BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN	THE	MATTER	OF	THE	APPEAL	OF:)			
М.	HAYI	ER,)	OTA	NO.	19034560
				Al	PPELLANT	Г.)			

CORRECTED CERTIFIED COPY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Sacramento, California

Wednesday, November 16, 2022

Reported by:

INEZ DEORSENE Hearing Reporter

Job No.: 39134-OTA REV

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF:)
6	M. HAYER,) OTA NO. 19034560
7	APPELLANT.)
8)
9	
LO	
L1	
12	
13	
L4	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at
L6	400 R Street, Sacramento, California,
L7	commencing at 9:30 a.m. and concluding
18	at 11:30 a.m. on Wednesday, November 16, 2022,
L9	reported by Inez Deorsene, Hearing Reporter
20	in and for the State of California.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ KEITH LONG
4		
5	Panel Members:	ALJ NATASHA RALSTON ALJ MIKE LE
б		
7	For the Appellant:	R. TODD LUOMA, ATTORNEY M. HAYER
8		
9	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE
10		ADMINISTRATION NALAN SAMARAWICKREMA
11		CHRISTOPHER BROOKS JASON PARKER
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	I N D E X						
2							
3	EXHIBITS						
4							
5	(Department's Exhibits A-F, J-N were received at Page 6)						
6	(Department's Exhibits L, M, and N were partially received at Page 7)						
7	(Appellant's Exhibit Nos. 1-7 were received at Page 7)						
8							
9	PRESENTATION						
10	PAGE						
11	BY MR. LUOMA 17						
12	BY MR. SAMARAWICKREMA 39						
13							
14							
15	APPELLANT'S WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS						
16	MANJINDER S. HAYER 21 NONE NONE NONE						
17							
18							
19	CLOSING ARGUMENT						
20	PAGE						
21	BY MR. LUOMA 58						
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	Sacramento, California; Wednesday, November 16, 2022
2	9:30 a.m.
3	
4	
5	JUDGE LONG: We are opening the record in the
6	Appeal of M. Hayer, the OTA Case Number is 19034560. This
7	matter is being held before the Office of Tax Appeals.
8	Today's date is November 16, 2022, and the time is
9	9:38 a.m. This hearing is being convened at Sacramento.
10	Today's hearing is being heard by a panel of
11	three Administrative Law Judges. My name is Keith Long,
12	and I will be the lead Administrative Law Judge. Judge
13	Natasha Ralston and Judge Mike Le are the other members of
14	this tax appeal's panel.
15	All three Judges will meet after the hearing and
16	produce a written decision as equal participants.
17	Although, the lead Judge will conduct the
18	hearing, any Judge on this panel may ask questions or
19	otherwise participate to ensure that we have all the
20	information needed to decide this appeal.
21	For the record, will the parties please state
22	their names and who they represent starting with
23	Appellant?
24	MR. LUOMA: My name is R. Todd Luoma, and I

represent Manjinder Singh Hayer, who's with me on my

25

1 right. 2 JUDGE LONG: Thank you. 3 And CDTFA? 4 MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Nalan Samarawickrema, 5 Hearing Representative for the Department. Jason Parker, Chief of Headquarters 6 MR. PARKER: 7 Operation Bureau with CDTFA. MR. BROOKS: Christopher Brooks, Tax Counsel for 8 9 CDTFA. 10 JUDGE LONG: Thank you. 11 With respect to preliminary matters, I understand 12 we have one witness today, Mr. Hayer. 13 In addition, there are several exhibits for this 14 appeal. 15 First, CDTFA's Exhibit's A through N need to be discussed. At the prehearing conference, OTA did not 16 17 accept Exhibit G, titled, some documents exchanged during 18 the appeals process based on the determination that 19 admission will necessitate undo consumption of time. 20 Additionally, Pages 1 and 2 of Exhibit L, Pages 1 through 3 of Exhibit M, and Exhibit N, were not accepted 21 as they were briefs and therefore not evidence. 22 23 briefing documents remain part of the administrative 2.4 record. The remaining exhibits are admitted without

25

objection.

1	(Department's Exhibits A-F, J-N were received					
2	in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)					
3	(Department's Exhibits L, M, and N were					
4	partially received into evidence by the					
5	Administrative Law Judge.)					
6	JUDGE LONG: Additionally, Appellant submitted 1					
7	through 7, which were are also admitted without					
8	objection.					
9	(Appellant's Exhibit Nos. 1 through 7 were					
10	received in evidence by the Administrative Law					
11	Judge.)					
12	JUDGE LONG: Before we go on, I want to make sure					
13	that I summarize these things correctly. CDTFA, did I					
14	summarize your exhibits correctly?					
15	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Yes.					
16	JUDGE LONG: Thank you.					
17	And Mr. Luoma, did I summarize Appellant's					
18	exhibits correctly?					
19	MR. LUOMA: Yes, Your Honor.					
20	JUDGE LONG: Thank you.					
21	At the prehearing conference, Appellant conceded					
22	to unreported taxable sales of \$1,091,810 as reflected in					
23	Appellant's June 25, 2021 brief.					
24	Additionally, Appellant clarified that although					
25	the amount of Appellant's claimed money orders, as					

1 reflected in Appellant's Exhibit 6, is less than the 2 amount of nontaxable sales of money orders reflected in 3 CDTFA's audit. The amount of nontaxable sales of money 4 orders are not in dispute. However, Appellant stated that 5 the total amount of unreported taxable sales that derived from Exhibit 6 is correct. 6 7 Mr. Luoma, is that your understanding of what happened at prehearing conference? 8 9 MR. LUOMA: Yes, Your Honor. 10 JUDGE LONG: CDTFA? 11 Yes, Judge. MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: There are three issues in 12 JUDGE LONG: Great. 13 this Appeal. They are: One, whether Appellant has 14 established that any further reduction to the amount of 15 unreported taxable sales is warranted; two, whether Appellant was negligent; and three, whether relief from 16 17 the finality penalty is warranted. 18 This hearing is estimated to take approximately 19 two hours. It will begin with the taxpayer's opening 20 presentation and witness testimony. 21 Before you start, I would like to -- I need to 22 swear in the witness. 23 /// 2.4 /// 25 ///

MANJINDER SINGH HAYER,

called as a witness on behalf of the Appellant, having first been duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows:

2.4

MR. HAYER: Yes.

JUDGE LONG: Thank you.

Does anyone have any final questions before we begin with our opening presentation?

Mr. Luoma?

MR. LUOMA: I have somewhat of an odd request.

Mr. Hayer now is back from India, and today, he brought a box from one of the months of the audit period. The audit period being 2007, '08, and '09, and he's advised me that he has boxes for each month for each period in the audit period that includes all of the cash register tapes, all of the daily reports, the summaries.

I don't know if CDTFA or at that time, the Board of Equalization had access to those records for audit purposes, but those records would represent the most direct method of accounting for -- for audit purposes as opposed to the bank deposits analysis, which of course is an indirect method.

So my request is that the case be sent back to CDTFA to be considered.

JUDGE LONG: Okay. First, I have to ask

Mr. Hayer. Were these documents submitted during the
initial audit?

MR. HAYER: Your Honor, I left to U.S. in May 2006 because I was depressed from the business affairs and all that, and I stayed in India until 2015. I only came in 2009 when my wife filed for divorce.

And back in India, I got implicated into some false cases, which didn't allow me to travel back. So, at that time, I filed a request to give me permission. I got a permission to come here, resolve that issue for four months. I'm not aware what happened during that.

It's my assumption that my dad didn't know what he's doing, and my wife pretty much knew. But in 2009, she filed divorce, took the kids, and left family, and I wasn't planning to come back, honestly.

The day my dad had a stroke in 2015, December -April 22nd, that's the day I came back; same day. I got a
ticket, came back to take care of my father. Then, I took
him back to India after rehab. So he's staying in India
with me.

During my marriage, property stuff whatever, you know, she wanted to take the store back. I gave it to her in our final judgement at the family hearing. She said, "You give me the store." So I write it off. I say, "You

can have it. You can take care of the taxes."

2.4

But after a year or so, I found out that she's not taking part into it. So that's when I asked Mr. Luoma to get involved again. I came back and then she gave me back the store again, my portion.

I think nobody looked into these boxes. I'm sure they can probably verify that. As far as I know from my manager at that time, I asked him what happened and all that, and nobody went through these boxes, which is reports from our gas registers, POS reports from inside POS NCR reports and all that. Everything was documented, and that's what it used to go to our sales accountant here in Sacramento.

And I believe nobody went through those actual boxes, which should have been the right way to do this audit, to see black and white. Rather than -- they went through these statements. It's even hard for an owner to go through the statement and find out what is what, you know, Anton is their every day, hands on.

And it's most -- I believe it's most of assumption. You know, they didn't even know -- even when I read their briefs last time.

A lot of ATM monies are coming in -- what is what? The sales ATM or this is cash ATM? You know -- plus the Lotto machine, Scratcher machine, which you take

the cash out. That didn't ring up on registers.

2.4

ATM machine does not -- that money does not, you know, that -- that's additional money from the sales. You don't make any money on that. You don't make money -- any money on Scratchers or Lotto. You don't make any money on money orders. So that's why I'm trying to exclude that.

JUDGE LONG: I understand your position with respect to that. Right now, we're just discussing the actual boxes themselves and I --

MR. HAYER: I believe they weren't audited.

JUDGE LONG: -- okay. And so you're here in 2015, and you went back to India. When did you go back to India?

MR. HAYER: I think in September 2015, I took my dad after his rehab back to India because I can personally take care of him back there, you know.

JUDGE LONG: And you stayed here for -- stayed there for the entire time?

MR. HAYER: Two -- two to three months, yes.

JUDGE LONG: Two to three months. So --

MR. HAYER: From the day I came -- when he was hospitalized here in Citrus Heights, I stayed with him. I had him do his rehab, and after he was okay and cleared by doctors, I took him back to India.

JUDGE LONG: Okay. And Mr. Luoma, why didn't you

1 try to submit these documents before today? 2 MR. LUOMA: I was not aware that they existed. 3 JUDGE LONG: Okay. 4 MR. LUOMA: He -- just for a little bit of 5 history, the original petition in this matter filed with the Board of Equalization was by Bill McDevitt, who is a 6 7 non-attorney representative. He is an enrolled agent, former IRS special procedures individual, and so he filed 8 9 a petition, which was originally filed late, and then he 10 recommended that I pick up the case because he didn't 11 think he could handle the sales tax issues. I then did the representation, was unaware that 12 13 what boxes were available. Had I known -- and I talked to 14 the CPA, who was not exactly helpful to the case because 15 he was nonresponsive. And that's not Mr. McDevitt, that 16 was --17 MR. HAYER: Mr. Eldridge? 18 MR. LUOMA: Yes. 19 MR. HAYER: Richard Eldridge? 20 MR. LUOMA: Richard Eldridge. And so I wasn't 21 even aware that the boxes existed. And yesterday, I met 22 with Mr. Hayer to discuss it and that's when he said he 23 had these boxes, and he brought one of boxes today as a 24 sample. So, you know, if I don't ask for the -- the

relief, you know, I know we're not going to get it.

25

that's why I'm asking that it be sent back.

And while I represented Mr. Hayer through the first hearing at the Board of Equalization, he then engaged a former Board of Equalization member to represent him through the -- the next step.

And then that's where there's some issues about whether or not the taxpayer and the representative were in agreement. So Mr. Hayer rehired me to come back in, and I've been on the case since OTA.

JUDGE LONG: Okay. And so OTA's not able to order CDTFA to make a re-audit at this time, but we can ask CDTFA if they would like to review this documentation and perform a re-audit.

CDTFA?

2.4

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: According to the Exhibit A, Page 128, the Audit Report says they will -- the Department received a PNO statement, General Ledger, bank statements, purchase invoices, check stubs, monthly sales spreadsheets, daily point-of-sales reports, buy-down summaries from cigarette manufactures, fuel-sales summaries, and purchase invoices from vendors.

So what are the new information that the Appellant is providing today in addition to this information?

MR. HAYER: When I read the brief, Sir, they

1 didn't go by the actual sales from the invoices, like 2 printouts? 3 Right. I -- I understand that. JUDGE LONG: 4 the question isn't how did CDTFA perform its audit? 5 what is new in the boxes that you gave us -- or that you brought today when compared to the items that CDTFA just 6 listed? 7 Can I -- Can I just go through the 8 MR. HAYER: 9 box and show you a couple things? 10 MR. LUOMA: You could just start --11 My -- my issue is that they have not MR. HAYER: gone by the actual sales. 12 13 How could somebody forge or change something from 14 the actual receipts? Like, for example, gas reports. 15 They get printed twice a day when the shift changes. So it's like employees with double shift, 16 17 employees change, their register gets closed, and all of 18 that and an end of day report is -- that's what we go by. 19 The numbers they are coming up with they tell 20 today, they didn't know what ATM, or Scratcher monies, money order money -- they added all that into the total. 21 22 JUDGE LONG: Sir, again, we're not even close to 23 arguing our case yet. 2.4 MR. HAYER: Okay. 25 Just wanted to discuss what is in JUDGE LONG:

1 the box that is new when compared to the list of things 2 that CDTFA just stated? 3 MR. HAYER: It's -- It's the actual sales 4 reports -- the numbers -- actual sales numbers of what 5 should have been audit based on. 6 MR. LONG: And are there supporting documents in 7 addition to those sales reports? 8 MR. HAYER: Yes. Every -- Every report --9 JUDGE LONG: Such as POS reports, etc.? 10 MR. HAYES: -- yes. Yes. 11 JUDGE LONG: Okay. CDTFA? MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: We -- we reviewed the 12 13 information and during the field work the -- and mainly, 14 the unreported taxable sales of 4.3 million coming from 15 over-claim exemptions. Appellant did not bring credit card fuel sales 16 17 paid at the pump, and also, Appellant did not report their first cash sales at the store. So most of the sales are 18 19 not recorded in the POS or even if it is report --20 recorded, it was recorded as nontaxable. 21 So if the Appellant is providing the same periods 22 data -- and during our presentation, we will explain 23 exactly how we did the audit and what we found. And it is 2.4 our position at this point that whatever information that

the Appellant is going to provide, he's also -- he would

25

have at the time of the field work.

JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you.

So CDTFA is not going to complete a re-audit, so we'll move forward with the Arguments.

Mr. Luoma, you have 50 minutes, and you may begin when you're ready.

PRESENTATION

2.4

MR. LUOMA: Thank you, and good morning to the panel.

As I stated, my name is Todd Luoma, and I represent Mr. Hayer as one of the partners. Actually, I represent Lakeside Market, which is the sales tax paying entity, and Mr. Hayer is one of partners in the -- in the business.

And through the process of filing the Appeal with OTA and trying to minimize the number of issues to be addressed, we made a concession on fuel sales and on an excess amount of deposits that we agreed would be reported or would be considered unreported income.

But the balance of the receipts or the deposits in the bank -- because the -- both sides did bank deposits analysis, which is an indirect method of audit as opposed to a direct method, which of course, you know, the box

that we've got here and the other 35 boxes, would be a direct method of auditing because its got the cash register tapes. So every sale would show up, as well as the point-of-sales, the daily summaries, the monthly summaries, all that would be there.

2.4

But we are aware of where we are right now and we're down to the bank deposits analysis. And our starting point for the bank deposits analysis was the revised decision and -- or the supplemental decision and recommendation where there was 4 million plus in the nature of unreported income based on the bank deposits analysis that the CDTFA, or at that time, the Board of Equalization had conducted.

And then our focus has been on the addressing the various issues where the deposits were nontaxable type of deposits.

And the four categories that we addressed in the briefing and in our spreadsheet, which was originally the -- our Exhibit G, which was revised through the briefing process, and that was the spreadsheet that was provided electronically to the OTA.

Those items include ATM deposits, the money orders, the Lottery Scratchers and transfers between accounts including transfers from personal credit cards into the bank accounts of the -- of the business.

During the course of the prehearing conference,

Judge Long raised the question about the ATM deposits, and
you know, the question was how do you feed the ATM
machine? And my position in the Brief was, it doesn't
matter how the ATM machine is fed. The real question is
the deposits, since that's what we're talking about.

We're talking about deposits in the bank, and the manner
in which an ATM deposits is done.

A customer comes in, sticks his debit card or his credit card into the machine, pulls out something multiple of 20s -- the \$20 minimum, or multiples of 20s, and at the end of the day, the business closes out the account.

The processor then collects the money from the customer's bank account or credit card and then processes and then deposits in the bank account -- it's just like any other merchant -- in the bank account of Lakeside Market.

And we had calculated what all those were over the audit period, and CDTFA and prior, Board of Equalization did not provide any reduction in the amounts.

ATM deposits or deposits from the merchant account -- merchant processor are not sales of tangible personal property and therefore can't be subject to sales tax. And so the deposits that go into the bank account, that's the real question.

CDTFA raised an issue of -- well, how do they feed that -- that -- the ATM machine? And my response was that's a red herring. Who cares how it's fed, because it's the deposits that we have into the bank from the merchant processor that was included in purported unreported sales when they were not sales.

And the total on the ATM was \$1.5 million over the audit period. So that's 1.5 million that the CDTFA said is unreported sales; but, there were no unreported sales.

Then, the question is -- they raised the issue in response to a supplemental brief that I filed in response to the request by the OTA; yet, they didn't provide any evidence saying that, you know, unreported sales cash was used to fill the ATM. Mr. Hayer will testify as to the -- how that was filled, but the real question is, where's the evidence from the OTA other than a statement?

So they -- they want to include \$1.5 million of -- of deposits coming from the merchant processor as subject to sales -- sales tax, sorry.

And then for the money orders, I think that issue's been resolved. The CDTFA agreed that there was 368,000 of money order sales that they backed out. The Lottery Scratchers, those total 141,000, and the transfers between accounts is 1.1 million.

We've detailed through the -- through the briefing process what all those transfers were, and in many cases, the -- if the Lakeside Market was going to go with a negative balance in their account, Amrik Hayer, Manjinder Hayer's father, he would go down and make a deposit, drawing on a credit card.

And those are all representatives I lay out in -in the final brief that I submitted. They're large dollar
numbers with all zeros was 15,000 or 31,000, and I tied
those particular deposits to the timing of the account
going to a zero or negative balance. They wanted to avoid
that happening.

So ultimately, again, considering the 380,000 that's already been conceded by CDTFA, there's a balance of 2.8 million made up primarily of ATM deposits and the transfers between accounts that were treated as unreported sales when they weren't sales at all.

Okay. I'd like to ask a few questions of --of Mr. Hayer so that we're now a part of the record beyond, you know, my request to start the hearing day.

I'd like Mr. Hayer to briefly describe the process; although, since he was in India, he can relate only what is -- what he was told by his -- by his father.

24 ///

25 / ///

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. LUOMA:

- Q So if you'd describe what happened in the audit?
- A Well, the audit -- I already told -- are you asking me, particularly, the whole process of audit?
- Q Yes. I know we kind of started addressing it, but that wasn't quite -- you know, -- that was part of the argument that the Judge preferred us to wait on. So now you can make -- make your statement?
- A Okay. Well, like I said, I was in India at that time. My dad wasn't a hands-on guy to run the store; I was the one.

But when I was depressed, and I realized I had to take a different path. So I went on my spiritual path. I left everything. I told -- my manager wanted to buy the business. I told my parents, and they said, "No, we don't want to. We want to keep it." I said, "Then I'm leaving. I don't need anything."

So I left everything. I didn't take anything. I walked away from here, and I spend all these years on my spiritual path since then, and I needed a happiness.

I was number six in all U.S. Century 21 in commercial region when I left. I left everything. All my -- you know, -- whatever I was doing. I was very successful; but I wanted peace. I couldn't go to sleep

every night. I'll take -- drink about a bottle of liquor to go to sleep for a few hours, and then I wake up, and I'm going through same stuff.

So I -- one day, I searched on Google, like,
"What is depression?" This friend of mine gave me some
medication, and I started seeing a psychologist; but, I
went for a month or two. Nothing changed. Everything
stayed the same. So one day, I searched, "What is
depression?"

So I'm a Sikh by religion. So something popped up on the thing. Its site was waheguru.com. From our Fifth Guru it say's, "When you are afraid of losing something precious, you get depressed."

So I got what was bearing me down. There was our store, it was losing money. It wasn't making anything.

We were trying to, you know, put money from our credit cards and everything and it's still not making it. So that was one of the reasons.

And I started doing meditation from the same day, downloaded some stuff from that. Within one week, I was out of depression. That's when I realized I need to change my path. So I left everything. I walked away. I never asked my parents to give me money, do anything.

I lived in India, like, from one temple to another or it's more like a "Gurdwara", but you guys

probably wouldn't understand by temple word because that's what a lot of people know by.

So in 2009, that's when I came back. I heard from my parents the audit is going on and my wife, AJ, she was taking care of it. But in 2009, suddenly she took the kids and left the family, you know, walked away from and left them alone.

So I -- I think there was a communication gap between -- if I would have been here, I would have handled it very well. I would show them what they needed to see or whatever the issue was, because I was the one, when I left the day-to-day operations, handing, telling my employees or managers, or whatever how things were handling; right?

So after I left, they were pretty much running it but no direction. So I think they were unable to answer their question, or whatever happened, I'm not aware; but this -- these boxes were the first step. Anybody -- when they did the audit they should have gone through, which I believe they didn't.

And I also heard the gentleman saying that outside credit card sales weren't in. They were in; every day. There's two merchants -- we have two merchant accounts. One from gas sales outside and one grocery store sales inside. They all go in the same account at

the end of the day. Maybe not the same day, but next day or next to next, like on weekends -- on Monday's you get three deposits in.

2.4

So everything was in there. There's -- there's no unreported sales. As far as I know, we have not done anything wrong. What happened here is a miscommunication or lapse between the parties to communicate the real issue of what it was. So that's how this whole stuff started.

If they would have gone through the boxes, they would have looked at daily reports and all that. The number would match what we showed it to our accountant.

The boxes -- we fill out at the end of the month, they'll go to the accountant, who'll go through every single invoice, match it with the checks returned and all that.

And also, I would like to mention, we didn't -in the briefs, I didn't notice that the one million or so
that's coming over that is because we were doing a lot of
check cashing, and I have nothing to support that. We
would cash checks; they would also go into the bank.

So that -- that is also that additional -- if anybody who's -- has run a business, they would understand what I'm trying to say.

So that is added extra cash into the account; but today, I have nothing to support that. At that time, I

want to show them from even the current time, at that time, this is we do. We submit our checks and all that.

2.4

People, you know, they bring in at the store because it's a grocery store, and people -- they get their welfare checks and their paychecks, all that. We used to cash all those. We're not doing that anymore, but at that time, we used to do that.

So that million dollar -- whatever that's coming over that is partly that, but -- but I don't have anything to support other than admitting, like, okay, you know, it's over.

Q Okay. I have a few questions about some of the specifics such as the -- the ATM just so that the -- the panel understands the process.

Could you describe the customer coming into the store using the ATM to withdraw cash, and then how that ends up being deposited back into your account?

A Yes. This ATM machine is separate from our check-stands. Like, where our customers buy groceries and stuff is a separate machine set up in the store, which we refill. And like I said, we do check cashing, money orders, and this ATM machine that was not a part of our sales. We never did anything with the actual sales on that end.

So we would deposit money from check cashing,

which we weren't ringing up on registers. Money orders we weren't ringing up because we didn't want our employees to handle it. It was only handled by one of the -- of the family members or the manager, because anybody knows that there's a lot of people who come with the fake checks and all that. So somebody who's doing it, they have to have the knowledge. Plus, money orders is -- anybody can issue it and go cash it, so it will go from our pocket. So we would have a really close control on that.

2.4

So we were using, like, two -- we had, like, 50,000 or so on hand, which was used to use for our check cashing, money orders, and fill this ATM machine.

We would sometimes switch checks with our actual sales on grocery side of gas side to get that much cash out to this 50,000, so we have on hand to refill our machine and all that. But it was going from that money. It was not going from sales money.

And other two -- like I say, Worldpay pays our inside store sales and gas sales. That -- that is our merchant -- merchandiser for actual sales, gas sales.

You will see on the statements two deposits every day. One for gas that goes in all credit cards used at the pumps, or any credit card or ATM used inside. So there was two separate accounts for that -- for the cards.

So we get -- every day we get two deposits from

whatever's used in our accounts because they were on a different level of discount rate. Grocery discount rate was not lower. We were paying 1.25 percent on that. Gas is a lot higher. We were paying, like, two to three percent on top of whatever they would use. So there was two separate accounts.

2.4

And this third one machine, it is a totally different deposit that goes into the bank. We will sometimes withdraw from there. We will switch our check cashing checks. They came in, we cash it. We will swap it with the cash from actual sales to fill that, but that was not part of the sales to go into that ATM machine.

Q So you're saying you used the nontaxable source of cash or put -- exchanging the -- the checks cashed for the funds used to --

A Cash from the grocery store or of gas sales account site for the check cashing. We would swap it -- will deposit those checks into the grocery account or gas account and take that much cash from that to bring it towards this 50,000 we have cash for check cashing and ATM machine.

Q And as far as the cash that you swapped the checks for, those were cash sales that were rung up and reported for sales tax purposes?

A I'm sorry. Say that one more time.

1 The fund of cash that you swapped the -- the 2 checks for --3 Α Right. 4 -- they came out of the register, that cash? 0 5 Sometimes, we will do that from that. Sometimes, Α we will withdraw it from the bank. Sometimes, we will 6 7 withdraw if from our personal accounts to fill -- keep 8 that up to date. If you noticed -- if I were able to do -- show 9 10 you the reports from our credit cards back then, you'll 11 see how much badly we were in debt. If I were making the money they are wishing on 12 13 the paper that I was, I wouldn't be in India today. 14 would be still living a lavish life here. I walked away 15 from everything. All right. Just a follow-up question on the --16 17 the cash from the register that was used that you swapped 18 the checks for? 19 That -- that has been reported into the sales. Α 20 Q Okay. 21 Α The sales are reported already on the machines if 22 there's a cash there, right? And here's our check 23 cashing, which we are doing check cashing, money orders, and filling the ATM machine. So if we have check sales, 2.4

we will swap it with the cash here, deposit these checks

25

1 into the account and take that much cash into this -- our 2 extra deposit money for ATM or check cashing. 3 But that cash that you put into the ATM --0 4 Α Yes. 5 -- in that transaction --6 Yes, but that was not a sales cash. You see what 7 I'm saying? I understand. But the source of that cash, you 8 0 9 reported for sales tax purposes on the sales of tangible 10 personal property; correct? That has been already been reported from 11 12 the sales. 13 Okay. Now the transfers between accounts --0 14 there was like 1.1 million plus for the transfers between 15 accounts. What kind of accounts were those transfers 16 between? When most of those transfers are done after my 17 Α 18 wife left. I mean she was on the account, and what 19 happened, she cleaned all the accounts the day she left. 20 She even made some money orders, she cashed it, and just 21 all that. The accounts were depleted, and my father was 22 running around. 23 So that's when we opened two new accounts, and he 24 would start putting the money into those new accounts

because that is a long process.

25

These Worldpay Merchandising -- all that was in my name. Like, sales tax permit is in my name. So my dad couldn't do nothing until I came back, and so he opened two accounts.

So he would deposit that money into these accounts, and he would transfer -- okay, there's a 30,000 due for gas load today; right? They're going to pull -- so he'll transfer that much money into it.

I'm trying to keep that balance on that previous new or old accounts to be very low so she cannot take anything out of those accounts.

Q Okay.

2.4

A By then, we also reported to the, you know, bank and all that and took her out of the account so she couldn't that anymore.

But I noticed when I came back even later, she was taking -- paying her, like, credit cards and all that from the store, which my dad he's not like -- he didn't know nothing about it. This -- I found it when I came back in 2009 with the permission from the government. So that -- that's why that happened.

Those transfers are within the accounts. It's not like those transfer from one account to another. We still do that sometimes if one account within two many accounts checks. So we will transfer from or gas account

to cover that particular check so it doesn't get bounced.

Q Did you ever use personal funds or credit cards to make deposits into the business account?

A We always did. We were not aware of this fact that we should have go -- got to go by the dotted thing. It's my first business I purchased. I have no prior experience to this. I -- I'm going by those books that this is my actual sales. This is what I'm supposed to report. I'm not aware of the fact that I shouldn't be doing this.

And I'm sure there's a lot of other people doing -- making the same mistakes. They don't know that and until -- unless somebody, you know -- audit happens.

And I'm sure because I asked a couple peoples about this, and they said when audit happens with them, the Board of Equalization had them write an affidavit, and they kind of took care of it without penalizing them.

But only problem in my case is I wasn't here to represent myself and like I said, now is -- we're at a stage where it's kind of too late for me, but I -- I'm getting penalized for something which I haven't done.

Q All right. And far as -- when would you use personal funds or your credit card to make deposits into the business account?

A Whenever we checked that -- okay, we wrote extra checks, and there's not enough money and the bank would sometimes call. "Hey, this check came in and you don't have enough funds. Do you want to make a quick deposit?" So we will look at whatever check or credit card we have a better rate or not, and on top of that we deposit that.

And sometimes, we would also do credit card transfers based on -- okay, this credit card has a better rate. They send you offer and you deposit that into the account and pay the other credit cards from that account, you know, so a lot of that happened too. That is one of the reasons this audit is based on deposits, particularly, in my -- my case is totally out of the, you know, whack.

Q Did -- when you made the credit card deposits, or do you use personal funds, did you go to the bank -- and again this is 2007 to 2009 -- to make those deposits?

A That was the trend even before I left in 2000 -May 2006. Whenever it needed to be, I used my credit card
or my dad's credit card. We look at who can -- has a
better rate. We'll pay each other's credit card, and we
will take that offer and deposit it into the bank and
write the check to pay off some other check at the same
time.

That -- that is another reason these numbers are high because there was a lot of credit card transactions --

1 | personal, like, putting the money into the account.

2.4

Then you got -- okay, we got a zero percent APR, or we got a 2.9 whatever based on that time. I don't remember much, but then we will take that offer, deposit that into the bank, and pay that from the store account.

So that also made our deposits go up, and I'm not aware of that at that time, you know, that I'm not supposed to do that.

With you guy's experience, you probably know more than I do that how it -- this happens with a normal person, who doesn't understand how they should have played their business accounts and all that. I can just tell you what my -- what happened with me.

Q But you were able to -- when you did the bank deposits analysis, you were able to determine what those personal credit card or personal cash deposits were; correct.

A I were able to do some, but there's probably some many others which I couldn't because I don't have records of that, and it's hard to recognize some of that at this stage, you know. If I had my bank statements or like my credit card statements from then, I -- I probably would have been -- it been easier.

But when I left, I left with a lot of debt. I -I had no money to pay and all that. My credit got screwed

and it was -- it was just a mess for me. I needed peace. 1 2 So I -- I said to hell with it. I -- I need peace. So I 3 walked away from it. 4 My parents wanted to keep it. It was within the family, so I said, "Okay. That's fine. You guys run it." 5 6 But they weren't capable of running it. 7 And I'm very spiritual guy. I -- I -- I cannot take one dollar from somebody. I came in U.S. in 1992. 8 9 Till today, I have not got one dollar in -- in sport from 10 the government. I worked for my living either here or in India. 11 12 My family never ever -- not even one dollar from 13 government in assistance of any kind. It will hurt my 14 pride. 15 MR. LUOMA: No further questions at this time. 16 JUDGE LONG: Thank you. Thank you. 17 Does CDTFA have any questions for the witness? 18 MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: No, we don't. 19 JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you. 20 Before we move forward with CDTFA's presentation, 21 I have a few questions, and I'd also like to give my 22 co-panelist the opportunity to ask questions. 23 Judge Ralston, do you have any questions? 2.4 JUDGE RALSTON: Not at this time. Thank you. 25 JUDGE LONG: Judge Le, do you have any questions? JUDGE LE: No questions at this time.

2.4

JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you. I have a few questions for the witness.

With respect to your testimony as to the process of how ATM deposits and transfers occurred -- bank deposits, I just want to make clear. You were out of the country during the liability period; correct?

MR. HAYER: I was, Your Honor.

JUDGE LONG: And so any testimony with respect to that would -- would have been the process prior to your leaving the country, or anything that was told to you that occurred?

MR. HAYER: All I can say is what -- it is similar procedure what they kept doing after I was gone. That's all -- when I was here, this is how we used to do. When I left, everything pretty much stayed the same procedure wise, you know. It's -- nothing changed.

So that is why I can testify. I talked to my dad. I was aware of his credit card online. I would check if he wanted me to some -- to check or some -- because he wasn't able to do that stuff, you know. He had to go to the bank or I ask him, but I could check it for him and stuff.

We talked over the phone sometimes even though I was staying away from home being back in India, but I had

a phone so they would call me, and they wanted me to come back and -- like every parents, you know.

JUDGE LONG: Okay. And then you mentioned that sometimes the bank would call you with respect to any deposits that -- that would not clear or checks that would not clear. Was that during the time that you were out of country?

MR. HAYER: No, not during the time when I was out the country; then they would call my dad.

JUDGE LONG: Okay.

MR. HAYER: It was the same procedure. The bank managers -- we were one of their best client in the Wells Fargo and they were treating us very wrong. They would hold the checks to let us bring money into the account.

Sometimes what happened -- like, for example, right now, we make pretty much one deposit every -- from all those days. Back then, we were making deposits pretty much every day or every end of -- Monday we have to make deposit to make sure our checks are covered.

Certain days, our -- most of the heavy billing comes out, like, Wednesdays, the grocery bills comes out and all that. So they hit hard so we make sure on Tuesday the funds are in there.

And because my dad wasn't computer savvy, or you know -- so he couldn't see online stuff so the bank would

call him or me when I was here. They used to call me, but when I went, they start calling him to cooperate.

JUDGE LONG: And just so I'm clear, with respect to the check cashing, the -- you received the checks from the customer, provided them with the cash, deposited it in the bank, and then took that money, which would be a nontaxable sale, and inserted it into the ATM; is that correct?

MR. HAYER: I'll just give you an example. Let's say today's sale is 10,000 --

JUDGE LONG: Mm-hmm.

MR. HAYER: -- and out of that 5,000 is cash and 5,000 is ATM and credit cards. So we got -- there's 5,000 cash from our grocery sales and here on this end, we cash checks for 5,000. I'm just giving you an example. So we will deposit these checks right here and take that 5,000 cash from here -- from the sales to swap it with the checks to deposit into the ATM machine, and we were keeping about \$50,000 or more just for check cashing.

Check cashing was heavy back then. Not anymore because everybody got car now and they can go and pay it right in the -- like for us, especially up to the 10th of month.

We used to get a ton of checks, which we used to cash it, and it has to be initialed by me, my dad, or my

wife. So we will cash it here and then they will take the money and go in the store and pay it.

If they buy a grocery from the store, they would give them an additional discount at the register. If they don't, then they don't get that discount.

JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you. I don't have any further questions.

At this time, I would like to move to CDTFA for their presentation. Hold on one moment.

CDTFA, you have 30 minutes, and you may begin when you are ready.

PRESENTATION

2.2

2.4

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Thank you, Judge.

Appellant, a sole proprietor, operated an unbranded gas station and a mini-mart in Orville, California.

Appellant sold both gasoline and diesel fuel.

Taxable sales in the mini-mart include beer, wine, liquor, cigarettes, carbonated beverages, propane, and miscellaneous taxable merchandise. The mini-mart had a deli, which sold both hot and cold prepared food.

Appellant also sold Lottery tickets and money orders.

The Department audited Appellant's business for

the period of January 1st, 2007 through December 31st, 2009. During the audit period, Appellant reported around 23 million as total sales and claimed various types of deductions resulting in reported taxable sales of around 13.4 million, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Pages 20 and 21.

2.4

Appellant also claimed around 570,000 in prepaid sales tax on purchases of gasoline and diesel, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Pages 23 and 103.

During our presentation, we will explain why the Department rejected Appellant's reported taxable sales; why the Department used an indirect audit report; how the Department determined Appellant's unreported sales tax for the audit period; and why the Department recommended a negligence and finality penalty for this Appellant.

According to Appellant's previous representative, Appellant generated daily POS sales reports, which categorized sales into gross sales, taxable sales, and tax collected.

A monthly spreadsheet is prepared based on POS daily summaries. The spreadsheet includes gross sales, taxable sales, tax collected, nontaxable sales for sales paid inside the store, and propane sales, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Pages 79 through 81.

The Department determined that fuel sales paid at

the pump outside the store with credit card were not recorded on the daily POS reports. Appellant also incorrectly recorded his inside cash flow sales as nontaxable sales on his POS system.

2.4

Appellant also recorded taxable sales, nontaxable sales, propane sales, Lotto sales, and money order sales in his General Ledger, which is used along with summary reports to prepare sales and use tax returns, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Pages 77 and 78.

During the audit, Appellant failed to provide complete sales records such as POS sales information, cash register Z tapes, or accurate sales journals for the audit period. In addition, Appellant failed to provide complete fuel and merchandize purchase invoices, or purchase journal for the audit period.

The Department did not accept Appellant's reported taxable sales due to lack of reliable records. Since Appellant's taxable sales could not be verified by a direct audit approach, the Department used an indirect audit approach.

The Department determined taxable sales for the audit period based on net bank deposits reflected on Appellant's available bank statements and the General Ledger.

Then, to verify the reasonableness of audited

fuel sales for the audit period, the Department calculated the average quarterly taxable fuel sales using the information from the U.S. Department of Energy.

2.4

During the audit, the Department reviewed and analyzed Appellant's records to verify the reasonableness of Appellant's reported taxable sales. Five verification methods show the records were incomplete and taxable sales were understated.

First, the Department compared the recorded taxable sales reflected on Appellant's General Ledger of around 40 million with reported taxable sales of around 13.4 million and calculated a difference of around \$600,000 for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Pages 20, 77, and 83.

Second, Appellant had incomplete bank statements, so the Department compared Appellant's available bank statements to his General Ledger to determine total bank deposits excluding loans, credit card transfers, and transfers between bank accounts of around 24 million for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 82.

The Department compared the calculated bank deposits with reported total sales of around 23 million to calculate an overall difference of around 1 million for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page

102.

Third, the Department compared claim prepaid sales tax of around \$570,000 with prepaid sales tax of around \$589,000 that Appellant's fuel vendors reported to have collected from Appellant and calculated a difference of around \$19,000, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 103.

Fourth, the Department compared the recorded fuel sales reflected on Appellant's profit and loss statement of around 10.8 million to the purchases of around 10.6 million reflected on Appellant's profit and loss statements. The Department calculated negative recorded fuel book markups for the years 2008 and 2009, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 104.

This means Appellant was losing money every time it made sales of fuel for years of 2008 and 2009. Accordingly, the Department did not accept Appellant's recorded fuel sales for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 104. However, based on the audited fuel sales, the fuel markup was around five percent, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 104.

Fifth, the Department compared the recorded gasoline and diesel sales of around 10.8 million with total number of gallons of gasoline and diesel purchased to determine overall selling price of a gallon of \$2.76

percent ranging from as low as \$1.51 to as high as \$4.36. However, based on the Department's audit, the auditor net weighted price per gallon range from as low as \$1.73 to as high as \$4.90 for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 55.

2.4

Appellant was unable to explain the reason for bank deposits differences, prepaid sales tax differences, low reported fuel book markups, low reported average selling prices of a gallon, and reasons for recording Appellant's cash flow sales as nontaxable sales.

Therefore, the Department conducted further investigation by analyzing available sales spreadsheets, the General Ledger, and bank deposits information.

The Department completed a Purchase Segregation
Test for Appellant's mini-mart, and that will be on the
Exhibit A, Pages 59 through 71.

The Purchase Segregation Test disclosed around 59 percent as taxable and 41 percent as nontaxable for Appellant's mini-mart, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 65.

The Department then used the taxable purchase ratio merchandise purchases and recorded taxable minimart sales, excluding propane sales, to calculate the recorded mini-mart taxable markup of around 27 percent for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Pages

57 and 110.

2.4

To Appellant's benefit, the Department accepted this low recorded book markup and accepted the recorded taxable non-fuel sales, including propane sales, of around 6.5 million for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Pages 72 and 73.

Appellant used bank deposits information and POS sales reports to complete his sales and use tax returns, but during the audit field work, Appellant did not provide all his bank statements and complete POS sales information for the audit period.

As stated earlier, the Department used Appellant's provided bank statements and General Ledger information to determine net bank deposits of around 24 million, which included cash taken from the register to pay his vendors. These bank deposits did not include loans, credit card transfers, and bank transfers.

The Department deducted recorded total mini- mart sales of around 11 million, sales tax reimbursement collected in the mini-mart of around \$500,000, recorded money order sales of around 400,000, and an excise tax of around \$97,000 to determine audited fuel sales including sales tax reimbursement of around 12 million, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 76.

The Department then used the applicable sales

weighted factors to determine the audited X-tax fuel sales of around 11 million for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 76.

2.4

The Department also determined additional audited fuel sales of around \$117,000 using U.S. Department of Energy's information for the third quarter of 2009, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 53.

This is mainly due to recording Appellant's July 2009 bank deposits amount on his General Ledger as \$522,000 instead of \$810,000, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 82, Exhibit L, and Exhibit C, Pages 812 and 819.

The Department then combined audited taxable fuel sales of around 11 million and recorded mini-mart taxable sales of around 6.5 million to determine audited taxable sales for the audit period of around 17.5 million.

Audited taxable sales were compared with reported taxable sales of around 13.4 million to determine unreported taxable sales of around 4.3 million for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 50.

The Department then compared the unreported taxable sales of around 4.3 million with a reported taxable sales of around 13.4 million to calculate the error rate of 32 percent.

Appellant subsequently provided his missing bank

statements, for the audit period and will be on the Exhibits 2, 3, and 4.

If the Department updated its audit working papers with Appellant's newly provided bank statement information for the audit period, this would increase the net bank deposits amount by around \$190,000, and that will be on the Exhibits L and M.

At this time, the Department will not assert an increase to the account for the additional taxable sales of around \$67,000, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Pages 111 and 112.

Therefore, the Department finds that the estimated amount accessed in this audit is not only reasonable but benefit to the Appellant.

To verify the reasonableness of the audit fuel sales of around 11 million, the Department used an alternative audit approach. This alternative audit approach used the number of fuel gallons purchased and the audited sales price per gallon to determine audited fuel sales.

The Department obtained Appellant's fuel prices from the Appellant's fuel vendors and determined that Appellant had purchased a total of around 3.4 million gallons of gasoline and 541 thousand gallons of diesel during the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit

B, Page 65 -- 165.

The Department obtained the average weekly retail fuel prices from the weekly database published by the U.S. Department of Energy, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Pages 55 and 107.

The Department of Energy surveyed gasoline stations in various areas one day each week and determined an average selling price for that week, which the Department will refer here as the average weekly prices.

Using the corresponding average weekly prices, the Department determined a weighted average selling price for gasoline and diesel for each monthly period in the audit, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Pages 55 and 107.

To determine the price differential between the weekly weighted average selling prices and Appellant's selling prices, the Department compared the sales receipt showing Appellant's selling prices for regular, unleaded, mid-grade, and premium gasoline with the published statewide weekly average prices for those same days to determine an average price differential of 14.09 cents per gallon, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 56.

The Department also compared sales receipts showing Appellant's diesel selling prices with the published statewide average weekly prices for those same

days to determine an average price differential of 6.1 cents per gallon, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 56.

2.4

Since Appellant's gasoline and diesel selling prices were lower than the published statewide selling prices, the Department reduced the average monthly selling prices for all grades of gasoline and diesel by the respective price differential to determine audited average monthly selling prices for gasoline and diesel, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 55.

The Department then multiplied the audited monthly selling prices for gasoline and diesel by the number of gallons purchased to calculate audited gasoline sales, including sales tax reimbursement of around 10 million and audited diesel sales, including sales tax reimbursement and state excise taxes of around 1.7 million for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 55.

The Department determined the X-tax gasoline sales of around 9.5 million and diesel sales of around 1.5 million using applicable sales tax weighted factors and excise tax amount for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 55.

Next, the Department combined the audited gasoline sales of around 9.5 million with audited diesel

sales of around 1.5 million to determine total audited for sales of around 11 million for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 53.

2.4

When the Department compared audited taxable fuel sales in each quarterly period from this alternative audit method to audited fuel sales determined, using Appellant's bank information, the Department authored that unusual result in the third quarter 2009.

In that quarter, Appellant's audited taxable sales of around \$937,000, using this alternative audit method, exceeded audited fuel sales of around \$820,000, using Appellant's bank information by around \$117,000, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 53.

As stated earlier, this is mainly due to recording Appellant's July 2009 bank deposits amount on his General Ledger as \$522,000 instead of \$810,00, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 82, Exhibit L, and Exhibit C, Pages 812 and 819.

Thus, the Department determined that taxable fuel sales were understated by an additional \$117,000 in the third quarter 2009. Therefore, the Department determined unreported taxable sales of around 4.3 million, including this \$117,000 for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 50.

Appellant disputes the audit results and claims

that the Department failed to determine the correct amount of taxable sales for the audit period. Appellant believes that he's entitled to three additional adjustments to the audit finding.

First, Appellant is requesting an adjustment from ATM deposits of around 1.5 million. Second, Appellant is requesting an adjustment for sales of Scratchers for -- of around \$142,000. Third, Appellant is requesting an additional adjustment for transfers between bank accounts of around 1.1 million. As support, Appellant provided his evidences of the bank deposits for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibits 2, 3, and 4.

He analyzed each bank statement and color-coded the deposits that were not taxable. Appellant's representative also prepared and provided multiple Excel spreadsheets consisting of a summary, day-to-day road deposits, and withdrawal data to support his claim of nontaxable deposits and nontaxable sales for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibits 5 and 6. He also provided a declaration, and that will be on the Exhibit 1.

Prior to today, Appellant claims that he withdraws cash from his bank account to fill his ATM machine. He further claims that the ATM was filled -- the ATM was not filled from the cash registers. Appellant provided an Excel worksheet to show daily ATM deposits to

1 support his claim amounts. 2 To verify the accuracy of Appellant's claim that 3 cash was withdrawn from the bank to fill the ATM machine, 4 the Department tried to trace back ATM deposits from 5 Appellant's worksheet to the bank statement to determine if there were corresponding bank withdrawals. 6 7 The Department spot-tested withdrawals for 15 days period in February 2007, in December 2007, in 8 January 2008, in May 2008, in October 2008, and in 9 10 September 2009, but the Department could not find any cash 11 withdrawals that matched with the claim deposits. 12 JUDGE LONG: Excuse me. I'm sorry to interrupt. 13 I just want to make sure that I have it for my notes. 14 that spot-test of 15 days in each of those months? 15 MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Yes. 16 JUDGE LONG: And, um --17 I can give you the exact MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: 18 date if you want. 19 JUDGE LONG: No, that's fine. But can you give 20 me a total number of months? 21 MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Oh, okay. 22 JUDGE LONG: I Just couldn't keep up with the 23 typing like this. 2.4 MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: For six months. 25 JUDGE LONG: Six months. Thank you. Continue.

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: In fact, the Department did not find any cash withdrawals during these periods.

2.4

Based on the Department's experience with similar transactions relating to ATMs, it is illogical for any taxpayer to deposit cash from the cash register into the bank then make a withdrawal of cash from the bank to add to the ATM machine.

Taxpayers typically would add the cash from the their cash registers directly into the ATM machine instead of doing unnecessary steps of depositing and then withdrawing from the bank.

Appellant failed to demonstrate his claim of ATM business practices with documentary evidence. Therefore, the Department determined that Appellant used cash from his cash register to fill the ATM machines.

Today, Appellant is claiming that he used the cash -- the check cashing money or money from personal loans and credit cards to fill ATM machines. However, Appellant did not provide any documentary evidence to support this new condition. Therefore, the Department rejected Appellant's arguments relating to ATM deposits.

The Department used Appellant's General Ledger and Profit and Loss Statements to identify his Lotto sales of around \$380,000, and considered these amounts when determining unreported taxable sales for the audit period,

and that will be on the Exhibit A, Pages 77, 78, and 83.

Appellant's General Ledger and his Profit and Loss Statements did not separate Lotto sales from Scratcher sales.

Appellant failed to support with documentary support that there were \$142,000 worth of bank deposits in his bank statements in addition to the allotted amount of \$380,000 for the audit period.

This allotted amount of around \$380,000 is significantly higher than the Appellant's claimed amount of around \$142,000 for Scratchers. If the Department updated its audit working papers with this \$142,000 for the audit period, this would increase additional taxable sales by around \$230,000 for the audit period.

The Department will not assert any increase to account for the additional taxable sales of around \$238,000. Therefore, the Department finds that the estimated amount accessed in this audit for the audit period is not only reasonable but benefit to Appellant.

Appellant is also requesting an additional adjustment for bank transfers between bank accounts. As stated earlier, the Department used the available bank statements and General Ledger information to determine net bank deposits of around 24 million, which included cash taken from the cash registers to pay for Appellant's

vendors, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 82.

2.4

These bank deposits were adjusted for loans, credit cards transfers, and bank transfers. Appellant provided an Excel worksheet to support his loans and bank transfers between accounts for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit 6.

The Department reviewed Appellant's bank statements and Appellant's Excel spreadsheet and compiled a new exhibit to indicate the Appellant's claim amount of 1.1 million, and the Department accepted the amount of around \$880,000, and that will be on the Exhibit L.

The Department's detailed review of all bank statements and supporting documents resulted in an adjusted bank deposit amount of around \$190,000, more than the amount that was used in this audit, and that will be on the Exhibits L and N.

Thus, the Department rejected Appellant's arguments relating to additional transfers between bank accounts.

Your -- your panel can see that the Department determined audited fuel sales of around 11 million for the audit period, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Pages 53 and 76.

The Department compared the audited fuel sales of around 11 million with recorded fuel purchases of around

10.6 million to calculate fuel markups of around five percent for the audit period, which is at the lower end of the range that the Department would normally expect for a gas station, and that will be on the Exhibit A, Page 104.

2.4

Thus, the Department finds that the audit produced a fair and reasonable determination for the audit period.

Appellant also claims that he began his business as a partnership with his father. Appellant owns 40 percent of the business while his father owns 60 percent of the business, and that will be on the Exhibits 1 and 7.

However, Appellant did not file a partnership income tax return to report business income to the federal government; did not obtain his liquor license under the partnership; did not obtain a seller's permit as a partnership; therefore, the Department determined that Appellant did not provide collaborative documentary information to support that he's a partner of a partnership other than providing Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 7.

The Department imposed a negligence penalty based upon its determination that Appellant's books and records were incomplete and inadequate for sales and use tax purposes, and because Appellant failed to accurately report his taxable sales.

Specifically, the Department ordered that

Appellant provide limited records for the audit period, and Appellant failed to provide documents of original entries to support his reported sales tax liability.

2.4

As a result, the Department had to calculate Appellant's taxable sales based upon available sales spreadsheets, General Ledger, bank deposits information, and Appellant's gasoline selling prices using Department of Energy's weekly published selling prices.

The audit examination disclosed unreported taxable sales of around 4.3 million, which when compared with reported taxable sales of around 13.4 million for the audit period resulted in an error rate of around 32 percent. This high error rate is additional evidence of negligence.

Finally, the Department imposed a finality penalty because the determination became final on May 19, 2011, and Appellant did not make full payments towards the determination prior to -- to this date.

However, the Department will waive the finality penalty if the Appellant pays the full liability within 30 days from the date of the Notice of Redetermination.

Appellant has not provided any reasonable documentation or evidence to support an adjustment to the audit findings.

Therefore, for all of these reasons, the

1 Department requests the appeal be denied. 2 This concludes our presentation, and we are 3 available to answer any questions the panel may have. 4 Thank you. 5 JUDGE LONG: Thank you. 6 Judge Ralston, do you have any questions? No questions. 7 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. Judge Le, do you have any questions? 8 JUDGE LONG: 9 JUDGE LE: No questions. Thank you. 10 JUDGE LONG: Thank you. 11 At this time, I would like to move to closing 12 arguments. 13 Mr. Luoma, you have ten minutes and may begin 14 when your ready. 15 16 CLOSING ARGUMENT 17 MR. LUOMA: Your Honor, we've submitted 18 19 documentary evidence. We've submitted testimonial 20 evidence both in the form of a declaration as well as 21 Mr. Hayer's sworn testimony today, and there's adequate information to demonstrate that the CDTFA had errors in 2.2 23 its conclusions based on the bank deposits analysis. 2.4 The other issues raised and discussed by CDTFA,

today, many are not at issue. It really is the bank

25

deposits analysis. There's no issue on the fuel. There's no issue on the percentage markup analysis. It's all on the bank deposits analysis, and based on the -- the arguments and the evidence that was submitted, that includes Mr. Hayer's bank deposits analysis, it demonstrates that there should be an adjustment to the taxable sales because of these nontaxable bank deposits.

The ATM -- there's already been a concession on the money orders, the bank transfers, all of that should result in a reduction against the purported unreported sales.

With regard to the penalty, Mr. Hayer has testified as to the manner in which the audit was conducted and that his father, who stood in his stead when Mr. Hayer was in India on his pilgrimage, demonstrates that there is reasonable cause for any understatement of sales.

There's a misunderstanding -- and unfortunately, the documents that we have would have cured the entire audit had the Board had access to the documents, which we offered today.

So I think everything that has been presented, testimonial and documentary, establishes that there's reasonable cause for the negligence penalty, and that there shall be a reduction of 2.8 million in taxable

1	sales. Thank you.				
2	JUDGE LONG: Thank you.				
3	And CDTFA, did you want to make any closing				
4	remarks?				
5	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: No.				
6	JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you.				
7	At this time, I believe we are ready to conclude				
8	this hearing. Just to confirm, Judge Ralston, are you				
9	ready to close this appeal?				
10	JUDGE RALSTON: Yes.				
11	JUDGE LONG: And Judge Lee.				
12	JUDGE LE: Yes.				
13	JUDGE LONG: Thank you.				
14	This case is submitted on Wednesday, October 16,				
15	2022. The record is now closed.				
16	Thank you, everyone, for coming in today. The				
17	Judges will meet and decide your case later on, and we				
18	will send you a written opinion of our decision within 100				
19	days of today.				
20	Today's hearing in the Appeal of M. Hayer is now				
21	adjourned. The next hearing will resume at 1:00 p.m.				
22	Thank you.				
23	MR. LUOMA: Thank you, Your Honor.				
24	And the date you said October. It can be				
25	corrected. It's November 16th. Unless I heard wrong.				

```
1
              JUDGE LONG: No, you're -- you're correct.
 2
     you.
 3
              We are going to reopen the record for just one
 4
     moment.
 5
              (Record was reopened to record correct hearing
 6
              date)
 7
              This case is submitted on Wednesday, November 16,
 8
     2022.
 9
              Now the record is closed. Thank you.
10
              MR. HAYER:
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
11
              MR. LUOMA:
                          Thank you.
12
              (Proceedings concluded at 11:30 a.m.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION		
I, Inez Deorsene, Hearing Reporter in and		
for the State of California, do hereby certify:		
That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was		
taken before me at the time and place set forth; that any		
witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to		
testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the		
testimony and proceedings was made by me using machine		
shorthand, which was thereafter transcribed under my		
direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true record		
of the testimony and proceedings given.		
I further certify I am neither financially		
interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any		
attorney or party to this action.		
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed		
my name.		
Dated: December 7, 2022		
Inay Delivera		
Inez Deorsene, Hearing Reporter		

\$	-	12 45:23	2015 10:6,17 12:12,14
<u> </u>		128 14:16	2021 7:23
\$1,091,810 7:22	of 21:18 	13.4 40:5 42:12 46:18,23 57:11	2022 2:18 5:1,8
\$1.5 20:7,18		14.09 48:21	60:15 61:8
\$1.51 44:1		141,000 20:24	20s 19:11
\$1.73 44:3	08 9:14	15 52:8,14	21 4:16 22:22 40:6
\$117,000 46:5 50:12,20,23	09 9:14	15,000 21:9	22nd 10:18
\$142,000 51:8 54:6,11,12	1	16 2:18 5:1,8 60:14 61:7	23 40:3,9 42:23 24 42:19 45:14
\$19,000 43:6	1 6:20 7:6,9 42:24 51:20 56:11,19	165 48:1	54:24
\$190,000 47:6		16th 60:25	25 7:23
55:14	1-7 4:7	17 4:11	27 44:24
\$2.76 43:25	1.1 20:25 30:14 51:10 55:10	17.5 46:16	3
\$20 19:11		19 57:16	
\$230,000 54:14	1.25 28:3 1.5 20:8 49:20 50:1 51:6	19034560 2:6 5:6	3 6:21 47:2 51:12
\$238,000 54:17		1992 35:8	3.4 47:23
\$380,000 53:24	1.7 49:16	1:00 60:21	30 39:10 57:21
54:8,9	10 49:14	1st 40:1	30,000 31:6
\$4.36 44:1	10,000 38:10		31,000 21:9
\$4.90 44:4 \$50.000 00:40	10.6 43:10 56:1	2	31st 40:1
\$50,000 38:19	10.8 43:10,23	2 6:20 47:2 51:12	32 46:24 57:12
\$500,000 45:20	100 60:18	2.8 21:15 59:25	35 18:1
\$522,000 46:10 50:16	102 43:1	2.9 34:3	368,000 20:23
\$570,000 43:3	103 40:9 43:7	20 40:5 42:14	380,000 21:13
\$589,000 43:4	104 43:14,19,21	2000 33:17	39 4:12
\$600,000 42:13	56:4	2006 10:5 33:18	4
\$67,000 47:10	107 48:5,14	2007 9:14 33:16	4
\$810,00 50:16	10th 38:22	40:1 52:8	4 18:10 47:2 51:12
\$810,000 46:10	11 45:19 46:2,14 47:16 50:2 55:21,	2008 43:13,16 52:9	4.3 16:14 46:19,22 50:22 57:10
\$820,000 50:11	25	2009 10:7,14 24:3,	40 42:11 56:9
\$880,000 55:11	110 45:1	5 31:20 33:16	400 2:16
\$937,000 50:10	111 47:11	40:2 43:13,16 46:6,9 50:8,15,21	400,000 45:21
\$97,000 45:22	112 47:11	52:10	41 44:18
	11:30 2:18 61:12	2011 57:17	

Index: 5..appeal's

78 41:9 54:1 accounts 18:24, 5,10 9:3 5 25 20:25 21:16 79 40:24 admission 6:19 24:24 27:24 28:1, 6 29:7 30:13,15, **admitted** 6:24 7:7 **5** 51:19 8 19,21,23,24 31:4, admitting 26:10 **5,000** 38:12,13,15, 6,10,11,22,25 16 34:12 42:19 51:9 advised 9:14 81 40:24 54:21 55:5,19 **50** 17:5 46:20 affairs 10:5 **812** 46:11 50:18 50:24 accuracy 52:2 affidavit 32:17 **819** 46:12 50:18 **50,000** 27:11,15 accurate 41:12 **afraid** 23:12 28:20 82 42:21 46:11 accurately 56:23 50:17 55:1 **53** 46:7 50:3,13 agent 13:7 actual 11:14 12:9 55:22 83 42:14 54:1 agreed 17:20 15:1,12,14 16:3,4 20:22 **541** 47:24 26:23 27:13,20 9 28:11 32:8 agreement 14:8 **55** 44:5 48:5,13 49:10,18,23 **add** 53:6,8 **AJ** 24:4 **9.5** 49:20,25 **56** 48:22 49:3 added 15:21 **ALJ** 3:3,5 9:30 2:17 5:2 25:24 **57** 45:1 allotted 54:7,9 **9:38** 5:9 addition 6:13 **570,000** 40:7 alternative 47:17 14:23 16:7 41:13 50:5,10 **58** 4:21 Α 54:7 amount 7:25 8:2, **59** 44:16.17 additional 12:3 3,5,14 17:20 46:9 **A-F** 4:5 7:1 25:21 39:4 46:4 47:6,13 49:22 47:9 50:20 51:3,9 6 **a.m.** 2:17,18 5:2,9 50:15 51:1 54:7,9, 54:13,16,20 55:18 61:12 10,18 55:9,10,14, 57:13 **6** 4:5 8:1,6 51:19 accept 6:17 41:16 15 Additionally 6:20 55:6 43:17 **amounts** 19:20 7:6,24 **6.1** 49:1 52:1 53:24 accepted 6:21 addressed 17:19 45:2,3 55:10 **6.5** 45:5 46:15 Amrik 21:4 18:17 access 9:19 **60** 56:10 analysis 9:22 addressing 59:20 17:24 18:7,8,12 18:14 22:6 **65** 44:20 48:1 34:15 58:23 59:1, accessed 47:13 adequate 58:21 2,3,5 54:18 7 adjourned 60:21 analyzed 42:5 account 19:12, 51:13 14,15,16,22,24 adjusted 55:2,14 **7** 4:6,7 7:7,9 56:11, 21:4,10 24:25 analyzing 44:12 19 adjustment 51:5, 25:24 26:17 7.9 54:21 57:23 **71** 44:16 **Anton** 11:19 28:17,18,19 30:1, 59:6 18 31:14,23,24,25 anymore 26:6 **72** 45:6 32:3,25 33:10 adjustments 31:15 38:20 34:1,5 37:14 47:9 **73** 45:6 51:3 appeal 2:5 5:6,20 51:22 54:16 **76** 45:24 46:3 **ADMINISTRATIO** 6:14 8:13 17:17 accountant 55:23 **N** 3:10 58:1 60:9,20 11:12 25:11,13 77 41:9 42:14 54:1 administrative appeal's 5:14 accounting 9:21 5:11,12 6:23 7:2,

Index: appeals..Bureau

appeals 2:1 5:7 6:18

APPEARANCES 3:1

Appellant 2:7 3:7 5:23 7:6,21,24 8:4,13,16 9:2 14:23 16:16,17, 21,25 39:16,19,24 40:2,7,15,17 41:2, 5,10,13 42:15 43:5,15 44:6 45:7, 9 46:25 47:14,23 50:25 51:2,5,6,8, 10,21,24 53:12, 14,16,19 54:5,19, 20 55:3 56:8,9,12, 17,23 57:1,2,17, 20,22

appellant's 4:7, 15 7:9,17,23,25 8:1 39:25 40:11, 13,16 41:16,18,23 42:5,6,10,16 43:4, 9,11,17 44:10,15, 19 45:2,13 46:8 47:4,21,22 48:16, 18,24 49:4 50:6,9, 12,15 51:14 52:2, 5 53:21,22 54:2, 10,25 55:7,8,9,17 56:21 57:5,7

applicable 45:25 49:21

approach 41:19, 20 47:17,18

approximately 8:18

.__

APR 34:2

April 10:18

areas 48:7

arguing 15:23

argument 4:19 22:8 58:16

arguments 17:4 53:21 55:18 58:12 59:4

assert 47:8 54:15

assistance 35:13

assumption 10:13 11:21

ATM 11:23,24 12:2 15:20 18:22 19:2,3,5,8,21 20:2,7,15 21:15 26:13,16,18,22 27:12,23 28:12,20 29:24 30:2,3 36:5 38:7,13,18 51:6, 22,23,24,25 52:3, 4 53:7,9,12,15,18, 21 59:8

ATMS 53:4

ATTORNEY 3:7

audit 8:3 9:13,15, 19,21 10:3 11:16 14:16 15:4 16:5, 23 17:24 19:19 20:8 22:3,4,5 24:4,19 32:13,16 33:12 40:2,12,14 41:10,12,15,19, 20,22 42:1,4,13, 20,25 43:18 44:2, 4,25 45:5,9,11 46:2,16,20 47:1,3, 5,13,15,17,25 48:13 49:17,22 50:2,5,10,23,25 51:2,4,11,18 53:25 54:8,12,13, 14,18 55:5,15,22 56:2,5,6 57:1,9, 12,24 59:13,20

audited 12:10 39:25 41:25 43:19 45:22 46:1,4,13, 15,17 47:19 49:8, 11,13,15,24,25 50:1,4,6,9,11 55:21,24

auditing 18:2 auditor 44:2

authored 50:7

average 42:2 44:8 48:2,8,9,10,11,16, 20,21,25 49:1,6,8

avoid 21:11

aware 10:12 13:2, 21 18:6 24:17 32:4,9 34:7 36:19

В

back 9:12,24 10:8, 9,16,18,19,20,23 11:4,5 12:12,15, 16,24 14:1,8 24:3 26:17 29:10 31:3, 16,20 36:25 37:2, 17 38:20 52:4

backed 20:23

badly 29:11

balance 17:22 21:4,11,14 31:9

bank 9:22 14:17 17:23 18:7,8,11, 25 19:7,14,15,16, 24 20:4 25:20 28:8 29:6 31:13 33:2,15,21 34:5, 14,21 36:5,22 37:4,11,25 38:6 41:22,23 42:15, 16,17,19,22 44:7, 13 45:7,10,13,14, 16,17 46:9,25 47:4,6 50:7,12,15 51:9,11,13,22 52:3,5,6 53:6,11 54:6,7,21,22,24 55:2,3,4,7,12,14, 18 57:6 58:23,25 59:3,5,7,9

based 6:18 16:5 18:11 33:8,12 34:3 40:20 41:22 43:19 44:2 53:3 56:20 57:5 58:23 59:3

bearing 23:14

beer 39:20

began 56:8

begin 8:19 9:9 17:5 39:10 58:13

behalf 9:2

believes 51:2

benefit 45:2 47:14 54:19

beverages 39:21

Bill 13:6

billing 37:20

bills 37:21

bit 13:4

black 11:16

Board 9:18 13:6 14:3,4 18:12 19:19 32:17 59:20

book 43:13 44:8 45:3

books 32:7 56:21

bottle 23:1

bounced 32:1

box 9:13 15:9 16:1 17:25

boxes 9:15 11:6, 9,15 12:9 13:13, 21,23 15:5 18:1 24:18 25:9,12

briefing 6:23 18:18,19 21:2

briefly 21:21

briefs 6:22 11:22 25:17

bring 16:16 26:3 28:19 37:14

Brooks 3:11 6:8

brought 9:12 13:23 15:6

Bureau 6:7

business 10:5 17:16 18:25 19:12 22:16 25:22 32:3, 6,25 34:12 39:25 53:13 56:8,10,11, 13

buy 22:15 26:19 39:3

buy-down 14:19

C

calculate 42:24 44:23 46:23 49:13 56:1 57:4

calculated 19:18 42:1,12,22 43:5,

California 2:2,16, 20 3:9 5:1 39:18

call 33:3 37:1,4,9 38:1

called 9:2

calling 38:2

capable 35:6

car 38:21

carbonated

39:21

card 16:16 19:9, 10,14 21:6 24:22 27:23 32:24 33:5, 7,8,14,18,19,20, 25 34:16,22 36:19 41:1 42:18 45:17

cards 18:24 23:17 27:22,24 29:10 31:17 32:2 33:10 38:13 53:18 55:3

care 10:19 11:1 12:16 24:5 32:18

cares 20:3

case 5:6 9:24 13:10,14 14:9 15:23 32:19 33:13 60:14,17 61:7 cases 10:9 21:3

cash 9:16 11:24 12:1 16:18 18:2 20:14 25:20,24 26:6,16 27:8,14 28:10,11,14,16, 19,20,22,23 29:1, 4,17,22,25 30:1,3, 6,8 34:16 38:5,12, 14,17,25 39:1 41:3,11 44:10 45:15 51:22,24 52:3,10 53:2,5,6, 8,9,14,15,17 54:24,25

cashed 28:14 30:20

cashing 25:19 26:21,25 27:12 28:10,17,20 29:23 30:2 38:4,19,20 53:17

categories 18:17

categorized 40:18

CDTFA 6:3,7,9 7:13 8:10 9:18,25 14:11,12,14 15:4, 6 16:2,11 17:3 18:12 19:19 20:1, 8,22 21:14 35:17 39:8,10 58:22,24 60:3

CDTFA's 6:15 8:3 35:20

cents 48:21 49:2

Century 22:22

change 15:13,17 23:22

changed 23:7 36:17

check 14:18 25:19 26:21,25 27:11 28:9,17,20 29:22,23,24 30:2 32:1 33:3,5,22 36:20,22 38:4,19, 20 53:17

check-stands 26:19

checked 33:1

checks 25:14,20 26:2,5 27:5,13 28:10,14,18,23 29:2,18,25 31:25 33:2 37:5,14,19 38:4,15,16,18,24

Chief 6:6

Christopher 3:11 6:8

cigarette 14:20 cigarettes 39:21

Citrus 12:22

claim 43:2 51:17 52:1,2,11 53:12 55:9

claimed 7:25 40:3,7 54:10

claiming 53:16

claims 50:25 51:21,23 56:8

clarified 7:24 cleaned 30:19

clear 36:6 37:5,6 38:3

cleared 12:23

client 37:12

close 15:22 27:9 60:9

closed 15:17 60:15 61:9

closes 19:12

closing 4:19 58:11,16 60:3

co-panelist 35:22

cold 39:23

collaborative

56:17

collected 40:19, 22 43:5 45:20

collects 19:13

color-coded 51:13

combined 46:13 49:24

commencing 2:17

commercial 22:23

communicate 25:7

communication 24:8

compared 15:6 16:1 42:9,16,22 43:2,8,22 46:17, 21 48:17,23 50:4 55:24 57:10

compiled 55:8

complete 17:3 41:11,13 45:8,10

completed 44:14

computer 37:24

conceded 7:21 21:14

concession 17:19 59:8

conclude 60:7

concluded 61:12

concludes 58:2

concluding 2:17

conclusions 58:23

condition 53:20

conduct 5:17

conducted 18:13 44:11 59:14

conference 6:16 7:21 8:8 19:1

Index: confirm..disclosed

confirm 60:8 59:6,15 53:21 54:6,24 D 55:2 57:6 58:23 considered 9:25 denied 58:1 59:1,3,5,7 17:21 53:24 Deorsene 2:19 dad 10:13,17 depressed 10:5 consisting 51:16 12:15 22:11 31:2, Department 3:9 22:13 23:13 18 36:19 37:9,24 consumption 6:5 14:17 39:25 depression 23:5. 38:25 6.19 40:11,12,13,14,25 9,21 dad's 33:19 41:16,19,21 42:1, Continue 52:25 derived 8:5 3,4,9,16,22 43:2, **daily** 9:17 14:19 control 27:9 8,12,17,22 44:11, describe 21:21 18:4 25:10 40:17, 14,21 45:2,12,18, convened 5:9 22:3 26:15 21 41:2 51:25 25 46:4,5,13,21 detailed 21:1 cooperate 38:2 data 16:22 51:17 47:3,8,12,16,21 48:2,4,6,9,11,17, 55:12 correct 8:6 30:10 database 48:3 23 49:6,11,19,24 determination 34:17 36:7 38:8 50:4,7,19,21 51:1 date 5:8 29:8 51:1 61:1,5 6:18 56:6,21 52:4,7,10 53:1,14, 52:18 57:18,21 57:16,18 20,22 54:11,15, corrected 60:25 60:24 61:6 17,22 55:7,10,17, determine 34:15 **correctly** 7:13,14, day 10:17,18 20,24 56:3,5,16, 42:17 43:25 18 11:19 12:21 20,25 57:4,7,15, 45:14,22 46:1,15, 15:15,18 19:12 19 58:1 Counsel 6:8 18 47:19 48:15,21 21:20 23:4,8,19 49:1.8 50:1 51:1 department's country 36:7,11 24:23 25:1 27:22, 52:5 54:23 4:5,6 7:1,3 44:2 37:7,9 25 30:19 37:18 53:3 55:12 determined 48:7 **couple** 15:9 32:15 40:13,25 41:21 depleted 30:21 day-to-day 24:12 46:4 47:22 48:7, **cover** 32:1 51:16 deposit 21:6 11 49:19 50:6,19, covered 37:19 26:25 28:8,18 21 53:14 55:21 days 37:17,20 **CPA** 13:14 29:25 30:2 31:5 56:16 48:20 49:1 52:8, 33:4,6,9,21 34:4 14 57:21 60:19 determining credit 16:16 18:24 37:16,19 38:16,18 53:25 19:10,14 21:6 **debit** 19:9 53:5 55:14 23:16 24:22 diesel 39:19 40:8 debt 29:11 34:24 deposited 26:17 27:22,23 29:10 43:23,24 47:24 38:5 31:17 32:2,24 December 10:17 48:12,24 49:4,7,9, 33:5,7,8,10,14,18, 40:1 52:8 depositing 53:10 12,15,20,25 19,20,25 34:16, decide 5:20 60:17 deposits 9:22 difference 42:12, 22,25 36:19 38:13 17:20,22,23 18:7, 24 43:5 41:1 42:18 45:17 decision 5:16 8,11,15,16,22 53:18 55:3 18:9 60:18 differences 44:7 19:2,6,7,8,15,21, **CROSS** 4:15 declaration 24 20:4,19 21:10, differential 51:20 58:20 15 25:3 27:21,25 48:15,21 49:1,8 **cured** 59:19 32:3,24 33:12,14, deducted 45:18 direct 4:15 9:21 current 26:1 16 34:6,15,16 17:25 18:2 22:1 deductions 40:4 36:5,6 37:5,17 customer 19:9 41:19 41:22 42:18,23 26:15 38:5 deli 39:23 44:7,13 45:7,14, direction 24:16 customer's demonstrate 16 46:9 47:6 directly 53:9 19:14 53:12 58:22 50:15 51:6,11,14, 17,18,25 52:4,11 disclosed 44:17 customers 26:19 demonstrates

57:9 Eldridge 13:17, examination 33:1 22:1 57:9 19,20 discount 28:2 F examined 9:4 39:4.5 electronically 18:21 **discuss** 13:22 exceeded 50:11 fact 32:4,9 53:1 15:25 employees **Excel** 51:15,25 15:16,17 24:13 factors 46:1 discussed 6:16 55:4,8 27:2 49:21 58:24 **excess** 17:20 end 15:18 19:12 failed 41:10.13 discussing 12:8 25:1,12 26:24 exchanged 6:17 51:1 53:12 54:5 dispute 8:4 37:18 38:14 56:2 56:23 57:2 exchanging ends 26:17 disputes 50:25 28:14 fair 56:6 divorce 10:7.15 **Energy** 42:3 48:4, **excise** 45:21 fake 27:5 49:16,22 doctors 12:24 false 10:9 Energy's 46:6 exclude 12:6 documentary **family** 10:15,24 57:8 53:13,19 54:5 excluding 42:18 24:6 27:4 35:5,12 56:17 58:19 59:23 engaged 14:4 44:23 **Fargo** 37:13 enrolled 13:7 **Excuse** 52:12 documentation father 10:19 21:5, 14:12 57:23 ensure 5:19 exemptions 23 30:21 56:9,10 documented 16:15 59:14 entire 12:18 59:19 11:11 exhibit 4:7 6:17, February 52:8 entitled 51:3 documents 6:17, 20,21 7:9 8:1,6 fed 19:5 20:3 23 10:2 13:1 16:6 **entity** 17:15 14:15 18:19 40:5, 55:13 57:2 59:19, 9,24 41:9 42:14, federal 56:13 entries 57:3 20 20,25 43:6,14,19, **FEE** 3:9 **equal** 5:16 21 44:5,16,19,25 dollar 21:8 26:8 45:6,24 46:3,7,11, feed 19:3 20:2 35:8,9,12 **Equalization** 20 47:10,25 48:4, 9:19 13:6 14:3,4 field 16:13 17:1 dotted 32:5 13,22 49:2,10,17, 18:13 19:20 32:17 45:9 23 50:3,13,17,18, double 15:16 24 51:20 54:1 error 46:24 57:12, **file** 56:12 55:1,6,9,11,22 downloaded 13 filed 10:7,10,15 56:4,19 23:20 errors 58:22 13:5,8,9 20:12 Exhibit's 6:15 drawing 21:6 established 8:14 **filing** 17:17 exhibits 4:5,6 drink 23:1 establishes fill 20:15 25:12 6:13,24 7:1,3,14, due 31:7 41:17 59:23 27:12 28:11 29:7 18 47:2,7 51:12, 46:8 50:14 51:22 52:3 53:15, 19 55:16 56:11 estimated 8:18 18 **duly** 9:3 47:13 54:18 existed 13:2.21 filled 20:16 51:23, evidence 6:22 expect 56:3 24 Ε 7:2,4,10 20:14,17 experience 32:7 53:13,19 57:13,23 filling 29:24 58:19,20 59:4 34:9 53:3 earlier 45:12 final 9:8 10:24 50:14 54:22 explain 16:22 evidences 51:11 21:8 57:16 40:10 44:6 **easier** 34:23 exact 52:17 **finality** 8:17 40:15 extra 25:24 30:2 57:15,19

Finally 57:15 47:18,24 49:13 guys 23:25 35:5 **heavy** 37:20 38:20 find 11:18 52:10 **gap** 24:8 Н Heights 12:22 53:2 gas 11:10 15:14 finding 51:4 24:24 27:14,19, **held** 5:7 hand 27:11,15 20,22 28:3,16,18 findings 57:24 hell 35:2 31:7,25 39:17 handing 24:12 finds 47:12 54:17 56:4 helpful 13:14 handle 13:11 27:3 56:5 gasoline 39:19 herring 20:3 handled 24:9 fine 35:5 52:19 40:8 43:23,24 **Hey** 33:3 27:3 47:24 48:6,12,19 flow 41:3 44:10 49:4,7,9,12,13,19, **high** 33:25 44:1,4 handling 24:14 25 57:7 focus 18:14 57:13 hands 11:19 follow-up 29:16 qave 10:23 11:4 higher 28:4 54:10 hands-on 22:11 15:5 23:5 food 39:23 history 13:5 happened 8:8 General 14:17 hit 37:22 forge 15:13 10:12 11:8 22:3 41:7,23 42:10,17 24:17 25:6 30:19 44:13 45:13 46:9 form 58:20 hold 37:14 39:9 31:21 33:11 34:13 50:16 53:22 54:2, home 36:25 forward 17:4 37:15 23 57:6 35:20 honestly 10:16 happening 21:12 generated 40:17 **found** 11:2 16:23 Honor 7:19 8:9 happiness 22:21 gentleman 24:21 31:19 10:4 36:8 58:18 hard 11:17 34:20 give 10:10,25 Fourth 43:8 60:23 61:10 37:22 23:23 35:21 38:9 friend 23:5 hospitalized 39:4 52:17,19 Hayer 2:6 3:7 4:16 12:22 **fuel** 16:16 17:19 5:6,25 6:12 9:1,6, **giving** 38:15 hot 39:23 39:19 40:25 41:14 12 10:2,4 12:10, **good** 17:10 42:1,2 43:4,8,13, 14,19,21 13:17, hours 8:19 23:2 16,18,20 44:8 19,22 14:2,8,25 Google 23:4 45:22 46:1,5,13 hurt 35:13 15:8,11,24 16:3,8 government 47:15,18,19,21,22 17:13,15 20:15 31:20 35:10,13 48:3 50:4,6,11,19 21:4,19,21 36:8, ı 56:14 55:21,24,25 56:1 13 37:8,11 38:9, 59:1 12 59:12,15 60:20 grades 49:7 identify 53:23 61:10 fuel-sales 14:20 **Great** 8:12 illogical 53:4 **Hayer's** 21:5 full 57:17,20 groceries 26:19 58:21 59:5 implicated 10:8 fund 29:1 grocery 24:24 **HAYES** 16:10 imposed 56:20 funds 28:15 32:2. 26:4 27:14 28:2, 57:15 he'll 31:8 16,18 37:21 38:14 24 33:4,15 37:23 39:3 inadequate 56:22 Headquarters 6:6 **include** 18:22 gross 40:18,21 G 20:18 39:20 45:16 heard 5:10 24:3, Gurdwara 23:25 21 60:25 **gallon** 43:25 44:3, included 20:5 Guru 23:12 9 47:19 48:22 45:15 54:24 hearing 2:19 5:9, 49:2 guy 22:11 35:7 10,15,18 6:5 8:18 includes 9:16 10:24 14:3 21:20 gallons 43:24 40:21 59:5 guy's 34:9 60:8,20,21 61:5

Index: including..Luoma

including 18:24 45:4,22 49:14,15 50:22 income 17:21 18:11 56:13 incomplete 42:7, 15 56:22 incorrectly 41:3 increase 47:5,9 54:13,15 **India** 9:12 10:6,8, 20 12:12,13,15,24 21:22 22:10 23:24 29:13 35:11 36:25 59:15 indirect 9:23 17:24 40:12 41:19 individual 13:8 **Inez** 2:19 information 5:20 14:22,24 16:13,24 41:11 42:3 44:13 45:7,10,14 46:6 47:5 50:7,12 54:23 56:18 57:6 58:22 initial 10:3 initialed 38:25

inserted 38:7

inside 11:10 24:25 27:19,23 40:23 41:3

interrupt 52:12

investigation 44:12

invoice 25:14

invoices 14:18,21 15:1 41:14

involved 11:4

IRS 13:8

issue 10:11 15:11 20:1.11 24:11 25:7 27:7 58:25

59:1,2

issue's 20:22

issues 8:12 13:11 14:6 17:18 18:15 58:24

items 15:6 18:22

J

J-N 4:5 7:1 January 40:1 52:9

Jason 3:11 6:6

iournal 41:15

journals 41:12

Judge 5:5,12,13, 17,18 6:2,10 7:2, 5,6,11,12,16,20 8:10,11,12 9:3,7 10:1 12:7,11,17, 20,25 13:3 14:10 15:3,22,25 16:9, 11 17:2 19:2 22:8 35:16.19.23.24.25 36:1,2,9 37:3,10 38:3,11 39:6,15 52:12,16,19,22,25 58:5,6,7,8,9,10 60:2,6,8,10,11,12, 13 61:1

judgement 10:24

Judges 5:11,15 60:17

July 46:8 50:15

June 7:23

K

keeping 38:19

Keith 3:3 5:11 kids 10:15 24:6

kind 22:6 30:15 32:18,21 35:13

knew 10:14

knowledge 27:7

L

lack 41:17 Lakeside 17:14

19:16 21:3 lapse 25:7

large 21:8

late 13:9 32:21

lavish 29:14

Law 5:11,12 7:2,5, 10 9:3

lay 21:7

Le 3:5 5:13 35:25 36:1 58:8,9 60:12

lead 3:3 5:12,17

leaving 22:17 36:11

Ledger 14:17 41:7,24 42:10,17 44:13 45:13 46:9 50:16 53:22 54:2, 23 57:6

Lee 60:11

left 10:4,15 22:15, 19.23 23:22 24:6. 7,12,15 30:18,19 33:17 34:24 36:16

level 28:2

liability 36:7 57:3, 20

license 56:14

life 29:14

limited 57:1

liquor 23:1 39:20 56:14

list 16:1

listed 15:7

lived 23:24

living 29:14 35:11

load 31:7

loans 42:18 45:17 53:18 55:2,4

long 3:3 5:5,11 6:2,10 7:6,12,16, 20 8:10,12 9:7 10:1 12:7,11,17, 20,25 13:3 14:10 15:3,22,25 16:6,9, 11 17:2 19:2 30:25 35:16,19,25 36:2,9 37:3,10 38:3,11 39:6 52:12,16,19,22,25 58:5,8,10 60:2,6, 11,13 61:1

looked 11:6 25:10

losing 23:12,15 43:15

loss 43:9,11 53:23 54:3

lot 11:23 24:2 25:18 27:5 28:4 32:11 33:11,25 34:24

Lottery 18:23 20:24 39:24

Lotto 11:25 12:5 41:6 53:23 54:3

low 31:10 44:1,3,8 45:3

lower 28:3 49:5 56:2

Luoma 3:7 4:11, 21 5:24 7:17,19 8:7,9 9:10,11 11:3 12:25 13:2,4,18, 20 15:10 17:5,10, 12 22:2 35:15 58:13.18 60:23 61:11

Index: machine..nontaxable

matters 6:11 54:24 55:10,21,25 49:6,9,12 M 56:1 57:10,11 Mcdevitt 13:6,15 **months** 9:13 59:25 10:12 12:19.20 means 43:15 machine 11:25 mine 23:5 52:14,20,24,25 12:2 19:4,5,10 medication 23:6 mini- 44:22 45:18 **morning** 17:10 20:2 26:18,20,22 meditation 23:19 27:12,16 28:7,12, mini-mart 39:17, move 17:4 35:20 21 29:24 38:18 meet 5:15 60:17 39:8 58:11 20,22 44:15,19,24 51:23 52:3 53:7,9 45:20 46:14 multiple 19:10 member 14:4 machines 29:21 minimize 17:18 51:15 members 3:5 53:15,18 multiples 19:11 **minimum** 19:11 5:13 27:4 made 17:19 21:15 multiplied 49:11 30:20 33:14 34:6 mention 25:16 **minutes** 17:5 39:10 58:13 43:16 mentioned 37:3 Ν miscellaneous make 7:12 12:4,5 merchandise 39:22 14:11 21:5 22:9 39:22 44:22 32:3.24 33:4.16 Nalan 3:10 6:4 miscommunicati merchandiser 36:6 37:16,18,19, on 25:6 **names** 5:22 27:20 22 52:13 53:6 57:17 60:3 **missing** 46:25 Natasha 3:5 5:13 Merchandising making 23:15,17 31:1 mistakes 32:12 **nature** 18:11 29:12 32:12 37:17 merchandize misunderstandin NCR 11:11 41:14 manager 11:8 **g** 59:18 necessitate 6:19 22:15 27:4 merchant 19:16, **Mm-hmm** 38:11 needed 5:20 21,22 20:5,19 managers 24:13 moment 39:9 22:21 24:10 33:18 24:23 27:20 37:12 61:4 35:1 merchants 24:23 Manjinder 4:16 **Monday** 37:18 **negative** 21:4,11 5:25 9:1 21:5 mess 35:1 43:12 Monday's 25:2 manner 19:7 met 13:21 negligence 40:15 59:13 money 7:25 8:2,3 56:20 57:14 59:24 method 9:21.23 12:2,3,4,5,6 15:21 manufactures 17:24,25 18:2 18:22 19:13 negligent 8:16 14:20 50:6,11 20:21,23 23:15, net 41:22 44:2 **Market** 17:14 16,23 26:21,25 methods 42:7 45:14 47:6 54:23 19:17 21:3 27:1,7,12,16,17 mid-grade 48:19 29:12,23 30:2,20, **newly** 47:4 **markup** 43:20 24 31:5,8 33:2 44:24 45:3 59:2 Mike 3:5 5:13 **night** 23:1 34:1,25 37:14 markups 43:13 **million** 16:14 38:6 39:2,24 41:6 non-attorney 44:8 56:1 18:10 20:7,8,18, 43:15 45:21 53:17 13:7 25 21:15 25:17 59:9 marriage 10:22 non-fuel 45:4 26:8 30:14 40:3,5 monies 11:23 42:11,12,19,23,24 mart 44:23 45:18 nonresponsive 15:20 43:10,11,23 45:5, 13:15 match 25:11,14 15,19,23 46:2,14, month 9:15 23:7 nontaxable 8:2,3 matched 52:11 15,16,18,19,22,23 25:12 38:23 16:20 18:15 28:13 47:16,23 49:15, matter 2:5 5:7 monthly 14:18 38:7 40:22 41:4,5 16,20,21,25 50:1, 13:5 19:5 18:4 40:20 48:12 44:10,18 51:18 2,22 51:6,10

i10 Index: normal..POS

59:7 opportunity 23:23 24:4 35:4 **perform** 14:13 35:22 37:2 15:4 **normal** 34:10 Parker 3:11 6:6 opposed 9:22 **period** 9:13,14,15, **Nos** 4:7 7:9 17:24 16 19:19 20:8 part 6:23 11:3 notes 52:13 36:7 40:1,2,14 order 14:11 15:21 21:19 22:7 26:22 41:13,15,22 42:1, notice 25:17 20:23 41:6 45:21 28:12 13,20,25 43:18 57:21 44:4,25 45:5,11 ordered 56:25 partially 4:67:4 noticed 29:9 46:2,16,20 47:1,5, orders 7:25 8:2.4 participants 5:16 25 48:12 49:17,22 31:16 12:6 18:23 20:21 participate 5:19 50:2,5,23 51:2,11, November 2:18 26:22 27:1,7,12 19 52:8 53:25 5:1,8 60:25 61:7 29:23 30:20 39:24 parties 5:21 25:7 54:8,13,14,19 59:9 55:5,22 56:2,7 **number** 5:6 17:18 partly 26:9 original 13:5 57:2 57:1,12 22:22 25:11 43:24 partner 56:18 47:18 49:13 52:20 periods 16:21 originally 13:9 **partners** 17:13,15 53:2 **numbers** 15:19 18:18 16:4 21:9 33:24 partnership 56:9, permission Orville 39:17 12,15,16,19 10:10,11 31:20 OTA 2:6 5:6 6:16 0 path 22:14,21 permit 31:2 56:15 14:9 17:18 18:21 23:22 20:13.17 **person** 34:11 objection 6:25 pay 33:10,20,22 **OTA's** 14:10 7:8 personal 18:24 34:5,25 38:21 other's 33:20 19:23 29:7 30:10 39:2 45:16 54:25 obtain 56:14,15 32:2,24 33:15 over-claim 16:15 paychecks 26:5 obtained 47:21 34:1,16 53:17 48:2 owner 11:17 **paying** 17:14 personally 12:15 28:3,4 31:17 occurred 36:5,12 owns 56:9,10 petition 13:5,9 payments 57:17 October 52:9 **phone** 36:24 37:1 60:14,24 Ρ pays 27:18 57:20 **pick** 13:10 **odd** 9:11 peace 22:25 35:1, **p.m.** 60:21 pilgrimage 59:15 offer 33:9.21 34:4 Pages 6:20 40:5, planning 10:16 penalized 32:22 offered 59:21 9,24 41:9 42:14 played 34:11 penalizing 32:18 Office 2:1 5:7 44:16.25 45:6 46:11 47:11 48:5, **PNO** 14:17 penalty 8:17 online 36:19 13 50:18 54:1 40:15 56:20 37:25 pocket 27:8 55:22 57:16,20 59:12,24 **opened** 30:23 point 16:24 18:8 paid 16:17 40:23, people 24:2 26:3, 31:3 25 point-of-sales 4 27:5 32:11 **opening** 5:5 8:19 14:19 18:4 panel 3:3,5 5:10, peoples 32:15 9:9 14.18 17:11 26:14 **popped** 23:10 **percent** 28:3,5 55:20 58:3 operated 39:16 portion 11:5 34:2 43:20 44:1. Operation 6:7 paper 29:13 18,24 46:24 56:2, **POS** 11:10,11 10 57:13 operations 24:12 papers 47:4 54:12 16:9,19 40:17,20 percentage 59:2 41:2,4,11 45:7,10 opinion 60:18 parents 22:16

position 12:7 proceedings 49:13 ready 17:6 39:11 16:24 19:4 2:15 61:12 58:14 60:7,9 purchases 40:8 real 19:5,25 20:16 practices 53:13 process 6:18 43:10 44:22 55:25 17:17 18:20 21:2. 25:7 precious 23:13 purported 20:5 22 22:5 26:14 59:10 realized 22:13 preferred 22:8 30:25 36:4,10 23:21 purposes 9:20,21 processes 19:14 prehearing 6:16 28:24 30:9 56:23 reason 33:24 44:6 7:21 8:8 19:1 processor 19:13, put 23:16 28:14 reasonable 47:14 preliminary 6:11 22 20:5,19 54:19 56:6 57:22 30:3 produce 5:16 59:16,24 **premium** 48:19 putting 30:24 prepaid 40:7 produced 56:6 34:1 reasonableness 43:2,3 44:7 41:25 42:5 47:15 profit 43:9,11 Q prepare 41:8 53:23 54:2 reasons 23:18 33:12 44:9 57:25 prepared 39:23 propane 39:21 quarter 46:6 50:8, 40:23 41:6 44:23 receipt 48:17 40:20 51:15 9,21 45:4 receipts 15:14 presentation 4:9 quarterly 42:2 property 10:22 17:22 48:23 8:20 9:9 16:22 50:5 17:8 35:20 39:9. 19:23 30:10 **received** 4:5.6.7 13 40:10 58:2 question 15:4 proprietor 39:16 7:1,4,10 14:17 19:2,3,5,25 20:11, presented 59:22 38:4 provide 16:25 16 24:17 29:16 recognize 34:20 19:20 20:13 pretty 10:14 24:15 questions 5:18 36:16 37:16,17 41:10,13 45:9 recommendation 9:8 21:18 26:12 53:19 56:17 57:1, 18:10 previous 31:9 35:15.17.21.22. 2 40:16 23,25 36:1,3 39:7 recommended provided 18:20 58:3,6,7,8,9 13:10 40:14 **price** 43:25 44:3 38:5 45:13 46:25 47:19 48:8,11,15, quick 33:4 47:4 51:10,15,20, record 5:5.21 21 49:1.8 25 55:4 57:22 6:24 21:19 60:15 prices 44:9 47:21 61:3,5,9 R providing 14:23 48:3,9,10,16,17, 16:21 56:19 recorded 16:19, 18,20,24,25 49:5, raised 19:2 20:1, 20 41:2,3,5 42:9 psychologist 6,7,9,12 57:7,8 11 58:24 43:8,12,18,22 23:6 44:22,24 45:3,18, **pride** 35:14 **Ralston** 3:5 5:13 published 48:3, 20 46:14 55:25 35:23,24 58:6,7 primarily 21:15 19,25 49:5 57:8 60:8,10 recording 44:9 **printed** 15:15 **pull** 31:7 46:8 50:15 range 44:3 56:3 printouts 15:2 **pulls** 19:10 records 9:19,20 ranging 44:1 34:19 41:11,17 prior 19:19 32:6 pump 16:17 41:1 rate 28:2 33:6,9, 42:5,7 56:21 57:1 36:10 51:21 57:18 20 46:24 57:12,13 pumps 27:23 RECROSS 4:15 problem 32:19 ratio 44:22 purchase 14:18, red 20:3 procedure 36:14, 21 41:14 44:14, re-audit 14:11,13 17 37:11 Redetermination 17,21 17:3 57:21 procedures 13:8 purchased 32:6 read 11:22 14:25 43:24 47:18,23

Index: REDIRECT..September

REDIRECT 4:15 32:9 40:12 56:13, resulting 40:4 6,8,11,12,17,18, 21 42:1,2,6,7,10, reduced 49:6 results 50:25 11,23 43:3,9,16, reported 2:19 reduction 8:14 resume 60:21 18,20,23 44:7,10, 17:20 28:24 19:20 59:10,25 12,23 45:4,8,10, 29:19,21 30:9,11 retail 48:2 19,21,22,23,25 refer 48:9 31:13 40:2,4,11 return 56:13 46:1,5,14,15,16, 41:17 42:6,11,23 refill 26:21 27:15 17,18,19,22,23 43:4 44:8 46:17, returned 25:14 47:9,16,19,20 22 57:3,11 reflected 7:22 48:17,23 49:14, returns 41:8 45:8 8:1.2 41:22 42:10 15,20,21,25 50:1, Reporter 2:19 43:9,11 review 14:12 2,5,6,10,11,20,22 reports 9:17 55:12 51:2,7,18 53:23, regard 59:12 11:10,11 14:19 25 54:3,4,14,16 reviewed 16:12 region 22:23 15:14 16:4,7,9 42:4 55:7 55:21,24 56:22,24 25:10 29:10 40:17 57:3,5,10,11 59:7, register 9:16 41:2,8 45:8 revised 18:9,19 11,17 60:1 15:17 18:3 29:4, represent 5:22,25 17 39:4 41:12 Richard 13:19,20 Samarawickrem 9:20 14:4 17:13, 45:15 53:5,15 a 3:10 4:12 6:4 ring 12:1 14 32:20 7:15 8:11 14:15 registers 11:10 ringing 27:1,2 representation 16:12 35:18 39:15 12:1 27:1 51:24 53:9 54:25 13:12 road 51:16 52:15,17,21,24 53:1 60:5 representative regular 48:18 run 22:11 25:22 6:5 13:7 14:7 **sample** 13:24 35:5 rehab 10:20 40:16 51:15 savvy 37:24 12:15,23 rung 28:23 representatives rehired 14:8 say's 23:12 running 24:15 21:7 30:22 35:6 Scratcher 11:25 reimbursement represented 14:2 15:20 54:4 45:19,23 49:14,16 request 9:11,24 S Scratchers 12:5 rejected 40:11 10:10 20:13 21:20 18:23 20:24 51:7 53:21 55:17 requesting 51:5, Sacramento 2:16 54:11 relate 21:22 5:1,9 11:13 7,8 54:20 screwed 34:25 relating 53:4,21 requests 58:1 **sale** 18:3 38:7,10 searched 23:4,8 55:18 **sales** 7:22 8:2,3,5, resolve 10:11 reliable 41:17 Segregation 15 11:12,24 12:3 resolved 20:22 44:14,17 13:11 14:18 15:1, relief 8:16 13:25 12 16:3,4,7,14,16, respect 6:11 12:8 **seller's** 56:15 religion 23:10 18 17:14,19 36:4,9 37:4 38:3 **selling** 43:25 44:9 19:22,23 20:6,9, remain 6:23 respective 49:8 48:8,11,16,17,18, 10,14,20,23 21:17 24 49:4,5,6,9,12 remaining 6:24 24:22,24,25 25:5 Respondent 3:9 57:7,8 26:23 27:14,17, remarks 60:4 response 20:2,12 19,20 28:11,12, send 33:9 60:18 remember 34:3 16,23,24 29:19, result 50:8 57:4 separate 26:18, 21,24 30:6,9,12 59:10 reopen 61:3 20 27:24 28:6 31:2 32:8 38:14, resulted 55:13 54:3 reopened 61:5 17 39:20 40:3,4,8, 57:12 11,13,17,18,21, September 12:14 report 14:16 22,23,25 41:3,4,5, 52:10 15:18 16:8,17,19

set 26:20 **start** 8:21 15:10 **stubs** 14:18 Т 21:20 30:24 38:2 **shift** 15:15,16 stuff 10:22 23:3, **started** 22:6 23:6, 20 25:8 26:20 **show** 15:9 18:3 taking 11:3 24:5 19 25:8 36:21,23 37:25 24:10 26:1 29:9 31:17 42:7 51:25 **starting** 5:22 18:8 subject 19:23 **talked** 13:13 20:20 **showed** 25:11 state 2:2,20 3:9 36:18,24 **submit** 13:1 26:2 5:21 49:16 showing 48:18,24 **talking** 19:6,7 **stated** 8:4 16:2 submitted 7:6 **side** 27:14 tangible 19:22 10:2 21:8 58:18, 17:12 45:12 50:14 30:9 sides 17:23 54:22 19 59:4 60:14 61:7 tapes 9:16 18:3 significantly statement 11:18 41:12 54:10 14:17 20:17 22:9 subsequently 43:9 47:4 51:13 46:25 tax 2:1 3:9 5:7,14 **Sikh** 23:10 52:5 6:8 13:11 17:14 successful 22:25 similar 36:14 53:3 19:24 20:20 28:24 statements 11:17 suddenly 24:5 30:9 31:2 40:8,13, Singh 5:25 9:1 14:18 27:21 18,22 41:8 43:3 34:21,22 41:23 summaries 9:17 **single** 25:14 44:7 45:8,19,21, 42:15,17 43:12 14:20,21 18:4,5 23 49:14,15,21,22 45:10,13 47:1 **Sir** 14:25 15:22 40:21 56:13,22 57:3 53:23 54:3,7,23 summarize 7:13, site 23:11 28:17 55:8,13 taxable 7:22 8:5, 14,17 sleep 22:25 23:2 15 16:14 39:20,22 statewide 48:20, summary 41:7 40:4,11,18,22 25 49:5 sold 39:19,23,24 51:16 41:5,17,18,21 station 39:17 56:4 sole 39:16 42:2,6,7,10,11 supplemental 44:18,21,22,24 stations 48:7 18:9 20:12 source 28:13 30:8 45:4 46:13,14,15, stayed 10:6 support 25:19,25 special 13:8 17,18,19,22,23 12:17,22 23:8 26:10 51:10,17 47:9 50:4,9,19,22 Specifically 36:16 52:1 53:20 54:5,6 51:2,14 53:25 56:25 55:4 56:18 57:3, 54:13,16 56:24 staying 10:20 23 57:5,10,11 59:7, specifics 26:13 36:25 25 supporting 16:6 spend 22:20 stead 59:14 55:13 taxes 11:1 49:16 spiritual 22:14,21 step 14:5 24:18 supposed 32:8 taxpayer 14:7 35:7 steps 53:10 34:8 53:5 **sport** 35:9 sticks 19:9 surveyed 48:6 taxpayer's 8:19 spot-test 52:14 stood 59:14 **swap** 28:10,17 Taxpayers 53:8 spot-tested 52:7 29:25 38:17 **store** 10:23,25 telling 24:12 spreadsheet swapped 28:22 11:5 16:18 22:11 temple 23:24 24:1 18:18,20 40:20,21 29:1,17 23:15 24:25 26:3, 55:8 4,16,20 27:19 ten 58:13 swear 8:22 28:16 31:18 34:5 spreadsheets Test 44:15,17 39:2,3 40:23 41:1 switch 27:13 28:9 14:19 44:12 51:16 testified 9:4 57:6 **Street** 2:16 sworn 9:3 58:21 59:13 stage 32:21 34:21 **stroke** 10:17 system 41:4

Index: testify..work

testify 20:15 45:18 47:23 50:1 understanding **wanted** 10:23 36:18 52:20 8:7 15:25 21:11 22:15,25 35:4 testimonial 58:19 understands **totally** 28:7 33:13 36:20 37:1 26:14 59:23 trace 52:4 warranted 8:15, testimony 8:20 understated 42:8 transaction 30:5 36:4,9 58:21 50:20 transactions Wednesday 2:18 understatement thing 23:11 32:5 5:1 60:14 61:7 33:25 53:4 59:16 things 7:13 15:9 **TRANSCRIPT** Wednesdays undo 6:19 16:1 24:13 2:15 37:21 thousand 47:24 unleaded 48:18 week 23:20 48:7.8 transfer 31:6,8, unnecessary ticket 10:19 23,25 weekends 25:2 53:10 tickets 39:24 transfers 18:23, weekly 48:2,3,9, 24 20:24 21:2,16 unreported 7:22 tied 21:9 10,16,20,25 57:8 30:13,14,15,17 8:5,15 16:14 **Till** 35:9 31:22 33:8 36:5 weighted 44:3 17:21 18:11 20:6, 42:18,19 45:17 9,14 21:16 25:5 46:1 48:11,16 time 5:8 6:19 9:18 49:21 51:9 54:21 55:3,5, 40:13 46:19,21 10:10 11:8,22 18 59:9 50:22 53:25 57:9 welfare 26:5 12:18 14:11 17:1 59:10 travel 10:9 18:12 22:11 25:25 Wells 37:12 unusual 50:7 26:1,2,7 28:25 treated 21:16 whack 33:13 33:23 34:3,7 updated 47:3 treating 37:13 35:15,24 36:1 54:12 whatever's 28:1 37:6,8 39:8 43:15 trend 33:17 47:8 58:11 60:7 white 11:16 ٧ Tuesday 37:22 who'll 25:13 **timing** 21:10 **type** 18:15 titled 6:17 wife 10:7,14 24:4 vendors 14:21 types 40:3 43:4 45:16 47:22 30:18 39:1 today 6:12 9:12 55:1 13:1,23 14:23 typically 53:8 wine 39:20 15:6,20 25:25 verification 42:6 typing 52:23 wise 36:17 29:13 31:7 35:9 verified 41:18 51:21 53:16 wishing 29:12 58:21,25 59:21 U verify 11:7 41:25 withdraw 26:16 60:16,19 42:5 47:15 52:2 28:9 29:6,7 **U.S.** 10:4 22:22 today's 5:8,10 withdrawal 51:17 35:8 42:3 46:5 38:10 60:20 W 53:6 48:3 **Todd** 3:7 5:24 withdrawals ultimately 21:13 waheguru.com. 17:12 52:6,7,11 53:2 23:11 unable 24:16 44:6 told 21:23 22:4, withdrawing 15,16 36:11 wait 22:8 unaware 13:12 53:11 waive 57:19 ton 38:24 unbranded 39:17 withdrawn 52:3 wake 23:2 top 28:5 33:6 understand 6:11 withdraws 51:22 12:7 15:3 24:1 **walked** 22:20 total 8:5 15:21 word 24:1 25:22 30:8 34:11 23:22 24:6 29:14 20:7,24 40:3 35:3 42:17,23 43:24 work 16:13 17:1

Index: worked..zeros

45:9 worked 35:11 working 47:3 54:12 worksheet 51:25 52:5 55:4 Worldpay 27:18 31:1 **worth** 54:6 write 10:25 32:17 33:22 written 5:16 60:18 wrong 25:6 37:13 60:25 wrote 33:1 X **X-TAX** 46:1 49:19 Υ year 11:2 years 22:20 43:13,16 yesterday 13:21 Ζ **zeros** 21:9