BEFORE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)
)
A-1 PORTABLES, INC.,)
) OTA NO. 19095258
)
APPELLANT.)
)

CERTIFIED COPY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Cerritos, California

Tuesday, November 8, 2022

Reported by:
SHELBY K. MAASKE
HEARING REPORTER
Job No. 39131 OTA B

1	BEFORE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,
7	A-1 PORTABLES, INC.,
8) OTA NO. 19095258)
9	APPELLANT.))
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Proceedings, taken at
15	12900 Park Plaza Drive, Cerritos, California,
16	Suite 300, beginning at 11:53 a.m. and ending
17	at 1:23 p.m. on Tuesday, November 8, 2022,
18	reported by Shelby K. Maaske, Hearing Reporter.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	Hon. Andrew Kwee
4		
5	Panel Members:	Hon. Joshua Aldrich Hon. Keith Long
6		
7	For the Appellant:	Richard Stack,
8		Joseph Wilson
9		
10	For the Respondent:	Sunny Paley, Tax Counsel
11		Stephen Smith,
12		Tax Counsel
13		Jason Parker, Hearing Representative
14		nearing Representative
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	1	

1	INDEX
2	
3	
4 5 6 7	WITNESSES PAGE Darlene Bishop 21
9	
10	EXHIBITS
11 12 13	(Appellant's Exhibits were received at pages 8 and 9.) (CDTFA's Exhibits were received at page 7.)
14 15 16	
17	CLOSING STATEMENTS
18	Page
19	By Mr. Stack 61
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	

Cerritos, California; Tuesday, November 8, 2022 11:53 a.m.

2.4

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: We are opening the record in the appeal of A-1 Portables. This matter is being heard before the Office of Tax Appeals, and OTA Case No. 19095258. Today's date is Tuesday, November 8, 2022, and the time is approximately 11:53 a.m. This hearing is being conducted in Cerritos, California, and it is also being live streamed on OTA's public YouTube channel.

Today's hearing is being heard by a panel of three administrative law judges. To my right is Judge Keith Long, and to my left is Judge Josh Aldrich. My name is Andrew Kwee, and I will be the lead administrative law judge for this appeal.

All three judges will meet after the hearing and produce a written decision as equal participants.

Although I will be the lead judge conducting this appeal, all of the members of this panel are equal participants, and any judge on the panel may ask questions at any time to ensure that we have all of the information we need to conduct and decide this appeal.

For the record, I'd ask that the parties please state your names and who you represent. And I'll start

1	with the Representatives with the tax agency, CDTFA.
2	MS. PALEY: Sunny Paley for CDTFA.
3	MR. SMITH: Stephen Smith for CDTFA.
4	MR. PARKER: Jason Parker for CDTFA.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Great.
6	And then I'll turn to the taxpayer.
7	MR. STACK: Yes. Good afternoon, your Honor.
8	Richard Stack for the taxpayer, A-1 Portables, and sitting
9	to my right is Darlene Bishop, who is a co-owner, and her
10	son is here.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Great.
12	And just as far as the witnesses, I understand
13	that you just have the one witness, Darlene Bishop?
14	MR. STACK: That is correct.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: CDTFA, you don't
16	have any witnesses today?
17	MS. PALEY: Correct.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Great. And as
19	far as the exhibits, I just to first check, OTA did
20	distribute a copy of the exhibits, but there was one
21	revised exhibit package for Appellant's which was the
22	revised four declarations.
23	Both parties, do you have the exhibit binders
24	that were distributed? CDTFA, do you have the copy?
25	MS. PALEY: Yes.

1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Appellant, did
2	you also receive a copy of the exhibit binders?
3	MR. STACK: Yes, I have a copy of that, sir.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Perfect. Thank
5	you.
6	So for CDTFA, we had discussed Exhibits A through
7	F during the prehearing conference, and there were no
8	changes after the conference. CDTFA, do you have
9	additional exhibits today?
10	MS. PALEY: No, thank you.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: And I understand
12	Appellant did not have any objections to CDTFA's exhibits?
13	MR. STACK: No.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Then Exhibits A
15	through F for CDTFA are admitted.
16	(CDTFA's Exhibits A through F were received.)
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Then for
18	Appellant's, we have exhibits numbering 1 through 10.
19	Exhibits 1, 5, and 6 were previously submitted during the
20	briefing process. And Exhibits 2, 3, and 4, from my
21	understanding, they were provided by CDTFA, but then they
22	were referenced by Appellant. So I do have those
23	exhibits, but I note they are duplicative.
24	I'll get to the declarations in a moment, just
25	because there was some back and forth between the parties.

2. then the declarations, 7 through 10, do you have any 3 additional exhibits? 4 MR. STACK: No, that's it, your Honor. 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: CDTFA, did you have any objections to Exhibits 1 through 6? 6 7 MS. PALEY: No. 8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okav. So then Exhibits 1 through 6 are admitted without objection. 9 10 (Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 6 were received.) 11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: And then I'll just briefly go over the exhibits. And my understanding 12 was the declarations -- there were four declarations which 13 are identified as Exhibits 7, 8, 9, and 10, that were 14 15 timely submitted, and then CDTFA objected to the form 16 because they were not signed under penalty of perjury, and 17 then they were resubmitted -- the declarations were resubmitted on October 28, 2022, with the required 18 19 language. 20 CDTFA, do you have any remaining objection, or did you withdraw your objections to those exhibits? 21 22 MS. PALEY: Correct, we have no objection. 23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Then I 24 will admit -- and one quick follow-up. Because our rules

for tax appeals allow CDTFA 30 days to submit written

2.5

1 questions to those declarants, are you going to be 2 exercising that option? 3 MS. PALEY: No, thank you. 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Great. So 5 then Exhibits 7 through 10 are admitted without objection, and with the waived 30-day period for questions so there 6 7 will be no follow-up questions to those declarants. (Appellant's Exhibits 7 through 10 were received.) 8 9 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: And as far as the 10 issues, there were two issues. We discussed those 11 previously during the prehearing conference and they were summarized in the minutes and orders, so I won't go over 12 13 them again. Just to confirm, CDTFA, were the issues 14 correctly summarized for the appeal? 15 MS. PALEY: Yes. 16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: And, Appellant, 17 would you confirm that the issues were correctly 18 summarized for this appeal? 19 MR. STACK: Let me just look here, your Honor, 20 briefly. 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. 22 MR. STACK: Yes, I believe those are the issues, 23 The is a sub-issue as the Issue 1 that I was your Honor. 2.4 going to argue today. 25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. You are

certainly free to argue any items that you would like to so just as long as we have the umbrella that these were the issues that will be listed in decision. If you have additional arguments, they will be addressed under the umbrella of the respective Issue 1 or 2.

MR. STACK: Okay. Thank you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Great. And then I believe that there were two items that were discussed as not been in dispute. The first is with respect to the first issue, there's no dispute about CDTFA's calculation of the disallowed deduction, the question was whether these amounts are deductible or not deductible. Is that a correct understanding for Appellant?

MR. STACK: Yes, it is.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And then for CDTFA -- there was also one agreed item that CDTFA does not dispute that Appellant is a legal or statutory successor of the audited partnership and that is in reference to 6596?

MS. PALEY: Correct.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Great. Before we get started, I'll just go briefly over the order of presentations to make sure that everyone is on the same page. I have 20 minutes allocated for Appellant's opening presentation, which are legal arguments, and 20 minutes

allocated for testimony from Ms. Bishop, and after, that we have 20 minutes for CDTFA's opening presentation, and then each party will be afforded 10 minutes for any final closing remarks.

Are there any questions about that or just about the process before we start and turn it over to the taxpayer for their opening presentation?

MS. PALEY: No, thank you.

MR. STACK: No, your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Great. Then it is approximately noon, and I'm turning it over to Appellant's representative. You have 20 minutes for your opening presentation.

MR. STACK: Thank you, your Honors.

The main issue in this case is whether the charges for maintenance or cleaning services in the invoices between A-1 Portables and its customers were mandatory or optional within the meaning of California Sales and Use Tax Regulation 1660 Subparagraph (d)(1), and that is the key in the first issue that is referenced in the minutes and orders of the prehearing conference.

At all relevant times in this matter, A-1

Portables and its predecessor entities offered two

separate and distinct services to the clients. First, the

rental of public portable toilets, and, secondly, the

maintenance and cleaning services regarding those portable toilets.

A-1 Portables was in existence for over 33 years, and its business practices, as Ms. Bishop is expected to testify, never really changed during that period of time, and the way they invoiced clients never really changed either.

The company entered into oral contracts with customers that were memorialized with written invoices and sometimes purchase orders that the lessees provided. And as far back as 2003, when the company was audited for tax periods from 1999 to 2022, in response to refund claims that it had filed in order to recover sales tax amounts that it had paid to vendors on toilets it had purchased.

You know, the optional nature of the cleaning and maintenance services has been approved, essentially, by the State of California, in a July 2003 audit report, which is part of the record. I believe it is Exhibit 6, if I'm not mistaken. The predecessor to the CDTFA, the BOE, found that, quote, "cleaning services are not mandatory," end of quote. And that, quote, "the taxpayer correctly taxes portable chemical toilet rental receipts as stated on Regulation 1660," end of quote. And that can be found in the CDTFA's decision, it's Exhibit 1, in the documents, but the reference to the audit report here is

in Exhibit 15 to that decision, page 1 of 2 of that decision.

And so as a result, the State Board, at that time, issued sales tax refunds to A-1 based on its overpayment of sales taxes paid on the portable toilets it so purchased from vendors, but only after it first verified that it properly reported sales taxes on the leases of those toilets to its own customers.

And if you look at the invoices that A-1 Portables issued -- and some samples can be found in the record, mainly in Exhibits 5 and 6 to the decision, which is Exhibit 1. Basically, the invoices show that it segregated the toilet rental charges which, typically, were \$15.00 a month, against which it did impose a sales tax and weekly cleaning and maintenance charges that were not taxed. The CDTFA admitted to the segregation in its briefing, and that's referenced in the decision at page 11, lines 15 to 18.

Also, if you look at the invoices themselves, which we will do here momentarily, there's no statement in them which indicates that cleaning and maintenance services are mandatory. And in all cases, when it entered into portable toilet leases with customers, which usually were verbal rather than written, A-1 gave its customer s the option to utilize its cleaning and maintenance

services, and it never required or made it mandatory for customers to utilize such services. That was never a condition in the lease contract that the cleaning and maintenance services must be utilized by the customer.

We submit that the optional nature of the cleaning and maintenance services that A-1 offers is supported by a lot of evidence in the record, including the declaration of Darlene Bishop, that is Exhibit 7, specifically paragraph 3 deals with that issue; the declaration of Phillip Bishop, who, unfortunately because of ill health, could not attend the hearing today, and that is Exhibit 8 paragraph 3 of Mr. Bishop's declaration.

We also attained declarations from Earl Graham, who is a customer of A-1 Portables called Whoa, Inc., that's W-H-O-A, and that's paragraph 3, Exhibit 9. The declaration of Annette Worthy, who is co-owner of Dan Worthy Plumbing, that is Exhibit 10, paragraph 3. Her declaration references that.

In addition, during the administrative process, the client, Ms. Bishop, obtained statements from 10 customers which, I believe -- I think the evidence will show, it was submitted in about 2017, to the effect that cleaning and maintenance services were optional. And as the decision indicates at page 12, lines 2 to 3, the CDTFA made no attempt to reach out to the identified 10

customers of A-1 Portables for whom it submitted statements.

Also, more recently, with regard to the four declarations that are in evidence now, it has offered no evidence to rebut statements in those declarations that the cleaning and maintenance services were optional. The non-mandatory nature of the toilet cleaning and maintenance services that A-1 offered was supported by the fact that customers were permitted to rent portable toilets from the company without using its cleaning services. They could clean the toilets themselves if they wanted to, for example.

As a matter of convenience, however, the large percentage of customers who rented toilets from A-1 opted to utilize the service they offered. Again, not a mandatory thing. A-1 Portables was so good at the toilet cleaning that it sometimes cleaned and maintained toilets that customers had rented from a different company, and that's set forth in Mr. Graham's declaration, Exhibit 9, paragraph 4.

And, also, some customers just simply stuck with A-1 Portables with regard to the toilets and rented and never used another company, and that's referenced in Annette Worthy declaration, Exhibit 10, paragraph 4.

There's also examples of service-only invoices, which is 15

Exhibit 6 attached to the decision, which is Exhibit 1.

Now, if you look at the elements of the Sales Tax Regulation 1660(b), A-1 Portables clearly qualifies for exemption from sales taxation for its maintenance and cleaning services because, one, its charges were optional. Maintenance and cleaning services were not part of the rental price of the portable toilet. Two, maintenance and cleaning services were optional since customers were not required to purchase those services from A-1 Portables. And, three, A-1 Portables has provided documentary evidence establishing that charges for maintenance and cleaning services were optional.

And, again, it's our position that invoices which state the maintenance and cleaning charges separately from the rental charge is sufficient to support a tax exemption. And, again, we refer to you Exhibit 5, pages 1 to 4, and the decision, which is Exhibit 1.

It doesn't seem to make any sense why they would have sent out the rental lease separately from the maintenance and cleaning fees if the latter fees were mandatory. At least that's our view of the situation.

There was some points made in the decision as to whether the taxpayer charged too little for the portable rental toilets. It's our position that the stated rent al charge of about \$15.00 a month for the portable toilets

that A-1 rented to customers fairly reflects its actual cost of the toilets.

The evidence will show that the average cost of a regular portable toilet, nothing fancy, that A-1 purchased during the audit period in 2013 to 2016 was about \$500.00 per toilet. That is supported by Exhibit 1, pages 1 to 2 to the decision. That's the audit work papers that show the business assets and allocation of the purchases prices. We anticipate that Ms. Bishop will testify similarly to that.

You may recall that -- just to refresh your memory of the record here, A-1 sold its assets to a third party in 2016, and that is what triggered the sales tax audit in this matter in order to provide clearance. And the evidence will also show that the average cost of a regular toilet from 2013 to 2015 did not vary or increase significantly. Ms. Bishop will testify as to that.

Also, the useful life of a portable toilet is about five to seven years, and that will be established by Ms. Bishop's testimony. So if you look at the useful life of these regular toilets and the purchase price, A-1 essentially was able to recover the cost of toilets it purchased in about 33 months. And the math would be just \$15.00 a month times 33 months comes out to \$495.00.

It's our contention that, if anything, the \$15.00 17

monthly toilet rental fee which A-1 charged the customers, which is taxable, obviously, was actually much greater than the industry standard rental fee that was charged for portable toilets during that time, and Ms. Bishop will testify to that effect.

Finally, the second issue here has to do with whether Appellant has established a basis for relief of taxes, interest, and penalties, per Revenue Tax Code Section 6596. And this really comes in to whether it reasonably relied on the State Board's audit of its predecessor entity from the tax periods 1999 to 2002, namely, the determination that the taxpayer's rental of portable chemical toilets, that the cleaning services are not mandatory, and that the taxpayer correctly taxes chemical toilet rental receipts as stated in Regulation 1660.

The business practices of A-1 and its predecessor entities and successor entities were exactly the same as it relates to the leasing of toilets and the invoice of customers in this case, and it hasn't changed. It has always separately listed a monthly rental price on its invoices and treated them as taxable, and treated the cleaning and maintenance expenses as non-taxable.

I know that there is an argument by the

Department that we have to compare apples to apples and

18

not apples to oranges. And they're claiming that the field billing order, essentially, the audit of the refund claim, is different from the sales tax audit. We submit that it's not different at all. As a necessary determination, before the taxpayer was entitled to about a \$6,000.00 refund back in that earlier period, the FBO period, it was first necessary to determine that it was not required to pay any sales taxes on the portable toilets it purchased from its vendors.

But, secondly, the taxpayer also was required to show that it was not required to pay sales taxes or that it properly taxed the lease of those toilets to its own customers. And that is why the State Board specifically looked into the fact that, you know, are the cleaning services mandatory or optional? And they found they were not mandatory, in other words, optional, and that they correctly taxed the chemical toilet receipts per Regulation 1660.

So regardless of whether the prior determinations were made by the State Board on a full-blown audit of the FOB, the same issues were necessarily presented and determined in both matters, and there's no reason why the taxpayer shouldn't have been allowed to rely on that prior audit determination.

I also would point out that the FBO review that 19

the SBE conducted from the periods of 1999 to 2002, took
77 hours to complete. And that is referenced in
Exhibit 6, the field billing order dated 8/1/2003, page 3.

In contrast, the audit in connection with the closing of that, had to be obtained in the connection of the sale of A-1, took 93 hours to complete. And that's the report of the field audit dated 10/31/2016, page 3 of Exhibit 2, and it's also CDTFA's Exhibit C.

So in our view, based on the amount of time it took to complete each of those audit exercises, the previous audit is functionally equivalent to the closing audit that the CDTFA conducted from the periods of 2013 to 2016. And with that, that is the end of my opening. Thank you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you. And before we turn it over to the witness for testimony, I just had a question on the legal argument. We are going to go to Taxation Code Section 6010.7, that one is the one that discusses the application of tax to leases of portable chemical toilets. And it has a provision there in (d)(1) that charges for maintenance and cleaning services will be considered mandatory, and part of the taxable rental price, unless the lessor provides documentary evidence establishing such charges are optional.

2.0

1	So my question was, the declarations that were
2	being provided, is that the documentary evidence to show
3	that they were optional that you have or were there
4	additional documents?
5	MR. STACK: That is the documentary evidence.
6	And the testimony will also show that they were separate
7	as well.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you
9	for the clarification. So before we have Ms. Bishop
10	testify, I just have to swear her in.
11	If you could raise your hand.
12	
13	DARLENE BISHOP,
14	called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
15	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified
16	as follows:
17	
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Great. Thank
20	you.
21	You may proceed with the witness testimony.
22	MR. STACK: Thank you.
23	///
24	///
25	///

1		DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. S	TACK:
3	Q	Ms. Bishop, did you sign declarations in the case
4	on 4/14/	2020, and on $10/26/2022$, that were filed with this
5	court?	
6	А	I did.
7	Q	And are all of the statements that you made in
8	those de	clarations true and correct?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	And what was A-1 Portables?
11	А	It was a portable toilet rental company.
12	Q	How long were you involved in that?
13	А	I believe it was about 33 and a half years.
14	Q	And what was the extent and nature of your
15	involvem	ent in the company?
16	А	The office, basically. The billing and the
17	receivab	oles and everything. Whatever the office
18	details	were, I was involved in.
19	Q	Okay. And what services did A-1 Portables offer
20	its cust	omers?
21	А	The service of the portable toilets.
22	Q	And did it prepare invoices to customers?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	And who did that?
25	A	I did it up until towards the end of the 22

1	business, and then I had two of the hired girls that did
2	it for me.
3	Q Did you separately list the rental toilets and
4	service related to such rentals on the invoices?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And how was that depicted on the invoices?
7	A Can I see that?
8	Q Yes.
9	MR. STACK: I'm going to hand the witness
10	Exhibit 5. I'll hand copies to the State as well so they
11	don't have to find it in the record. But it's part of
12	Exhibit 1, it's Exhibit 5 to Exhibit 1, pages 1 through 4.
13	MS. PALEY: Thank you.
14	THE WITNESS: On the invoice, the toilet would be
15	broken down
16	BY MR. STACK:
17	Q Let me just ask you this. We'll go through this
18	quickly here, but so I'm at page 1 of Exhibit 4. It's
19	an invoice that was issued to Zeitger's in Houston, Texas.
20	A Yes.
21	Q And this one is dated 12/31 of 2015, and shows
22	the due date of 1/30/2016?
23	A Yes.
24	Q What's the first row there that is shown on the
25	column activity there? 23

A That is the portable toilet, and those would have
been the actual service dates for that unit, and then the
taxable realm would be below that.
Q Okay. So the service dates here were 12/18 of
'15 to 12/15 of '15.
A I believe that was an error on my secretary's
part. When you go from the ending of one year going into
the next, sometimes you mix those dates up. I believe
that's what happened here.
Q So that references the cleaning and maintenance
of the toilet, and this was for \$63.80?
A Yes. That would have been broke down into four
weeks. And we had we had a 26- or 28-day billing
period.
Q So the toilets were cleaned on more than just a
monthly basis then?
A Yes, weekly.
Q The second entry shows taxable rental, what does
that depict?
A That is the rental portion that I charge taxes
on.
Q Okay. And that was and there's a T to the
right of the amount?
A Yes.
Q And 15.00?

1 Yes, referencing that is the taxable portion. Α 2 0 And this invoice shows a subtotal of \$78.80 and 3 has a tax, 8 percent of \$1.20 for a total of \$80.00. 4 Α Yes. 5 And the tax of 8 percent, was that the sales tax O 6 that you were charging? 7 Yes. Actually, I think in San Bernardino it's 8 7.75 percent, and we were charging 8. Okay. So Exhibit 2, page 2 or 4, this is an 9 Q 10 invoice to Hawks Nest, Inc. It's dated 3/24 of 2016. 11 Line 1, does that reference the maintenance and service? 12 Yes, it would have been from March 24, 2016 to 13 4/2016. 14 Okay. So that would have been basically once a O 15 week servicing of the toilets? 16 Yes. Α 17 That's something, I take it, you did for sanitary 18 reasons? 19 Α Yes. Then there is a taxable rental of there 20 Okay. for \$15.00? 21 22 Yes. Α 23 What does it relate it? O 24 That's the rental of the unit. Α 25 And there is a T to the right of amount 0 Okay. 25

1 column, and the tax charged for that was \$1.20; is that 2 right? 3 Α Yes. Then the next invoice is page 3 of 4 of Exhibit 5 4 5 to Exhibit 1. And this is Decerata, Inc. 6 Α Yes. 7 I have a date of 3/24/16 on this invoice. 8 what does this reflect, the activity entries there, the first one? 9 10 Once again, that would be the servicing of the 11 unit. 12 0 And that was for \$58.80. So four services for 13 \$58.80, essentially? 14 Α Yes. 15 And that was the taxable portion that was charged Q for the toilet? 16 17 Α Yes. \$15.00 again? 18 Q 19 Α Yes. And the tax was charged to the customer as 20 referenced under the tax portion; right? 21 22 Yes. Α 23 And if you could go to the last page of this. O 24 This one was to Silver Strand Construction dated 3/24/16. 25 Uh-huh. Α 26

1 And if can you go through this one? It's a 2 little more complex. 3 Yes, more complex. It has a -- this is a sink unit -- this was a trailer unit that was -- it was a 4 portable unit on the trailer and then a sink unit on it. 5 So it had three, which would have been -- I believe it 6 would have been the trailer, the portable toilet, and the 7 sink, which would have been reflected there in the taxable 8 realm 3 of \$15.00 each and \$45.00 taxable. 9 10 Okay. And the reference on the first row there, O 11 the dates of the service 3/24/15 to 4/24/15, does that 12 relate to all three of the toilet units? 13 Α Yes. 14 Okay. Or it's just two toilets and a sink? 0 It says two-station sink and toilet and then the 15 Α trailer unit. 16 17 Okay. And you charged tax on the \$45.00 on this O instance; right? 18 19 Α Yes. Each unit was taxable. 20 So the tax that was charged was \$3.60? 0 21 Α Yes. 8 percent; right? 22 Q 23 Yes, 8 percent. Α 24 Okay. During the audit period of 2013 to '16, 25 what was the average monthly rental for a regular portable 27

1 toilet rental? It was \$15.00. 2 Α 3 And were there more deluxe units that would cost 0 4 more? 5 No, not really. Not really. Α And how did you determine to charge \$15.00 a 6 7 month for the rental of a regular portable toilet? 8 That goes back 33 years ago. When we started in business, we had several friends that were in the business 9 10 at the time, and I asked them what they were charging for 11 the rental on their units, and each of them said \$5.00. 12 And I told my husband we needed to raise that. And it's 13 been \$15.00 for 33 and a half years as a rental. 14 Why did you raise that? O 15 I just felt it was fair. 16 Okay. And was the \$15.00 monthly rental a -- did 0 17 it bear some relationship to how much you actually had to pay to purchase this toilet? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 In general, based on your experience in the 21 business, do you have an opinion as to what the useful 22 life of the toilet was or is? 23 I would definitely say probably between five to А 24 seven years. Some placements of them, they would get used 25 pretty hard, so, you know, they might only last me two, 28

1 three, or four years, and some placements might even go a 2 little longer. 3 So it depends on the use then? 0 4 Α Yes. 5 Are the construction sites, are they hard on the O 6 portable toilets? 7 Very hard. So based on the useful life of the toilets 8 0 Okay. 9 you have identified as five to seven years, about how long 10 would it take A-1 to recover its purchase price of the 11 toilets? 12 I think we figured 33 months, give or take --13 0 Okay. 14 -- is what was thought. Α 15 And what was the nature of the cleaning and Q maintenance services that A-1 offered to its customers? 16 17 The unit would be pumped out, and, then, of course, we refreshed with chemicals, paper, hand 18 19 sanitizer. Some units required seat covers for women. So If it was just men on the job, 20 each unit was different. 21 the customer did not require seat covers. But all of that would have to be refreshed, and the unit would have to be 22 23 sanitized and ready for the next week, and that was done 24 weekly.

During the audit periods or at any time,

25

0

Okay.

29

1 were customers required to use A-1 cleaning and 2 maintenance services? 3 Α No. Were those services considered optional? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 And how was it made known that they were optional, the services? 7 8 Most of our contracts that we went into were 9 verbal, and it was up to them to decide if they wanted to 10 use our service at that time. There were some in the past 11 that did not use our service, which was okay. There were 12 some in the past that we actually would go out and service 13 for them. They owned the unit, and we would service for 14 them. 15 All right. I'm going to show you Exhibit 6. Q 16 MR. STACK: I will hand a copy of this to the 17 representatives of the Department as well. attached to Exhibit No. 1 -- Exhibit 6 to No. 1, and I'll 18 19 hand the witness this as well as for the counsel for the 20 Department. 21 MS. PALEY: Thank you. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Mr. Stack, when 22 23 you are doing the questioning, pause a moment between

question and answer so we have a transition for the

stenographer to pick up the different people's responses.

24

25

1 MR. STACK: I'll slow down. Thank you. 2 BY MR. STACK: 3 So in Exhibit 6, can you tell us what the first 0 page of this reflects? 4 This is actually United Services, and it is 5 Α another company here in Southern California, and they had 6 7 asked us to go in and service their units. 8 Okay. O So we just pumped them, and this is what we would 9 10 bill for the service each week. 11 This is dated 11/5 of 2015? 0 12 Uh-huh. 13 And the pumping services, the period that's referenced is 11/5/15 to 12/2/15? 14 15 Α Yes. So that refers to, basically, like four different 16 17 services on a weekly basis? 18 Α Yes. 19 0 And there was no tax charged on that, that was 20 for a flat \$100.00? 21 Α Right. 22 \$25.00 per pumping, I take it? 23 It may have been out of the area that we Α Yes. 24 normally are. 25 This was on Dunnell Road. I don't know 0 Okay. 31

where that is. If you can go to the next page of this
exhibit please. This is an invoice that was billed to
Hartwick & Hand, Inc. of Victorville dated 10/18 of 2015.
Can you tell us what this represents?
A This customer, Hartwick & Hand, owned this unit,
and they hired us to come in and service it on a weekly
basis. It's a trucking company in Victorville.
Q Okay. And it reflects four different services
and ranges from October 9th to October 30th of 2015?
A Yes.
Q And it shows the tax for San Bernardino was 8
percent, but there was no tax charged on this; correct?
A Correct.
Q Why is that?
A Because there was not a rental on that.
Q Okay. And during the audit that was conducted by
the Department, did you have occasion to obtain statements
from A-1's customers as to whether or not the cleaning and
maintenance services were optional or mandatory? And I'll
show you that's referenced as Exhibit 3 to Exhibit 1.
It's a 10-page document.
MR. STACK: I will hand the witness Exhibit 3,
and I will also share it with the Department and their
representatives.
MS. PALEY: Thank you.

1 BY MR. STACK: 2 And these are various statements, and it looks 3 like, pretty much, fill-in-the-blank; is that right? 4 Α Pretty much. And, basically, it indicates that "I/we contract 5 6 with A-1 Portables, oral agreement, and as part of that 7 agreement, we were never required to use their cleaning or maintenance services for portable units." Is that 8 9 correct? 10 Α Correct. 11 And we got the signatures of various parties on 12 that ranging from Coolly Construction to Whoa, Inc. on the 13 third page, and various other individuals. And why did 14 you obtain those statements? 15 To prove that we never required them to use our Α 16 service. If they chose to use someone else, they were 17 welcome to do that. 18 Okay. And about -- when did you obtain those 0 19 statements? That was earlier on, I believe. It was 2017, I 20 believe. 21 It was during the audit though; correct? 22 Q 23 Yes, the last audit. Yes. Α 24 Can you briefly tell me the circumstances 25 surrounding the audit of the tax period 2013 to 2016? 33

1	A Well, my husband and I were in Montana, and we
2	were notified about the audit when we came back. And I
3	believe it was the State Board of Equalization at that
4	time, they sent out an auditor, and he went through
5	whatever receipts that I had there at that time. And,
6	well, here we are.
7	Q That was in connection with your selling the
8	assets?
9	A Yes, that I sold in 2016.
10	Q Okay. Prior to the CDTFA's determination that
11	you owed additional sales taxes from the periods of 2013
12	through 2016, had you ever had an adverse determination
13	against A-1 Portables?
14	A No, never.
15	Q Prior to that time, had A-1 Portables ever fallen
16	behind in paying its sales taxes?
17	A No.
18	Q Was it ever late in filing its returns?
19	A No.
20	Q And who was in charge of doing those things?
21	A I was.
22	Q Did it surprise you that the CDTFA's
23	determination was that you owed, I think another if I
24	recall, \$221,000.00 of additional tax surprise you?
25	A Yes, it did. 34

- 1 And did you think that you had been doing things 2 correctly? 3 Α Completely, yes. Could we go back in time to the period around 4 0 And that deals with the field billing order for the 5 essential audit of your refund claims? 6 7 Uh-huh. Why did you file a refund claim? 8 0 9 Well, from the inception of our business, I knew Α 10 that I shouldn't have to pay taxes on the equipment that I 11 purchased to rent. I kept telling the leasing company 12 that they were charging me, because they broke down their 13 And I'm, like, "You guys shouldn't be charging charges. 14 I'm paying taxes already on this." They said, me this. 15 "Oh no, we have to charge you." And this went on for years and years. And finally, I just said, "Well, I'm 16 17 going to have to prove it to them, " and that's what I did. Did you contact the -- back then, the State Board 18 19 of Equalization? I contacted SBE, and I asked them if I 20 I did. 21 was doing it properly and they said yes. And I said,
 - was doing it properly and they said yes. And I said,
 "What do I do? Because he won't believe me. I have been
 doing this for years and paying taxes at the purchases."
 And she said, "Well, we can do an audit," and I said,
 "Okay. Let's do an audit," and that's what I did.

35

22

23

24

25

1 So was an auditor assigned to your case? O 2 Α Yes. What was the name of that auditor? 3 0 I believe her name is Kattie Woods. 4 Α 5 Did you meet with her? 0 6 Α Yes. 7 How many times? She called ahead of time and 8 Just the one time. Α 9 asked me for certain documents and sales receipts, and if 10 I could have those ready for her. And when she got there 11 to my office, I had everything laid out for her so that 12 she could go through it, all of the lease agreements, 13 sales receipts. Whatever she asked for, I made sure she 14 had it completely available to her. 15 Q Okay. And you came back from Montana to meet with her? 16 17 Α No, this was --I'm sorry. Never mind. 18 0 19 This was at a different time. Α 20 Okay. But did she visit your office and meet you 21 there? Yes, she did. 22 Α 23 Okay. Was that a lengthy meeting that you had O 24 with her? 25 I don't remember exactly. I believe it was 36

1	between four to five hours. She was there quite some time
2	that day. And she did ask me for some other documents
3	that I had not laid out that she did not ask for prior. I
4	went and got those and gave those to her also.
5	Q Did you tell her the periods for which you were
6	seeking a refund?
7	A No, I just wanted her to look to see where I had
8	overpaid.
9	Q Okay. Did she tell you what did she tell you
10	after you provided these documents to her and she reviewed
11	them?
12	A She was really quite nice. She told me that my
13	records were impeccable, and I was very thankful that she
14	told me that. But I was able to everything that she
15	asked for, it was at my fingertips, and I was able to get
16	it to her to investigate.
17	Q Did she tell you that the State Board could
18	provide a refund to you?
19	A Yes, she did.
20	Q Did you file refund claims after you met with
21	her?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. Did she ask about your leasing practices
24	when you met with her?

She did. I can't remember verbatim, you know, 37

25

Α

the actual questions, but she did, yes. She questioned me on several things.

Q Okay. Did she seem to want to -- did she express concern that you were correctly taxing the lessees of the portable toilets?

A Yes. She said everything was good on that end, and that we were taxing properly. There was no issues at that time at all. And our practices had not changed from the inception of the business until the sale of the business. Everything remained the same. Even after Kattie Woods was there, nothing had changed.

Q Did the invoices or the manner in which they were written change at all?

A No, absolutely not.

Q And did she, in about July of 2003, when she apparently wrapped up her audit, did she tell you anything about her findings?

A She did. She told me that -- she said -"Ms. Bishop, I can only go back two years," I believe is
what she said. But she said, "Because I can see that you
way overpaid these taxes." And she said, "There's nothing
I can do about the past, but I will see if I can put it
through a four-year refund," and that's what she told me
that day.

Q Okay. Did she tell you anything about whether 38

you were required to pay sales taxes on maintenance and cleaning services?

A We did talk about that. I can't remember the whole exact conversation. But according to her, the way that we were doing it at the time was proper.

- Q Okay. All right. And after the audit, did you get a refund from the State Board?
 - A Yes.

- O About how much?
- A I want to say it was around \$6,000.00.
- Q Okay. After this audit was completed, did the company rely on Ms. Woods' oral advice that you were correctly taxing the leases of the toilets?
 - A Yes.
- Q And during this case, we obtained the audit file -- the previous, I guess, field billing order audit filing from the State of California, and there were a couple of determinations in there. And I'm referring specifically to, I think -- I think it's Exhibit 6.

Anyway, there were a couple of statements in that audit file. The first one was, "The taxpayer rents portable chemical toilets. Cleaning services are not mandatory." Did you see that in looking through the materials here?

A Yes.

1	Q Okay. And is that something that she told you as
2	well, that she determined that cleaning services were not
3	mandatory?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And the second item is that quote, "The taxpayer
6	correctly taxes chemical toilets rental receipt as stated
7	in Regulation 1660," is that something you recall her
8	telling you?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And it was referred to in her determinations?
11	A Yes.
12	MR. STACK: All right. I don't have anything
13	further of Ms. Bishop.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So I'll
15	turn it over to CDTFA.
16	CDTFA, did you have any questions for the
17	witness?
18	MS. PALEY: No, thank you.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. I believe
20	I have a couple of questions, and I believe both of my
21	panelists also have questions. I will start with my first
22	question.
23	I understand the record doesn't include any lease
24	agreements between A-1 Portables and the customers. Am I
25	understanding correctly? It was oral agreements? 40

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And then
3	the four invoices that you went over at the beginning of
4	your testimony, talking mostly, there are three for just
5	toilets, and one for toilets and trailer and a sink?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Are those
8	invoices representative of how you would how the
9	business would have billed their customers throughout the
10	audit period?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. They would break
12	it down for the customer so they would know what they were
13	paying for.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: And those
15	invoices were the only written documentation
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: between the
18	parties? Okay.
19	And the invoice that you went over, which was
20	Exhibit 6 to Exhibit 1 so the CDTFA had their decision,
21	which was Exhibit 1, and then Exhibit 6 to that attachment
22	was an another invoice for you had talked about I
23	think it was Hartwick & Hand?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: And I'm curious, 41

was the \$15.00 weekly charge for just pumping the toilet or also for all of the cleaning services that you normally performed when you did your own toilets?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, that would have included paper, the chemicals or whatever we had to refresh that unit with. Their service would have been no different from a customer of ours. You know, if they wanted to use our service, they would not have been any different.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. I was just asking about that because it looked like those were charged on a weekly basis, but your other invoices were charged on another monthly basis it looks like.

THE WITNESS: Well, this Hartwick & Hand, each week that our driver would go in there, they would have to give them a receipt that they pumped the unit and readied it for the following week, so my billing would have to match the receipts that our driver gave them that they were there to service with Hartwick & Hand.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And you mentioned that -- so I saw -- this was one example that there were some transactions where you provided services only but no toilet; but on the other hand, there were transactions where you did toilets only without services; is that correct?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: 2 When you 3 structured it that way, there was only a toilet but no 4 services, was that charged the same, the \$15.00 a month, or was the charge different? 5 6 THE WITNESS: It depends. It would depend. 7 trying to think of a customer that we did. It's been so 8 long since I have done that. 9 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: If you don't remember, that's fine. 10 11 THE WITNESS: I apologize. 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: And my 13 understanding is -- I don't believe there are any 14 invoices -- I believe there are four invoices where it 15 says service and toilet, and one invoice where it is 16 service only, but I don't believe the evidence includes 17 any toilet only without service; is that a correct understanding? 18 19 MR. STACK: I haven't seen any in the record, 20 your Honor. I know there are some additional invoices attached to the decision. I would have to look through 21 22 that. But without looking through that, I can't recall 23 offhand. 24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you.

One other question that came up when I was looking at

43

1	this, I believe in the prior audit it talked about how
2	your business was renting the toilets from a third party
3	and subleasing them.
4	THE WITNESS: It was a leasing company, your
5	Honor. When we purchased them actually, it was lease
6	purchase. And how I noticed is each month I would get my
7	bill, and I would see there's tax on here and I shouldn't
8	be paying this tax, and that's how I noticed it. It went
9	on for years.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: So at the end of
11	lease, you purchased and owned the toilets?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: I was asking
14	because I noticed in the sale of your business that it
15	talked about selling the toilets, so I wasn't sure, but
16	that makes sense.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Thank you. I
19	will turn it over to Judge Aldrich.
20	Judge Aldrich, do you have any questions?
21	JUDGE ALDRICH: Hi, this is Judge Aldrich. Yes,
22	I do have some questions for Ms. Bishop if that's okay.
23	If I could direct your attention to your
24	declarations, so Exhibit 7, I believe. I'll give you a

second to get there.

44

1 THE WITNESS: Yes. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: So I'm looking 2 3 at page 3, item 6. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: And it reads 5 6 "To verify that A-1 had overpaid its sales taxes to 7 vendors, Ms. Woods reviewed not just the documents related to the vendors, but also the leases that A-1 had with its 8 customers and sales invoices," et cetera. And in that 9 10 sentence, what do you mean by "leases"? 11 THE WITNESS: That would have been the purchase 12 of the units that we purchased to re-rent. 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. 14 that same meaning applicable to the next page where it 15 says, "I provided numerous documents to Ms. Woods 16 including lease agreements"? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: 18 So the only 19 leases that she would have reviewed were those kinds of 20 leases, or did you have leases documenting the 21 relationship between you and your customers? THE WITNESS: I did have invoices that she 22 23 reviewed, and the actual lease agreements is what was in 24 contention there because of the overpayment of taxes, so

that it would have been on the leases -- so from the lease

1 companies that we purchased them through. 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: Got it. 3 then amongst those documents -- let me back up a little 4 bit. So in a typical customer engagement, it was an oral 5 contract; right? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: And would the 8 customers follow up with an e-mail to confirm terms or --9 THE WITNESS: On some occasions, yes. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And did 10 11 Ms. Woods review those kinds of things as well? 12 THE WITNESS: No. Those would have been mostly verbal or -- the e-mails at that time -- I don't think we 13 14 were really doing a lot of e-mails at that time. It was more later in the end of our business where we would go 15 16 back and forth with customers through e-mail, but at that 17 time, no. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: 18 Okay. So in 19 the situation where A-1 Portables would rent just the toilets or related items, what would happen if the 20 21 customer didn't return the unit clean? THE WITNESS: Well, that wasn't on them. 22 23 was on us. 24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: Perhaps I

In the event that you rented the toilets

25

should rephrase.

1 or the washing station, et cetera, to a customer and 2 didn't include the services, right, was there a fee? 3 THE WITNESS: No, no fee. 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. 5 THE WITNESS: You mean when they would bring it back to us? 6 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: 8 THE WITNESS: No fee. 9 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: Even if it 10 weren't in the same condition you provided it? 11 THE WITNESS: I can't remember a particular 12 situation where it didn't come back to us pretty much the 13 same. 14 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: And you said 15 that later on, perhaps even during the liability period at 16 issue here, sometimes you would have e-mail communication 17 after or no? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, more towards the end of 19 business we would have some e-mail. But mostly it was 20 verbal. My husband is a man of his word, and when he 21 talked to a customer, whatever he said, that's the way it went with those customers. And there was times where he 22 23 would put his foot in his mouth and I said, "Are you 24 And he's says, "Yes, I'm good with it." sure?" 25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay.

Mr. Stack went over the cost of the toilet units, but there were other things that A-1 also rented out; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes. We had sink units. We had trailer units, you know, a portable setup on a small trailer for, like, the gas company or Edison or something like that where they would move from one position to the next so that we didn't have to go out there all the time and move it for them. They would keep it behind the truck and move it along the job.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: So an example of that trailer would be on page 56 of Exhibit 1? It's an invoice, Exhibit 5, page 4 of 4, if that helps.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: I see with the portable toilet, there's 3/24/2016 through 4/20/2016, and the services untaxed rate is \$50.80, and then there is a portable toilet trailer unit. Is that what you were describing before?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: So did that require more time to service, or why was the service fee \$133.00?

THE WITNESS: San Bernardino County is one of the biggest counties in California, and our company went all 48

1 the way to Nevada state line and all the way Arizona. 2 depending on where we had to start or end, that could be 3 that price there. Because if we had to drive all the way out to the Arizona border --4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: Lots of gas. 5 6 THE WITNESS: Exactly. 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: But going back to those other items on the same invoice, the two-station 8 9 sink, what is the life span of a two-station sink? Is it 10 the same? 11 Pretty much as long as it doesn't THE WITNESS: 12 get blown up or run over, yes. 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: And cost wise? THE WITNESS: Oh, my goodness. 14 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: If you can't remember, that's fine. 16 17 THE WITNESS: I honestly don't remember. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: 18 And the 19 portable unit, cost wise, do you have an idea of that one? THE WITNESS: Actually, the trailers were 20 21 separate. I mean, we paid for those ourselves. They were not leased. And then we would just mount the unit on 22 23 there, you know, according to the standard for traveling

24

25

the unit.

or whatever, but that was a totally separate purchase from

1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: Those are all 2 of the questions that I had for you. Thank you very much. 3 I'll refer back to Judge Kwee. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Before I turn it 4 5 to Judge Long, I did have two follow-up questions. is, in the prior audit, there was the issue of overpaid 6 7 tax to the vendor, but I don't think I saw any issues about tax paid purchases resulting in the current audit. 8 9 Did you resolve that so they stopped charging you tax? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: So then my other 12 question is, when your company was servicing the portable toilet, were things like toilet paper, seat covers, and 13 14 cleaning supplies, were those purchased for resale or were 15 those purchased with tax? How did that happen? 16 They were actually a portion of the THE WITNESS: 17 It was a minimal cost. The toilet paper and the chemicals were a minimal cost, and it was just basically 18 19 included in the rental. 20 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. 21 meant, like, when you purchased it, did you pay your vendor tax on those items? 22 23 THE WITNESS: No, we did not have to. 24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So you had 25 a resale certificate for those?

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, we did.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Got it. I will
3	turn it over to Judge Long. I believe Judge Long has a
4	couple of questions.
5	THE WITNESS: Okay.
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE LONG: Thank you.
7	Ms. Bishop, I just want to clarify. So with
8	respect to the 1999 to 2002 audit, in that case, you only
9	had verbal contracts with your customer as well?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, pretty much throughout our 33
11	years.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE LONG: And with respect
13	to the \$15.00 rental fee, your contention is that the
14	cleaning and maintenance fees weren't mandatory, right, it
15	was optional. But if someone rented just a toilet and did
16	not purchase those things, you would just break even on
17	that toilet or even lose money if it had lasted less than
18	33 months.
19	THE WITNESS: It may have taken a little longer
20	possibly for that one to be paid off. You know what I'm
21	saying? Yes, I mean.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you.
23	I don't have any more questions.
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Then I

believe that is all of the questions that this panel has for the witness at this time.

I will turn it over to CDTFA for your opening presentation. You have 20 minutes. It's approximately 1:00 o'clock, so you have until 1:22.

MS. PALEY: Thank you.

Appellant, A-1 Portables, Incorporated was a California corporation that operated a business out of Hesperia, California renting portable chemical toilets. The seller's permit for the business began in April of 2006 and closed out effective May 27, 2016. Appellant sold its business, including business assets, to Diamond Environmental Service, LP. Appellant previously, from 1985 until 2006, operated a different entity as a partnership that did business as A-1 Portables Drain and Sewer.

There are two issues in this appeal. The first issue is whether the maintenance and cleaning services provided by Appellant with its rental of portable toilets were taxable and, therefore, whether the deficiency measure of approximately \$2.5 million was warranted. The second issue is whether Appellant reasonably relied on the written advice of the Board of Equalization in failing to pay the correct amount of tax due.

With respect to receipts for bringing portable 52

chemical toilets charges for mandatory maintenance and cleaning services are subject to tax as part of the rental price, but charges for optional cleaning services are not subject to tax. Maintenance or cleaning services are mandatory when the lessee, as a condition of the rental agreement, is required to purchase the service from the lessor.

Conversely, maintenance or cleaning services that are optional when the lessee is not required to purchase the service from the lessor. In determining whether a charge is mandatory or optional, Regulation 1660 (b)(1) provides a standard specific to the leasing of portable toilets.

The regulation provides that charges for maintenance or cleaning services will be considered mandatory and, therefore, part of the taxable rental price unless the lessor provides documentary evidence establishing that such charges are optional. The terms of the lease or rental agreement determines whether the maintenance or service charges are mandatory or optional.

Where there are no lease or rental agreements, Regulation 1660 (b)(1) directs us to look at Appellant's invoices for the requisite language showing cleaning services are optional. Finally, the regulation provides that other documentary evidence may be provided to 53

establish that maintenance or cleaning is performed at the option of the lessee.

In this audit, Appellant has not provided any lease or rental agreements with any of its customers.

Accordingly, Appellant cannot establish that the services were optional based on the terms of the lease.

Next, Appellant has provided many sales invoices, but not one sales invoice states that the service charges are optional. Therefore, Appellant cannot establish that the services were optional based on sales invoices.

Appellant has asserted that it rented its portable toilets for \$15.00 per month, and that any additional charges were for optional maintenance or cleaning service. If this were true, one would expect that the customers who decline the optional service would just be billed \$15.00 a month for renting portable toilets.

Appellant has not identified a single customer who was charged just \$15.00 per month for a portable toilet rental. The evidence shows that every last customer was charged for the additional services. Because Appellant did not provide documentary evidence establishing that its charges for maintenance and cleaning services were optional, the Department concluded that the service were mandatory pursuant to Regulation 1660,

subdivision (b)(1).

Appellant has recently submitted the sworn statements of Earl Graham of Apple Valley, California, and Annette Worthy of Helena, Montana, prior customers of Appellant, which attest, albeit after the fact, that they had the option of the cleaning services and they used A-1 cleaning service. During the audit and its appeal, Appellant provided similar, undated, fill-in-the-blank, insert-name-of-company statements attesting that cleaning services were optional.

However, statements that services are optional are not enough to overcome the presumption set forth in Regulation 1660. The regulation required the lessor to provide documentary evidence that establishes that the services are optional.

Generally, the documentary evidence is in the form of lease agreements or sales invoices, and Appellant, however, has not provided lease agreements, and its sales invoices do not state that the services are optional as required by the regulation. The declarations submitted are testimonial in nature, not documentary.

The Department would accept other documentary evidence such as captured from a website, or advertisements, or e-mails, or other business records that indicated that services were, in fact, optional. Or sales 55

invoices that showed that there were actual customers who were charged only \$15.00 a month for toilet rentals, but no such documentary evidence has been provided.

Additionally, the circumstantial evidence indicates that the services are mandatory because every single customer was charged for additional services. For these reasons, the Department finds that all of Appellant's additional charges to its customers were for mandatory services and were subject to tax as part of the rental price for the 32 portable toilet.

We must also address whether Appellant reasonably relied on written advice from the Department's predecessor, the Board of Equalization, BOE, in failing to pay the correct amount of tax due.

Appellant's predecessor entity, A-1 Drain and Sewer was previously subject to a prior sales and use tax field billing order, or FBO report, that was issued July 3, 2014. The BOE auditor reviewed lease agreements, cancelled checks, sales invoices and related information to determine whether they overpaid tax to its vendors and were entitled to tax paid purchase resold credit as shown in A-15.

The BOE auditor commented that cleaning services were not mandatory for the period of July 1, 1998 through December 31, 2002, and that the taxpayer correctly taxed 56

portable chemical toilet rentals as stated in Regulation 1660.

As shown in Exhibit A-16, in the August 1st, 2003 letter from BOE that enclosed a copy of the FBO, the BOE stated that the FBO was not an audit report, and that the auditor may not have examined all of your transactions and that there still may be transactions that you are not reporting correctly. And the field billing order pertaining to a claim for refund was not a full audit of practices.

Revenue and Taxation Code Section 6596(a) provides that if a person's failure to pay the correct amount of tax was due to that person's reasonable reliance from the Department or its predecessor, that person may be relieved of any sales or use tax imposed. If the prior audit report of a taxpayer shows that the issue in question was examined, either in sample or actual review, such evidence will be considered written advice of the Department.

Written evidence in the form of audit comments, scheduled, or other writings which become a part of the audit work papers that show an auditor examined the activity of transaction in question, can inform a taxpayer that the activity or transaction was properly reported, and the determination that no additional tax are due is

sufficient from a finding of relief from liability.

The field billing order, Exhibit A-15, pertaining to 1998 to 2002 addressed to claims for refund for overpayment of tax and did not relate to the disallowed claim of nontaxable labor sales at issue here. The focus of the FBO was A-1's purchases and vendors, not the failure to collect the full amount of tax due from customers.

The FBO was requested by Ms. Bishop because she believed A-1 had overpaid sales tax to its vendors and associated leasing companies for many years, as shown on Exhibit A-17. A full audit of the overall business practices was not undertaken and, hence, the cautionary language of the accompanying letterhead, Exhibit A-16. Therefore, it's not reasonable to have relied on the field billing order's finding of lack thereof as a defense to the present audit.

Additionally, the issuance of the FBO to the predecessor entity also does not demonstrate that the facts and conditions relating to the activity or transaction have remained unchanged from the period covered by the prior period as required by Regulation 1705(c).

It appears that there have been a change in Appellant's business model from subletting toilets to at 58

least some ownership of them. In the examination of the present entity, the Appellant has cited the \$15.00 rental fee as somehow representing the depreciation value of the toilets, whereas the predecessor entity rented them from another lessor which provided us with the lease agreements for review in the prior field billing order. One wouldn't be depreciating a toilet if they were just a lessee and not an owner.

Based on the law and evidence, we submit that Appellant has not established a basis for excluding from tax all or any portion of the invoice charges that it billed customers in connection with the lease of portable chemical toilets, and that Appellant has not established a basis for relief of taxes, interest, and penalties pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code 6596, based on the written advice of the Board of Equalization for the predecessor entity in failing to pay the correct amount of tax due, and we ask that the panel deny the appeal.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Does that conclude your opening presentation?

MS. PALEY: It does.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. You had mentioned a couple of times that taxpayer was arguing that -- I guess their position was they rented the toilets for \$15.00 a month from their owner? And I guess I just 59

1 wasn't sure -- I don't think that was the testimony today. 2 MS. PALEY: And that wasn't my contention. 3 the documentation shows is that it rented to their customers for \$15.00. 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: 5 Okay. Judge 6 Aldrich, do you have any questions for CDTFA? 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: I do not have any questions. 8 9 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Judge Long, do 10 you have any questions for CDTFA? 11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE LONG: No questions, 12 thank you. 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. I do have 14 one other question that has to do with the 6596 aspect and 15 whether or not the transactions have changed. 16 understanding CDTFA's position, your position is that 17 there is a change in the nature of the transactions because in the prior audit it only referenced subleases 18 19 and leases versus the current audit, there were leases, 20 and then there were also portable toilets which the 21 taxpayer owns. Are there any other differences that CDTFA is 22 23 asserting that changed from the first and second audit, or 24 is that the only thing that's asserted?

We do not know what else had changed,

25

MS. PALEY:

but that was an instance that we were able to point out from the documentation.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you. At this point, I will turn it over to Appellant for your closing remarks. And you have 10 minutes. You may proceed when you are ready.

MR. STACK: Thank you, your Honors.

CLOSING STATEMENTS

MR. STACK: I will treat this more as rebuttal than a true closing rather than go through our entire argument and opening statement. You know, we believe we have shown that through a combination of the invoices that we submitted and had Ms. Bishop explain that that is sufficient documentary evidence that the cleaning and maintenance services were optional rather than mandatory.

The State appears to elevate form over substance here. In substance, it was clear that the company, you know, offered optional toilet cleaning and maintenance services. There was no requirement that customers utilize those services. And we did introduce declarations of three other individuals besides Ms. Bishop that support that fact.

We also have introduced statements from other third parties that were part of one of the documents in 61

the decision. And, you know, it's true there were no formal invoices because this was done orally. You have oral agreements. Oral contracts are just a good as a written contract.

We believe that we were able to show through documentary evidence that the maintenance of the rental services were optional rather than mandatory. The State has not offered any evidence at all to rebut the documentary evidence and the declarations that we submitted that the services were somehow mandatory. It's just kind of ipse dixit determination by the State that because we say so, this is what it is.

With regard to the reasonable reliance, while there is a caveat in the letter transmitting the field billing order that it doesn't constitute an audit, in fact, Ms. Woods did conduct an audit of A-1, otherwise there would have been no reason for her to orally tell Ms. Bishop, number one, that the cleaning services are not mandatory. Okay? She determined that the cleaning services are not mandatory. Secondly, that the taxpayer correctly taxes portable chemical toilet rental receipts as stated on Regulation 1660.

It is not fair for the State, at this late date, to try to wiggle out of those statements by its previous auditor that the taxpayer continued to rely on and ran her 62

business consistently with how she had done it before the field billing order was issued.

And there really is no substantive evidence that the business model of A-1 Portables has changed in any significant way between the time of the period that was of the FBO and the later audit? This is just something that -- you know, there is no evidence that A-1 was just in the business of releasing toilets that it had leased from another entity in the earlier period and somehow that business model was different in the later years.

And, you know, it does seem that the taxpayer was reasonably -- could justifiably and reasonably rely on the advice that was given to her by the auditing agent, by Ms. Woods, and was actually supported by the actual audit report that she issued.

So for all of those reasons, we believe that the taxpayer has established that, you know, both that the charges for the cleaning and maintenance services were optional, not mandatory, and, therefore, not taxable, and, alternatively, that was entitled to rely on the previous audit determination that the services related to cleaning were not mandatory, and that it correctly taxed chemical toilet rental receipts as provided in Regulation 1660.

So for those reasons, we submit that the court should rule in favor of the taxpayers and deny the

1	proposed assessments determined by the State.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you.
3	And now I will turn it over the CDTFA. You have 10
4	minutes for any closing remarks that you would like to
5	make?
6	MS. PALEY: No, thank you.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: And CDTFA has
8	waived closing remarks. I believe we are ready to
9	conclude. I'll just check with my panel.
10	Judge Aldrich, do you have anything to add before
11	we conclude?
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ALDRICH: This is Judge
13	Aldrich. Nothing further to add. Thank you.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: And Judge Long,
15	do you have any final questions before we conclude today?
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE LONG: Just one.
17	Ms. Bishop, with respect to the voluntary nature
18	of the cleaning services, if a customer didn't opt to get
19	cleaning services from you, they would need to own their
20	own pump or go to a different cleaning service?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, they would.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE LONG: And just so I'm
23	clear, there's nothing in the evidence that's been
24	provided that shows that any of your customers actually

did that though?

64

1	THE WITNESS: There is stuff in the past but
2	where it would be. I don't know. I don't know. I
3	honestly don't know. I apologize.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE LONG: It's okay. I
5	just wanted to make sure that I understand the situation.
6	Thank you very much. I have no further questions.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Then I
8	believe we are ready to conclude. The record is now
9	closed and this case is submitted for an opinion on
10	Tuesday, November 8, 2022.
11	Thank you, everyone, for coming in today. The
12	judges, the members of this panel, will meet after today's
13	hearing and produce a written opinion as equal
14	participants. And that opinion should be mailed out
15	within 100 days of today's date. And that concludes our
16	oral hearing for today's date and for tomorrow's too. So
17	thank you.
18	(The hearing adjourned at 1:23 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Shelby K. Maaske, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 4 the State of California, do hereby certify: 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 6 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 8 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 9 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested in the outcome of said action. 13 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 1st day 14 15 of December, 2022. 16 17 Shelby Maaske, Hearing Reporter 18 19 20 21 22 23 2.4

Index: \$1.20..6596(a)

\$	26:5 30:18 32:20 41:20,21 48:12	1:00 52:5	3/24 25:10
<u> </u>	56:24	1:22 52:5	3/24/15 27:11
\$1.20 25:3 26:1	1/30/2016 23:22	1:23 2:17 65:18	3/24/16 26:7,24
\$100.00 31:20	10 7:18 9:5,8 11:3	1st 57:3	3/24/2016 48:16
\$133.00 48:23	14:17,20,25 15:24 61:5 64:3	2	30-day 9:6
\$15.00 13:14	10-page 32:21		300 2:16
16:25 17:24,25 25:21 26:18 27:9	10/18 32:3	00 40 04 05 44 0	30th 32:9
28:2,6,13,16 42:1 43:4 51:13 54:12,	10/26/2022 22:4		31 56:25
16,19 56:2 59:2,	10/31/2016 20:7		32 56:10
25 60:4	100 65:15	12 52:4	33 12:3 17:23,24 22:13 28:8,13
\$2.5 52:21	11 13:18	2002 18:11 20:1	29:12 51:10,18
\$221,000.00 34:24	11/5 31:11	51:8 56:25 58:3 2003 12:11,17	
\$ 25.00 31:22	11/5/15 31:14	35:5 38:15 57:3	4
\$3.60 27:20	11:53 2:16 5:2,9	2006 52:11,14	4 7:20 15:20,24
\$45.00 27:9,17	12 14:24	2013 17:5,16	16:17 23:12,18 25:9 26:4 48:13
\$495.00 17:24	12/15 24:5	20:12 27:24 33:25 34:11	4/14/2020 22:4
\$5.00 28:11	12/18 24:4	2014 56:18	4/20/2016 48:16
\$50.80 48:17	12/2/15 31:14	2015 17:16 23:21	4/2016 25:13
\$500.00 17:5	12/31 23:21	31:11 32:3,9	4/24/15 27:11
\$58.80 26:12,13	12900 2:15	2016 17:5,13	
\$6,000.00 19:6	15 13:1,18 24:5	20:13 25:10,12 33:25 34:9,12	5
39:10	15.00 24:25	52:11	5 7:19 13:11 16:16
\$63.80 24:11	16 27:24	2017 14:22 33:20	23:10,12 26:4
\$78.80 25:2	1660 11:19 12:23	2022 2:17 5:1,8	48:13
\$80.00 25:3	18:16 19:18 40:7	12:12 65:10	56 48:12
	53:11,22 54:25 55:13 57:2 62:22	21 4:5	6
	63:23	24 25:12	
(b)(1) 53:11,22	1660(b) 16:3	26- 24:13	6 7:19 12:18 13:11
55:1	1705(c) 58:23	27 52:11	16:1 20:3 30:15, 18 31:3 39:19
(d)(1) 11:19 20:21	18 13:18	28-day 24:13	41:20,21 45:3
1	19095258 2:7 5:8	3	6010.7 20:18
	1985 52:14		61 4:19
1 7:18,19 9:23 10:5 12:24 13:1,12	1998 56:24 58:3	3 7:20 14:9,12,15, 17,24 20:3,7 26:4	6596 10:19 18:9 59:15 60:14
16:1,16,17 17:6 23:12,18 25:11	1999 12:12 18:11 20:1 51:8	27:9 32:20,22 45:3 56:18	6596(a) 57:11

Index: 7..aspect

accept 55:22 advertisements anticipate 17:9 7 55:24 accompanying apologize 43:11 58:14 **advice** 39:12 65:3 **7** 4:12 9:5,8 14:8 52:23 56:12 57:18 activity 23:25 apparently 38:16 44:24 59:16 63:13 26:8 57:23,24 appeal 2:6 5:6,16, **7.75** 25:8 58:20 afforded 11:3 19,23 9:14,18 77 20:2 actual 17:1 24:2 afternoon 6:7 52:17 55:7 59:18 38:1 45:23 56:1 agency 6:1 **Appeals** 2:1 5:7 57:17 63:14 8 agent 63:13 **APPEARANCES** add 64:10,13 3:1 8 2:17 4:11 5:1.8 agreed 10:16 addition 14:19 14:12 25:3,5,8 appears 58:24 agreement 33:6,7 27:22,23 32:11 additional 7:9 61:17 53:6,19 65:10 10:4 21:4 34:11, Appellant 2:8 3:7 24 43:20 54:13,21 agreements **8/1/2003** 20:3 7:1,12,22 9:16 56:6,8 57:25 36:12 40:24,25 10:13,17 18:7 45:16,23 53:21 Additionally 56:4 52:7,11,13,19,22 9 54:4 55:17,18 58:18 54:3,5,7,9,11,18, 56:18 59:5 62:3 22 55:2,5,8,17 address 56:11 **9** 4:11 14:15 15:19 ahead 36:8 56:11 59:2,10,13 93 20:6 addressed 10:4 61:4 albeit 55:5 58:3 appellant's 4:11 9th 32:9 Aldrich 3:5 5:14 adjourned 65:18 6:21 7:18 9:8 44:19,20,21 45:2, 10:24 11:12 53:22 administrative Α 5,13,18 46:2,7,10, 56:8,15 58:25 18,24 47:4,7,9,14, 5:5,13,15 6:5,11, **Apple** 55:3 15,18 7:1,4,11,14, 25 48:11,15,21 **A-1** 2:7 5:6 6:8 17 9:4,9,16,21,25 49:5,7,13,15,18 11:17,22 12:3 **apples** 18:25 19:1 10:7,15,21 11:10 50:1 60:6,7 64:10, 13:4,9,24 14:6,14 12.13 applicable 45:14 14:19 20:15 21:8, 15:1,8,14,16,22 15,19 30:22 16:3,9,10 17:1,4, allocated 10:24 application 20:19 40:14,19 41:2,7, 12,21 18:1,17 11:1 14,17,25 42:10,20 approved 12:16 20:6 22:10,19 allocation 17:8 43:2,9,12,24 29:10,16 30:1 approximately 44:10,13,18 45:2, 33:6 34:13,15 allowed 19:23 5:9 11:11 52:4,21 5,13,18 46:2,7,10, 40:24 45:6,8 18,24 47:4,7,9,14, alternatively **April** 52:10 46:19 48:2 52:7, 25 48:11,15,21 63:20 15 55:6 56:15 area 31:23 49:5,7,13,15,18 58:10 62:16 63:4, amount 20:9 50:1,4,11,20,24 argue 9:24 10:1 24:23 25:25 52:24 51:2,6,12,22,25 56:14 57:13 58:7 arguing 59:23 A-1's 32:18 58:6 59:19,22 60:5,7,9, 59:17 11,13 61:3 64:2,7, argument 18:24 **A-15** 56:22 58:2 12,14,16,22 65:4, amounts 10:12 20:17 61:12 **A-16** 57:3 58:14 7 12:13 arguments 10:4, **A-17** 58:12 admitted 7:15 9:5 **Andrew** 3:3 5:15 25 13:16 a.m. 2:16 5:2,9 Annette 14:16 Arizona 49:1,4 adverse 34:12 15:24 55:4 absolutely 38:14 aspect 60:14

asserted 54:11 60:24 asserting 60:23 assessments 64:1

assets 17:8,12 34:8 52:12

assigned 36:1

attached 16:1 30:18 43:21

attachment 41:21

attained 14:13 attempt 14:25

attend 14:11

attention 44:23

attest 55:5

attesting 55:9

audit 12:17,25 17:5,7,14 18:10 19:2,3,20,24 20:4, 7,10,11,12 27:24 29:25 32:16 33:22,23,25 34:2 35:6,24,25 38:16 39:6,11,15,16,21 41:10 44:1 50:6,8 51:8 54:3 55:7 57:5,9,16,20,22 58:12,17 60:18, 19,23 62:15,16 63:6,14,21

audited 10:18 12:11

auditing 63:13

auditor 34:4 36:1, 3 56:18,23 57:6, 22 62:25

August 57:3

average 17:3,15 27:25

В

back 7:25 12:11 19:6 28:8 34:2 35:4,18 36:15 38:19 46:3,16 47:6,12 49:7 50:3

based 13:4 20:9 28:20 29:8 54:6, 10 59:9,15

basically 13:12 22:16 25:14 31:16 33:5 50:18

basis 18:7 24:16 31:17 32:7 42:12, 13 59:10.14

bear 28:17

began 52:10

beginning 2:16 41:3

believed 58:10

Bernardino 25:7 32:11 48:24

biggest 48:25

bill 31:10 44:7

billed 32:2 41:9 54:16 59:12

billing 19:2 20:3 22:16 24:13 35:5 39:16 42:17 56:17 57:8 58:2,16 59:6 62:15 63:2

binders 6:23 7:2

Bishop 4:5 6:9,13 11:1 12:4 14:8,10, 20 17:9,17 18:4 21:9,13 22:3 38:19 40:13 44:22 51:7 58:9 61:14, 22 62:18 64:17

Bishop's 14:12 17:20

bit 46:4

blown 49:12

Board 13:3 19:13, 20 34:3 35:18 37:17 39:7 52:23 56:13 59:16

Board's 18:10

BOE 12:20 56:13, 18,23 57:4

border 49:4

break 41:11 51:16

briefing 7:20 13:17

briefly 9:20 10:22 33:24

bring 47:5

bringing 52:25

broke 24:12 35:12

broken 23:15

business 12:4 17:8 18:17 23:1 28:9,21 35:9 38:9, 10 41:9 44:2,14 46:15 47:19 52:8, 10,12,15 55:24 58:12,25 63:1,4,8,

C

calculation 10:10

California 2:2,15 5:1,10 11:18 12:17 31:6 39:17 48:25 52:8,9 55:3

called 14:14 21:14 36:8

cancelled 56:19

captured 55:23

case 5:7 11:15 18:20 22:3 36:1 39:15 51:8 65:9

cases 13:22

cautionary 58:13

caveat 62:14

CDTFA 6:1,2,3,4, 15,24 7:6,8,15,21 9:13 10:16 12:19 13:16 14:24 20:12 40:15,16 41:20 52:3 60:6,10,22 64:3,7

CDTFA's 4:12 7:12,16 10:10 11:2 12:24 20:8 34:10,22 60:16

Cerritos 2:15 5:1, 10

certificate 50:25

cetera 45:9 47:1

change 38:13 58:24 60:17

changed 12:5,6 18:20 38:8,11 60:15,23,25 63:4

channel 5:11

charge 16:15,25 24:20 28:6 34:20 35:15 42:1 43:5 53:11

charged 16:23 18:1,3 26:1,15,20 27:17,20 31:19 32:12 42:12,13 43:4 54:19,21 56:2,6

charges 11:16 13:13,15 16:5,11, 14 20:21,24 35:13 53:1,3,14,18,20 54:8,13,23 56:8 59:11 63:18

charging 25:6,8 28:10 35:12,13 50:9

check 6:19 64:9

checks 56:19

Index: chemical..customers

chemical 12:22 **closing** 4:16 11:4 conducted 5:10 48:3 52:24 56:14 18:13,15 19:17 20:5,11 61:5,9,11 20:1,12 32:16 57:12 59:17 20:20 39:22 40:6 64:4.8 conducting 5:19 **correctly** 9:14,17 52:9 53:1 57:1 co-owner 6:9 12:22 18:14 19:17 59:13 62:21 63:22 conference 7:7,8 14:16 35:2 38:4 39:13 9:11 11:21 chemicals 29:18 40:6,25 56:25 Code 18:8 20:18 42:5 50:18 confirm 9:13,17 57:8 62:21 63:22 57:11 59:15 46:8 **chose** 33:16 cost 17:2,3,15,22 collect 58:7 connection 20:4, 28:3 48:1 49:13, circumstances column 23:25 19 50:17,18 5 34:7 59:12 33:24 26:1 considered **counsel** 3:10.12 circumstantial combination 20:22 30:4 53:15 30:19 56:4 61:13 57:18 counties 48:25 **cited** 59:2 commented consistently County 48:24 claim 19:3 35:8 56:23 63:1 57:9 58:5 couple 39:18,20 comments 57:20 constitute 62:15 40:20 51:4 59:23 claiming 19:1 communication construction court 22:5 63:24 claims 12:12 35:6 47:16 26:24 29:5 33:12 covered 58:22 37:20 58:3 companies 46:1 contact 35:18 clarification 21:9 58:11 **covers** 29:19,21 contacted 35:20 50:13 clarify 51:7 company 12:8,11 contention 17:25 credit 56:21 15:10,18,23 clean 15:11 46:21 45:24 51:13 60:2 22:11,15 31:6 **curious** 41:25 cleaned 15:17 32:7 35:11 39:12 continued 62:25 24:15 44:4 48:6,25 current 50:8 contract 14:3 50:12 61:18 60:19 cleaning 11:16 33:5 46:5 62:4 compare 18:25 customer 13:24 12:1,15,20 13:15, contracts 12:8 21,25 14:3,6,23 14:4,14 26:20 **complete** 20:2,6, 30:8 51:9 62:3 15:6,7,10,17 16:5, 29:21 32:5 41:12 10 6,8,12,14,20 contrast 20:4 42:7 43:7 46:4,21 18:13,23 19:14 completed 39:11 47:1,21 51:9 convenience 20:21 24:10 29:15 54:18,21 56:6 completely 35:3 15:13 30:1 32:18 33:7 64:18 36:14 39:2,22 40:2 42:2 conversation customers 11:17 50:14 51:14 52:18 **complex** 27:2,3 39:4 12:9 13:8,23 14:2, 53:2,3,4,8,15,23 concern 38:4 Conversely 53:8 21 15:1,9,14,18, 54:1,14,23 55:6,7, 21 16:8 17:1 18:1, 9 56:23 61:15,19 conclude 59:20 **Coolly** 33:12 20 19:13 22:20,22 62:18,19 63:18,21 64:9,11,15 65:8 29:16 30:1 32:18 **copies** 23:10 64:18,19,20 concluded 54:24 40:24 41:9 45:9. copy 6:20,24 7:2, clear 61:18 64:23 21 46:8,16 47:22 concludes 65:15 3 30:16 57:4 54:4,15 55:4 56:1, clearance 17:14 8 58:8 59:12 60:4 condition 14:3 corporation 52:8 **client** 14:20 61:20 64:24 47:10 53:5 **correct** 6:14,17 clients 11:24 12:6 conditions 58:20 10:13,20 22:8 32:12,13 33:9,10, closed 52:11 65:9 conduct 5:23 22 42:25 43:17 62:16

Index: Dan..essentially

deny 59:18 63:25 discussed 7:6 63:9 D 9:10 10:8 Edison 48:6 **Department** 18:25 30:17,20 discusses 20:19 effect 14:22 18:5 **Dan** 14:17 32:17.23 54:24 dispute 10:9,10, 55:22 56:7 57:14, effective 52:11 **Darlene** 4:5 6:9, 17 13 14:8 21:13 elements 16:2 distinct 11:24 **Department's** date 5:8 23:22 elevate 61:17 56:12 distribute 6:20 26:7 62:23 65:15, enclosed 57:4 16 depend 43:6 distributed 6:24 end 12:21.23 dated 20:3,7 depending 49:2 dixit 62:11 23:21 25:10 26:24 20:13 22:25 38:6 depends 29:3 document 32:21 44:10 46:15 47:18 31:11 32:3 43:6 49:2 documentary dates 24:2,4,8 **depict** 24:19 16:10 20:24 21:2, ending 2:16 24:7 27:11 5 53:17,25 54:22 depicted 23:6 engagement day 37:2 38:24 55:14,16,21,22 46:4 depreciating 56:3 61:15 62:6,9 days 65:15 59:7 ensure 5:22 documentation deals 14:9 35:5 depreciation 41:15 60:3 61:2 entered 12:8 December 56:25 59:3 13:22 documenting Decerata 26:5 describing 48:19 45:20 **entire** 61:11 decide 5:23 30:9 details 22:18 documents entities 11:23 12:25 21:4 36:9 18:18 decision 5:18 determination 37:2,10 45:7,15 10:3 12:24 13:1,2, 18:12 19:5.24 entitled 19:5 46:3 61:25 11,17 14:24 16:1, 34:10,12,23 57:25 56:21 63:20 17,22 17:7 41:20 62:11 63:21 **Drain** 52:15 56:15 **entity** 18:11 52:14 43:21 62:1 determinations drive 2:15 49:3 56:15 58:19 59:2, declarants 9:1,7 19:19 39:18 40:10 4,17 63:9 driver 42:15.18 declaration 14:8, determine 19:7 entries 26:8 due 23:22 52:24 10,12,16,18 28:6 56:20 56:14 57:13,25 entry 24:18 15:19,24 determined 58:7 59:18 **Environmental** declarations 19:22 40:2 62:19 duly 21:14 52:13 6:22 7:24 14:13 64:1 15:4.5 21:1 22:3.8 Dunnell 31:25 **equal** 5:18,20 determines 44:24 55:20 61:21 65:13 53:19 duplicative 7:23 62:9 **Equalization** determining decline 54:15 34:3 35:19 52:23 53:10 Ε 56:13 59:16 deductible 10:12 Diamond 52:12 equipment 35:10 deduction 10:11 e-mail 46:8.16 differences 47:16,19 equivalent 20:11 defense 58:16 60:22 e-mails 46:13,14 **error** 24:6 deficiency 52:20 direct 22:1 44:23 55:24 essential 35:6 deluxe 28:3 directs 53:22 Earl 14:13 55:3 essentially 12:16 demonstrate disallowed 10:11 earlier 19:6 33:20 17:22 19:2 26:13 58:19 58:4

Generally 55:16 establish 54:1,5, 9:5,8 13:11 filing 34:18 39:17 existence 12:3 fill-in-the-blank **girls** 23:1 33:3 55:8 established **expect** 54:14 **aive** 29:12 42:16 17:19 18:7 59:10. final 11:3 64:15 44:24 13 63:17 expected 12:4 finally 18:6 35:16 **good** 6:7 15:16 establishes expenses 18:23 38:6 47:24 62:3 53:24 55:14 experience 28:20 find 23:11 goodness 49:14 establishing explain 61:14 16:11 20:24 53:18 finding 58:1,16 **Graham** 14:13 54:23 express 38:3 55:3 findings 38:17 event 46:25 **Graham's** 15:19 **extent** 22:14 **finds** 56:7 evidence 14:7,21 **Great** 6:5.11.18 fine 43:10 49:16 15:4,5 16:11 17:3, F 9:4 10:7,21 11:10 15 20:24 21:2,5 fingertips 37:15 21:19 43:16 53:17,25 fact 15:9 19:14 greater 18:2 flat 31:20 54:20,22 55:14, 55:5,25 61:23 16,23 56:3,4 **FOB** 19:21 **guess** 39:16 62:16 57:18,20 59:9 59:24,25 focus 58:5 facts 58:20 61:15 62:6,8,9 guys 35:13 63:3,7 64:23 **follow** 46:8 failing 52:23 **exact** 39:4 56:13 59:17 follow-up 9:7 Н 50:5 failure 57:12 58:7 examination 22:1 59:1 foot 47:23 half 22:13 28:13 fair 28:15 62:23 examined 21:15 form 55:17 57:20 hand 21:11 23:9. fairly 17:1 57:6,17,22 61:17 10 29:18 30:16,19 **fallen** 34:15 32:3,5,22 41:23 examples 15:25 formal 62:2 42:14,19,23 fancy 17:4 excluding 59:10 found 12:20.24 **happen** 46:20 favor 63:25 13:10 19:15 exemption 16:4, 50:15 **FBO** 19:6,25 16 four-year 38:23 happened 24:9 56:17 57:4,5 58:6, exercises 20:10 free 10:1 9,18 63:6 hard 28:25 29:5,7 exercising 9:2 friends 28:9 fee 18:1,3 47:2,3,8 Hartwick 32:3,5 48:22 51:13 59:3 exhibit 6:21,23 **full** 57:9 58:7,12 41:23 42:14,19 7:2 12:18,24 13:1, fees 16:20 51:14 full-blown 19:20 Hawks 25:10 12 14:8,12,15,17 felt 28:15 15:19,24 16:1,16, functionally health 14:11 17 17:6 20:3,8 20:11 field 19:2 20:3,7 heard 5:7,12 23:10,12,18 25:9 35:5 39:16 56:17 26:4,5 30:15,18 hearing 2:18 3:13 57:8 58:2,15 59:6 G 31:3 32:2,20,22 5:9.12.17 14:11 62:14 63:2 39:19 41:20,21 65:13,16,18 44:24 48:12,13 figured 29:12 gas 48:6 49:5 57:3 58:2,12,14 Helena 55:4 file 35:8 37:20 gave 13:24 37:4 helps 48:13 exhibits 4:11,12 39:16,21 42:18 6:19,20 7:6,9,12, filed 12:13 22:4 Hesperia 52:9 general 28:20 14,16,18,19,20,23

i7

Index: hired..law

hired 23:1 32:6 **inform** 57:23 item 10:16 40:5 kinds 45:19 46:11 45:3 Hon 3:3,5 information 5:22 knew 35:9 56:19 **items** 10:1,8 honestly 49:17 **Kwee** 3:3 5:5.15 46:20 49:8 50:22 65:3 insert-name-of-6:5,11,15,18 7:1, company 55:9 4,11,14,17 9:4,9, Honor 6:7 9:19,23 J 16,21,25 10:7,15, 11:9 41:11 42:4 instance 27:18 21 11:10 20:15 43:20 44:5 61:1 21:8,19 30:22 Jason 3:13 6:4 **Honors** 11:14 40:14,19 41:2,7, interest 18:8 job 29:20 48:10 61:7 14,17,25 42:10,20 59:14 43:2,9,12,24 Joseph 3:8 **hours** 20:2,6 37:1 introduce 61:21 44:10,13,18 50:3, Josh 5:14 4,11,20,24 51:2, **Houston** 23:19 introduced 61:24 25 59:19,22 60:5, Joshua 3:5 husband 28:12 investigate 37:16 9,13 61:3 64:2,7, 34:1 47:20 judge 5:5,13,14, 14 65:7 **invoice** 18:19 16,19,21 6:5,11, 23:14,19 25:2,10 15,18 7:1,4,11,14, ı 26:4,7 32:2 41:19, L 17 9:4,9,16,21,25 22 43:15 48:13 10:7,15,21 11:10 49:8 54:8 59:11 I/we 33:5 **labor** 58:5 20:15 21:8,15,19 invoiced 12:6 idea 49:19 30:22 40:14,19 lack 58:16 41:2,7,14,17,25 invoices 11:17 identified 14:25 laid 36:11 37:3 42:10,20 43:2,9, 12:9 13:9,12,19 29:9 54:18 12,24 44:10,13, 15:25 16:13 18:22 language 53:23 18,19,20,21 45:2, ill 14:11 22:22 23:4,6 58:14 5,13,18 46:2,7,10, 38:12 41:3,8,15 impeccable 18,24 47:4,7,9,14, large 15:13 42:12 43:14,20 37:13 25 48:11,15,21 45:9.22 53:23 **lasted** 51:17 49:5,7,13,15,18 **impose** 13:14 54:7,10 55:17,19 50:1,3,4,5,11,20, late 34:18 62:23 56:1,19 61:13 imposed 57:15 24 51:2,3,6,12,22, 62:2 **law** 5:5,13,15 6:5, 25 59:19,22 60:5, inception 35:9 11,15,18 7:1,4,11, involved 22:12,18 7,9,11,13 61:3 38:9 14,17 9:4,9,16,21, 64:2,7,10,12,14, involvement 25 10:7,15,21 **include** 40:23 16,22 65:4,7 22:15 11:10 20:15 21:8, 47:2 judges 5:13,17 15,19 30:22 **ipse** 62:11 included 42:5 65:12 40:14,19 41:2,7, 50:19 **issuance** 58:18 14,17,25 42:10,20 **July** 12:17 38:15 includes 43:16 43:2,9,12,24 **issue** 9:23 10:5,10 56:17,24 44:10,13,18 45:2, 11:15,20 14:9 including 14:7 justifiably 63:12 5,13,18 46:2,7,10, 18:6 47:16 50:6 45:16 52:12 18,24 47:4,7,9,14, 52:18,22 57:16 25 48:11,15,21 Incorporated 58:5 Κ 49:5,7,13,15,18 52:7 **issued** 13:4,10 50:1,4,11,20,24 increase 17:16 Kattie 36:4 38:11 23:19 56:17 63:2, 51:2,6,12,22,25 59:9,19,22 60:5,7, 15 individuals 33:13 Keith 3:5 5:14 9,11,13 61:3 64:2, 61:22 **issues** 9:10,13, key 11:20 7,12,14,16,22 17.22 10:3 19:21 industry 18:3 65:4.7 kind 62:11 38:7 50:7 52:17

Index: lead..numerous

lead 3:3 5:15,19 lose 51:17 **math** 17:23 43:4 44:6 54:12, 16,19 56:2 59:25 lot 14:7 46:14 **lease** 14:3 16:19 matter 2:6 5:6 monthly 18:1,21 19:12 36:12 40:23 11:22 15:13 17:14 **Lots** 49:5 24:16 27:25 28:16 44:5,11 45:16,23, **matters** 19:22 **LP** 52:13 25 53:19,21 54:4, 42.13 6 55:17,18 56:18 meaning 11:18 months 17:23,24 59:5.12 45:14 M 29:12 51:18 leased 49:22 63:8 meant 50:21 mount 49:22 Maaske 2:18 leases 13:8,23 measure 52:21 mouth 47:23 20:19 39:13 45:8, made 14:1,25 meet 5:17 36:5, 10,19,20,25 60:19 **move** 48:7,9,10 16:22 19:20 22:7 15,20 65:12 30:6 36:13 **leasing** 18:19 meeting 36:23 35:11 37:23 44:4 Ν mailed 65:14 53:12 58:11 members 3:5 **main** 11:15 5:20 65:12 **names** 5:25 **left** 5:14 maintained 15:17 memorialized **nature** 12:15 14:5 **legal** 10:17,25 12:9 maintenance 15:7 22:14 29:15 20:17 55:21 60:17 64:17 11:16 12:1,16 memory 17:12 lengthy 36:23 13:15,21,25 14:4, necessarily men 29:20 6,23 15:6,8 16:4, lessee 53:5.9 54:2 19:21 6,7,11,14,20 59:7 mentioned 42:21 needed 28:12 18:23 20:21 24:10 59:23 **lessees** 12:10 25:11 29:16 30:2 Nest 25:10 38:4 met 37:20,24 32:19 33:8 39:1 51:14 52:18 53:1, Nevada 49:1 lessor 20:23 53:7, million 52:21 4,8,15,20 54:1,13, 10,17 55:13 59:5 nice 37:12 mind 36:18 23 61:16,19 62:6 **letter** 57:4 62:14 63:18 non-mandatory minimal 50:17,18 15:7 letterhead 58:14 make 10:23 16:18 minutes 9:12 64:5 65:5 non-taxable liability 47:15 10:24,25 11:2,3, 18:23 58:1 12,21 52:4 61:5 makes 44:16 64:4 nontaxable 58:5 life 17:18,20 28:22 man 47:20 29:8 49:9 mistaken 12:19 noon 11:11 mandatory 11:18 lines 13:18 14:24 mix 24:8 12:21 13:22 14:1 note 7:23 15:16 16:21 18:14 list 23:3 model 58:25 63:4. **noticed** 44:6,8,14 19:15,16 20:22 10 listed 10:3 18:21 32:19 39:23 40:3 notified 34:2 51:14 53:1,5,11, moment 7:24 live 5:11 November 2:17 30:23 16,20 54:25 56:5, 5:1,8 65:10 long 3:5 5:14 10:2 9,24 61:16 62:7, momentarily 22:12 29:9 43:8 10,19,20 63:19,22 **number** 62:18 13:20 49:11 50:5 51:3,6, **manner** 38:12 numbering 7:18 12,22 60:9,11 money 51:17 64:14,16,22 65:4 March 25:12 numerous 45:15 Montana 34:1 longer 29:2 51:19 **match** 42:18 36:15 55:4 looked 19:14 materials 39:24 **month** 13:14 42:11 16:25 17:24 28:7

39:12 40:25 46:4 panel 3:3,5 5:12, performed 42:3 0 62:3 65:16 20,21 52:1 59:18 54:1 64:9 65:12 orally 62:2,17 **period** 9:6 12:5 objection 9:5 panelists 40:21 17:5 19:6.7 24:14 oranges 19:1 27:24 31:13 33:25 objections 7:12 paper 29:18 42:5 order 10:22 12:13 35:4 41:10 47:15 50:13,17 **obtain** 32:17 17:14 19:2 20:3 56:24 58:21,22 33:14,18 63:5,9 35:5 39:16 56:17 papers 17:7 57:22 57:8 58:2 59:6 obtained 14:20 paragraph 14:9, periods 12:12 62:15 63:2 20:5 39:15 12,15,17 15:20,24 18:11 20:1,12 order's 58:16 29:25 34:11 37:5 occasion 32:17 Park 2:15 orders 9:12 11:21 permit 52:10 occasions 46:9 Parker 3:13 6:4 12:10 permitted 15:9 October 32:9 part 12:18 16:6 OTA 2:7 5:7 6:19 person 57:14 20:22 23:11 24:7 offer 22:19 **OTA's** 5:11 33:6 53:2.16 56:9 person's 57:12, offered 11:23 57:21 61:25 overcome 55:12 13 15:4,8,15 29:16 participants 61:19 62:8 overpaid 37:8 pertaining 57:9 5:18,20 65:14 38:21 45:6 50:6 58:2 offers 14:6 56:20 58:10 parties 5:24 6:23 **Phillip** 14:10 offhand 43:23 7:25 33:11 41:18 overpayment 61:25 pick 30:25 office 2:1 5:7 13:5 45:24 58:4 22:16,17 36:11,20 partnership placements owed 34:11,23 10:18 52:15 28:24 29:1 opening 5:5 owned 30:13 32:5 10:24 11:2,7,13 **Plaza** 2:15 party 11:3 17:13 44:11 20:13 52:3 59:20 44:2 Plumbing 14:17 61:12 owner 59:8,25 past 30:10,12 **point** 19:25 61:1,4 **operated** 52:8,14 ownership 59:1 38:22 65:1 **points** 16:22 opinion 28:21 owns 60:21 pause 30:23 65:9,13,14 portable 11:25 pay 19:8,11 28:18 12:1,22 13:5,23 opt 64:18 Ρ 35:10 39:1 50:21 15:9 16:7,23,25 52:24 56:14 57:12 opted 15:14 17:4,18 18:4,13 59:17 p.m. 2:17 65:18 19:8 20:20 22:11, option 9:2 13:25 **paying** 34:16 21 24:1 27:5,7,25 54:2 55:6 package 6:21 35:14,23 41:13 28:7 29:6 33:8 optional 11:18 pages 4:11 16:16 44:8 38:5 39:22 48:5. 12:15 14:5,23 17:6 23:12 16,18 49:19 50:12 penalties 18:8 15:6 16:5,8,12 52:9,19,25 53:12 paid 12:14 13:5 59:14 19:15,16 20:25 54:12.16.19 56:10 49:21 50:8 51:20 21:3 30:4,7 32:19 people's 30:25 57:1 59:12 60:20 56:21 51:15 53:3,9,11, 62:21 percent 25:3,5,8 18,20,24 54:6,9, Paley 3:10 6:2,17, Portables 2:75:6 27:22,23 32:12 10,13,15,24 25 7:10 9:3,15 6:8 11:17,23 12:3 55:10,11,15,19,25 10:20 11:8 23:13 percentage 13:10 14:14 15:1, 61:16,19 62:7 30:21 32:25 40:18 15:14 16,22 16:3,9,10 63:19 52:6 59:21 60:2, Perfect 7:4 22:10,19 33:6 25 64:6 oral 12:8 33:6 34:13.15 40:24

46:19 52:7,15 56:16 57:15 58:22 pursuant 54:25 reasonable 57:13 63:4 59:6 60:18 59:15 58:15 62:13 portion 24:20 proceed 21:21 **put** 38:22 47:23 reasons 25:18 25:1 26:15.21 61:6 56:7 63:16,24 50:16 59:11 **Proceedings** Q rebut 15:5 62:8 position 16:13,24 2:14 rebuttal 61:10 48:7 59:24 60:16 qualifies 16:3 process 7:20 recall 17:11 34:24 possibly 51:20 11:6 14:19 question 10:11 40:7 43:22 20:17 21:1 30:24 practices 12:4 produce 5:18 receipt 40:6 42:16 40:22 43:25 50:12 18:17 37:23 38:8 65:13 57:17,23 60:14 57:10 58:13 receipts 12:22 proper 39:5 18:15 19:17 34:5 questioned 38:1 predecessor properly 13:7 36:9,13 42:18 11:23 12:19 questioning 19:12 35:21 38:7 52:25 62:21 63:23 18:11,17 56:13,15 30:23 57:24 57:14 58:19 59:4, receivables questions 5:21 17 proposed 64:1 22:17 9:1,6,7 11:5 38:1 prehearing 7:7 receive 7:2 prove 33:15 35:17 40:16,20,21 9:11 11:21 44:20,22 50:2,5 provide 17:14 **received** 4:11,12 51:4,23 52:1 60:6, prepare 22:22 37:18 54:22 55:14 7:16 9:8 8,10,11 64:15 present 58:17 provided 7:21 recently 15:3 65:6 59:2 12:10 16:10 21:2 55:2 quickly 23:18 37:10 42:22 45:15 presentation record 5:6,24 47:10 52:19 53:25 quote 12:20,21,23 10:25 11:2,7,13 12:18 13:11 14:7 54:3,7 55:8,18 40:5 52:4 59:20 17:12 23:11 40:23 56:3 59:5 63:23 43:19 65:8 presentations 64:24 R 10:23 records 37:13 provision 20:20 55:24 presented 19:21 raise 21:11 28:12, **public** 5:11 11:25 recover 12:13 14 presumption pump 64:20 17:22 29:10 55:12 ran 62:25 **pumped** 29:17 refer 16:16 50:3 pretty 28:25 33:3, ranges 32:9 31:9 42:16 4 47:12 49:11 reference 10:19 51:10 ranging 33:12 **pumping** 31:13, 12:25 25:11 27:10 22 42:1 rate 48:17 previous 20:11 referenced 7:22 39:16 62:24 63:20 purchase 12:10 11:20 13:17 15:23 re-rent 45:12 16:9 17:21 28:18 20:2 26:21 31:14 previously 7:19 reach 14:25 29:10 44:6 45:11 32:20 60:18 9:11 52:13 56:16 49:24 51:16 53:6, readied 42:16 references 14:18 price 16:7 17:21 9 56:21 24:10 18:21 20:23 29:10 reads 45:5 purchased 12:14 49:3 53:3,16 referencing 25:1 ready 29:23 36:10 13:6 17:4,23 19:9 56:10 35:11 44:5,11 61:6 64:8 65:8 referred 40:10 prices 17:9 45:12 46:1 50:14, realm 24:3 27:9 referring 39:18 15,21 **prior** 19:19,23 reason 19:22 **refers** 31:16 34:10,15 37:3 purchases 17:8 62:17 44:1 50:6 55:4 35:23 50:8 58:6

reflect 26:8 remained 38:10 representing S 58:21 59:3 reflected 27:8 remarks 11:4 represents 32:4 reflects 17:1 31:4 sale 20:6 38:9 61:5 64:4.8 32:8 requested 58:9 44:14 remember 36:25 refresh 17:11 require 29:21 **sales** 11:19 12:13 37:25 39:3 43:10 42:6 48:22 13:4,5,7,14 16:2,4 47:11 49:16,17 17:13 19:3,8,11 refreshed 29:18, required 14:1 rent 15:9 16:24 25:5 34:11,16 22 16:9 19:8,10,11 35:11 46:19 36:9,13 39:1 45:6, 29:19 30:1 33:7, **refund** 12:12 9 54:7,8,10 55:17, rental 11:25 12:22 15 39:1 53:6,9 19:2,6 35:6,8 18,25 56:16,19 55:13,20 58:22 13:13 16:7,15,19, 37:6,18,20 38:23 57:15 58:5,10 24 18:1,3,12,15, 39:7 57:9 58:3 requirement 21 20:23 22:11 **sample** 57:17 61:20 refunds 13:4 23:3 24:18,20 **samples** 13:10 25:20,24 27:25 requisite 53:23 regard 15:3,22 28:1,7,11,13,16 **San** 25:7 32:11 resale 50:14,25 62:13 32:15 40:6 50:17, 48:24 regular 17:4,16, 19 51:13 52:19 resold 56:21 sanitary 25:17 53:2,5,16,19,21 21 27:25 28:7 resolve 50:9 54:4,20 56:10 sanitized 29:23 regulation 11:19 59:2 62:6,21 respect 10:9 51:8, 12:23 16:3 18:15 sanitizer 29:19 63:23 12 52:25 64:17 19:18 40:7 53:11, SBE 20:1 35:20 rentals 23:4 56:2 14,22,24 54:25 respective 10:5 55:13,20 57:1 57:1 scheduled 57:21 Respondent 3:10 58:22 62:22 63:23 rented 15:14,18, seat 29:19,21 response 12:12 22 17:1 46:25 relate 25:23 27:12 50:13 48:2 51:15 54:11 58:4 responses 30:25 secretary's 24:6 59:4,24 60:3 related 23:4 45:7 result 13:3 Section 18:9 renting 44:2 52:9 46:20 56:19 63:21 resulting 50:8 20:18 57:11 54:16 **relates** 18:19 return 46:21 seeking 37:6 rents 39:21 relating 58:20 **returns** 34:18 segregated rephrase 46:25 relationship 13:13 Revenue 18:8 report 12:17,25 28:17 45:21 57:11 59:15 segregation 20:7 56:17 57:5, releasing 63:8 13:16 16 63:15 **review** 19:25 relevant 11:22 46:11 57:17 59:6 **seller's** 52:10 reported 2:18 13:7 57:24 reliance 57:13 reviewed 37:10 **selling** 34:7 44:15 62:13 45:7,19,23 56:18 Reporter 2:18 **sense** 16:18 relied 18:10 52:22 revised 6:21,22 44:16 reporting 57:8 56:12 58:15 **Richard** 3:7 6:8 sentence 45:10 represent 5:25 relief 18:7 58:1 Road 31:25 separate 11:24 representative 59:14 21:6 49:21,24 3:13 11:12 41:8 row 23:24 27:10 relieved 57:15 separately 16:14, representatives rule 63:25 rely 19:23 39:12 19 18:21 23:3 6:1 30:17 32:24 62:25 63:12,20 run 49:12

service 15:15 **shows** 23:21 10 subleasing 44:3 22:21 23:4 24:2,4 24:18 25:2 32:11 subletting 58:25 standard 18:3 25:11 27:11 54:20 57:16 60:3 49:23 53:12 30:10,11,12,13 64:24 submit 14:5 19:3 31:7,10 32:6 start 5:25 11:6 59:9 63:24 sign 22:3 33:16 42:6,8,19 40:21 49:2 submitted 7:19 43:15,16,17 48:22 signatures 33:11 started 10:22 14:22 15:1 55:2, 52:13 53:6,10,20 significant 63:5 28:8 20 61:14 62:10 54:8,14,15,25 65:9 55:7 64:20 significantly state 2:2 5:25 Subparagraph 17:17 12:17 13:3 16:14 service-only 18:10 19:13,20 11:19 15:25 Silver 26:24 23:10 34:3 35:18 substance 61:17, **services** 11:16,24 similar 55:8 37:17 39:7,17 18 12:1,16,20 13:22 49:1 55:19 61:17 similarly 17:10 14:1,2,4,6,23 62:7,11,23 64:1 substantive 63:3 15:6,8,11 16:5,6, **simply** 15:21 **stated** 12:23 subtotal 25:2 8,9,12 18:13 16:24 18:15 40:6 single 54:18 56:6 19:15 20:22 22:19 successor 10:18 57:1,5 62:22 26:12 29:16 30:2, **sink** 27:3,5,8,14, 18:18 4,7 31:5,13,17 statement 13:20 15 41:5 48:4 49:9 sufficient 16:15 32:8,19 33:8 39:2, 61:12 **sir** 7:3 41:1 45:17 58:1 61:15 22 40:2 42:2,22, 48:14 statements 4:16 24 43:4 47:2 **Suite** 2:16 14:20 15:2,5 22:7 48:17 52:18 53:2, **sites** 29:5 32:17 33:2,14,19 summarized 3,4,8,15,24 54:5, 39:20 55:3,9,11 sitting 6:8 9:12,14,18 10,21,24 55:6,10, 61:9,24 62:24 11,15,19,25 56:5, situation 16:21 **Sunny** 3:10 6:2 6,9,23 61:16,20, **states** 54:8 46:19 47:12 65:5 supplies 50:14 21 62:7,10,18,20 station 47:1 **slow** 31:1 63:18,21 64:18,19 support 16:15 statutory 10:17 **small** 48:5 61:22 servicing 25:15 26:10 50:12 stenographer **Smith** 3:11 6:3 supported 14:7 30:25 15:8 17:6 63:14 set 15:19 55:12 sold 17:12 34:9 **Stephen** 3:11 6:3 **surprise** 34:22,24 52:12 **setup** 48:5 stopped 50:9 son 6:10 surrounding **Sewer** 52:16 33:25 56:16 Strand 26:24 Southern 31:6 swear 21:10 **share** 32:23 streamed 5:11 span 49:9 **sworn** 21:14 55:2 Shelby 2:18 structured 43:3 specific 53:12 **show** 13:12 14:22 stuck 15:21 specifically 14:9 Т 17:3,7,15 19:11 19:13 39:19 **stuff** 65:1 21:2.6 30:15 Stack 3:7 4:19 32:20 57:22 62:5 talk 39:3 sub-issue 9:23 6:7,8,14 7:3,13 showed 56:1 talked 41:22 44:1, subdivision 55:1 9:19,22 10:6,14 15 47:21 showing 53:23 11:9,14 21:5,22 subject 53:2,4 22:2 23:9,16 talking 41:4 56:9,16 shown 23:24 30:16,22 31:1,2 56:21 57:3 58:11 tax 2:1 3:10,12 5:7 32:22 33:1 40:12 subleases 60:18 6:1 11:19 12:11, 61:13 43:19 48:1 61:7,

13 13:4,15 16:2, 15 17:13 18:8,11 19:3 20:19 25:3,5 26:1,20,21 27:17, 20 31:19 32:11,12 33:25 34:24 44:7, 8 50:7,8,9,15,22 52:24 53:2,4 56:9, 14,16,20,21 57:13,15,25 58:4, 7,10 59:11,18 taxable 18:2,22 20:23 24:3.18 25:1,20 26:15 27:8,9,19 52:20 53:16 63:19 taxation 16:4 20:18 57:11 59:15 taxed 13:16 19:12,17 56:25 63.22

taxes 12:22 13:5,7 18:8,14 19:8,11 24:20 34:11,16 35:10,14,23 38:21 39:1 40:6 45:6,24 59:14 62:21

taxing 38:4,7 39:13

taxpayer 6:6,8 11:7 12:21 16:23 18:14 19:5,10,23 39:21 40:5 56:25 57:16,23 59:23 60:21 62:20,25 63:11,17

taxpayer's 18:12

taxpayers 63:25

telling 35:11 40:8

terms 46:8 53:18 54:6

testified 21:15

testify 12:5 17:9, 17 18:5 21:10

testimonial 55:21

testimony 11:1 17:20 20:16 21:6, 21 41:4 60:1

Texas 23:19

thankful 37:13

thereof 58:16

thing 15:16 60:24

things 34:20 35:1 38:2 46:11 48:2 50:13 51:16

thought 29:14

time 5:9,21 12:5 13:4 18:4 20:9 28:10 29:25 30:10 34:4,5,15 35:4 36:8,19 37:1 38:8 39:5 46:13,14,17 48:8,22 52:2 63:5

times 11:22 17:24 36:7 47:22 59:23

today 6:16 7:9 9:24 14:11 60:1 64:15 65:11

today's 5:8,12 65:12,15,16

toilet 12:22 13:13, 23 15:7,16 16:7 17:4,6,16,18 18:1, 15 19:17 22:11 23:14 24:1,11 26:16 27:7,12,15 28:1,7,18,22 42:1, 23 43:3,15,17 48:1,16,18 50:13, 17 51:15,17 54:20 56:2,10 57:1 59:7 61:19 62:21 63:23

toilets 11:25 12:2, 14 13:5,8 15:10, 11,14,17,22 16:24,25 17:2,21, 22 18:4,13,19 19:9,12 20:20 22:21 23:3 24:15 25:15 27:14 29:6, 8,11 38:5 39:13, 22 40:6 41:5 42:3, 24 44:2,11,15 46:20,25 52:9,19 53:1,13 54:12,17 58:25 59:4,13,24 60:20 63:8

told 28:12 37:12, 14 38:18,23 40:1

tomorrow's 65:16

00..0

total 25:3

totally 49:24

trailer 27:4,5,7,16 41:5 48:5,6,12,18

trailers 49:20

transaction 57:23,24 58:21

transactions 42:22,24 57:6,7 60:15.17

Transcript 2:14

transition 30:24

transmitting 62:14

traveling 49:23

treat 61:10

treated 18:22

triggered 17:13

truck 48:9

trucking 32:7

true 22:8 54:14 61:11 62:1

Tuesday 2:17 5:1, 8 65:10

turn 6:6 11:6 20:16 40:15 44:19 50:4 51:3 52:3 61:4 64:3

turning 11:11

two-station 27:15 49:8,9

typical 46:4

typically 13:13

U

Uh-huh 26:25 31:12 35:7 45:4

umbrella 10:2,5

unchanged 58:21

undated 55:8

understand 6:12 7:11 40:23 65:5

understanding 7:21 10:13 40:25 43:13.18 60:16

undertaken 58:13

unit 24:2 25:24 26:11 27:4,5,16, 19 29:17,20,22 30:13 32:5 42:6, 16 46:21 48:18 49:19,22,25

United 31:5

units 27:12 28:3, 11 29:19 31:7 33:8 45:12 48:1,4, 5

untaxed 48:17

utilize 13:25 14:2 15:15 61:20

utilized 14:4

٧

Valley 55:3

vary 17:16

vendor 50:7,22

vendors 12:14 13:6 19:9 45:7,8 56:20 58:6,10

verbal 13:24 30:9 46:13 47:20 51:9

Index: verbatim..Zeitger's

verbatim 37:25 verified 13:7

verify 45:6

versus 60:19

Victorville 32:3,7

view 16:21 20:9

visit 36:20

voluntary 64:17

W

W-H-O-A 14:15

waived 9:6 64:8

wanted 15:12 30:9 37:7 42:8 65:5

warranted 52:21

washing 47:1

website 55:23

week 25:15 29:23 31:10 42:15,17

weekly 13:15 24:17 29:24 31:17 32:6 42:1,12

weeks 24:13

Whoa 14:14 33:12

wiggle 62:24

Wilson 3:8

wise 49:13,19

witnesses 4:4 6:12,16

women 29:19

Woods 36:4 38:11 45:7,15 46:11 62:16 63:14

Woods' 39:12

word 47:20

words 19:16

work 17:7 57:22

Worthy 14:16,17 15:24 55:4

wrapped 38:16

writings 57:21

written 5:18 12:9 13:24 38:13 41:15 52:23 56:12 57:18,20 59:16 62:4 65:13

Υ

year 24:7

years 12:3 17:19 22:13 28:8,13,24 29:1,9 35:16,23 38:19 44:9 51:11 58:11 63:10

Youtube 5:11

Ζ

Zeitger's 23:19