BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of	The Appeal Of:)Case	
ARTHUR KASSEL,)	
	Appellant.)	CERTIFIED COPY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Cerritos, California

Tuesday, February 14, 2023

Reported by:

SKYY CHUNG Hearing Reporter

Job No.: 40663 OTA (A-AM) (REV3)

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	In the Matter of The Appeal Of:)Case No.
6)18010965 ARTHUR KASSEL,
7	Appellant.)
8	·/
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS,
15	taken at Cerritos, California,
16	commencing at 9:36 a.m.
17	and concluding at 10:06 a.m.
18	on Tuesday, February 14, 2023,
19	and reported by Skyy Chung, Hearing Reporter.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Panel LEAD:	CHERYL AKIN, JUDGE
3		SARA HOSEY, JUDGE
4		KENNY GAST, JUDGE
5		
6	FOR THE APPELLANT:	MORTIMER LASKI
7		Laski & Gordon
8		15233 Ventura Blvd, Suite 1,
9		Sherman Oaks, CA 91403
10		(818)788-5492
11		ANTHONY GLASS
12		Laski & Gordon
13		15233 Ventura Blvd, Suite 1,
14		Sherman Oaks, CA 91403
15		(818)788-5492
16		
17	FOR THE DEPARTMENT:	DAVID HUNTER
18		Franchise Tax Board
19		PO Box 942840
20		Sacramento, CA 94240
21		(800)852-5711
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	WITNESS INDEX
2	
3	WITNESSES FOR THE APPELLANT: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
4	(NONE) (NONE)
5	
6	
7	WITNESSES FOR THE DEPARTMENT: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
8	(NONE) (NONE)
9	
10	
11	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
12	
13	(APPELLANT'S EXHIBITS 1-29 WERE RECEIVED ON PAGE 9.)
14	(DEPARTMENT'S EXHIBITS A-I WERE RECEIVED ON PAGE 10.)
15	
16	PRESENTATION
17	PAGE
18	MR. LASKI 12
19	MR. HUNTER 17
20	
21	CLOSING ARGUMENT
22	PAGE
23	MR. LASKI 24, 28
24	MR. HUNTER 27
25	

1	CERRITOS, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 2023
2	9:36 A.M.
3	-0-
4	
5	JUDGE AKIN: We are opening the record in appeal of
6	Kassel, OTA Case No. 18010965. This matter is being
7	held before the Office of Tax Appeals. Today's date is
8	Tuesday, February 14th, 2023, and the approximate time
9	is 9:39 a.m.
10	Again, my name is Judge Cheryl Akin, and I am the
11	lead administrative law judge for this appeal. With me
12	today are Administrative Law Judges Sarah Hosey and
13	Kenneth Gast.
14	As a reminder, the Office of Tax Appeals is not a
15	court. It is an independent appeals body. The office
16	is staffed by tax expert and is tax experts and is
17	independent of the state taxing agencies. With that,
18	let me please have the parties introduce themselves for
19	the record. If you could also spell your name for our
20	stenographer, that would be appreciated. And I'll start
21	with Appellants.
22	MR. LASKI: Mortimer, M-O-R-T-I-M-E-R, Laski,
23	L-A-S-K-I, attorney for the Appellant.
24	MR. GLASS: Anthony, A-N-T-H-O-N-Y, Glass,
25	G-L-A-S-S, attorney for the Appellant.

1 Okay. Thank you. And Franchise Tax JUDGE AKIN: 2. Board? 3 MR. HUNTER: David Hunter, H-U-N-T-E-R, on behalf 4 of FTB. Good morning. 5 JUDGE AKIN: Okay. Thank you. As confirmed at the prehearing conference and in my minutes and orders that 6 followed that conference, the issues to be decided in 7 this appeal are, one, whether Appellants' reported sale 8 of stock in Flexiciser Inc. during the 2007 tax year had 9 10 economic substance beyond tax considerations; and, two, 11 whether the Appellant is entitled to the reported capital loss in the amount of \$2,228,000. 12 13 The second issue includes sub-issues of whether 14 Appellant has substantiated his reported basis in full 15 or in part in Flexiciser, and whether Appellant is entitled to any loss or deduction during the 2007 tax 16 year for loans or advances made to Flexiciser prior to 17 18 the reported sale, which amounted to \$809,900 -- sorry. 19 \$809,937 by the end of 2020 -- 2007. 20 So, Mr. Laski, is this consistent with your 21 understanding of the issues to be decided? 2.2 MR. LASKI: Yes. 23 And Mr. Hunter? JUDGE AKIN:

Thank you. All right. With that, I'd

Yes, Lead Judge Akin.

2.4

25

MR. HUNTER:

JUDGE AKIN:

like to move on to the evidence in this appeal. I'll start with Appellant's exhibits. I do have the document you submitted. I'll get to that in just a moment.

Before I do, at the prehearing conferencing, we noted that Appellant had 28 exhibits, labeled Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 28, which would be admitted into the evidence at the hearing today. In preparing for the hearing, I did note that there was actually a 29th exhibit that was submitted with the prehearing conference statement. It just was -- looks like it was omitted from the index. So I just wanted to double check with Appellants and see if the intention was to have that admitted as well.

MR. LASKI: Yes, it was, Your Honor.

JUDGE AKIN: Okay. And Franchise Tax Board, did you see that exhibit? It's Exhibit 29. It would have been the last two pages of the exhibits Appellants submitted with their prehearing conference statement. It is a letter that is dated January 11th, 2014, from Greg Wallace, CPA, to FTB's protest hearing officer. It was also Appellant's Exhibit A with a reply brief.

MR. HUNTER: I thought I saw it before, Judge. We have no objection.

JUDGE AKIN: Okay. With that, then we will be admitting Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 29 without

1 objection. And those are now admitted into the 2 evidentiary record. (EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 29 WERE ADMITTED INTO THE RECORD.) 3 4 JUDGE AKIN: Franchise Tax Board -- oh, let me back 5 With respect to the document that was provided this morning, Mr. Laski, could you clarify whether this is 6 intended as a visual aid for your presentation today, or 7 is it intended to be admitted as evidence? 8 9 MR. LASKI: It's primarily meant to be a visual 10 aid, Your Honor. It could be used as evidence, but it's no more than a summary of what's been written the last 11 12 four years. 13 JUDGE AKIN: Okay. And Franchise Tax Board, did 14 you have any objections to the use of these documents as 15 visual aids during the presentation today? I have no objection, Lead Judge Akin. 16 MR. HUNTER: 17 As a matter of fact, I believe the last three pages 18 we've already seen. So no objection. 19 JUDGE AKIN: Agree. Okay. Thank you. We will use 20 that as a visual aid during the presentation today. So 21 thank you all for that. Franchise Tax Board, it looks like you had 22 23 Exhibits A through I. At the prehearing conference,

Appellants did not have any objections to those

exhibits. So FTB's Exhibits A through I are now

2.4

25

1 admitted into the evidentiary record. 2 (EXHIBITS A THROUGH I WERE ADMITTED INTO THE RECORD.) 3 And just checking, there are no JUDGE AKIN: 4 additional exhibits from either party? 5 MR. LASKI: No, Your Honor. 6 MR. HUNTER: No, Judge. 7 JUDGE AKIN: Okay. Thank you. So last, before we jump into presentations, I was going to quickly go over 8 9 the order of the proceedings today. So Appellants will 10 have their presentation first, and they have 25 minutes for that presentation. Following Appellant's 11 12 presentation, I will turn to my Panel to see if they 13 have any questions for Appellant's representatives. 14 After that, Franchise Tax Board will have time 15 for their presentation, which has been estimated at 20 minutes. Following FTB's presentations, I will again 16 17 turn to my Panel to allow any questions of Franchise Tax 18 Board, after which, I will allow 5 minutes for 19 Appellant's rebuttal or closing statement. 20 Following that, I will have a final opportunity 21 for questions that Panel may have for either party 22 before concluding the hearing. Any final questions 23 before I move on to the presentations? 2.4 MR. LASKI: No, Your Honor.

Okay. Well, then, I believe we're

25

JUDGE AKIN:

ready for Appellant's presentation. Mr. Laski and/or Mr. Glass, you have 25 minutes, and you may begin when you're ready.

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. LASKI: Okay. I think it's appropriate, since it's a holiday, to wish you all a happy, healthy Valentine's day. Enjoy.

What I did was, I was in bed the last couple of weeks, and I just kept looking over and looking over the papers. And I realized, that, in going over the papers and everything that has been written, there are certain very key points that have never been mentioned, and I'd like to bring them up for your consideration today.

I will start. I'd like to talk about Greg
Wallace, the CPA. Greg was Appellant's accountant for
20 years. He filed his tax returns -- maybe longer than
that. Greg was the accountant for Flexiciser Inc. since
its inception. Greg was the representative during all
prior orders and hearings. And he spoke for Arthur,
without -- Arthur has no input in this at all. We will
get that to that.

Greg was a one-percent owner of a medical company called Camel, which he owned with Dr. Sheldon. I think they're in this building, by the way. And Dr. Sheldon Vinsberg owned a very substantial portion of Camel. And

they sold Camel for \$240 million in 2007.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, Greg -- when we first -- we're going to leave Greg for a moment, and I want to go to Arthur Kassel. If Arthur has a quality -- and I knew him when he owned the Beverly Hills Gun Club, and when he was a narcotics agent for the state. He is a very loving man. He was married to Tichi Wilkerson for 20 years. owned the Hollywood Reporter. And when she came down with Parkinson's, he was totally devoted her to her. He would be in the pool with her, walking with her, and when he saw this Flexiciser machine, which, it was crude at the time -- when he saw it and used it with Tichi for a year or two, it really started to help her Parkinson's. Unfortunately, at the end of the year, she died. But Arthur had this phenomenal love, not for Tichi, but for humanity. He wanted this company to go on to help all people with Parkinson's disease.

Now, the four years that Tichi -- that Arthur owned this, 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2007, what did the company do? Well, in 2004, it lost \$647,000. In 2005, it lost \$598,000. In 2006, \$943,000. 2007, \$638,000. How much of those millions of dollars of corporate losses, did Arthur, as an owner, end up paying those bills? How much of it was that he was able to deduct on his tax returns? Zero. Do you know why zero? Because

his longtime accountant kept his company as a C corporation. And I don't think it takes more than somebody a year out of college to know that if you want to have a deduction from a C corporation at the beginning of the year, you convert it to an S. So Arthur converted it. First year, second year, third year, fourth year.

Let me come to the year of sale. All of a sudden, Camel has a lot of money. And Arthur, or Greg rather, has this conversation with the other owner of Zinberg -- Dr. Zinberg. And he says to him, "Would you like to add this on to the company? We can bring the machines on. We can do a lot more development with them." And Doctor said, "That could be a great idea."

So what happened? Greg goes back to Arthur and makes a bid, a paltry bid. But he makes a bid to buy the company for \$100,000. And as soon as he got any, he had to put in \$50,000 down for working capital. The sale goes down. A few days later, Dr. Zinsberg says to Greg, "I don't think it will be such a good image to have all those people on wheelchairs on the exercise floor. Cancel the deal." So a few days later --

JUDGE AKIN: I'm so sorry to interrupt. Can I have you move your microphone a little closer, just to make sure we're picking up the sound for the recorder. My

apologies for interrupting you.

2.4

MR. LASKI: I'll never been told I'm so quiet before.

A few days later, they canceled the deal. Now, you look. What does Arthur have to sell? He has nothing. He has net operating losses. What about the patent for the Flexiciser machine? Who owns that? The original inventor, Arthur, was such a terrible businessman. He never had the patent bought or signed over to the corporation, so he didn't even have that.

Now, why would Arthur take the company back?

Because Greg said to him, "I'm going to close it if you don't take it back." And when he took it back, what do you think happened then? Well, in 2008, Arthur ran it and lost a million 488. In 2009, he lost \$851,000. In 2010, a million 119. 2011, a million 154. 2012, a million 248. 2013, \$152,021. And 2014, \$363,024.

Needless to say, Arthur was not a businessman. He was in love and trying to provide a product. He was taken -- he wasn't even taken advantage of by Greg.

When the sale was made, when Greg made the offer, he had Dr. Zinsberg behind him. And they had something of value to take, to buy that equipment with. There's not an argument about it. That's what they canceled a few days later. So at the time that the sale was made,

there actually was a sale made for value. You don't look at the second sale where he canceled it and say, "Oh, the sale was not made for value."

Well, I think it's important to mention or repeat, that the only thing the Franchise Tax Board heard during the audit, during the appeal, was from Greg Wallace. They never heard from Arthur, which is normal. He was his accountant. He knew everything that was gone on his return. He was a representative during all the prior orders and hearings. Greg was the leading person involved in this case. I'm not pointing a finger, but I think the finger points itself. With this, I'll wrap it up.

JUDGE AKIN: Okay. Thank you. And I take it that concludes your presentation for the time being?

MR. LASKI: I think so, yes.

JUDGE AKIN: Okay. We'll come back to you for rebuttal after Franchise Tax Board. I just wanted to make sure before I turn to my co-panelists for questions.

MR. LASKI: Thank you.

JUDGE AKIN: Judge Gast, do you have any questions

23 | for Appellant?

JUDGE AKIN: I do not have any questions. Thank

25 you.

1 And Judge Hosey? JUDGE AKIN: 2 JUDGE AKIN: No questions, but thank you. 3 JUDGE AKIN: Okay. I do have one quick question. 4 I was wondering if you could clarify for me when 5 Mr. Kassel repurchased Flexiciser from Mr. Wallace. know it happened sometime early in 2008, I believe, but 6 I don't know that we have the date in the record. 7 MR. LASKI: I can't say for positive, but I think 8 it was just a matter of days. Dr. Zinsberg changed his 9 10 mind and didn't want to be bothered with it. So they 11 had to sell, and they had to cancel the sale. 12 JUDGE AKIN: Okay. Thank you. That's my only 13 question for the time being, so I think we are ready for 14 Franchise Tax Board's presentation. 15 Mr. Hunter, you have 20 minutes, and you may 16 begin when you are ready. 17 PRESENTATION 18 MR. HUNTER: Sure. We won't need that, Lead Judge 19 Akin. Thank you for the time. 20 My name is David Hunter, and, again, I represent 21 the respondent, Franchise Tax Board. And this case involves a complete and total lack of substantiation. 22 23 Appellant reported a 2.2 million-dollar loss on this 2007 income tax return. And when audited, even until 2.4

the present day, Appellant remains unable to support his

25

claim tax basis in this transaction, as reported on that return. The law is clear. Appellant must support his basis in this stock as claimed. If not, the taxing authority can reduce the basis all the way down to zero. As Appellant failed to support his reported tax basis, Respondent correctly disallowed the reported loss, and his action should be sustained.

2.4

Here's what happened: In 2007, Appellant sold commercial real estate located in Hollywood, California, and realized a gain of 7.5 million dollars on this transaction. Appellant also owned the majority interest -- in interest, in a company called Flexiciser, which became 83 percent interest towards the end of 2007. He sold his stock to his longtime accountant for \$100,000, but he claimed a tax basis of \$2.3 million in the stock. And Respondent asked the Panel to provide support for his claim to \$2.3 month million in the basis in the stock. Why would he ever sell stock reporting the basis of \$2.3 million for the low price of \$100,000? That was the question posed by Respondent, in order to ascertain whether or not there was economic substance to this transaction.

First, Appellant claimed that he purchased a million dollars in stock from Flexiciser. He argued that he had equity in that amount. But he could not

show that he paid for the stock, or that he was even issued said stock. We later received a stock certificate for 2.1 million shares. He offered a Flexiciser stock ledgering, with handwritten notes and entries. He also submitted checks that he wrote to Flexiciser; that is in Appellant's Exhibit 1. But these checks do not correlate with the entries on the ledger. A lot of the checks -- I'm sorry, majority of the checks have a memo line in the bottom, which reads "loan, payroll, or per agreement, which does not reflect the purchase of shares of stock in the company."

2.4

Appellant also failed to provide any stock purchase agreements or corporate minutes to tie these checks to the payment for his Flexiciser shares. So there's a complete and total lack of substantiation on this point, that being equity as basis.

Next, Appellant claimed that he loaned a little bit over a million dollars to Flexiciser, but he couldn't substantiate that he actually provided the \$1 million in cash as claimed. The actual number is 1,065,000. And he did not report receiving interest from this alleged loan from the company.

So recently, Appellant argued that the shareholder loan balance of 809,000, that's listed on the tax year of 2007 return, that should be the basis

for his stock in the company, because it's a line item that's on a balance sheet. However, Appellant was not the only shareholder in the company. And we don't have information in terms of how much of that 809,000 was a loan that was outstanding to Appellant as a shareholder. And there are no documents to support the existence of that loan. So, again, there's a complete and total lack of substantiation on that point, that being the loan, that being this issue that we are discussing on the amount of \$809,000.

But in addition to the audit issues, Appellant could not provide a valid business purpose for this transaction, and the transaction I'm referring to is the sale of stock, with \$2.3 million for \$100,000.

Appellant could not explain why he sold his stock to his accountant for less than 4 cents a share, when just days before, he said it was valued at 50 cents a share. That represents a decrease of almost 93 percent in acquisition value, with no material changes in the business operations of the company.

Also, we've heard the term "paltry sum" this morning. But Appellant could not show how he arrived at \$100,000 as being fair market value for the stock or the selling price of the stock when Flexiciser was still operating for years. I mean, we have that it was

operating until 2016, I believe. And the year issued was 2007.

2.

So Respondent properly found that this transaction lacked economic substance, because there was no credible business purpose for this transaction. It wasn't like the company was worthless. We have testimony here that another medical company was interested in the stock. I -- we don't have facts or an explanation as to why Appellant did not sell the stock to Mr. Zinberg directly -- why he had to work with his longtime accountant.

And I looked at an exhibit here. It is
Appellant's exhibit. It is a prospectus. And it's on
page 10. And that's also -- that's dated May 1st, 2008,
because you --

JUDGE AKIN: I'm so sorry. Can you specify the exhibit letter or number that it is?

MR. HUNTER: I'm sorry, Lead Judge Akin.

JUDGE AKIN: Totally.

MR. HUNTER: Exhibit 10.

JUDGE AKIN: Exhibit 10? Okay.

MR. HUNTER: I'd have to -- because I got animated.

But at Page 12 thereof, I understand it's a prospectus.

24 But you issue a prospectus if you believe that you have

something to sell that's worth value. And they were

going to raise several million dollars for this company. So again, if the position is, the taxpayer had something something worth 2.3 million dollars, but sold it to his longtime accountant for the low, low price of \$100,000 because he had no options, well, there were options in the mind of the taxpayer in the following year. again, same tax year, earlier in the tax year, 7-point million-dollar gain. Due to the -- resulting from the disposition of the sale of commercial real estate. And at the end of the year, we have this transaction, which gives way to this appeal. Respondent directly found that this transaction lacked economic suctions substance. Also, the audit issues have not been addressed. So on this record, we believe that Respondent's actions should be sustained. Thank you. JUDGE AKIN: Okay. Thank you. Let me turn to my Panel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Judge Gast, did you have any questions for Franchise Tax Board.

JUDGE GAST: I had one question. So it's pretty clear, unless I'm wrong, that the company was losing a lot of money. So is it unreasonable to sell the company for 100,000 in 2007? Your thoughts on that?

MR. HUNTER: Yes. Our thoughts are -- yes if it's losing money, it continued to operate, and it was still

valuable, because -- well, again, it continued to 1 2 operate for years thereafter. So it wasn't worthless. 3 It wasn't like he -- again, one argument was Appellant 4 made loans to the company. Well, he did not take a bad 5 debt deduction. There's no facts here that show that he wrote the whole thing off and said, "I'm walking away, 6 and I'm going to take a bad debt deduction off my 7 personal income tax return." No, he stuck with it. And 8 he had a rider. When he sold the stock for 2.1 million 9 10 shares to his long time accountant, there was a rider that allowed him to repurchase said stock for 11 4.2 million dollars -- 4.2 million shares of the stock. 12 13 So if something is worthless because the company is hemmorrhaging cash, it doesn't follow that to retain 14 15 strings on it, and then set yourself up to become the major shareholder once again. 16 17 JUDGE GAST: Thank you. No further questions. 18 JUDGE AKIN: Can I ask just a clarifying question 19 on that? You said that when you sold it, that it had a 20 rider that allowed him to repurchase that? Was that in 21 the sales agreement with -- between Appellant, 22 Mr. Kassel, and the purchaser, Greq Wallace, or is 23 that -- is there something else you're relying on.

MR. HUNTER: I'm relying on something else, Judge.

And forgive me. I can take a break to to find it, but

24

25

1 it was of a more of a corporate document, where -- when 2 this transaction took place, Appellant was also issued the option to repurchase 4.2 million shares of the 3 4 stock. 5 JUDGE AKIN: So directly from the corporation, 6 then, is your understanding? 7 MR. HUNTER: Well, there was a rider on the stock that was sold. 8 9 JUDGE AKIN: Okay. Thank you. Judge Hosey, did 10 you have any questions for Franchise Tax Board? 11 No questions for me. JUDGE HOSEY: Thank you. 12 JUDGE AKIN: Okay. Looks like we don't have any 13 further questions for Franchise Tax Board, so we are 14 ready for Appellant's rebuttal or closing. 15 allotted five minutes, but you also didn't use all of your time from your initial presentation, so if you do 16 17 need a little more time, that should be just fine. 18 you may begin when you're ready. 19 MR. LASKI: Mr. Hunter --20 JUDGE AKIN: Sorry, can I have you turn on your 21 microphone and make sure it's close. 2.2 MR. LASKI: Hello. Hello. 23 I think that's --JUDGE AKIN: 2.4 MR. LASKI: Hello.

That's totally better. Thank you.

25

JUDGE AKIN:

CLOSING ARGUMENT

MR. LASKI: Okay. When you look at the operating results I gave you, from 2008, when he was required to take the property and corporation back, all he did was have net operating losses of eight-and-a-half million dollars. They own nothing. They did not own the Flexiciser. It had no value. The only value Flexiciser could have had was if Dr. -- whatever his name was -- Dr. Zinberg would have gone through with the deal, they would have put Flexiciser on the floor. That would have been a sayior for it.

I hate to say, but Arthur would have suffered all the losses. And I can't carry back that far. But this is the most hopeless — this is like looking at the Titanic and saying, "My God, where are we going to take it next?" This company was dead. The only life this company had was the hope that Dr. Zinsberg would buy. When he made the offer, that made the sale legitimate. He put in a legit amount to put the Flexiciser on the floor. Until he changed it, it had died again.

By the way, who did the Franchise Tax Board talk to strictly during the audit, during the hearing, during everything? They never once spoke to Arthur. Arthur, you could put anything in front of his nose, and he'd sign it. He's not a businessman. The last I saw, he

was chief of security at a mental institution. Arthur always works for the state. He just, out of love, he wanted to see this company go. And he went through his entire amount of money. And his accountant that should have watched over for him, did not watch over for him, but saw that he went through every penny he had and did not even give a deduction that he would have been entitled to.

And then, if you're going to take Greg Wallace's word on anything, I don't know what to tell you. I mean, you can see the man was -- I hate to say it.

Everybody in this case is related to me. Greg Wallace was, when I was in the master's program teaching income tax of estates and beneficiaries, he was in the class.

Teechee Wilkinson (phonetic) had someone working for her back in the early 2000s. He got me to be her accountant. I got to know everybody a little bit. But I didn't know that Greg would make up such stories. And it just shocks me that he handles the entire audit. And I hear what's being said, and it's not true. Maybe you just have to be determined that way at the next level.

It's not fair that Arthur suffers like this.

I have completed.

2.2

MR. GLASS: One more thing to add. The cost basis --

1	JUDGE AKIN: Could I just have you move your
2	microphone close up.
3	MR. GLASS: Oh, sure.
4	JUDGE AKIN: Yeah, so
5	MR. GLASS: Sure.
6	JUDGE AKIN: There we go.
7	MR. GLASS: Sure. One more thing to add about the
8	cost basis. We did provide checks and wire transfers
9	that was in our Exhibit 1 to our prehearing conference
10	statement, which references our prior briefs, where a
11	lot of checks and wire transfer documentation was
12	provided.
13	JUDGE AKIN: Thank you. Okay. Does that conclude
14	your closing?
15	MR. LASKI: Yes. It does, Your Honor. Thank you.
16	JUDGE AKIN: Okay. And let me quickly turn to my
17	Panel again to see if they have any final questions for
18	either party.
19	Judge Gast?
20	MR. LASKI: No questions. Thank you.
21	JUDGE AKIN: Judge Hosey?
22	JUDGE HOSEY: No questions either. Thank you.
23	JUDGE AKIN: Okay. I was going to turn to
24	Mr. Hunter. Yeah, I believe you were looking for maybe

the document you referenced?

25

MR. HUNTER: Yes, Lead Judge Akin.

2.4

CLOSING ARGUMENT

MR. HUNTER: And just for clarity, I found that I was referring to -- on page 2 of Exhibit D, to Respondent's opening briefs, so it should remain -- yes. And, again, what I was saying was that Panel had 2.1 million shares, and these were the shares that were sold to his accountant, but also with a rider and an option to repurchase double that at 50 cents a share, with a five-year term. So, again, they were valued at 50 cents a share. This is November; sold at 4 cents a share in December. So that correlates with that part of the argument.

Also, these 2.1 million shares were issued in order to cancel a debt of \$1,065,0000. But we don't -- we didn't have substantiation for that. There were some checks and exhibits in one, but, again, these checks had different connotations on the memo lines, so they weren't accepted. But I'm sorry. I just wanted to tie that up for you, Judge. I felt that I owed you that.

JUDGE AKIN: Okay. Thank you. Let me just check with Appellants, because I always like to give the Appellants the last word. Did you want to respond?

CLOSING ARGUMENT (continued)

MR. LASKI: I'd like to know who drew that document. Arthur worked for the company from the very beginning. The CEO, he lost a million-seven lawsuit for raud, and the attorney for the company got fired and had to get back all this stock. So it was one of those two or Arthur, or Greg, rather, that wrote that document. If you could lose \$10 million and not have a penny left, you have to be a hell of a salesman to sell that company. That's it.

JUDGE AKIN: Okay. Thank you. Any final questions from my Panel?

Okay. Looks like that's a no. Any final -- anything from either of the parties before I conclude the hearing today.

MR. HUNTER: No, Judge.

MR. LASKI: No, Judge.

2.4

JUDGE AKIN: Okay. Give me just one moment.

Okay. With that, we're ready to conclude the hearing. I want to thank both parties today for their presentations and for their time. I know it was a little while getting to hearing on this one, so I appreciate everyone making it here today. The Panel of administrative law judges will meet and decide the case based upon the arguments and the evidence in the record.

We will issue a written decision no later than 100 days from today. The case is submitted and the record is now closed. This concludes this hearing. Our next hearing will reconvene at approximately 1:00 p.m. Thank you, everyone. (HEARING CONCLUDES AT 10:06 A.M.)

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
4) ss. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
5	
6	
7	I, SKYY CHUNG, hearing reporter in and for the
8	State of California, county of Los Angeles, do hereby
9	certify that the foregoing transcript is a full, true,
10	and correct statement of the proceedings had in said
11	cause.
12	
13	
14	DATED: FEBRUARY 14, 2023.
15	Cha 1.
16	My/
17	Hearing Reporter
18	
19	
20	SKYY CHUNG
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Index: \$1..advantage

\$	100,000 20:23	2014 7:19 13:17	9
Ψ	10:06 2:17 28:6	2016 19:1	
\$1 17:20	119 13:16	2020 6:19	9 4:13
\$1,065,0000	11th 7:19	2023 2:18 5:1,8	91403 3:9,14
26:15	12 4:18 19:23	24 4:23	93 18:18
\$10 27:8	14 2:18 5:1	248 13:17	94240 3:20
\$100,000 12:17 16:15,19 18:14,23	14th 5:8	25 9:10 10:2	942840 3:19
20:4	15233 3:8,13	27 4:24	9:36 2:16 5:2
\$152,021 13:17	154 13:16	28 4:23 7:5,6	9:39 5:9
\$2,228,000 6:12	17 4:19	29 7:16,25 8:3	
\$2.3 16:15,17,19	18010965 5:6	29th 7:9	A
18:14	1:00 28:4		A-I 4:14
\$240 11:1	1st 19:14	4	A-N-T-H-O-N-Y
\$363,024 13:17		4 18:16 26:11	5:24
\$50,000 12:18	2	4.2 21:12 22:3	a.m. 2:16,17 5:2,9
\$598,000 11:21	2 26:4	488 13:15	28:6
\$638,000 11:21	2.1 17:3 21:9 26:6,		accepted 26:19
\$647,000 11:20	14	5	accountant 10:15,17 12:1
\$809,000 18:10	2.2 15:23	5 9:18	14:8 16:14 18:16
\$809,900 6:18	2.3 20:3	50 18:17 26:9,10	19:11 20:4 21:10 24:4,17 26:8
\$809,937 6:19	20 9:15 10:16 11:7	30 16.17 26.9,10	acquisition 18:19
\$851,000 13:15	15:15	7	action 16:7
\$943,000 11:21	2000s 24:16		actions 20:15
	2004 11:19,20	7-point 20:7	
-	2005 11:19,20	7.5 16:10	actual 17:20
-0- 5:3	2006 11:19,21	8	add 12:12 24:24 25:7
	2007 6:9,16,19		addition 18:11
1	11:1,19,21 15:24 16:8,14 17:25	800 852-5711	additional 9:4
1 3:8,13 7:6,25 8:3	19:2 20:23	3:21	addressed 20:14
17:6 25:9	2008 13:14 15:6	809,000 17:24 18:4	administrative
1,065,000 17:21	19:14 23:3	818 788-5492	5:11,12 27:24
1-29 4:13	2009 13:15	3:10,15	admitted 7:7,13
10 4:14 19:14,20,	2010 13:16	83 16:13	8:1,3,8 9:1,2
21	2011 13:16		admitting 7:25
100 28:1	2012 13:16		advances 6:17
	2013 13:17		advantage 13:20

Index: agencies..claimed

buy 12:16 13:23 agencies 5:17 11,23 17:12,17,23 balance 17:24 18:2,5,11,15,22 18:2 23:17 **agent** 11:6 19:9 21:3,21 22:2 **based** 27:25 **Agree** 8:19 C appellant's 4:13 **basis** 6:14 16:1,3, agreement 17:10 7:2,6,21,25 9:11, 4,5,15,17,19 13,19 10:1,15 21:21 **CA** 3:9,14,20 17:16,25 24:25 17:6 19:13 22:14 25:8 agreements California 2:2,15 Appellants 5:21 17:13 5:1 16:9 **bed** 10:8 7:12,17 8:24 9:9 aid 8:7,10,20 **called** 10:23 26:23,24 begin 10:2 15:16 16:12 22:18 aids 8:15 Appellants' 6:8 **Camel** 10:23,25 beginning 12:5 **Akin** 3:2 5:5,10 appreciated 5:20 11:1 12:9 6:1,5,23,24,25 27:4 7:15,24 8:4,13,16, approximate 5:8 **cancel** 12:22 behalf 6:3 19 9:3,7,25 12:23 15:11 26:15 approximately beneficiaries 14:14,17,22,24 28:4 canceled 13:4.24 24:14 15:1,2,3,12,19 14:2 19:16,18,19,21 **argued** 16:24 Beverly 11:5 20:16 21:18 22:5, 17:23 capital 6:12 12:18 9,12,20,23,25 bid 12:16 argument 4:21 carry 23:13 25:1,4,6,13,16,21, 13:24 21:3 23:1 bills 11:24 23 26:1,22 27:11, case 5:6 14:11 26:2,13 27:1 18 bit 17:18 24:17 15:21 24:12 27:24 arguments 27:25 28:2 alleged 17:22 **Blvd** 3:8,13 arrived 18:22 cash 17:20 21:14 allotted 22:15 **Board** 3:18 6:2 Arthur 2:6 10:19, 7:15 8:4,13,22 cents 18:16.17 allowed 21:11,20 20 11:3,4,15,18, 9:14,18 14:5,18 26:9,10,11 amount 6:12 23 12:6,9,15 13:5, 15:21 20:19 **CEO** 27:4 16:25 18:10 23:19 8,11,14,18 14:7 22:10,13 23:21 24:4 23:12,23 24:1,22 **Cerritos** 2:15 5:1 **Board's** 15:14 27:3,7 amounted 6:18 certificate 17:3 **body** 5:15 ascertain 16:21 and/or 10:1 changed 15:9 bothered 15:10 attorney 5:23,25 23:20 animated 19:22 **bottom** 17:9 27:5 **check** 7:12 26:22 Anthony 3:11 audit 14:6 18:11 bought 13:9 5:24 checking 9:3 20:13 23:22 24:19 **Box** 3:19 apologies 13:1 checks 17:5,7,8, audited 15:24 **break** 21:25 14 25:8,11 26:17, appeal 2:5 5:5,11 authority 16:4 18 6:8 7:1 14:6 20:11 briefs 25:10 26:5 **Cheryl** 3:2 5:10 appeals 2:1 5:7, bring 10:13 12:12 В 14,15 chief 24:1 building 10:24 **APPEARANCES** back 8:4 12:15 **Chung** 2:19 **business** 18:12, 13:11,13 14:17 20 19:5 **claim** 16:1,17 23:4,13 24:16 Appellant 2:7 3:6 businessman 27:6 claimed 16:3,15, 4:3 5:23,25 6:11, 13:9,18 23:25 23 17:17,20 14.15 7:5 14:23 **bad** 21:4,7 15:23,25 16:2,5,8,

Index: clarify..explanation

clarify 8:6 15:4	26:18	23:10	Due 20:8
clarifying 21:18	consideration	debt 21:5,7 26:15	
clarity 26:3	10:13	December 26:12	E
class 24:14	considerations 6:10	decide 27:24	earlier 20:7
clear 16:2 20:21	consistent 6:20	decided 6:7,21	early 15:6 24:16
close 13:12 22:21 25:2	continued 20:25	decision 28:1	economic 6:10 16:21 19:4 20:12
closed 28:3	21:1 27:1	decrease 18:18	
closer 12:24	conversation 12:10	deduct 11:24	eight-and-a-half 23:5
closing 4:21 9:19	convert 12:5	deduction 6:16 12:4 21:5,7 24:7	end 6:19 11:14,23
22:14 23:1 25:14 26:2 27:1	converted 12:6	DEPARTMENT	16:13 20:10 Enjoy 10:7
Club 11:5	corporate 11:22	3:17 4:7	
	17:13 22:1	DEPARTMENT'S	entire 24:4,19
co-panelists 14:19	corporation 12:2, 4 13:10 22:5 23:4	4:14 determined	entitled 6:11,16 24:8
college 12:3	correctly 16:6	24:21	entries 17:5,7
commencing 2:16	correlate 17:7	development 12:13	equipment 13:23
commercial 16:9	correlates 26:12	devoted 11:9	equity 16:25 17:16
20:9	cost 24:24 25:8	died 11:15 23:20	estate 16:9 20:9
company 10:22	couple 10:8	DIRECT 4:3,7	estates 24:14
11:16,20 12:1,12, 17 13:11 16:12	court 5:15	directly 19:10	estimated 9:15
17:11,22 18:1,3,	CPA 7:20 10:15	20:11 22:5	
20 19:6,7 20:1,21, 22 21:4,13 23:16,	credible 19:5	disallowed 16:6	evidence 7:1,7 8:8,10 27:25
17 24:3 27:3,5,10	CROSS 4:3,7	discussing 18:9	evidentiary 8:2
complete 15:22	crude 11:11	disease 11:17	9:1
17:15 18:7		disposition 20:9	exercise 12:21
completed 24:23	D	Doctor 12:14	exhibit 7:9,16,21
conclude 25:13 27:15,19	date 5:7 15:7	document 7:2 8:5	17:6 19:12,13,17, 20,21 25:9 26:4
concludes 14:15	dated 7:19 19:14	22:1 25:25 27:3,7	exhibits 4:11,13,
28:3,6	David 3:17 6:3	documentation 25:11	14 7:2,5,6,17,25 8:3,23,25 9:2,4
concluding 2:17	15:20	documents 8:14	26:17
9:22	day 10:7 15:25	18:6	existence 18:6
conference 6:6,7 7:10,18 8:23 25:9	days 12:19,22 13:4,25 15:9	dollars 11:22	expert 5:16
conferencing 7:4	13:4,25 15:9 18:16 28:1	16:10,24 17:18 20:1,3 21:12 23:6	experts 5:16
confirmed 6:5	dead 23:16	double 7:12 26:9	explain 18:15
connotations	deal 12:22 13:4	drew 27:2	explanation 19:9

Index: fact..jump

initial 22:16 F G hearings 10:19 input 10:20 14:10 institution 24:1 G-L-A-S-S 5:25 **fact** 8:17 **held** 5:7 intended 8:7.8 facts 19:8 21:5 gain 16:10 20:8 hell 27:9 intention 7:12 failed 16:5 17:12 Gast 3:4 5:13 hemmorrhaging 14:22 20:18,20 interest 16:12,13 fair 18:23 24:22 21:14 21:17 25:19 17:21 February 2:18 Hills 11:5 gave 23:3 interested 19:8 5:1,8 holiday 10:6 give 24:7 26:23 interrupt 12:23 felt 26:20 27:18 Hollywood 11:8 interrupting 13:1 filed 10:16 16:9 Glass 3:11 5:24 introduce 5:18 final 9:20.22 25:17 10:2 24:24 25:3,5, **Honor** 7:14 8:10 27:11.14 9:5,24 25:15 inventor 13:8 God 23:15 find 21:25 **hope** 23:17 involved 14:11 fine 22:17 **good** 6:4 12:20 hopeless 23:14 involves 15:22 finger 14:11,12 **Gordon** 3:7,12 **Hosey** 3:3 5:12 issue 6:13 18:9 15:1 22:9,11 19:24 28:1 fired 27:5 great 12:14 25:21,22 issued 17:2 19:1 Greg 7:20 10:14, five-year 26:10 humanity 11:16 22:2 26:14 15,17,18,22 11:2, Flexiciser 6:9,15, 3 12:9,15,20 **Hunter** 3:17 4:19, issues 6:7,21 17 10:17 11:11 13:12,20,21 14:6, 24 6:3,23,24 7:22 18:11 20:13 13:7 15:5 16:12. 10 21:22 24:9,12, 8:16 9:6 15:15,18, 24 17:4,6,14,18 item 18:1 18 27:7 20 19:18,20,22 18:24 23:7,10,19 20:24 21:24 22:7, **Gun** 11:5 floor 12:22 23:10, 19 25:24 26:1,3 J 20 27:16 Н follow 21:14 January 7:19 ı forgive 21:25 judge 3:2,3,4 5:5, **H-U-N-T-E-R** 6:3 10,11 6:1,5,23,24, found 19:3 20:11 handles 24:19 idea 12:14 25 7:15,22,24 8:4, 26:3 13,16,19 9:3,6,7, handwritten 17:4 **image** 12:20 fourth 12:7 25 12:23 14:14, happened 12:15 important 14:4 17,22,24 15:1,2,3, Franchise 3:18 13:14 15:6 16:8 12,18 19:16,18, inception 10:18 6:1 7:15 8:4,13,22 19,21 20:16,18,20 **happy** 10:6 9:14,17 14:5,18 includes 6:13 21:17,18,24 22:5, 15:14,21 20:19 hate 23:12 24:11 9,11,12,20,23,25 income 15:24 22:10,13 23:21 25:1,4,6,13,16,19, healthy 10:6 21:8 24:13 front 23:24 21,22,23 26:1,20, hear 24:20 independent 22 27:11,16,17,18 **FTB** 6:4 5:15,17 heard 14:6,7 judges 5:12 27:24 FTB's 7:20 8:25 18:21 index 4:1,11 7:11 **jump** 9:8 9:16 hearing 2:19 7:7, information 18:4 full 6:14 8.20 9:22 23:22 27:15,20,22 28:3,

Index: Kassel..operate

month 16:17 **lines** 26:18 make 12:24 14:19 Κ 22:21 24:18 listed 17:24 morning 6:4 8:6 makes 12:16 18:22 loan 17:9.22.24 Kassel 2:6 5:6 18:5,7,8 **making** 27:23 Mortimer 3:6 5:22 11:4 15:5 21:22 loaned 17:17 man 11:6 24:11 move 7:1 9:23 Kenneth 5:13 12:24 25:1 loans 6:17 21:4 market 18:23 KENNY 3:4 married 11:7 located 16:9 key 10:12 Ν long 21:10 master's 24:13 knew 11:4 14:8 narcotics 11:6 material 18:19 longer 10:16 L Needless 13:18 longtime 12:1 matter 2:5 5:6 16:14 19:11 20:4 8:17 15:9 net 13:6 23:5 L-A-S-K-I 5:23 looked 19:12 meant 8:9 normal 14:7 labeled 7:5 lose 27:8 medical 10:22 nose 23:24 lack 15:22 17:15 19:7 losing 20:21,25 **note** 7:8 18:7 meet 27:24 loss 6:12,16 15:23 noted 7:5 lacked 19:4 20:12 memo 17:9 26:18 16:6 notes 17:4 **Laski** 3:6.7.12 mental 24:1 losses 11:23 13:6 4:18,23 5:22 6:20, November 26:11 23:5,13 22 7:14 8:6,9 9:5, mention 14:4 **number** 17:20 24 10:1,5 13:2 lost 11:20,21 mentioned 10:12 19:17 14:16,21 15:8 13:15 27:4 22:19,22,24 23:2 microphone **lot** 12:9,13 17:8 25:15,20 27:2,17 12:24 22:21 25:2 0 20:22 25:11 **law** 5:11,12 16:2 million 11:1 love 11:15 13:19 27:24 **Oaks** 3:9,14 13:15,16,17 24:2 16:10,15,17,19,24 lawsuit 27:4 objection 7:23 loving 11:6 17:3,18,20 18:14 8:1,16,18 lead 3:2 5:11 6:24 20:1,3 21:9,12 low 16:19 20:4 8:16 15:18 19:18 22:3 23:5 26:7,14 objections 8:14, 26:1 24 27:8 М leading 14:10 million-dollar offer 13:21 23:18 15:23 20:8 leave 11:3 offered 17:3 M-O-R-T-I-M-E-R million-seven 5:22 ledger 17:7 office 2:1 5:7.14. 27:4 15 machine 11:11 ledgering 17:4 millions 11:22 13:7 officer 7:20 **left** 27:8 mind 15:10 20:6 machines 12:13 omitted 7:11 legit 23:19 minutes 6:6 9:10, made 6:17 13:21, one-percent legitimate 23:18 16,18 10:2 15:15 25 14:1,3 21:4 10:22 17:13 22:15 23:18 letter 7:19 19:17 opening 5:5 26:5 moment 7:3 11:3 major 21:16 level 24:21 27:18 operate 20:25 majority 16:11 life 23:16 21:2 **money** 12:9 17:8 20:22,25 24:4

operating 13:6 payment 17:14 primarily 8:9 18:25 19:1 23:2,5 R payroll 17:10 prior 6:17 10:19 operations 18:20 14:10 25:10 penny 24:6 27:8 raise 20:1 opportunity 9:20 proceedings **people** 11:17 ran 13:14 2:14 9:9 option 22:3 26:8 12:21 raud 27:5 product 13:19 options 20:5 percent 16:13 **reads** 17:9 18:18 program 24:13 order 9:9 16:20 **ready** 10:1,3 26:15 **person** 14:10 properly 19:3 15:13,16 22:14,18 orders 6:6 10:19 personal 21:8 property 23:4 27:19 14:10 phenomenal prospectus real 16:9 20:9 original 13:8 11:15 19:13,23,24 realized 10:10 **OTA** 5:6 phonetic 24:15 protest 7:20 16:10 outstanding 18:5 picking 12:25 provide 13:19 rebuttal 9:19 16:16 17:12 18:12 14:18 22:14 owed 26:20 place 22:2 25:8 received 4:13.14 **PO** 3:19 owned 10:23,25 provided 8:5 17:2 11:5,8,19 16:11 point 17:16 18:8 17:19 25:12 receiving 17:21 owner 10:22 purchase 17:11, pointing 14:11 11:23 12:10 recently 17:23 13 **points** 10:12 owns 13:7 reconvene 28:4 14:12 purchased 16:23 record 5:5.19 8:2. purchaser 21:22 **pool** 11:10 Ρ 3 9:1,2 15:7 20:14 portion 10:25 **purpose** 18:12 27:25 28:2 19:5 p.m. 28:4 posed 16:20 recorder 12:25 put 12:18 23:10, pages 7:17 8:17 position 20:2 RECROSS 4:3,7 19,24 paid 17:1 positive 15:8 REDIRECT 4:3,7 paltry 12:16 18:21 Q prehearing 6:6 reduce 16:4 7:4,10,18 8:23 **Panel** 3:2 9:12,17, referenced 25:25 25:9 quality 11:4 21 16:16 20:17 25:17 26:6 27:12, references 25:10 preparing 7:8 **question** 15:3,13 23 16:20 20:20 21:18 referring 18:13 present 15:25 **papers** 10:10 26:4 questions 9:13, presentation Parkinson's 17,21,22 14:20, reflect 17:10 4:16 8:7,15,20 11:9,14,17 22,24 15:2 20:18 9:10,11,12,15 related 24:12 21:17 22:10,11,13 10:1,4 14:15 part 6:15 26:12 25:17,20,22 27:11 relying 21:23,24 15:14,17 22:16 parties 5:18 **quick** 15:3 remain 26:5 presentations 27:14,20 9:8,16,23 27:21 quickly 9:8 25:16 **remains** 15:25 party 9:4,21 25:18 **pretty** 20:20 **quiet** 13:2 reminder 5:14 patent 13:7,9 price 16:19 18:24 repeat 14:5 paying 11:23 20:4

Index: reply..today

reply 7:21 **sale** 6:8,18 12:8, **start** 5:20 7:2 sustained 16:7 19 13:21,25 14:1, 10:14 20:15 report 17:21 2,3 15:11 18:14 **started** 11:13 reported 2:19 6:8. 20:9 23:18 Т 11,14,18 15:23 **state** 2:2 5:17 sales 21:21 16:1,5,6 11:6 24:2 takes 12:2 salesman 27:9 Reporter 2:19 statement 7:10, talk 10:14 23:21 **SARA** 3:3 18 9:19 25:10 11:8 tax 2:1 3:18 5:7. **Sarah** 5:12 reporting 16:18 stenographer 14,16 6:1,9,10,16 5:20 represent 15:20 savior 23:11 7:15 8:4,13,22 **stock** 6:9 16:3,14, 9:14,17 10:16 security 24:1 representative 16,18,24 17:1,2,4, 11:25 14:5,18 10:18 14:9 sell 13:5 15:11 11,12 18:1,14,15, 15:14,21,24 16:1, representatives 16:18 19:9,25 23,24 19:8,9 21:9, 5,15 17:25 20:7, 11,12 22:4,7 27:6 9:13 20:22 27:9 19 21:8 22:10,13 23:21 24:14 stories 24:18 represents 18:18 selling 18:24 taxing 5:17 16:3 repurchase set 21:15 strictly 23:22 21:11,20 22:3 taxpayer 20:2,6 **strings** 21:15 **share** 18:16,17 26:9 26:9,11 teaching 24:13 **stuck** 21:8 repurchased shareholder Teechee 24:15 sub-issues 6:13 15:5 17:24 18:3,5 term 18:21 26:10 required 23:3 21:16 submitted 7:3,9, 18 17:5 28:2 terms 18:4 respect 8:5 **shares** 17:3,11,14 21:10,12 22:3 substance 6:10 terrible 13:8 respond 26:24 26:7,14 16:21 19:4 20:13 testimony 19:7 respondent **sheet** 18:2 substantial 10:25 15:21 16:6,16,20 thereof 19:23 **Sheldon** 10:23,24 substantiate 19:3 20:11 thing 14:5 21:6 17:19 Respondent's **Sherman** 3:9.14 24:24 25:7 20:15 26:5 substantiated **shocks** 24:19 thought 7:22 6:14 resulting 20:8 **show** 17:1 18:22 thoughts 20:23, substantiation results 23:3 21:5 24 15:22 17:15 18:8 retain 21:14 sign 23:25 26:16 **Tichi** 11:7,12,16, 18 suctions 20:12 return 14:9 15:24 signed 13:9 16:2 17:25 21:8 tie 17:13 26:20 sudden 12:9 **Skyy** 2:19 **returns** 10:16 **time** 5:8 9:14 suffered 23:12 **sold** 11:1 16:8,14 11:25 11:12 13:25 14:15 18:15 20:3 21:9, suffers 24:22 15:13,19 21:10 rider 21:9,10,20 19 22:8 26:7,11 22:16,17 27:21 22:7 26:8 **Suite** 3:8,13 sound 12:25 Titanic 23:15 **sum** 18:21 **spell** 5:19 S today 5:12 7:7 summary 8:11 **spoke** 10:19 8:7,15,20 9:9 23:23 support 15:25 Sacramento 3:20 10:13 27:15,20,23 16:2,5,17 18:6 28:2 staffed 5:16

Today's 5:7 told 13:2 total 15:22 17:15 18:7 **totally** 11:9 19:19 22:25 transaction 16:1, 11,22 18:13 19:4, 5 20:10,12 22:2 **TRANSCRIPT** 2:14 transfer 25:11 transfers 25:8 true 24:20 Tuesday 2:18 5:1, **turn** 9:12,17 14:19 20:16 22:20 25:16,23 U unable 15:25 understand 19:23

> understanding 6:21 22:6

unreasonable

20:22

Valentine's 10:7

٧

valid 18:12

valuable 21:1

valued 18:17 26:10

Ventura 3:8,13

Vinsberg 10:25

visual 8:7,9,15,20

W

walking 11:10 21:6

Wallace 7:20 10:15 14:7 15:5 21:22 24:12

Wallace's 24:9

wanted 7:11 11:16 14:18 24:3 26:19

watch 24:5 watched 24:5

weeks 10:9

wheelchairs 12:21

Wilkerson 11:7 Wilkinson 24:15

wire 25:8,11

WITNESSES 4:3,

wondering 15:4

word 24:10 26:24

work 19:10

worked 27:3

working 12:18 24:15

works 24:2

worth 19:25 20:3

worthless 19:6 21:2,13

wrap 14:12

written 8:11 10:11

28:1

wrong 20:21

wrote 17:5 21:6

27:7

Υ

year 6:9,17 11:13, 14 12:3,5,6,7,8 17:25 19:1 20:6,7, 10

years 8:12 10:16 11:7,18 18:25 21:2

Ζ

Zinberg 12:11 19:10 23:9

Zinsberg 12:19 13:22 15:9 23:17