## BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN 7	$\Gamma H E$	MATTER	OF	THE	APPEAL	OF:	)			
							)			
DEL:	IA I	LUEVANO ,					)	CASE	NOS.	18063267
							)			18063268
			ΑI	PPELI	LANT.		)			
							_)			

**CERTIFIED COPY** 

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Sacramento, California

Friday, December 16, 2022

Reported by:

Maria Esquivel-Parkinson, CSR No. 10621, RPR

Job No.: 39621 OTA(A)

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF: )
6	DELIA LUEVANO, ) CASE NOS. 18063267
7	) 18063268 APPELLANT. )
8	)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at
16	400 R Street, Sacramento, California,
17	commencing at 9:45 a.m. and concluding
18	at 11:42 a.m. on Friday, December 16, 2022,
19	reported by Maria Esquivel-Parkinson,
20	CSR No. 10621, RPR, a Certified Shorthand
21	Reporter in and for that State of California.
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Members:	NATASHA RALSTON, Lead ALJ TERESA STANLEY
4		ANDREW KWEE
5		
6	For the Appellant:	DELIA LUEVANO MONICA GONZALES, Representative
7		2, 1 <u>2</u> 2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2
8	For the CDTFA:	OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
9		RANDY SUAZO, Hearing Representative
10		CHRISTOPHER BROOKS, Tax Counsel JASON PARKER, Hearing Representative
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	7
1	INDEX
2	
3	EXHIBITS
4	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-20 were admitted on page 9)
5	(Appellant's Exhibits 21-22 were admitted on
6	page 24)
7	(Appellant's Exhibits 23-24 were admitted on page 25)
8	(Respondent's Exhibits A-L were admitted on
9	page 9)
10	
11	
12	PRESENTATION
13	PAGE
14	By Ms. Gonzalez 12
15	By Mr. Suazo 29
16	
17	
18	REBUTTAL STATEMENT
19	PAGE
20	By Ms. Gonzalez 40
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Sacramento, California; Friday, December 16, 2022
2	9:45 a.m.
3	

2.4

ALJ RALSTON: So we are now on the record in the appeal of Delia Luevano. These matters are being heard before the Office of Tax Appeals. Office of Tax Appeal case numbers are 18063267 and 18063268.

Today's date is Friday, December 16th, 2022, and the time is approximately 9:45 a.m.

Today's hearing is being heard by a panel of three administrative law judges. So I am Judge Ralston, and I will be the lead judge. Judge Stanley and Judge Kwee are the other members of this Tax Appeals panel.

After the hearing all three judges will meet and produce a written decision as equal participants. Although as the lead judge I will conduct the hearing, any judge on this panel may ask questions or otherwise participate to ensure that we have all the information needed to decide this appeal.

As I mentioned earlier, this hearing is being live streamed to the public. It is also being recorded. The transcript and video recording are part of the public record and will be posted on our website.

Also present is our stenographer,

Ms. Esquivel-Parkinson, who is reporting this hearing

1	verbatim. So to ensure that we have an accurate record,
2	we ask that everyone speaks one at a time and does not
3	speak over each other. Also, speak clearly and loudly.
4	When needed, the stenographer will stop the hearing and
5	ask for clarification. And after the hearing, the
6	stenographer will produce the official hearing
7	transcript and this will be available on the Office of
8	Tax Appeals' website. Okay.
9	First I'm going to ask the parties to please
10	state their names and who they represent, and I'm going
11	to start with CDTFA.
12	MR. SUAZO: Randy Suazo, hearing
13	representative, CDTFA.
14	MR. PARKER: Jason Parker, chief of
15	headquarters operations bureau with CDTFA.
16	MR. BROOKS: Christopher Brooks, tax counsel
17	for CDTFA.
18	ALJ RALSTON: Thank you.
19	And for the Appellant?
20	APPELLANT LUEVANO: Delia Luevano.
21	(Reporter interrupted)
22	APPELLANT LUEVANO: Delia Luevano.
23	MS. GONZALEZ: Monica Gonzalez.
24	ALJ RALSTON: And the other persons with you?
25	MR. LUEVANO: Moises Luevano.

1	ALJ RALSTON: Yes. Thank you.
2	Okay. So we had a prehearing conference on
3	June 29th of this year and we discussed the issues to be
4	decided in this appeal. And so there are two issues,
5	and that's whether the appellant has shown that further
6	reductions to the measure of tax are warranted
7	THE INTERPRETER: To the measure of tax
8	ALJ RALSTON: Oh, to the measure of tax are
9	warranted.
10	THE INTERPRETER: with this term.
11	ALJ RALSTON: Oh, when you speak, if you don't
12	mind, if you could press the button so that
13	THE INTERPRETER: It's on. Yeah, sorry. I
14	just wasn't close enough.
15	ALJ RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. What was your
16	question?
17	THE INTERPRETER: I can't interpret "to the
18	measure of tax."
19	ALJ RALSTON: Okay.
20	THE INTERPRETER: Because I'm unfamiliar with
21	the term. Is it a term of art, term of the industry?
22	ALJ RALSTON: Right. Let me see, how about
23	whether appellant has shown that reductions to the
24	amount of the liability are warranted and
25	APPELLANT LUEVANO: Should I say you now?

1	ALJ RALSTON: No. Right now we're just
2	we're just going over things. I will let you know when
3	it's your chance for your opening presentation, but
4	right now we just want to confirm that everyone's on the
5	same page.
6	And the other issue is whether the negligence
7	penalty should apply. Okay. As far as evidence goes
8	from the prehearing conference, we discussed that the
9	Appellant is going to testify under oath.
10	APPELLANT LUEVANO: Yes.
11	ALJ RALSTON: And then is Mister are you
12	calling another witness also?
13	APPELLANT LUEVANO: I have my husband.
14	ALJ RALSTON: Okay. So when we get to that
15	point in the hearing, I will swear both of you in. And
16	after you give your testimony, you may be asked
17	questions by Respondent or also by the members of this
18	panel. Okay.
19	APPELLANT LUEVANO: That's okay.
20	ALJ RALSTON: And then Respondent, CDTFA, does
21	not intend to call any witnesses.
22	And did Respondent have any objection to
23	Appellant's witnesses?
24	MR. SUAZO: No objections.
25	ALJ RALSTON: Thank you. So moving on to

1	exhibits, the Appellant has submitted exhibits 1 through
2	20. Exhibits 1 through 13 were previously submitted.
3	And Respondent did not object to Appellant's
4	Exhibits 1 through 13?
5	MR. SUAZO: No objection.
6	ALJ RALSTON: So Appellant's Exhibits 1 through
7	13 will be admitted without objection.
8	(Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 13 admitted.)
9	ALJ RALSTON: With regard to Appellant's
10	Exhibits 14 through 20, did you receive those exhibits,
11	Mr. Suazo?
12	MR. SUAZO: We have them.
13	ALJ RALSTON: Okay. And did you have any
14	objection to them?
15	MR. SUAZO: No.
16	ALJ RALSTON: Perfect. So Appellant's Exhibits
17	14 through 20 will also be admitted without objection.
18	(Appellant's Exhibits 14 through 20 admitted.)
19	ALJ RALSTON: And Respondent has submitted
20	Exhibits A through L. And Appellant did not have any
21	objections to those exhibits, so those exhibits are
22	Respondent's Exhibits A through L are also admitted
23	without objection.
24	(Respondent's Exhibit A through L admitted.)
25	ALJ RALSTON: Moving on to the order of the

1	hearing, the Appellant will have approximately 30
2	minutes for your opening presentation. And during that
3	time, you can give your presentation or your and/or
4	your witness testimony. And then after that, Respondent
5	will also have 30 minutes for their presentation. And
6	then Appellant will have ten minutes for a rebuttal.
7	THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry, your Honor. How
8	much time?
9	ALJ RALSTON: For the rebuttal?
10	THE INTERPRETER: For the rebuttal.
11	ALJ RALSTON: Ten minutes.
12	And as noted before, the panel members may ask
13	questions of either party at any time during these
14	proceedings. Okay. So I'm sorry. What is the
15	the name of the other witness?
16	APPELLANT LUEVANO: Moises Luevano.
17	ALJ RALSTON: The last name, I'm sorry?
18	Luevano?
19	APPELLANT LUEVANO: Luevano.
20	ALJ RALSTON: Thank you. Thank you. So I'm
21	going to ask both of you to raise your right hand. Also
22	Mr. Luevano. Just raise your right hand.
23	And and, Ms. Gonzalez, you're not
24	testifying, correct?
25	MS. GONZALEZ: I will be speaking for what I

1	know so shall I raise my hand as well?
2	ALJ RALSTON: Yeah. Let's have you raise your
3	hand also. Okay.
4	So do you swear or affirm to tell the truth,
5	the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
6	APPELLANT LUEVANO: Yes.
7	MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, I do.
8	MR. LUEVANO: Yes.
9	ALJ RALSTON: Okay. And we have just for
10	the record, we have a "Yes" from all three witnesses.
11	APPELLANT LUEVANO: That's right. Yes.
12	ALJ RALSTON: Okay. I'm sorry. Ms. Luevano,
13	did you have a question about the issues earlier?
14	APPELLANT LUEVANO: No. It was what was sent.
15	ALJ RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. So we are
16	ready to proceed with your opening presentation. So you
17	have approximately 30 minutes, and you can begin when
18	you are ready.
19	MS. GONZALEZ: Why don't you start with the
20	dates first and the interpreter will say it in English.
21	(Reporter clarification)
22	MS. GONZALEZ: No, he's not no, we're not
23	THE INTERPRETER: I don't know should I
24	interpret their conversation?
25	MS. GONZALEZ: I mean, we're not saying this in

1	public.
2	He shouldn't be interpreting; right?
3	ALJ RALSTON: Oh, okay. So we're going to
4	begin your testimony, and we're on the record and being
5	live streamed. If you need to take a break for a few
6	minutes, we can do that.
7	MS. GONZALEZ: Okay. So we'll take a
8	five-minute break just to double-check.
9	ALJ RALSTON: Okay. Yeah. We'll take a
LO	five-minute break. The video will still be moving so
11	or the it's still recording, so
L2	MS. GONZALEZ: That's fine.
13	ALJ RALSTON: just to let you guys know
L4	that. Thank you.
15	(Break taken at 10:01 a.m.)
L6	ALJ RALSTON: So we are back on the record.
L7	
18	PRESENTATION
L9	BY MS. GONZALEZ, Representative for Appellant:
20	So one thing that we think is very important to
21	understand is the timeline of the two businesses. Yes,
22	there are two businesses. One is Bar Rio, which is a
23	single, kind of hole dive bar, and then La Movida
24	restaurant, which is a restaurant Monday through Friday,
25	basically, and a nightclub on the weekends.

1	ALJ RALSTON: I'm sorry. Yeah, if you can
2	you can move the microphone.
3	MS. GONZALEZ: Yeah. Sorry. Is that better?
4	Should I repeat what I just said?
5	ALJ RALSTON: Yeah.
6	MS. GONZALEZ: Okay. Initially we want to make
7	sure that we understand the timeline of the businesses.
8	So there are two businesses, Bar Rio, which is a small
9	hole-in-the-wall bar, and La Movida restaurant, which is
10	a restaurant Monday through Friday but a nightclub on
11	Friday night and Saturday. And so these two businesses
12	are in literally the same
13	ALJ RALSTON: I apologize. Let me stop you
14	again.
15	Are you interpreting for Ms. Luevano?
16	APPELLANT LUEVANO: Yes, I understand.
17	THE INTERPRETER: But I thought okay. I'm
18	sorry. I wasn't.
19	ALJ RALSTON: Sure.
20	THE INTERPRETER: I wasn't okay. No, I
21	wasn't.
22	ALJ RALSTON: Okay. Yeah. So just because we
23	have the interpreter, we're even though you
24	understand, we're just going to have him interpret
25	everything pretty much. Thank you.

MS. GONZALEZ: So as I was saying, the businesses are in -- within the same business strip, so they share the same location. So there might be -- there -- from my findings were a lot of confusions. So I'm going to have Delia speak now and refer to when each business was opened, when there was a fire at one of the locations, for Bar Rio, specifically. Also, La Movida when it opened, it opened as a minors club and did not have access or did not have the availability to sell alcohol until much later. So she knows the dates by heart, so I'm going to pass it over to her so she can give you the dates.

2.4

APPELLANT LUEVANO: Okay. So Bar Rio, there was a fire on the 8th of November of 2009 so everything we had in there was destroyed.

ALJ RALSTON: I apologize again for interrupting. If we could have Ms. Luevano turn off your mic and then we can just pick up the interpreter's mic. Thank you so much.

APPELLANT LUEVANO: So on the 8th of November of 2009 there was a fire. So all the inventory in the place was destroyed. The Department of Health made us throw away absolutely everything. When we started on the 3rd of June after everything was fixed with permissions from the City -- permits from the City,

etc., we started the 3rd of June. And we started from zero as a new business, and we had to buy everything again, everything that had been destroyed. I tell you this because of the time that it took to put everything in order to -- in order to operate.

And as far as La Movida nightclub, we opened that in September 13, 2001. So we weren't qualified for a liquor license, but we kept working it for minors until 2012. And the liquor license was approved. I got the ABC paperwork only on the 25th of May of 2012, and we started operating the restaurant with alcohol not until July 22nd.

And -- and after that, actually, we didn't sell much alcohol because people thought it was a club for minors. We had ordered 18 boxes of beer and that's all. There was no consumption. There was no alcohol practically in those three years. That's what I can say.

MS. GONZALEZ: And that's where I pick up. And the invoices in the number of pages that were in the case, there were as far as I understood, were under two audits done at two different time periods, either done in 2013 or 2014 or 2015. I ensured that you guys received this, and I downloaded this in the package. So the most recent one has the color-coordinated invoices

grouped together.

And what is astounding to me is that a same invoice from the same merchant is not only being billed to La Movida or it's being billed to Bar Rio. So in my experience, an invoice goes to one business, but I find that it's multiplicated once, twice, multiple times. And so she's being held responsible for these invoices that, quite frankly, are inflamed.

I also did the second Excel document which had the unduplicated invoices. So to put in reference, the duplicated invoices equates to over \$2 million of alcohol purchases between 2010 through two thousand -- what was it? Sorry. Turn to the last page -- '12. But removing the duplicate -- sorry. I'm listening to your Spanish -- the invoices equates to just over \$400,000. Now, granted, I could have picked the wrong invoice number or the amount is different, but I mean, that just shows to me just blatantly how there was entry errors when the invoices were put into the big database and then not taking into account that La Movida has invoices assigned to her during the time frame that she didn't have alcohol being sold.

So there's just a huge difference. And I just don't know how -- I have, obviously, the downloads that you have on the website is a PDF. I provided -- I have

a USB drive that has the Excel documents that I'd be happy to turn over so that you can review and sort out it anyway, you know, in the Excel document. But I spent nearly 20 hours of typing this in manually, and I actually got carpal tunnel because of it. But I just don't know what else I can say to show that invoices are inflamed. Her -- we know she owes taxes for the alcohol purchase, but not to the extent that it's being shown.

2.4

Another thing that Delia wanted to make sure that we ask is the CRV to the alcohol was added to her bottom line when the consumer at the locations cannot walk out. She doesn't charge CRV because they can't take the bottles without -- with them outside of the businesses. So that was something that should have -- should also be taken into consideration. As well as the breakage, she was promised that it was going to be a 2 percent breakage and I believe she only received 1 percent.

APPELLANT LUEVANO: (In English) When I say -- when I finish up in the year -- but I'm --

(Through Interpreter) In the year '12, to round off, I was owed \$25,014, and they listed it as something that I resold. The years after that, that alcohol had been sold at the bar and I'd been paying taxes. So why don't they give me credit for that alcohol that was left

1	over and not sold?
2	ALJ RALSTON: Just to clarify, you were you
3	said that in 2012
4	APPELLANT LUEVANO: When 2012 ended, after two
5	and a half years, we had all this alcohol left over in
6	the basement. And I gave a list to them, but they
7	listed it as something I had sold.
8	ALJ RALSTON: I see. Thank you. Does that
9	conclude your presentation?
10	MS. GONZALEZ: I think that's sufficient for
11	now. I think I've gotten most of sorry. I think
12	we've gotten most of what we needed to say out. There
13	were some particular invoices that are duplicated that I
14	think we've covered everything. Thank you.
15	ALJ RALSTON: Okay. And the duplicated
16	invoices are part of your exhibits; correct?
17	MS. GONZALEZ: Yes. Yes, they are. Or at
18	least the most recent ones, yes.
19	ALJ RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.
20	Mr oh, let me sorry. Yeah. Mr. Suazo,
21	did you have any questions for the Appellant?
22	MR. SUAZO: No questions.
23	ALJ RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to
24	check in with my panel.
25	Judge Stanley, did you have any questions?

1 I have a question for ALJ STANLEY: Yes. 2 Ms. Gonzalez. Can you -- we do have your exhibit, and I 3 was just wondering if you could show us an example of 4 what you're talking about. 5 MS. GONZALEZ: Certainly. I'm looking for a 6 real juicy one. That's why -- I'm sorry. Oh, okay. 7 if you turn -- it's kind of in the middle of the package or probably -- it's a different screen. If you look 8 9 towards November of 2011, it's towards the middle of the 10 page. ALJ RALSTON: And is this on the color-coded --11 12 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes. 13 ALJ RALSTON: -- or can you let us know an exhibit number? 14 15 MS. GONZALEZ: The color-coordinated. 16 ALJ RALSTON: Okay. Okay. Thanks. 17 MS. GONZALEZ: Sorry. I wish I had these pages 18 numbered on my side. But towards the bottom, it will --19 there is an invoice dated as of November 28th, which 20 has -- if you find there's one, two, three, four -- five dates of November 28th. The first one says 17 21 thousand -- 1767 with 85 cents. The second one says 22 23 \$1,767.85. And the next three say 17,637.85. So those 2.4 numbers -- the balance of those numbers are very -- are

exactly the same. The invoice numbers are exactly the

same for a couch. And they're within the audit term or time frame -- let me just look here a minute -- are within two of the years, either the 2015 or 2013. And those are some high -- the \$17,000 amount is pretty high. But it seems to me it's a clear indication of some clerical errors.

And I did reference to what exhibit number they were in the file, so they ranged from Exhibits E and F and L, and I also made sure to include the page number and the case number. So we're talking about it's being assigned to La Movida and Bar Rio.

ALJ STANLEY: Thank you. That answers my question.

ALJ RALSTON: Okay. Judge Kwee, did you have any questions?

ALJ KWEE: Hi. This is -- this is Judge Kwee. I did have just one -- actually, I had a couple questions, but the first is a clarification because the document that you have in your hand there, I believe, was the invoices color-coordinated. And then we also received invoices without duplicates that were submitted on December 13th, but I don't think those were admitted into evidence because we only admitted Exhibits 1 through 20 for the taxpayer, and I think these were submitted after the exhibit binders were created. So

I'd, I guess, just double-check that we have that.

2.4

MS. GONZALEZ: That was my mistake in the sense of the original ones I did submit, they were, I think, by date. So you could sort the same information because they're -- because that particular instance -- sample I provided on November 28th, I did the -- I didn't actually do the color-coordinated. I had my -- a friend do the color coordinating because I'm not that fluent in Excel to make it easy. Again, I have a USB stick if you'd like to double-check.

ALJ KWEE: Oh. I just wanted to confirm that these two documents were submitted on December 13th, that you have. Because if they are, I think we just need to admit them and see if CDTFA has an objection, if I'm understanding correctly.

MS. GONZALEZ: Oh, okay. So essentially it's the exact same information just the colors were added to separate.

ALJ RALSTON: Okay. Okay. So you submitted -just to clarify, you submitted some documents on or
about November 30th and you submitted a bunch of
exhibits, and those we put into the hearing binder and
labeled Exhibits 14 through 20. So after you submitted
those exhibits, you submitted the same exhibits again
just with color-coordinated information?

1 MS. GONZALEZ: That is correct. 2 ALJ RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. 3 Is that -- CDTFA, did you receive that 4 information? 5 MR. SUAZO: The color-coded ones? No. 6 ALJ RALSTON: Okay. 7 MR. PARKER: And, Judge Ralston, I was trying to follow along what she was talking about in the --8 through Exhibit 15, and I was not able to follow and see 9 10 what the additional duplicate line items were based on 11 what is in the hearing binder. So I don't know if we 12 have specific pages to look at. I know she said November 28, 2011, but what I saw in the line items, I 13 14 saw one time each on different pages. 15 ALJ RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. You guys, I 16 apologize for the delay, but we're going to take another 17 I want to make sure that CDTFA has time to look break. at this information. I was not aware that they hadn't 18 19 received it. So we are going to take a short break. 20 We'll give them time to take a look at it. And then 21 when we resume, CDTFA can let us know if they have an 22 objection. 23 So I apologize again for the delay. 2.4 We're going to take a short break and meet back here

25

in --

CDTFA, those are kind of big documents so I'm
not sure how much time you think you would want to
review them. It seems, from what Appellant is saying,
that it's the same information just color-coordinated.
So let's let's do about 30 minutes. That will give
us time to get the documents to you. And if we need
more time, you can just let us know and we can we'll
go from there. Oh, yeah. Or if you need less time,
that's great also.
MR. BROOKS: We still don't have the
color-coded copy. Oh, you're going to provide that to
us.
ALJ RALSTON: Yes. Yes. We're going to get
those copies to you.
MR. BROOKS: Okay.
MS. GONZALEZ: Do you want the USB stick for
quicker access?
ALJ RALSTON: No. We have the information in
our system. Just for some reason it wasn't distributed.
MS. GONZALEZ: I did notice a delay in the
system where I would upload the information. So I
didn't do it under it did actually days before and it
just took a while for the system to

definitely -- we need to make sure everybody has the

1 same information. So we want to give them time to look 2 at it. They may need additional time because it's a lot of information. So -- yeah. 3 4 Like I said, the live stream will keep going, 5 and we will get those documents to CDTFA shortly. you. We're going to go off the record. 6 Thank you. 7 (Break taken at 10:25 a.m.) ALJ RALSTON: Okay. We are back on the record. 8 9 Thank you, everyone, for your patience. 10 Mr. Suazo, I -- did you have time to take a 11 look at the documents? 12 MR. SUAZO: Yes. 13 ALJ RALSTON: Okay. And are you ready to 14 proceed? Did you have an objection? 15 MR. SUAZO: No, we're okay. 16 ALJ RALSTON: Okay. Great. So no objection. 17 So we need to admit them into evidence, so I'm just going to go ahead and admit them into the record. So we 18 19 have the invoices color-coordinated, we'll admit that as 20 Appellant's Exhibit 21. 21 (Appellant's Exhibit 21 admitted.) 22 We have the invoices without duplicates. 23 will admit that as Appellant's Exhibit 22. 2.4 (Appellant's Exhibit 22 admitted.) 25 ALJ RALSTON: And then we have what Appellant

has labeled Exhibits C-1 through 10. We will admit that as Appellant's Exhibit 23.

(Appellant's Exhibit 23 admitted.)

2.4

And then the document that appellant has labeled Exhibit D-1 through 3, we will admit that as exhibit -- Appellant's Exhibit 24.

(Appellant's Exhibit 24 admitted.)

ALJ RALSTON: So I think that's correct. And we are ready to proceed. Again, thank you, everyone, for your patience.

Mr. Suazo, you have approximately 30 minutes for your presentation. Please begin when you're -- oh, I'm sorry. I wanted to have -- since we're all back and on the same page, I wanted to have, Ms. Gonzalez, if you could repeat what you were saying about -- with regard to the color-coordinated exhibits. Everyone didn't have the same document in front of them, if you could repeat that, that would be great.

MS. GONZALEZ: Certainly. So let's see here. We were looking at the date of November twenty -- 2011, specifically the dates of November 28th, 2011, which were the couch invoices, which are listed one, two, three, four -- five times, all with the same invoice number listed in various exhibits, in L, E, and F, listed in various pages ranging from page 84, 106, 347,

1 155, and 176, and in various audits from 2013 and 2015. 2 For the -- two are listed under the same amount 3 1,767.85. And three times it is listed as \$17,637.85. 4 So my point was that this invoice was not only 5 duplicated, but it's being assigned to both businesses, which is impossible, and at one outrageously large 6 7 amount that is not possible, so there's obviously some clerical error. And she's not -- should not be liable 8 for all these amounts. 9 10 ALJ RALSTON: Thank you. Judge Kwee, you had some questions? 11 12 ALJ KWEE: Yes. Thank you. 13 When we had last ended before the break, I was 14 going to ask a couple questions in addition to the 15 exhibits. I was curious, since you were talking about the invoices, where did these invoices come from? 16 you provide them to CDTFA, the invoices with the 17 18 duplicates, or how did this end up in the audit? 19 APPELLANT LUEVANO: They're from the couch 20 distributor -- distributor couch. 21 ALJ KWEE: Okay. So the vendors provided the invoices. 2.2 23 APPELLANT LUEVANO: The vendors (In English). 2.4 They provided everything. 25 (Through the Interpreter) They gave us all the

1 pages with CRV and without CRV, and the auditor took 2 only the CRV ones. 3 ALJ KWEE: And so if I'm understanding 4 correctly, the concern is that the vendors improperly 5 provided too many invoices to CDTFA that you didn't --APPELLANT LUEVANO: No, not them. The one who 6 7 made the audit. Since we can't sell the CRV, we can't charge our customers CRV. So she shouldn't -- she 8 should have done her audit -- audit --9 10 MS. GONZALEZ: The question is, "From where did 11 those invoices come? Who entered the information?" 12 APPELLANT LUEVANO: The auditor lady. 13 ALJ KWEE: Okay. So you agree that those are 14 your invoices, and the contention is that the CDTFA 15 improperly duplicated the invoices multiple times in addition to the CRV issue? 16 17 APPELLANT LUEVANO: That's right. Okay. I understand now. 18 ALJ KWEE: 19 And there was another -- I don't remember who 20 was testifying, but there was a mention that there were, 21 I think, 18 boxes of beer when you terminated the business and that that had not been sold. And I'm 2.2

wondering if there was any documentation to show what

happened to the beer other than being sold.

23

2.4

25

800.231.2682

APPELLANT LUEVANO: Okay. The beer of the 18

boxes, those are the only -- those are only invoices that La Movida bought for La Movida. And your files that we gave you, those are there. You will see the three invoices.

2.4

MS. GONZALEZ: So it wasn't necessarily means that it was not used. What she's trying to say is that those were the only -- 18 cases were the only thing sold -- bought for La Movida during those three years.

ALJ KWEE: Okay. Okay. Thank you. And one last question, then, is I understand that CDTFA took this audit approach because the business didn't provide documentation to support the reported amounts and I'm wondering is that documentation not available?

APPELLANT LUEVANO: We gave all the documents to the auditor lady. Even -- in fact, she even -- with the accountant and took all the original receipts without my permission. When she came back, the box with those receipts, I went for them and I have them now.

ALJ KWEE: Okay. All right. Thank you. Will turn it back to the lead judge.

And also for CDTFA when they do their opening presentation, I guess, if they could consider addressing that aspect of available source documentation. Or I might follow up with a question about that afterwards. Thank you.

1	ALJ RALSTON: Thank you.
2	Mr. Suazo, please begin when you're ready.
3	
4	PRESENTATION
5	BY MR. SUAZO, Hearing Representative:
6	The Appellant is a sole proprietor who operates
7	a bar and dance club, Bar Rio and La Movida. Each
8	establishment has its own permit. The audit period for
9	both permits is January 1st, 2010, through
10	December 31st, 2012. Bar Rio served liquor, beer, wine,
11	and non-alcoholic drinks for the entire audit period.
12	La Movida only sold non-alcoholic drinks and hot
13	prepared food for 2010 and 2011. In 2012 La Movida
14	began selling liquor, beer, and wine in addition to
15	non-alcoholic drinks and hot prepared food.
16	Records provided by the Appellant included
17	federal income tax returns for 2010 and 2011, partial
18	bank statements, purchase invoices, various sales
19	receipts and price list. Detailed POS reports were not
20	available for either location.
21	Bar Rio audit. Comparison of federal income
22	tax returns to reported sales disclosed no major
23	differences.
24	Exhibit F, page 62. Available monthly bank

deposit amounts for three separate bank accounts were

1 transcribed and disclosed higher amounts deposited than 2 reported. It should be noted that several months of 3 bank deposit information was missing for two of the 4 three bank accounts. 5 Exhibit F, pages 58 to 61. The Department 6 performed a purchase segregation for the entire three-year period using information provided by both the 7 Appellant and vendors. Purchases -- the purchases were 8 9 segregated into the following categories: Liquor, beer, 10 soda, and supplies. 11 Exhibit E, pages 29 to 65. Shelf tests were 12 conducted on call liquor, well liquor --13 THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. I didn't understand the term. 14 15 MR. SUAZO: Shelf tests were conducted on. 16 THE INTERPRETER: I can't interpret that. 17 Shelf test? 18 MR. SUAZO: I was going --19 ALJ RALSTON: So --20 MR. SUAZO: Comparison of selling price to 21 cost --22 ALJ RALSTON: Thank you. 23 MR. SUAZO: -- were conducted on call liquor, 24 well liquor, imported and domestic bottled beer for 25 periods before and after change in prices.

Exhibit E, pages 21 to 29. Prices for drinks were based on a bar fact sheet.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Exhibit F, pages 67 to 74. The Department gave Appellant an allowance of 12 percent for spillage of liquor and 1 percent for bottled -- breakage of bottled beer. The Department calculated weighted percentages of purchases for well and call liquor, Exhibit E, page 28, and imported and domestic beer, Exhibit E, page 20.

By combining the weighted percentages of purchases to the appropriate shelf test results, weighted markups of 256.23 percent before the price change, Exhibit D, page ten, and 355.08 percent after the price change, Exhibit D, page 11, were computed. arrive at audited cost of goods sold, the purchase segregation amounts were totaled. 2010 purchases for Bar Rio were reduced by almost \$28,000 for a fire that occurred in November 2009, as appellant provided insurance documentation for the reduction. adjustment, reducing 2012 purchases by 20 percent was also made to account for the transfer of alcohol and beer to La Movida, the related entity. Purchases were further reduced for self-consumption of \$50 a month and pilferage of 2 percent. After adjustments were made, audited costs of goods sold were established.

Exhibit D, page 9. Weighted markup factors for

prior and post price changes were applied to the audited costs of goods sold to compute bar sales of 857,000 net of sales tax. The audited sales were compared to reported sales of 352,000 and a difference of 515,000 was noted. Percentages of error were determined for each year.

2.4

Exhibit D, page 9. The percentage of error rate was applied to reported taxable sales for the applicable periods to obtain unreported taxable sales per quarter.

Exhibit D, page 8, A negligence penalty was applied to the audit determination as records maintained were inadequate. Audited sales almost tripled reported sales. An overall percentage of errors was 182 percent, and the amount of unreported sales of over half a million dollars is substantial.

La Movida audit. La Movida did not sell liquor or beer in 2010 and 2011. La Movida began selling liquor and beer in 2012. Comparison of federal income tax returns for 2010 and 2011 to reported sales disclosed no major differences.

Exhibit L, pages 54 and 55. Bank deposit analysis was inconclusive as the Appellant did not supply all bank statements. And, therefore, the records were inadequate.

Exhibit L, page 51 through 53, Cost to good sold for 2010 and 2011 were established by totaling recorded purchases of non-alcoholic beverages purchased in August, September, and October of 2012 and annualizing the amounts.

2.4

Exhibit L, page 49. For 2012, the purchases were accounted for by using the amount of 20 percent of alcohol and beer purchases transferred from Bar Rio to La Movida.

Exhibit L, page 59. Assignment activity report dated March 27, 2013, and page 60, bar fact sheet notation along with purchases of non-alcoholic drinks.

Exhibit K, page 18. Shelf tests were conducted on call liquor, well liquor, imported and domestic bottled beer, and non-alcoholic drinks for periods before and after price change.

Exhibit L, page 26 to 33, and Exhibit J, page 14. Prices for drinks were based on bar fact sheet information and online posting of prices obtained via the Internet.

Exhibit L page 60 to 64. The Department gave an allowance of 12 percent for spillage and 1 percent for breakage of bottled beer. The Department calculated weighted percentages of purchases for well and call liquor, imported and domestic beer were calculated.

1 Exhibit K, page 28. Amount of alcoholic 2 beverages and food were also computed, Exhibit K, page 3 25, however, not included in the markup calculations. 4 By combining the weighted percentages purchases to the 5 appropriate shelf test results, weighted markups of 263.57 percent that's before the price change, 6 7 Exhibit J, page 12, and 351.89 percent after the price change, Exhibit J, page 13, were computed. 8 9 The weighted markup factor was applied to the 10 audited costs of goods sold, which was reduced by 11

The weighted markup factor was applied to the audited costs of goods sold, which was reduced by 2 percent for pilferage to arrive at -- to arrive at taxable sales of 108,000 net of sales tax. The audited sales were compared --

THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. I missed the total of taxable --

MR. SUAZO: 108,000.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

The audited sales were compared to reported sales of 37,000, and a difference of 71,000 was noted. Percentages of error were determined for each year.

Exhibit J, page 11. The percentage of error rate was applied to reported taxable sales for applicable periods to obtain unreported taxable sales per quarter.

Exhibit J, page 10. The Appellant contends the audit had flaws. During the appeals process, the

1	purchase segregation was corrected. The correction also
2	included a review of weighted markups which showed that
3	the markups used on both audits were understated. Using
4	the corrected purchases purchase amounts and
5	corrected weighted markups disclose that the audited
6	computable taxable sales were understated by over a
7	hundred thousand. If corrected amounts are used, the
8	tax liability would increase by almost \$8,000.
9	Exhibit A, page 18. It should be further noted
10	that hot prepared foods
11	THE INTERPRETER: I didn't hear that.
12	MR. SUAZO: It should be further noted that hot
13	prepared foods
14	THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. The interpreter
15	doesn't understand that phrase after "It should be
16	noted."
17	MR. SUAZO: It should be further noted?
18	THE INTERPRETER: After it.
19	MR. SUAZO: Hot prepared foods?
20	THE INTERPRETER: Unprepared
21	MR. SUAZO: Hot prepared foods were not
22	accounted for in audited sales. Therefore, the findings
23	are very conservative and in favor of the Appellant.
24	This concludes my presentation. I am available

to answer any questions you may have.

1	MR. PARKER: I have a couple things that I
2	wanted to add on to the presentation as well.
3	ALJ RALSTON: Sure.
4	MR. PARKER: So in regards to the color-coded
5	exhibit with the duplicates, I just wanted to point out
6	that the in the in our exhibits, there are
7	multiple versions of the audits the first audit or
8	original audit, the first re-audit and the second
9	re-audit and the schedules are the same in each of
10	the audits. So the it's the same schedule.
11	ALJ RALSTON: Can I I just want to check in.
12	MR. PARKER: Sure.
13	ALJ RALSTON: Are you able to keep up with
14	Mr. Parker's presentation?
15	APPELLANT LUEVANO: (No audible response)
16	ALJ RALSTON: Okay. Do you mind slowing down
17	just a bit.
18	MR. PARKER: Sure.
19	ALJ RALSTON: Thank you.
20	MR. PARKER: Do you want me to start over?
21	ALJ RALSTON: Yes. Thank you.
22	MR. PARKER: Okay.
23	THE INTERPRETER: I want to know what he means
24	by schedule actually.
25	(Reporter clarification)

1 Schedule is in Excel worksheet. MR. PARKER: 2 THE INTERPRETER: Okay. 3 So in regards to the additional MR. PARKER: 4 exhibit regarding duplicates, we had -- we have multiple audit packages in our exhibits, so the same schedule or 5 worksheet is included multiple times in the exhibits 6 7 that we provided. So the duplicate transactions are not 8 actually duplicate transactions. 9 Regarding the transaction for couch for. 10 MR. SUAZO: November 28th, 2011. MR. PARKER: Yeah, November 28th, 2011, I think 11 12 it was originally 17,000. 13 MR. SUAZO: It was --14 MR. PARKER: It was 17,000 -- a little more 15 than \$17,000 in the audit work papers on Exhibit --16 MR. SUAZO: On Exhibit E, page 58. It's been 17 corrected. And that's what they're basing the purchases 18 on, the corrected version. If you look at E-63, you'll 19 also see it there. That's already been corrected, so 20 it's been handled. The taxpayer or the Appellant stated 21 that there was \$2 million in purchases. There's not \$2 22 million in purchases. Audited purchases for -- are in 23 the -- \$250,000 for the audit period. 2.4 ALJ RALSTON: Is that --25 MR. SUAZO: For Bar Rio.

ALJ RALSTON: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SUAZO: Okay. On the alcohol purchases for La Movida, it is -- it's twenty-some thousand. 15,000 -- or 1,580, I believe, for the first two years because that's basically the water, which, by the way, is probably understated because when they did the calculation to get that \$1500 amount and annualized it there was no other beverages being sold -- or there was other beverages being sold at that time. So they had more options in 2012 than they did in 2011 and 2010. there's good chance that the purchases in 2010 and 2011 would have been a lot higher of Coke, Pepsi, you know, carbonated drinks, 7 Up -- don't want to leave anybody out -- and water. Okay. Because that would be your only option during 2010 and 2011. In 2012 your options open up because now you have liquor and beer. So realistically, the option -- the amount in 2010 and 2011 for non-alcoholic beverages is probably understated.

There was -- as we stated, there was also a calculation that was done after the hearing to see if the amount should be lowered. Another auditor came in, did the work. And, again, as we stated earlier, the amounts actually increased because the -- the markups were corrected and applied correctly. So if there was to be a change, it would only increase, which would be

1 to the detriment of the Appellant, so the Department 2 decided to keep the lower amount in favor of the 3 Appellant. 4 MR. PARKER: And one other point that I wanted 5 to touch on, the Appellant argued we didn't make an adjustment for CRV. CRV is part of their cost of goods 6 If we removed the CRV from the purchases, it 7 sold. would have increased their markup percentage which would 8 have increased the audited taxable sales. So the CRV 9 10 should be included in their cost. Even though they 11 don't charge their customers specifically for the CRV, 12 it is included in their cost, and an adjustment for CRV 13 should not be made. MR. SUAZO: It would be -- it would be to their 14 15 detriment. 16 MR. PARKER: That's all I had. Thank you. 17 ALJ RALSTON: Thank you. Does that conclude 18 your presentation? 19 MR. SUAZO: Yes. 20 ALJ RALSTON: Thank you. 21 Judge Stanley, did you have any questions for 22 Respondent? 23 No, I do not. ALJ STANLEY: Thank you. 2.4 ALJ RALSTON: Thank you. 25 And, Judge Kwee, did you have any questions?

1	ALJ KWEE: I don't have any questions. Thank
2	you.
3	ALJ RALSTON: Thank you.
4	Ms. Gonzalez, you have approximately ten
5	minutes for your rebuttal.
6	MS. GONZALEZ: Could I have five minutes to
7	just go over with Delia what we'd want to rebuttal with
8	so we're all on the same page?
9	ALJ RALSTON: Sure. We'll take a five-minute
LO	break.
11	MS. GONZALEZ: Thank you.
12	(Break taken at 11:14 a.m.)
13	ALJ RALSTON: We are back on the record. Yeah,
L4	we're back on the record.
15	And, Ms. Gonzalez, you can go ahead. You have
L6	approximately ten minutes.
L7	MS. GONZALEZ: Okay. Thank you.
18	
L9	REBUTTAL STATEMENT
20	BY MS. GONZALEZ, Representative for Appellant:
21	I'm confused about where the statement was made
22	that these invoices aren't duplicated. If I can get
23	clarification, if, like, a for instance part. In the
24	July 2nd, 2010, it's I see here the audit dates of
25	being let's see, July 7th July 2nd forgive me.

July 2nd, 2010, Invoice No. 317925 for couch.

I see it listed under three audit dates, which, okay, so that means that there was accounted for three different times. But in 2013 it was listed three times within the same audit time frame in two different exhibits, L and F, in three different page numbers. So wouldn't that constitute that it's being listed three times within that same time frame? And so I'm just a little confused as to if things were cleared up. Why was it still within the big -- the -- all the same documents so -- of it being listed?

So if you were to pull up Exhibit L or Exhibit F, you'll see that, this invoice. So I'm a little confused. If I can get clarification as to how these invoices are not duplicated?

ALJ RALSTON: Yes. I can ask CDTFA if they would like to address Ms. Gonzalez's question.

MR. SUAZO: If you look -- if you look at -- I'm trying to find one here. Exhibit E, and let me get part one here. They left 6-A in there. They didn't need to leave 6-A in there. Six-B is the one that should be followed, which is pages -- starts at page 12-A-6B, starts at page E. Exhibit --

MS. GONZALEZ: I can't follow because I don't have the document, so --

MR. SUAZO: Okay. All right. So it could be documented, Exhibit E, page 53, through Exhibit E, page 65, especially if you look at 65, page 65. There is a 304696 that matches up to a 314827. You'll see little arrows pointing to each one showing one's from the vendor, and one's from what they got it from. But that would just be the -- the \$10,000 difference is basically the food, supplies and sodas. Okay.

2.4

And if you look at -- if you'll see that they're highlighted, such as on Exhibit E, page 58, the one that was being claimed on 11/28/2011 was corrected down to 1,767.85. There are a few other ones.

MS. GONZALEZ: Right. But the specific one that I'm looking at, if you can help me so I can follow along.

ALJ RALSTON: Ms. Gonzalez, yeah, the purpose of this hearing is to present information. I understand that you have some questions for CDTFA, and they were attempting to answer it but that isn't really the process for today.

MS. GONZALEZ: Thank you.

ALJ RALSTON: And so I'm going to let Mr. Suazo finish, and then if you had anything else you wanted to add, you can.

MR. SUAZO: Okay. So there was other --

there's other corrections, and they're sort of -they're highlighted -- okay. Where you see the
reductions coming through. And on top of that, there
was still a few duplicates involved in the audit.
That's why there was a request during the appeals
hearing for someone else to do the entire three years
over again. And that's included in Exhibit A. Let me
find it. And it's a little hard to read. I couldn't
get -- I was going to say Lotus -- I couldn't get an
Excel document on it. It's only the PDF file that I
could get.

But if you see on Exhibit A starting with page 24, there's a run of all the -- of all the three years involved with the duplicates and everything taken out. It also happened at -- for 2012. The amount actually is higher than what was originally posted once they got the true amounts in. So when you calculated all this out, the duplicates were taken out. There was a increase in 2012's purchases because that's what they discovered. And when you calculate the whole thing out doing it one way without an inventory -- without transferring to La Movida, it's a hundred and one thousand -- or a hundred thousand in excess sales. I think that's on page 18. Yeah, 102,990, on page 18 of Exhibit A.

And if you happen to transfer the stuff out

from one to the other, you're still going to have a liability greater than what was -- what was already produced and what was assessed in the audit. I believe the liability increases to -- by \$67,000 because at this point they're using the corrected weighted markups. They're also using corrected purchases.

2.4

would still see that for -- including a transfer across the La Movida sales that were assessed in the audit are understated by \$67,000, and as you can see in the -- this isn't a recommendation for La Movida, which is in Exhibit -- Exhibit G. There's a -- they underassessed the audit by \$2,253 in sales. Again, that's not including food sales, so it would probably be even higher. And, again, that's also in the time period La Movida didn't probably have the accurate non-alcoholic purchases for 2010 and 2011. They were probably understated most likely.

In addition -- well, I'll leave it at that.

ALJ RALSTON: Thank you.

MR. SUAZO: Okay.

ALJ RALSTON: Ms. Gonzalez, did you have -- I think you have approximately five minutes left if you still wanted to provide additional information.

MS. GONZALEZ: I was concerned about the online

1 If it's the sheet that I think has been pricing. 2 floating around, that does not belong to Delia's La Movida. I believe it's a color kind of like design 3 4 picture which has some wild, you know, alcoholic drinks 5 and some food plates. Those do not belong to her. She's never been online. So she doesn't have a website. 6 She doesn't have anything posting from way -- from that 7 time period. I want that to be on record. 8

Regarding the 20 percent transfer, and I believe that was a conversation she had with the auditor at the time, she was referring to paper products, not alcohol products. She was referring to toilet paper, napkins, straws.

APPELLANT LUEVANO: Water.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. GONZALEZ: And so the transferring of alcohol is -- that is not the case as -- I'm trying to be quick so I can address everything.

Also, the audit of the La Movida having alcohol in 2012, I think they were saying for the entire 12 months, and that's not the case.

Delia, can you refer to the dates exactly.

APPELLANT LUEVANO: (In English) Yes. July 22nd we start the restaurant, 2012, but they were not successful because there was a dance for minors. So adult people, they don't go there. They don't go to

drink. They don't go to eat because they think it's like a just dance for minors.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GONZALEZ: But the alcohol license -APPELLANT LUEVANO: (In English) The alcohol
license, I have to -- I have to make all the things they
require from a health department. We finished with all
the requirement and everything as July. July 20 is when
they gave me the license for the -- for La Movida.

I didn't sell alcohol that whole year. And people, they didn't know we have a liquor. We work the place for 11 years just with minors. And we just sold the waters at \$1, not at \$3. \$3 I sell right now on this date, but the parents, they give it to them for the cover charge to go and dance and they just give you \$1 or \$2 for water. So they don't have money. And when they finish, the performer, they go outside because they have the cars and in the car they have water, they have sodas because they don't have money too much. Matter of fact, Lisa told me, "How come you didn't keep the people inside?" I say, "No, because that one is illegal. cannot go to close the door, one, and be able to keep the people inside." So if they go -- if they want to go outside, I cannot go to stop it.

So when we put the restaurant, they were not sales either. Like I say, people, they didn't know we

have a restaurant. People, they didn't know we have liquor. So 2012, whatever they say all that the liquor I sold and the 20 percent, it's not true.

MS. GONZALEZ: Also I wanted to note that
Salinas is a rural farm town. You know, we have a lot
of farmworkers who migrate. So the one -- the
calculation of making, you know, it year round as equal
is impossible. Salinas is unique. We're so close to
Silicon Valley, but it's so unique. I'm in advertising.
I work for Univision in my small town, Salinas,
Monterey. Sales drop like crazy November through April
because there's no people buying. And that's the same
with retail businesses, restaurants, bars. Things go
down. And so making the audit in its peak season in the
summer months and assuming that's the case year round,
it's not the case.

And the waters for La Movida and Bar Rio were sold for a dollar in 2010 through 2012. They were sold at \$2 in 2013 through 2020. And it wasn't until 2021 [sic] through current that they've been \$3.

So the price sheet that they have listed at with -- your know ala frescas, that is not Delia's La Movida. There are other La Movidas all over the country but not this specific one. And that price sheet does not even list -- there's no way to tell where it's

1 from, but it's definitely not hers. 2 APPELLANT LUEVANO: (Through the interpreter) 3 There's something. When you buy the product, it has a 4 date of expiry for about two, three months. If you 5 don't sell it, you practically have to throw that away. We can't keep it in the building. So there are some 6 7 products that were bought. And if they've expired, we can't sell them. So you're not taking that into 8 9 consideration at all. We have to work with the codes of 10 the liquor control codes. We have to work with the 11 health department to operate the business. So not everything that one buys is sold. That's what I want to 12 13 make clear. They didn't take that into consideration 14 for the audit. Thank you. 15 ALJ RALSTON: Ms. Gonzalez, does that conclude 16 your presentation? 17 MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, ma'am. 18 ALJ RALSTON: Thank you. 19 Judge Stanley, did you have any questions? 20 ALJ STANLEY: Ms. Gonzalez, I was just 21 questioning whether you misspoke a minute ago. You said 22 that waters had sold for \$3 starting 2001. 23 MS. GONZALEZ: Forgive me. I did misspoke. 24 That was 2001 -- yes -- in twenty -- I did it again,

didn't I? 2021 to -- to current. Sorry. I apologize.

25

ALJ STANLEY: That's what I assumed. And then when you're talking about having to get rid of food that's expired, do you -- is that what was counted as part of the spoilage amount that CDTFA allowed.

MS. GONZALEZ: I don't know.

APPELLANT LUEVANO: The fire was in 2009. Everything was thrown out. But when you buy products after the 3rd of June, if the product is expired, we have to throw it out for the benefit of the consumer and avoid a lawsuit against the business. We can't sell anything that's expired. Sodas or beers, whatever expires we have to throw it away. It can't be sold to clients.

ALJ RALSTON: Thank you.

Judge Kwee, did you have any questions?

ALJ KWEE: I had a question or two for CDTFA.

17 The first one, I think the taxpayer was contending that

the price sheet that CDTFA used was from online, but

they didn't post any price sheets online. So could

CDTFA just clarify where the price sheet was obtained

21 | from?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

2.4

25

MR. SUAZO: When the auditor did the bar fact sheet, she couldn't get the prices. She researched it, and that's where she got it from.

ALJ KWEE: Oh, okay. So the research was

derived -- was that from -- derived from online or from information provided by the taxpayer?

MR. SUAZO: It was provided online. Because if you look at the bar fact sheet, she wasn't able to get prices.

ALJ KWEE: I'm sorry. I didn't catch the last part.

MR. SUAZO: If you look at the bar fact sheet for La Movida, she wasn't able to get prices. There is no prices listed on the bar fact sheet like there is on Bar Rio.

ALJ KWEE: Okay.

2.4

MR. SUAZO: And then the thing about the water, water is not even being marked up in the audit. That's one of the problems that we -- was that in their favor. So they are arguing something that's actually in their favor.

ALJ KWEE: Okay. And on, I guess a related question is that another concern that they had raised was the inclusion of the CRV.

MR. SUAZO: CRV, like if you're going to have, like, \$5 less cost of \$2 -- or let's say \$3 less cost of \$1, the markup is going to be 200 percent I believe.

Yeah. And if you were to go \$2 less a dollar ten, the markup's going to fall. So it's, again, going to be --

1	it's in their favor for us to include the CRV.
2	ALJ KWEE: Okay. Thank you. I don't have any
3	further questions, so I'll turn it back to the lead
4	judge.
5	ALJ RALSTON: Okay. It looks like we are ready
6	to conclude this hearing. I want to thank everyone for
7	coming today. Today's hearing in the appeal of Delia
8	Luevano is now adjourned and the record is closed. The
9	judges will meet and will decide your case later on and
10	send you a written opinion of our decision within 100
11	days. Thank you, everyone, for attending. And the next
12	hearing
13	THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry, your Honor.
14	ALJ RALSTON: Oh, sorry.
15	THE INTERPRETER: What's within 100 days? I
16	missed it.
17	ALJ RALSTON: We will send out our written
18	decision.
19	THE INTERPRETER: Okay.
20	ALJ RALSTON: And the next hearing will resume
21	at 1:00 p.m.
22	(Conclusion of the proceedings at 11:42 a.m.)
23	00
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
3	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO ) ss.
4	I, MARIA ESQUIVEL-PARKINSON, do hereby certify
5	that I am a Certified Shorthand Reporter, and that at
6	the times and places shown I recorded verbatim in
7	shorthand writing all the proceedings in the following
8	described action completely and correctly to the best of
9	my ability: IN THE APPEAL OF: DELIA LUEVANO, OTA Case Nos.
10	18063267 and 18063268
11	Location: OTA 400 R Street, Sacramento, CA 95811
12	DATE: Friday, December 16, 2022
13	I further certify that my said shorthand notes
14	have been transcribed into typewriting, and that the
15	foregoing pages 1 through 51 constitute an accurate and
16	complete transcript of all my shorthand writing for the
17	dates and matter specified.
18	I further certify that I have complied with CCP
19	237(a)(2) in that all personal juror identifying
20	information has been redacted if applicable.
21	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed this
22	certificate at Sacramento, California on this 9th day of
23	January, 2023. Mairas DV
24	Maria Esquivel-Parkinson CSR No. 10621, RPR

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

\$	<b>106</b> 25:25		<b>22nd</b> 15:12 45:23
<b>\$1</b> 46:12,14 50:23	<b>10621</b> 2:20	2	<b>23</b> 25:2,3
<b>\$1,767.85</b> 19:23	<b>108,000</b> 34:12,16	<b>2</b> 17:17 31:23	<b>23-24</b> 4:7
<b>\$10,000</b> 42:7	<b>10:01</b> 12:15	34:11	<b>24</b> 4:6 25:6,7
<b>\$1500</b> 38:7	<b>10:25</b> 24:7	<b>20</b> 9:2,10,17,18 17:4 20:24 21:23	43:13
<b>\$17,000</b> 20:4 37:15	<b>11</b> 31:13 34:20 46:11	31:8,19 33:7 45:9 46:7 47:3	<b>25</b> 4:7 34:3 <b>256.23</b> 31:11
<b>\$17,637.85</b> 26:3	<b>11/28/2011</b> 42:11	<b>200</b> 50:23	<b>25th</b> 15:10
<b>2</b> 16:11 37:21	<b>11:14</b> 40:12	<b>2001</b> 15:7 48:22,	<b>26</b> 33:17
46:15 47:19 50:22,24	<b>11:42</b> 2:18 51:22	24	<b>263.57</b> 34:6
<b>\$2,253</b> 44:13	<b>12</b> 4:14 16:13 17:21 31:4 33:22	<b>2009</b> 14:14,21 31:17 49:6	<b>27</b> 33:11
<b>\$25,014</b> 17:22	34:7 45:19	<b>2010</b> 16:12 29:9,	<b>28</b> 22:13 31:7 34:1
<b>\$250,000</b> 37:23	<b>12-A-6B</b> 41:23	13,17 31:15 32:18,20 33:2	<b>28th</b> 19:19,21 21:6 25:21 37:10,11
<b>\$28,000</b> 31:16	<b>13</b> 9:2,4,7,8 15:7	38:10,11,15,17	<b>29</b> 4:15 30:11 31:1
<b>\$3</b> 46:12 47:20	34:8 <b>13th</b> 20:22 21:12	40:24 41:1 44:17 47:18	<b>29th</b> 7:3
48:22 50:22 \$400 000 16:15	<b>14</b> 9:10,17,18	<b>2011</b> 19:9 22:13	<b>2nd</b> 40:24,25 41:1
<b>\$400,000</b> 16:15	21:23 33:18	25:20,21 29:13,17 32:18,20 33:2	
<b>\$5</b> 50:22	<b>15</b> 22:9	37:10,11 38:10,	3
<b>\$50</b> 31:22	<b>15,000</b> 38:4	11,15,17 44:17	<b>3</b> 25:5
<b>\$67,000</b> 44:4,10	<b>155</b> 26:1	<b>2012</b> 15:9,10 18:3, 4 29:10,13 31:19	<b>30</b> 10:1,5 11:17
<b>\$8,000</b> 35:8	<b>16</b> 2:18 5:1	32:19 33:4,6	23:5 25:11
-	<b>16th</b> 5:8	38:10,15 43:15 45:19,23 47:2,18	<b>304696</b> 42:4
	<b>17</b> 19:21	<b>2012's</b> 43:19	<b>30th</b> 21:21
<b>000</b> 51:23	<b>17,000</b> 37:12,14	<b>2013</b> 15:23 20:3	<b>314827</b> 42:4
1	<b>17,637.85</b> 19:23	26:1 33:11 41:4	<b>317925</b> 41:1
<u> </u>	<b>176</b> 26:1	47:19	<b>31st</b> 29:10
<b>1</b> 9:1,2,4,6,8 17:18 20:23 31:5 33:22	<b>1767</b> 19:22	<b>2014</b> 15:23	<b>33</b> 33:17
<b>1,580</b> 38:4	<b>18</b> 15:15 27:21,25	<b>2015</b> 15:23 20:3 26:1	<b>347</b> 25:25
<b>1,767.85</b> 26:3	28:7 33:13 35:9 43:24	<b>2020</b> 47:19	<b>351.89</b> 34:7
42:12	<b>18063267</b> 2:6 5:7	<b>2021</b> 47:20 48:25	<b>352,000</b> 32:4
<b>1-20</b> 4:4	<b>18063268</b> 2:6 5:7	<b>2022</b> 2:18 5:1,8	<b>355.08</b> 31:12
<b>10</b> 25:1 34:24	<b>182</b> 32:14	<b>21</b> 24:20,21 31:1	<b>37,000</b> 34:18
<b>100</b> 51:10,15	<b>1:00</b> 51:21	<b>21-22</b> 4:5	<b>3rd</b> 14:24 15:1 49:8

Index: 40..appellant

**85** 19:22 adjourned 51:8 13,16,19,21 37:24 4 38:1 39:17,20,23, **857,000** 32:2 adjustment 24 40:1,3,9,13 31:19 39:6,12 8th 14:14,20 41:16 42:16,22 40 4:20 adjustments 44:20,22 48:15, 400 2:16 31:23 18,20 49:1,14,16, 9 25 50:6,12,18 **49** 33:6 administrative 51:2,5,14,17,20 5:11 **9** 4:4,9 31:25 32:7 allowance 31:4 5 **admit** 21:14 **9:45** 2:17 5:2,9 33:22 24:17,18,19,23 **51** 33:1 allowed 49:4 25:1,5 Α **515,000** 32:4 amount 7:24 admitted 4:4,5,7, 8 9:7,8,17,18,22, 16:17 20:4 26:2,7 **53** 33:1 42:2 **A-L** 4:8 32:15 33:7 34:1 24 20:22,23 **54** 32:22 38:7,17,21 39:2 a.m. 2:17,18 5:2,9 24:21,24 25:3,7 12:15 24:7 40:12 43:15 49:4 **55** 32:22 adult 45:25 51:22 amounts 26:9 **58** 30:5 37:16 advertising 47:9 **ABC** 15:10 28:12 29:25 30:1 42:10 affirm 11:4 31:15 33:5 35:4,7 absolutely 14:23 **59** 33:10 38:23 43:17 agree 27:13 access 14:9 analysis 32:23 23:17 **ahead** 24:18 6 and/or 10:3 40:15 account 16:20 31:20 **ala** 47:22 ANDREW 3:4 6-A 41:20,21 annualized 38:7 accountant alcohol 14:10 **60** 33:11,21 28:16 15:11,14,16 annualizing 33:5 **61** 30:5 16:12,22 17:7,10, accounted 33:7 23,25 18:5 31:20 answers 20:12 **62** 29:24 35:22 41:3 33:8 38:2 45:12, apologize 13:13 64 33:21 accounts 29:25 16,18 46:3,4,9 14:16 22:16,23 30:4 65 30:11 42:3 48:25 alcoholic 34:1 accurate 6:1 45:4 **67** 31:3 appeal 2:5 5:5,7, 44:16 19 7:4 51:7 **ALJ** 3:3 5:4 6:18, activity 33:10 24 7:1,8,11,15,19, 7 **appeals** 2:1 3:8 22 8:1,11,14,20, add 36:2 42:24 5:6,13 34:25 43:5 25 9:6,9,13,16,19, **7** 38:13 Appeals' 6:8 **added** 17:10 25 10:9,11,17,20 **71,000** 34:18 21:17 11:2,9,12,15 12:3, **APPEARANCES** 9,13,16 13:1,5,13, **74** 31:3 addition 26:14 3:1 19,22 14:16 18:2, 27:16 29:14 44:19 7th 40:25 8,15,19,23 19:1, appellant 2:7 3:6 additional 22:10 11,13,16 20:12, 6:19,20,22 7:5,23, 14,16 21:11,19 24:2 37:3 44:24 25 8:9,10,13,19 8 22:2,6,15 23:13, 9:1,20 10:1,6,16, address 41:17 18,24 24:8,13,16, 19 11:6,11,14 45:17 8 32:11 25 25:8 26:10,12, 12:19 13:16 21 27:3,13,18 14:13,20 17:19 addressing 84 25:25 28:9,19 29:1 18:4,21 23:3 28:22 30:19,22 36:3,11, 24:25 25:4 26:19,

Index: appellant's..CDTFA

23 27:6,12,17,25 **audit** 20:1 26:18 beer 15:15 27:21, bunch 21:21 28:14 29:6,16 27:7,9 28:11 29:8, 24,25 29:10,14 bureau 6:15 30:8 31:4,17 11,21 32:12,17 30:9,24 31:6,8,21 32:23 34:24 35:23 34:25 36:7,8 37:5, 32:18,19 33:8,15, **business** 14:2.6 36:15 37:20 39:1, 15,23 40:24 41:2, 23,25 38:16 15:2 16:5 27:22 3,5 40:20 45:14, 5 43:4 44:3,9,13 28:11 48:11 49:10 beers 49:11 22 46:4 48:2 49:6 45:18 47:14 48:14 businesses 50:14 began 29:14 appellant's 4:4,5, 12:21,22 13:7,8, 32:18 7 8:23 9:3,6,8,9, audited 31:14,24 11 14:2 17:14 16,18 24:20,21, 32:1,3,13 34:10, 26:5 47:13 begin 11:17 12:4 23,24 25:2,3,6,7 12,17 35:5,22 25:12 29:2 button 7:12 37:22 39:9 applicable 32:9 belong 45:2,5 **buy** 15:2 48:3 49:7 **auditor** 27:1,12 34:22 benefit 49:9 28:15 38:21 45:10 **buying** 47:12 **applied** 32:1,8,12 49:22 beverages 33:3 34:9,21 38:24 **buys** 48:12 34:2 38:8,9,18 audits 15:22 26:1 apply 8:7 35:3 36:7,10 big 16:19 23:1 С approach 28:11 41:10 August 33:4 approved 15:9 **billed** 16:3,4 **C-1** 25:1 availability 14:9 approximately **binder** 21:22 calculate 43:20 avoid 49:10 5:9 10:1 11:17 22:11 calculated 31:6 25:11 40:4,16 aware 22:18 binders 20:25 33:23,25 43:17 44:23 **bit** 36:17 calculation 38:7, April 47:11 В 20 44:7 47:7 blatantly 16:18 argued 39:5 back 12:16 22:24 calculations 34:3 bottled 30:24 arguing 50:16 24:8 25:13 28:17, 31:5 33:15.23 California 2:2.16. 20 40:13,14 51:3 arrive 31:14 34:11 21 5:1 **bottles** 17:13 balance 19:24 arrows 42:5 call 8:21 30:12,23 **bottom** 17:11 bank 29:18,24,25 31:7 33:14,24 art 7:21 19:18 30:3,4 32:22,24 calling 8:12 aspect 28:23 bought 28:2,8 **bar** 12:22,23 13:8, 48:7 car 46:17 assessed 44:3,9 9 14:7,13 16:4 **box** 28:17 17:24 20:11 29:7, carbonated assigned 16:21 10,21 31:2,16 38:13 20:11 26:5 **boxes** 15:15 32:2 33:8,11,18 27:21 28:1 carpal 17:5 Assignment 37:25 47:17 49:22 33:10 50:4,8,10,11 **break** 12:5,8,10, cars 46:17 15 22:17,19,24 assumed 49:1 **bars** 47:13 case 2:6 5:7 15:21 24:7 26:13 40:10, 20:10 45:16.20 assuming 47:15 based 22:10 31:2 12 47:15.16 51:9 33:18 astounding 16:2 breakage 17:16, **cases** 28:7 basement 18:6 17 31:5 33:23 attempting 42:19 catch 50:6 **Brooks** 3:10 6:16 basically 12:25 attending 51:11 38:5 42:7 23:10,15 categories 30:9 audible 36:15 **basing** 37:17 building 48:6 **CDTFA** 3:8 6:11,

13,15,17 8:20 colorconsumer 17:11 crazy 47:11 21:14 22:3,17,21 49:9 coordinated created 20:25 23:1 24:5 26:17 15:25 19:15 20:20 consumption 27:5,14 28:10,21 21:7,25 23:4 **credit** 17:25 15:16 41:16 42:18 49:4, 24:19 25:16 **CRV** 17:10,12 16,18,20 contending 27:1,2,7,8,16 **colors** 21:17 49:17 cents 19:22 39:6,7,9,11,12 combining 31:9 contends 34:24 50:20,21 51:1 Certified 2:20 34:4 **CSR** 2:20 contention 27:14 chance 8:3 38:11 commencing **control** 48:10 **curious** 26:15 2:17 **change** 30:25 31:12,13 33:16 conversation current 47:20 compared 32:3 34:6,8 38:25 34:13,17 11:24 45:10 48:25 **charge** 17:12 27:8 Comparison coordinating customers 27:8 39:11 46:14 29:21 30:20 32:19 21:8 39:11 check 18:24 **copies** 23:14 computable 35:6 D 36:11 copy 23:11 compute 32:2 chief 6:14 correct 10:24 computed 31:13 **D-1** 25:5 Christopher 3:10 18:16 22:1 25:8 34:2.8 dance 29:7 45:24 6:16 corrected 35:1,4, concern 27:4 46:2,14 City 14:25 5,7 37:17,18,19 50:19 database 16:19 38:24 42:11 44:5, claimed 42:11 concerned 44:25 date 5:8 21:4 clarification 6:5 conclude 18:9 25:20 46:13 48:4 correction 35:1 11:21 20:18 36:25 39:17 48:15 51:6 dated 19:19 33:11 40:23 41:14 corrections 43:1 concludes 35:24 dates 11:20 **clarify** 18:2 21:20 correctly 21:15 concluding 2:17 14:10,12 19:21 49:20 27:4 38:24 25:21 40:24 41:2 conclusion 51:22 clear 20:5 48:13 cost 30:21 31:14 45:21 conduct 5:16 33:1 39:6,10,12 cleared 41:9 days 23:22 51:11, 50:22 conducted 30:12, 15 clerical 20:6 26:8 costs 31:24 32:2 15,23 33:13 December 2:18 **clients** 49:13 34:10 conference 7:2 5:1.8 20:22 21:12 close 7:14 46:21 **couch** 20:1 25:22 8:8 29:10 47:8 26:19,20 37:9 confirm 8:4 21:11 decide 5:19 51:9 41:1 closed 51:8 confused 40:21 decided 7:4 39:2 counsel 3:10 6:16 club 14:8 15:14 41:9,14 decision 5:15 29:7 counted 49:3 confusions 14:4 51:10,18 codes 48:9,10 country 47:24 conservative delay 22:16,23 Coke 38:12 **couple** 20:17 35:23 23:20 26:14 36:1 color 21:8 45:3 consideration **Delia** 2:6 3:6 5:5 17:15 48:9,13 cover 46:14 6:20,22 14:5 17:9 color-coded 40:7 45:21 51:7 19:11 22:5 23:11 covered 18:14 constitute 41:7 36:4

Index: Delia's..fixed

**Delia's** 45:2 47:22 24:5,11 28:14 ensured 15:23 24 25:1,16,24 41:11 26:15 36:6 37:5,6 entered 27:11 department 41:6 dollar 47:18 50:24 14:22 30:5 31:3,6 entire 29:11 30:6 experience 16:5 33:21,23 39:1 **dollars** 32:16 43:6 45:19 46:6 48:11 **expired** 48:7 49:3, domestic 30:24 **entity** 31:21 deposit 29:25 8,11 31:8 33:14,25 30:3 32:22 **entry** 16:18 expires 49:12 door 46:21 deposited 30:1 equal 5:15 47:7 expiry 48:4 double-check derived 50:1 equates 16:11,15 12:8 21:1,10 extent 17:8 design 45:3 error 26:8 32:5,7 downloaded 34:19,20 F destroyed 14:15, 15:24 22 15:3 errors 16:18 20:6 downloads 16:24 32:14 fact 28:15 31:2 Detailed 29:19 **drink** 46:1 33:11,18 46:19 Esquiveldetermination 49:22 50:4,8,10 drinks 29:11,12, parkinson 2:19 32:12 15 31:1 33:12,15, 5:25 factor 34:9 determined 32:5 18 38:13 45:4 essentially 21:16 factors 31:25 34:19 drive 17:1 established fall 50:25 **detriment** 39:1,15 drop 47:11 31:24 33:2 farm 47:5 difference 16:23 duplicate 16:14 establishment 32:4 34:18 42:7 farmworkers 22:10 37:7,8 29:8 47:6 differences duplicated 16:11 everyone's 8:4 29:23 32:21 favor 35:23 39:2 18:13,15 26:5 evidence 8:7 50:15,17 51:1 disclose 35:5 27:15 40:22 41:15 20:23 24:17 federal 29:17,21 disclosed 29:22 duplicates 20:21 **exact** 21:17 32:19 30:1 32:21 24:22 26:18 36:5 37:4 43:4,14,18 **Excel** 16:9 17:1,3 file 20:8 43:10 discovered 43:19 21:9 37:1 43:10 files 28:2 discussed 7:3 Ε **excess** 43:23 8:8 find 16:5 19:20 exhibit 9:24 19:2. 41:19 43:8 distributed 23:19 **E-63** 37:18 14 20:7,25 22:9 findings 14:4 distributor 26:20 earlier 5:20 11:13 24:20,21,23,24 35:22 25:2,3,5,6,7 29:24 38:22 dive 12:23 30:5,11 31:1,3,7, fine 12:12 easy 21:9 document 16:9 8,12,13,25 32:7, **finish** 17:20 42:23 17:3 20:19 25:4. 11,22 33:1,6,10, eat 46:1 46:16 17 41:25 43:10 13,17,21 34:1,2,7, end 26:18 8,20,24 35:9 36:5 finished 46:6 documentation 37:4,15,16 41:12, ended 18:4 26:13 27:23 28:12,13,23 fire 14:6,14,21 13,19,23 42:2,10 31:18 English 11:20 31:16 49:6 43:7,12,24 44:12 17:19 26:23 45:22 documented five-minute 12:8, **exhibits** 4:4,5,7,8 46.4 42:2 10 40:9 9:1,2,4,6,8,10,16, ensure 5:18 6:1 documents 17:1 18,20,21,22 18:16 fixed 14:24 21:12,20 23:1,6 20:8,23 21:22,23,

flaws 34:25 Gonzalez's 41:17 **higher** 30:1 38:12 increased 38:23 43:16 44:15 39:8,9 floating 45:2 **good** 33:1 38:11 increases 44:4 highlighted fluent 21:9 **goods** 31:14,24 42:10 43:2 32:2 34:10 39:6 indication 20:5 follow 22:8,9 hole 12:23 28:24 41:24 42:15 industry 7:21 granted 16:16 hole-in-the-wall inflamed 16:8 **food** 29:13,15 great 23:9 24:16 13:9 34:2 42:8 44:14 25:18 17:7 45:5 49:2 **Honor** 10:7 51:13 information 5:18 greater 44:2 foods 35:10,13, hot 29:12,15 21:4,17,25 22:4, grouped 16:1 19.21 35:10,12,19,21 18 23:4,18,21 guess 21:1 28:22 24:1,3 27:11 30:3, hours 17:4 forgive 40:25 50:18 7 33:19 42:17 48:23 44:24 50:2 huge 16:23 guys 12:13 15:23 frame 16:21 20:2 22:15 hundred 35:7 Initially 13:6 41:5,8 43:22,23 inside 46:20,22 frankly 16:8 Н husband 8:13 instance 21:5 frescas 47:22 40:23 half 18:5 32:15 Friday 2:18 5:1,8 ı insurance 31:18 12:24 13:10,11 hand 10:21,22 intend 8:21 11:1,3 20:19 illegal 46:20 friend 21:8 Internet 33:20 handled 37:20 important 12:20 front 25:17 interpret 7:17 **happen** 43:25 imported 30:24 11:24 13:24 30:16 31:8 33:14,25 G happened 27:24 interpreter 7:7, 43:15 impossible 26:6 10,13,17,20 10:7, gave 18:6 26:25 47:8 **happy** 17:2 10 11:20,23 28:3,14 31:3 improperly 27:4, 13:17,20,23 17:21 33:21 46:8 hard 43:8 15 26:25 30:13,16 give 8:16 10:3 headquarters 34:14 35:11,14, inadequate 14:12 17:25 22:20 6:15 18,20 36:23 37:2 32:13.25 23:5 24:1 46:13, 48:2 51:13,15,19 health 14:22 46:6 14 include 20:9 51:1 48:11 interpreter's **GONZALES** 3:6 included 29:16 14:18 hear 35:11 34:3 35:2 37:6 **Gonzalez** 4:14,20 interpreting 12:2 heard 5:6,10 39:10,12 43:7 6:23 10:23,25 13:15 11:7,19,22,25 **hearing** 3:9,10 **including** 44:8,14 interrupted 6:21 12:7,12,19 13:3,6 5:10,14,16,20,25 inclusion 50:20 14:1 15:19 18:10, 6:4,5,6,12 8:15 interrupting 17 19:2,5,12,15, 10:1 21:22 22:11 income 29:17,21 14:17 17 21:2,16 22:1 29:5 38:20 42:17 32:19 inventory 14:21 23:16.20 25:14.19 43:6 51:6,7,12,20 inconclusive 43:21 27:10 28:5 40:4,6, **heart** 14:11 32:23 11,15,17,20 41:24 invoice 16:3,5,16 42:13,16,21 held 16:7 increase 35:8 19:19,25 25:23 44:22,25 45:15 38:25 43:18 26:4 41:1,13 high 20:4,5 46:3 47:4 48:15, 17,20,23 49:5

invoices 15:20,25 16:7,10,11,15,19, 20 17:6 18:13,16 20:20,21 24:19,22 25:22 26:16,17,22 27:5,11,14,15 28:1,4 29:18 40:22 41:15

involved 43:4,14

issue 8:6 27:16

**issues** 7:3,4 11:13

items 22:10,13

J

January 29:9

**Jason** 3:10 6:14

judge 5:11,12,16, 17 18:25 20:14,16 22:7 26:11 28:20 39:21,25 48:19 49:15 51:4

**judges** 5:11,14 51:9

juicy 19:6

**July** 15:12 40:24, 25 41:1 45:22 46:7

**June** 7:3 14:24 15:1 49:8

## Κ

**kind** 12:23 19:7 23:1 45:3

**Kwee** 3:4 5:13 20:14,16 21:11 26:11,12,21 27:3, 13,18 28:9,19 39:25 40:1 49:15, 16,25 50:6,12,18 51:2 L

La 12:23 13:9 14:7 15:6 16:4,20 20:11 28:2,8 29:7, 12,13 31:21 32:17,18 33:9 38:3 43:22 44:9, 11,16 45:3,18 46:8 47:17,23 50:9

labeled 21:23 25:1,5

lady 27:12 28:15

large 26:6

**law** 5:11

lawsuit 49:10

**lead** 3:3 5:12,16 28:20 51:3

**leave** 38:13 41:21 44:19

**left** 17:25 18:5 41:20 44:23

**liability** 7:24 35:8 44:2,4

liable 26:8

**license** 15:8,9 46:3,5,8

liquor 15:8,9 29:10,14 30:9,12, 23,24 31:5,7 32:17,19 33:14,25 38:16 46:10 47:2 48:10

**Lisa** 46:19

**list** 18:6 29:19 47:25

**listed** 17:22 18:7 25:22,24,25 26:2, 3 41:2,4,7,11 47:21 50:10

listening 16:14

literally 13:12

live 5:21 12:5 24:4

location 14:3 29:20

locations 14:7 17:11

**lot** 14:4 24:2 38:12 47:5

**Lotus** 43:9

loudly 6:3

lower 39:2

lowered 38:21

Luevano 2:6 3:6 5:5 6:20,22,25 7:25 8:10,13,19 10:16,18,19,22 11:6,8,11,12,14 13:15,16 14:13, 17,20 17:19 18:4 26:19,23 27:6,12, 17,25 28:14 36:15 45:14,22 46:4 48:2 49:6 51:8

## М

**made** 14:22 20:9 27:7 31:20,23 39:13 40:21

maintained 32:12

major 29:22 32:21

**make** 13:6 17:9 21:9 22:17 23:25 39:5 46:5 48:13

making 47:7,14

manually 17:4

**March** 33:11

Maria 2:19

marked 50:14

markup 31:25 34:3,9 39:8 50:23

markup's 50:25

markups 31:11

34:5 35:2,3,5 38:23 44:5

matches 42:4

Matter 2:5 46:18

matters 5:5

means 28:5 36:23 41:3

measure 7:6,7,8,

**meet** 5:14 22:24 51:9

members 3:3 5:13 8:17 10:12

mention 27:20

mentioned 5:20

merchant 16:3

**mic** 14:18,19

microphone 13:2

middle 19:7,9

migrate 47:6

**million** 16:11 32:16 37:21,22

mind 7:12 36:16

**minors** 14:8 15:8, 15 45:24 46:2,11

minute 20:2 48:21

**minutes** 10:2,5,6, 11 11:17 12:6 23:5 25:11 40:5,6, 16 44:23

**missed** 34:14 51:16

missing 30:3

**misspoke** 48:21, 23

mistake 21:2

Mister 8:11

**Moises** 6:25 10:16

Index: Monday..performed

**Monday** 12:24 non-alcoholic online 33:19 paper 45:11,12 29:11,12,15 33:3, 13:10 44:25 45:6 49:18, **papers** 37:15 12,15 38:18 44:17 19 50:1,3 money 46:15,18 paperwork 15:10 NOS 2:6 open 38:16 Monica 3:6 6:23 parents 46:13 notation 33:12 opened 14:6,8 Monterey 47:11 15:6 Parker 3:10 6:14 note 47:4 month 31:22 22:7 36:1,4,12,18, opening 8:3 10:2 noted 10:12 30:2 20,22 37:1,3,11, monthly 29:24 11:16 28:21 32:5 34:18 35:9, 14 39:4,16 months 30:2 12,16,17 operate 15:5 **Parker's** 36:14 48:11 45:20 47:15 48:4 notice 23:20 part 5:22 18:16 move 13:2 operates 29:6 November 14:14, 39:6 40:23 41:20 Movida 12:23 20 19:9,19,21 operating 15:11 49:4 50:7 13:9 14:7 15:6 21:6,21 22:13 operations 6:15 partial 29:17 16:4,20 20:11 25:20,21 31:17 28:2,8 29:7,12,13 37:10,11 47:11 opinion 51:10 participants 5:15 31:21 32:17,18 **number** 15:20 option 38:15,17 participate 5:18 33:9 38:3 43:22 16:17 19:14 20:7, 44:9,11,16 45:3, options 38:10,15 parties 6:9 9,10 25:24 18 46:8 47:17,23 order 9:25 15:5 party 10:13 numbered 19:18 50:9 ordered 15:15 pass 14:11 Movidas 47:23 numbers 5:7 19:24,25 41:6 original 21:3 patience 24:9 moving 8:25 9:25 28:16 36:8 25:10 12:10 0 originally 37:12 paying 17:24 multiple 16:6 43:16 27:15 36:7 37:4,6 **PDF** 16:25 43:10 **oath** 8:9 outrageously multiplicated peak 47:14 object 9:3 26:6 16:6 penalty 8:7 32:11 owed 17:22 objection 8:22 **people** 15:14 9:5,7,14,17,23 Ν owes 17:7 45:25 46:10,19, 21:14 22:22 22,25 47:1,12 24:14,16 **names** 6:10 Ρ Pepsi 38:12 objections 8:24 **napkins** 45:13 9:21 percent 17:17,18 p.m. 51:21 NATASHA 3:3 31:4,5,11,12,19, obtain 32:9 34:22 package 15:24 23 32:14 33:7,22 necessarily 28:5 obtained 33:19 19:7 34:6,7,11 45:9 needed 5:19 6:4 49:20 47:3 50:23 packages 37:5 18:12 occurred 31:17 percentage 32:7, pages 15:20 negligence 8:6 14 34:20 39:8 October 33:4 19:17 22:12.14 32:11 25:25 27:1 30:5, percentages Office 2:1 3:8 5:6 net 32:2 34:12 11 31:1,3 32:22 31:6,9 32:5 33:24 6:7 41:22 34:4,19 night 13:11 official 6:6 panel 3:3 5:10,13, Perfect 9:16 nightclub 12:25 one's 42:5,6 17 8:18 10:12 13:10 15:6 performed 30:6 18:24

Index: performer..record

performer 46:16 4:12 8:3 10:2,3,5 purchase 17:8 13,16,19,25 10:9, 11:16 12:18 18:9 29:18 30:6 31:14 11,17,20 11:2,9, **period** 29:8,11 25:12 28:22 29:4 35:1.4 12,15 12:3,9,13, 30:7 37:23 44:15 35:24 36:2,14 16 13:1,5,13,19, 45:8 purchased 33:3 39:18 48:16 22 14:16 18:2,8, periods 15:22 purchases 16:12 15,19,23 19:11, **press** 7:12 30:25 32:9 33:15 30:8 31:7,10,15, 13,16 20:14 21:19 34:22 pretty 13:25 20:4 22:2,6,7,15 23:13, 19,21 33:3,6,8,12, 24 34:4 35:4 18,24 24:8,13,16, permission previously 9:2 25 25:8 26:10 37:17,21,22 38:2, 28:17 29:1 30:19,22 11 39:7 43:19 **price** 29:19 30:20 permissions 31:11,13 32:1 44:6,17 36:3,11,13,16,19, 21 37:24 38:1 14:25 33:16 34:6,7 purpose 42:16 39:17,20,24 40:3, 47:21,24 49:18, permit 29:8 19,20 put 15:4 16:10,19 9,13 41:16 42:16, 21:22 46:24 22 44:20,22 **permits** 14:25 prices 30:25 31:1 48:15,18 49:14 29:9 33:18,19 49:23 51:5,14,17,20 50:5,9,10 Q persons 6:24 Randy 3:9 6:12 pricing 45:1 **phrase** 35:15 qualified 15:7 ranged 20:8 pick 14:18 15:19 **prior** 32:1 quarter 32:10 ranging 25:25 problems 50:15 **picked** 16:16 34:23 rate 32:8 34:21 picture 45:4 proceed 11:16 question 7:16 re-audit 36:8.9 24:14 25:9 11:13 19:1 20:13 pilferage 31:23 27:10 28:10,24 read 43:8 proceedings 34:11 41:17 49:16 50:19 2:15 10:14 51:22 **ready** 11:16,18 **place** 14:22 46:11 questioning 24:13 25:9 29:2 process 34:25 plates 45:5 48:21 51:5 42:20 point 8:15 26:4 questions 5:17 real 19:6 produce 5:15 6:6 36:5 39:4 44:5 8:17 10:13 18:21, produced 44:3 realistically 22,25 20:15,18 pointing 42:5 38:17 26:11,14 35:25 product 48:3 49:8 **POS** 29:19 39:21,25 40:1 **reason** 23:19 products 45:11, 42:18 48:19 49:15 post 32:1 49:19 rebuttal 4:18 12 48:7 49:7 51:3 10:6,9,10 40:5,7, posted 5:23 43:16 promised 17:16 quick 45:17 **posting** 33:19 proprietor 29:6 quicker 23:17 receipts 28:16,18 45:7 29:19 provide 23:11 practically 15:17 26:17 28:11 44:24 R receive 9:10 22:3 48:5 provided 16:25 received 15:24 prehearing 7:2 raise 10:21,22 21:6 26:21,24 17:17 20:21 22:19 8:8 11:1,2 27:5 29:16 30:7 recent 15:25 31:17 37:7 50:2,3 prepared 29:13, raised 50:19 18:18 15 35:10,13,19,21 **public** 5:21,23 **Ralston** 3:3 5:4, recommendation 12:1 present 5:24 11 6:18,24 7:1,8, 44:11 42:17 11,15,19,22 8:1, **pull** 41:12 11,14,20,25 9:6,9, record 5:4.23 6:1 presentation

11:10 12:4,16 request 43:5 8,10 S 24:6,8,18 40:13, **sheets** 49:19 require 46:6 14 45:8 51:8 requirement 46:7 **shelf** 30:11.15.17 Sacramento 2:16 recorded 5:21 31:10 33:13 34:5 5:1 33:3 research 49:25 **short** 22:19,24 sales 29:18,22 recording 5:22 researched 32:2,3,4,8,9,13, 12:11 Shorthand 2:20 49:23 14,15,20 34:12, records 29:16 resold 17:23 shortly 24:5 13,17,18,21,22 32:12,24 35:6,22 39:9 Respondent **show** 17:6 19:3 43:23 44:9,13,14 reduced 31:16,22 8:17,20,22 9:3,19 27:23 46:25 47:11 34:10 10:4 39:22 showed 35:2 Salinas 47:5,8,10 reducing 31:19 respondent's 4:8 showing 42:5 9:22,24 sample 21:6 reduction 31:18 **shown** 7:5,23 response 36:15 Saturday 13:11 reductions 7:6. 17:8 23 43:3 responsible 16:7 schedule 36:10. **shows** 16:18 24 37:1,5 refer 14:5 45:21 restaurant 12:24 sic 47:20 13:9,10 15:11 schedules 36:9 reference 16:10 45:23 46:24 47:1 **side** 19:18 20:7 screen 19:8 restaurants Silicon 47:9 referring 45:11, season 47:14 47:13 12 **single** 12:23 segregated 30:9 results 31:10 34:5 regard 9:9 25:15 **Six-b** 41:21 segregation 30:6 resume 22:21 related 31:21 slowing 36:16 31:15 35:1 51:20 50:18 **small** 13:8 47:10 selfretail 47:13 remember 27:19 consumption soda 30:10 **returns** 29:17,22 31:22 removed 39:7 32:20 sodas 42:8 46:18 **sell** 14:9 15:13 removing 16:14 49:11 review 17:2 23:3 27:7 32:17 46:9, repeat 13:4 25:15, 35:2 sold 16:22 17:24 12 48:5,8 49:10 17 18:1,7 27:22,24 rid 49:2 selling 29:14 28:8 29:12 31:14, report 33:10 30:20 32:18 Rio 12:22 13:8 24 32:2 33:2 reported 2:19 14:7,13 16:4 34:10 38:8,9 39:7 send 51:10,17 28:12 29:22 30:2 20:11 29:7,10,21 46:11 47:3.18 **sense** 21:2 32:4,8,13,20 31:16 33:8 37:25 48:12,22 49:12 47:17 50:11 34:17,21 separate 21:18 **sole** 29:6 29:25 reporter 2:21 round 17:21 47:7, sort 17:2 21:4 6:21 11:21 36:25 15 September 15:7 43:1 33:4 reporting 5:25 **RPR** 2:20 **source** 28:23 **served** 29:10 run 43:13 reports 29:19 **Spanish** 16:15 **share** 14:3 rural 47:5 represent 6:10 **speak** 6:3 7:11 **sheet** 31:2 33:11, representative 14:5 18 45:1 47:21,24 3:6,9,10 6:13 speaking 10:25 49:18,20,23 50:4, 12:19 29:5 40:20

speaks 6:2 12,15 18:20,22 TERESA 3:3 told 46:19 22:5 24:10,12,15 specific 22:12 term 7:10,21 20:1 top 43:3 25:11 29:2,5 42:13 47:24 30:14 30:15,18,20,23 total 34:15 specifically 14:7 34:16 35:12,17, terminated 27:21 totaled 31:15 25:21 39:11 19,21 37:10,13, test 30:17 31:10 16,25 38:2 39:14, totaling 33:2 **spent** 17:3 34:5 19 41:18 42:1,22, touch 39:5 25 44:21 49:22 spillage 31:4 testify 8:9 50:3,8,13,21 33:22 town 47:5.10 testifying 10:24 submit 21:3 spoilage 49:4 27:20 transaction 37:9 submitted 9:1.2. **Stanley** 3:3 5:12 testimony 8:16 transactions 19 20:21,25 18:25 19:1 20:12 10:4 12:4 37:7,8 39:21,23 48:19,20 21:12,19,20,21, tests 30:11,15 transcribed 30:1 23.24 49:1 33:13 transcript 2:15 start 6:11 11:19 substantial 32:16 thing 12:20 17:9 5:22 6:7 36:20 45:23 successful 45:24 28:7 43:20 50:13 transfer 31:20 started 14:23 sufficient 18:10 things 8:2 36:1 43:25 44:8 45:9 15:1,11 41:9 46:5 47:13 **summer** 47:15 transferred 33:8 starting 43:12 thought 13:17 48:22 supplies 30:10 transferring 15:14 42:8 43:21 45:15 starts 41:22,23 thousand 16:12 **supply** 32:24 **tripled** 32:13 **state** 2:2,21 6:10 19:22 35:7 38:3 support 28:12 43:22,23 true 43:17 47:3 **stated** 37:20 38:19,22 swear 8:15 11:4 three-year 30:7 **truth** 11:4,5 statement 4:18 system 23:19,21, throw 14:23 48:5 **tunnel** 17:5 23 40:19.21 49:9.12 turn 14:17 16:13 statements 29:18 thrown 49:7 17:2 19:7 28:20 Т 32:24 51:3 time 5:9 6:2 10:3, stenographer 8,13 15:4,22 twenty 25:20 taking 16:20 48:8 5:24 6:4,6 16:21 20:2 22:14, 48:24 17,20 23:2,6,7,8 talking 19:4 20:10 stick 21:10 23:16 twenty-some 24:1,2,10 38:9 22:8 26:15 49:2 38:3 41:5,8 44:15 45:8, **stop** 6:4 13:13 tax 2:1 3:8,10 5:6, 46:23 11 typing 17:4 13 6:8,16 7:6,7,8, **straws** 45:13 timeline 12:21 18 29:17,22 32:3, 13:7 U 20 34:12 35:8 stream 24:4 times 16:6 25:23 taxable 32:8,9 streamed 5:21 underassessed 26:3 27:15 37:6 34:12,15,21,22 12:5 44:12 41:4,8 35:6 39:9 Street 2:16 understand today 42:20 51:7 taxes 17:7,24 12:21 13:7,16,24 **strip** 14:2 **Today's** 5:8,10 taxpayer 20:24 27:18 28:10 30:14 51:7 stuff 43:25 37:20 49:17 50:2 35:15 42:17 **Suazo** 3:9 4:15 toilet 45:12 ten 10:6,11 31:12 understanding 6:12 8:24 9:5,11, 40:4,16 50:24

21:15 27:3

understated

35:3,6 38:6,18 44:10,18

understood

15:21

unduplicated 16:10

unfamiliar 7:20

unique 47:8,9

Univision 47:10

Unprepared

35:20

**unreported** 32:9, 15 34:22

**upload** 23:21

**USB** 17:1 21:9 23:16

٧

Valley 47:9

vendor 42:6

vendors 26:21,23

27:4 30:8

verbatim 6:1

version 37:18

versions 36:7

**video** 5:22 12:10

W

walk 17:12

wanted 17:9 21:11 25:13,14 36:2,5 39:4 42:23 44:24 47:4

warranted 7:6,9,

**water** 38:5,14 45:14 46:15,17 50:13,14 **waters** 46:12 47:17 48:22

**website** 5:23 6:8 16:25 45:6

weekends 12:25

**weighted** 31:6,9, 11,25 33:24 34:4, 5,9 35:2,5 44:5

wild 45:4

wine 29:10,14

witnesses 8:21, 23 11:10

wondering 19:3 27:23 28:13

**work** 37:15 38:22 46:10 47:10 48:9, 10

working 15:8

worksheet 37:1,6

written 5:15 51:10,17

wrong 16:16

Υ

**year** 7:3 17:20,21 32:6 34:19 46:9 47:7,15

years 15:17 17:23 18:5 20:3 28:8 38:4 43:6,13 46:11