## BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the App	eal of: )
D. HAMILTON and J. HAMIL	ron, ) ota no. 220811014
Appellan	) t. )

**CERTIFIED COPY** 

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Cerritos, California

Tuesday, June 6, 2023

Reported by:

MARCENA M. MUNGUIA, CSR No. 10420

Job No.: 42313 OTA(B)

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	
6	In the Matter of the Appeal of: )
7	D. HAMILTON and J. HAMILTON, ) OTA No. 220811014
8	Appellant. )
9	)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at
17	12900 Park Plaza Drive, Suite 300, Cerritos,
18	California, commencing at 1:00 p.m. and
19	concluding at 1:28 p.m., on Tuesday,
20	June 6, 2023, reported by MARCENA M. MUNGUIA,
21	CSR No. 10420, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
22	in and for the State of California.
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ EDDY LAM
4		
5	Panel Members:	ALJ ANDREW WONG ALJ TERESA STANLEY
6		
7	For the Appellant:	AMY SANCHEZ
8		
9	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10		FRANCHISE TAX BOARD:
11		TOPHER TUTTLE
12		JACLYN ZUMAETA
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	EXHIBITS
7	
8	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-3 were received at page 7)
9	(Respondent's Exhibits A-J were received at page 7)
10	
11	
12	PRESENTATION
13	PAGE
14	By Ms. Sanchez 8
15	By Mr. Tuttle 13
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Cerritos, California, Tuesday, June 6, 2023
2	1:00 p.m.
3	
4	
5	JUDGE LAM: We're opening the record in the appeal of
6	D. Hamilton and J. Hamilton. This matter is being held
7	before the Office of Tax Appeals. The case number is
8	220811014.
9	Today's date is Tuesday, June 6, 2023. The time
10	is approximately 1:00 p.m.
11	Today's hearing is being heard by a panel of
12	three administrative law judges. My name is Eddy Lam and
13	I will be the lead judge. With me are Judge Teresa
14	Stanley and Judge Andrew Wong.
15	All the three members of the judges will meet
16	after this hearing and produce a written opinion as equal
17	participants. Although the lead judge will conduct the
18	hearing, any judge on this panel may ask questions or
19	otherwise participate to ensure that we all have all the
20	information needed to decide this appeal.
21	Now, for party introductions, can we please have
22	Appellant start introducing yourself on the record.
23	MS. SANCHEZ: Amy Sanchez, representative.
24	JUDGE LAM: Thank you. And FTB?
25	MR. TUTTLE: Topher Tuttle, FTB.

1 Jaclyn Zumaeta, FTB. MS. ZUMAETA: 2 JUDGE LAM: Thank you. Can you please spell your 3 name again on record. 4 MS. ZUMAETA: Sure. It's Jaclyn, J-a-c-l-y-n, and 5 then Zumaeta is Z as in zebra, u-m as in Mary, a-e-t, as 6 in Tom, a. 7 JUDGE LAM: Thank you. As discussed and agreed upon by the parties at 8 the prehearing conference on May 16, 2023 and notated in 9 10 my minutes and orders, the issue in this matter are: 11 Whether Appellant demonstrated reasonable cause to abate 12 the late payment penalty and, number two, whether 13 Appellant are entitled to interest abatements. 14 Appellant has identified Exhibits 1 through 2 15 and provided four pages of what seems to be the proof of payment, which -- for which I have numbered -- renumbered 16 them all as Exhibit 3, and there are no other exhibits to 17 18 offer as evidence. Is that right, Appellant? 19 MS. SANCHEZ: That is correct. 20 Thank you. And does FTB have any JUDGE LAM: 21 objections to Exhibits 1 through 3? 22 MR. TUTTLE: No objections. 23 JUDGE LAM: Thank you. 2.4 Respondent Franchise Tax Board, FTB, on the

other hand, has identified Exhibits A through J and has

25

1 no other exhibits to offer as evidence. 2 Is that correct, FTB? 3 MR. TUTTLE: Correct. 4 JUDGE LAM: Thank you. Does Appellant have any 5 objections to them? MS. SANCHEZ: No objections. 6 7 JUDGE LAM: Thank you. No objections were raised and these exhibits are admitted into the record. 8 (Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 3 were 9 10 received in evidence by the Administrative Law 11 Judge.) (Respondent's Exhibits A through J were 12 13 received in evidence by the Administrative Law 14 Judge.) 15 As discussed and agreed upon by the JUDGE LAM: parties at the prehearing conference and noted -- and 16 also notated in my minutes and orders, there will not be 17 18 any witnesses testifying today. 19 Well, so we're going to go ahead and 20 conduct our hearing now and this oral hearing will begin. 21 Ms. Sanchez, you have -- you can begin your presentation 22 for about 15 minutes. As a reminder, Ms. Sanchez, you 23 will be offered a final statement after FTB's 2.4 presentation and closing remarks for about 10 minutes. MS. SANCHEZ: 25 Okay.

JUDGE LAM: Thank you. You can begin whenever you're ready.

MS. SANCHEZ: Okay. So the Hamiltons, just to recap, they got assessed the penalty and interest of 198,560, which they paid on January 7th, '22. We are here to appeal that penalty and request a refund, which we had did with FTB but it was denied.

Just to give you a little background, on December 8th, 2020, MNET Inc., which is an S. corp, which the owners of that were David and Julia Hamilton, they sold their stock in that corporation for 32 million. The sale of the stock was structured as an F free organization in which multiple holding companies were created.

In doing that reorganization, there were multiple CPAs and attorneys involved. Because of COVID, in 2020 and 2021, it took several months for all parties involved to coordinate and get all the filings and facts.

Also, MNET itself was licensed in multiple states. We had to file 26 state tax returns every year, including 2020.

So by the time we got all this information gathered and accurate enough to do an estimate of the taxes due, I had them pay a \$3,000,000 extension tax payment on 5/15/21. With their extension, we had that

COVID extension that was due May 15th; however, this payment did not clear the account that they paid it from. It was brought to Ms. Hamilton's attention that it was an investment account, which was through UBS Financial.

2.4

UBS Financial gave them this account number to pay it from, which she did. It had an accounting number and a routing number; however, it was a nonwithdrawal account. At the time, she wasn't aware of that.

The FTB stated in their denial that we did not exercise ordinary business care and prudence; however, since it was a new account set up when the company sold, that's where all the money went in when they sold the stock. They set up these investment accounts and she hadn't used that money for anything yet, as it was set aside for the taxes. So we had no proof to show that she had used that account for previous business transactions.

I had submitted to you guys the UBS Financial statement showing that there were plenty of funds in the account to cover the 3 million. It was just it wasn't able to come out of that account at the time.

So FTB stated the taxpayers didn't provide any evidence to establish this, for example, by using the account to make other payment transactions. But as I stated before, this account was opened just to pay the tax liability, so there is no proof for us to give to

them to show that it was a business account.

As soon as Ms. Hamilton realized it, that it didn't come out when she was contacting UBS bank multiple times throughout like -- she contacted them on May 14th to get the account. May 18th, she contacted them through e-mail and said it hadn't come out yet. By the time they got it squared away, she repaid the 3 million.

Unfortunately, it didn't post until June 3rd, so it was late with the extension.

Then once I filed the return on September 30th, it showed a balance due of 360,000, which we had self-assessed a 5 percent underpayment penalty on the tax that was due, which was 333,507.

The FTB states in their briefing on page four that even if the failed payment of 3 million had been successful, it would have still not been enough to pay the tax due, so -- however, according to the Revenue and Taxation Code of 19132 requires FTB to impose a penalty for a late payment if you do not pay the total amount due as shown on the return.

When the return was completed with a balance due of 333,507, that is what the penalty was assessed on. So the FTB's statement saying if the payment hadn't failed, we would have still been charged 5 percent doesn't make sense to me on that part. The Hamiltons --

JUDGE STANLEY: Ms. Sanchez, can you just slow down?

I know you're reading, so can you just slow down a little

bit so that --

MS. SANCHEZ: Sorry.

JUDGE STANLEY: -- she doesn't have to get all those numbers you're rattling off so quickly?

MS. SANCHEZ: Sorry. Do you need me to repeat anything? No?

THE REPORTER: No.

MS. SANCHEZ: Okay. I'm just a little nervous. Sorry.

So the Hamiltons, looking at reasonable cause, they also have a good standing with the FTB. They have never filed returns late. They have never paid their taxes late. I know that FTB said that we can't use that for reasonable -- for penalty abatement, but I think that should be considered, considering that they've paid a lot of tax in the past and this has never been an issue. It was just an unusual circumstance that because they sold their S. -- excuse me -- their S. corp, it just was an unusual year. We had a lot of things to figure out before we could accurately file the returns.

So please consider all the facts here in our appeal for penalty abatement, that the Hamiltons did exercise good business practice and their intent to pay

the 3 million extension payment.

And also, please take a look at the FTB response on page four in depth that even if the payment had been good, there would still be a penalty of 5 percent, which isn't correct according to their Tax Code 19132.

So in summary, we are requesting the penalty refund of 183,342 for the 5 percent penalty assessed on the full tax liability of the 3,333,507.

We agree with the penalty of 5 percent for four months on the balance of 333,507.

The interest assessed, you know, again, that would be adjusted if we can get credit for the 3 million paid timely. So I don't know how that would be calculated, but I think that's all that I have. It's pretty straightforward.

The 3 million was paid late, but they do have reasonable cause that -- you know, you have proof of payment that they did attempt to pay it online on, you know, May 17th and unfortunately, it was, you know, just an error on Julia's part for, you know -- and the bank's part for not getting -- they didn't know that it was a financial institute that didn't have a -- their normal checking account is from Farmers and Merchants. So this account that was set up for the tax liability, she wasn't aware that it wasn't a withdrawal account. She wasn't

familiar with that, so it was just an unusual circumstance and she did have -- you know, she did attempt to make the 3 million.

Like I said, we had a lot of facts to consider when doing that and when we did a return, the 3 million was a good estimate at that time to pay with the extension, but it -- unfortunately, the circumstances, it didn't come out of the bank.

So I think that's all that I have.

JUDGE LAM: Okay. Well, thank you, Appellant.

I'm going to move over to Respondent to see if they're ready.

MR. TUTTLE: Yes.

JUDGE LAM: Okay. Respondent, you can begin.

MR. TUTTLE: Thank you.

Good afternoon. My name is Topher Tuttle and I'm representing Respondent Franchise Tax Board today. With me is Jaclyn Zumaeta, also with the Franchise Tax Board.

At issue is whether Appellant has demonstrated reasonable cause to abate the late payment penalty and whether interest may be abated.

The facts in this case are straightforward.

Appellant's total tax due for the tax year 2020 was in excess of 3.3 million dollars and the due date for

payment of tax was May 17th, 2021.

2.4

Although Appellants attempted to make a timely payment of 3 million dollars on the due date, this payment failed because Appellants attempted to draw from an ineligible investment account.

Appellants sent another 3-million-dollar payment on June 3rd, 2021 and then remitted the remaining tax due on October 7th, 2021. Both of these successful payments were from valid checking accounts.

A taxpayer has the burden of proof to show that reasonable cause exists to support abatement of the late payment penalty. To establish reasonable cause, Appellants must demonstrate that the failure to timely pay occurred despite the exercise of ordinary business care and prudence. In this case, Appellants have not provided any evidence to demonstrate that it was reasonable for them to expect to make a payment from an ineligible investment account.

A taxpayer exercising ordinary business care and prudence would have selected a bank account from which payment could be made. Furthermore, FTB's web page requires taxpayers to enter the bank account number twice and select whether it is a valid checking or savings account from which payment may be drawn, and Appellants have admitted that the investment account that they

initially used was not a valid checking or savings account.

2.

2.4

In addition, Appellants have not provided any explanation as to why their final tax payment was not submitted until October 7th, 2021.

Thus, Appellants have not met their burden of proof to establish reasonable-cause abatement of the late-payment penalty because they have not established ordinary business care and prudence for either of these late payments.

Finally, there is no reasonable-cause abatement for interest and Appellants have not alleged or established any of the statutory grounds for interest abatement. As a result, the late-payment penalty and interest may not be abated and FTB's denial of Appellant's claim for refund should be sustained.

I'm happy to answer any questions the panel may have. Thank you.

JUDGE LAM: Thank you, Respondent.

I'm going to turn over to my copanelists to see if they have any questions. We'll start out with Judge Wong.

JUDGE WONG: I have no questions. Thank you.

JUDGE LAM: Thank you.

Judge Stanley?

JUDGE STANLEY: I don't have any questions at this time. Thank you.

JUDGE LAM: Thank you.

2.4

I do have a question for Appellants. Appellant, you said that -- was it Mrs. Hamilton that set up the bank account and she was basically setting up a bank account for the purpose of paying taxes; is that right?

MS. SANCHEZ: That is correct.

JUDGE LAM: Okay. And at the time when she entered in the bank account information, did she -- did she exercise due diligence in making sure that these funds were withdrawn from her account?

MS. SANCHEZ: Yeah. So I have an e-mail. I know it's not submitted to you guys, but I have an e-mail chain from UBS Financial and on May 14th, she sent them an e-mail confirming the information and they replied back from -- I have her name. It was Elizabeth Madden, who's a senior client service rep, and they confirmed the account information.

So I think what -- I don't know if it was like a wire transfer routing number and account number instead of an actual bank routing and account number, but that was so -- so she did enter it on the FTB's website based on the information she was given.

JUDGE LAM: And what about after she entered in the

1 information given? Did she check whether or not these 2 funds were, like, cleared from the bank account --3 MS. SANCHEZ: Yeah. JUDGE LAM: -- that 3 million dollars? 4 5 MS. SANCHEZ: Yeah. So on May 17th, I believe it was, she contacted UBS Financial. I have that e-mail, 6 but I didn't -- I don't have it submitted to you guys, 7 but she said that she noticed that it hadn't come out 8 9 So by the time UBS Financial did their research and yet. 10 figured out that it wasn't the right account, that's why it wasn't paid until June 3rd, but she was checking it 11 diligently to see if it was coming out and as soon as she 12 13 realized it didn't, she contacted them. 14 JUDGE LAM: Thank you. 15 If I may, I'll point out that these MR. TUTTLE: 16 facts are not in the record and we have not seen a copy 17 of any of this correspondence. 18 JUDGE LAM: That's correct. Thank you. 19 Judge Lam, to the extent that the MS. ZUMAETA: 20 Appellant has that information, we'd be happy to take a 21 look at it and consider our position in regards to the 22 case in light of those e-mails. 23 Thank you, Respondent, for that. JUDGE LAM:

Respondent -- Appellant, would you like to

submit those as evidence into the record?

24

25

1	MS. SANCHEZ: Yeah. If it's not too late, I can
2	submit this.
3	JUDGE LAM: Okay. And FTB, do you have any
4	objections to them?
5	MR. TUTTLE: No.
6	JUDGE LAM: Thank you.
7	Then let me take a five-minute recess with my
8	copanelists. Thank you.
9	(Recess)
10	JUDGE LAM: All right. We're back on the record.
11	So FTB, do you have any objections for admitting
12	this as evidence?
13	MR. TUTTLE: No. And if I may, we spoke with
14	taxpayer's representative during the recess and we
15	believe we've come to a stipulated agreement.
16	Perhaps we could postpone the remainder of the
17	hearing pending this agreement.
18	JUDGE LAM: Okay. Let me discuss this with my
19	panelists.
20	(Discussion off the record)
21	JUDGE LAM: Okay. The panelists have concluded that
22	we will continue this hearing for an unspecified date
23	until we've reached or until FTB provide us your
24	conclusion of the stipulation. Thank you so much.
25	MR. TUTTLE: Thank you.

```
1
                        Thank you for your time.
          MS. SANCHEZ:
          MS. ZUMAETA: Thank you.
 2
          JUDGE LAM: So this will conclude the hearing for
 3
     today. Thank you so much for everyone to attend.
 4
              (Proceedings adjourned at 1:28 p.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:
5	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
6	me at the time and place herein set forth; that any
7	witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to
8	testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the
9	proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand, which
10	was thereafter transcribed under my direction; that the
11	foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony
12	given.
13	Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the
14	original transcript of a deposition in a federal case,
15	before completion of the proceedings, review of the
16	transcript was not requested.
17	I further certify I am neither financially
18	interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any
19	attorney or party to this action.
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed

2223

21

my name.

Dated: June 6, 2023

24

25

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

Marcena M. Munguia, CSR Ng. 10420 Certified Shorthand Reporter

For The State Of California

Index: \$3,000,000..Board

\$	3	choto 0:44 40:04	<b>appeal</b> 2:6 5:5,20 8:6 11:24
		<b>abate</b> 6:11 13:21	<b>Appeals</b> 2:1 5:7
<b>\$3,000,000</b> 8:24	<b>3</b> 6:17,21 7:9 9:19	<b>abated</b> 13:22 15:15	APPEARANCES
	10:7,15 12:1,12, 16 13:3,5 14:3		3:1
1	17:4	<b>abatement</b> 11:16, 24 14:11 15:7,11,	Appellant 2:8 3:7
<b>1</b> 6:14,21 7:9	<b>3,333,507</b> 12:8	14	5:22 6:11,13,14,
<b>1-3</b> 4:8	3-million-dollar	abatements 6:13	18 7:4 13:10,20 16:4 17:20,24
<b>10</b> 7:24	14:6	account 9:2,4,5,	appellant's 4:8
<b>10420</b> 2:21	<b>3.3</b> 13:25	8,11,16,19,20,23,	7:9 13:24 15:16
	<b>300</b> 2:17	24 10:1,5 12:23, 24,25 14:5,18,20,	Appellants 14:2,
<b>12900</b> 2:17	<b>30th</b> 10:10	22,24,25 15:2	4,6,13,15,24 15:3,
<b>13</b> 4:15	<b>32</b> 8:11	16:6,7,10,12,19, 21,22 17:2,10	6,12 16:4
<b>14th</b> 10:4 16:15	<b>333,507</b> 10:13,22	accounting 9:6	approximately 5:10
<b>15</b> 7:22	12:10	accounts 9:13	assessed 8:4
<b>15th</b> 9:1	<b>360,000</b> 10:11	14:9	10:22 12:7,11
<b>16</b> 6:9	<b>3rd</b> 10:8 14:7	accurate 8:23	attempt 12:18
<b>17th</b> 12:19 14:1	17:11	accurately 11:22	13:3
17:5	5	actual 16:22	attempted 14:2,4
<b>183,342</b> 12:7		addition 15:3	attention 9:3
<b>18th</b> 10:5	<b>5</b> 10:12,24 12:4,7,9	adjusted 12:12	attorneys 8:16
<b>19132</b> 10:18 12:5	<b>5/15/21</b> 8:25	administrative	aware 9:8 12:25
<b>198,560</b> 8:4		5:12 7:10,13	
<b>1:00</b> 2:18 5:2,10	6	admitted 7:8	B
<b>1:28</b> 2:19	<b>6</b> 2:20 5:1,9	14:25	<b>back</b> 16:17 18:10
		admitting 18:11	background 8:8
2	7	afternoon 13:16	_
<b>2</b> 6:14		agree 12:9	<b>balance</b> 10:11,21 12:10
<b>2020</b> 8:9,17,21	<b>7</b> 4:8,9	agreed 6:8 7:15	<b>bank</b> 10:3 13:8
13:24	<b>7th</b> 8:5 14:8 15:5	agreement 18:15,	14:20,22 16:6,10, 22 17:2
<b>2021</b> 8:17 14:1,7,8 15:5	8	17 <b>ahead</b> 7:19	<b>bank's</b> 12:20
<b>2023</b> 2:20 5:1,9	<b>8</b> 4:14	ALJ 3:3,5	<b>based</b> 16:23
6:9		alleged 15:12	basically 16:6
<b>22</b> 8:5	<b>8th</b> 8:9	_	begin 7:20,21 8:1
<b>220811014</b> 2:7		amount 10:19	13:14
5:8		<b>Amy</b> 3:7 5:23	<b>bit</b> 11:3
<b>26</b> 8:20	<b>a-e-t</b> 6:5	<b>Andrew</b> 3:5 5:14	<b>Board</b> 3:10 6:24

i2

Index: briefing..filed

**David** 8:10 13:17,19 company 9:11 error 12:20 completed 10:21 December 8:9 establish 9:22 briefing 10:14 14:12 15:7 brought 9:3 concluded 18:21 decide 5:20 established 15:8, concluding 2:19 **burden** 14:10 demonstrate 13 15:6 14:13,16 conclusion 18:24 estimate 8:23 **business** 9:10,16 demonstrated conduct 5:17 13:6 10:1 11:25 14:14, 6:11 13:20 7:20 evidence 6:18 19 15:9 denial 9:9 15:15 conference 6:9 7:1,10,13 9:22 denied 8:7 14:16 17:25 18:12 7:16 C confirmed 16:18 **depth** 12:3 **excess** 13:25 calculated 12:14 confirming 16:16 diligence 16:11 **excuse** 11:20 California 2:2,18, considered diligently 17:12 exercise 9:10 22 3:9 5:1 11:25 14:14 16:11 11:17 **discuss** 18:18 care 9:10 14:15,19 exercising 14:19 contacted 10:4,5 discussed 6:8 15:9 17:6.13 Exhibit 6:17 7:15 case 5:7 13:23 contacting 10:3 exhibits 4:8,9 discussion 18:20 14:15 17:22 continue 18:22 6:14,17,21,25 7:1, dollars 13:25 14:3 **Cerritos** 2:17 5:1 8,9,12 coordinate 8:18 17:4 Certified 2:21 **exists** 14:11 draw 14:4 copanelists **chain** 16:15 15:20 18:8 **expect** 14:17 drawn 14:24 charged 10:24 explanation 15:4 **copy** 17:16 **Drive** 2:17 **check** 17:1 extension 8:24. corp 8:9 11:20 due 8:24 9:1 25 9:1 10:9 12:1 checking 12:23 corporation 8:11 10:11,13,17,19,21 13:7 14:9,23 15:1 13:24,25 14:3,7 correct 6:19 7:2,3 17:11 16:11 **extent** 17:19 12:5 16:8 17:18 circumstance correspondence 11:19 13:2 F Ε 17:17 circumstances **cover** 9:19 13:7 facts 8:18 11:23 e-mail 10:6 16:13, 13:4,23 17:16 14,16 17:6 **COVID** 8:16 9:1 **claim** 15:16 e-mails 17:22 failed 10:15,23 **CPAS** 8:16 clear 9:2 14:4 **Eddy** 3:3 5:12 created 8:14 cleared 17:2 **failure** 14:13 Elizabeth 16:17 **credit** 12:12 **client** 16:18 familiar 13:1 ensure 5:19 **CSR** 2:21 closing 7:24 **Farmers** 12:23 enter 14:22 16:23 Code 10:18 12:5 **figure** 11:21 D entered 16:9,25 commencing **figured** 17:10 entitled 6:13 2:18 date 5:9 13:25 file 8:20 11:22 companies 8:13 14:3 18:22 **equal** 5:16 filed 10:10 11:14

Index: filings..numbers

**filings** 8:18 **happy** 15:17 8:1 11:1,5 13:10, **Mary** 6:5 17:20 14 15:19,22,23, final 7:23 15:4 matter 2:6 5:6 24,25 16:1,3,9,25 heard 5:11 6:10 Finally 15:11 17:4,14,18,19,23 hearing 5:11,16, 18:3,6,10,18,21 meet 5:15 financial 9:4,5,17 18 7:20 18:17,22 12:22 16:15 17:6, judges 5:12,15 members 3:5 **held** 5:6 5:15 Julia 8:10 five-minute 18:7 holding 8:13 Merchants 12:23 Julia's 12:20 Franchise 3:10 met 15:6 June 2:20 5:1,9 6:24 13:17,18 ı 10:8 14:7 17:11 million 8:11 9:19 free 8:12 10:7,15 12:1,12, identified 6:14,25 16 13:3,5,25 14:3 L **FTB** 5:24,25 6:1, 17:4 **impose** 10:18 20,24 7:2 8:7 9:9, 21 10:14,18 minutes 6:10 including 8:21 **Lam** 3:3 5:5,12,24 11:13,15 12:2 7:17,22,24 6:2,7,20,23 7:4,7, ineligible 14:5,18 18:3,11,23 15 8:1 13:10,14 **MNET** 8:9,19 15:19,24 16:3,9, information 5:20 **FTB's** 7:23 10:23 money 9:12,14 25 17:4,14,18,19, 8:22 16:10,16,19, 14:21 15:15 16:23 23 18:3,6,10,18, 24 17:1,20 **months** 8:17 full 12:8 21 12:10 initially 15:1 **funds** 9:18 16:11 late 6:12 10:9,19 move 13:11 institute 12:22 17:2 11:14,15 12:16 13:21 14:11 15:10 **multiple** 8:13,16, **intent** 11:25 18:1 19 10:3 G interest 6:13 8:4 MUNGUIA 2:20 late-payment 12:11 13:22 15:8.14 gathered 8:23 15:12,13,15 Ν law 5:12 7:10,13 **gave** 9:5 introducing 5:22 **lead** 3:3 5:13,17 get all 8:18 11:5 introductions needed 5:20 5:21 liability 9:25 12:8, give 8:8 9:25 nervous 11:10 24 investment 9:4. good 11:13,25 nonwithdrawal 13 14:5,18,25 licensed 8:19 12:4 13:6,16 9:7 involved 8:16,18 light 17:22 **grounds** 15:13 **normal** 12:22 issue 6:10 11:18 guys 9:17 16:14 **lot** 11:17,21 13:4 notated 6:9 7:17 13:20 17:7 **noted** 7:16 M J Н noticed 17:8 **Madden** 16:17 number 5:7 6:12 J-A-C-L-Y-N 6:4 **Hamilton** 2:7 5:6 9:5.6.7 14:22 made 14:21 8:10 10:2 16:5 Jaclyn 3:12 6:1,4 16:21,22 make 9:23 10:24 13:18 Hamilton's 9:3 numbered 6:16 13:3 14:2,17 January 8:5 Hamiltons 8:3 numbers 11:6 **making** 16:11 10:25 11:12,24 judge 5:5,13,14, MARCENA 2:20 17,18,24 6:2,7,20, hand 6:25 23 7:4,7,11,14,15

Index: objections..sale

reminder 7:22 **parties** 6:8 7:16 provide 9:21 0 8:17 18:23 remitted 14:7 party 5:21 provided 6:15 renumbered 6:16 objections 6:21, 14:16 15:3 past 11:18 22 7:5,6,7 18:4,11 reorganization prudence 9:10 pay 8:24 9:6,24 8:15 occurred 14:14 14:15,20 15:9 10:16,19 11:25 **rep** 16:18 October 14:8 12:18 13:6 14:14 purpose 16:7 15:5 repaid 10:7 paying 16:7 offer 6:18 7:1 Q repeat 11:7 **payment** 6:12,16 offered 7:23 8:25 9:2,23 10:15, replied 16:16 question 16:4 19,23 12:1,3,18 Office 2:1 5:7 reported 2:20 13:21 14:1,3,4,6, questions 5:18 **online** 12:18 12,17,21,24 15:4 Reporter 2:21 15:17,21,23 16:1 11:9 opened 9:24 payments 14:8 quickly 11:6 15:10 representative opening 5:5 5:23 18:14 penalty 6:12 8:4,6 R opinion 5:16 10:12,18,22 representing oral 7:20 11:16,24 12:4,6,7, 13:17 raised 7:7 9 13:21 14:12 orders 6:10 7:17 request 8:6 rattling 11:6 15:8,14 ordinary 9:10 requesting 12:6 pending 18:17 reached 18:23 14:14,19 15:9 requires 10:18 percent 10:12,24 reading 11:2 organization 14:22 12:4,7,9 8:13 ready 8:2 13:12 research 17:9 **Plaza** 2:17 **OTA** 2:7 realized 10:2 Respondent 3:9 plenty 9:18 17:13 owners 8:10 6:24 13:11,14,17 **point** 17:15 reasonable 6:11 15:19 17:23,24 11:12,16 12:17 Ρ position 17:21 respondent's 4:9 13:21 14:11,12,17 7:12 post 10:8 p.m. 2:18,19 5:2, reasonableresponse 12:2 postpone 18:16 cause 15:7,11 result 15:14 **pages** 6:15 practice 11:25 recap 8:3 return 10:10,20, paid 8:5 9:2 11:14, prehearing 6:9 received 4:8,9 21 13:5 17 12:13,16 17:11 7:16 7:10.13 returns 8:20 presentation recess 18:7,9,14 panel 3:3,5 5:11, 11:14,22 18 15:17 4:12 7:21,24 record 5:5,22 6:3 Revenue 10:17 panelists 18:19, **pretty** 12:15 7:8 17:16,25 21 18:10,20 routing 9:7 16:21, previous 9:16 22 Park 2:17 refund 8:6 12:7 **PROCEEDINGS** 15:16 part 10:25 12:20, 2:16 S remainder 18:16 produce 5:16 remaining 14:7 participants 5:17 **sale** 8:12 **proof** 6:15 9:15,25 participate 5:19 12:17 14:10 15:7 remarks 7:24

Index: Sanchez..Zumaeta

wire 16:21 **Sanchez** 3:7 4:14 states 8:20 10:14 timely 12:13 14:2, 5:23 6:19 7:6,21, 13 withdrawal 12:25 statutory 15:13 22,25 8:3 11:1,4, times 10:4 7,10 16:8,13 17:3, stipulated 18:15 withdrawn 16:12 5 18:1 today 7:18 13:17 stipulation 18:24 witnesses 7:18 **savings** 14:23 Today's 5:9,11 stock 8:11,12 Wong 3:5 5:14 15:1 **Tom** 6:6 9:13 15:22,23 **select** 14:23 Topher 3:11 5:25 written 5:16 straightforward selected 14:20 13:16 12:15 13:23 self-assessed Υ structured 8:12 total 10:19 13:24 10:12 **submit** 17:25 18:2 transactions year 8:20 11:21 **senior** 16:18 9:16,23 submitted 9:17 13:24 sense 10:25 15:5 16:14 17:7 TRANSCRIPT 2:16 September 10:10 successful 10:16 Ζ transfer 16:21 14:8 service 16:18 **Suite** 2:17 zebra 6:5 Tuesday 2:19 5:1, set 9:11,13,14 Zumaeta 3:12 12:24 16:5 summary 12:6 turn 15:20 6:1,4,5 13:18 setting 16:6 support 14:11 17:19 Tuttle 3:11 4:15 Shorthand 2:21 sustained 15:16 5:25 6:22 7:3 **show** 9:15 10:1 13:13,15,16 17:15 Т 14:10 18:5,13,25 **showed** 10:11 tax 2:1 3:10 5:7 U showing 9:18 6:24 8:20,24 9:25 10:12,17 11:18 **shown** 10:20 **u-m** 6:5 12:5,8,24 13:17, **slow** 11:1,2 18,24 14:1,7 15:4 **UBS** 9:4,5,17 10:3 16:15 17:6,9 **sold** 8:11 9:11,12 Taxation 10:18 11:19 underpayment taxes 8:24 9:15 10:12 spell 6:2 11:15 16:7 unspecified **spoke** 18:13 taxpayer 14:10, 18:22 19 squared 10:7 unusual 11:19,21 taxpayer's 18:14 standing 11:13 13:1 taxpayers 9:21 **Stanley** 3:5 5:14 14:22 11:1,5 15:25 16:1 ٧ **Teresa** 3:5 5:13 start 5:22 15:21 **valid** 14:9,23 15:1 testifying 7:18 state 2:2,22 3:9 8:20 things 11:21 W **stated** 9:9,21,24 time 5:9 8:22 9:8, 20 10:6 13:6 16:2, statement 7:23 web 14:21 9 17:9 9:18 10:23 website 16:23