deduction or even on a tax return.
24	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay.
25	MR. HONARCHIAN: Or even know what a bad debt

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

deduction is.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Thank you. I think that answers my questions.

JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. I don't have any additional questions, other than just clarifying how much time you need following the hearing.

You said that you are having a CPA go over the records with you. And it sounds like you are doing what we talked about at the prehearing conference, where you have to have somebody compare your invoices to the DMV data, because that's what the audit used. So that's the only thing that's going to be helpful to the Office of Tax Appeals, is to see if that data is wrong in any respect at this point.

So I had talked -- prior to the hearing I talked about giving you time to do that. It sounds like your CPA needs at least a month to even get to it. And so would you like 60 days to file a response or do you think you need even more than that?

20 MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, he said 40 hours, so I don't 21 know how long it's going to take him on -- I didn't ask 22 him how long that 40 hours would take, but I think 23 60 days should be enough time.

JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Why don't I give you 60 days?And if for some reason you are unable to complete that

1 review with him, with your CPA, by the date that we set 2 and the order -- I'll issue an order after the hearing. 3 If you can't meet that deadline just let us know and ask 4 for an extension and we'll take that up then. 5 MR. HONARCHIAN: I will. JUDGE STANLEY: And Mr. Sharma, how long does the 6 7 department wish to have to respond? Depending on the volume of documents that 8 MR. SHARMA: 9 would be submitted. I think 30 days should be enough, 10 but if there are a lot of documents maybe 45 days. 11 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. And, again, this might fall right during the holiday time, your 30 days. So, again, 12 13 if the department does need more time than the deadline 14 that is ultimately set, then you can request an 15 extension as well. 16 MR. SHARMA: Thank you. So we're going to hold the record open in 17 THE COURT: 18 this case. So that means that we will not issue a 19 written opinion within 100 days of today. We're going 20 to wait for this additional briefing process to 21 complete, and then that will start the hundred days to 22 getting an opinion out. 23 We're going to recess this hearing and 24 reconvene -- approximately 15 minutes we'll reconvene 25 for the next hearing. Thank you all for your

1	participation and have a good afternoon.
2	(Proceedings concluded at 1:30 p.m.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

1

2 I, CYNTHIA P. HERNANDEZ, CSR NO. 13521, Certified 3 4 Shorthand Reporter, certify; 5 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth. 6 7 That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made were 8 recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter 9 10 transcribed; 11 That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript 12 of my shorthand notes so taken. 13 I further certify that I am not a relative or 14 employee of any of the parties, nor financially 15 interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of 16 17 California that the foregoing is true and correct. 18 Dated this 20th day of October, 2022. 19 20 21 22 CYNTHIA P. HERNANDEZ, CSR NO. 13521 23 24 25

\$	16 10:20	41 14:16	adjusted 15:4,22 17:18
	17th 9:2	42 13:17,21	Administration
\$1.5 13:3	1:00 2:17 5:2	45 22:10	3:10 6:6
\$1.53 14:20	1:05 5:7	5	Administrative
\$12,000 13:6 15:3	1:30 23:2		7:11,21
\$12,400 17:16	1st 6:16	5 13:7	admit 7:8
\$186,000 13:17	2		admitted 7:19
\$2.1 13:2	L	6	affirm 8:6
\$2.34 14:22	2009 12:23	6 13:7	afternoon 23:1
\$2.36 15:4	2012 6:16 12:25	60 21:18,23,24	agency 6:8,10 16:1
\$200 15:17	13:9,17 14:14,24 15:1	66 10:22	ahead 7:8
\$26,000 14:24	20127046 2:6 5:5	67 10:23	Aldrich 3:5 5:9
\$3.3 14:13	2014 13:9 14:14	698.5 18:10	11:23,25 12:13
\$3.87 14:19	2015 6:16 12:25		17:8,10,19 19:11, 13 20:4,9,17,24
\$46,000 18:17	14:15	7	21:2
\$533,000 13:3	2016 8:20 10:19,	7 4:5,7	ALJ 3:3,5
\$570,000 14:15	20,24 12:10		allowance 18:5
	2020 9:22	8	Amanda 5:10
-	2021 11:11	8 4:14 15:5	amounts 13:13
-000- 5:3	2022 2:18 5:1,6 12:11	855 2:16	14:3,25
	24th 9:5		analysis 13:14,22 14:9
1	25 14:15	9	answers 21:2
1 4:5 7:9,10 12:25	26 11:11 14:16	060 0:40	appeal 2:5 5:5
10 14:16,19	29 2:18 5:1,6	960 2:16	6:13 16:6
10-minute 7:25		Α	appeals 2:1 6:4,9
100 5:14 22:19	3	• • • • • • •	10 7:2 11:9 15:2 21:13
10th 9:22	30 22:9,12	A-E 4:6 7:20	APPEARANCES
12 4:15	30-day 18:12	absence 14:1	3:1
13521 2:19	31 12:25	accuracy 13:12 14:3	appeared 20:11
14 14:15	31st 6:16 8:20	additional 7:4	appellant 2:7 3:7
142 8:25	10:19,23,24 12:10	11:7 19:9,12,14	5:18,19 6:16,19 7:1,13 10:18 11:3
14th 8:24		21:4 22:20	11,12 12:1,22
15 22:24	4	address 10:8,16 11:7	13:1,5,8,9 14:5, 20,24 15:6,9,13
15-day 18:13	40 9:7,9 21:20,22	addressing 11:4	16:14,18 17:2,13
•		5	appellant's 4:5

7:8,10 13:14,23 15:20 16:6

approved 16:4

approximately 13:1,6 22:24

April 6:16 11:11 12:25

arguing 8:13

argument 12:7 17:13

arrived 13:20

assessment

17:17

assign 15:16

assume 8:1

attached 10:21

audit 11:19 12:24 13:4,6,10,20 14:2, 17,19,22,23 15:5 16:4,15 17:12 18:5 21:11

audited 14:12,18 15:7

auditor 18:14

В

bad 6:21 20:19,20, 22,25

bank 14:7

based 13:22 14:17 15:8,15,22 16:2,5

Basically 18:17

basis 16:3

beginning 19:3

benefit 6:2

bill 18:14,15

BOE 18:9,16,20

19:1

books 13:10,11, 23 14:1 16:13

boxes 16:20,25 17:5

briefing 22:20

Brooks 3:12 5:22

bunch 9:2

Bureau 7:2

business 9:22,24 16:19,24

buyer 15:22

С

California 2:2,16, 21 3:9 5:1,6 6:5 12:23

call 7:8

called 14:8

calling 12:3

car 12:22

case 5:5 8:2,13 16:15 22:18

CDTFA 5:21,23, 25 6:6 7:2,7,12 9:8,12 16:10 17:23 19:1

CDTFA's 6:20 7:2,18 8:11 11:12, 17

Certified 2:20

Christopher 3:12 5:22

claimed 7:14 13:2 16:25 claims 9:12

clarification 17:1

clarifying 21:5

closure 5:15 **code** 15:15 commencing 2:17

compare 9:7 21:10

compared 13:18

complete 16:22 21:25 22:21

conclude 17:25

concluded 23:2

concludes 16:7

conclusion 5:14

conduct 5:12 9:10

conducted 9:25

15:10,12 17:14

conference 6:15 7:14 21:9

contention 15:20

contracts 14:6

correct 6:22,23, 25 7:5 8:3 15:8 16:17 17:4,6

cost 13:19 15:22

Council 9:23

counsel 5:22

couple 8:24 11:9 12:1 19:13

court 6:9 22:17

cover 10:14

covered 10:15

CPA 8:22 9:16 19:24 21:7,17 22:1

credit 14:25

credited 19:19

credits 14:23 15:1 18:4 19:15,23 20:1,2,7

CSR 2:19

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682 **customer** 9:21 19:22

19.22

Cynthia 2:19

D

data 9:7,11,13,14, 16 14:9,12 15:8, 13,14,21,22,24 16:22 19:21,25 21:11,13

date 5:5 22:1

dated 11:10

days 5:14,15 21:18,23,24 22:9, 10,12,19,21

deadline 18:12,13 22:3,13

dealer 14:6

dealership 12:22

debt 6:21 20:20, 22,25

debts 20:19

decision 7:2

deduction 6:21 20:23 21:1

deductions 13:2 20:19,20

deficiency 16:3,4

deliberate 5:13

demand 18:13

denied 16:6,23

denote 20:6

department 3:10 6:5,7 10:3,5,7,13 12:5,23 13:11,18, 22 14:2,7,8,11,18 15:1,2,10,11,14, 19,21 16:2,3,6,19, 21,23 17:11 22:7,

13 department's 4:6

i2 Index: approved..department's

i3 Index: Depending..income

7:20 10:21 15:17 16:20

Depending 22:8

detailed 13:10

determination 11:1 12:3

determine 14:3 16:4

determined 13:23 14:12,18

difference 13:16 15:23

directed 15:12

directly 19:2,4

disallowance 6:21

0.21

disallowed 15:1

discussed 6:14

disputed 6:20

disputes 6:20

disregarded

18:14

distinction 17:12

Division 9:23,24

DMV 9:7,11,12,14, 16,17,18,19 14:9, 12 15:8,13,14,16, 21,22,24 16:21 19:2,4,18,21,25 21:10

document 18:11, 12

documentary 15:6

documents 8:25 11:14 14:25 22:8, 10

due 13:10 19:21

duplicate 15:3 17:16,17 18:3

duplicates 18:4

Е

earlier 15:23 16:21 easier 19:1 Eddie 5:19 educate 18:16 education 18:19 email 11:10,13 end 11:19 equally 5:13 established 6:17 15:7 estimate 9:14 15:14 estimated 14:11 15:18,24 evidence 4:5,6 6:12 7:1.11.19.21 12:5 15:7 16:5 exact 9:15 examination 12:24 excluded 10:20 executed 10:25 11:2 exhibit 4:5 7:8,9, 10 10:21,22 11:9 13:7,17,21 14:14, 16,19 15:5 exhibits 4:6 7:5, 12,19,20 8:23,24 9:8 11:12,17 experts 6:11 explained 15:23 16:3,21 extended 8:19 10:22 12:9 extension 10:19 22:4,15

F

facts 8:1,12 failed 14:24 18:16 fall 22:11 February 10:20 federal 13:8,16,19 20:10,12,14 Fee 3:10 6:6 9:23, 24 15:15 figure 9:15 19:25 **file** 9:2 18:16,19 21:18 filed 20:6,22 final 18:13 found 18:2 fourth 9:22 14:14 Fresno 2:16 5:1,6 12:23 frustrating 18:20 fully 16:2 G gave 8:25 19:22 general 14:5 generally 15:16 give 17:11 21:24 giving 21:16 good 23:1 goods 13:19 government 16:1 gross 13:15 guess 9:2 12:7 17:11 20:5

н

hand 8:5 heard 10:13 17:23 hearing 5:14,20, 24 9:10 21:6,15 22:2,23,25 helped 18:23 helpful 21:12 Hernandez 2:19 highlights 17:14 hired 8:22 hold 22:17 holiday 22:12 Honarchian 2:6 3:7 4:14 5:5,19 6:22,23 7:4,6,16, 17,24 8:3,8,15,18 10:4,10 12:8,15, 18 17:4,6,22 18:1 19:8,17 20:8,12, 20,25 21:20 22:5 Honarchian's 11:8 hoping 9:9 19:23 Hosey 3:5 5:10 11:20,22 16:10, 11,12 17:1,5,7 19:9,10 hours 9:7,9 21:20, 22 hundred 22:21 L identify 5:17 inadequate 13:24 included 11:11 **income** 9:2.3 13:8,16,19 20:15, 16

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

i4 Index: inconsistent..prehearing

opinion 5:13

22:19,22

order 22:2

ordered 9:23

inconsistent 13:20
independent 6:5, 7,10 16:1
indirect 14:2
information 17:15,24 18:11,12
initial 16:15
input 18:20,22
invoice 16:25
invoices 9:7,13, 15 10:1 14:7 17:2, 5 18:2 19:25 21:10
issue 5:13 6:14,19 10:9,16 12:2 18:11 22:2,18
issued 9:20 10:15,19 11:1 18:15
issuing 18:14
J
J jackets 14:6
jackets 14:6
jackets 14:6 January 10:24
jackets 14:6 January 10:24 Josh 5:9
jackets 14:6 January 10:24 Josh 5:9 JOSHUA 3:5 Judge 5:4,8,9,10 6:1,24 7:1,7,11, 12,18,21,22 8:4,9 10:3,7,17 11:4,20, 22,23,25 12:13,16 16:9,10,11 17:1,5, 7,8,10,19,21 19:8, 9,10,11,13 20:4,9, 17,24 21:2,4,24
jackets 14:6 January 10:24 Josh 5:9 JOSHUA 3:5 Judge 5:4,8,9,10 6:1,24 7:1,7,11, 12,18,21,22 8:4,9 10:3,7,17 11:4,20, 22,23,25 12:13,16 16:9,10,11 17:1,5, 7,8,10,19,21 19:8, 9,10,11,13 20:4,9, 17,24 21:2,4,24 22:6,11

Κ knew 18:24 knowledge 18:19 L lack 13:11 Law 7:11,21 lead 3:3 5:12 ledgers 14:6 liability 6:15 License 15:15 limitation 8:19 10:18 limitations 12:4, 12 **link** 11:11,14 long 21:21,22 22:6 longer 6:20 **looked** 10:12 11:13 18:1,3 lot 22:10 lowest 15:19 Μ made 10:10 maintained 15:25 make 19:3 March 6:16 12:25 markups 13:20 MATTER 2:5 means 7:22 22:18

measure 6:17

members 3:5

meet 22:3

8:13 **method** 13:12 14:2 methods 16:4 middle 9:1 million 13:2,4 14:13,19,20,22 15:5 minutes 17:22 22:24 mistake 19:3 money 9:19 monitored 16:23 month 9:5 21:17 **Motor** 14:8 Ν **NOD** 10:15,19 note 6:3

NOD 10:15,19 note 6:3 noted 15:2 17:15 notice 11:1 12:3 number 5:5 numbers 18:20 numerous 18:7 20:2

0

object 5:11 7:3,13 objection 7:18 objections 7:15 obtained 14:8 October 9:2,5 Office 2:1 6:3,9 11:9 21:12 open 9:10 22:17 opening 15:9 operated 12:22 original 10:23 OTA 2:6 6:4 owe 18:17 Ρ p.m. 2:17 5:2,7 23:2 pages 8:25 13:7 14:15,16 paid 9:18,19 19:18 panel 3:3,5 5:12 11:6 17:24 papers 11:18 part 10:21 participation 23:1 parties 5:11 6:3, 13 party 16:1,23 pay 19:1,4 paying 19:5 people's 9:3 perform 9:23 performed 12:24 period 6:15 10:14 12:24 13:4,6,10, 21 14:19,22 15:5 permit 18:18 point 7:16 10:10 12:13 21:14 policy 16:20 practice 15:18 pre-hearing 6:14 prehearing 7:13

procedure 14:23 Rand

procedures 14:17 18:9,15

proceed 7:23 8:15 12:18

proceedings 2:15 23:2

process 15:2 22:20

properly 10:20,25 11:2 14:10

prove 9:16

provide 13:9 14:5,24

provided 9:8 13:8 15:6 16:14

public 6:2 8:10

publication 18:18

purchase 14:7

purchases 13:5

purposes 8:10 13:25

put 17:13

Q

question 12:4 20:17 **questions** 10:4,6 11:7,20,24 12:1, 14,17 16:8,10

quarterly 19:5

17:9,20 19:9,10, 12,14 21:3,5

quick 17:12 20:17

R

raise 8:5

raised 10:8 12:2

Randy 3:13 5:24

range 15:17,19

Ravinder 3:11 5:20 12:19

re-audit 9:24,25 15:11 16:15,18 17:12,14,16 18:1

ready 7:22 8:15

reason 21:25

receipts 13:15

receive 8:23

received 4:5,6 7:10,20 8:25 11:8 18:18

recently 10:8

recess 22:23

reconvene 22:24

record 5:4,8,15 6:12 7:9 22:17

records 11:10 13:10,11,23 14:1 16:14 18:10 21:8

reduction 6:17

refer 6:4,6

referenced 12:5 17:3

quarter 14:14,15

registration 19:18 regulation 18:10 related 8:2 reliable 9:13 14:1 15:21,25 16:22 remember 20:13

referring 19:15

reflect 11:10

reflected 20:9

refunded 9:20

refunds 18:22

regard 12:14

registered 18:18

refund 9:20 19:22

replacing 5:10

report 15:12

reported 2:18 13:1,3,5,12,14,15, 18 14:3,10,20 20:16

Reporter 2:20

reporting 13:12

repossessed 9:18

repossession 20:18

repossessions 18:7 19:17 20:2, 13.21

represent 5:17

representative 5:20,24

request 11:15 18:11,13 22:14

requesting 18:9

requests 16:6

requires 18:10 respect 10:11 21:14 respond 11:12 17:23 22:7

Respondent 3:9

responding 8:23

response 21:18

resulted 14:23 15:4

resulting 13:3 14:21

return 18:17,19 20:23

returned 9:19 19:19

returns 13:8,15, 16,19 18:6,7,21 19:17 20:2,3,6,10, 11,12,15,16

reveal 13:16

review 9:8,10 11:15 22:1

reviewing 8:22

S

sales 6:18 13:1,3, 14,15,18,24 14:4, 6,10,11,13,18,20, 21 15:4,7,18,24 19:2,5 20:6,10

Sara 3:5 5:10

seasoned 15:12

secretary 18:23

section 14:9

seek 8:22

September 2:18 5:1,6 8:24

set 22:1,14

Sharma 3:11 4:15 5:20 6:24,25 10:3, 5,16,18 12:18,19, 22 16:17 17:15

9:12 21:9

present 7:5

7:25 8:17 12:21

16:7,13 17:3

presentations

presented 11:18

previously 6:19

price 14:11 15:18,

prior 18:13 21:15

4:12 8:11

16:5

24

i6 Index: Shorthand..years

22:6,8,16

Shorthand 2:20

show 15:7 19:21

shows 10:22,23

sign 12:7,9

signed 8:18 10:11,14,18,20 11:2 12:10

situation 19:6

sold 9:17 13:19

sounds 21:8,16

specifically 19:16 staffed 6:10

Stanley 3:3 5:4,8 6:1,24 7:1,7,12, 18,22 8:4,9 10:3, 7,17 11:4,23 12:16 16:9 17:8, 21 19:8,11 21:4, 24 22:6,11 start 5:18 22:21 State 2:2,21 3:9

stated 16:13

statement 11:8 15:9

statements 14:7

statute 8:19

Street 2:16

Suazo 3:13 5:24

subject 13:5 submits 15:11,

14,21 submitted 6:13

7:1,12 22:9

subsidy 14:6

substitution 5:11

Suite 2:16

support 14:25

supposedly 18:24 **swear** 8:6 switched 18:25 sworn 8:14 system 18:21 т talked 7:25 15:9, 13 21:9,15 tax 2:1 3:10 5:22 6:3,5,8,9,11 9:2,3, 22,23,24 11:9 13:6,8,15,16,19, 24 19:2,5,20,21 20:11,12,14,16,23 21:13 taxable 6:18 13:3, 18 14:4,10,13,18, 20,21 15:4,7 taxpayers 18:10 Teresa 3:3 5:8 testifying 8:1,12 thing 21:12 Thursday 2:17 5:1 time 5:6 7:6 10:6, 12,15,25 11:15,22 19:24 20:5 21:5, 16,23 22:12,13 timeliness 12:2 timely 11:1 today 22:19 total 13:1,14 transaction 17:16,17 transactions 15:3 TRANSCRIPT

2:15

20:14,19,22 truth 8:7 turn 7:23 11:5 U ultimately 22:14 unable 21:25 understand 10:8 12:13 unreliable 13:24 unreported 6:18 14:3,21 15:4

transportation

V

valid 12:6 18:7 20:3 validity 12:4 Vassigh 5:10 vehicle 14:8,12 15:15 18:21 19:19 vehicle's 19:18 vehicles 9:17,18, 19 verify 13:11 14:2, 9 viewing 6:2 **volume** 22:8 W wait 22:20 waiver 10:11,14, 18,22,23 12:4

waivers 10:21,25 11:2

wanted 9:25 11:7 16:18

warranted 6:18

whichever 5:15 witnesses 8:12 wondering 20:5 written 5:13 22:19 wrong 9:16 21:13 X

weeks 8:24 11:9

X-TAX 13:5

Υ

years 13:9