BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ΙN	THE	MATTER	OF	THE	APPEAL	OF,)			
D.	KRAMM,)) OTA)	OTA	NO.	21118951	
				A.	PPELLAN'	Г.)			
)			

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Tuesday, September 27, 2022

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS						
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
3							
4							
5							
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,) D. KRAMM,) OTA NO. 21118951						
7							
8	APPELLANT.)						
9	,						
10							
11							
12							
13							
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,						
15	taken in the State of California, commencing						
16	at 1:10 p.m. and concluding at 1:27 p.m. on						
17	Tuesday, September 27, 2022, reported by						
18	Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and						
19	for the State of California.						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ ASAF KLETTER
4	Panel Members:	ALJ EDDY LAM
5	raner Members.	ALJ KEITH LONG
6	For the Appellant:	D. KRAMM
7		
8	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
9		ERIC YADAO
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>I N D E X</u>								
2									
3	<u>EXHIBITS</u>								
4									
5	(Appellant's unlabeled exhibit was received at page 7.)								
6	(Department's Exhibits A-K were received at page 6.)								
7									
8	PRESENTATION								
9	DACE								
10	<u>PAGE</u>								
11	By Mr. Kramm 7								
12	By Mr. Yadao 9								
13									
14	WITNESS TESTIMONY								
15									
16	<u>PAGE</u>								
17	By Mrs. Kramm 14								
18									
19	CLOSING STATEMENT								
20	<u>PAGE</u>								
21	By Mr. Kramm 12								
22									
23									
24									
25									

California; Tuesday, September 27, 2022
1:10 p.m.

2.4

JUDGE KLETTER: Going on the record.

This is the Appeal of Kramm, OTA Case Number 21118951. Today is September 27th, 2022, and the time is approximately 1:10 p.m. We are holding this hearing today electronically with the agreement of all the parties.

As a reminder the Office of Tax Appeals is not a court. It's an independent appeals body. The Office of Tax Appeals is staffed by tax experts and is independent of the State tax agencies. We do not engage in ex parte communication. Our decision is based on arguments and evidence provided by the parties on appeal and is made in conjunction with the appropriate application of law. Please keep in mind that we have read the brief and examined the submitted exhibits.

My name is Asaf Kletter. I'm the lead ALJ from for this appeal. And with me are Administrative Law Judges Eddie Lam and Keith Long.

Can the parties please each identify yourself by stating your name for the record, beginning with the Appellant.

Mr. Kramm, if you wouldn't mind just stating your name for the record.

1 MR. KRAMM: David M. Kramm. 2 JUDGE KLETTER: Thank you. 3 And Respondent Franchise Tax Board. MR. YADAO: Eric Yadao for the Franchise Tax 4 5 Board. 6 JUDGE KLETTER: Thank you. 7 This is Judge Kletter. The issue in this case is whether Appellant has demonstrated that the statute of 8 9 limitations should be tolled because of his financial 10 disability for the 2010 through 2012 tax years. 11 With respect to the evidentiary record, FTB has 12 provided Exhibits A through K, and there was no objection 13 to the admissibility of these exhibits. So, therefore, 14 these exhibits are entered into the record. 15 (Department's Exhibits A-K were received in 16 evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 17 Appellant provided an unlabeled exhibit with his 18 opening brief. 19 And I just wanted to confirm with Respondent 20 Franchise Tax Board, there's no objection to the admission 2.1 of that attachment to the opening brief; is that correct? 22 MR. YADAO: That's correct. Eric Yadao for the 23 Franchise Tax Board. No objection. 2.4 JUDGE KLETTER: Okay. Thank you. 25 So, therefore, this unlabeled exhibit is entered

into the record as well, and no additional exhibits were presented today.

(Appellant's Unlabeled Exhibit was received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

So with that background in mind, Mr. Kramm, are you ready to begin your opening?

MR. KRAMM: Okay.

JUDGE KLETTER: Please can go ahead.

2.1

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. KRAMM: David Kramm. I -- I -- I did not -- excuse me -- I didn't even know about this 17 -- well, it's was actually \$17,000 because you're missing a tax year of 2013 I couldn't get it sent in time because it wasn't mailed to me on time. They were sending mail to a different house that I got foreclosed on and no longer owned. And I've been mentally and financially disabled for at least ten years now and just trying to get back on my feet now.

They took out \$17,000 that was a lien they put on my house in Stanley Court in Santee, and I had signed that house over to my wife somewhere around the 2010 area. But the Recorders Office for some reason didn't get it recorded. So when she sold the house in 2016, they took it out of escrow. They just took out the \$17,000 lien

that was liened on me on that house.

2.4

And I didn't even know about this because I'd been homeless and staying on friends' couches. And I stayed out in Austin, Texas for a couple of years, 2014 through '17. And I didn't work. And so the soonest that I even found out about this going on was 2020 when I came back to California again. And then at that time I contacted -- once I saw that she didn't get this money back, I had contacted the Franchise Tax Board, and they had said they had no record on file of taxes from me through these years.

So I went down and provided them taxes for those years and filed them. And then they sent me back the letters for each year independently saying how much I overpaid. But then they said, but we're not going to give it back to you because of the statute of limitations.

Let's see. Anything else I need to say? I didn't work, and that whole period of time I was living couch to couch. So I had no way of getting this information. My wife had no way of getting to me letting me know that they took out that money. That's -- that's my wife by the way.

So I think that's all I need to say.

JUDGE KLETTER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Kramm, for your statements.

I'll turn it over to the Franchise Tax Board.

Mr. Yadao, are you ready to begin your presentation.

MR. YADAO: Eric Yadao with the Franchise Tax Board. Yes.

JUDGE KLETTER: Pleas go ahead.

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. YADAO: As set forth in FTB's opening brief, FTB received Appellant's tax year 2010 through 2012 returns on February 25th, 2021, claiming refunds of overpayments for each tax year. Under the law those claims for refund were untimely because they needed to be filed no later than the later of 1 year from the date of overpayment or 4 years from the original filing deadline for each tax year. Both the payment and filing statutes had lapsed before receipt of Appellant's returns.

Appellant, as you've heard, is asserting he is entitled to tolling of the statute because of disability for the period of 2009 through the date he filed his returns. As the Office of Tax Appeals decided in its precedential decision in the Appeal of Gillespie, when an Appellant alleges financial disability to suspend and extend the statute of limitations, a physician's affidavit must be provided that identifies the disability period

when Appellant was unable to manage financial affairs.

2.1

2.4

Without such evidence, it's not possible to define the period when the statute of limitations were filed, and the claim for refund must be suspended. As you've heard Appellant has only provided argument without any required evidentiary support. Therefore, FTB is unable to grant Appellant's claims for refund. And it also requests that OTA sustain that denial of those claims.

I'm happy to answer any questions your panel may have.

JUDGE KLETTER: Thank you so much for your presentation, Mr. Yadao.

I'd like to turn it over to my panel.

Judge Long, do you have any questions for Appellant or Respondent, for either of the parties?

JUDGE LONG: This is Judge Long. I just would like to know from Mr. Kramm, is there -- I know that you didn't submit exhibits as such with your briefs. Do you have any sort of statements or affidavits that you can give in support of your financial disability?

MR. KRAMM: This is David Kramm. No, I don't have anything. I didn't have a doctor. I was just living on the street and on couches and things like that. I didn't even have a doctor. And so I couldn't get any

financial statement -- not financial statement --1 2 affidavit. That's the word. 3 JUDGE LONG: Thank you. I don't have any further 4 questions. 5 JUDGE KLETTER: Thank you, Judge Long. And, Judge Lam, do you have any questions for the 6 7 parties? 8 JUDGE LAM: This is Judge Lam speaking. I don't 9 have any questions so far. Thank you. 10 JUDGE KLETTER: Okay. 11 And I don't have any questions as well. 12 you to my Panel. 13 I'd like to, Mr. Kramm, your -- would you like to 14 make a final statement or any rebuttal to what Mr. Yadao Is there anything else you would like to say before 15 16 the case is submitted into the record? 17 MR. KRAMM: Yeah. Would a statement from my 18 ex-wife about how I was make it any better? 19 JUDGE KLETTER: So just a -- this is 20 Judge Kletter speaking. This is your time to -- you know, 2.1 you have five minutes to say -- to make your presentation. 22 So I think it's really your choice as to what you'd like 23 to present or not. So please go ahead, Mr. Kramm. 2.4 MR. KRAMM: I got five minutes? 25 JUDGE KLETTER: Hm-hm. Yeah. That's correct.

MR. KRAMM: Yeah. Would a statement from my ex-wife make any difference?

2.4

CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. KRAMM: And I don't know what else to say.

You know just that I was here and there, living here and there on the street -- not on the street but on couches and all that. And I didn't have a doctor. I didn't have any income. And I didn't know what timely things were going on at the time, you know, after they -- you know, they had that overpayment from my wife. And she couldn't get ahold of me to let me know that I needed to do something to try to get that back. So I don't know.

That's about all I can say.

JUDGE KLETTER: Mr. Kramm, I just want to confirm. So the statement from your ex-wife is she like --

MR. KRAMM: She's right here. I could have her talk to you or could have something in writing done, but she's right here. She can give you a statement.

JUDGE KLETTER: I'll turn it over -- do you have any objection if -- Mr. Yadao?

MR. YADAO: Eric Yadao with the Franchise Tax
Board. I just would question her being a percipient
witness of anything when Mr. Kramm says he was in Texas

1 from 2014 to 2017. 2 JUDGE KLETTER: As you know, Mr. Yadao, the 3 Office of Tax Appeals will give any statement their due So I think it's really Appellant's choice of --4 weight. 5 THE STENOGRAPHER: Judge Kletter, can I please have you speak up. I'm having a really hard time hearing 6 7 you. 8 JUDGE KLETTER: Oh, I'm so sorry. 9 Just saying that the OTA will give any statements 10 their due weight. Just curious for Franchise Tax Board. 11 So it sounds like there's no objection. 12 MR. YADAO: No objection then. Thank you. 13 JUDGE KLETTER: And then, Mr. Kramm, did your 14 ex-wife want to be sworn in for testimony, or did she just 15 want to --16 MR. KRAMM: Yeah. She's right here. I'm going 17 to let her sit down in my chair right now. Hi. I'm Kathleen Kramm. 18 MRS. KRAMM: Sorry. 19 JUDGE KLETTER: Hello, Mrs. Kramm. 20 MRS. KRAMM: Hello. 21 JUDGE KLETTER: So I'm just curious like are 22 you -- will you be testifying to facts, or are you just 23 going to be making a statement? If you're sworn in, that 2.4 will allow us to accept your statements as evidence.

KRAMM: I can be sworn in.

MRS.

25

JUDGE KLETTER: Okay. So if you could please raise your right hand.

K. KRAMM,

produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE KLETTER: Please begin whenever you're ready.

2.4

WITNESS STATEMENT

MRS. KRAMM: I'm sorry. I don't have a written statement, but I was listening to when Dave talked to you.

I can tell you he did not -- David was homeless and pretty much living under a house when this all happened with the lien against my house. I couldn't contact him. He did go -- he was from what I understand now, he was moving back and forth. When we did find him, at the time, we couldn't even get him to the doctor. Because I notice one of these things was a doctor statement for that period of time when he was not -- when he was sick. We say he was sick during that time.

I $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ I was just a witness to that. He did move. He moved a couple of times when his family would find him,

they would take him in for a while, and then he'd take off again. And it was just -- I -- I nobody really knew what to do. For the past year now he kind of came back to us. He's getting back on track now. He's still not quite all ready, but I just -- I don't know really what else to say.

2.1

2.4

I wish we didn't -- I wish he -- I wish we could have had a doctor that was watching -- looking at him back then or taking care of him, but we just didn't, and we couldn't. He wouldn't have allowed it any way, or he wouldn't have okayed it. He still doesn't believe a lot of things of what was happening back then, what he was doing.

Well, I can say that I did contact the Franchise Tax Board and the tax advocate when the lien was taken against my -- was paid against -- from my home. And I was, at that time -- I think within six months of it happening, and I was told the only thing I could do would be to take him to civil court and sue him. But that was -- but I did attempt to see what we could do.

I think that's about all I can really -- I -- I don't -- can't give you dates, exact dates. I just know he was gone. People couldn't find him, and he wasn't -- he was mentally ill. But he's -- he's getting better now. He's working again, slowly getting back to track. I think that's all you could really say.

1 JUDGE KLETTER: Okay. Thank you so much. 2 And I just wanted to turn it over to Respondent 3 Do you have any questions for the Appellant's witness? 4 5 MR. YADAO: No questions. 6 JUDGE KLETTER: Okay. Thank you so much for your 7 testimony. 8 MRS. KRAMM: Okay. Well, thank you. Thank you 9 for letting me. I'll put David back here now. 10 JUDGE KLETTER: Okay. Great. Thank you. 11 MR. KRAMM: I'm back. 12 JUDGE KLETTER: So thank you everyone for your 13 participation today with -- and for your presentations. 14 This concludes the hearing, and this Panel will 15 meet and decide the case based on the documentation and 16 the testimony that was presented. We will issue our 17 written decision no later than 100 days from today. And 18 with that, the case is submitted, and the record is now 19 closed. 20 (Proceedings adjourned at 1:27 p.m.) 21 22 23 2.4 25

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 7th day 15 of October, 2022. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25