BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)
A. VANKAYALA and S. VANKAYALA,)) OTA NO. 220410278
APPELLANT.)
)

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Friday, April 21, 2023

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)
6	A. VANKAYALA and S. VANKAYALA,) OTA NO. 220410278
7	APPELLANT.)
8)
9	/
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,
15	taken in the State of California, commencing
16	at 9:33 a.m. and concluding at 9:46 a.m. on
17	Friday, April 21, 2023, reported by Ernalyn M.
18	Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and for the
19	State of California.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Administrative Law Judge:	NATASHA RALSTON
4	For the Appellant:	S. VANKAYALA
5		A. VANKAYALA
6	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
7		ERIC BROWN
8		ERIC YADAO
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		I N D E X
2		
3		E X H I B I T S
4		
5	(Appellant's Exhibit	s 1-36 were received at page 8.)
6	(Department's Exhibi	ts A-Q were received at page 8.)
7		
8		PRESENTATION
9		PAGE
10	Der Mer Manilane la	
11	By Mr. Vankayala	10
12	BY Mrs. Vankayala	11
13	By Mr. Brown	12
14		
15		
16		CLOSING STATEMENT
17		<u>PAGE</u>
18	By Mr. Vankayala	14
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

California; Friday, April 21, 2023 9:33 a.m.

2.4

JUDGE RALSTON: We are now on the record in the appeal of Vankayala. This matter is being heard before the Office of Tax Appeals. The Office of Tax Appeals Case Number is 220410278. Today's date is Friday, April 21st, 2023, and the time is approximately 9:33 a.m.

I'm Judge Ralston, and I will be the

Administrative Law Judge hearing this case for the Office
of Tax Appeals. The Office of Tax Appeals is an
independent third party. As I mentioned earlier, this
hearing is live streamed to the public and is being
recorded. The transcript and the video recording are part
of the public record and will be posted on our website at
a later date. With that being said, please don't show any
confidential documents or information on the screen due to
the live stream.

Also present is our stenographer, Ms. Alonzo, who is reporting this hearing verbatim. To ensure we have an accurate record, we ask that everyone speaks one at a time and does not speak over each other. Also, speak clearly and loudly. When needed, the stenographer will stop the hearing process and ask for clarification. After the hearing, the stenographer will produce the official

transcript which will be available on the Office of Tax 1 2 Appeals website. And also, please, when you're not 3 speaking mute your microphone. 4 So I'm going to start with the party 5 introductions and ask you to please state your name and 6 who you represent for the record, starting with the 7 Respondent FTB. 8 MR. BROWN: I'm Eric Brown, California Franchise 9 Tax Board Tax Counsel. 10 MR. YADAO: Good morning. Eric Yadao, Franchise 11 Tax Board Tax Counsel. 12 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. And now for the 13 Appellants. 14 MR. VANKAYALA: Good morning Sreedhar Vankayala. 15 JUDGE RALSTON: Oh, we did not hear 16 Mrs. Vankayala. 17 MRS. VANKAYALA: [INAUDIBLE] 18 JUDGE RALSTON: Again, we're having trouble 19 hearing you. So if you need to speak, we might need you 20 to switch places when it's your turn. 21 MRS. VANKAYALA: I'm Aparna Vankayala. 22 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. We heard that. 23 Okay. So the prehearing conference in this matter was held on March 30th, 2023. And as confirmed at 2.4 25 the prehearing conference there's one issue in this case,

1 and that is whether Appellants have established reasonable 2 cause to abate the demand penalty. 3 For witnesses, the Appellants stated that they both intend to testify under oath. Is that still correct? 4 5 MR. VANKAYALA: Yes, ma'am. MRS. VANKAYALA: Yes. 6 7 JUDGE RALSTON: And FTB indicated that they have no objection to Appellants testifying under oath. Is that 8 still correct? 10 MR. BROWN: That is correct. 11 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. And FTB does not 12 intend to call any witnesses; is that correct? 13 MR. BROWN: That's also correct. Yes. 14 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. 15 Moving on to exhibits. Appellants submitted 16 Exhibits 1 through 36, and then they submitted the exhibit 17 index after the prehearing conference. 18 Mr. Brown, did you have a chance to review the 19 exhibit list and their exhibits, and did you have any 20 objections? 21 MR. BROWN: I did, and I have no objections. 22 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. 23 So Appellants Exhibits 1 through 36 are admitted 2.4 without objection. /// 25

1 (Appellant's Exhibits 1-36 were received 2 in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 3 And Respondent has submitted Exhibits A through Appellant did not have any objections to Respondent's 4 exhibits. 5 6 Is that still the case? 7 MR. VANKAYALA: Yes. Yes, ma'am. 8 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. 9 Respondent's Exhibits A through Q are admitted 10 without objection. 11 (Department's Exhibits A-Q were received in 12 evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) For the order of the hearing, Appellant you will 13 14 go first, and you will have approximately 10 minutes for 15 your opening presentation and witness testimony. Before 16 you begin -- and I'll let you know when it's time to 17 begin. But before you begin, I'll have you both sworn in 18 so that you can testify under oath. I may have questions 19 for you during or after your presentation. And because 20 you're testifying FTB may also have questions for you. 21 After your opening presentation, Respondent will 22 also have approximately 10 minutes to present their case. 23 The same goes that I may have questions for them during or 2.4 after their presentation. And then the Appellants, you,

will again have 5 minutes for rebuttal. And again, we may

25

1 have questions, and that's the order of the hearing. 2 So does anyone have questions before we move on 3 to opening presentations? MR. BROWN: No questions. 4 JUDGE RALSTON: It looks like there are no 5 questions, so moving on. 6 7 So Mr. and Mrs. Vankayala, I'm going to swear you in now so you can proceed with your testimony. So if you 8 9 both could both raise your right hands. 10 11 S. VANKAYALA, 12 produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by 13 the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified 14 as follows: 15 16 A. VANKAYALA, produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by 17 18 the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified 19 as follows: 20 2.1 JUDGE RALSTON: Just to be clear for the record, 22 both Appellants indicated yes, and we are ready to proceed 23 with Appellants' opening presentation. You have 2.4 approximately 10 minutes. So please begin when you're

25

ready.

MR. VANKAYALA: What are we supposed to do, ma'am? We submitted the documents due. You want me to go through the documents or what's that?

JUDGE RALSTON: Well, you have 10 minutes for presentation. So if there's -- so, yes, we have the documents, and we have reviewed them and will review them as part of the decision. But if you wanted to make an oral presentation at this time, you have 10 minutes.

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. VANKAYALA: So basically, ma'am, we were informed like the past three years' time for all these things and -- by the accountant. And next we filed to him when it went like, you know, he said like, you know, and Covid was down. And then finally my wife's father -- that's my father-in-law -- died. We were India, and all these things happened.

We're sorry, but it's -- I mean, like, you know, we tried our best to file and, you know, some communication went wrong or something. They haven't received and some e-filing did not work. And finally, you know, like we got -- like, you know, demand penalty and collection fee and interest. Please waiver. Sorry about it.

You want to say?

MRS. VANKAYALA: [INAUDIBLE]

2.4

JUDGE RALSTON: Oh, yeah. We can't hear you. If you two could switch places, please. Thank you.

MRS. VANKAYALA: The thing that's pretty much we wanted to just, you know, apologize that we couldn't do on time. But this is our, like, under, like, very bad circumstances. We couldn't do it.

MR. VANKAYALA: Accountant says --

MRS. VANKAYALA: Yeah. So accountant also had like some trouble with the accountant we had. He said he filed it, but it did not -- you guys did not receive on time. And -- yeah. Sorry.

JUDGE RALSTON: I have a question. So your accountant said that he filed the return or the response to the demand from FTB?

MR. VANKAYALA: Oh, first he said he filed, ma'am. And then he said it would not accept it because it was not the number. And then he said like, you know, we have to do the paper filing. And then finally when all these things happening we were in India. It was very tough for us to communicate, and we even explain all to Elizabeth Pecherny from FTB all these things.

And then when we come here to do the paper filing, we do the signature portion. And for that, you know, I came first, and then my wife was still in India.

1	So we have to wait for them to come here. And then I got
2	rejected because we did not submit the documents. Again,
3	we have to go through the item renewal. All these things
4	kind of made a little bit delayed in the process, ma'am.
5	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. Does this
6	conclude your opening presentation?
7	MR. VANKAYALA: Yes, ma'am.
8	MRS. VANKAYALA: Yes, ma'am.
9	JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.
10	Mr. Brown, did you have any questions for either
11	Appellant?
12	MR. BROWN: No questions.
13	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Then we're going to move
14	on to Respondent's opening presentation.
15	You have 10 minutes. Please begin when you're
16	ready.
17	MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge Ralston.
18	
19	PRESENTATION
20	MR. BROWN: Good morning. I'm Eric Brown Tax
21	Counsel with the Franchise Tax Board, and with me is Eric
22	Yadao also Tax Counsel with the Franchise Tax Board.
23	FTB's denial of Appellants' claim for refund
24	should be sustained because Appellants have not shown
25	reasonable cause to abate the demand penalty. Appellants'

2017 tax return was due April 15, 2018. It wasn't until a year and a half after that that the FTB sent its demand for tax return. After no response to the demand from Appellants, FTB sent its NPA in late February 2020, which included a demand penalty. The demand penalty for the 2017 tax year was based on Appellants' history of their failure to file a tax return for the 2016 tax year, which also resulted in FTB issuing a Notice of Proposed Assessment in which the FTB estimated Appellants' income and tax liability. Copies of the 2016 request for tax return and NPA are attached to exhibits to Respondent's brief.

2.4

On May 6th, 2021, over three years after their tax return and tax payment was due, Appellants filed their tax return seeking a refund of overpaid tax. On May 15, 2021, FTB issued a refund to Appellants. Appellants have failed to show reasonable cause to abate the demand penalty. They cited a number of unfortunate personal circumstances that befell them as the reason why they were unable to file their 2017 tax return in time to avoid imposition of the demand penalty.

It is important to note that all of those circumstances occurred after the February 27, 2020, issuance of FTB's proposed assessment, and so no circumstance that happened after then could have possibly

had any bearing on their ability to avoid imposition of the demand penalty. Appellants have not indicated there were any circumstances that happened prior to February 27, 2020, that would have affected their ability to file a 2017 tax return.

Accordingly, since Appellants have failed to show reasonable cause, the demand penalty should not be abated, and FTB's action denying their claim for refund should be sustained.

I would be happy to respond to any questions the Panel or Judge Ralston may have.

JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.

So Mr. and Mrs. Vankayala, did you have a response to FTB's presentation?

2.1

2.4

CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. VANKAYALA: No, ma'am, other than that point repeating my accountant didn't send in time. I don't have anything else to say, ma'am. And we were communicating for every letter we get. We called and informed. And Covid came, and we all suffered with Covid. So I mean, anyway those are the -- yeah, that's it, ma'am.

JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.

Just checking over my notes. Looks like we're ready to conclude this hearing. So I want to thank

everyone for attending. Today's hearing in the Appeal of Vankayala is now adjourned, and the record is closed. So we will send you a written opinion with our decision within 100 days. Thank you everyone again for attending, and the next hearing will resume at 1:00 p.m. (Proceedings adjourned at 9:46 a.m.)

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 1st day 15 of May, 2023. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25