BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)		
)		
ROYAL KHYBER ENTERPRISES, INC.,)	OTA NO.	20106813
)		
APPELLANT.)		
)		
)		

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Cerritos, California

Tuesday, May 9, 2023

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)
6)
7	ROYAL KHYBER ENTERPRISES, INC.,) OTA NO. 20106813
8	APPELLANT.))
9	,
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Proceedings, taken at
15	12900 Park Plaza Dr., Suite 300, Cerritos,
16	California, 91401, commencing at 9:32 a.m.
17	and concluding at 10:53 a.m. on Tuesday,
18	May 9, 2023, reported by Ernalyn M. Alonzo,
19	Hearing Reporter, in and for the State of
20	California.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ MICHAEL GEARY
4	Panel Members:	ALJ ANDREW KWEE
5	ranei members.	ALJ KEITH LONG
6	For the Appellant:	ANTHONY AZAVEDO
7	For the Degrandent.	CMARE OF CALTEORNIA
8	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION
9		RAVINDER SHARMA
10		CHAD BACCHUS JASON PARKER
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>I N D E X</u>
2	
3	<u>EXHIBITS</u>
4	
5	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-15 were received at page 8.)
6	(Department's Exhibits A-F were received at page 8.)
7	
8	OPENING STATEMENT
9	PAGE
10	
11	By Mr. Puri 13
12	By Mr. Sharma 39
13	
14	CLOSING STATEMENT
15	<u>PAGE</u>
16	By Mr. Puri 49
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Cerritos, California; Tuesday, May 9, 2023
2	9:30 a.m.
3	
4	JUDGE GEARY: Now, let's start our record,
5	Ms. Alonzo, please.
6	Will the parties please begin by identifying
7	themselves by stating their names and who they represent
8	starting with the Appellants. And with if you would,
9	spell your names also.
10	MR. PURI: Arun Puri, A-r-u-n, Puri, P-u-r-i.
11	MR. AZAVEDO: My name is Anthony Azavedo.
12	A-n-t-h-o-n-y, Azavedo, A-z-a-v-e-d-o.
13	JUDGE GEARY: And Mr. Azavedo, could you also
14	introduce the person who is assisting with the IT?
15	MR. KAFLE: Amrit Kafle, A-m-r-i-t K-a-f-l-e.
16	JUDGE GEARY: Are you an employee of OTA?
17	MR. KAFLE: No, sir.
18	JUDGE GEARY: Okay. So you're working for
19	Mr. Azavedo. Thank you.
20	And will the Department please introduce the reps
21	today.
22	MR. SHARMA: Ravinder Sharma, hearing
23	representative, with CDTFA.
24	MR. PARKER: Jason Parker, Chief of Headquarters
25	Operations Bureau, with CDTFA.

1 MR. BACCHUS: Chad Bacchus, Tax Counsel IV, with 2 CDTFA. 3 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. The reason I didn't have those gentlemen spell 4 5 their names is because they appear before us quite 6 regularly, and the court reporter knows how to spell their 7 names. 8 All right. The parties were supposed to disclose 9 witnesses, and Appellant did not disclose that they were 10 going to call anybody to testify today. 11 Mr. Azavedo, do you plan to have anybody testify 12 today? 13 MR. AZAVEDO: No. 14 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 15 Does Respondent plan to call any witnesses today? 16 MR. SHARMA: No. Department does not intend to 17 call anyone. 18 [Stenographer interruption] 19 JUDGE GEARY: I'm going to repeat that. 20 Mr. Azavedo, you are not going to call any witnesses 21 today; is that correct? 22 MR. AZAVEDO: That's correct. 23 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 2.4 And Department, you are not going to call any 25 witnesses today?

MR. SHARMA: That is correct. Thank you.

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you.

2.1

2.4

The exhibits that have been marked for identification in this appeal consist of Appellant's exhibits marked 1 through 16 for identification and Respondent's exhibits marked A through F for identification.

Questions first for Appellant about your exhibits. There was no index submitted with the exhibits, and my staff had to kind of guess about how to mark them. So we marked each of the separate files that consist of copies of exhibits -- as separate Exhibits 1 through 15. So your Exhibits 1 through 15 are the 15 files that contain roughly 143 copies of receipts. And then Exhibit 16 appears to be a PowerPoint presentation that was submitted with your other documents.

Let me ask. Mr. Azavedo, do you plan to use the PowerPoint in your presentation today?

MR. AZAVEDO: Yes.

JUDGE GEARY: Okay. The Department's exhibits marked A through F were attached to its opening brief in this matter. Everybody should have the other party's exhibits. And my staff incorporated all exhibits into a hearing binder, and I believe the parties and my colleagues here on the dais should all have those binders

before them. 1 2 The prehearing conference orders require that the 3 parties state objections to evidence in writing by May 2nd. To my knowledge, OTA received no objections from 4 5 either party. 6 Will Respondent please confirm that it has no 7 objection to the admission of Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 16. 8 MR. SHARMA: Department has no objection. Thank 10 you. 11 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 12 And Mr. Azavedo, would you please confirm that Appellant has no objection to the admission of 13 14 Respondent's Exhibits A through F. 15 MR. AZAVEDO: No, we don't. 16 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 17 All those exhibits are now admitted. 18 (Appellant's Exhibits 1-15 were received 19 in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 20 (Department's Exhibits A-F were received in 2.1 evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 22 The issues to be decided in this appeal are as 23 follows: 2.4 Issue One are adjustments to the amount of 25 unreported taxable sales are warranted, and in that regard

1 the audit items included within that issue are unreported 2 taxable sales measured by \$234,758 and unreported taxable 3 mandatory tips of \$94,819. The second issue whether adjustments to the 4 5 amount of excess tax reimbursement are warranted. 6 included with that item are excess tax reimbursements on 7 redemption of restaurant.com certificates measured by \$62,334 an excess tax reimbursement on redemption of 8 9 groupon.com vouchers measured by \$64, 41. 10 Mr. Azavedo, do you agree that those are the 11 issues that you want OTA to address in this hearing? 12 MR. AZAVEDO: Yes. 13 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 14 And Respondent. 15 MR. SHARMA: That is correct. Thank you. 16 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 17 Mr. Azavedo, when we had our prehearing 18 conference, you weren't sure how much time Appellant would 19 require for its argument. Can you give me an estimate now 20 of how much time you will need to present your argument? 21 MR. AZAVEDO: 30 minutes maybe. 22 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 23 And at the time of the prehearing conference, I 2.4 believe Respondent indicated that it would need

approximately 30 minutes to present its argument.

25

1 Is that still your estimate? 2 No. I think maybe around 20 minutes MR. SHARMA: 3 or so. JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Thank you. And when the 4 5 Department is -- the order of presentation is Mr. Azavedo 6 or Mr. Puri, however -- whoever is going to make the --7 argument will have its main argument. It's approximately 8 30 minutes. After which, the Department will give its 9 only argument. And when the Department is done, I will 10 allow the Appellant another approximately 5 minutes for 11 any final comments it wishes to make. 12 Any questions from Appellants before we proceed 13 with arguments? 14 MR. AZAVEDO: No. 15 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 16 Any questions from Respondent? 17 No questions. MR. SHARMA: Thank you. 18 All right. Thank you. JUDGE GEARY: 19 Mr. Azavedo, will you be making the argument? 20 MR. AZAVEDO: We will be. 21 JUDGE GEARY: You will both be making it? 22 MR. AZAVEDO: Yes. 23 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. To the extent you are going 2.4 to inquire of Mr. Puri regarding facts, that would be 25 testimony. I assume you do not intend to do that?

1 MR. PURI: I'm sorry. Can you repeat? 2 JUDGE GEARY: Yes. Is it your intent to state 3 that certain facts exist that are not otherwise reflected in the written documents? Are you going to describe 4 5 factual events. 6 MR. PURI: Probably. 7 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. That's testimony. 8 MR. PURI: Yes, sir. 9 And if you are going to state facts JUDGE GEARY: 10 under oath, you were supposed to disclose yourself as a 11 witness in this case, and you did not do that. 12 MR. PURI: Sorry. I didn't know that, but I can do it now. 13 14 JUDGE GEARY: Well, you did just do it. You did 15 just disclose yourself as a potential witness. 16 prehearing conference minutes and orders was pretty clear. 17 And I think we were pretty clear at the prehearing 18 conference that you were to identify any witnesses, 19 including yourself who would testify. 20 But let me turn to Respondent for a second. 21 With the testimony you intend to give, do you 22 still expect to be able to conclude in 30 minutes with 23 your primary argument? 2.4 MR. PURI: I think so. 25 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Let me ask Respondent.

1 Does Respondent have an objection to me allowing 2 Mr. Puri to offer some testimony today? 3 MR. SHARMA: Department has no objection. 4 you. 5 All right. Given the fact that the JUDGE GEARY: 6 Department has no objection, I'm going to allow you to 7 testify. 8 MR. AZAVEDO: Thank you. 9 JUDGE GEARY: And let's -- I'm going to talk for 10 a second about how we're going to do this. But first I 11 wanted to ask you Mr. Puri, as part of your testimony will 12 you be stating what your connection is to Appellant, the corporation? 13 MR. PURI: Yes, sir. 14 15 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. How -- Mr. Azavedo, how do 16 you want to do your presentation? Will you be asking 17 questions of Mr. Puri, or will Mr. Puri be actually making 18 statements on his own? 19 MR. AZAVEDO: He'll be making statements on his 20 own. 21 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Then what we'll do is 22 I'm going to administer an oath or affirmation to you, 23 Mr. Puri, and then I'll allow you two gentlemen to present 2.4 the arguments in any way you want. You do not have to do

a question and answer. If you wish to give a narrative

25

1 form presentation you can do that. Would you raise your 2 right hand for me, please. 3 4 A. PURI, 5 produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by 6 the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified 7 as follows: 8 9 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Gentleman, you may 10 begin with your argument, testimony, whenever you're 11 ready. 12 13 PRESENTATION 14 MR. PURI: Responding to the -- my position in the organization, this is a corporation. The ownership is 15 16 with my wife who is not present, but we don't need to. 17 And I'm husband, and I'm also the partner. 18 JUDGE GEARY: Can I interrupt to ask you what 19 your wife's name is? 20 MR. PURI: Urmil -- I'm sorry. I have some 2.1 problem with my voice because I present -- U-r-m-i-l. 22 Last name like mine, Puri, P-u-r-i. JUDGE GEARY: Are you, Mr. Puri, identified on 23 2.4 the record with the Secretary of State as an officer, 25 owner, director of the corporation?

1 MR. PURI: Yes, sir. 2 JUDGE GEARY: All right. You gentleman may 3 proceed then. Go ahead. MR. PURI: I'm going to try to make it simpler 4 5 and then I'll answer questions, whatever you may have. 6 What I'm reading it's been presented on the documents. 7 But what we're trying to do, I will read some of the objection, then we want to present maybe 15, 20 minutes 8 9 pictures and the doc -- pictures and to prove what I'm 10 saying here. 11 JUDGE GEARY: Mr. Puri, can I ask you. Have you 12 made arrangements for your computer to be able to present 13 the pictures on our screen? 14 MR. KAFLE: Not yet, sir. So we just need a 15 connection to the --16 JUDGE GEARY: That can't be done now. 17 MR. KAFLE: Okay. 18 JUDGE GEARY: Are the pictures --19 MR. PURI: Has been sent. 20 JUDGE GEARY: -- exhibits that we already have? 21 MR. PURI: Yes, sir. 22 JUGE GEARY: And were those the photographs that 23 were part of your --2.4 MR. KAFLE: The PowerPoint presentation. 25 JUDGE GEARY: We'll be able to follow along with that. You won't need to present them on the screen. Go ahead.

MR. PURI: Thank you.

2.4

DTFA assessed extra liability by estimating based on sampling to a very short visit to my -- our restaurant during a very short time. Such short visit does not represent our -- my business volume or activities during a three-year period. I'm willing to provide to OTA full evidence, proof that the auditor calculations were not fair for our type of business.

Just to add here, we'll be showing the pictures to all that.

My restaurant is an upscale level, affluent area and has tips gratuity paid by customers around plus 20 percent. Please allow me to provide together supporting documents to the OTA. We would like to show the pictures. Sorry.

JUDGE GEARY: Tell us what pictures you want us to look at. Are you talking about the files that contain copies of receipts?

MR. PURI: Files is not necessary for us to show, but we'll go quickly on that one. But what we're trying to prove is what is Royal Khyber? Where are they? What kind of clients they have? Because the issue is the gratuity that which CDTFA said is 10.2 percent tips or

1 gratuity. Our position is our gratuity is over 20 percent 2 by customers and clients. And we are trying to prove --3 or our best to prove -- where we are and what people do. So if you give us about 7 to 10 minutes to 4 5 produce it. I don't have to make any explanation on the 6 invoices. This is up. The invoices which we have sent 7 you probably, I don't know, 400 or some plus, and it shows all in the year what were the customers, clients coming in 8 9 and having lunch, dinner, and what were their tips. 10 whole issue is about the tips. 11 JUDGE GEARY: Do you want us -- my question is do 12 you want us now to make reference to the PowerPoint presentation? 13 14 MR. PURI: Yes, sir. Now. 15 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Which is Exhibit 16. 16 And, Mr. Puri, are you going to be following along and 17 telling us what we're looking at? 18 MR. AZAVEDO: Yes. 19 MR. PURI: Can they see? Can they see it? They 20 can see the pictures? 21 Not yet. Not yet. MR. AZAVEDO: 22 MR. KAFLE: No, they haven't. They have already. 23 JUDGE GEARY: We will not be able to use this 2.4 screen because prior arrangements have to be made for 25 things like that, but we will be able to follow along

because we have copies in front of us.

2.1

2.4

MR. PURI: I'll try to speak a little quickly.

South Coast Plaza Mall. Royal Khyber Fine Indian Cuisine is located in the South Coast Plaza Mall. It's an original shopping mall in Costa Mesa, California. The largest shopping center in the West Coast of the United States. Its pre-Covid sale -- we're talking about the mall -- was over \$1.5 billion annually for the highest in the United States.

The South Coast Plaza Mall is the largest shopping mall in the California and the fourth largest in the United States. Renowned as the leading international shopping destination, South Coast Plaza Mall is home to.

THE STENOGRAPHER: Mr. Puri, may I please ask you to slow down.

MR. PURI: Oh, sorry. I didn't know you were typing.

But repeating, South Coast Plaza Mall is home to more than 280 prominent boutiques and critically acclaimed restaurants/specialty food like Morton Steak House,

Antonello's, ANQI -- these are all located in the mall -- and Royal Khyber Fine Indian Cuisine, and other restaurants, is the center of a vibrant business, arts, and residential district that includes the adjacent, which is the Segerstrom Center for the Arts, which is the second

highest in California and the Orange County Museum of Art. We are trying to establish where we are located at.

2.4

Next, celebrating 55 years of quality, South

Coast Plaza Mall is the West Coast premium shopping

experiences with its proximity to the beaches and John

Wayne Airport in Santa Ana, which is about 7 minutes

drive, customized services and unparalleled collections of

top retailers from all over the world, Louis Vuitton,

Gucci, Hermes, Cartier, et cetera, et cetera.

Next, you may not be able to see it, but it's a picture which shows pictures of Royal Khyber itself, Fine Indian. And on the left-hand side, it shows the directory of the South Coast Plaza Mall. In the directory which has a lot of areas, but I only chose to show the restaurant and specialty foods. It's listed there. There are 43 restaurants listed as part of the owners of the South Coast Plaza Mall. So we are showing you the Royal Khyber.

Next picture. Recently -- we are all trying to establish our customer base, what kind of people come and what kind of people we serve, and those are the people who pay us the gratuity -- one of the items is gratuity.

Then next page I'm looking at is a picture which is all the time we get different kinds of credentials and articles written on us. This was the latest one on March 30th, 2023, last month. And it shows a picture of

the lady who wrote the article. She's a very-well known writer -- restaurant food writer, Ms. Gretchen. And there were -- she covered -- out of the 43 restaurants, which is located in mall.

2.1

2.4

She just covered the international restaurant people, which is 10 of them quickly, ANQI, which is Vietnamese, Antonello's, Italian, Costa, Peruvian, and Din Tai Fung is Taiwanese, Hamamori, Japanese, Knife Plate, French, Paradise Dynasty, Chinese, Royal Khyber, Indian food, Terrace, Filipino fusion, and then Spanish. And this is the article we just received as recently as -- coming up.

Next line is going to be short little picture -video -- a video. It explains the picture of the Royal
Khyber. And also, we going to try to tell that we did a
party in 2015 which is for another very famous law firm
called Latham & Watkins Law Firm. And we did their
Christmas party of December 9, 2016.

We can see all the food and the way we present the food. The whole idea is, again, to tell us that we are not usually having customer who is based 10 percent or 10.2 percent as they said. We have customers. I don't have to explain what they pay to us, Latham & Watkins, but you can check it out.

JUDGE GEARY: Mr. Puri?

MR. PURI: Yes.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE GEARY: Just so you know, there was no video included with the documents you filed with OTA.

There are still photos only. So there will not be any video in evidence.

MR. PURI: I understand, sir. The idea for me to explain to the judges is that we are -- what kind of customers we get. That's the whole issue.

JUDGE GEARY: I understand that.

MR. PURI: The whole issue is about that. The issue is they're saying that the customers come there, and they pay 10 percent tips gratuity. We are saying no, sir. This is not right because right from the 2000, when I started the restaurant until this day. And I'm going to explain the real important issue after I finish this one, if you please.

JUDGE GEARY: Yes. But let me -- I just want to --

MR. PURI: Next video. I appreciate it.

JUDGE GEARY: Excuse me. I wanted you to understand. You made reference to a video. There is no video in evidence. It's all I wanted you to understand. You can proceed with your presentation.

MR. PURI: What I'm looking at it's -- it is not there?

JUDGE GEARY: It was not filed with OTA, correct. 1 2 MR. PURI: No. We filed it. 3 MR. KAFLE: Sir, it's in the PowerPoint 4 presentation. So whenever you hold your mouse over the 5 video, I mean, you can just see it once you play it. MR. PURI: Oh, I'm sorry. I did not know that. 6 7 JUDGE GEARY: Is the still we are supposed to 8 hover over the one with the picture of Royal Khyber? 9 MR. KAFLE: Yes, sir. And you can see the play 10 button on the bottom, and you can just play it. 11 JUDGE GEARY: I can tell you that I cannot. 12 Judge Kwee, are you able to play that? 13 MR. PURI: I hope you are able to see. Ιt 14 is difficult. Why didn't you just let them know? 15 JUDGE GEARY: Is your microphone on? 16 MR. PURI: Sorry. I was having difficulty seeing 17 what -- how they did. There's a little button where it 18 says Royal Khyber picture. I can describe if you allow 19 me. 20 JUDGE GEARY: That won't -- that won't be 2.1 necessary. I believe that you have it. I'm simply 22 indicating it's not in evidence, and it won't be in 23 evidence. So just proceed with your presentation and --2.4 MR. AZAVEDO: Do you mind if he approaches the 25 bench?

1 JUDGE GEARY: To do what? To show us that? 2 MR. AZAVEDO: No. 3 JUDGE GEARY: Yes, I do mind. 4 MR. AZAVEDO: Okav. 5 We won't -- we're not going to be JUDGE GEARY: able to see the video, so just continue with your 6 7 testimony. MR. PURI: It is -- it is perfectly okay. We 8 9 just want to have the judges know that what kind of 10 restaurant we are operating. 11 Next line is again -- so I will go quickly -- our 12 Royal Khyber location, and the -- our capacity. We have 13 105 indoor-seating capacity. We have 120 people outdoor 14 seating capacity with a fountain and patio area. Total is 15 about 250 people. Again, high-end people. We have full 16 alcoholic bar, and we are licensed since 2000. Again, 17 that's -- I put in again picture on that one. 18 The next pictures are all four or five saying 19 what kind of other neighbors we have, like other 20 restaurants or other people from all over the world. 2.1 Because all it, again, trying to emphasize that we are not 22 a 10 percent gratuity restaurant. These are all related. 23 I'm just going fast. Okay. That's the end of the, so to 2.4 speak, video. 25 I'm -- I'll have the time still, about a few --

four more minutes. I will try to finish this.

2.1

2.4

Your Honor, additional comments are that after receiving from OTA the prehearing conference minutes and orders, this one, about 10 days ago. Dated which was -- sorry -- in about 11 --10 days ago after I received it, we were disappointed to note that CDTFA once again number one, ignored and neglected to consider American Express credit card loan of \$20,000 that was added to the credit card sales.

Number two, auditor automatic gratuity of -- I'm going to say quickly all the numbers -- \$94,819, which was estimated as 4.09 percent is very, very high. Please note during this audit period, which was -- the period was for 2010 to 2013, 10 years ago, we did not have any POS system those days. System in our restaurant -- therefore, we were not able to charge any auto gratuity. It's important thing to calculate on the whole issue. Once we did install the POS system, which was on a November of 2013.

JUDGE GEARY: Did you say 2015?

MR. PURI: About 2013. Yes, sir. And I want to repeat and said that the CDTFA was trying to analyze how much we should be paying, but three years before, which when we were there, and we had no POS system. But in 2013 onward we had a POS system, and we started calculating out the gratuity which never exceeded more than 0.01

percent -- 0.01 at maximum. Repeating once again, CDTFA did say that it was 4.09 percent, but --

2.4

THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry to interrupt, but can you repeat that last sentence again.

MR. ALVARAZ: Last sentence, say it again.

MR. PURI: Last sentence, the auto gratuity which we started finding and calculating after we got the POS system was 0.01 percent. And the CDTF claimed that auto gratuity of \$94,819 was estimated by them on the basis of 4.09 percent, which actually should be almost zero percent. However, there is no estimated auto gratuity included there.

I appreciate listening to me and giving me a time. Only thing left over if the CDTFA wants to know what percentage gratuity we have been getting it, that's why we presented almost 400 invoices at random to calculate what was the people when they come there. And when you see those, I can look at it. Actually, if I do the -- if I do the 400 and divide by the number approximated, it could be the gratuity is between 25.2 percent to 20.7 percent, but definitely not 10.2 percent. Taxes -- gratuity and -- what do you call that? -- tips isn't that level. We are that kind of level restaurant operating for the last 20 years.

Thank you very much for your time.

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Mr. Puri. Let me first ask Respondent if it has any questions. Because you testified to facts, Respondent and my colleagues are allowed to ask you questions about what you testified to and I will ask Respondent first.

2.1

2.4

you.

Does Respondent have any questions?

MR. SHARMA: Department has no questions. Thank

JUDGE GEARY: All right. Judge Long, any questions?

JUDGE LONG: Yes, I do have a couple of questions. First, I just wanted to make it clear for myself, at least. You had seating capacity of about 220 people. And then is the 250-seating capacity for parties, is that in addition to 220, or is it if you close the restaurant and had a party instead it was 250?

MR. PURI: Restaurant itself has two areas. When you come to Royal Khyber, you enter into the door, and we have an area where the bar is and everything is approximately what I said is 105. Because if you look at the -- which is registered by the City of Santa Ana, saying capacity is 105 people.

Then we go outside and we have a beautiful outside area -- with the pictures can show you if you ever get a chance -- is the fountain and this and this and

1 where we do parties that can handle about 150 people. Can 2 actually it can be more than that. So together is 3 approximately what number you just said. I think I said 4 150 number, some -- some -- the number which I give it to 5 you. 6 JUDGE LONG: Okay. And then I just wanted to --7 I'm going to use Exhibit 1 as an example, given the size of your restaurant. I'm looking at Exhibit 1, and there's 8 9 five receipts for August 1st, 2016, and I notice they're 10 not in sequential order, that some of them are, you 11 know --12 MR. PURI: What are you looking at, sir? I'm sorry. I didn't --13 JUDGE GEARY: Exhibit 1. If you look at it, 14 15 there's receipts --16 MR. PURI: You know what --17 JUDGE LONG: -- for August 1st, 2016. 18 MR. PURI: Can I -- can I tell you the exhibit 19 which we have been talking about -- is it our exhibit or 20 the one --2.1 JUDGE GEARY: It is your Exhibit. And I 22 understand that you've been talking about Exhibit 16, but 23 I want to move on and discuss Exhibit 1. 2.4 MR. PURI: Honestly, I don't know the exhibit 25 number, whether it's 15, 16, and where is it?

JUDGE LONG: It's just the first pages of 1 2 exhibit --3 MR. PURI: Oh, okay. JUDGE LONG: -- it's -- it's --4 5 MR. PURI: Okay. Okay. Go ahead. 6 JUDGE LONG: -- receipts for August 1st, 2016, 7 and they're not in sequential order, and it looks like some are missing. And I just --8 MR. PURI: Oh, it was this --10 JUDGE LONG: Hi. I'm -- I'm trying to finish my 11 question. How -- given the size of the restaurant, how 12 can we tell from a relatively small sample of receipts that the tip ratios that you've calculated here -- which I 13 14 also want to note don't match the numbers at the bottom of 15 the receipts that are prepopulated. How can we tell that 16 is actually representative of the business? 17 MR. PURI: Hello. Hello can you hear me? 18 you hear? 19 MR. ALVARAZ: You're on. You're on. 20 MR. PURI: Okay. Your Honor, the actual number 2.1 of invoices are approximately -- we do -- average we do 22 about 3,000 or so in those areas -- in those times per 23 This is for three years, which means 36 months. 2.4 36 months, I think. You multiple that. I have about 5 25 different boxes of maybe 15 to 20,000 receipts. We just

pulled out all -- just pulled it out and then started
calculating it. But these are not all the receipts.

2.4

JUDGE LONG: Okay. But I guess my point is that, you know, again looking at the receipts you provided for August 1st, 2016, you only provided 5. So how do I know, for example, that this one where you've calculated 21.7 percent tip, Check Number 2084, how do I know that is representative and not the other, you know, 2,995 receipts.

MR. PURI: The only thing I comment to you is again, I prepared this one not picking up one. We just go there, and it was prepared two years ago. I think maybe a year-and-a-half ago when we presented it to the CDTFA also. Your question, if I understand you, simply that you're saying only 400 or something are here, how do you know that represents 30,000 people who came and joined us; is that correct?

JUDGE LONG: Correct. I mean, you could seat 105 people inside your restaurant at a time, and I only have -- again, just looking at August 1st, 2016 -- 5. And how would I confirm that that's representative of the business?

MR. PURI: Okay. The right word is probably "random sample". But let me, sir, with respect to all of us, the purpose of us showing you the pictures of where

we're located, what kind of operation we do, and also indicating a little video saying that we made a party in 2015. We had lots of parties since we started, but I had a picture. We took pictures at that time with a law firm, and you can see if they paid a bill and what can be the gratuity they paid.

2.1

2.4

We're trying to establish what kind of tips and gratuity we've been getting. That's my focus because the whole case is based on that as I believe and understand. The amount of money CDTFA is saying that we owe them this and this and the last -- the three years period they did. First of all, they were not there physically when that time period, when it is 2010 to 2013. They came to our restaurant to audit us first time was in 2014, three years -- one-and-a-half years later, which is probably not normal.

But when make our position here, they did not take enough time. I'm going to repeat it this, so I do not make a mistake. I said that the short visit of the CDTFA represent my business volume or activities during three years is not enough. I am willing to provide OTA full evidence to prove of the auditor's calculation were not fair for the type of business.

JUDGE LONG: I'm sorry. I'm actually going to interpret because it's the second time or third time maybe

that you've said that you're willing to provide full evidence. Have you not -- what prevented you from providing that evidence during the audit, during your appeals with CDTFA, or prior to today?

2.1

2.4

MR. PURI: Your Honor, everything we presented to CDTFA in the last seven years because this is the seventh year we are -- before we are sitting with you. We presented to them, I don't know, thousands of papers of this document for the last seven years. And I met them a few times explaining the same thing. Why are you saying I'm having a restaurant where the people come in, and they pay you 10 percent tips?

We are saying no. We're located in a very high-end area. When the customers come, it doesn't matter what day or how many businesses are, we're in that range. So please give us or you can ask us, it's not 20 percent.

JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you.

MR. PURI: I'm saying it's 20 percent or more than plus 20 percent. And we're saying they did not pay enough time to analyze and try to figure it out. Go to the same places. What is Royal Khyber? What are the other restaurants? And estimating, okay, your tips are 10.2 percent is not fair to our company.

JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions.

1 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Judge Long. 2 Judge Kwee, do you have any questions? 3 JUDGE KWEE: This is Judge Kwee. Yes, I did have a couple of questions about when you were talking about 4 5 the automatic gratuities. If I understand correctly, were 6 you -- are you contending that the amounts of automatic 7 gratuities should have been 10 percent or higher than what was included? 8 9 MR. PURI: I believe you're talking about 10 automatic gratuity; is that right? 11 MR. ALVARAZ: Right. 12 JUDGE KWEE: Yes. My question was, are you 13 saying that the amount of automatic gratuity should be 14 higher? 15 MR. PURI: Automatic gratuity should be a lot 16 lower. 17 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. 18 MR. PURI: They said 4.09 percent ours, because 19 they were estimating it. We don't -- never had the POS 20 system. Usually -- again, I'm not a tech guy. Maybe he 2.1 can help you. He's a CPA. When -- these are calculated 22 when you have proper system. When we -- when I bought in 23 2013 the POS system. The first time we started using it, 2.4 there was an area where we can put down automatic

25

gratuities.

So we came out to be 0.01. Let's call it for the purpose of the discussion, zero automatic gratuity. So but they said that it was -- so they were calculating that it is also part of the sale, I believe that's what it is. Automatic gratuity is part of the sales. And for rich -- okay. All right.

So I hope I am answering your question, but we

2.1

2.4

So I hope I am answering your question, but we can clarify with -- Mr. Azavedo can explain to you a little better. By the way, this word of automatic gratuity and all that is CDTFA words. I did not have my own words in any one of this. Everything is from them.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So you're saying that you don't automatically add tips on checks paid by customers?

MR. PURI: We do not add tips in ourself.

Customer gives us the tips. The whole ball of wax is about what kind of customer we have received. They come in. Look at the invoices. If you look at the 400 invoices, you'll see the lines.

Can you just show to us again?

It starts with what the data is saying our invoice or bill -- bill. And let us says the bottom, that's what they -- how much they -- then there's the -- okay. Then you can see --

MR. ALVARAZ: They cannot see that.

MR. PURI: Oh, sorry. You cannot see, but at the

bottom it says amount total, next tip. It's all blank.

They have to --customer has to fill it out. Next line
is --

MR. ALVARAZ: Total.

2.1

2.4

MR. PURI: Total. And then it says suggested tips 18 percent, 20 percent, 22 percent. Now, I think it's -- so these are -- we do not pay our tips. Many -- sometimes the customers come in the money says tips are zero. But it's very, very rare. So I'm saying it is both sides. But our whole issue is about the tips. We do not pay the tips to ourselves or tell the customer you pay it to me. No.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And I believe that the CDTFA's documents indicate for parties of six or more there was a menu saying that tips were automatically added.

MR. PURI: If you -- I'm going to go remember from my memory. Okay. In the menus over the years, there was a clause at that time -- maybe I think before they started to do it -- that if you have party of six people or more, they take the space. And at that time, they have the option -- they have the privilege of paying the amount they can say to us, can you add 18 percent? Or we ask them, okay, what is your -- so that's the only time. I beg your pardon. I should have report. That's the only

1 time we -- when we finish our ticket, we add them what 2 they tell us. 3 JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you. I don't have any further questions. I'm going to turn it over back to 4 5 Judge Geary. 6 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Judge Kwee. 7 I have a couple of questions. So to be clear, 8 there were circumstances where your menus, at least, 9 indicate that gratuities were mandatory for parties of six 10 or more? 11 MR. PURI: Wrong. 12 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Is it your testimony that there were never any mandatory gratuities charged to 13 14 customers during the audit period? 15 MR. PURI: That -- yes, correct. And also, just 16 to clarify, some menus -- I don't know on -- they have the 17 option to pay, but there's no mandatory. None. Period. 18 JUDGE GEARY: When Latham & Watkins had their 19 party at your restaurant, was Latham & Watkins -- I'm 20 assuming there were more than six people at that party; 2.1 correct? 22 MR. PURI: 129. 23 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Were they charged a 24 mandatory gratuity? MR. PURI: No. They --25

1 JUDGE GEARY: You've answered the question. 2 move things along, just try to focus on the question that 3 I'm asking. Thank you. Did you say that your restaurant issues 300 -- or 3,000 bills to customers per year or 4 5 receipts to customers per year? 6 MR. PURI: Not anymore. Not per year, sir, per 7 month. JUDGE GEARY: Per month, I mean? 8 9 MR. PURI: Yeah. Not anymore. Because since Covid, it has gone down to half of that. 10 JUDGE GEARY: But in 2010 to 2013 were you 11 12 issuing approximately 3,000 receipts per month to customers? 13 MR. PURI: I don't honestly remember, but it was 14 15 a long year, but it should be very close. Because we were 16 having -- let me just -- I'm going to think out loud with you -- an average on a weekly basis, we will have on the 17 18 weekend -- 150, 230, plus -- that's the 300 --19 JUDGE GEARY: It's best not --20 MR. PURI: -- between 2,000 and 2,500. 21 JUDGE GEARY: So 2,000 to 2,500 --22 MR. PURI: Per month. 23 JUDGE GEARY: -- receipts issued to customers per 24 month. And a receipt could be for more than one customer; 25 correct?

1 MR. PURI: Yes, sir. 2 JUDGE GEARY: And you are talking about 2,000 to 3 2,500 receipts, not 2,000 to 2,500 people? 4 MR. PURI: Oh, no, no. No, no. Sorry. Sorry. 2,500 people, the receipts could be 50 or 100 or 200. 5 6 JUDGE GEARY: All right. So what you're saying 7 is that during the audit period, you believe your restaurant served between 2,000 and 2,500 people per 8 9 month --10 MR. PURI: Yes, sir. Average. Getting back to 11 the --12 JUDGE GEARY: Let me just again ask you -- you've answered the question. We have to move things along. And 13 14 you have boxes and boxes of receipts somewhere you said? 15 MR. PURI: Used to. We never kept them. 16 years ago. JUDGE GEARY: Well, you kept them to a point 17 18 because you have said you had boxes and boxes of them. 19 When did you have them? 20 MR. PURI: No. I was talking the period of when 2.1 they were auditing from 2010 to 2013, we don't have it. 22 If you see the receipts I sent you, they're all probably dated 2015, 2016, 2017. But I didn't have the receipt of 23 2.4 that period which was the audit. 25 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. So --

1 MR. PURI: And they didn't have it either. 2 JUDGE GEARY: So during -- while the audit, that 3 we're here talking about, was going on, did you have copies of all the receipts that you had issued during the 4 5 audit period? 6 MR. PURI: To the customer we have to give the 7 receipt every time the customer comes and leaves. 8 JUDGE GEARY: And you had them --9 MR. PURI: Oh, no. 10 JUDGE GEARY: -- when the audit was happening? 11 MR. PURI: No. I'm sorry. I don't have it 12 anymore because we did not have. The audit started three 13 years later. 14 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. So when the audit was 15 happening -- and I'm going to ask you the question again. 16 Don't speak, please. Listen to the question. When the 17 audit was happening, did you have all receipts for sales 18 during the audit period? 19 MR. PURI: Those were not kept, but we provided 20 them to CDTFA these receipts which were happening during 2.1 the year when they were auditing, which is 2014 onwards. 22 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. So you did not have any of 23 receipts from the audit period when CDTFA said -- asked 2.4 you, please provide source documents or receipts for the

period of the audit? You had no receipts; isn't that

25

right?

2.4

MR. PURI: I -- I -- no, no. We had the receipts, but we were not keeping it. See until the audit started, we didn't know we needed any receipt because after that when we just don't need it -- need them ourselves. But we have record of sales how much we have because my CPA was giving that. Answering your question, sir, trying to answer. Maybe I'm mistaken. The receipts we provided if -- I don't even remember when -- if we provide them. If we provided to CDTFA, those receipts will be from year 2014 onwards.

JUDGE GEARY: Okay. All right. So you --

 ${\tt MR.}$ PURI: Because that's what they requested.

JUDGE GEARY: Okay. So are you saying when CDTFA was doing its audit, it did not ask you for receipts from the audit --

MR. PURI: They --

JUDGE GEARY: Excuse me. Please wait until the question is done. They did not ask you for receipts from the audit period?

MR. PURI: I don't remember if they asked me or not, but what I can do is tell you that they did not have -- they estimated all that because with the POS system, we didn't have a POS system. After we have POS system, receipts are available every time, every -- every

1	time. Before that, we didn't have a POS system.
2	JUDGE GEARY: Those are the only questions that I
3	have. Let me just ask my fellow judges.
4	Any questions, Judge Long?
5	Anything else, Judge Kwee?
6	JUDGE KWEE: I don't have any further questions.
7	Thank you.
8	JUDGE GEARY: Thank you.
9	You've included your initial argument.
10	Mr. Azavedo, did you have something to add?
11	MR. AZAVEDO: No, I didn't.
12	JUDGE GEARY: All right. Thank you.
13	MR. AZAVEDO: He covered it well. Thank you.
14	JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Thank you.
15	CDTFA, are you ready to give your argument?
16	MR. SHARMA: Yes, Judge. We are ready. Thank
17	you.
18	JUDGE GEARY: Madam Court Reporter, are you okay?
19	THE STENOGRAPHER: Yes, I am. Thank you.
20	JUDGE GEARY: All right. Go ahead.
21	
22	PRESENTATION
23	MR. SHARMA: Appellant, a corporation, operated a
24	restaurant selling Indian-style food with sales of beer,
25	wine, and liquor since November, 1998. The Department

performed an audit examination for the period of October 1, 2010, through September 30, 2013.

2.1

2.4

Records available for the audit: Federal income tax returns for years 2011 to 2013, bank statements, and 1099-K data for the audit period, copies of sales receipts and points of sales, daily sales summary reports for April 29, 2014, to May 8, 2014, and June 1, 2014, to September 30, 2014.

Appellant did not provide point of sales data download, sales receipts, sales journals, purchase invoices, or any purchase journals for the audit period.

Appellant did not provide any supporting worksheets for quarterly sales and use tax returns. Due to lack of detailed sales records or worksheets, the Department could not verify the accuracy of reported amounts.

The Department compared Appellant's reported gross receipts for federal income tax returns to reported totals sales for sales and use tax returns and found differences of around \$365,000 for the audit period; Exhibit B, page 349. The Department also compared total bank deposits with the reported sales for sales and use tax returns and noted unexplained differences of \$260,000 for the audit period; Exhibit B, page 350.

Based on the above analysis, the Department determined that Appellant's books and records were not

complete, not reliable, and inadequate for sales and use tax purposes. So an indirect audit method was used to verify the accuracy of reported amounts and to determine unreported taxable sales. To verify the accuracy and reasonableness of submitted daily sales reports, the Department performed two observation tests.

2.1

2.4

First test was performed from 11:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. on May 8, 2014. And second test was performed from 5:00 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. on March 4, 2015. Based on the two observation tests, the Department determined that submitted point of sales daily sales summary reports were reasonable and acceptable.

The Department combined two observation tests and daily sales summary reports from April 29, 2014, to May 8, 2014, and June 1, 2014, to September 30, 2014, to determine mandatory credit tips ratio of 4.09 percent, optional credit card tips ratio of 10.42 percent, and cash sales ratio of 3.51 percent; Exhibit 8, page 54, Exhibit B, pages 222 to 296. Just to be clear, that optional credit card tips ratio is based on Appellant's own books and records.

The Department used bank statements and 1099-K data to determine total credit card sales of little more than \$2.3 million for the audit period; Exhibit B, page 297. The Department used these figures to determine

audited credit card sales of around \$1.98 million, cash sales of around \$70,000 for the audit period; Exhibit A, page 51.

2.1

2.4

During the audit process, the Department noted that Appellant sold gift certificates through restaurant.com and Groupon. Appellant recorded payments from the sales of these gift certificates as other tenders. The Department used Appellant's books and records to determine taxable sales of around \$63,000 for the audit period; Exhibit A, page 56.

Based on the above audit processes, the

Department calculated audited taxable sales of little more
than \$2.11 million for the audit period. After allowing
an adjustment for applicable sales tax rate, the

Department determined excess audited taxable sales of
around \$1.96 million. Appellant reported taxable sales of
around \$1.72 million resulting in unreported taxable sales
of around \$235,000 for the audit period; Exhibit A,
page 50.

The Department used credit card sales and mandatory tips ratio to determine unreported mandatory tips of around \$95,000 for the audit period; Exhibit A, page 51. During the audit processes, the Department noted that Appellant added sales tax reimbursement at the selling price of gift certificates, which resulted in

excess tax reimbursement for sales related to the gift certificates sold through restaurants.com and Groupon.

2.4

The Department used Appellant's books and records and determined excess tax reimbursement of \$2,961 for restaurant.com and \$3,042 for Groupon for the audit period; Exhibit B, pages 345 to 348. The Department used Appellant's estimated amount of self-consumption of \$185 per quarter to determine unreported self-consumption of little more than \$2,000 subject to use tax for the audit period; Exhibit B, page 344.

Based on the stated audit procedures, the Department determined unreported taxable sales of around \$235,000, unreported mandatory tips of \$95,000, unreported self-consumption of \$2,000, and excess tax reimbursement of \$6,003 for the audit period.

When the Department is not satisfied with the amount of tax reported by the taxpayer, the Department may determine the amount required to be paid based on any information which is in its possession or may come into its possession. In the case of an appeal, the Department has a minimal initial burden of showing that its determination was reasonable and rational. Once the Department has met its initial burden, the burden of proof shifts to the taxpayer to establish that a result differing from the Department's determination is

warranted. Unsupported assertions are not sufficient to satisfy a taxpayer's burden of proof.

2.1

2.4

The Department used Appellant's own records to determine the audit liability. Doing so produced a reasonable and rational determination. Appellant contends that optional credit tips ratio should be around 22 percent. In support of its contention, Appellant submitted 28 credit card sales receipts with its reply brief dated May 6, 2021 and 447 credit card sales receipts on April 24, 2023.

However, Appellant didn't provide any sales summary reports on point-of-sale sales data pertaining to these sales receipts. In the absence of complete sales summary reports or point-of-sale sales data, the Department could not verify the completeness and accuracy of submitted sales receipts.

In reviewing Appellant's submission, the

Department made a few observations. First, after 475

sales receipts provided by Appellant for the period

May 2015 through December 2017, there were none with zero

tips or tips below 15 percent. Second, the Department

reviewed 2,904 sales receipts in the test period of

132 days and two observation tests. Of those sales

receipts, there were 792 with zero tips and 1,865 with

tips less than 18 percent. Third, 95 percent of

Appellant's sales receipts had tips over 18 percent, whereas, only 36 percent of the sales receipts from the Department's test period had tips over 18 percent.

2.1

2.4

Based on this review, it appears that Appellant carefully selected which sales receipts it wanted to include in its submission to the Office of Tax Appeals.

Instead of including all sales receipts from May 2015 to December 2017. Therefore, the Department determined that the submission is unverifiable, incomplete, and not representative of its business activities during the audit period.

Appellant contends that it did not charge any mandatory tips during the audit period but did not provide any documentary evidence, such as sales receipts, for the audit period to support any adjustments for this contention. Appellant contends that credit card sales included credit card loans of \$20,000. Appellant didn't provide any documentary evidence in support of its contention. However, a review of bank deposit analysis shows that audited credit card deposits are net of non-restaurant sales of little more than \$159,000 for the audit period; Exhibit B, pages 300 to 337.

Appellant contends that cash sales ratio was less than 3 percent during the audit period. In response, the Department submits that despite various requests Appellant

failed to provide any documentary evidence such as sales receipts or sales journals to show that cash sales ratio was less than 3 percent for the audit period. Appellant contends that it collected correct amounts of sales tax and there was no excess tax reimbursement during the audit period. In response, the Department submits that its review of Appellant's books and records revealed excess tax reimbursement when sales included redemption of gift certificates sold through restaurant.com and Groupon; Exhibit B, pages 393 to 404.

2.1

2.4

Based on the foregoing, the Department has fully explained the basis for deficiency and proved that the determination was reasonable based on the available books and records. Appellant has not met its burden to prove otherwise. Therefore, the Department request the Appellant's appeal be denied.

This concludes my presentation, and I'm available to answer any question you may have. Thank you.

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you.

First, by way of explanation for Appellant,
because CDTFA did not offer any testimony, in other words
no one on behalf of CDTFA testified to any facts, the
Appellant is not allowed to question the person who
presented the argument on behalf of CDTFA. Had Appellant
not offered testimony, I would not have offered Respondent

an opportunity to question you, Mr. Puri.

2.1

2.4

Let me turn to Judge Long to see if he has any questions for the Department.

JUDGE LONG: I just have a quick question about the excess sales tax reimbursement. My understanding is that the auditor found when Groupon or restaurant.com sales were made, the full amount of the sale was input into the POS system and then essentially the coupon or redemption was -- reduced the sales price but the tax rate was not readjusted. Is that, as my understanding, correct?

MR. SHARMA: That's correct. Because in case of restaurant.com, generally it's 40 percent of the certificate is redeemed. And in the case of Groupon, it's 50 percent. But Appellant charged tax on the full price. So by doing that, the way the audit is done, the audit is done based on the payment received. So the balance is excess reimbursement, which was allocated to the State. That's correct.

JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you. No further questions.

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Judge Long.

Judge Kwee, do you have any questions?

JUDGE KWEE: Just one question for CDTFA. When you were calculating the 4.09 percent automatic

gratuities, was that based on the observation test you looked at where the tip amount was prefilled on the receipt as opposed to where the customer wrote it in and that's how you came up with the amount of automatic gratuities?

2.1

2.4

MR. SHARMA: That is based on the observation tests as well as Appellant's own records from the period April 29, 2014, to May 8, 2014, and June 1, 2014, to September 30, 2014. So where they automatically calculated the tips, that is 4.09 percent.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you. So my question, I guess, to focus it was just in determining what was automatically added as a gratuity, you looked at what was prefilled on the receipt to determine that?

MR. SHARMA: That's correct.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Perfect. Thank you.

MR. SHARMA: Thank you.

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Judge Kwee.

As I indicated early on in this hearing, we allow the Appellant the last word because the heavier burden always falls on Appellant in cases like this. And if you, Mr. Puri, or if you, Mr. Azavedo, would like to take five minutes or so to give concluding remarks -- you're not required to. But if you would like to, I'm happy to allow that.

MR. AZAVEDO: I have not seen the Groupon calculations, so I'm not able to respond.

JUDGE GEARY: Mr. Puri, do you wish to give any concluding remarks?

2.1

2.4

CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. PURI: I am a little bit confused that if everybody is clear that we did not have the instrumentation, which is POS system in the old time, for the period for which they have done all this. All the data -- all the information they're saying is in 2014 onwards. Yes, at that time we gave them. There's -- if I understand what they're saying, we did not provide them anything for 2010, '11, '12, and '13. We had whatever we had. We gave to them.

Secondly, there was a question about -approximately you asked a question for Watkin and other
people. We just wanted to present -- and I'm not sure if
I clarified or made clear that the restaurant operation we
have. Well, let me compare it to today, people today. If
we go to the restaurant, nice restaurant, you know,
depending, and go and give them tips of 18, 20,
25 percent, whatever tip it may be. Those years we were
having, and there's records here, whatever, 2015 onwards,
I had kept the invoices.

We took some of them and put in there. It's almost similar, except exactly not 22 percent. It used to be 18 and 19. And I think I heard them saying that they were 18 percent tips given to a lot of customers during the period they were auditing. But where did the 10 percent come? How can they calculate? And the only answer -- as a half intelligent man, if I can, is estimate. What was the formula used for estimation? No answer.

2.4

I had met the CDTFA at least for five or six times in the last seven years discussing only this issue. Show me where it is. Also, please tell me how did you calculate 10.2 percent? This is -- this is -- I mean, throwing dirt on my face that you are in an area -- Royal Khyber is in an area where people come and take the money. That is they had to say.

My only position is, Your Honor, is we are good people. We are very proud of it. We do a great job. We make everything good. People come and they are happy. They provide -- I will never ask them to pay 18, 15, 16, 20 percent. They pay. Many of them will not pay. Sometimes zero because they go and spend money on other things. But this is the worse to me. It is the most difficult part to accept. So my -- what is my own judgement? They did not take enough time to analyze. I

don't know what their business is. I don't know what they 1 2 do, but they should analyze to check and see where are we. 3 Did they ever go across the street to see what other restaurants are doing which is just like ours? They 4 would never find less than 18, 20 percent those days, and 5 we are in the same category. So where is the 10.2 percent 6 7 came? JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Mr. Puri. 8 9 I need to return to the Department for a question. Did you have any actual receipts from the audit 10 11 period? 12 MR. SHARMA: No, we did not. Despite various requests, Appellant didn't provide anything from the audit 13 14 period. JUDGE GEARY: Okay. So when Judge Kwee asked you 15 16 if the tip amount was already filled in, you are referring 17 to the receipts during the test period, which were all 18 after the audit period; correct? 19 MR. SHARMA: That's correct. 20 JUDGE GEARY: All right. All right. Those are 2.1 the only questions I have. Thank you. 22 Thank you, Mr. Puri, for your concluding 23 comments. 2.4 Bear with me just a second. All right. 25 parties, I take it, submit the matter? There's no further

1 argument, no further evidence? 2 You submit the matter, Mr. Puri and Mr. Azavedo? 3 MR. PURI: I'm not sure what the matter means? What that means is you're ready to 4 JUDGE GEARY: 5 give the matter to the Judges to decide now. 6 MR. PURI: Yes, Your Honor. 7 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. And Department submits the matter? 8 9 MR. SHARMA: Department does. Thank you, sir. 10 All right. This case is submitted JUDGE GEAR: 11 on May 9th, 2023, at 10:49 a.m. The record in this matter 12 is now closed, and this hearing is not concluded. 13 I want to mention that in the coming weeks the 14 panel of judges, the three of us here on the dais will be 15 meeting to consider the matter, and OTA will issue a 16 written opinion and deliver that opinion to the parties 17 within 100 days. 18 This concludes OTA's morning calendar. We will, 19 however, be reconvening this afternoon at 1:00 o'clock for 20 two other hearings. 21 And again, thank you everybody for your 22 participation this morning. 23 (Proceedings adjourned at 10:53 a.m.) 2.4 25

52

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 26th day 15 of May, 2023. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25