BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ΙN	THE	MATTER	OF	THE	APPEAL	OF,)			
V.	NICI	KERL,		A	PPELLAN'	Г.))))	OTA	NO.	21098562
)			

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Tuesday, August 30, 2022

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS				
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
3					
4					
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE ADDEAL OF)				
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,) V. NICKERL,) OTA NO. 21098562				
7)				
8	APPELLANT.))				
9	,				
10					
11					
12					
13					
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,				
15	taken in the State of California, commencing				
16	at 1:06 p.m. and concluding at 1:24 p.m. on				
17	Tuesday, August 30, 2022, reported by				
18	Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and				
19	for the State of California.				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ AMANDA VASSIGH
4	Panel Members:	ALJ ANDREAS LONG
5	raner members.	ALJ SHERIENE RIDENOUR
6	For the Appellant:	V. NICKERL
7		
8	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
9		TOPHER TUTTLE MARIA BROSTERHOUS
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>I N D E X</u>					
2						
3		EXHIBITS				
4						
5	(Appellant's Exhibi	ts 1-6 were received at page 7.)				
6	(Department's Exhib	its A-N were received at page 7.)				
7						
8		PRESENTATION				
9						
10		<u>PAGE</u>				
11	By Mr. Nickerl	9				
12	By Mrs. Nickerl	12				
13	By Mr. Tuttle	15				
14						
15		CLOSING STATEMENT				
16		<u>PAGE</u>				
17	By Mr. Nickerl	17				
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

California;	August	30,	2022
1 • 0	16 n m		

2.1

2.4

JUDGE VASSIGH: We are opening the record in the Appeal of Nickerl. This matter is being held before the Office of Tax Appeals. The OTA Case Number is 21098562. Today's date is Tuesday, August 30th, 2022, and the time is 1:06 p.m.

This hearing is being conducted electronically with the agreement of the parties. Today's hearing is being heard by a panel of three Administrative Law Judges. My name is Amanda Vassigh, and I will be the lead Judge. Judges Ridenour and Judge Long join me as members of this panel. All three of us will meet after the hearing and produce a written decision as equal participants. Although, I will conduct the hearing today, any judge on this panel may ask questions or otherwise participate to ensure that we have all the information we need to decide this appeal.

For the record, will the parties please state their names and who they represent, starting with the representatives for the Franchise Tax Board.

MR. TUTTLE: My name is Topher Tuttle representing Franchise Tax Board.

MS. BROSTERHOUS: Maria Brosterhous, also of the

1	Franchise Tax Board.
2	JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay.
3	And, Mr. Nickerl, can you do introductions for
4	yourself, please.
5	MR. NICKERL: Yeah. My name is Victor Nickerl,
6	the one that's the petitioner.
7	JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. And you have someone with
8	you today?
9	MR. NICKERL: Yes, my wife Shannon.
10	MRS. NICKERL: Shannon Nickerl.
11	JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. Thank you.
12	The parties were emailed the electronic exhibits binder,
13	the hearing binder. The exhibits for this appeal are
14	FTB's Exhibits A through N and Appellant's Exhibits 1
15	through 6. Earlier the parties indicated no objections to
16	any of the exhibits.
17	Can representatives for the FTB confirm that
18	remains correct.
19	MR. TUTTLE: Yes, that remains correct.
20	JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. Thank you.
21	And, Mr. Nickerl, can you confirm you have no
22	objections.
23	MR. NICKERL: Yes, ma'am. I don't have any
24	objections.
25	JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you. The exhibits I

1 summarized are now admitted and entered into the 2 evidentiary record, and we'll move onto the issue to be 3 heard in this appeal. (Appellant's Exhibits 1-6 were received 4 5 In evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) (Department's Exhibits A-N were received in 6 7 evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) The issue to be decided in this case is whether 8 9 Appellant's claim for refund is barred by the statute of 10 limitations. 11 Mr. Nickerl, you indicated in our prehearing conference that you may be calling witnesses today, and I 12 13 see that you have Mrs. Nickerl with you. Mr. Nickerl, 14 intended to testify. Will Mrs. Nickerl be testifying as 15 well? 16 MR. NICKERL: Absolutely. Yes, ma'am. 17 JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. And you indicated that you 18 might have another witness. 19 MR. NICKERL: Unfortunately, my son is not 20 available, but he wanted to be here. 2.1 JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. So what I will do, 22 Mr. Nickerl, is before you testify I will swear you and 23 Shannon Nickerl in so that we can take her testimony into 2.4 the record and make that factual findings. 25

MR. NICKERL: Okav.

JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. I'd like to quickly go over the order of the proceedings today. As a reminder to the parties, during our prehearing conference we decided that Mr. Nickerl would have 15 minutes for his presentation and witness testimony. After which FTB will be permitted to ask questions they may have of Mr. Nickerl and his witness regarding their factual testimony.

2.1

2.4

Following any questions by FTB, I will turn to my panel so see if they have any questions. FTB will then have ten minutes for their presentation. And again, I will turn to the panel to see if they have any questions. Finally, Mr. Nickerl, you will have an optional five additional minutes for a closing or a rebuttal, and that will be followed by any questions the panel may have for either party.

Okay. Are there any questions before we move on to the opening presentation.

MRS. NICKERL: No, I have none.

MR. NICKERL: None.

JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. Great. So, Mr. Nickerl and Mrs. Nickerl, I will swear you in together right now so that we can consider your statements as testimony, and you will remain under oath until the close of this hearing. Please raise your right hands.

VICTOR NICKERL,

Produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows:

SHANNON NICKERL,

Produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you. We are ready to proceed with your opening, Mr. Nickerl, whenever you are ready.

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. NICKERL: This is pretty short and sweet.

For -- since 2004, 2005, I received notices just blowing me up asking or demanding I make payments for something I did not do. I kept responding via, you know, writing on the back of it and calling up and saying I do not owe money for these years. I did not live in the state after these years, and kept getting at least -- you know, just demand payments.

And I kept going over and over and over. I had some issues when my grandfather passed away, and it put this property in question. When he passed away, I paid a

death tax, and I just got slammed with all kinds of responsibilities I wasn't expecting. At the time I was running a tree service. I had the trailer park and trying to be a family man. And when my grandfather passed away it just added more difficulty because that was kind of like apparent to me. I helped raise them, and they helped raise me, so to speak.

2.4

And, when I kept getting tax bills, it was just overwhelming. When I sold this property, I became an addict. I became a horrible father, horrible husband, and I had some issues. My wife had filed for divorce twice.

As you can see, she's still here with me. And these bills that I kept getting just kept compiling issues for me.

And then at one point I had a \$9,000 bill, and ultimately, I had tax liens put on me for something I didn't do.

And I file -- you know, I ended up getting a tax -- I ended up having my taxes all done in 2010 or 2011 just to try to get things caught up because we went from California to Maui, and from Maui to Oregon. And a lot of our stuff was and/or disposed of, and I didn't have it all. One of my exhibits is to show you that I lived in Maui that the forwarding address came from the Tax Board, and it was sent to 822 South Kihei, and I was enrolled in a drug treatment. And so I was not all there.

So, I mean, when I finally got it resolved is

when my wife went to do the grocery shopping, and she calls me up and, "I can't pay for groceries." There was a hold on our account. At that point I called the Tax Board, and they released funds. They released it and said I had to file taxes again. Well, I went and found a lady that actually did our tax returns for free, which was the second person to do them.

2.4

And, ultimately, she says, "Oh, no. You don't owe them nothing. In fact, they owe you \$33,000, which was a shock to me because for years -- I mean, for ten-plus years I was hounded for this.

JUDGE VASSIGH: Excuse me. I'm going to interrupt you really briefly. I want to make sure that Ms. Alonzo is able to get all of your testimony. And since we're doing this electronically, I want to make sure everything is coming through. So I'm going to ask you maybe slow down a little bit.

And, Ms. Nickerl, I appreciate that you have something to add. If you could wait so it's not both voices at the same time. Thank you.

MR. NICKERL: I apologize for that. It's just this is something that's just -- it's been a trigger for me. I mean, like I said for years. I mean, I'm getting these bills and then not knowing what I did wrong. And proving -- you know, trying to prove my innocence of the

bill, and that I didn't live in the State of California but to get a tax bill for 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and I kept saying I do not -- I have not lived there.

And then like I say, they had my -- my credit even ruined worse than it was to have a tax lien on me. It caused me more harm than I can ever imagine. And like I said, I have been in recovery for years now but this has just been -- you know, I can't tell you how bad it's been for me.

It's pretty much it, ma'am.

JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you for sharing your testimony, Mr. Nickerl.

Ms. Nickerl, would you like to present testimony now?

MRS. NICKERL: Yes.

JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. Thank you. You may begin whenever you are ready.

2.4

PRESENTATION

MRS. NICKERL: Okay. Shannon Nickerl. I'm pretty much not going to repeat verbatim but, you know, we left California I think it was 2004? Yeah, 2004. When we did we went to Maui for a year. We didn't receive any statements from the California Tax Board or anything. We

didn't realize we owed supposedly, or that we were owed.

We were there for a year. Then we got to Oregon and then repeatedly we received bills from California saying that we owed money. Which, you know, my husband and I, like he stated. We were separated.

2.1

2.4

So I was kind of in shock when -- okay. How could we owe money when we paid so much money towards, like, the death tax and sold all the businesses. And this went on, I think, for 15 years to the point where as my husband stated, I went to the grocery store and I had no money. I couldn't get the groceries. So I called the bank and they said that California tax got the money, and they were going to repeatedly do this until they received their full amount.

So I was in shock. And that's when my husband -he stated that he got ahold of an accountant, which this
was our second accountant that we used in Oregon. The
first one never told us anything about possibly California
owing us money. We never received anything from
California saying that we were owed money. And so this
accountant cleared it up and said, like -- like my husband
said, you didn't owe money but you were owed.

So like I said, this was probably what -- yeah.

It was 15 years later that we'd left California. So

anyway it's money that we definitely could have used. I

```
1
      mean, as you can see or heard from my husband's statement,
                       So yeah. So that's pretty much it.
 2
      it's stressful.
 3
      I -- I was looking up California laws and wondering, you
      know, why didn't we receive anything regarding -- I'm not
 4
 5
      sure we just didn't get it forwarded. But, yeah, it
 6
      definitely caused stress.
 7
               JUDGE VASSIGH: This is Judge Vassigh. Thank you
      for your presentation.
8
 9
               I want to see if FTB has any questions for either
10
      Mr. or Ms. Nickerl.
11
               MR. TUTTLE: No questions from FTB.
12
               JUDGE VASSIGH:
                               Okay.
13
               I would like to check with my panel members.
14
               Judge Ridenour, do you have any questions?
15
               JUDGE RIDENOUR: This is Judge Ridenour. No
16
      questions at this time. Thank you very much.
17
               JUDGE VASSIGH:
                               Thank you.
18
               Judge Long, do you have any questions?
19
               JUDGE LONG: This is Judge Long. I have no
20
      questions.
21
               JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. Thank you.
22
      At this time we can move on to the Franchise Tax Board's
23
      presentation whenever you're ready.
2.4
               MR. TUTTLE: Thank you.
      ///
25
```

PRESENTATION

MR. TUTTLE: The issue in this case is whether Appellant's claim for refund for the 2004 tax year is barred by the statute of limitations.

2.4

Revenue & Taxation Code Section 19306 prohibits
Respondent from crediting or refunding an overpayment when
a claim for refund was not filed within four years of the
due date of the return, or within one year from the date
of overpayment, whichever is later. In this case, four
years from the due date of Appellant's tax return for tax
year 2004 is April 15th, 2009. However, Respondent did
not receive Appellant's 2004 tax return until
November 26th, 2009, which was more than four years after
the due date.

In addition, the overpayment credit at issue relates to withholding amount, which by operation of law are treated as a payment received on the original due date of the return. Thus, this payment is dated

April 15th, 2005, and was received more than one year before Appellant's claim for refund was filed. Since Appellant's claim was not filed within four years of the due date of the return or within one year from the date of overpayment, Respondent is barred from issuing a refund.

Although, Appellant has experienced unfortunate circumstances, the explicit and strict language of the

1 statute of limitations law provides that there is no 2 waiver of the statutory period based on reasonable cause. 3 Accordingly, Respondent's denial for Appellant's claim for refund is proper and should be sustained. 4 5 Thank you. 6 JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you, Mr. Tuttle. I would 7 like to see if my co-panelists have any questions for you, Mr. Tuttle. 8 9 Judge Long, do you have any questions? 10 JUDGE LONG: This is Judge Long. I have no 11 questions. 12 JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you. 13 Judge Ridenour, do you have any questions? 14 JUDGE RIDENOUR: This is Judge Ridenour. No 15 questions. Thank you. 16 JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you very much. 17 Okay. At this point I would like to offer 18 Mr. Nickerl the option of providing a closing and 19 rebuttal, if you would like to take that opportunity right 20 now. 21 MR. NICKERL: This does not allow -- can you hear 22 me? 23 JUDGE VASSIGH: I can hear you. Thank you. MR. NICKERL: I switched the camera and the 2.4 25 button. Can you see it all?

JUDGE VASSIGH: Your camera is off, Mr. Nickerl.

MR. NICKERL: Trying to make sure -- there it

goes. Me and technology don't get along.

JUDGE VASSIGH: Okav.

2.4

CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. NICKERL: Well, my question is -- I was an addict. I mean, I was having a hard time with -- you know, like Mr. Tuttle says, I had four years. I was never aware of anything that I was owed any money. I left it to the accountant that I had at the time when my wife was wanting to leave me for my stupidity and my ignorance. I was focused on my family. I was focused on trying to save what I had left.

And as I said, I did not even -- I didn't get caught up on my taxes for '04, '05, '06 until 2010, I believe it was. I had Denise Bean of Bean Counter Taxes do my taxes then and try to get things caught up and try to get back on track. I was in treatment. I was a recovering addict. So I wasn't all there. I mean, I didn't work. I didn't do anything. I was just in existence.

The other problem I had is all those years. I mean, I checked periodically the sites for unclaimed money. I found I had certain things owed to me. Nobody

1 once ever reached out or advertised or did anything to say, "Hey, sir, you had \$33,000 owed to you." Nobody sent 2 3 me a notice. They had no problems sending me notices for something I did not owe. And, you know, the interest and 4 5 penalties for that was ridiculous. 6 And like I said, my credit was even worse for 7 these tax liens put on me for \$9,000 at one point. And then like I said, having to prove myself, I had some 8 9 problems. I still deal with them. You know, that's all I 10 have to say. It's like, you know, what's the statute of 11 limitations for you guys to constantly go after somebody 12 for something they didn't do? Nobody reached out and said, "Hey, we owe you some money, sir." 13 14 That is all, ma'am. 15 JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you, Mr. Nickerl. 16 I'd like to check one final time if my 17 co-panelists have any questions for either party. 18 Judge Ridenour, do you have any questions for 19 either party? 20 JUDGE RIDENOUR: This is Judge Ridenour. No 2.1 questions. Thank you. 22 JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. 23 Judge Long, do you have any questions for either

This is Judge Long. No questions

24

25

party?

JUDGE LONG:

for me. Thank you. JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. At this point, we've heard both parties, and we are ready to conclude this hearing. The record is now I'd like to thank everyone for participating today. This matter is now submitted to the panel to privately confer and decide the issue. We will aim to send you a written opinion of our decision within 100 days after the record is closed today. And today's hearing in the Appeal of Nickerl is now adjourned. (Proceedings adjourned at 1:24 p.m.) 2.4

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 6 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 12th day 15 of September, 2022. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25