BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN	THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)		
)		
S.	PADRON-ALLINSON,) OTA	NO.	21119049
)		22019543
	APPELLANT.)		
)		
)		

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Friday, October 14, 2022

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)
6)
7	S. PADRON-ALLINSON,) OTA NO. 21119049) 22019543
8	APPELLANT.))
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,
15	taken in the State of California, commencing
16	at 9:40 a.m. and concluding at 9:50 a.m. on
17	Friday, October 14, 2022, reported by Ernalyn M.
18	Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and for the State
19	of California.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Administrative Law Judge:	ALJ OVSEP AKOPCHIKYAN
4	For the Appellant:	S. PADRON-ALLINSON
5	Tor the appearance.	RI YU
6	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
7	Tor one nespondene.	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
8		CAMILLE DIXON NANCY PARKER
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

ī			
1			
1 2	<u>I N D E X</u>		
3			
	<u>EXHIBITS</u>		
4			
5	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-8 were received at page 7.)		
6	(Department's Exhibits A-G were received at page 6.)		
7			
8	<u>PRESENTATION</u>		
9	PAGE		
10	By Ms. Yu 8		
11	By Ms. Dixon 11		
12	by MS. Diaon		
13			
14	WITNESS TESTIMONY		
15			
16	<u>PAGE</u>		
17	By Ms. Padron-Allinson 9		
18			
19	CLOSING STATEMENT		
20	<u>PAGE</u>		
21	By Ms. Yu 13		
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	California; Friday, October 14, 2022
2	9:40 a.m.
3	
4	JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: We're going on the
5	the consolidated Appeal of S. Padron-Allinson be

2.4

the consolidated Appeal of S. Padron-Allinson before the Office of Tax Appeals. The OTA Case Numbers are 21119049 and 22019543. Today is Friday, October 14th, 2022, and the time is approximately 9:40 a.m. We're holding this appeal electronically via Webex by the consent of all parties.

My name is Ovsep Akopchikyan, and I'm the

Administrative Law Judge who will be deciding this appeal.

I have reviewed each side's briefs and exhibits and may

ask questions after your presentation to make sure I have

all the information that I need to decide this appeal.

Now for introductions, will the parties please identify yourself by stating your name for the record, beginning with Appellant.

MS. YU: Yeah. I'm Appellant's tax representative. My name is Ri Yu.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you, Ms. Yu. Ms. Yu, will you be introducing Ms. Allinson?

MS. YU: Yes, I will be. And we have the Appellant, Susan Padron-Allinson.

MS. PADRON-ALLINSON: Yes, hello.

record in

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you Ms. Padron.

2.4

And now for the Franchise Tax Board.

MS. DIXON: Good morning. My name is Camille
Dixon. I'm tax counsel with the Franchise Tax Board and
will be representing the Franchise Tax Board. Along with
me is co-counsel Nancy Parker also with the Franchise Tax
Board.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you, Ms. Dixon and Ms. Parker.

Okay. As discussed and agreed upon by the parties at the prehearing conference on September 20th, 2022, and as noted in my prehearing conference minutes and orders, the issue in this appeal is whether Appellant's claims for refund for the 2014, 2015, and 2016 tax years are barred by the statute of limitations.

With respect to the evidentiary record, FTB provided Exhibits A through G during the briefing process. Appellant did not object to the admissibility of these exhibits. Therefore, all of FTB's exhibits are entered into the record.

(Department's Exhibits A-G were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

Appellant provided eight exhibits during the

briefing process, which I relabeled as Exhibits 1
through 8 during the prehearing conference. FTB did not

1	object to the admissibility of these exhibits. Therefore,
2	all these exhibits are entered into the record.
3	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-8 were received
4	in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)
5	Lastly, as discussed Appellant will be testifying
6	today at this hearing. This oral hearing will begin with
7	Appellant's presentation, including her testimony for a
8	total of 15 minutes. FTB will then have 10 minutes for
9	its presentation and Appellant will have 5 minutes for
10	rebuttal.
11	Does anyone have any questions before I swear in
12	Ms. Padron for her testimony?
13	MS. YU: No.
14	JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you.
15	Ms. Padron, will you please raise your right
16	hand.
17	S. Padron-Allinson,
18	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
19	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified
20	as follows:
21	
22	JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you.
23	Ms. Yu, please proceed with your presentation
24	when you are ready.
25	MS. YU: Thank you.

PRESENTATION

MS. YU: This is tax representative Ri Yu, and I'll start my presentation.

2.4

Appellant was unable to timely file her taxes for the years 2014, 2015, and 2016 due to very unfortunate circumstances. She's seeking to receive a refund for all three years. It is very evident that Appellant was going through very dire times. She was suffering mental issues while also trying to provide for her family. And I cannot even imagine how difficult that would be.

I would like to also further mention how the circumstances surrounding the statute of limitations during the Covid-19 pandemic do not equate to the standard and usual circumstances, which the statute of limitations now applies. Many people during the pandemic did not file for tax refunds which the IRS has even published an article that mentions that they have financially gained about \$1.4 million from the outstanding tax refund claims.

This suggests that during the specific time period, the statute of limitations was on an occasional harshness as FTB suggested. Therefore, we respectfully ask that OTA to recognize the inequalities of the law presented here. And even despite this comparison, although the law surrounding the statute of limitations are strict, we still believe that Appellant deserves a

chance to speak on her behalf and share her side of the story of why her appeal should be granted.

Therefore, Ms. Padron will now go ahead and start her own presentation to state her reasons on why her appeal should be granted.

2.1

2.4

WITNESS TESTIMONY

MS. PADRON-ALLINSON: Thank you, Ms. Yu.

Good morning. My name a Susan Padron-Allinson. I would like to thank you for your time and for the opportunity to explain why I didn't file taxes for 2014, '15, and '16 in a timely manner. Life has been very difficult for me. I've gone through many hardships. I have been mentally stressed out and overwhelmed. I was married to an alcoholic who was verbally and physically abusive. I was in survival mode. I felt helpless and didn't know what to do.

I had to think about not only my welfare but also the welfare of my two children. I couldn't concentrate on filing taxes because my life was out of control. It was a traumatic time in my life. My children's father moved to Texas in 2018. That was the last time they saw him. He ended up passing away in 2020 from a heart attack.

Unfortunately, my daughter has been suffering from anxiety and depression, which she continues to seek

therapy for. It makes me very sad that she is afflicted by this. I worry about how this affects her daily and how it will affect her future. It breaks my heart that she goes through this.

2.4

A third reason why I didn't file taxes on time is because I was helping my mother take care of my father who had Parkinson's among many other health problems. He had a colostomy bag for bowl and a catheter for urine, which needed to be changed and emptied several times per day. It was emotionally very difficult to watch my father suffer and struggle daily. This also broke my heart. My father passed away in 2019 after a long and difficult fight to stay alive for our family.

I didn't seek medical care for what I was going through because I put the needs of my family before mine. I wanted to be there for them as much as I could. I didn't have time to seek medical help as I was a full-time mother, daughter, and employee. I hope you understand why I didn't file taxes in a timely manner and grant me the refund which I worked very hard to earn.

Thank you again for your attention to my circumstances.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you, Ms. Padron for sharing your story, and I'm sorry to hear about the circumstances that you've been through.

MS. PADRON-ALLINSON: Thank you.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Ms. Yu, are done with your presentation?

MS. YU: Yes. This concludes Appellant's side of the presentation. Thank you.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you.

Does FTB have any questions for Ms. Padron?

MS. DIXON: I do not.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you, Ms. Dixon. It's now your turn to make your presentation. You have ten minutes, and please proceed when you're ready.

MS. DIXON: Thank you.

2.4

PRESENTATION

MS. DIXON: The evidence shows that Appellant has failed to establish that timely claims for refund were filed for the 2014, 2015, and 2016 tax years before the statute of limitations expired. Under the applicable section of the Revenue & Taxation Code, the general statute of limitations provides that the last date to file a claim for refund is the later of four years from the date of the return being filed, if filed within the extended due date, which doesn't apply here; four years from the due date of the return without regard to extensions; or one year from the date of overpayment.

For the 2014, 2015, and 2016 tax years, both the four and one-year statute of limitations expired before Appellant filed her returns. Appellant late filed all returns for the applicable tax years on July 15th, 2021, well after the four-year statute of limitations, and the only payments on file are withholding credits deemed paid on the due date of the return.

2.4

Therefore, the one-year statute of limitations is also unavailable to allow a refund to Appellant for the tax years in consideration by this panel. Appellant contends that various hardships caused her to late file the applicable returns and she should still be eligible for the refund. While Appellant's situation is absolutely unfortunate and we are sympathetic to her situation, such hardships do not change the Appellant's responsibility to timely file a return and will not extend the statute of limitations.

Further, there's no reasonable cause or equitable basis for suspending the statute of limitations. The Office of Tax Appeals in its precedential opinion Appeal of Benemi Partners found that the language of the statute of limitations is explicit and must be strictly construed. And the United States Supreme Court in United States versus Dom explain that this is true even when it is later shown the tax was not owed in the first place.

1 2 ev 3 fc

Appellant has not provided any additional evidence that would allow FTB by law to grant her claims for refund. Therefore, FTB respectfully request that the Office of Tax Appeals sustain the Franchise Tax Board claim for refund denials for tax years 2014, 2015, and 206.

Thank you and I'm happy to answer any questions.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you, Ms. Dixon.

Ms. Yu, it's now your turn to rebut FTB's argument and give your final statement. You have up to five minutes. Please proceed when you're ready.

MS. YU: Thank you.

CLOSING STATEMENT

MS. YU: Ms. Padron and I both truly believe that the law is harsh and unfair. Therefore, we respectfully ask OTA to at least consider Ms. Padron's very unfortunate circumstances and also the negative impact inequalities that the law has given, not only to Appellant, but many other people who are undergoing very similar or even worse hardships.

We would like to have this hearing as an opportunity to have a reasonable cause to be considered as a possible exception to the statute of limitation cases, not only for Appellant but also for other people who are

1 undergoing through similar struggles and hardships as 2 We appreciate all of your time today, and this 3 concludes the Appellant's final statement. 4 Thank you. 5 MS. PADRON-ALLINSON: May I say something? JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Of course, Ms. Padron. 6 7 MS. PADRON-ALLINSON: With all due respect, it doesn't make sense that the statute of limitations for a 8 refund is four years. Yet, if taxes are owed, it's ten 10 The government doesn't say you don't have to owe 11 taxes after four years. 12 Thank you. 13 JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you. 14 Okay. Does anybody have any questions before we 15 conclude the hearing? 16 MS. PADRON-ALLINSON: No. 17 JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you. 18 We are ready conclude this hearing and 19 close the record. This case is submitted on October 14th, 20 2022, and the record is now closed. 21 I want to thank the parties for their 22 presentations and Ms. Padron for her testimony. 23 decide the case based on the arguments and evidence 2.4 presented to Office of Tax Appeals and issue a written

addition within 100 days from today.

25

1	This concludes the only hearing for today. Thank
2	you all for your participation.
3	(Proceedings adjourned at 9:50 a.m.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 4th day 15 of November, 2022. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25