BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Appellant.)	CERTIFII	ED COPY
F & B ASSOCIATES, INC.,)	OTA No.	2106799
In the Matter of the Appeal of:)		

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Cerritos, California

Thursday, June 8, 2023

Reported by:

MARCENA M. MUNGUIA, CSR No. 10420

Job No.: 42315 OTA

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	In the Matter of the Appeal of:)
6	F & B ASSOCIATES, INC.,) OTA No. 21067999
7	Appellant.)
8)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at
16	12900 Park Plaza Drive, Suite 300, Cerritos,
17	California, commencing at 9:33 a.m. and
18	concluding at 1:57 p.m. on Thursday,
19	June 8, 2023, reported by MARCENA M. MUNGUIA,
20	CSR No. 10420, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
21	in and for the State of California.
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ NATASHA RALSTON
4		
5	Panel Members:	ALJ MICHAEL GEARY ALJ ANDREW KWEE
6		THE THEFTEN TONDE
7	For the Appellant:	KEVIN MC LAUGHLIN
8	ror ene apperrane.	REVIN MC DAUGHDIN
9	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	Tor one hosponaciie.	DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION:
11		JARRETT NOBLE
12		CHAD BACCHUS JASON PARKER
13		ONSON TARREST
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX
2	
3	EXHIBITS
4	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-39 were received at page 9)
5	(Appellant's Exhibits 40-41 were received at page 11)
6	(Respondent's Exhibits A-M were received at page 8)
7	
8	
9	
10	PRESENTATION
11	PAGE
12	By Mr. McLaughlin 15
13	By Mr. Noble 172
14	
15	
16	WITNESS TESTIMONY
17	By Mr. McLaughlin
18	Dirk Alton 27
19	Jesse Glueck 67
20	Sara Purdy 95
21	Natalie Alvarez 122
22	Jerry Dressel 135
23	
24	CLOSING STATEMENT
25	By Mr. McLaughlin 187

Cerritos, California, Thursday, June 8, 2023 9:33 a.m.

2.4

JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. We are now on the record in the appeal of F & B Associates, Inc.

This matter is being heard before the Office of Tax Appeals, Office of Tax Appeals Case Number 21067999.

Today's hearing is being heard by a panel of three administrative law judges. I am Judge Ralston and I will be the lead judge. Judge Geary and Judge Kwee are the other members of this panel. All three judges will meet after the hearing and produce a written decision as equal participants.

Although as the lead judge I will conduct the hearing, any judge on this panel may ask questions or otherwise participate to ensure that we have all the information needed to decide this appeal.

As I mentioned earlier, this hearing is being livestreamed to the public and is being recorded. The transcript and video recording are part of the public record and will be posted on the Office of Tax Appeals website.

Also present is our stenographer, Ms. Munguia, who is reporting this hearing verbatim. To ensure we

have an accurate record, we ask that everyone speaks one at a time and does not speak over each other. Also, please speak clearly and loudly. When needed, the stenographer will stop the hearing process to ask for clarification. After the hearing, the stenographer will produce the official hearing transcript which will be available on the Office of Tax Appeals website.

We're going to start with the party introductions.

2.4

Starting with the Appellant, if you could, please state your name and who you represent for the record.

MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Good morning, your Honor.

Kevin McLaughlin on behalf of the Appellant, F & B

Associates.

JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.

MR. ALTON: Hi. I'm Dirk Alton, F & B Associates.

JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. If -- everyone, make sure when you speak that your microphone is on. It should have a green light that comes on. We can hear you in this room, but we just want to make sure that you're heard over the livestream as well. And then when you're not speaking, if you could, make sure your microphone is turned off. Thank you.

And CDTFA?

1 Jared Noble, representing CDTFA. MR. NOBLE: 2. MR. BACCHUS: Chat Bacchus, also with CDTFA. And Jason Parker with CDTFA. 3 MR. PARKER: 4 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. So we held the prehearing conference in this 5 matter on May 4th and at the conference, we discussed the 6 There were three, which are: 7 Whether the issues. Appellant has established that further adjustments are 8 warranted to the audited amount of unreported taxable 9 10 sales, whether Appellant has established that further adjustment is warranted to the audited amount of 11 disallowed claimed nontaxable sales, and whether 12 13 Respondent has established fraud by clear and convincing evidence. Is that correct? 14 15 MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Yes, your Honor. Yes, it is. 16 MR. NOBLE: 17 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. 18 Also at the prehearing conference, we discussed 19 the witnesses and Appellant has listed the following five 20 Sara Purdy, Jerry Dressel, Dirk Alton, witnesses: 21 Jesse Glueck, and Natalie Alvarez. Are these still the 22 witnesses you intend to call? 23 MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Yes, your Honor. 2.4 JUDGE RALSTON: And are they all here and ready to 25 testify today?

1	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Yes, ma'am.
2	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. So Respondent, did you have
3	any objections to their witnesses?
4	MR. NOBLE: We have no objections.
5	JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.
6	So before they testify, I'll swear them all in.
7	So we'll get to that in a little bit.
8	Moving on to exhibits, so Respondent has
9	submitted Exhibits A through M, and Appellant did not
10	have any objections to Respondent's exhibits. Is that
11	still correct?
12	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Yes, your Honor.
13	JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.
14	Respondents' Exhibits A through M are admitted
15	without objection.
16	Is that correct, Mr. Noble? Those are all your
17	exhibits?
18	MR. NOBLE: Yes, ma'am. That is correct.
19	(Respondent's Exhibits A through M were
20	received in evidence by the Administrative Law
21	Judge.)
22	JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.
23	As far as Appellant's exhibits, you submitted
24	Exhibits 1 through 39 and then you submitted Exhibits 40
25	through 41. Regarding Exhibits 1 through 39, Mr. Noble,

1	did you have any objection?
2	MR. NOBLE: We have no objection to Exhibits 1
3	through 39.
4	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. So Appellant's Exhibits 1
5	through 39 will be admitted without objection.
6	With regard to Exhibits 40 and 41, did you
7	see receive those, Mr. Noble?
8	MR. NOBLE: No, we did not.
9	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay.
10	(Appellants' Exhibits 1 through 39 were
11	received in evidence by the Administrative Law
12	Judge.)
13	MR. NOBLE: I do know that they were in the hearing
14	binder that was sent out. I just didn't see them on the
15	exhibit index. I can take a look right now and let you
16	know if we have any issues.
17	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Do you want to take a break
18	for a few minutes?
19	MR. NOBLE: Just real quick. Thank you.
20	JUDGE RALSTON: Sure. We're going to go off the
21	record and take a is five minutes good or ten minutes?
22	MR. NOBLE: Yeah. That's perfect. Thank you.
23	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thanks.
24	So just a reminder to the parties, even though
25	we are off the record, your microphones may still be

1 live, so -- and we're still live streaming, so keep that in mind, please. 2 3 (Pause in the proceedings) 4 JUDGE RALSTON: We are ready to start, so we are back 5 on the record. 6 Did you have any objections to those two exhibits? 7 8 MR. NOBLE: No, we don't have any objections. Thank 9 you. 10 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. Mr. McLaughlin, so those exhibits were not 11 12 submitted 15 days before the hearing. Is there a reason 13 for that? 14 MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Yes, your Honor. These exhibits, 15 first and foremost, were provided to CDTFA during the course of the examination. As you can see, the file 16 17 sizes are also extremely large, so to be able to compile 18 them in a manner that was efficient for OTA to receive 19 took us some time technologically to do so. 20 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. So these were the exhibits 21 they were provided during the audit period? 22 MR. MC LAUGHLIN: They were provided during the audit 23 They were also taken by CDTFA in October of 2.4 2021. They've had them for years.

JUDGE RALSTON: Oh, okay. But -- okay. I'm going to

1 go ahead and allow Appellant's Exhibits 40 and 41, or 2 Mr. Noble, did you have a comment or --MR. NOBLE: 3 That's just -- that's fine. No. I iust 4 wanted to note that anything that was provided to us prior to the hearing before OTA wouldn't necessarily be 5 6 part of the record. 7 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you for that. MR. NOBLE: Yeah, no objection. 8 Thank you. JUDGE RALSTON: 9 Okay. So there's no objection, so 10 Appellant's Exhibits 40 and 41 will be admitted without 11 objection. (Appellants' Exhibits 40 and 41 were 12 13 received in evidence by the Administrative Law 14 Judge.) 15 JUDGE RALSTON: So going over the order of the hearing, Appellant will have approximately 15 minutes for 16 17 their opening statement. 18 Then we will have witness testimony from the 19 five witnesses, which is expected to take approximately 20 two and a half hours. I will swear the witnesses in 21 prior to their testimony and they will testify under 2.2 oath. 23 CDTFA will have the opportunity to ask questions

of any of the witnesses, as well as the panel members

will also have the opportunity to ask questions.

24

1	After the witness testimony, Respondent will
2	have approximately 25 minutes to present their case.
3	Again, the panel may have questions for the Respondent,
4	and then Appellant will have approximately 10 minutes for
5	their rebuttal.
6	So does anyone have any questions before we move
7	on to the opening presentations?
8	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Your Honor
9	JUDGE RALSTON: Oh, yes.
10	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: I just wanted to address the issue.
11	On June 6th, in anticipation of this hearing and the
12	evidence submitted, including witness testimony,
13	Appellant had filed a motion to ask for additional
14	briefing after the closure of evidence. I didn't know if
15	the panel was prepared to rule on that.
16	JUDGE RALSTON: Yes. Thank you for bringing that up.
17	Yeah. Yeah. We can go ahead and discuss that now.
18	So you wanted to submit additional briefing
19	after the after today's hearing?
20	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Yes, your Honor.
21	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Did you have a chance,
22	Mr. Noble, to look at Appellant's motion?
23	MR. NOBLE: We didn't receive any of this or the
24	updated exhibits that were submitted. I don't know if we

were not copied on the e-mails or if it went to someone

else, so we haven't seen it.

2.4

The idea of additional briefing prior to even having the hearing to me indicates that there are unresolved issues in this case prior to having arguments here, but I think generally CDTFA would not object to anything OTA wanted to do as far as post-hearing briefing goes.

JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. And so your motion,
Mr. McLaughlin, is just in anticipation just because
there's several witnesses and a lot of briefing?

MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Correct, your Honor. I believe that there will be a significant amount of oral testimony today that we would like to, for efficiency purposes, consolidate into a briefing to make it easier for the panel to render its opinion later on.

JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Just one second, please.

I'm going to hold off on ruling on that objection until later in this -- not objection. Sorry -- on that motion until later in this hearing, just seeing I do want to note that OTA, the panel members, will have access to the transcripts as well as the YouTube recording. So if there's anything that we missed or want to review again, we will have the opportunity to do that during the 100-day period where we're writing our decision.

1 JUDGE GEARY: Judge Ralston, could I just ask a 2 question? 3 JUDGE RALSTON: Yes, please. 4 JUDGE GEARY: The motion is to file a post-hearing 5 brief not as a substitution for offering oral argument today, but as a supplement to oral argument today? 6 7 MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Correct, your Honor. In essence, I anticipate that throughout today, you will hear oral 8 testimony that may be more efficient if consolidated into 9 10 a post-trial brief, in essence, you know, selecting provisions that support our position that CDTFA has 11 12 failed to prove fraud, and I think we presented it as a 13 convenience factor to the panel. It's not intended to 14 submit additional evidence, just to present it in an 15 efficient manner. 16 JUDGE GEARY: But the Appellant is not offering to 17 waive oral argument today and in lieu of that submit only 18 written argument. 19 MR. MC LAUGHLIN: That is correct. We are not 20 waiving oral testimony today. 21 JUDGE GEARY: Well, not testimony; argument today. 22 MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Correct. 23 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 2.4 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. I think we are ready to move 25 I'm going to go -- just go ahead and swear in the on.

witnesses now, just to make sure we cover that.

So if I could have all five witnesses please raise their right hand.

(Whereupon all prospective witnesses were collectively sworn)

JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. And just so the record is clear, all five witnesses have said that they do.

So ready to move on and, Mr. McLaughlin, you can move right into witness testimony after your opening presentation, if you would like. And please begin when you're ready.

MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Thank you, your Honors.

And if it please the panel, I'd like to begin our opening presentation by putting this case and the history of it in context in dealing with the CDTFA and its predecessor entity, the State Board of Equalization.

I believe this is important not only to frame the current hearing, but also it has a critical impact in understanding the CDTFA's flawed arguments in favor of the California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 6485 fraud penalty.

Later this morning, you will hear from the Appellant about its 1994 origin and niche business in organizing food and beverage concessions throughout California and, importantly, throughout the

United States.

2.4

But before that, what brings Appellant before you today began many years ago. Following the culmination of what can best be described as a superficial audit for periods October 2008 through September 2013, the SBE issued a Notice of Determination on May 19th, 2016 alleging an additional 7.4 million-dollar liability. Included therein was a 1.2 million-dollar penalty pursuant to Section 6485 that we take very seriously.

Appellant timely filed a Petition for Redetermination on May 25th, 2016 and was eventually issued a D and R, or Decision and Recommendation, on March 2nd, 2020, following a July 2nd, 2019 appeals conference.

I would also like to emphasize at this point that the Petition for Redetermination which brings us before you today was prepared and signed by Mr. Jerry Dressel, about whom I will speak more shortly and from whom you will hear directly from later this morning.

An option letter was issued to Appellant on April 22nd, 2021 and this timely appeal followed on May 21st, 2021.

As you will hear this morning and is laid out in both parties' exhibits, that initial 7.4 million dollar

figure has continued to go down and down and down as the CDTFA's conducted multiple reaudits and recognized the errors in its original examination.

2.4

In fact, that original 4.8 million-dollar tax deficiency has already been reduced by over 1.8 million dollars. I paused to emphasize this because a key basis of CDTFA's arguments in favor of fraud is the mere existence of what it describes as a material underreporting. Notwithstanding the precedential opinion in Marchica vs. State Board of Equalization, this foundation of the CDTFA's fraud determination has been undermined by its own concessions throughout this process and we trust that the OTA will see that the continued reduction of any underreporting, if true, cuts against this argument.

I also want to make another observation for the panel about this audit. As part of the audit, the CDTFA took a look at Appellant's federal income tax returns and compared that information to its accounting records and general ledger. And you know what they found? Less than a 1 percent difference.

Why is that important? I think it's inconsistent to think that Appellant would be so accurate with respect to its federal tax reporting while concurrently trying to defraud the State of California.

Logic would support that if Appellant really was acting nefariously, it would not contain it within a singular silo related to its sales and use tax reporting.

2.4

So what does that tell us? That any underreporting or claimed sales for resales are either attributable to an honest mistake or a misunderstanding of our State sales and use tax laws but not a fraudulent conspiracy.

Furthermore, the October 2008 through September 2013 audit was not Appellant's first. Sometimes I may refer to this period that brings us before you today as the second audit or audit number two.

On June 21st, 2012, the SBE issued a Notice of Determination for an October 2005 through September 2008 period in an amount of 1.4 million dollars, plus interest, in a similar Section 6485 penalty of over \$250,000. I may refer to this today as audit period number one or audit number one.

In support of its case for fraud and negligence in that first audit, the SBE argued that a large deficiency existed, Mr. Alton was a knowledgeable businessperson, Mr. Alton created Appellant, Mr. Alton had knowledge of the sales and use tax laws, Mr. Alton was able to differentiate between taxable and nontaxable transactions, Mr. Alton had full control of the business

operations, and Mr. Alton and his employees had knowledge of the underreporting of sales. The emphasis was and always has been singularly on Mr. Alton's conduct.

2.4

The SBE's arguments in the past, just as they are today, are loosely supported by circumstantial facts at best and misleading ones at worst. The Appellant timely petitioned for redetermination in that first audit and was eventually issued a D and R on November 30th, 2015, following an appeals conference on May 21st, 2015.

At this point, I must mention that Appellant again was represented by Mr. Jerry Dressel, a competent and licensed California CPA with no history of any disciplinary matters. And as I stated before, you will hear from Mr. Dressel later this morning regarding his involvement with Appellant and, in particular, how he handled the preparation of Appellant's sales tax returns. You will also hear the circumstances as to why Appellant was not able to seek review of that D and R dated

November 30th, 2015 in the first audit, which it continues to believe overstates the deficiency and is a flawed keystone in CDTFA's current argument and the periods before you today as to why Appellant acted allegedly fraudulently.

And importantly, during that first audit period, the SBE itself eventually conceded that its original

audit findings were in error and made numerous concessions to reduce the unreported taxable sales by millions of dollars. Also important to consider, the SBE's first D and R struck down fraud.

As I stated earlier, the SBE initially pointed to the mere size of the alleged unreported sales, which it nevertheless made numerous concessions to after its October 19th, 2011 memorandum authorizing the first fraud penalty.

As applied to us here in that second audit, the case of Marchica vs. State Board of Equalization, has held that the mere establishment of a deficiency is not enough to sustain a finding of fraud. Understatements, if they do exist in this case, arising from ignorance, bad advice, honest mistakes, negligence or even a misunderstanding of the law do not constitute fraud and the burden rests entirely with CDTFA.

The evidence before you already demonstrates that a keystone of the SBE's earlier arguments in audit number one, the existence of a large underreporting, has been undermined.

Later this morning, you will also here how each of the other facts advanced in support of SBE's contentions that Appellant intentionally underreported sales tax collected with intent to defraud the State of

California are blatantly untrue. Mr. Alton may be a knowledgeable businessperson who is the co-creator of Appellant, but his involvement is nowhere near the level that the SBA -- excuse me -- the SBE and the CDTFA assert. Today you will hear directly from Mr. Alton and other witnesses that undeniably show that he was not in full control over Appellant's books and records. He was not exclusively in control of collecting and depositing cash, reconciling event statements or paying vendors.

To the contrary, Mr. Alton doesn't even know how to access Appellant's bank records even if he wanted to.

Similar to the findings in the first audit
Decision and Recommendation, dated November 30th, 2015,
there has been no evidence presented by the CDTFA in this
case of Mr. Alton's direct involvement in recording of
sales or reporting of sales on the sales and use tax
returns.

As the judges are aware, fraud for purposes of this Section 6485 penalty must be proven by CDTFA by clear and convincing evidence. The mere existence of an understatement and circumstantial facts projecting a false sense of intent onto Mr. Alton do not meet this standard.

Following case law authority, we know that fraud is odious. It is never presumed and must be established

by proof. The presumption always is in favor of good faith, innocence, honesty and fair dealing. It has been observed that this presumption has the approximate -- approximation and strength as innocence of crime.

2.4

Ultimately, the SBE included -- excuse me. The SBE itself concluded in audit number one that Appellant did not act fraudulently and struck down the 6485 penalty. And not only in audit number one was there no fraud, the SBE found that the negligence penalty was also not applicable.

Why are these facts, the outcome of audit number one and the ongoing reduction in balance of audit number two important? Because the CDTFA has advanced the exact same arguments, a mere copy-and-paste approach, in audit number two as they have in audit number one. The evidence today will similarly undermine the CDTFA's flimsy arguments that Appellant, and by their own accounts specifically Mr. Alton, acted fraudulently or intended to evade any rules or regulations.

We are confident that the OTA will come to the same conclusion that the SBE did once itself, in that the CDTFA has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that Appellant acted fraudulently or with an intent to evade any rules or regulations.

And more specifically, your Honors, you will

hear and we respectfully ask that you consider some of these following factors today: First, the CDTFA's support in favor of fraud is a March 15th, 2016 memo. At issue in audit number two before us today are periods October 2008 through September 2013; however, Appellant's first audit was not completed until at least November 30th, 2015 when the SBE issued its Decision and Recommendation, notwithstanding the position that Appellant continues to believe those findings overstate the underreporting.

2.4

Thus, it is a logical fallacy to argue that Appellant's prior audit history unconcluded by the time the periods at issue in audit number two created some higher level intent after the fact. The CDTFA is erroneously attempting to project this after-the-fact outcome, an outcome that nevertheless struck down fraud and negligence, as a basis for fraudulent intent in the periods before us.

Second, similar to the continued reduction in the deficiency in audit number one, audit number two has seen a rapid decline in the alleged unreported sales and use tax. Although under the Marchica precedent, the mere existence of a deficiency is not enough to find fraudulent intent; that is all here that the CDTFA can grasp onto.

Third, the CDTFA has already determined that insufficient evidence exists that Mr. Alton was personally responsible for the preparation of the Appellant's sales and use tax returns and that he maintained a consistent explanation about the underreported taxable sales and disallowed claims of nontaxable items for resale.

2.4

Fourth, there is a critical distinction between being a knowledgeable businessperson and orchestrating a greater fraud on the State of California. One may be knowledgeable in their field, as Mr. Alton is, but it is inappropriate to project some greater level of acumen or in this case maybe a bit of luck as the basis for understanding the intricacies of California's State -- California's sales and use tax laws.

I believe our common experiences hold an understanding that complexities of California sales and use tax laws escape even those who do possess legal and accounting knowledge. This foundation of knowledge, one lacking in Mr. Alton, is a precondition to directing some broader fraudulent scheme to intentionally evade a tax known to be due and owing, as the CDTFA would have you believe.

You will hear today about Mr. Alton's background and why his success in the industry is not tantamount to

fraudulent intent.

The CDTFA has also attempted to analogize federal authority to support certain badges of fraud under the 9th Circuit case of Bradford versus Commissioner. Nevertheless, those badges of fraud still weigh in Appellant's favor.

Today, you will hear how Appellant's records may have been unsystematic but were far from being inadequate. You will also see no evidence that Appellant failed to file returns. You will hear plausible and consistent explanations for Appellant's behavior and you will see no evidence that Appellant concealed any assets.

And finally, the evidence before you and as presented before you here today demonstrates Appellant's ongoing cooperation with the SBE and the CDTFA throughout the audit and appeals process. This cooperation is emphasized with Appellant's willingness to sign numerous BOE 122 forms voluntarily extending the statute of limitations, although they had no requirement to do so.

Ultimately, we are confident that the CDTFA has failed to carry its required burden of clear and convincing evidence to support any fraudulent intent, and the exhibits and testimony presented to you today will vindicate Appellant or at a minimum establish any errors were at most negligent, a far cry from fraudulent.

In addition to the erroneous fraud penalty assessment, the CDTFA continues to fundamentally misunderstand Appellant's business and sources of revenue; in particular, those of items for resale and commissions from events and festivals.

2.4

We trust that the OTA will employ a commonsense approach like it developed in V.A. Auto Sales, 2019-OTA-299P, in reviewing the evidence and hearing the testimony today to conclude that additional transactions were not subject to tax.

Most -- there are some smaller issues as well; one of which that we will talk about later today is use tax in particular surrounding the PFC Payment Solutions equipment. As the documents being offered today detail and as testimony will corroborate, there were no transfers of tangible property related to this transaction. If they were, under the Dell versus Superior Court precedent, we believe that the OTA can conclude that the items were of mixed use, in which hardware and services may have been rented at the same time. Although CDTFA has apportioned some of those rental payments into later quarters, no separate allocation for nontaxable service aspects have thus far been made.

We are thankful for the opportunity to present

1	to you today and if it pleases the judges, I believe we
2	will call our first witness.
3	JUDGE RALSTON: Yes. Go ahead.
4	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: We'd like to call Mr. Dirk Alton.
5	Your Honor, where would you like him to continue to
6	sit next to me?
7	JUDGE RALSTON: Yes. That's fine.
8	
9	DIRK ALTON,
10	called as a Witness, and having been previously duly
11	sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and
12	testified as follows:
13	
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. MC LAUGHLIN:
16	Q Good morning, Mr. Alton.
17	A Hello.
18	THE WITNESS: Can you hear me?
19	JUDGE RALSTON: Yes. Thank you.
20	BY MR. MC LAUGHLIN:
21	Q Mr. Alton, you are currently the owner of
22	Appellant in this case, F & B Associates; correct?
23	A Correct.
24	Q And F & B Associates does business under the
25	name Best Beverage Catering and BBC?

1	A Correct.
2	Q Has it ever operated under a different name?
3	A Originally the name of the corporation was Event
4	Beverage, Inc., but it's the same taxpayer number, but
5	the name was changed because there was ex-employees who
6	confused the marketplace with a company name called Event
7	Beverage Solutions. So part of that settlement, we
8	changed our name but kept the same tax I.D. number.
9	Q And if it pleases the judges and you as well,
10	Mr. Alton, if I refer to Appellant as BBC or F & B, you
11	understand that I'm referring to the Appellant in this
12	case; is that correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q So let's begin by asking you, Mr. Alton, some
15	questions about your personal background. Can you
16	describe to the panel and myself your educational
17	background?
18	A I got a B.A. in speech and communication at
19	San Francisco State University I think in 1985 and that
20	was it.
21	Q When you obtained that B.A. in speech and
22	communication in 1985, did you ever receive any legal,
23	tax or accounting classes?
24	A No, none at all.
25	Q Have you ever received any legal, tax or

accounting training?

A No.

Q Can you describe what your work history was like after your 1985 degree in speech and communications?

A I worked for a company called Bartenders Unlimited.

Q What did you do for Bartenders Unlimited?

A I did sales and marketing. Basically, that's my -- my niche. I like to do sales and marketing.

Q And after that, what was your employment?

A I built that business up. I got out of that. I was kind of doing what we're -- what we do now, and then I went and worked for a Showplace Design Center and a design center in San Francisco where they had event facilities and I was a salesperson there also, where I sold spaces where people would have special events.

Q Did you have any other work history after that but before you started BBC?

A No. During that time, people in the industry wanted me to get back into doing the beverage service I was doing. It was a bartending service that I did for Bartenders Unlimited; not concessions but bartending services, and I went ahead and started that on the side. It was a joint venture with another -- with a group of people and that's when I got a job and knew I could pay

1 the rent and so I quit my straight job and started 2 working for BBC or started developing BBC. 3 And approximately when was that that you started 0 4 developing BBC? 5 Α 1990. BBC is currently a California corporation; is 6 7 that correct? 8 Α Correct. I'll ask you some more questions later on, but 9 10 part of the CDTFA's case alleging there was something 11 nefarious was the mere establishment of a corporate 12 entity for purposes of operating your business. So why 13 did you choose a corporation? I mean, I hired an outside accounting firm and 14 Α 15 that was their recommendation. Did anybody else help you establish BBC as a 16 17 corporation? 18 Α Originally I had a group of employees that had done this joint venture with me and we had to own a 19 20 liquor license to get started, so we raised some funds, 21 and so I had about six partners I believe back then. 22 I'm going to ask you some names and maybe 23 they're in those partners. 2.4 Are you familiar with an individual named

Kevin Baker?

1	A He was a board member but was like a general
2	manager of operations.
3	Q And when you say "general manager of
4	operations," that would be a general manager of BBC's
5	operations?
6	A Correct.
7	Q Can you describe what he would do as a general
8	manager of BBC's operations?
9	A That was more of just dealing with producing the
10	jobs we had, you know. He was he did a lot of
11	purchasing and things of that nature.
12	Q What do you mean by "purchasing"?
13	A At that point, we were purchasing food and
14	beverage and rental equipment and things of that nature.
15	Q We'll talk about fraud in greater detail later
16	on, but you are aware that the CDTFA is alleging that you
17	intentionally attempted to defraud the State of
18	California; correct?
19	A I'm aware of that, yes.
20	Q Do you recall any mention of Mr. Baker's
21	involvement with BBC in the CDTFA's arguments?
22	A No.
23	Q Are you familiar with an individual named Mike
24	Clarity?
25	A He was one of the original shareholders when the

1	corporation was formed.
2	Q And by "corporation," are you referring to BBC?
3	A BBC, F & B.
4	Q What did he do, if anything, other than being a
5	shareholder?
6	A He worked for the company also in operations.
7	Q What did he do in operations working for BBC?
8	A He'd run ran events.
9	Q What does it mean to run an event?
10	A You're managing. You're managing a group of
11	people that are at that point, we were doing beverage
12	service for corporate parties, weddings, and he would be
13	the contact with the client and go produce the event.
14	Q Do you recall any mention of Mr. Clarity's
15	involvement with BBC in the CDTFA's argument that you
16	acted fraudulently?
17	A No.
18	Q Are you familiar with an Elizabeth Osley? I
19	believe she also goes by Elizabeth Kelly.
20	A That was Elizabeth. That was my ex-wife that
21	was part of the company also.
22	Q How was she a part of the company, by which we
23	mean Appellant, BBC?
24	A She did do bookkeeping and accounting.
25	Q Do you recall any mention of Ms. Osley's

1	involvement with BBC and CDTFA's arguments in favor of
2	fraud?
3	A No.
4	Q Are you familiar with Jerry Dressel?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Is he present today?
7	A Yes.
8	Q How does Mr. Dressel fit in with BBC?
9	A Jerry, I can't remember how I was referred to
10	him, but he was brought on as basically our accountant
11	but then kind of hired to really take our accounting to
12	another level and do basically all the tax reporting,
13	P & Ls, the tax returns at the end of the year, but
14	basically took on that responsibility for the business.
15	That's kind of an outside source. He was not an
16	employee, but we hired his company to provide the
17	services of bookkeeping, accounting, reporting, things of
18	that nature.
19	Q Do you remember the name of his company?
20	A He's had a couple of different names, but
21	Dressel Advisory Group, Professional Advisory Group.
22	Q And I'm sorry if this was if I missed it, but
23	when did you establish a relationship with Mr. Dressel?
24	A I believe around 2000, 2001.
25	Q We will hear from Mr. Dressel later this

morning, but I'm going to kind of draw your attention to a few exhibits and ask you some questions, Mr. Alton, if we could.

MR. MC LAUGHLIN: And the panel, I'm going to refer now to Exhibit 4 and specifically page two.

BY MR. MC LAUGHLIN:

- Q This is the Petition for Redetermination upon which this case is based and I want to draw, Mr. Alton, your attention to the bottom of that page. Can you identify who signed this Petition for Redetermination on behalf of BBC?
 - A Jerry Dressel.

2.4

- Q Why did Mr. Dressel prepare this and not you?
- A I -- I'm a believer of getting professionals to do what they do best and I know that I'm weak at this type of -- well, I'm weak and I didn't -- don't like to enjoy doing, so I didn't want to do it. I was dealing with client relations.
- So I basically entrusted Jerry to handle tax returns, filings, all the different things that I needed or the company needed to operate.
- Q Do you recall Mr. Dressel's involvement with BBC ever being included in CDTFA's arguments in favor of fraud?
 - A I don't recall it.

1	Q Are you familiar with an individual named Niels
2	Tobias Reinhold?
3	A He was an he's he's still with the
4	company. He's an officer of the company. He's a
5	longtime college friend of mine and he's been working
6	with me in the production side, which is operations,
7	for since the inception.
8	Q Can you elaborate on what that means, the
9	operations side and what Mr. Reinhold it sounds like
10	he was there from inception, so what does he do?
11	A Well, in the beginning, he helped run a
12	warehouse and over time he's involved in the large-scale
13	events like Coachella where right now he operates what we
14	call a truck stop, shipping and receiving.
15	Q And do you recall any mention of Mr. Reinhold in
16	his involvement with BBC and the CDTFA's allegations of
17	fraud?
18	A No.
19	Q Suzanne Kavert, are you familiar with that name?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Who is Ms. Kavert and how does she fit in with
22	BBC?
23	A She's on the board also. She's an officer. Her
24	role is basically runs the sales and marketing
25	department in the Bay Area.

1 Do you recall any mention of Ms. Kavert's involvement with BBC and the CDTFA's arguments in favor 2 of fraud? 3 4 Α No. 5 Are you familiar with a gentleman named Ryan 0 6 Mendez? 7 Α Yes. Who is Mr. Mendez and how does he fit in with 8 0 9 BBC? 10 Α Ryan was an employee and he over time helped us 11 when we sequed -- we used to be cash sales and 12 concessions and then it's all gone electronic POS 13 systems. He was very involved in the development of a 14 point-of-sale system at primarily festivals and music 15 venues, because the company at that point had segued away -- we still do private events, but we really got 16 17 involved in the music, providing food and beverage in the 18 music industry. So Ryan was integral in a group with 19 Jesse, who's here, and other individuals to develop the 20 POS and the reporting and manage that side of the 21 business. You mentioned something there, Mr. Alton, that I 22 23 want to go back to. You said that there was a transition

from cash to electronic POS systems?

Correct.

2.4

25

Α

1	Q Wh	en did that start to take place?
2	A I	would say 2014, '15.
3	Q An	d do you recall Mr. Mendez ever being
4	mentioned i	n BBC's in his involvement with BBC in the
5	CDTFA's nar	rative that you defrauded the State of
6	California?	
7	A No	·
8	Q Ar	e you familiar with an individual named Stacy
9	Egusa, I be	lieve it's pronounced?
10	A Ye	es.
11	Q Wh	o is Ms. Egusa and how does she fit in with
12	BBC?	
13	A Sh	e's an employee out of our basically
14	manages our	payroll services, does some payables, some
15	banking. W	e do primarily most of our banking and
16	payables ou	t of our Bay Area office, but we do some of it
17	out of the	San Diego office where Jerry's based and
18	Stacy's bas	ed.
19	Q Is	she still an employee of BBC today?
20	A Ye	es.
21	Q Do	you recall when she first started working for
22	BBC?	
23	A I	don't know. I think maybe 2017. That's a
24	total guess	•
25	Q Ja	mes Bauer. Are you familiar with that

			_	_	
ind	i	₹ <i>7</i> 7	Ŋ1.	ובו	っ
THA	Т	$V \perp$	αı	lα⊥	

2.4

- A Bauer.
- Q Bauer. Excuse me. James Bauer, are you familiar with Mr. Bauer?

A Yes. James was one of the -- when I first started the BBC in 1990, he was one of the partners that we did the joint venture with that was under his corporation. I was the salesperson. James ran the books, but it was under their corporation at that time. That was pre F & B.

He did continue to work for the company when we started developing out-of-state business because he moved to Miami and did some work for us when we started to develop out-of-state business.

Q You already stated earlier, Mr. Alton, that you were aware of the allegations that CDTFA is proposing, a fraud penalty in this case, but that wasn't the first time that they tried to allege that F & B tried to defraud the State of California, was it?

A No.

Q There was, in fact, a Decision and
Recommendation that was first issued for the 2005 through
2008 period and I want to ask you some questions about
it.

MR. MC LAUGHLIN: And for the panel, this is

1 Exhibit 21. 2. BY MR. MC LAUGHLIN: 3 I'm on page two of Exhibit 21, Mr. Alton, and it 0 4 indicates that appearing for Petitioner was Jerry C. 5 Dressel, CPA. Do you see that? 6 Correct. Did you participate in this appeals hearing? 7 I don't -- I don't recall. I don't think so. 8 Α 9 think I went to one meeting, but I let Jerry handle this. 10 Why do you -- why might you not have 11 participated in this appeals hearing? The fact of the matter is I was not preparing 12 13 the returns. I was not involved in that side of the 14 business. I relied on the team that I hired to do this, 15 these duties, for our company and Jerry to spearhead that part of it. 16 I want to stay with Exhibit 21 and page 19 of 17 18 Exhibit 21. I want to ask you some questions here. 19 In the earlier Decision and Recommendation, 20 Mr. Alton, the SBE determined that the errors in 21 recording the reporting sales and sales tax reimbursements may have been due to it being careless in 22 23 maintaining and compiling sales and sales tax

reimbursements for different offices and the large amount

2.4

25

of events.

1 The Department has not provided any evidence of 2 Mr. Alton's direct involvement in recording of sales and 3 reporting of sales on the sales and use tax returns; 4 therefore, the absence of compelling evidence to the contrary, the SBE at the time could not conclude that 5 your failure to maintain accurate records and properly 6 report taxable sales on the sales and use tax returns was 7 done with the intent to evade payments of its tax. 8 9 Do you agree with those statements? 10 Α Yes.

Q Those statements were in reference to the period October 5th to 2008, September 2008. Would you agree with them as they applied to the current period 2008 to 2013?

A Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q Still with that exhibit, Mr. Alton, the CDTFA, or I should say the SBE, also found that while you might be an integral part of BBC's business, the predecessor to the CDTFA had not established your intent to evade any payment of tax.

Do you agree with that statement as well?

A Yes.

Q And now if I look to the last page of Exhibit 21, this Decision and Recommendation was issued on November 30th, 2015; correct?

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	Did you ever discuss this Decision and
3	Recommend	dation with the SBE or the CDTFA?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Did you ever discuss it with anybody within BBC?
6	A	Well, I think you and Jerry.
7	Q	Okay. Do you recall having conversations with
8	Mr. Dress	sel about this first Decision and Recommendation?
9	A	I mean, yes, he gave me an overview.
10	Q	He didn't provide you specific information about
11	it?	
12	A	No.
13	Q	Were you aware that Mr. Dressel could have
14	appealed	this?
15	A	I was later.
16	Q	Why were you not aware of that at the time?
17	A	I kind of entrusted him to handle that.
18	Q	Do you have any idea why he did not appeal this?
19	A	I recall getting a notice that we didn't appeal,
20	but this	was when Jerry had a pretty life-threatening
21	spinal me	eningitis case and he was in the hospital.
22	Q	But at least for now you'd agree with me that
23	this Dec	ision and Recommendation, the conclusion of your
24	first aud	dit, occurred in 2015?
25	A	Correct.

Q I want to ask you some questions here about the principal support the CDTFA offers that you tried to defraud the State of California.

MR. MC LAUGHLIN: And that is found, your Honors, in Exhibit 23.

BY MR. MC LAUGHLIN:

2.4

Q This is the memorandum dated March 15th, 2016, and I wanted, Mr. Alton, to draw your attention to a couple of statements in there and determine whether or not you agree with their account of the truth.

In part of it, CDTFA alleges that you currently oversee offices in San Francisco, Los Angeles, and Palm Springs. Do you agree with that statement?

A Yes.

Q You kind of paused and hesitated there. Why would that be?

A Well, there's -- I basically oversee the whole company, but my main directive is to do sales, marketing. I'm a big stickler on operations and quality and that's what I am involved on a day-to-day basis, but -- and I do oversee it. I'm their boss. I'm the owner. But I do let the bookkeeping, accounting, and all those processes be managed by the individuals who are in charge.

Q On page four of that same Exhibit 23, Mr. Alton, the CDTFA said that you were a knowledgeable

businessperson. Do you agree with that account from CDTFA?

2.

2.4

A I think that I'm a good sales and marketing person. I've come up with some very good niche marketing strategies. I believe that I work extremely hard. As I just mentioned, I'm a stickler for the highest quality and the clients out there see that.

I also believe there's a little bit of luck involved. I was -- our company was diverted into providing food and beverage at music and music boomed in venues and festivals and you could argue we were at the right place at the right time with the right contacts.

I am not knowledgeable on accounting. I don't even know how to get into QuickBooks. I don't know how to do online banking. You know, I still do it, my personal checkbook, manually. So when I need to get something from my personal checkbook, I ask my wife to look it up.

So I'm just -- the iPhone helped me get kind of computer literate, but it's just the fact that it's something that never really interested me and I like to work on what I like to do. That's really important.

That's important to me and so we've really built our business into music and sporting events and supporting that and that's what I enjoy and personal relationships.

I do not like being on a computer or filling out forms.

Q So when the CDTFA says that you personally prepared schedules for event producers and were personally responsible for large event collection and deposits of receipts, do you agree with that statement?

A No.

2.4

Q Who was involved in preparing summary schedules and depositing proceeds from events?

A We have -- we have a structure at our festivals and events, but, you know, we have a team that handles the transactions, the accounting, the deposits, the payables, dealing with vendors and the revenue that they bring in. So I'm not personally involved in that at all. I helped, I would say, overview it as we came up with our processes, but this was a brand-new business. This was a brand-new niche and we became -- we had to kind of make -- kind of develop it as we go because it just wasn't -- music festivals prior to 20- -- I would say 2001, but we've developed teams to do that and they handle all that aspect of it.

Q So, Mr. Alton, when the CDTFA alleges that you have knowledge of sales and use tax laws, how do you respond to that?

A I know the basics. I know I don't -- I know that I don't have to pay sales tax on out-of-state sales,

which is something that we developed during this time, too. We branched out from California.

And there was -- originally, I knew about -- we were always developed as kind of a wholesale company, so I knew that if I had a resale number and if that event planner, let's say, collected the sales tax, we had a resale card. They would pay us. We wouldn't have to pay the sales tax.

And then what developed over time, which became a very large section of our business, was managing food vendors at festivals; and the food vendors, I was very aware that on our settlements, they would collect the funds, they would keep their sales tax, they would reimburse us for expenses, give us our share of the cut and the promoter's share and that was passed through our organization, and I believe that that was nontaxable because that specific food vendor where there could be -- I mean, we just did Coachella. I think there were 150 of them out there at least. So that's about my knowledge of it.

Q The CDTFA also says that a bookkeeper would calculate and record taxable sales and sales tax from total cash amounts received. Do you agree with that account?

A Yes.

2.4

Τ	Q The CDTFA also says that you had full control of
2	the business and books and records are maintained by an
3	internal accountant for all business operations. What is
4	your response to that?
5	A I have basically my team and Jerry have the full
6	control over it. They do give me higher-level reporting.
7	Q Mr. Alton and your Honors, I'm going to turn to
8	Exhibit 23 and specifically the third page from the end,
9	which I believe is page 36, a document entitled Report of
10	Field Audit, Revised. Do you see that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q About a third of the way down the page,
13	Mr. Alton, the SBE says, The audit findings were
14	discussed with Jerry Dressel. Do you see that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Did Mr. Dressel ever discuss these audit
17	findings with you?
18	A Yes, in overviews.
19	Q Did the CDTFA ever discuss these audit findings
20	with you?
21	A No.
22	Q And if we look in the upper right-hand corner of
23	this exhibit, it appears as though it was dated
24	September 1st, 2015. Do you see that?
25	A Yes.

1	Q And you would agree that that was a period after
2	the close of the 2013 periods that are at issue in this
3	case?
4	A Yes.
5	Q So before I turn, Mr. Alton, to kind of the more
6	nuanced aspects of your business operations, can you just
7	explain what steps you took to defraud the State of
8	California?
9	A None.
10	Q So you gave us a little bit of an understanding,
11	Mr. Alton, about how Best Beverage conducts business and
12	handles proceeds related to these festivals and I want
13	you to take a look at some exhibits as we go through and
14	fill in to give a greater understanding of its
15	operations.
16	I'm going to draw your attention to Exhibit 27
17	first and, in particular, beginning on page two. It's a
18	document that at the top, it says, Agreement for
19	Services. Do you see that?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Are you familiar with this type of document?
22	A Yes.
23	Q It is purportedly an agreement between Best
24	Beverage and Electric Forest. Do you see that?
25	A Yes.

1	Q Are you familiar with the Electric Forest event?
2	A Yes.
3	Q What was that?
4	A It's it was we provided services. It's a
5	festival in Michigan. We're not it's not one of ours
6	right now, but we did it for several years.
7	Q Did you do that in 2013?
8	A Yes.
9	Q So what is the purpose of this type of Agreement
10	for Services?
11	A That's the that's the I call it a contract
12	agreement, but that's our deal with the promoter, who is
13	our client. The clients are the music promoter, the
14	promoter.
15	Q Were you involved in the drafting of this
16	agreement?
17	A You know, I probably I think I had someone
18	else put it together, Jerry; and at the time, I'm not
19	quite sure who was doing sales, supporting me in sales.
20	But I always had a support person who helped me put
21	together agreements. Sara is that person at this point
22	now.
23	Q And you're referring to Sara, Sara Purdy, who
24	will testify later today?
25	A Yes.

Q I want to draw your attention, Mr. Alton, to page nine of Exhibit 27. This begins as an agreement list of services for this Electric Forest event and I want you to kind of explain to us what this on-site management of concessions is all about.

2.4

A Well, basically, we're the master concessionaire and it's -- I would say it's -- you know, there's a couple that we don't control all of the food and beverage, but that -- what makes it clear, that we operate the beverage side of it, we handle all the revenue on the beverage side of it, but we also manage a group of food vendors. We don't -- we did some food on occasion. It's hard to make money in food, so we go in and out of doing food. But primarily our business model is we handle the beverage side in-house and then we procure food vendors, work with the festival in curating food vendors, and then we manage and oversee them. They handle their proceeds, but we then process those to where the promoter gets their share.

Q And how does that split between the vendors, BBC, and the promoters? How is that determined?

A With the promoters. We come up with what the promoter wants to get on the food revenue. Typically it's 30 -- let's say 30 percent. We then tack on 8 to 10 that we keep, and then the vendor keeps the rest to cover

all their costs. This is all after tax, after they take their taxes out.

Q So that type of fee schedule, if I'm not mistaken, would be represented on page 11?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

A Correct. Well, that's the beverage, and then there's the different types of food revenue streams.

Q Earlier on in this provision that talked about scope of services, there was mention of a vendor management team. Can you describe what a vendor management team or team member does?

Basically, they call them the VCs, vendor Their duties are to recruit and work with coordinators. the festival on developing, you know, an extensive menu, contracting with each one of the vendors. Food vendors we're talking about, not Shotskis or that type of stuff, that nature. They then create the agreement with the food vendor. They then manage that food vendor, loading into the festival, manage them and help them with permitting, Health Department. Again, this is nationwide but primarily in California. And then they settle with the vendor at the post-festival and they do a settlement with each vendor and that's their duties. And then that's processed through the accounting team that's on-site.

O Can you describe a little bit more about what

that process of settling up with the vendor is?

A They -- it's just they go over the revenue that they brought in. It has to --

Q As you refer to "they," who are you referring to?

A The VCs, the vendor coordinators, review the sales. Again, back in this period, everything was cash at that point, so there wasn't a point-of-sales system. So we did some -- a little bit of maybe some auditing and things of that nature. But they oversee them and then they go over the revenue that was brought in, the things we provided for that vendor like ice and nonalcoholic beverages and tenting and power. We -- they just create a settlement sheet. We get reimbursed for this, this is our cut, and you get to keep this and you keep your tax revenue.

Q Were you ever -- were you ever a member of this vendor management or VC teams?

A No.

2.4

Q Do you recall some individuals who would have been on these VC or vendor management teams?

A I mean, Sara Purdy, who's here, did a lot of advance work on developing the contracts early on. There was Megan; I cannot pronounce her last name. Tana Bailey. Natalie Alvarez, who's here, assisted in the

actual settlement process.

2.4

Q Now, in the interest of efficiency, I won't go through the rest of these types of contracts in Exhibit 27, but Exhibit 27 primarily deals with out-of-state events. Did BBC use similar contracts for festivals and events inside California?

- A Yes. All the templates were the same.
- O And how were those records stored?

A I mean, some electronically, I believe, that each individual would have and then we had paper files.

- Q And where are those paper files today?
- A In October of '21, we were raided by the sales tax people and they took them all and we have not received them back.
- Q Just to put some things in context, Mr. Alton, I want to go through some of these different revenue sources and those that feature prominently in the books and records and, by extension, CDTFA's audit work papers.

Are you familiar with what BBC did or what it provided for something that's referred to as the Shorenstein theaters?

A Shorenstein theaters. They were Broadway play theaters up in San Francisco.

Q So how did they fit in or what did BBC do for the Shorenstein theaters?

1	A We did concessions, you know, Broadway play
2	theaters.
3	Q What about Cafe Fresh Deli?
4	A That was a cafe at an event facility.
5	Q What what do you mean an event facility?
6	A Well, there was a I believe Cafe Fresh was in
7	the San Francisco Gift Center and which is no longer
8	in existence, but it was a cafe that was operated on a
9	daily basis, but it had a huge atrium. When there was
10	events, we did the beverage service for those events.
11	Q And what about Cafe 101?
12	A Same situation at the Galleria Design Center
13	down the street in San Francisco.
14	Q And The Cafe at Stanford?
15	A I'm not I'm not sure which cafe that is, but
16	Stanford is a big client of ours where we provide
17	primarily alcoholic beverage services at their cafes and
18	events and sporting events.
19	Q And what is do you know what TI Bar is?
20	A That was Treasure Island Bar and Grill.
21	Q What services does BBC provide for Treasure
22	Island Bar and Grill?
23	A That was a small cafe out on Treasure Island,
24	which is in San Francisco Bay.
25	Q What about Warfield? Are you familiar with

that?

A Warfield Theater is a music venue in San Francisco.

- Q And what services did BBC provide for Warfield?
- A Primarily beverage, but like if you went to a show there, a music show, we were the ones selling beverage and, you know, basically snacks, like a snack bar.
- Q What about Children's or Children's Hospital?

 Did BBC ever have a relationship with them?
- A No. That's probably Children's Museum. I don't know why it says Children's Hospital.
- Q Well, if it was Children's Museum, what type of relationship and services would BBC provide?
- A Same thing. Just a cafe and we would go in and do cafes and then we would operate all the events on-site, the beverage side.
- Q I want to switch then to three specific ones that are recorded in the audit work papers in the San Diego nontaxable columns or San Diego nontaxable categories. What was BBC's relationship with the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club?
- A That was the San Diego Polo Club and there we provided bar service, some food service. There were some subs there, but that was a private club and that club,

1	the members, you know, had charge accounts through the
2	club. So we were kind of a wholesale provider there. We
3	were we didn't do the transactions. The club did, but
4	we were reimbursed for our portion of the of the
5	proceeds.
6	Q I'm not sure I understand this, so a patron at
7	the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club would be a member and
8	charge their membership
9	A Consider.
10	Q to get food and beverage?
11	A Correct. They had like an account.
12	Q And that money would then flow to whom?
13	A They would get the revenue
14	Q When you say "they"
15	A the Polo Club, because these people had
16	charge accounts and so then they would collect from each
17	of the private you know, their members.
18	Q And then under what under what circumstances
19	then would the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club be paying BBC?
20	A Because we provided services for them, labor,
21	equipment, you know, product.
22	Q Are you familiar with a relationship between BBC
23	and Abbey Catering?
24	A That sounds like a it just was a catering

company.

Q And what type of relationship would BBC have with that catering company?

2.4

A They were -- they were dealing with the end-user client and then we were providing bartending services for them on a wholesale basis.

Q When you say "wholesale basis," what do you mean?

A I would give them a price of -- you know, if it was \$25 a person, they would -- Abbey Catering or any catering company would then sell it to the bride or groom and for \$35 a person and then they would pay us that wholesale cost and they would profit from that, that delta.

Q Are you familiar with a relationship between BBC and something referred to as Amgen?

A Amgen was a bike tour of California. They had the Tour de California.

Q So how was BBC involved in the Tour of California?

A We provided support to them, you know, catering for their suites and things of that. I was not that involved in that event, so I can't give you a lot of details on that event. It was a tough event. I know that. They had to -- they had to go around the state of California in seven days, logistically.

1	Q You mentioned, Mr. Alton, earlier the use of a
2	process for settling up with vendors. Do you recall that
3	conversation you were just giving me some information a
4	minute ago?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Are you familiar with these concession vendor
7	checkout forms?
8	A I mean, I'm not that familiar with them, but
9	Q Are you familiar with BBC using them?
10	A Yeah. I'm aware that we use them, but I didn't
11	really look at them.
12	Q So if I'm looking at Exhibit 34, do you
13	recognize this type of document?
14	A Yes.
15	Q What is this, what is its purpose, and who would
16	be responsible for producing this type of document?
17	A This is what the vendor coordinators would
18	produce to deal with our our bookkeeping department
19	and a way that provided information to the promoter and
20	to each vendor. That's just a recap right there, I
21	think.
22	Q It's a recap of what?
23	A Of settlements.
24	Q So if we look at this in an electronic version,
25	there's a recap, but there are specific vendors listed as

1	well; correct?
2	A Correct.
3	Q And so who would be in charge of putting
4	together this vendor concessions vendor checkout form?
5	A The vendor coordination team.
6	Q And what is your involvement in this?
7	A None. I mean, over time, they've developed it.
8	It's something that like I had mentioned earlier. This
9	was a new industry. There was no standards for this. We
10	had to develop it on our own and then I had entrusted
11	teams that I brought in and let them handle the
12	processes.
13	Q Do you know what the life cycle is or what
14	happens to something like this vendor checkout form after
15	a vendor coordinator puts it together?
16	A I don't understand your question.
17	Q Where does this information go after a vendor
18	coordinator puts it together?
19	A It would then go to, you know, Jesse, who's
20	here, or whoever's posting these sales.
21	Q You mentioned this is also shared with the
22	vendors themselves?
23	A The vendors, yes. I'm sure they each have a
24	copy of it.
25	Q Why would they have a copy of this?

1	A Because it's their settlement sheet.
2	Q And you said you'd also share it with the
3	promoters?
4	A I don't think this internal document was shared
5	with the promoter. There would be a recap of the
6	promoter. This is what we did in sales. This is what
7	they kept in taxes. This is what the promoter got, their
8	share. That's what they're most interested in.
9	Q When you say they collected their taxes, who are
10	you referring to as "they"?
11	A Each individual vendor, they kept their tax
12	revenue.
13	Q This
14	JUDGE RALSTON: Mr. McLaughlin, I apologize for
15	interrupting. I know we had estimated about 30 minutes
16	for each witness. We're a little over that with
17	Mr. Alton. Did you want to continue with him and maybe
18	make it up with some of the other witnesses or
19	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: I'll attempt to do so, your Honor.
20	I think that we're getting close to the end of
21	Mr. Alton's testimony.
22	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.
23	BY MR. MC LAUGHLIN:
24	Q This particular exhibit, Mr. Alton, is with
25	respect to one event; correct?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Would you similarly or would BBC similarly use
3	these types of documents at other events?
4	A Yes. They would use the same processes.
5	Q And has that process changed much between 2008
6	and 2013?
7	A During that period, I would say it was pretty
8	consistent.
9	Q And how did BBC retain the records of those
10	actual checkout forms or similar items? What was kind of
11	the document retention policy?
12	A I mean, people would have it on their a lot
13	of people used their own computers back then because they
14	were in the field. They would be on their computers or
15	physical documents.
16	Q And where are those physical documents now?
17	A Like I said, they were in storage, but they were
18	confiscated.
19	Q Have they been returned to BBC?
20	A No. "Confiscated" is the wrong word, but they
21	were taken.
22	Q And again, just to summarize, what was your
23	involvement in the developing of these checkout forms and
24	where they ultimately ended up?
25	A I was not involved in them.

1	Q Thank you, Mr. Alton.
2	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Thank you, panel. I'll probably
3	move on to the second witness at this time.
4	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.
5	And we can have the second witness come up to
6	the table.
7	MR. ALTON: Sit where I'm sitting?
8	JUDGE RALSTON: Yes, if you guys want to switch
9	places, or you can sit on the end.
10	Oh, I apologize, Mr. Alton. We're not quite
11	finished with you yet. Thank you.
12	MR. ALTON: Oh, no.
13	JUDGE RALSTON: Mr. Noble, did you have any
14	questions?
15	MR. NOBLE: The Department doesn't have any
16	questions. Thank you.
17	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.
18	Judge Geary, did you have any questions for
19	Mr. Alton?
20	JUDGE GEARY: I think I do have a couple of
21	questions. Thank you.
22	About the cafes that you were talking about
23	earlier, am I correct that these are cafes that the
24	company operated on a day-to-day basis and also the
25	company would participate and provide food and beverage

2.4

services for special events for the companies or institutions that housed those cafes? Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: All those locations -- so, for example, the Galleria and the Gift Center were very similar. Yes, we did Monday through Friday cafe for the people that were working in those buildings, but their atriums were rental properties for outside promoters but mainly corporate back then. We don't do a lot of that now. And so we had a niche where we could do food and beverage in the cafe, but then we would only do beverage service, allow other caterers in to do the larger events. So those were very -- that was kind of a niche we were doing at that time.

JUDGE GEARY: So you would handle the larger events in atriums, for example, in a fashion similar to the way you handle music festivals. You would do the beverage service and hire food people to come in and supply food?

THE WITNESS: In that situation, I would do the beverage service, but a lot of times the client was a caterer who brought a client in there, so we weren't involved in the food in those venues.

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. Those are the only -- that's the only question I have.

JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you, Judge Geary.

Judge Kwee, did you have any questions for

Mr. Alton?

JUDGE KWEE: I don't have a question specifically for Mr. Alton, but I did have a question for the Appellant maybe better directed at the representative, just so I could get a more clear understanding of your position of Appellant's position, because most of the testimony seems to center around the fraud and I'm just curious, is Appellant's -- are they disputing that there was a substantial liability or do they agree that there was an underreporting and the question is more whether Appellant was fraudulent, whether the fraudulent penalty was properly imposed?

MR. MC LAUGHLIN: It's a little bit of both, your Honor. Certainly we don't concede the fraud. There may be to some extent a concession that there was some mistakes and there was an underreporting, but we believe that even after the fourth reaudit there are still mistakes in CDTFA's examination and I think what we're discussing, particularly with Mr. Alton, is some of these events and the concessionaire vendors and the sales for resale that have not been appropriately backed out in their work papers.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And just so I understand, with respect to the extent that there is an understatement, is Appellant's position that the understatement was due to

honest mistakes? Is that -- is that essentially what you're arguing?

2.4

MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Yeah. Absolutely, your Honor. I think it's a combination of honest mistakes and a misunderstanding of sales and use.

You heard testimony earlier today from Mr. Alton, for example, regarding the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club. Whether the receipt of cash that comes from this event organization that is paid to them through members is in some way taxable to them, they concluded it wasn't and it was excluded. CDTFA has concluded that it was.

Whatever the determination of the panel, I think that what we are trying to establish in Mr. Alton's testimony or Mr. Alton's testimony corroborates is they believed it wasn't and had a legitimate basis for doing so and that any mistakes were attributable to simple misunderstandings of the law.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Thank you for that clarification.

I'll turn it back to I believe Judge Geary, because he has one more question for the witness. Thank you.

JUDGE GEARY: Is that all right with you, Judge Ralston?

JUDGE RALSTON: Oh, of course, yes.

JUDGE GEARY: Judge Ralston, thank you.

2.4

I neglected to ask you earlier, you were talking about supplying things to some of the food vendors at music venues and you mentioned ice, power. I think you mentioned tents maybe was one of the things you mentioned and I recall from looking through some of the briefing that there was a reference in -- I think it was in relation to the checkout form, that there will be -- there would be other charges made by your company to these vendors. What other types of things would you charge the vendors for other than the commissions in these music venue situations?

THE WITNESS: I mean, I think that Sara and Natalie will know a little bit more about that, but definitely the nonalcoholic beverages that they would sell. So we would get reimbursed for that; ice, their portion of a health permit. We would do a master permit. It can be hand washing sinks. You know, you have to kind of -- there's a shared cost for the back-of-house structure, infrastructure that's built, so we pass that on. Menus, menu development, banners. You know, it all just depends, but there's some real basic things that happen every time. Pumping of the gray water. Sara and Natalie will know more detail on that.

JUDGE GEARY: You specifically mentioned nonalcoholic

1 beverages. So would the company, your company, provide 2 nonalcoholic beverages to some -- at least some of the food retailers for resale? 3 4 THE WITNESS: Correct. 5 JUDGE GEARY: What about alcoholic beverages that were served at these venues? Would that be handled 6 7 exclusively through the company? THE WITNESS: Exclusively through us, yeah. 8 9 always split. We always han- -- because it's our liquor 10 license, we have to bring that revenue in and insurance reasons also. So the food vendors did have the ability 11 to sell nonalcohol but not alcohol, a little bit 12 13 different than when you go to a stadium and that's one 14 company doing it all. 15 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 16 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you, Judge Geary. Okay. Now, Mr. Alton, I think we're finished 17 18 for now. 19 So regarding the remaining witnesses, just in 20 the interest of time, I know they're all probably 21 testifying on similar items, but we want to avoid like redundant testimony, if that is the case, and I think 22 23 after this next witness, we will take a break for lunch.

Our next witness is Jesse Glueck. He has some

MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Very good, your Honor.

2.4

25

1	travel Glueck. Excuse me. He has some travel
2	restrictions, so we'd like to get him out of here.
3	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.
4	
5	JESSE GLUECK,
6	called as a Witness, and having been previously duly
7	sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and
8	testified as follows:
9	
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. MC LAUGHLIN:
12	Q I'm sorry I mispronounced your last name.
13	A I grew up with Glueck. I actually like Glueck
14	more. It's the proper German pronunciation.
15	Q So Mr. Glueck
16	A Glueck.
17	Q Glueck. I want to ask you just some general
18	questions about your personal background and education
19	and work experience before we begin, so can you describe
20	your educational background, Mr. Glueck?
21	A I went to San Francisco State University and
22	graduated with a B.S. in accounting.
23	Q When did you obtain a B.S. in accounting from
24	San Francisco State University?
25	A June of '99.

1	Q What was your work experience after you received
2	your B.A. in accounting from San Francisco State
3	University?
4	A I've been with Best Beverage the whole time
5	since.
6	Q So explain that. How did you become employed
7	with Best Beverage?
8	A So in '96, my brother started working for the
9	company and he was working in the managing one of the
LO	warehouses in the Bay Area and when I was going to
11	school, he told me there was work available that I could
L2	do at night after school. So I started working in the
13	warehouse and then I started working events for the
L4	company and then
15	Q Let me pause there. What does working events
L6	mean for the company?
L7	A Bartending, barbacking, setting up the event,
L8	managing the event. It was more weddings at that point,
L9	more corporate parties.
20	Q And continue. After that, your roles and
21	responsibilities started to become what?
22	A Well, the accounting person at the time,
23	Francisco, found out that I had that I was studying

25

accounting, so he started having me help him with some of

the bookkeeping aspects and particularly handling cash at

1	events.
2	Q Francisco, when was this time that Francisco
3	brought you under his wing?
4	A I'd say probably sometime in '97, about a year
5	into working. The summer of '97.
6	Q And how long did you kind of, for lack of a
7	better term, mentor under Francisco?
8	A I mentored under Francisco for until he left
9	the company, which was probably around 2000, and then
10	there was another lady hired to handle bookkeeping. Her
11	name was Sue Gentile and I worked with her for a while.
12	I was still mainly handling cash at events, and
13	eventually she left and I took over her responsibilities.
14	Q And when did she leave, approximately?
15	A Maybe '02, '03. That's a long time ago. I
16	can't remember exactly.
17	Q And so you took on her responsibilities after
18	that; correct?
19	A Correct.
20	Q And can you describe what those roles and
21	responsibilities were?
22	A I was handling basically the accounting in the
23	Bay Area for all of our handling the deposits,
24	handling the bank account, making the deposits, putting
25	together the records for sales tax and like tracking all

the sales, still handling the cash, because it wasn't as busy then, so I could -- I did more. I started getting some help soon after.

Q Who was helping you?

A For a while, it was Raul. I forget his last name. Raul worked with me for -- until probably about 2010 and he started handling all the cash and I was doing the QuickBooks entry and deposits and reconciling the bank account, and then he left the company and then it was Ryan Mendez and he was with me through about 2019, a little before the pandemic. And after that, it's just been kind of -- there's been a couple people, Tony, Octavio.

Q So you mentioned QuickBooks, reports, ledgers, bank accounts, statements, reconciling them. Can you describe Mr. Alton's involvement in any of that?

A I would give him overviews on what was deposited, give him -- we would go over basically budgets on what to pay a vendor, what we could afford to pay at the time.

So he would kind of have an overview and then we would have conversations and then I would handle the actual details of the payment for vendors and -- but for the bookkeeping itself, there's none. He's -- he's never been in the QuickBooks file. He doesn't have a log-in,

wouldn't know how to get in anyways.

Q We heard testimony earlier today about the use of concession vendor checkout forms. Are you familiar with those?

A I am.

2.2

2.4

Q How are you familiar with them and what purpose do they serve for BBC?

A As was stated in terms of the vendor coordinators handled them, I wasn't involved in that process. I received the payments, matched the payments that they -- that they paid us, and then I just matched it up to what the form said.

So I wasn't involved with the details of putting them together. I just matched the deposits to what was reported by the vendors and the vendor coordinators.

Q So I want to -- I want to kind of break down kind of this process of who's involved and how this data is recorded and transmitted to ultimately getting on the sales and use tax return.

So on Exhibit 34, which I have pulled up for you, are you familiar with this type of exhibit?

A Yeah.

Q This is, in particular, the checkout form for what we've identified as the FYF festival in 2013.

JUDGE RALSTON: Excuse me. Which exhibit was this?

1	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: 34, your Honor.
2	JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.
3	BY MR. MC LAUGHLIN:
4	Q At the end of this, there's a final tab that
5	says "all combined." Do you see that?
6	A Oh, yeah. Yes.
7	Q And it lists under the vertical column B all
8	vendors combined. Do you see that?
9	A Yes.
10	Q So and then going horizontally, it starts to
11	break out specific vendors; correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Like West Coast would be an independent vendor?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Hudson would be an independent vendor?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Spicy Pie would be an independent vendor?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And then if I'm looking at column B in this
20	Exhibit 34, this is the totality of commissions paid to
21	BBC? How does that process work?
22	A Well, the final line there is the amount
23	that's that we ended up depositing, and that is their
24	sales at the top minus fees, sales tax, the commissions
25	that they owe to the well, actually, the commissions

1	would be	the amount due at the end, but
2	Q	So if I'm looking at this, for example, in the
3	FYF fest:	ival, those vendors sold \$414,000 worth of
4	product	that weekend?
5	А	Correct.
6	Q	And sales tax on that was calculated at \$37,000?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Who paid that?
9	А	The vendors were responsible for that.
10	Q	You make a row there, adjusted gross amount.
11	That woul	ld be the subtotal of gross sales less the sales
12	tax that	the vendors already paid?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And there was a commission due to BBC of
15	133,000;	is that correct?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	And then there are products listed below. Can
18	vou expla	ain, is that charges, as we heard testimony
	1 1	atil, is that charges, as we heard testimony
19		about tents, sinks, power that are charged to
19 20		about tents, sinks, power that are charged to
	earlier,	about tents, sinks, power that are charged to
20	earlier,	about tents, sinks, power that are charged to ors?
20 21	earlier, the vendo	about tents, sinks, power that are charged to ors? Exactly, yes.
20 21 22	earlier, the vendo A Q	about tents, sinks, power that are charged to ors? Exactly, yes. So they're paying that back to BBC?

accounting process?

A My accounting process is to match up the form to what we received and then I would put that on my deposit, my monthly deposit journal, just because I had -- tracking all the sales, and that would be in the nonrevenue column.

Q So I'm going to draw the judges' attention then and yourself as well to Exhibit 41. Is this what you were talking about as far as a monthly deposit schedule?

A This is the sales tax report. That monthly -- I have -- there's also a monthly deposit form, which would be -- it shows every day. This breaks out that by areas, but the monthly -- the monthly form shows exactly what came in from what event. I mean, there might be two things from, say, San Francisco or multiple things from San Francisco on that deposit; but on this form, it's just all together. It's broken out per tax district to make sure the tax is paid correctly for each district.

Q So I want to kind of focus on this, this area here, because the FYF fest, as I understand it, was August, late August of 2023 [sic], and do you recall where that was held?

A Los Angeles.

Q So we have a vertical column in this in D that's Los Angeles; is that correct?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And that would represent the sales from
3	Los Angeles-based events that were deposited on a
4	particular day; is that correct?
5	A Correct.
6	Q So, for example, on August 26th, BBC deposited
7	\$697,000 from L.Arelated events?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And who prepares this document?
10	A I do.
11	Q Does Mr. Alton have any involvement in this?
12	A No.
13	Q I want to ask you about some other things
14	because if we continue over after some of these vertical
15	columns, there's other ones. One of them indicates
16	resale, vertical column T. Do you see that?
17	A Yes.
18	Q What figures are in resale and where do they
19	come from?
20	A Resale would be vendors or clients that had
21	resale cards, as was mentioned earlier, that they would
22	collect the tax and be responsible for the tax and they
23	would just pay us, as Dirk said, the wholesale amount,
24	and it also included the vendor coordinator forms, the

payouts that they paid us, because they were responsible

for the tax. So we would put that under resale.

Q So that's -- if I'm correct in understanding you, that number is based on what we saw previously in Exhibit 34 in those individual vendor concession checkout forms?

A Yes, and it could also include, say, a caterer. Just remembering one called Now We're Cooking, say they had a resale form. It could include their sales as well because they had a resale form and they were responsible for the sales tax for an event when they paid us.

- Q So when you put an entry on this resale form, have you seen a resale certificate from any of those people?
 - A Yes.

2.4

- O And how did BBC maintain those records?
- A We had physical records.
- 17 | Q And where are those physical records now?
- 18 A Wherever the CDTFA has them. They took them 19 all.
 - Q So this document, Exhibit 41, the column, that resale that you indicated represents those vendor commissions and items in which you've seen individuals or companies had resale certificates, there's also a column that says redo in column V.
 - A Re-de.

1	Q Re-de. What does that mean?
2	A That's cash redeposited.
3	So to start an event, you need banks.
4	Everything was cash then, so you'd need banks to get with
5	all the bartenders. So we would pull money out of the
6	bank account. Say there's five bartenders. They each
7	get \$400, so we'd pull out \$2,000 and then after the
8	event, we'd redeposit the \$2,000.
9	Q So if I'm understanding you, the re-de, BBC
10	would take cash to have cash on hand at events and if
11	that cash was unused because it didn't go back in change,
12	BBC would be redepositing that?
13	A Well, yeah. It was just change. It was
14	never it's a starting bank, so it was never it just
15	came out to fund the event, to make it work, to get it
16	started. So
17	Q So if we're keeping with this August 24th, 25th
18	L.A. and other events throughout California, this would
19	indicate that BBC took \$139,600 in cash out to fund those
20	festivals
21	A Yes.
22	Q and then redeposited that as change later on?
23	A Yes.
24	Q There's also a column on here, vertical column

W, that says nonrevenue. Do you see that?

1 A Yes.

2.4

Q What does nonrevenue represent?

A So that could be a couple of things. Back then, there were some tips, so that could include tips from credit cards, but it wasn't much. A lot of that was future payments for events. So in the nonrevenue column would be a client paid us for an event in August, but the event doesn't happen until, say, March of the next year; and so in March of the next year, there would be a negative amount for that. That's why there's negative in some of the columns, to offset when it was a positive, because we would book the sales in the month that the sales actually happened.

Q So if I'm interpreting you correctly, in this nonrevenue, you'd have a positive figure that would either represent a deposit for future services or potentially tips?

A Correct.

Q Let me ask you a follow-up question about tips. Were they mandatory or discretionary?

A They're always discretionary.

Q And then if I see a negative number in the nonrevenue, that is because a vendor had previously given a deposit and then that is backed out because it is included in the deposit figures earlier?

1	A Correct. So it would be in the say the event
2	happened in San Francisco. The full sale would be noted
3	in the San Francisco column even though we collected part
4	of it six months earlier. So the negative the
5	negative nonrevenue is the is the deposit from six
6	months earlier, but the full sale would be under whatever
7	tax area it was from.
8	Q That would be, for example, in the columns
9	A Any of those.
10	Q B through T, correct, or B through S;
11	correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q So this is broken up by county; correct?
14	A Correct.
15	Q And I note, and as I've gone through this
16	before, there doesn't appear to be any entries in
17	Riverside, San Diego; and if I'm not mistaken, one of
18	your big customers is the Coachella Music Festival in
19	Riverside.
20	A Correct.
21	Q Why are there no entries on this work paper for
22	Riverside?
23	A Well, that month, either there was none booked
24	through my account or or Jerry was handling it in the

25

San Diego bookkeeping.

1	Q And you're referring to Jerry Dressel; is that	
2	correct?	
3	A Correct.	
4	Q So help me understand. This information is used	
5	how and by whom?	
6	A This information is to track the sales for each	
7	taxable zone in California and it's to develop what we	
8	owe for the period. Well, that's for the month, and then	
9	we'll put together a quarterly report	
LO	Q And you see	
11	A as well.	
L2	Q You see there's quarterly reports in there as	
13	well?	
L4	A Yes.	
15	Q So these would be broken out by month in the	
L6	applicable quarter; correct?	
L7	A Correct.	
L8	Q What do you do with this information after	
L9	you've compiled it into Exhibits in Excel documents	
20	like Exhibit 41?	
21	A I send it to Jerry and he files the taxes and	
22	makes a payment.	
23	Q Do you send this to Mr. Alton?	
24	A Yes. Usually I think he sees it as well.	
25	Q Okay. Does he do anything with it, to your	

1	knowledge?
2	A No. He doesn't change it or anything. He
3	doesn't like it a lot of times.
4	Q He doesn't like it because you didn't make
5	enough money or he's telling you, "Jesse, you need to
6	change these numbers"?
7	A He didn't like it because it's a lot of money
8	that he has to spend.
9	Q Oh. You're referring to the fact that he has a
10	large sales tax obligation?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Has he ever instructed you to change any of
13	these numbers?
14	A No.
15	Q Manipulate them?
16	A No.
17	Q Underreport sales?
18	A No.
19	Q We were just talking a moment ago, and I just
20	want to do this as a bit of a demonstrative, but back on
21	Exhibit 34, one of these vendors that's featured
22	prominently you can see is this Spicy Pie. Do you see
23	that?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And this is in the total all combined. We have

1 Spicy Pie, FYF 2013; correct? 2 Α Correct. 3 And we also had to add a tab specifically for 0 4 Spicy Pie? 5 Α Yes. And I would just for your edification, Jesse, we 6 have here in Exhibit -- a different exhibit, Exhibit 6, 7 8 in page 13. Have you ever been to a festival where Spicy 9 Pie operated? 10 Α Yes. 11 These are images of Spicy Pie; correct? 0 12 Α Yes. 13 And that's what it would look like if I was a 0 14 patron going to get food or nonalcoholic beverages at 15 Spicy Pie at a festival or event; correct? 16 Yes. 17 I want to draw your attention to Exhibit 36. Is 18 this similarly a checkout sheet from a festival? 19 Α Yes. 20 And would it still operate the same in which if 21 there was an event on August 3rd and August 4th of 2013 22 at HARD L.A., how would you use this data? Similar to 23 the way that you did for FYF? Correct. It was all handled the same. 2.4 Α 25 Was that consistent between 2008 and 2013? Q

1	A Yes.
2	Q And then this data would be then carried over to
3	something like Exhibit 41; correct?
4	A Exhibit 41 was my sales tax? Yes.
5	Q Correct. Do you know what Mr. Dressel did with
6	that information that he received from, for example, your
7	Exhibit 41?
8	A He paid the sales tax. I mean, he filed the
9	tax, the Schedule C forms for each individual area. I
10	believe that was then as well, and
11	Q In the interest of efficiency, I won't go
12	through all of the examples that we have for these
13	different 2013 L.A. HARD, FCF, and others, but would you
14	agree that the way that you handled the creation of
15	Exhibit 41 was consistent throughout these audit periods
16	with respect to these music festivals?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And would you agree that if you put something in
19	the column that said "resale," it was because it either
20	came from one of these vendors or you had seen a resale
21	certificate?
22	A Correct.
23	Q I want to take a look, Jesse, if we could, at
24	Exhibit 24. As you know, fraud is a prominent issue in
25	this proceeding. I want to ask you some questions about

this. This is a document entitled Memorandum of Interview with Jerry Dressel on October 19th, 2021, so quite a while ago.

I'm going to read you some provisions and I'm going to ask if you agree with them or if you don't agree with them.

"Mr. Dressel was informed and believes that

Jesse Glueck was already working at F & B Associates when

Mr. Dressel was hired."

Do you agree with that, that you were already there and Mr. Dressel was hired after you?

A Yes, I was there before.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Q On page four of this document, Exhibit 24, towards the bottom, it says, "Mr. Dressel stated that he doubts that Mr. Alton has the CDTFA code needed to ultimately e-file the returns or any other CDTFA log-in credentials. Mr. Dressel also stated that even if Mr. Alton had access to the CDTFA account, Mr. Dressel believes Mr. Alton is techno" -- "is not technologically savvy enough to complete the filing, nor did Mr. Alton control the financial information necessary to file California sales tax returns."

Based on your experience, do you agree with that statement?

A Yes, I agree.

1	Q A little bit before that, still with Exhibit 24,
2	it says, "Quarterly, Mr. Dressel would prepare sales tax
3	returns along with Mr. Glueck from F & B Associates'
4	San Francisco office. Using QuickBooks, Mr. Glueck would
5	prepare reports by county and by city, which are likely
6	to be named SQ4 breakdown. From the varied revenue
7	sources that are accounted for in these Excel sheets, new
8	line items might need to be made. For instance, there
9	are venues that send reimbursement checks because their
10	business depends on hiring F & B Associates' employees.
11	The companies refund F & B, Inc. for providing labor. On
12	top of handling San Diego's books and records,
13	Mr. Dressel also informs Mr. Alton about sales tax
14	estimates. Mr. Alton does not handle any recording of
15	sales. Any estimates were given to Mr. Alton merely to
16	allow F & B, Inc. to manage cash flow and general
17	budgets." Would you agree with that statement?
18	A Yes, I agree.
19	Q Still with that exhibit as well, there's a

Q Still with that exhibit as well, there's a statement from Mr. Dressel that reads, "Mr. Dressel indicated that Mr. Alton's role in the use and maintenance of the San Francisco's QuickBooks is," quote, "'probably not very much,'" end quote. Do you agree with Mr. Dressel's assessment?

A Dirk has no access to QuickBooks, so yes, I

agree.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q You already mentioned an individual named Ryan Mendez and that you were familiar with him based on your employment at F & B; correct?

A Yes.

There's another document here in Exhibit 25 and I want to ask if this is accurate based on your It's a document titled Memorandum experience with BBC. of Interview with Mr. Mendez on October 25th, 2021. Mr. Mendez says that, "As," quote, "POS logistics manager, Mr. Mendez was responsible for programming and supporting various point-of-sale devices usually associated with Square mobile card reader platforms. so doing, Mr. Mendez would also generate various reports which were themselves compiled in the cloud through the Square online platform. Mr. Mendez had access to the Square account, but, " other than yourself, Jesse, "was unaware of who else might have access to the Square accounts, including log-in credentials and passwords." Do you agree with Mr. Mendez's statements, based

Do you agree with Mr. Mendez's statements, based on your experience?

A Yes. He had access. He had just come back to the company after COVID for a few months.

Q And he says that he was unaware of who else might have it. Were you aware of anyone else who had

1 access to the Square online platform information? 2 Α Yes. Who else would have access? 3 4 Α Matt Alder. He had access. Maybe Eduardo I have an online spreadsheet with passwords and 5 Moreno. the log-ins and there's a few people who have it. 6 have to check. 7 Let me ask --8 0 9 It's not many. А 10 -- does Mr. Alton have access to that? Q 11 Α No. 12 Mr. Mendez also says that he frequently used the 13 reports compiled online in fill-in template sales 14 reports. 15 Do you believe he's referring to these sales reports that ultimately end up in something like 16 17 Exhibit 41? 18 Α Yes. The sales report was an Excel-formatted document 19 Q 20 that contained various information about the respective 21 The sales report Excel document would usually be 22 shared with venue manager, any liaison, yourself, Jesse, 23 and sometimes Mr. Alton. Mr. Alton was generally 2.4 interested in a 10,000-foot view, which translated into

gross sales from each event but little else. Mr. Mendez

described Mr. Alton's interest as limited to hard numbers and gross sales.

Would you agree with that statement?

A Yes.

Q Any substantive feedback about the sales,
Mr. Mendez continues, was given exclusively by yourself,
Jesse. When further asked who at F & B Associates would
be most knowledgeable about its accounting practices,
Mr. Mendez responded that yourself, Jesse, who he
described as the controller, and Jerry Dressel, who he
described as either an internal or outside accountant,
were the best, if not only two. When asked why Mr. Alton
would not be the individual most knowledgeable about
F & B Associate, Incorporation's accounting practices,
Mr. Mendez responded that he did not feel Mr. Alton dealt
with accounting issues but rather delegated those tasks
to others like Mr. Glueck and Mr. Dressel.

Do you agree with that?

A Yes, I agree.

Q He mentions here, Mr. Mendez, the use of POS logistics. He is a POS logistics manager using POS systems. Do you know what he's referring to?

A Yeah. So when we have an event, he would be in charge of kind of figuring out what we needed for the event's POS requirements, how many units, wi-fi issues.

Do we need to bring in wi-fi? Is there wi-fi there? And he would -- handling setting up menus for the -- in the POS systems, so, yeah, that's pretty much --

Q So this was an ability for BBC to accept credit card transactions; is that correct?

A Credit card transactions was what started it and to get more control over the events as well.

Q And when did BBC first start using credit cards at its events, or I should say it differently. When did BBC first start accepting credit cards at its events?

A We accepted maybe some like 2012 or so, very few, and I remember we had a -- we had a meeting and we introduced -- Ryan, myself and Matt introduced Square to the company and thought that it would be good going forward and that really started rolling out more in 2013, but really about '14, '15, it really started taking over more.

Q So before 2012, BBC was largely cash. It was not credit card transactions; is that accurate?

A At events, it was, yes, it was exclusively cash.

Q One of the issues on the use taxes issue in this case has been the use or I should -- alleged rental of point-of-sale terminal physical items provided by PFC Payment Solutions. Are you familiar with BBC's relationship with PFC Payment Solutions?

A PFC Payment Solutions was a credit card provider in terms of they process card transactions for us. It was -- basically, each office had the ability to take credit card sales for events and that's how they process it.

Q How did they process it? Was it they give you a terminal that you physically put a card into or how did BBC use PFC Payment Solutions to run credit cards?

A I think at first it was a terminal, just like one terminal that sat on a desk, but it eventually evolved into a cloud-based website that you just went in, entered the information, and you process -- it was mainly used for catered events where the cater -- either the client directly, say a bride and groom or the catering company, would give us their credit card to make the payment.

Q And if you had to apportion the times that you used a physical unit versus putting this in online, how frequently would you do either or both of those?

A I don't really remember exactly when it switched over, but it wasn't that frequent. Credit cards weren't much at the time. I can't -- I can't say off the top of my head how often, but we could have some big charges because people would put big charges on, but it would be a one charge. It wouldn't be -- it wouldn't be an event

1 really with PFC. 2 It would not exist at festivals or something like that? 3 4 Not at all. 5 Okay. In the interest of time, Mr. Glueck, I want to draw your attention to a couple of provisions 6 here in Exhibit 26. 7 There's a few paragraphs down there that begins 8 with, "During the average day." I would like you to read 9 10 this and tell me if you agree -- let me say this to build 11 a foundation. Are you familiar with Stacy Egusa? 12 Α Yes. 13 Did you work with her at BBC? 14 Α She works in the San Diego office. We've met a 15 few times. 16 You've met a few times? 17 Yeah. Α 18 This is a document, a Memorandum of 19 Interview with Stacy Egusa on October 26th, 2021. Can 20 you just read the paragraphs beginning at "During the 21 average day" and tell me if you agree with Stacy's 2.2 statements in there. 23 "During the average day" --Α You don't need to read it out loud. 2.4 0 25 Oh, sorry. Yes, I agree. Α

1	Q And she also says at the bottom of page two, she
2	did not believe Mr. Alton had access to any QuickBooks
3	information and if he did, he would never use access or
4	even understand the information contained therein.
5	Do you agree with her statements?
6	A I agree.
7	Q So I'm going to ask you one final question and
8	then turn you over to the judges and Respondent.
9	Can you explain to us how you, Mr. Alton or
10	anybody else at BBC attempted to defraud the State of
11	California?
12	A We did not.
13	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Thank you, your Honors.
14	JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.
15	Mr. Noble, did you have any questions for
16	Mr. Glueck?
17	MR. NOBLE: No, ma'am, we did not. Thank you.
18	JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.
19	Judge Geary, did you have any questions?
20	JUDGE GEARY: No questions.
21	JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.
22	And Judge Kwee, did you have any questions?
23	JUDGE KWEE: I have no questions. Thank you.
24	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. I think we are going to break
25	in a few minutes, but I did want to check with the

1 parties. It's 11:30 right now. It looks like we have a 2. few more witnesses. 3 Mr. Glueck, did you need to go? Yeah. 4 MR. MC LAUGHLIN: He does. He needs to catch a 5 flight. Can I -- can we excuse him? 6 JUDGE RALSTON: Yes. You can go. 7 So I wanted to check with the parties. Yeah. We can either take like a 20-minute break to give 8 9 everybody time to stretch their legs and things or we can 10 take like a little bit longer for lunch. Are the parties 11 okay with just doing a shorter break and moving forward? 12 MR. MC LAUGHLIN: I would propose, your Honor, we 13 have three witnesses left. Two of them I don't think should take a lot of time. So if it would be 14 15 appropriate, maybe we could take a 10- to 15-minute break, call one of the witnesses that also has travel 16 restrictions, and then if desired reconvene for those 17 18 that do not have travel restrictions. JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. What are you estimating for 19 20 the remaining witnesses? 21 MR. MC LAUGHLIN: For Ms. Purdy, I would estimate 25 to 30 minutes. For Ms. Alvarez, 15 to 20. And for 22 23 Mr. Dressel, it will probably be 30 minutes as well. 2.4 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. So is Ms. Alvarez the witness

with the --

1	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Ms. Purdy is.
2	JUDGE RALSTON: Ms. Purdy. Okay. So that's about 30
3	minutes or so, or 25 or 30?
4	CDTFA, are you guys okay with taking like a
5	15-minute break or so?
6	MR. NOBLE: We are here at your leisure.
7	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.
8	And is that good with you, Ms. Munguia?
9	THE REPORTER: That's fine.
10	JUDGE RALSTON: So it is 11:35. We'll come back at
11	11:50. And just a reminder to the parties, if you remain
12	in this room, the livestream is still on, so make sure
13	you're you know, have your mics off. Thank you.
14	(Recess)
15	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay, everyone. We are back and
16	ready to begin.
17	And just to clarify, Mr. McLaughlin oh. We
18	are back on the record. Thank you.
19	Mr. McLaughlin, it was Ms. Purdy who had travel
20	issues?
21	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: She does, your Honor, yes.
22	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. So after her testimony and our
23	questions, if she needs to leave, she can leave then.
24	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: We appreciate that. Thank you,
25	your Honor.

1	JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.
2	Okay. So please begin when you're ready.
3	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Thank you.
4	So we will now ask some questions of Ms. Purdy,
5	who has already been sworn in.
6	
7	SARA PURDY,
8	called as a Witness, and having been previously duly
9	sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and
10	testified as follows:
11	
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. MC LAUGHLIN:
14	Q Ms. Purdy, can you begin by just giving me a
15	general understanding of your educational background.
16	A Yes. I went to Colorado State University and
17	graduated with a B.A. in journalism and communication.
18	Q When did you obtain your degree from Colorado
19	State University?
20	A 1998.
21	Q And after you obtained that degree in 1998, what
22	was your employment history like?
23	A In 1998, I worked as a reporter, a news
24	reporter. And then in after that, I moved on to
25	teaching journalism, English, and business and things

1 like that at a high school level. And then I got into 2 event coordinating in Indio, California, and that's how I 3 started working with Best Beverage Catering. 4 So can you describe or explain a little bit more 5 in detail how it was you came to be associated with BBC. I worked for an event site in Indio and 6 7 through working there and hiring various vendors, one of the vendors I hired was BBC to do the beverage 8 9 catering --10 So --0 11 А -- at a particular event. 12 So you worked for a venue. Do you recall what 0 13 venue that was? 14 Α Corona Yacht Club. 15 And you said Crony Yacht Club? Q 16 Α Corona. So Corona Yacht Club hired BBC? 17 0 18 We were looking at hiring them. One of Yes. 19 our clients actually was going to -- our catering client, 20 BBC was a preferred beverage provider for this particular 21 catering client. So a catering client was going to enter into an 22 23 agreement with your employer, the Corona Yacht Club --2.4 Α Uh-huh.

-- to cater an event --

25

1	A Yes.
2	Q and they asked to contract
3	A In turn, would
4	Q with BBC?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And what services did BBC provide?
7	A They didn't end up providing services, but it
8	would have been beverage.
9	Q And you began your relationship with BBC at that
10	point?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Do you recall when that was approximately?
13	A 2000 summer of 2007 or summer of 2008.
14	Q What was your first job and responsibilities at
15	BBC when you joined them?
16	A I came on in the desert region at in Indio
17	helping and assisting one of my colleagues in her office,
18	so
19	Q What did you do to assist her?
20	A I helped her put together proposals for people,
21	I helped her with sales in that particular office, and
22	then quickly transitioned into helping our lead vendor
23	coordinator coordinate vendors for festivals.
24	Q What proposals or can you describe what you
25	mean by that, you're putting together proposals with this

individual?

- A They were catering proposals for on-site catering at Empire Polo fields, which is where one of our regional bases is.
- Q Is BBC offering the catering or is BBC hiring caterers?
 - A BBC was doing the beverage catering.
- Q And then you said you transitioned from that into working as a vendor coordinator; is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q Can you describe what your responsibilities and job is like as a vendor coordinator?
 - A Yes. My roles were to procure the vendors. These are third-party vendors that we would hire for festivals; to contract them, collect all of their documentation, insurance, resale permits, all of those things; and then once contracted, then I also did the on-site management of these vendors --
 - Q So --
 - A -- so supporting them on-site.
 - Q So help me understand how you selected these guys, these vendors, I should say.
 - A We work with the promoters. The promoters give us a list, say, Hey, we want pizza, tacos or whatnot, and then we would hire certain vendors based on the

1 promoters' wants and needs. 2 And you said there was kind of an onboarding 3 process and you mentioned documentation that you would 4 get from these vendors? 5 Α Yes. What type of documentation would you get from 6 vendors? 7 In order to do an event, every vendor had to 8 Α 9 have the proper insurance and they had to have their 10 resale permit and they had to sign our contract, put down 11 a deposit, agree to our commissions and our -- our rates 12 and whatnot. 13 0 How did BBC store those resale certificates? Filed them. 14 Α 15 Paper files? Q 16 Α Yes --17 And where are --0 18 -- at that time. Α 19 And where are they today? Q 20 We don't have them anymore because they were Α taken in 2021. 21 But is it your testimony that vendors at 22 23 festivals at some point would have provided you a resale certificate? 2.4 25 Α Yes.

1	Q After you onboard a vendor, you were talking		
2	about supporting them. Can you describe a little bit		
3	about what that entails?		
4	A Yes. The on-site management included loading		
5	them in, placing them in the spot that was selected for		
6	them. Let me backtrack a little bit.		
7	Q Of course.		
8	A We also we talked about this earlier with		
9	their costs. We would order their tenting and their		
10	power and all their infrastructure and on-site needs,		
11	make sure that was set up for them. We would load them		
12	in and get them placed; and then once the event started,		
13	we would support any issues they may have. Power		
14	power goes out, we call the power company to help them.		
15	We also sold product to them and then they		
16	resold to the public. That was one of our		
17	responsibilities as well.		
18	So basically troubleshooting and managing and		
19	just being there for them.		
20	Q What type of products would you provide to these		
21	vendors?		
22	A Nonalcoholic products; water, soda, Gatorade.		
23	Q Ice, things like that?		
24	A Ice, yes.		
25	Q Okay. And you provided tents as well, you said?		

1	A	Vendors were responsible for paying for the
2	tents, bu	ut we did we did order them.
3	Q	So you ordered them and then either the vendor
4	paid or 1	reimbursed?
5	А	Reimbursed, and that was on their close-outs.
6	Q	Okay. So it's a good segue, Ms. Purdy, to talk
7	about close-out statements. Are you familiar with their	
8	use at BBC?	
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	If I look at Exhibit 36, is this an example of a
11	close-out or checkout form?	
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Would you agree that this is for an event HARD
14	L.A. in 2013?	
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	So we've heard testimony earlier today about how
17	these types of information or document was created. Do	
18	you do you agree with that earlier testimony?	
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Were you ever involved in the production of
21	these typ	pes of forms?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Can you describe your involvement in how they
24	were put	together?
25	А	They were put together based on the the deals

1	we had with the vendors and basically tracking exactly
2	what we collected from them, what they owed to us, their
3	commissions, their fees and all of that, and then also
4	applying any sales tax that was owed as well.
5	Q So who provides the gross sales figures?
6	A At that time, the vendors would. They would
7	tell us what their sales were because we weren't tracking
8	through POS. It was all cash.
9	Q And then the calculation of the sales tax for
10	purposes of this, was that something that BBC did?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Who paid the sales tax for all of these items
13	though?
14	A At that time, the vendors were responsible for
15	their paying their sales tax.
16	Q Was this a common practice between the 2008
17	through 2013 period?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Would you distribute these concession vendor
20	forms to anybody else?
21	A The close-outs?
22	Q Yes.
23	A No. It was just between the vendor and BBC.
24	Q And what why would the vendor want a copy of
25	a close-out form?

1	A For a number of reasons: One, to know, you
2	know, what they were getting paid and to reconcile it
3	with their accounting and to know what they were
4	responsible for as far as sales tax.
5	Q Would BBC share this with anybody else, like the
6	promoter of the event?
7	A The promoter would get a copy, more of an
8	umbrella copy showing exactly what vendor sales and
9	then their sales tax. So they would get a line-item
10	amount.
11	Q And why would a promoter be interested in this
12	information?
13	A Because a promoter the promoters received
14	some of the commission from the vendors. So if we
15	charged for example, on these close-outs, if the
16	vendor pays us 40 percent, 30 percent of that is probably
17	going to go to the promoter and 10 percent to BBC.
18	Q If we're sticking with these vendor concession
19	close-out forms, do you recognize Exhibit 31?
20	A Yes.
21	Q If and this would be a close-out statement
22	similarly for BBC in the First City Festival in 2013 in
23	Monterey; is that correct?
24	A Yes.

Q So can you kind of describe how this process

works about collecting this information and what it represents?

A Yes. This is a settlement that we provide for, you know, both our accounting internal purposes but more so for the client. So this is a client settlement. It shows the client exactly the sales at every -- gross sales at every single bar, every single food area, and then it also shows the taxes that we take out before we pay the commission. It shows an adjusted gross and then it shows the commission that we are paying to the client or promoter.

Q So, for example, on this document, Exhibit 31, the column in column I for commissions, this is the amount that BBC paid the promoter?

A Yes.

2.4

Q And that is based on, it looks like, varying percentages but anywhere between 15 and 20 percent of the adjusted gross sales; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Going down this, you have certain ones that look like Spicy Pie, who we've heard earlier about, Rocko's Chocolate Tacos, Aunt Lali's Mobile Cafe, Soul on Wheels. Are those examples of vendors, independent vendors?

A Yes.

Q Can you explain a little bit about the purpose

and process of these items that are entered down below that say meal tickets, meal tickets, drink tickets, catering invoice, on-stage water, artist bar?

A Yeah. The items below are what we call billbacks and those are chargebacks to the promoter, so those are actual things that they request on-site.

So, for example, catering invoice for 40,000.

On this, we provided meals for them and we charged them back for them and we apply it to this overall settlement.

Things like meal tickets and drink tickets, those are actual meal tickets and drink tickets the promoter hands out to their different entities on-site, whether it be sponsorship or their staff, and they, in turn, turn in these meal and drink tickets to our bars or our third-party vendors. We collect those at the end of the event and then we bill them back for a noncommissionable amount.

Q So you're reducing, in essence, the commission due to the promoter because they have asked you to provide these meals through your various vendors. Is that accurate?

A Correct.

Q And that catering invoice for \$40,936, can you explain again a little bit about what that was? And I note that there's another tab on here for catering

details, so what exactly did BBC provide to First City Festival and how was this accounted for and billed?

A We provided on-site meals for the promoter's staff. So when I say "on-site," I mean during the event. That could be, you know, two days prior to when the event starts. It could be during or it could be after and it's based on a number of people.

So it's basically an invoice within an invoice to this certain promoter. And so we tracked all of the meals we provided because BBC also does food catering, so we tracked all the meals we provided and number of people and then are billing them back on this particular settlement.

So we're applying it to the total amount we owe them. We're saying, Hey, we owe you from the commissions, you know, whatever it is we owe them on there, and then we're subtracting this out of it because that's a service we provided for them on-site.

Q And what is the dressing room hospitality?

A Dressing room hospitality is oftentimes an artist will ask us for a food platter and some beverages or whatnot and so we will track that as well and bill the promoter back for that.

Q And then similarly, if we also look at Exhibit 34, this would be an example of a vendor

1	concession form for the FYF festival; is that correct?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Tell me a little bit about cash banks. Are you	
4	familiar with their usage by BBC?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q Can you explain that process?	
7	A Cash banks were banks that were brought on-site	
8	at the start of a festival just to get the festival going	
9	at the time when we just had cash.	
10	So, for instance, if a patron came up to buy a	
11	beer and gave you know, first one on-site gave the	
12	bartender \$10, then we would need that change to if	
13	the beer was \$8, we'd need the \$2 to give.	
14	Q And then at the end of the day, that was	
15	redeposited?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q And I think you mentioned earlier that you began	
18	your career at F & B or BBC in Indio; is that correct?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Did you ever have any dealings outside Indio on	
21	behalf of BBC?	
22	A Yes. As a vendor coordinator, yes.	
23	Q Where else were you a vendor coordinator, if you	
24	recall?	
25	A We did festivals nationwide. So primarily in	

1	California, but I was in Denver for one. I was in	
2	New York	for one. So basically nationwide.
3	Q	Did you ever have any dealings with the Rancho
4	Santa Fe	Polo Club?
5	А	No.
6	Q	In your employment with F & B, did you ever
7	interact	with Mr. Alton?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	How frequently and in what capacity?
10	А	Are we talking about the time period 2008 to
11	2013?	
12	Q	Correct.
13	А	Very limited. As a vendor coordinator, I
14	reported	to someone else who worked more closely with
15	Dirk and	who provided my reports and passed those along.
16	Q	Who was your vendor coordinator that you
17	reported	to during these periods
18	А	Christine.
19	Q	in 2008 through 2013?
20	А	Sorry. Christine Anguiano.
21	Q	Do you know who she reported to?
22	А	Dirk.
23	Q	Did you deal at all with Jesse Glueck during
24	this per	iod 2008 through 2013?
25	А	Yes, because we had to give him our reports from

1	the as he said earlier, he would match up the amounts
2	that were deposited with the amounts on our close-outs.
3	So if he had any questions, he would contact me.
4	Q So just to be clear, you were responsible for
5	putting together these checkout forms at various
6	festivals in 2008 through 2013?
7	A At some of them, yes.
8	Q And you would report that information to
9	Mr. Glueck?
10	A Yes, either through Christine to Mr. Glueck or
11	directly to Mr. Glueck.
12	Q What about Mr. Dressel? Did you ever have any
13	involvement or interactions with him while you worked at
14	F & B between 2008 and 2013?
15	A Very limited.
16	Q If it was limited, what, if any, communications
17	or relationships would you have with him?
18	A It would have been a question on a vendor
19	contract or a clause or something along those lines of
20	contracting.
21	Q So that's a good question. Let's talk about the
22	contracting process.
23	A Yes.
24	Q Who was involved in that?
25	A As far as creating the contract?

1	Q	Correct.				
2	A	Mr. Dressel helped us to create those.				
3	Q	And, therefore, Mr. Dressel would be the one				
4	that was	interested in if there were clauses or issues to				
5	be change	ed?				
6	A	Yes.				
7	Q	What was Mr. Alton's involvement in that				
8	process?					
9	A	None, to my knowledge.				
10	Q	Did you ever receive any feedback about these				
11	concessionaire vendor forms, somebody telling you to					
12	change ir	nformation or edit them?				
13	A	A vendor asking us that or				
14	Q	Either, anybody, either a vendor or someone				
15	within BE	BC.				
16	A	We would get questions from vendors just, you				
17	know, war	nting explanation on things, but no.				
18	Q	The gross sales are reported from the vendors;				
19	correct?					
20	A	Yes.				
21	Q	Did anybody at BBC alter or instruct you to				
22	change th	nese documents at any point in time?				
23	A	No.				
24	Q	Somewhat in the interest of efficiency,				
25	Ms. Purdy	y, as you've heard earlier today, one of the main				

principal issues in this hearing is the allegations of fraud, and the CDTFA's repeatedly alleged that Mr. Alton acted fraudulently because he is a knowledgeable businessperson. How would you respond to that statement that Mr. Alton is a knowledgeable businessperson?

A As far as accounting and those areas of our business, he's not.

Q What other areas of the business would you say he's a little short on?

A I would say that he -- I think someone previously said he takes like a bird's eye, 10,000-foot view. So I would say anything to do with accounting, bookkeeping formulas, Excel is out of the question.

Q So when the CDTFA argues that Mr. Alton negotiated and executed event contracts, prepared these summary schedules for event producers, and was personally responsible for large event collection and deposits of receipts, do you agree with those statements and allegations?

A No.

2.4

Q Who would be responsible for executing event contracts, preparing summary schedules, and depositing cash?

A We have -- we have a system in place for that. So the vendor coordinators would initiate the contracts

and get those and have them signed, and then any	
settlement summaries like this, your salespeople or	
pasically your sales team creates those, and then th	ıe
process goes directly to Jesse and then to Jerry for	•
their respective categories. As far as depositing $\mathfrak m$	noney,
any sort of accounting, any sort of back-end account	ing
like the sales tax and whatnot, that's Jerry's	
responsibility. So it filters. It's a process.	

- Q And Mr. Alton's involvement in that process?
- A None.

2.4

- Q Would you agree with the CDTFA's statement that Mr. Alton has full control of the business operations?
 - A As far as accounting, no.
- Q Is he in charge of other aspects of the business operations?
- A He oversees the entire Best Beverage Catering as far as the operations, so the boots on the ground and things like that, but, again, it's an umbrella view.
- Q I want to take you back to this kind of boots-on-the-ground comment that you just made --
 - A Uh-huh.
- Q -- in particular about these events. You as an event coordinator, would you be, quote, unquote, "boots on the ground" during these events?
 - A Yes, in the trenches.

1	Q	Why	do	you	say	"in	the	t	renc	ches"	?
2	A	Let	me	clar	rify.	. Wł	nen	I	say	Dirk	

2.4

A Let me clarify. When I say Dirk boots on the ground, he's just there talking to all the promoters and all that. He's doing his job. He's doing the sales. Boots on the ground in the trenches, vendor coordinating is a very difficult job and you are -- you know, you're in it. You're behind those food booths. It's hot. It's -- you're cleaning out sinks. You know, you're dealing with all their problems and their complaints.

It's -- that's what I mean by "boots on the ground."

Q Have you ever witnessed a CDTFA representative at one of these events?

A I haven't, but I do know that at Coachella, they would come on-site and actually check for the sellers' permits with each and every booth.

Q And Coachella's an event that BBC similarly provides these independent vendors at?

A Yes. That's one of our biggest events.

Q After you're kind of in the trenches, boots on the ground, do you have any further involvement in the processing of these checkout forms or relationships with vendors?

A At this time, I also did the settlements, which was also considered boots on the ground. So I would do the settlements at the end of the night on some of the

1	events.
2	Q Describe the settlements. What do you mean that
3	you'd do the settlements and how does that differ from
4	these checkout forms?
5	A Same thing. So the checkout forms are applied
6	at settlement. So each vendor would come in and check
7	out or settle with us at the end of the event and we
8	would go over their close-out form, gather any meal
9	tickets they had and then come to that agreement and have
10	them sign, we'd sign, and then they would pay us.
11	Q And they would typically pay in cash? Is that
12	accurate?
13	A Yes. Yes.
14	Q And those close-out forms that you described
15	that you would go over with these vendors
16	A Uh-huh.
17	Q were those physical hard copies?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Is that how CD excuse me BBC maintained
20	them, is physical copies?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And is BBC in possession of them now?
23	A No.
24	Q Why not?
25	A They were taken along with all of our files in

1	2021.
2	Q And they have not been returned?
3	A No.
4	Q And they were taken by the CDTFA; correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Did you personally handle any of the cash on the
7	close-outs with these vendors?
8	A As far as taking it out of their hands, yeah,
9	but then it was immediately passed to our cash team that
10	was present with us.
11	Q Who would be on the cash team and what was
12	their
13	A That would be Jesse and his group.
14	Q And was there any type of it sounds like it,
15	but was there any type of accounting personnel present
16	during these events as well?
17	A Yeah. The vendor coordinators would be there
18	and then we would have a member of the cash team like
19	Natalie or Jesse there with us.
20	Q And you would consider them part of the
21	accounting team?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Ms. Purdy, did you have any involvement in some
24	of the nonfestival activities of BBC, some of the other
25	catering and other types of services?

1	A Not at that time.
2	Q Have you since?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And what is your role since this period of time,
5	by which we mean 2013, with respect to those other types
6	of services?
7	A We do a lot of private events. So we do sports
8	hospitality and events like that, so I'm involved in
9	those.
10	Q What's an example of sports hospitality?
11	A We do, for example, the Super Bowl, so we have a
12	client that hires us to do the beverage catering. So
13	we're not talking about public concessions and sales.
14	It's more of they're paying us to do them a service, to
15	provide the product and service.
16	Q And you deal with those vendors and those
17	promoters?
18	A Those clients, yeah.
19	Q I am aware and I believe it's in the briefing
20	material that BBC did also provide Super Bowl services
21	during this period of time. Are you aware of that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Super Bowl's not located in the state of
24	California during this period of time, was it?
25	A I don't I don't remember, but I don't think

1	so. Maybe. One of them. I can't don't do that.
2	Q It might be a matter of common knowledge, but
3	A Yes, probably in this room, but yeah, I don't
4	remember.
5	Q I appreciate that.
6	Ms. Purdy, I will rest and open up questions for
7	Respondent and the panel if they like in respect to your
8	time for travel.
9	JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you, Ms. Purdy.
10	Mr. Noble, did you have questions for Ms. Purdy?
11	MR. NOBLE: We have no questions. Thank you.
12	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.
13	Judge Geary, did you have questions?
14	JUDGE GEARY: Sure.
15	JUDGE RALSTON: Sure.
16	JUDGE GEARY: You said that CDTFA had staff at
17	Coachella and were checking for sellers' permits?
18	THE WITNESS: It could have been the excuse me.
19	It could have been the B it was someone checking to
20	make sure that they had them, so whoever that was in
21	Indio.
22	JUDGE GEARY: It depends on what year you're talking
23	about, but because it was BOE that did it
24	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah.
25	JUDGE GEARY: and CDTFA who has done it more

1 recently. So you personally witnessed this? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, and I remember sitting in their 3 office for a very long time during -- making sure all 4 these vendors had these things. 5 JUDGE GEARY: In whose office? THE WITNESS: In Indio. They had a local office. 6 7 JUDGE GEARY: All right. 8 THE WITNESS: Yep. So were you -- did you mean to indicate 9 JUDGE GEARY: 10 that they were actually at the event checking the vendors for sellers' permits or did you mean that the checking 11 would take place at the office of BOE in Indio? 12 13 THE WITNESS: From what I recall, we would have to submit all of their -- their sellers' permits in advance 14 15 and they would check it and give them the permission to sell, but then they would also come on-site to check to 16 make sure that they had them posted. 17 18 JUDGE GEARY: So both? 19 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 20 JUDGE GEARY: Both in their office --21 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 22 JUDGE GEARY: -- in Indio and on-site at the polo 23 grounds; right? 2.4 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 25 JUDGE GEARY: Is that where it was?

1 Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: 2 JUDGE GEARY: Just a couple of questions or maybe 3 just one about how you would receive cash from the events 4 from the vendors. Do you mean this literally that when 5 the -- at the conclusion of the last day of an event, you would go vendor to vendor and collect cash from them? 6 7 THE WITNESS: They would come to our office, yes, and settle with us there --8 Your office in --9 JUDGE GEARY: 10 THE WITNESS: -- on-site. So we would have temporary 11 offices set up. 12 JUDGE GEARY: So you'd like move a trailer on-site --13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 JUDGE GEARY: -- and that would be your office and 15 each vendor would come? Would this document, the checkout form, be created as a result of them being in 16 17 the office to turn over the cash? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE GEARY: 19 So you would collect information from 20 Then the form would be completed, and would the them. 21 retailer or the vendor get a copy of the form? 2.2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 23 At that time? JUDGE GEARY: 2.4 THE WITNESS: Yes. They were required to sign it. 25 JUDGE GEARY: Okay.

1	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
2	JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. Those are all my questions.
3	Thank you, Judge.
4	JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you, Judge Geary.
5	Judge Kwee, did you have any questions?
6	JUDGE KWEE: Yes. This is Judge Kwee.
7	I had a question or two because I believe you
8	were the third witness to mention that CDTFA seized
9	records in 2021, so I had a couple of questions about
10	that.
11	Do you mean that CHP with CDTFA showed up at the
12	business and seized the records pursuant to a search
13	warrant?
14	THE WITNESS: Yes. We have three offices and they
15	showed up at all three and took all of the records from
16	all three.
17	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And was that in connection with
18	the incident appeal or with the later appeal?
19	THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer to that
20	question.
21	JUDGE KWEE: Okay.
22	THE WITNESS: I'd defer to to our attorney for
23	that.
24	JUDGE KWEE: Okay. So there's I guess I wasn't
25	sure if there's also a separate matter going on that's

maybe like a criminal matter involving this period that was involved with the records seizure or if the records seizure is involved with the incident appeal.

Like I guess I was just trying to figure out the relationship between the records seizure because I didn't see a reference to that in the audit file or the audit working papers and maybe -- Judge Ralston, do you mind if I ask CDTFA if they can clarify?

JUDGE RALSTON: Please, go ahead.

JUDGE KWEE: Thank you.

CDTFA, could you clarify, were the records seized for purposes of this audit or is that a separate matter?

MR. NOBLE: To my knowledge, these were not seized. At least as part of this determination, there's no indication in any of our records. I think this period was already determined and from what I can tell, I believe this was conducted by our Investigations Division and I don't have any privy or the right to find out what they are investigating, whether it be criminal, civil, or other matters.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. Great. Thank you. That was the only question I had about the records and the relationship to this appeal, so I will turn it back to Judge Ralston. Thank you.

1	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Purdy. I think
2	that's all we have for you today.
3	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thanks.
4	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Your Honor, we have two more
5	witnesses. I believe the testimony from Ms. Alvarez will
6	be somewhat brief. Mr. Dressel's may be a little longer.
7	How how would we like to proceed? Neither of which
8	have travel restrictions.
9	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. I think let's maybe move on
10	with Ms. Alvarez and then we would take a break before
11	Mr. Dressel.
12	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Thank you, your Honors. So we'd
13	ask Ms. Alvarez.
14	
15	NATALIE ALVAREZ,
16	called as a Witness, and having been previously duly
17	sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and
18	testified as follows:
19	
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. MC LAUGHLIN:
22	Q Thank you, Ms. Alvarez. Again, some preliminary
23	information and background about your educational
24	experience or your educational background. Would you
25	please provide that to us?

1	A	I'm a high school graduate.
2	Q	You have no higher level education, community
3	college,	four-year degree, or anything like that?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Where did you go to high school?
6		(Reporter interrupted for clarification of
7	the	record)
8	THE	WITNESS: Cathedral City High School.
9	BY MR. MC	C LAUGHLIN:
LO	Q	And when did you graduate?
11	A	1998.
L2	JUDG	GE RALSTON: I'm sorry. Was that Cathedral City?
13	THE	WITNESS: Uh-huh. Cathedral City.
L4	BY MR. MC	C LAUGHLIN:
15	Q	What did you to do for work after you graduated
L6	high scho	ool?
L7	A	I started my first job at a newspaper company,
18	the Deser	rt Sun in Palm Springs, as an inserter and from
L9	there mov	red to becoming a teller at Bank of America.
20	Q	What did you do as a teller at Bank of America?
21	A	I was a merchant teller.
22	Q	What is a merchant teller?
23	A	A merchant teller deals with primarily business
24	account h	nolders, so it makes me I'm a little more
25	comfortab	ole with large sums of money.

1	Q	Did you receive any training from Bank of
2	America	to be a merchant teller?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	What was that like, a brief summary, please?
5	А	Just a day-to-day standing behind somebody.
6	Q	How to count cash
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	and be accurate, I imagine?
9	А	Yes. How to be accurate, how to do deposits,
10	how to w	ork a money counter.
11	Q	Was BBC a client of Bank of America?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Is that how you met BBC?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	When did you first meet BBC and under what kind
16	of circu	mstances did you have relations with them?
17	А	Probably about 2003, we had somebody come in and
18	ask if I	would be interested in a working a weekend at
19	Coachella	a counting money.
20	Q	Did you take them up on that offer?
21	А	I did.
22	Q	So explain kind of your involvement then
23	counting	money at Coachella on behalf of BBC.
24	А	As previously stated, about that time was purely
25	cash bas	ed. So I got to an event, sat in front of a

1	money counter an entire night and counted money;
2	generally beer-soaked money, but money.
3	Q And do you know the source of that money? Was
4	that, as we've heard other witnesses talk about today,
5	both from bar sales and from vendor commissions?
6	A Mine was primarily bar because that was BBC's
7	revenue.
8	Q Were others handling the nonBBC revenue from
9	vendors, the cash from them?
10	A That wasn't until the end of the event and it
11	was generally me.
12	Q So explain it. So there's a difference between
13	accounting for the cash from beverage sales, alcohol, and
14	counting cash for vendor sales?
15	A Correct.
16	Q What's the difference? How does that how do
17	those two processes work?
18	A Well, counting money for bars is counting it as
19	we are receiving it. Counting money for vendors is after
20	the fact.
21	Q Why would it be is it after the fact because
22	the vendor has received that themselves?
23	A Correct.
24	Q And they come to BBC reporting their gross
25	sales?

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And they pay the sales tax on that?
3	A	They should be, yes.
4	Q	We heard testimony from Ms. Purdy a moment ago
5	about se	eing representatives of either the Board of
6	Equaliza	tion or the CDTFA present at these festivals
7	verifyin	g resale certificates. Did you ever witness
8	anything	like that?
9	A	No.
10	Q	We've heard testimony before, but CDTFA has said
11	that Mr.	Alton was the one that was personally
12	responsi	ble for depositing that cash. Do you agree with
13	that sta	tement?
14	A	No.
15	Q	What was your involvement with Mr. Alton during
16	this per	iod of time?
17	A	Very little, actually, at that time.
18	Q	You're handling and counting a tremendous amount
19	of cash	and you have little involvement with Mr. Alton?
20	A	Correct. I worked in a small makeup trailer
21	with Jes	se.
22	Q	And what would happen to the cash after you
23	counted	it?
24	A	We would get it prepared for deposit.
25	Q	How does it get prepared for deposit?

1	A We keep a record of all of the money coming from
2	each location or each bar and we would balance it at the
3	end and prepare it for an armored truck pickup.
4	Q So an armored truck would eventually take the
5	cash from you?
6	A Correct.
7	Q And were you involved in any way in the counting
8	of that cash beyond just simply counting it?
9	A No.
10	Q Did you did you tell anybody about, Hey, I
11	counted \$400?
12	A Yes. Like I said, we would it would all be
13	put together in some kind of spreadsheet or paper that
14	tells us what amount of cash was counted for each
15	location. That's why I said we would balance from said
16	report to actual cash that's being deposited.
17	Q So you would get the cash counted first and then
18	put it in the reports or you would get the reports first
19	and balance it to the cash you counted?
20	A It was simultaneous. We would count money,
21	write it in a report or type it onto an Excel spreadsheet
22	simultaneously. So at the end, whatever my balance was
23	here had to match my cash here.
24	Q Did you ever notice any discrepancies or
25	material discrepancies in reconciling those checkout

1	forms and the cash counted?
2	A In terms of the bar, sometimes, only because, as
3	I said, beer-soaked money kind of doesn't like to go
4	through a machine easily.
5	Q What about nonbeer or nonalcoholic sales? Do
6	you ever remember situations of material differences
7	between these vendor checkout sheets and the amount of
8	cash actually counted?
9	A No.
10	Q And who do you report that information to about
11	the cash counted and the vendor commission statements?
12	A To Jesse.
13	Q Do you know what Jesse does with that
14	information?
15	A No.
16	Q And you did not report that to Mr. Alton;
17	correct?
18	A No.
19	Q Do you provide any other services since your
20	employment with BBC, or I should let me go back.
21	You said you began at Coachella.
22	A Correct.
23	Q Approximately when?
24	A Approximately 2003.
25	Q 2003?

1	А	Uh-huh.
2	Q	In the period at issue here, 2008 through 2013,
3	did you a	also provide those same services at Coachella?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Did you provide it anywhere else?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Can you give us some examples of locations or
8	festivals	5?
9	А	From that time, 2008 to 2013?
10	Q	Yes.
11	А	We did FYF, Day of the Dead, Stagecoach. There
12	are vario	ous small little events. It was a very long time
13	ago.	
14	Q	In your experience, did the manner and method in
15	which BB(C accounted for this in the vendor checkouts and
16	so on as	you've described it and you have heard others
17	describe	it, did it remain consistent through 2008 to
18	2013?	
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	That's the way BBC also did business during this
21	period?	
22	А	Correct.
23	Q	You said that you has your other than
24	counting	cash, have you been, during this period or
25	since, ta	asked with any other responsibilities at BBC?

1	А	Yes. I went from part-time to full-time
2	employme	ent with BBC in 2015 and I started as accounts
3	payable	clerk.
4	Q	So what does an accounts payable clerk do?
5	А	I enter invoices into QuickBooks and make sure
6	we recei	ve all invoices and credits that are due to be
7	paid. I	also did some staffing.
8	Q	Let's focus in on the payables for a second.
9	А	Uh-huh.
10	Q	BBC would receive an invoice for payment?
11	А	Uh-huh.
12	Q	You would enter that in QuickBooks?
13	А	Correct.
14	Q	Did you do anything else?
15	А	Now, I can print out payables reports. We
16	review s	statements. There is various things that are done
17	in accou	unts payable.
18	Q	And what is Mr. Alton's involvement in entering
19	those pa	yables and the data in QuickBooks?
20	А	He has no he doesn't do anything with that.
21	Q	Does anybody else do it besides you?
22	А	Jesse.
23	Q	And is that Mr. Glueck, who testified earlier
24	today?	
25	A	Yes.

1	Q Do you believe Mr. Glueck to be a credible
2	individual?
3	A Yes.
4	Q You worked at Bank of America; correct?
5	A Correct.
6	Q You were handling cash for lots of customers at
7	Bank of America; correct?
8	A Correct.
9	Q You would have been then familiar with certain
10	items in the industry dealing with fraud and suspicious
11	account activities; correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Would you receive training about identifying
14	suspicious cash activities and what might constitute
15	fraud in your employment at Bank of America?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Can you basically describe a little bit about
18	what you were instructed or learned about fraud in your
19	employment at Bank of America.
20	A In my employment there, they taught us to look
21	for a lot of small invoices that I'm sorry a lot of
22	small deposits that could be considered money laundering.
23	Sometimes you'll have somebody come in and make a lot of
24	small deposits that are all under a large transaction.
25	 \$10.000 is Bank of America's limit, so we were kind of

1	taught to keep a lookout for that like how many of
2	these transactions did they do in a month? How many did
3	they do in a few days to report suspicious activity.
4	Q So you kind of had an ability to smell out
5	fraudulent activity?
6	A Kind of, yeah.
7	Q Did BBC structure its deposits to be under the
8	\$10,000 limit?
9	A No. BBC's deposits were massive.
10	Q In your experience, based on your experience and
11	education at Bank of America, do you think that BBC's
12	conduct was fraudulent?
13	A No.
14	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Nothing further, your Honors.
15	JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you, Ms. Alvarez.
16	Mr. Noble, do you have any questions?
17	MR. NOBLE: No, we don't. Thank you.
18	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.
19	I have a question.
20	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
21	JUDGE RALSTON: With regard to the vendors, when they
22	would report their cash at the or their sales at the
23	end of the day, was there any kind of verification or is
24	it just I guess would there be any way to verify that
25	or it was just based on what they provided?

1	THE WITNESS: At that time, it was really what they
2	provided.
3	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.
4	Judge Geary, did you have any questions?
5	JUDGE GEARY: No questions. Thank you.
6	JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.
7	Judge Kwee?
8	JUDGE KWEE: I have no questions. Thank you.
9	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.
10	So I just want to check in with everybody
11	timewise. It is 12:35 and we have one more witness plus
12	we have CDTFA's presentation and Appellant's rebuttal.
13	Did you guys want to push through? I do want to
14	take a break, but we can take a break for lunch or we can
15	take a short break and then keep going. So I'll leave it
16	up to you guys.
17	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Your Honor, a short break would be
18	great, but I'd defer to the panel.
19	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. CDTFA?
20	MR. NOBLE: We're on the side of a short break as
21	well, but also we'll defer to OTA on this. Thank you.
22	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay.
23	JUDGE GEARY: Is our reporter going to be okay for
24	we're talking about how much time were you given for
25	your rebuttal?

1 JUDGE RALSTON: Ten minutes. 2 JUDGE GEARY: Ten. And is it -- how long for the 3 Department's? 4 MR. NOBLE: About 25 minutes is how long we were 5 given. JUDGE GEARY: What do you think on the testimony of 6 7 your last witness? How much time? MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Probably 30 minutes. 8 9 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. If we could take a short break, 10 I'm fine going through. 11 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Is everybody good with like 12 ten minutes or so? 13 JUDGE KWEE: Is the stenographer going to be okay with --14 15 JUDGE RALSTON: Are you okay with like a ten-minute break? 16 Okay. It is 12:36. We'll come back at 12:46. 17 18 Thank you. 19 (Recess) 20 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you, everyone. We are 21 now back on the record and I think we are beginning with 22 Mr. Dressel's testimony. 23 MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Thank you, your Honors. It's Jerry 2.4 Dressel. 25 JUDGE RALSTON: Dressel. Thank you.

1	JERRY DRESSEL,
2	called as a Witness, and having been previously duly
3	sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and
4	testified as follows:
5	
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. MC LAUGHLIN:
8	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Dressel. I'd like to begin
9	today by just having you give us a brief explanation of
10	your educational background.
11	A I have a degree from San Diego State University
12	in accounting from 1976.
13	Q Are you a licensed CPA
14	A Yes.
15	Q in the state of California?
16	A Yes.
17	Q When did you first obtain your license as a CPA?
18	A 1980.
19	Q Has that license ever been revoked or suspended?
20	A No.
21	Q To your knowledge, have you ever been the
22	subject of a malpractice, negligence or any type of
23	ethical violation or inquiry?
24	A No.
25	Q After you obtained your degree from San Diego

1	State University, what was your employment history?
2	A I I was working in a local firm in San Diego.
3	I did that for a number of years and
4	Q What type of work were you doing for this local
5	firm?
6	A It was a CPA firm and I was doing tax returns,
7	financial statements, filing tax returns, filing property
8	tax statements. The returns and individual returns and
9	the other returns would have been corporate or
10	partnership.
11	Q Were these primarily income tax returns or did
12	you have the opportunities to prepare returns for other
13	types of taxes?
14	A Other types of taxes would have been property
15	taxes, sales taxes.
16	Q And that was beginning around when?
17	A That was in 1980.
18	Q And how did it come to be that you took
19	employment or a working relationship with BBC?
20	A In 2000, I was referred to BBC by an attorney
21	that I had a relationship with and that they also had a
22	relationship with.
23	Q And in what capacity did you begin providing
24	services to BBC?
25	A They were having some struggles with their

accounting and a few management issues and some planning issues and I was referred by that attorney to assist them in those areas.

2.4

Q So around 2000, what -- what was your understanding of what problems BBC was having with its accounting?

A Just basically volume and changes in the industry and changes in how they were recording things. They were starting to experience some growth and the other side of it was planning, just trying to develop a general business plan.

Q So let's break those two apart.

How was BBC accounting for sales and income before your involvement?

A Well, before my involvement, they were recording sales as they were run through QuickBooks in a system that built into QuickBooks. So they would produce an invoice, record it in QuickBooks, and move on from there.

Q And how did that change after your relationship with BBC?

A Well, they were starting to get into more cash events and we started to structure the stuff that was -- or I should say events, period, and we changed it to accommodate what was going on in the festival world or the other small events that were off the grid from the

1	standpoint of a typical catering invoice.
2	Q And you said in that "we" started making those
3	changes. To whom are you referring in that statement,
4	"we"?
5	A Dirk and myself and Jesse.
6	Q And how is you mentioned earlier that you
7	were involved in planning. Business planning on behalf
8	of BBC?
9	A Yeah, just kind of developing a strategic plan
10	on where we needed personnel and sort of trying to
11	achieve some growth goals.
12	Q And were you involved in the decision about
13	personnel and opportunities for growth, you personally?
14	A No.
15	Q Who was in charge of that?
16	A The respective department heads.
17	Q What would an example of a department and a
18	department head be?
19	A Jesse Glueck would have decided how many people
20	he needed.
21	Vendor coordinators were starting at that point
22	or a little bit later maybe and they would decide how
23	many people they needed.
24	There was a staffing division that was in charge
25	of staffing for local events as well as the big events

1	and just	general private parties.
2		So there were various departments, including
3	operatio:	ns, warehouse.
4	Q	And what was Mr. Alton's involvement?
5	А	He more or less decided who was going to be a
6	departme	nt head.
7	Q	Did you engage with him frequently
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Mr. Alton?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And would that be from your entire tenure at
12	BBC?	
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Are you an employee at BBC or are you a
15	contract	or?
16	A	I was a contractor up until the pandemic and
17	then the	y put me on the payroll.
18	Q	So you're currently an employee of BBC?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	But before that, you were providing services as
21	an outsi	de accountant?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	Did you prepare BBC's income tax returns?
24	А	I did.
25	Q	Did you prepare any other tax returns on behalf

1	of BBC?
2	A Sales tax returns, property tax returns.
3	Q Is anybody else involved in that process?
4	A Well, there's people involved in the process.
5	For the final filing of the return, that is filed by me,
6	myself.
7	Q You were the one that ultimately files it; is
8	that correct?
9	A Correct.
10	Q Explain that process then. Who else is involved
11	and how?
12	A As far as property tax returns, which are not on
13	the subject here, but the property tax returns is usually
14	on the fixed assets and the fixed assets have to be
15	recorded. They're recorded on a basis of where they
16	physically sit and then the property tax has to be filled
17	out for that particular county. So that's an ongoing
18	process that happens throughout the year.
19	Q Who's involved in that process?
20	A Basically, people in accounts payable.
21	Q Okay.
22	A Jesse would also be involved in that. Jesse
23	Glueck would also be involved in that.
24	Q Is Mr. Alton involved in that process?
25	A No. The sales tax returns are basically

there's -- there are two sets of books. In other words, there's two offices that created and recorded sales in two different QuickBooks and I had to combine the two QuickBooks. Jesse would produce a sales tax sheet that he would give to me and I think you went through that with him earlier.

- Q Would that be, for example, Exhibit 41?
- A That's correct.

- Q So in Exhibit 41 is the work product of --
- A That's Jesse's work product that he would -- hold on one second -- that he would submit to me.
 - Q And continue. You were saying that --

A From that standpoint, as you can see, there's no sales under San Diego in that because San Diego records their sales independently. So I would add San Diego or any books that were recorded in San Diego or the Palm Springs books on to that because they were just recorded differently for purposes of both ease and how the company was organized.

Q So explain that. Why do we -- it's easier and it was organized with Northern California and the San Diego office for maintaining books and records?

A It's the way it evolved. It wasn't ideal, but it evolved that way and even though we have attempted several times to combine the books, we haven't been able

to achieve that until recently; but the San Diego books recorded San Diego operations, which would be the various venues in San Diego and private parties, and then the Palm Springs books would apply to events in Riverside County and the Indio area.

2.4

Q And who's responsible for maintaining the northern, I guess, San Francisco books? I think you said that was Jesse Glueck?

A That was Jesse who's the ultimate responsible person.

Q And who is responsible for maintaining the books in San Diego and Riverside Counties?

A I was the ultimate responsible person.

Q Who else was involved in that process of maintaining the books in San Diego and Riverside?

A At various times, there's various people, but Elisa Burr was probably the most recent and was there during this time period that we're discussing. There have been other accountants and bookkeepers involved in it over the years. Currently it would be Stacy Egusa.

Q I'll get to it in a moment because featured in the work papers from CDTFA is taking data that you differentiated between San Diego taxable and San Diego nontaxable sales. Are you familiar with your separation of those two types of transactions?

1	A Yes.
2	Q How did you differentiate between something that
3	was a San Diego taxable and a San Diego nontaxable sale?
4	A It depended on the nature of the sale.
5	For example and some of them weren't sales.
6	Some of them were reimbursements. San Diego sends the
7	payroll for an operation in the desert called a Tack Room
8	Tavern is run through the Best Beverage Catering, F & B
9	Associates. They charge the Tack Room Tavern, which is a
10	joint venture of BBC and Empire Polo Club. They and
11	they report their own sales and their own item. They
12	then we charge them and then they reimburse them
13	reimburse us.
14	There is another operation in the desert
15	Q Let me just pause you there. Is this almost
16	like an employee leasing arrangement?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And that's for the Tack Room?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Sorry. You were going to say something about
21	another?
22	A There's another operation in the desert that
23	started in the last couple of years called Skip's Little
24	Bar and it's the same kind of format.
25	Q Did San Diego and we've heard testimony that

would be pertinent to Riverside concerning Coachella and Stagecoach, but did San Diego have similar festivals were concessionaires or vendors were present?

A They did.

Q And was anything that you heard today about the vendor checkout forms and the operations about recording reimbursements any different than the way San Diego handled it?

A No.

- Q Were you ever involved in the checkout forms?
- 11 A Yes.

2.4

Q Describe your involvement in those checkout forms.

A For the larger festivals or even some local festivals, it just depended on the festival. I would look at the close-out forms and the recap and use those both for sales tax purposes but also making sure that the commissions were recorded correctly and paid correctly and I might dive into a particular vendor for some reason. It just depended on the situation, but mostly it was making sure they were all recapped correctly and, you know, proper sales tax rate was applied and making sure that they were billed for everything, billed back for everything they had used.

Q Have you ever been, as we've heard described

1	today, in the trenches of these types of events or		
2	festivals?		
3	A Yes.		
4	Q Which ones?		
5	A Coachella, several times.		
6	Q And would that also be during this audit period		
7	of 2008 through 2013?		
8	A Probably 2012, 2013.		
9	Q Not after 2013?		
10	A Yeah, after '13.		
11	Q But 2012, 2013, you would describe yourself as		
12	being in the trenches at those?		
13	A Yes.		
14	Q We heard testimony earlier today that		
15	representatives from the Board of Equalization or CDTFA		
16	were present and reviewed resale certificates for these		
17	vendors at the events.		
18	A Yes.		
19	Q Did you ever witness that?		
20	A Yes.		
21	Q Do you believe that all of these vendors had		
22	resale certificates?		
23	A Yes.		
24	Q Did you see them?		
25	A I verified I spot verified them. I can't say		

1	I verified every one, but that was one of the charges of
2	the vendor coordinators.
3	Q You we've gone in great detail about these
4	checkout forms, these vendor checkout forms, and as I
5	understand it, that information at some point was
6	provided to the promoters; is that correct?
7	A In a recap form, yes.
8	Q Why was it provided to the promoters in a recap
9	form?
10	A They wanted to know how much commission they
11	were getting off of those vendor sales.
12	Q And those would not also those would also
13	include BBC's alcohol sales; correct?
14	A On a separate sheet, yes.
15	Q So you'd have a sheet that provided to the
16	promoter the list of BBC alcohol sales and another sheet
17	that would provide information about the vendors; is that
18	correct?
19	A Yes, and then one that brought it all together
20	in another sheet.
21	Q And the purpose of providing that to them was
22	what?
23	A Money. They wanted their money.
24	Q Were those ever examined, questioned,
25	challenged, audited?

1	A The the sheets themselves were not	
2	necessarily audited. The check on that was not only	
3	well, because there was two people involved in the	
4	sheets. There was the vendor and there were us, so	
5	there's two people with opposite views on what should be	
6	on the sheet.	
7	We at one time, and I don't even know what	
8	time period this was. I just can't recall. When we	
9	hired the promoter and in conjunction with us, we hired	
10	an outside event auditing team.	
11	Q For what purpose?	
12	A To make sure that everybody was doing what	
13	they that they were reporting all their sales.	
14	Q Did you as part of that process identify any	
15	material misstatements or errors?	
16	A They did. They did in several certain	
17	circumstances and if there was a problem or an error,	
18	that vendor was removed.	
19	Q So the problems were on the vendor side	
20	providing gross sales back to BBC?	
21	A Correct.	
22	Q Did they ever verify BBC's reported sales to the	
23	promoter?	
24	A Absolutely.	
25	Q So just to be clear, you had somebody take a	

1 look, a third party, take a look at BBC's reported sales 2 backup to the promoter? 3 The promoter hired them to keep an eye on us and А 4 audit us and we had a loss run that we had to work within 5 and we were always below. I think they allowed us 4 percent and we were in a 2 to 3 percent loss rate. 6 7 What do you mean "loss rate"? Evaporation, shortages, just typical type stuff 8 Α 9 of inventory shrinkage. 10 So these auditors from the event promoters 11 audited BBC's books and records and those would consist 12 of the same things that the CDTFA was provided during the 13 audit; correct? These auditors audited the books and records of 14 Α 15 a particular festival. A particular festival that would have been 16 contained in BBC's records; correct? 17 18 Α Correct. 19 And to your recollection, did they ever find any 20 material errors? 21 Α Never. You were involved in the examinations or the 22 23 audits for BBC, both the first and the current one; is 2.4 that correct?

That is correct.

25

Α

1	Q I want to kind of go through some exhibits here,
2	if you will.
3	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: And the first one, panel, I'd like
4	to look at, Exhibit 24.
5	BY MR. MC LAUGHLIN:
6	Q This is a memorandum of an interview of
7	yourself; correct?
8	A Correct.
9	Q And you recognize the signature on the last page
10	is yours?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q Okay. On page two of this, I want to just ask
13	you a couple follow-up questions. It indicates in there
14	that the last time that you recalled hearing from anybody
15	at the CDTFA was when you provided them a statute
16	extension. Do you recall giving statute extensions to
17	representatives of the CDTFA?
18	A I do.
19	Q You provided many of them; correct?
20	A Correct.
21	Q Because when we look at Exhibit E from
22	Respondent, there's a series of BOE Form 122 that were
23	provided during the course of this audit; correct?
24	A Correct.
25	Q You executed one or Mr I should correct and

1	say Mr. Alton signed one on January 5th, 2012?
2	A Correct.
3	Q Did you provide this to Mr. Alton?
4	A Yeah. I think we had a meeting and I threw it
5	in front of him.
6	Q And you instructed him to sign it?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Were you aware of the fact that you didn't
9	actually have to sign this?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Why did you choose to do so or instruct
12	Mr. Alton to do so?
13	A We were cooperating with the with the audit,
14	the auditors. They asked us to sign it and, quite
15	frankly, the way it came about was the auditors would ask
16	for documents. We'd provide them, and then they'd
17	disappear for six to eight weeks and then they'd show up
18	again and say we've got a week to do this or you have to
19	file an extension of the statute.
20	Q So, again, you filed extensions or instructed
21	Mr. Alton to sign additional statute extensions on
22	July 12th, 2012; correct?
23	A Correct.
24	Q And if we continue with Respondent's Exhibit E,
25	there's one on December 12th, 2012. There's one on

1	February 2nd, 2013. There's another one here, what	
2	appears to be August 29th, 2013. You had them sign	
3	statute extensions on April 7th, 2014?	
4	A Correct.	
5	Q On September 23rd, 2014, you were the one that	
6	signed the statute extension; correct?	
7	A I couldn't find him	
8	Q Couldn't find him?	
9	A or he was out of town or it was just a matter	
10	of convenience. I had a power of attorney, so I was	
11	qualified to sign them.	
12	Q And on March 26, 2015, you again extended the	
13	statute of limitations.	
14	A Correct.	
15	Q October 12th, 2015, BBC again extended the	
16	statute of limitations.	
17	A Correct.	
18	Q February 22nd excuse me 26th, 2016, BBC	
19	again extended the statute of limitations; correct?	
20	A Correct.	
21	Q And it did so why?	
22	A To cooperate with the auditors.	
23	Q Also in Exhibit E from Respondent is a letter	
24	dated February 21st, 2014. Do you see that?	
25	A I do.	

1	Q	It's a letter from Charles Hetu
2	А	Hetu.
3	Q	addressed to yourself; correct?
4	А	Correct.
5	Q	It's not addressed to BBC, although it is
6	copied;	correct?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	And it's he writes to you in there and says,
9	"I first	met with you on December 2nd, 2013." Is that
10	correct?	
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Was that your first meeting, to your
13	recollec	tion, with Mr. Hetu?
14	А	I don't have my records with me, but I have no
15	reason t	o doubt that it would be.
16	Q	And this meeting was in person?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Do you remember where the meeting occurred?
19	А	I believe it was in my office.
20	Q	So you invited the CDTA into your office?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	You voluntarily let them in and he says in here,
23	"During	the month of December, you provided sales data
24	and fina:	ncial records."
25	А	Yes.

1	Q	You voluntarily and freely provided those to
2	him; cor	rect?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	And those sales data and financial records, what
5	did that	consist of?
6	А	Basically, anything he might have asked for,
7	which wo	uld have been general ledgers, accounting
8	records.	It could have been source documents. It could
9	have been bank statements.	
10	Q	Do you believe you withheld anything from him?
11	A	Never.
12	Q	You signed the Petition for Redetermination in
13	this case, what we have as Exhibit 4	
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	not Mr. Alton.
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	Why?
18	A	I was cooperating with the CDTFA and he wasn't
19	knowledgeable of the situation and he had given me	
20	authority to sign it.	
21	Q	Did you have a discussion with him about the
22	outcome	of the audit up until that point?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And can you explain what you and Mr. Alton
25	discusse	d about the first audit?

1	A I told him where we had started and where we
2	were now and what they were doing about various penalties
3	and where we were headed and other documents they were
4	asking for.
5	Q And where were you heading?
6	A Everything was going lower. The assessed tax
7	was going lower. I don't remember the exact date that
8	they removed the fraud penalty. They were talking about
9	removing a negligence penalty, but they realized that a
10	number of assumptions that they had made had been
11	incorrect.
12	Q And we have already addressed this a little bit,
13	but Exhibit 21 is the D and R from the first audit period
14	and it says appearing on behalf of Petitioner, meaning
15	Appellant in this case, BBC, is just you?
16	A Correct.
17	Q Mr. Alton was not present during that appeals
18	conference?
19	A No.
20	Q Why not?
21	A I'm not sure where he was, but he wasn't
22	qualified to be there.
23	Q What do you mean he was not qualified to be
24	there. He's the person who owns the company.
25	A He's the person that owns the company, but he

1 doesn't have any clue as to what they're talking about 2 when they're talking about assessing tax and what's taxable and what's not taxable. 3 4 Continuing in this Exhibit 21, okay, on 5 page three, there's a portion in here that says that on July 16th, 2012, you filed the Petition for 6 Redetermination. Was that you? 7 8 Α Yes. 9 Later on, on page seven of this exhibit, Okay. 10 beginning on paragraph six, it says that you contend that 11 the Department did not make allowances for deposits, commissions, and reimbursement payments related to 12 13 unreported sales in 2007 Coachella event and the 2007 14 Stagecoach event. 15 Α Correct. Those allowances, the deposits, and your 16 position that the CDTFA or the BOE did not make those 17 18 correct adjustments, is that the same types of arguments 19 that you've heard presented today? 20 Α Yes. 21 Did you explain this to the CDTFA or the BOE during the course of the first audit? 22 23 Numerous times. А 2.4 And how has your explanation of the way BBC had 0

done -- did business changed? How has your explanation

25

1 of how it has done business changed over the years? 2. Α It's never changed. You've been consistent in your explanations, as 3 0 4 we've heard others testify to today? 5 Α Yes. On page nine of the Exhibit 21, beginning on 6 7 paragraph 24, the author says that petitioner, being BBC, has not presented any evidence to establish that all or 8 any portion of the 1.2 million-dollar amount for the 2007 9 10 Coachella event were nontaxable sales. Accordingly, 11 there is no basis on which to recommend any reduction to 12 the measure of tax for this item. 13 Do you agree with that? Did you not provide information about the 2007 Coachella event? 14 15 I did provide information and it was the vendor Α close-out sheets. 16 17 And they did not accept it? 0 18 Α No. 19 But that's consistent with the explanations that 0 20 were provided here today about how BBC does business? 21 Α Yes. You've, Mr. Dressel, already demonstrated an 22 23 ability to petition a redetermination and it's customary 2.4 before the CDTFA would make an assessment that they'd 25 send an option letter advising you that you can further

1 protest this D and R to the Board of Equalization and now 2 the OTA. Do you ever recall getting an option letter 3 with respect to this first audit? 4 Α Yes. 5 Do you agree that -- do you believe that this 0 6 first D and R was still erroneous? 7 Α Yes. Why didn't you appeal it? 8 Q I got sick. 9 Α 10 What do you mean you got sick? Q 11 I almost died. Α 12 What do you mean you almost died? Q 13 Spinal meningitis and encephalitis and a coma. Α 14 And you were in a coma? Q 15 Nine days. Α 16 During which period of time? Q 17 August. Α 18 August of when? Q 19 2016. Α 20 So August of 2016, you were in a coma? Q 21 Α Yes. 22 Why didn't anybody else at BBC file a response 0 23 to that option letter? 2.4 Α They didn't know about it. 25 Had you taken the opportunity to continue to Q

protest it, do you think the outcome of this October 2005 through September 2008 period would have been different?

A Yes.

Q I want to ask you some questions, Mr. Dressel, about the way -- because you indicated that you consolidate the books and records from San Diego and Northern California under Mr. Glueck's control.

A Correct.

Q How do you to that? What does that process look like?

A I take the sales tax information that he provides me and I then -- excuse me -- and then I take the information from the San Diego general ledger, I add that information on the sales tax worksheet, and I do certain tests and looking at certain things to make sure they're consistent with prior periods or what I know is going on. For instance, if there's no sales in Riverside in April of any year, I -- in Riverside County, I know that's wrong because that's Coachella or Stagecoach because I have a general feeling or knowing what's going on. And if I have to, I look into to find out whether it's a timing issue or if there's another issue and it's reported in a different way, and sometimes it's even that I think that something happened in-state and it happened -- I mean, it happened in-state and it happened

1 out-of-state. 2 There were certain transactions, Mr. Dressel, and I wanted to understand how BBC's books and records --3 4 we heard testimony about FYF fest, First City, HARD L.A., 5 that come from Mr. Glueck in San Francisco to you. that accurate? 6 7 Say it -- say it -- can you say it again. Α That information we've heard testimony 8 0 Sure. 9 today about certain events like FYF, HARD L.A., the sales 10 figures from those are ultimately transmitted to you; 11 correct? They're transmitted to both Jesse and myself and 12 Α 13 depending on who is responsible, they're the one who records them in the books. 14 15 And where in the books are they recorded? 0 quess my question is is it accurate that those are in the 16 "other party" category? 17 18 Α Yes. Well, his books, yes. So the Northern California books of Jesse's are 19 Q 20 recorded in the "other party" --21 Α "Other party" revenue. -- 50-50 revenue? Yes? 22 0 23 Α Uh-huh. 2.4 And what about San Diego's and Riverside's? 25 Where do you record those?

2.4

A They're set up slightly differently just because of how they were set up and they're recorded under -- by a specific general ledger account to the venue or a miscellaneous venue category.

- Q We've seen in the CDTFA's work products categories of San Diego taxable and San Diego nontaxable.
 - A Correct.
- Q How and why would you put a transaction in something that was taxable versus nontaxable?
- A If it's subject to sales tax, it goes in taxable. If it's not subject to sales tax, such as expenses that were reimbursed, space rent, it could have been even -- we could have been a subcontractor to a catering company and it could have been that we just received a fee or we were never the primary person responsible for it.
- Q So I'm sorry. Who makes that decision that it's subject to sales tax or not subject to sales tax?
- A It's usually done -- it's subject to the person that really kind of recorded the sale but verified down the road as to whether it's taxable or not, and I would be the one responsible to try to determine whether it's taxable or not.
- Q And what is Mr. Alton's involvement in that determination?

1	A None.
2	Q And during this period of time, 2008 through
3	2013, you were not an employee of BBC; is that correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Did you ever receive any instructions from
6	Mr. Alton about, Hey, this is a taxable sale and this is
7	not a taxable sale?
8	A I may have asked him about a particular
9	transaction, but it was specifically about a particular
10	transaction and how it was structured. I don't think he
11	knew necessarily why I was asking. It was to just
12	determine the nature of it.
13	Q We heard a little bit of testimony earlier about
14	the Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club.
15	A Correct.
16	Q That was maintained in the San Diego nontaxable
17	category of sales; correct?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q Why?
20	A Because they they were collecting the sales
21	tax. The Polo Club was collecting the sales tax from the
22	end user. We were paid a commission or a fee based on
23	what what they took in as money to compensate us for
24	our costs, our time and our profit.
25	Q Did Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club have a resale

1 certificate? 2 Α I don't recall at the time, but I had no reason 3 to think that they wouldn't. 4 And who was paying the sales tax on all of those 0 5 transactions related to Rancho Santa Fe Polo Club? They were responsible for paying the sales tax. 6 What about Abbey Catering? Are you familiar 7 0 with that? 8 Familiar with the name. 9 А 10 Okay. And what type of relationship did BBC 0 11 have with Abbey Catering? 12 Α They again were a catering company that hired us 13 to do bar service. 14 So explain what -- how did they hire you to do 0 15 bar services? They came in and they said we have a wedding, we 16 have an event, and we're charging X dollars per head and 17 18 if you can come in with a fee of \$22 a head, you can have 19 the job, but they collected the sales tax. They're the 20 ones that were contracting with the end user. 21 And what is the Amgen? Are you familiar with 22 the Amgen relationship between BBC? 23 Not super familiar. It was a bike race that we Α 24 were paid to basically get everything out to them and

feed them and get some water in them and it traveled all

25

1 over the state of California. 2 So why was that category in San Diego nontaxable 3 transactions? 4 It was my understanding it was a fee. 5 And it was your understanding and your understanding alone that made it in the nontaxable 6 7 category? 8 Α Correct. What about Desert Adventures? 9 10 Desert Adventures was another catering company. Α 11 They were out in the desert, the Indio area, the Coachella area, and they had all sorts of events out 12 13 there and tours and stuff like that, and we would provide 14 box lunches or whatever they included in their fee for 15 the people. There's exhibits, Mr. Dressel, Number 37, 38 and 16 17 39 that profess to be the worksheets for Coachella and 18 Stagecoach in 2013; do you see those? Excuse me. 19 2012 --20 Α Yes. 21 0 -- in Exhibit 39. 37 is 2013 Stagecoach. 22 you see that? 23 А Yes. How does this differ from the close-out 2.4 0 25 statements that we heard testimony about before?

1	A We	ell, those are just the recap of the sales
2	figures by area	
3	Q By	area?
4	A	but they're but they're not any different
5	than what w	e've heard from before.
6	Q So	o, for example, if we see something in here
7	called S&R Thai	
8	A Ye	es.
9	Q	that was a vendor?
10	A Th	nat's a vendor.
11	Q Th	nat was a vendor at Stagecoach?
12	A Ri	ght.
13	Q Ar	nd S&R Thai, for example, in this on Friday of
14	2013 had \$2	2,780 in revenue
15	A Co	prrect.
16	Q	and on the following day, they had 300
17	excuse me -	\$3,605 of revenue?
18	A Co	prrect.
19	Q Ar	nd they had total gross sales at Stagecoach in
20	2013 of \$9,	915; correct?
21	A Co	prrect.
22	Q Ar	nd then they pay their sales tax?
23	A Co	prrect.
24	Q Ar	nd then there's a split based on that that is
25	shared both	with BBC and the promoter?

1	A That fee goes to BBC first and then BBC takes	
2	their percentage off the top. So in that case, it's	
3	35 percent. I think our usual fee was 10 percent, so we	
4	would have we would have retained 10 percent of the	
5	\$3200 and they and the promoter would have gotten the	
6	balance.	
7	Q And so this is largely consistent with the other	
8	checkouts that we've seen in 2013 as well; correct?	
9	A It's exactly the same.	
10	Q And how has this changed at all between 2008 and	
11	2013 and the way BBC handled these types of events and	
12	transactions?	
13	A It never did.	
14	Q It has remained constant?	
15	A Yes.	
16	MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Thank you, Mr. Dressel.	
17	I'll turn it over to the panel and Respondent.	
18	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Dressel.	
19	CDTFA, did you have any questions?	
20	MR. NOBLE: We don't have any questions. Thank you.	
21	JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.	
22	I had a question. You spoke earlier about, you	
23	know, there were different areas like San Diego and	
24	Northern California. What was the company doing any	
25	of those out-of-states events like the Super Bowl or	

1 other events during that period? And if so, what office 2 were they routed through? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, we were doing events out-of-state. 4 It depended on the situation. Most of the time, all 5 those -- all those were recorded in Northern California books, but there were times when they'd be recorded in 6 7 the San Diego books. It really depended on the structure of what it was and where it was going and maybe even what 8 9 the job was. 10 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. 11 Judge Geary, did you have any questions for 12 Mr. Dressel? 13 JUDGE GEARY: I do. Thank you. 14 Did you prepare out-of-state sales tax 15 returns --16 THE WITNESS: I do. 17 JUDGE GEARY: -- for the company? 18 THE WITNESS: I do. 19 JUDGE GEARY: All of them? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 JUDGE GEARY: Now, you talked about San Diego books 22 and Sacramento books, but the San Diego books included 23 Palm Springs, Indio, Riverside also. Right, or is that a third set? 2.4 25 THE WITNESS: There's three sets, technically.

1 JUDGE GEARY: Three sets.

THE WITNESS: There's Northern California,

San Francisco, not Sacramento; San Diego; and then

Palm Springs -- what we call Palm Springs, but it was

just -- just a name for the books.

JUDGE GEARY: And I think that one of the other witnesses referred to it as the desert area --

THE WITNESS: Yes.

JUDGE GEARY: -- or something like that. Okay.

THE WITNESS: They're all interchangeable.

JUDGE GEARY: Why did you check the sales tax figures supplied by vendors? You mentioned earlier that you checked the sales tax figures. I didn't understand why.

THE WITNESS: Oh, I was asked about did I ever look into any of the particular vendor close-outs and I would spot-check things to make sure that we applied -- sales tax rates changed all the time, so I wanted to make sure we were applying the proper sales tax percentages because I was going to report the right number and even if we had to go back to the vendor and say, "You didn't pay enough sales tax," then we would go back to the vendor.

JUDGE GEARY: Was there ever an occasion when you identified an error by the vendor and the percentage collected that you actually had to go back to the vendor and say, "You only collected this, but you're going to

have to pay a different amount"?

2.4

THE WITNESS: There was a time when I discovered the sales tax rate that was applied on the first day was wrong and they changed it.

JUDGE GEARY: You talked a little bit about how the promoters would -- I'll use term "audit," but they would basically check on your numbers and that your company or the Appellant would also check on the numbers supplied by vendors; right?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

JUDGE GEARY: How did you check on the vendors?

THE WITNESS: Well, the vendors -- first of all, it's -- it's not a very sophisticated system, but it does work from the standpoint if you have a row of vendors or you could even describe it as a row of bartenders, we could figure out if somebody was stealing by what was happening in the row.

So if you had 12 stations in a bar and you did a pull -- which they're called pulls -- well, they would pull the cash, and there was a thousand dollars, a thousand dollars, \$300, a thousand dollars, a thousand dollars, you know there's a problem with the line on that \$300 pull.

And the same was true with the vendors. If you had a -- vendors in certain areas did a certain amount of

volume and you could figure out that if this vendor was doing, you know, this amount of money, this vendor next to it should be doing a similar amount of money just depending on the product or what might be there.

So it was a commonsense approach to it and if we had an issue or we wanted to look at something, we would send somebody out to look at them, a shopper or a -- you know, somebody to do something or even stand there and just watch them for a while. I did it myself.

JUDGE GEARY: People might like pizza more than they like Thai food, though, so how does that account for it?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's not -- it accounts for that. Spicy Pie was in an area all of its own. They were like -- they sold more pizza than I can possibly imagine, so -- but, you know, the pizza guy -- excuse me. The Thai guy wasn't likely to do way more than the Mexican guy or vice versa.

JUDGE GEARY: In looking at I think it's Exhibit 37, the last -- one of the last exhibits that counsel asked you about, there are percentage figures in the second-to-last column to the right.

THE WITNESS: Correct.

JUDGE GEARY: Like the top one that I see for California Pop Culture is 27 percent, but right under that it looks like there's something for Johnny McGuire's

1 for 32 and the numbers vary for -- between vendors or 2 among vendors; correct? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 JUDGE GEARY: How does that work where some vendors 5 pay more than others, I guess? 6 THE WITNESS: Some had higher gross margins. So, for 7 instance, if you were just selling water and water was cheap, it would -- you had to pay a higher percentage. 8 9 And then there were other people that were maybe a friend 10 of the promoter and they came in and they'd say, "Well, I'm not going to pay 30 percent. I'm going to pay 11 12 20 percent." So you would have to accommodate them. 13 JUDGE GEARY: That was all negotiated at the front 14 end? 15 Yeah. It wasn't at the back end. THE WITNESS: 16 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. You -- I think you said that you 17 came to know and to start working for BBC in around 18 2000 --19 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 JUDGE GEARY: -- first as an independent, private CPA 21 and much more recently after the time period that we're 22 considering as an employee. 23 THE WITNESS: Correct. 2.4 JUDGE GEARY: So during your earlier years, the years 25 leading up to the years that are at issue here and during

the years at issue here, do you think that BBC had an understanding regarding its obligation to retain source documents to -- to show that the returns that they were filing were accurate?

THE WITNESS: We did retain records, yes.

2.4

JUDGE GEARY: And are those records -- is it your understanding or belief that those records were among the records seized? Maybe "seized" is the wrong term, but taken --

THE WITNESS: No. "Seized" is the correct word.

They came to my office and seized my audit work papers

for the period in question.

JUDGE GEARY: Okay. So it was pursuant to a search warrant?

THE WITNESS: Yes. They showed up at my office and took, with the Highway Patrol, and they came in with six people and they took my records and they went into my computer and they never gave them back, and I don't have any work papers during this period.

JUDGE GEARY: Okay. And when you say "during this period," you mean that all of your documents that pertain to BBC's business during the period that's at issue in this appeal were seized in 2021 and have not been returned to you?

THE WITNESS: Correct, and more.

1 JUDGE GEARY: All right. 2. THE WITNESS: Other periods. 3 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. That's all I have. Okay. 4 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you, Judge Geary. 5 Judge Kwee? 6 JUDGE KWEE: I don't have any questions for the 7 witness. Thank you. JUDGE RALSTON: 8 Okay. Thank you. 9 We are now ready for Respondent's presentation. 10 You have approximately 25 minutes and can begin when 11 you're ready. On May 19th, 2016, Appellant was issued a 12 MR. NOBLE: 13 Notice of Determination for the period October 1st, 2008 14 through September 30th, 2013, for approximately 4.9 15 million dollars in tax and a 25 percent fraud penalty. The determination is based on a February 2nd, 2016 audit 16 report which disclosed measures for unreported taxable 17 18 sales of about 54 million dollars, disallowed claimed 19 nontaxable sales of around 1 million and equipment 20 purchases subject to use tax of about 900,000. 21 The Department subsequently performed some reaudits which resulted in reductions to these three 22 23 The measure for unreported sales, taxable measures. 2.4 sales, was reduced to approximately 30.5 million;

disallowed claimed nontaxable sales was reduced to about

25

930,000; and equipment purchases subject to use tax was reduced to approximately 200,000.

2.4

The issues in this appeal are whether adjustments are warranted to the measures for unreported taxable sales and disallowed claimed nontaxable sales and is whether the fraud penalty was properly imposed.

With respect to Appellant's unreported taxable sales, during the audit, the Department noted a sales account labeled 50-50 other party revenue with recorded transactions totaling around 80 million dollars for the liability period. These amounts were not reported on Appellant's sales and use tax returns.

The Department used the third quarter of 2013 as a sample period and after accounting for any sales that were not subject to tax such as resales, sales that occurred out-of-state and commissions paid to Appellant that were not part of Appellant's sales of tangible personal property, found that sales of approximately 2.4 million dollars out of the 8.8 million-dollar sample period were nontaxable sales.

The Department calculated a ratio of nontaxable sales percentage of 26.97, which when applied to Appellant's total sales in the 50-50 account resulted in taxable sales of around 59 million.

The Department then added the 59 million in

taxable sales to Appellant's other recorded taxable sales to establish total audited sales of around 97 million dollars, which when compared to reported taxable sales of around 66 million dollars resulted in a deficiency measure for unreported taxable sales of \$30,582,801.

2.4

Section 6051 imposes sales tax on a retailer's retail sales of tangible personal property in this state measured by the gross receipts unless the sale is specifically exempt or excluded from taxation by statute.

A retail sale is a sale for any purpose other than resale and Section 1691 provides that the burden of proving that a sale property is not at retail is on the retailer unless they timely accept a resale certificate from the purchaser.

Specific to caterers, Regulation 1603, subdivision I, provides that tax applies to the entire charge made by a caterer for serving meals, food and drinks, including charges for dishes, silverware and any charges for event planning and design or other service fees if the charges are part of the caterer's furnishing of meal, food and drinks.

When a taxpayer challenges a determination, the Department has the burden to explain the basis of the deficiency. Where that explanation appears reasonable, the burden shifts to the taxpayer to establish by a

preponderance that adjustments are warranted.

2.4

Appellant only provided limited records with respect to the transactions contained in the 50-50 account. Specifically, Appellant has provided some sales contracts for different music festivals and some vendor sign-out sheets. Because of the lack of complete documentation, the Department was justified in using an indirect audit method to verify Appellant's sales; and pursuant to Audit Manual Section 0405.2, the projection of errors from a sample period is a valid indirect audit method.

As for the determined measure, the Department accounted for nontaxable sales where it could be established that the sale occurred out of state as well as sales for resale.

With respect to the commission payments, according to some of the contracts and sign-out forms provided by Appellant as Exhibit D, there were some events where Appellant sold beverages but hired other vendors to sell the food. The evidence indicates for these events, the vendors collected tax reimbursement on their sales and paid Appellant a percentage as a commission fee for coordinating the event and providing space for the venders to operate.

Accordingly, any commission payments received

from these types of vendors are not part of Appellant's sales of food or beverage; however, Appellant has not provided contracts for all of the events in the sample period or documents to verify which amounts represent sales made by Appellant and which payments are not subject to tax.

In absence of this evidence, the Department removed all transactions that were identified as vendor concessions and the Department's Exhibit M, Schedule R3 12b 1a3 contains a detailed list of the transactions in which amounts were allowed.

Accordingly, the measure established by the audit represents the best available evidence of Appellant's taxable sales and thus the determination is reasonable. As such, the burden shifts to Appellant to establish that adjustments are warranted.

Appellant has not provided any further documentation establishing that there are additional out-of-state sales or sales for resale for this measure.

With respect to Appellant's commission payments, Exhibits 30 through 36 contain some documents for four festivals that are part of the sample period; however, the documentation is incomplete. Specifically, there are only some vendor sign-out forms and what appear to be spreadsheets or QuickBooks with total sales figures, but

there are no receipts or source documents to verify the amounts in the spreadsheets.

2.4

In addition, the Department has already removed line items for these festivals that were identified as vendor concessionaires.

Appellant also provided some documentation for Coachella; however, the festival is not part of the sample period, so no adjustments for Coachella are warranted for this liability period.

With respect to the discussion today about the records being taken pursuant to a search warrant, that occurred in October of 2021. This appeal was filed with OTA in August of 2019, or 2019, I believe, and the appeal and the decision were issued well before these records were taken. The Sales and Use Tax Code requires that a taxpayer maintain these records and provide them upon audit.

So I understand there's a contention that they do have these records and these records would prove that further adjustments are warranted and that they can no longer provide these records now because they were taken in October of 2021, but the point is that they had ample time before that when the records were in their possession to provide these.

With respect to sales for resale, during the

audit, the Department found an account for Appellant's San Diego location labeled as catering sales, nontaxable, which totaled a little over \$1,000,000; however, Appellant was unable to provide resale certificates for these transactions.

The Department allowed sales as nontaxable where the evidence established that the sales were, in fact, sales for resale. Specifically, the Department allowed sales where the purchaser had an active seller's permit open at the time of purchase, the purchaser's website indicated that they may be in the business of purchasing food for resale, and where the purchase volume and frequency was indicative of a purchase for resale.

The Department's examination resulted in a taxable measure, a reaudited taxable measure, of \$935,584.

As previously stated, there's a presumption that all receipts are subject to tax and the retailer has the burden to establish otherwise unless the retailer accepts a timely and valid resale certificate. Regulation 1668, subdivision e, provides that if a seller does not take a valid and timely resale certificate, the seller may be relieved of the liability only if they can prove that the property was, in fact, resold by the purchaser prior to intervening use; that the property is being held for

resale; or that the purchaser paid tax to the Department on its consumption of the property.

2.4

We don't have any further resale certificates in evidence for these transactions or proof that they were accepted; therefore, the burden shifts to Appellant to establish that the questioned sales were, in fact, nontaxable sales for resale. Appellant has not provided further documentation; therefore, no adjustments are warranted to this measure.

As far the fraud penalty, Section 6485 provides that if any part of the sufficiency determination is due to fraud or intent to evade the sales and use tax law, a penalty of 25 percent shall be imposed.

The Taxation Code does not define fraud, but there are many federal precedents such as Bradford versus Commissioner and Tenzer versus Superscope that provide guidance.

Fraud is generally defined as an intentional wrongdoing on the part of a taxpayer with the specific intent to avoid a tax known to be owed and must be proven by clear and convincing evidence. While fraud cannot be presumed, it is rare to find direct evidence of fraud. Accordingly, fraud may be proven by circumstantial evidence.

The circumstantial evidence indicative of fraud

includes, but is not limited to, substantial discrepancies between recorded and reported amounts that cannot be explained, evidence that tax reimbursement is properly charged but not reported, inadequate records, failure to cooperate with tax authorities, and a lack of credibility and testimony.

2.4

Federal courts have also concluded that the mere omission of reportable income is not sufficient to warrant a finding of fraud, but repeated understatements in successive years when coupled with other circumstances showing an intent to conceal or misstate taxable tax income present a basis for inferring fraud.

We first note that the total deficiency measure of approximately 31.7 million dollars represents an error rate of 47.7 percent when compared to Appellant's reported taxable sales of 66 million dollars. This means that for every dollar Appellant reported, there was almost an additional dollar that it failed to report.

While Appellant asserts that most of this amount represents sales that occurred outside of the state, nontaxable sales for resale and commissions, Appellant has failed to establish that any adjustments to these measures are warranted.

In addition, Appellant's Exhibits 30 through 39 show that Appellant made substantial sales of beverages

during festivals that it recorded in its 50-50 account; that Appellant calculated the sales tax due from these sales and failed to report them on its returns.

Appellant has failed to provide a reasonable explanation for these discrepancies, especially the unreported sales it made during the festivals and, thus, Appellant's failure to report almost half of its taxable gross receipts is compelling evidence of fraud.

In addition, according to Audit Schedule 12A(4), Appellant's records show that it accrued sales tax reimbursement of \$6,847,268 but only reported tax of \$5,669,278, a difference of almost 1.2 million. This establishes that Appellant properly charged and collected sales tax reimbursement, accrued these amounts in its books, and failed to accurately report these amounts and thus is further evidence of fraud.

Plus, we note that Appellant has held a seller's permit since 1995 and that despite being in business for over 25 years, Appellant failed to maintain adequate books and records for the sales in its 50-50 account and accurately report its taxable sales.

Additionally, Appellant was previously audited for the period October 1st through September 30th, 2008, which ultimately resulted in a deficiency measure of approximately 14.7 million dollars and included measures

for unreported taxable sales and disallowed sales for resale.

2.

The audit report for this period was issued on August 25th, 2011 and the Notice of Determination was issued on June 21st, 2012, almost one year before the third quarter of 2013 sample period used in the current audit; however, there were no improvements in Appellant's recordkeeping afterwards and it continued to substantially underreport its taxable sales.

Accordingly, Appellant's repeated understatements throughout the liability period, despite being in business for over 25 years and going through a prior audit, is further compelling evidence of fraud.

In summary, the evidence establishes that

Appellant had considerable business experience, including
a prior audit; kept books and records demonstrating that
it properly charged and collected tax reimbursement for
some sales and that it even calculated the tax due from
its own sales during various festivals which it failed to
report. Therefore, the fraud penalty is supported by
clear and convincing evidence of intent to evade the
payment of tax and the penalty was properly imposed.

For all of the foregoing reasons, no further adjustments are warranted and this appeal should be denied. Thank you.

1 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. Does that conclude your 2 presentation? 3 Yes, ma'am. MR. NOBLE: 4 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. 5 Judge Geary, did you have questions for 6 Respondent? 7 JUDGE GEARY: Can I defer to Judge Kwee and yourself first? 8 9 JUDGE RALSTON: Sure. 10 Judge Kwee, did you have any questions? Hi. Yes. 11 JUDGE KWEE: 12 Well, the first question is just the 2005 and --13 to 2008, was that the very first audit? There was no audit from 1995 to 2005? 14 15 MR. NOBLE: Yes. Okay. And before I ask my second 16 JUDGE KWEE: 17 question, I'll just preface it by saying that OTA's going 18 to issue a written decision, so OTA hasn't made a 19 decision at this point. This is just a question that if 20 in the decision that we issue OTA determines that the 21 fraud penalty wasn't applicable, does CDTFA have a 22 position on whether the negligence penalty would instead 23 apply instead of the fraud penalty, assuming that OTA --2.4 MR. NOBLE: In assuming that OTA did not find that 25 there was clear intent to evade the payment of tax, the

Department would most certainly assert that they were at minimum negligent in reporting, yes.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And is this something that CDTFA would be willing to provide post-hearing briefing on?

Because I don't believe the parties have briefed the issue of negligence. I guess the question is, yeah, is that something that you would be willing to provide briefing on?

MR. NOBLE: Yes, sir.

2.4

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. And for Appellant's representative, would you -- was that something that you would also be willing to provide briefing on if OTA requested on whether or not the negligence penalty could apply in the event that the fraud penalty is inapplicable?

MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Appellant would appreciate the opportunity to brief that. It has never become an issue and I don't believe it was listed on the Notice of Determination, so it would be -- I would welcome that opportunity.

JUDGE KWEE: Okay. I am going to hold that over because my understanding is that you have a pending motion for additional briefing as it stands, so I'm going to let the lead ALJ address that after you have your rebuttal. So I'll turn it back to Judge Ralston at this

1 time. Thank you. 2 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you. We are ready for 3 your rebuttal. You have approximately ten minutes. 4 JUDGE GEARY: Actually, I --5 JUDGE RALSTON: Oh, I'm sorry. Judge Geary, please. I'm sorry. I deferred. I still had 6 JUDGE GEARY: 7 some questions. Mr. Noble, you said something about the 8 9 Appellant not providing documents such as receipts. 10 you referring to receipts that the vendors might have had 11 for sales? The vendor receipts would certainly help 12 MR. NOBLE: 13 derive commission payments and such; but, you know, we've 14 heard a lot of testimony today about point-of-sale 15 systems. I believe Square computing was mentioned. think what we really would like to see is the receipts 16 evidencing what Appellant's alcohol sales were during the 17 18 festivals but really generally source documents, source 19 documents that help verify the close-out sheets. 20 JUDGE GEARY: I want to ask you a little bit about 21 the documents that were seized; and you may know nothing 22 about it, but I need to explore this a bit. 23 MR. NOBLE: Yes, sir.

I think you -- part of the argument was that before the date those documents were seized,

24

25

JUDGE GEARY:

Appellant had an opportunity to marshal and present evidence at that point to CDTFA or BOE. Does CDTFA -- or are you able to identify for us what exactly was included in the documents that were seized?

2.4

MR. NOBLE: I cannot and even if I could, I would not know. We -- Investigations is kind of a separate sealed-off branch from CDTFA and we are not really privy to a taxpayer being under investigation until post-investigation and a determination has been issued and then we kind of get to see all the documents.

To be perfectly honest with you, it was when the exhibit index was released and all the Appellant's exhibits were provided to us that I saw the memorandums, and it was the first I learned of any sort of search warrant.

JUDGE GEARY: Do you know whether the investigative division or the CHP provides a receipt to identify all the documents that were seized?

MR. NOBLE: Perhaps Jason Parker can help.

MR. PARKER: I believe the Investigations Bureau goes through and makes a record of all the items that were seized. I'm not sure the involvement of CHP in doing that, but I know that the Investigations Bureau goes through and makes a list of everything. We don't have access to it ourselves, as Mr. Noble mentioned, but they

do make that as part of the evidence.

2.4

JUDGE GEARY: Do -- do you know, Mr. Parker, whether or not that list is made available to the individual or entity from whom the documents are seized?

MR. PARKER: As I have not been involved in Investigations Bureau, I'm not aware of how that's exactly handled.

JUDGE GEARY: Does -- does CDTFA dispute what is apparently the contention of the Appellant that all documents that pertain to the periods that are at issue in this appeal and in this hearing were seized in 2021?

MR. NOBLE: We don't have knowledge. I can't tell you one way or another, so I don't concede it.

JUDGE GEARY: All right. Thank you. Those are all the questions that I have.

JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.

And Mr. McLaughlin, you have your rebuttal now. Thank you.

MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Thank you, your Honor.

I just wanted to highlight a couple of different things that I heard.

First and foremost from Respondent was a concern that source documentation from POS systems has not been available. Your Honors heard testimony earlier today that up until almost the very end of this audit period,

no or extremely limited amounts of credit card transactions occurred. It was almost exclusively in cash. Therefore, the absence of point-of-sale transaction information should not be something held against Appellant, because it did not exist.

2.4

I also go back to an earlier point made in the opening statement that by CDTFA's own accounts, when it came to the federal income tax reporting, the taxpayer was off by less than 1 percent. Again, it would be unfitting for somebody who was truly seeking to defraud federal agencies to be so accurate with respect to one aspect, i.e. income tax, and, as CDTFA alleges, grossly misstated with respect to another.

The reason must be a simple misunderstanding as to what is taxable and not taxable and as we know from case precedent, ignorance, bad advice, misunderstandings of the law, which the oral testimony today corroborated, are not fraudulent.

We have heard and received absolutely nothing else from CDTFA other than the fact that the numbers are big, they had a previous audit of which we have discussed; the Appellant continues to believe is misstated.

Had it not been for Mr. Dressel's near life-threatening ailment, it is likely that an option

letter response would have been filed and we would have continued to see a reduction in the first audit as we have in the second audit.

These items do not suggest a taxpayer who was evading a tax known to be due and owing. It doesn't even establish that they knew they owed it.

We have heard nothing else and I trust that this board will come to the same conclusion that SBE did itself in the first audit, that there was no fraudulent intent. Thank you, your Honors.

JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.

I'm going to check with my panel members one more time to see if there are any additional questions.

Judge Geary?

2.4

JUDGE GEARY: No. Thank you.

JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you.

And Judge Kwee?

JUDGE KWEE: I don't have any further questions. Thank you.

JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. Thank you.

With regard to the motion that was requested earlier for additional briefing, I'm going to go ahead and grant that and give the -- we'll have Appellant's brief due first within 30 days and then Respondent will have the option to respond within 30 days after receipt

of Appellant's brief.

We also -- and I will send out an order with this information to be clear, but we also would like the parties to address the issue raised by Judge Kwee that if this panel were to determine that the fraud penalty does not apply in this case, would the negligence penalty apply and be appropriate?

Is that a correct statement, Judge Kwee?

JUDGE KWEE: Yes. That's -- I'm sorry. I didn't have the microphone on. Yeah. That summarizes the question I had. Thank you.

JUDGE RALSTON: Yes?

MR. NOBLE: With respect to the post-hearing briefing on the witness testimony today, I just wanted to make sure, if we could narrow or be specific, there's not going to be any further argument or anything like that.

My understanding is we're going to have a summary or a recap of the witness testimony that was provided; correct?

JUDGE RALSTON: Is that correct, Mr. McLaughlin? That's my understanding also.

MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Yeah. I will brief and present a statement of facts and the arguments and the law as we've provided it and address the question from Judge Kwee and --

1 JUDGE RALSTON: Okay. 2 MR. MC LAUGHLIN: My question, your Honor, the 30 3 days, is that from today or from the delivery of the 4 transcript? 5 JUDGE RALSTON: Let's make it from the delivery of 6 the transcript. 7 MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Thank you. I'm sorry to jump in there. 8 JUDGE KWEE: 9 transcript sometimes takes 60 days to arrive. So my 10 understanding is it would be 30 days from the date of the 11 order going out, which probably will come within a week 12 from today's date. 13 JUDGE RALSTON: Okav. So what I'll do is I'll issue 14 my order and then I'll put the due date in there, but it 15 will be 30 days from the date of my order. If the transcript is not available at that time, 16 this hearing will be on OTA's YouTube page, so the 17 parties can refer to that for additional information. 18 19 MR. MC LAUGHLIN: Thank you, your Honor. 20 JUDGE RALSTON: Thank you. And it will be up later, 21 later today. So that being taken care of, I don't 22 23 think there are any additional questions. 2.4 So today's hearing in the appeal of F & B

Associates, Inc. is now adjourned and the record will be

25

1	held open for additional briefing. After additional				
2	briefing is complete, the judges will meet and decide				
3	your case and send our written decision, but you should				
4	be expecting an order with this information in about a				
5	week or so.				
6	And there are no hearings no further hearings				
7	today, so we are adjourned. Thank you.				
8	(Proceedings concluded at 1:57 p.m.)				
9					
LO					
11					
L2					
13					
L4					
15					
L6					
L7					
L8					
L9					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24 25					
د2					

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand 3 4 Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify: 5 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; that any 6 witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to 7 testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the 8 proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand, which 9 10 was thereafter transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony 11 12 given. 13 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the 14 original transcript of a deposition in a federal case, 15 before completion of the proceedings, review of the 16 transcript was not requested. 17 I further certify I am neither financially 18 interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any 19 attorney or party to this action. 20 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed 21 my name.

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

Marcena M. Munguia, CSR Ng. 10420

Certified Shorthand Reporter For The State Of California

22

23

2.4

25

Dated: June 21, 2023

Index: \$1,000,000..2013

\$	1	14.7 181:25	20- 44:18
Ψ		15 4:12 10:12 11:16 37:2 89:16 93:22 104:17	20-minute 93:8
\$1,000,000 178:3	1 8:24,25 9:2,4,10		200,000 173:2
\$10 107:12	17:21 172:19 188:9	15-minute 93:15	2000 33:24 69:9
\$10,000 131:25	1-39 4:4	94:5	136:20 137:4 170:18
132:8	1.2 16:8 156:9	150 45:18	2000- 97:13
\$139,600 77:19	181:12	15th 23:3 42:7	2001 33:24 44:19
\$2 107:13	1.4 18:15	1603 174:15	2003 124:17
\$2,000 77:7,8	1.8 17:5	1668 178:20	128:24,25
\$2,780 164:14	10 12:4 49:24 103:17 165:3,4 10,000-foot 87:24	1691 174:11	2005 18:14 38:22 158:1 183:12,14 2007 97:13 155:13 156:9,14
\$22 162:18		16th 155:6	
\$25 56:9		172 4:13	
\$250,000 18:17	111:11 10- 93:15	187 4:25	2008 16:5 18:9,14 23:5 38:23 40:12,
\$3,605 164:17		19 39:17	
\$30,582,801	100-day 13:24	1976 135:12	13 60:5 82:25
174:5	101 53:11	1980 135:18	172:13 181:23
\$300 168:21,23	10420 2:20	136:17	
\$3200 165:5	11 4:5 50:4	1985 28:19,22	
\$35 56:11	11:30 93:1	29:4	
\$37,000 73:6	11:35 94:10	1990 30:5 38:6	183:13
\$40,936 105:23	11:50 94:11	1994 15:23	2010 70:7
\$400 77:7 127:11	12 168:18	1995 181:18	2011 20:8 182:4
\$414,000 73:3	122 4:21 25:18 149:22 12900 2:16	183:14	2012 18:13 89:11,
\$5,669,278		1998 95:20,21,23 123:11	18 145:8,11 150:1,22,25 155:6
181:12		19th 16:7 20:8	163:19 182:5
\$6,847,268	12:35 133:11	84:2 172:12	2013 16:6 18:10
181:11	12:36 134:17	1:57 2:18 192:8	23:5 40:14 47:2 48:7 60:6 71:24
\$697,000 75:7	12:46 134:17	1a3 176:10	82:1,21,25 83:13
\$8 107:13	12A(4) 181:9	1st 46:24 172:13	89:15 101:14
\$9,915 164:20	12b 176:10	181:23	102:17 103:22 108:11,19,24
\$935,584 178:16	12th 150:22,25		109:6,14 116:5
	151:15	2	129:2,9,18 145:7, 8,9,11 151:1,2
	13 82:8 145:10	2 148:6	152:9 161:3
02 69:15	133,000 73:15	2.4 173:18	163:18,21 164:14, 20 165:8,11
03 69:15	135 4:22 14 89:16	20 93:22 104:17 170:12	172:14 173:13
0405.2 175:9			182:6

Index: 2014..access

2014 37:2 151:3,5, **26** 91:7 151:12 **7th** 151:3 4 24 **26.97** 173:22 **2015** 19:9.19 8 **26th** 75:6 91:19 **4** 34:5 148:6 21:13 23:7 40:25 151:18 153:13 41:24 46:24 130:2 8 2:19 4:6 5:1 151:12,15 **27** 4:18 47:16 49:2 **4.8** 17:4 49:24 52:4 169:24 **2016** 16:7,12 23:3 **4.9** 172:14 8.8 173:19 42:7 151:18 **29th** 151:2 **40** 8:24 9:6 11:1, 80 173:10 157:19,20 172:12, 2nd 16:14 151:1 10,12 103:16 152:9 172:16 **40,000** 105:7 9 **2017** 37:23 **40-41** 4:5 **2019** 16:14 70:10 3 **9** 4:4 177:13 **41** 8:25 9:6 11:1, 900,000 172:20 **3** 148:6 10,12 74:8 76:20 2019-OTA-299P 80:20 83:3,4,7,15 26:8 930,000 173:1 **30** 49:24 59:15 87:17 141:7,9 93:22,23 94:2,3 **2020** 16:14 **95** 4:20 **47.7** 180:15 103:16 134:8 **2021** 10:24 16:22, **96** 68:8 170:11 176:21 4th 7:6 82:21 23 84:2 86:9 180:24 189:24,25 97 69:4,5 174:2 91:19 99:21 115:1 191:2,10,15 120:9 171:23 99 67:25 5 **30.5** 172:24 177:12,22 187:11 9:33 2:17 5:2 **300** 2:16 164:16 **2023** 2:19 5:1 **50-50** 159:22 9th 25:4 173:9,23 175:3 74:21 **30th** 19:8,19 21:13 181:1,20 23:7 40:25 172:14 **21** 39:1,3,17,18 Α 181:23 40:24 52:12 **54** 172:18 154:13 155:4 **31** 103:19 104:12 **59** 173:24,25 **A-M** 4:6 156:6 **31.7** 180:14 5th 40:12 150:1 a.m. 2:17 5:2 **21067999** 2:6 5:8 **32** 170:1 **Abbey** 55:23 56:9 **21st** 16:23 18:13 6 **34** 57:12 71:20 162:7,11 19:9 151:24 182:5 72:1.20 76:4 **ability** 66:11 89:4 **22nd** 16:22 6 82:7 81:21 106:25 90:3 132:4 156:23 151:18 **35** 165:3 **60** 191:9 absence 40:4 23 42:5,24 46:8 **6051** 174:6 **36** 46:9 82:17 176:7 188:3 **23rd** 151:5 101:10 176:21 **6485** 15:20 16:9 absolutely 64:3 **24** 83:24 84:13 **37** 163:16,21 18:16 21:19 22:7 147:24 188:19 85:1 149:4 156:7 179:10 169:18 accept 89:4 **24th** 77:17 **38** 163:16 **66** 174:4 180:16 156:17 174:13 **25** 12:2 86:6 93:21 **67** 4:19 accepted 89:11 **39** 8:24,25 9:3,5, 94:3 134:4 10 163:17,21 179:5 6th 12:11 172:10,15 179:13 180:24 accepting 89:10 181:19 182:12 3rd 82:21 7 accepts 178:19 25th 16:12 77:17 86:9 182:4 access 13:21 **7.4** 16:7,25

Index: accommodate..Alton

85:13,14,15

92:2,9 108:7

87:10,23 88:12,15

21:11 84:18 85:25 accurately 155:18 173:4 ahead 11:1 12:17 86:16,18,22 87:1, 181:15,21 175:1 176:16 14:25 27:3 29:23 121:9 189:22 3,4,10 92:2,3 177:8,20 179:8 **achieve** 138:11 186:25 180:22 182:24 ailment 188:25 142:1 **ADMINISTRATIO** accommodate act 22:7 alcohol 66:12 137:24 170:12 **N** 3:10 125:13 146:13,16 acted 19:22 account 42:10 185:17 administrative 22:18,23 32:16 43:1 45:24 55:11 5:10 8:20 9:11 111:3 alcoholic 53:17 69:24 70:9 77:6 11:13 27:11 67:7 66:5 79:24 84:18 86:17 acting 18:1 95:9 122:17 135:3 123:24 131:11 Alder 87:4 active 178:9 admitted 8:14 9:5 160:3 169:11 **ALJ** 3:3,5 184:24 11:10 173:9,23 175:4 activities 115:24 178:1 181:1,20 131:11,14 advance 51:23 allegations 35:16 118:14 38:16 111:1,19 accountant **activity** 132:3,5 33:10 46:3 88:11 advanced 20:23 allege 38:18 **actual** 52:1 60:10 139:21 22:13 70:23 105:6,11 alleged 20:6 accountants 127:16 23:21 89:22 111:2 Adventures 142:19 163:9,10 **acumen** 24:12 allegedly 19:23 accounted 85:7 advice 20:15 add 82:3 141:15 alleges 42:11 106:2 129:15 188:16 158:13 44:21 188:12 175:13 advising 156:25 added 173:25 alleging 16:7 accounting 30:10 31:16 Advisory 33:21 17:19 24:19 28:23 addition 26:1 29:1 30:14 32:24 allocation 26:23 177:3 180:24 afford 70:19 33:11,17 42:22 181:9 allowances after-the-fact 43:13 44:11 50:23 additional 12:13, 23:15 155:11,16 67:22,23 68:2,22, 18 13:2 14:14 24 69:22 74:1,2 allowed 148:5 afternoon 135:8 16:7 26:9 150:21 88:8,14,16 103:3 176:11 178:6.8 176:18 180:18 **agencies** 188:11 104:4 111:6,12 184:23 189:13,22 alter 110:21 112:6,13 115:15, agree 40:9,12,21 191:18,23 192:1 21 125:13 135:12 **Alton** 4:18 6:17 41:22 42:10,13 137:1,6,13 153:7 Additionally 43:1 44:5 45:23 7:20 18:21,22,23, 173:14 181:22 47:1 63:9 83:14, 25 19:1 21:1,5,10, 18 84:5,10,23,25 22 22:18 24:2,11, accounts 22:18 address 12:10 85:17,18,23 86:1, 20 27:4,9,16,21 55:1,16 70:15 184:24 190:4,24 20 88:3,18,19 28:10.14 34:2.8 86:19 130:2,4,17 addressed 152:3, 36:22 38:15 39:3, 91:10,21,25 92:5, 140:20 169:12 5 154:12 6 99:11 101:13,18 20 40:16 42:8,24 188:7 111:18 112:11 44:21 46:7,13 adequate 181:19 **accrued** 181:10, 47:5,11 49:1 126:12 156:13 14 adjourned 52:15 57:1 59:17, 157:5 191:25 192:7 24 61:1,7,10,12, accurate 6:1 agreement 47:18, 19 63:1,3,19 64:7 17:23 40:6 86:7 adjusted 73:10 23 48:9,12,16 66:17 75:11 80:23 89:19 105:21 104:9,18 49:2 50:16 96:23 84:15,18,19,20 114:12 124:8,9 114:9

agreements

48:21

adjustment 7:11

adjustments 7:8

159:6,16 171:4

188:11

Index: Alton's..assessment

111:2,5,14 112:12 126:11,15,19 128:16 139:9 140:24 150:1,3, 12,21 153:15,24 154:17 161:6 **Alton's** 19:3 21:15 24:24 40:2 59:21 64:14,15 70:16 85:21 88:1 110:7 112:9 130:18 139:4 160:24 **Alvarez** 4:21 7:21 51:25 93:22.24 122:5,10,13,15,22 132:15 America 123:19, 20 124:2,11 131:4,7,15,19 132:11 America's 131:25 **Amgen** 56:15,16 162:21,22 amount 7:9,11 72:22 73:1,10 75:23 78:10 103:10 104:14

13:12 18:15 39:24 105:17 106:14 126:18 127:14 128:7 156:9 168:1,25 169:2,3 180:19

amounts 45:23 109:1,2 173:11 176:4,11 177:2 180:2 181:14,15 188:1

ample 177:22 analogize 25:2

ANDREW 3:5

Angeles 42:12 74:23,25

Angeles-based 75:3

Anguiano 108:20 anticipate 14:8 anticipation 12:11 13:9

anymore 99:20 apologize 59:14 61:10

apparently 187:9

appeal 2:5 5:6,18 16:22 41:18,19 120:18 121:3,24 157:8 171:23 173:3 177:12,13 182:24 187:11 191:24

appealed 41:14

appeals 2:1 5:8, 22 6:7 16:14 19:9 25:16 39:7.11 154:17

APPEARANCES 3:1

appearing 39:4 154:14

appears 46:23 151:2 174:24

Appellant 2:7 3:7 6:10,14 7:8,10,19 8:9 11:16 12:4,13 14:16 15:23 16:2, 11,21 17:23 18:1, 22 19:6,10,15,17, 22 20:24 21:3 22:6,17,23 23:9 25:9,12,24 27:22 28:10,11 32:23 63:3.10 154:15 168:8 172:12 173:16 175:2,4, 18,19,22 176:2,5, 15,17 177:6 178:4 179:5,7 180:17, 19,21,25 181:2,4, 13,17,19,22 182:15 184:16 185:9 186:1 187:9 188:5,22

appellant's 4:4,5 8:23 9:4 11:1,10 12:22 17:18 18:10 19:16 21:7,11 23:5,12 24:4 25:6, 7,11,14,17 26:3 63:6,8,25 133:12 173:7,12,17,23 174:1 175:8 176:1,14,20 178:1 180:15,24 181:7, 10 182:7,10 184:10 185:17 186:12 189:23 190:1

appellants' 9:10 11:12

applicable 22:10 80:16 183:21

applied 20:10 40:13 114:5 144:22 167:16 168:3 173:22

applies 174:16 **apply** 105:9 142:4 183:23 184:14

190:6,7 applying 102:4

106:14 167:18 apportion 90:17

apportioned 26:21

approach 22:14 26:7 169:5

appropriately 63:21

approximate 22:3

approximately 11:16,19 12:2,4 30:3 69:14 97:12 128:23,24 172:10, 14,24 173:2,18 180:14 181:25 185:3

approximation

22:4

April 16:22 151:3 158:18

area 35:25 37:16 68:10 69:23 74:19 79:7 83:9 104:7 142:5 163:11,12 164:2,3 167:7 169:13

areas 74:12 111:6.8 137:3 165:23 168:25

argue 23:11 43:11

argued 18:20

argues 111:14

arguing 64:2

argument 14:5,6, 17,18,21 17:15 19:21 32:15 185:24 190:16

arguments 13:4 15:19 17:7 19:4 20:19 22:14,17 31:21 33:1 34:23 36:2 155:18 190:23

arising 20:14 armored 127:3,4 arrangement 143:16

arrive 191:9 artist 105:3 106:21

aspect 44:20 188:12

aspects 26:23 47:6 68:25 112:14

assert 21:5 184:1

asserts 180:19 assessed 154:6

assessing 155:2

assessment 26:2

85:24 156:24 149:23 150:13 **banking** 37:15 В 153:22,25 154:13 43:15 **assets** 25:12 155:22 157:3 140:14 **banks** 77:3,4 168:6 171:11 **B.A.** 28:18,21 68:2 107:3.7 **assist** 97:19 172:16 173:8 95:17 137:2 175:8,9,10 176:13 banners 65:21 **B.S.** 67:22,23 177:17 178:1 assisted 51:25 bar 53:19,20,22 181:9 182:3,7,13, Bacchus 3:12 7:2 54:8,24 104:7 assisting 97:17 16 183:13,14 105:3 125:5,6 back 10:4 29:20 187:25 188:21 Associate 88:14 127:2 128:2 30:21 36:23 51:7 189:2,3,9 143:24 162:13,15 52:14 60:13 62:8 Associates 2:6 **audited** 7:9,11 168:18 64:20 73:22 77:11 5:6 6:15,17 27:22, 146:25 147:2 78:3 81:20 86:22 barbacking 24 84:8 88:7 148:11,14 174:2 94:10,15,18 143:9 191:25 68:17 181:22 105:9,16 106:12, bars 105:14 Associates' 85:3, 23 112:19 121:24 auditing 51:9 125:18 10 128:20 134:17,21 147:10 144:23 147:20 bartender 107:12 assuming **auditors** 148:10, 167:20,21,24 183:23,24 14 150:14,15 bartenders 29:5. 170:15 171:18 151:22 7,22 77:5,6 assumptions 184:25 188:6 154:10 168:15 **audits** 148:23 **back-end** 112:6 atrium 53:9 bartending **August** 74:21 back-of-house 29:21,22 56:4 atriums 62:6,15 75:6 77:17 78:7 65:19 68:17 82:21 151:2 attempt 59:19 **backed** 63:21 157:17,18,20 **based** 34:8 37:17, 78:24 177:13 182:4 18 76:3 84:23 attempted 25:2 86:3,7,20 98:25 31:17 92:10 background **Aunt** 104:22 101:25 104:16 141:24 24:24 28:15,17 **author** 156:7 106:7 124:25 67:18,20 95:15 attempting 23:15 132:10,25 161:22 122:23,24 135:10 authorities 180:5 164:24 172:16 attention 34:1.9 backtrack 100:6 authority 21:24 42:8 47:16 49:1 **bases** 98:4 25:3 153:20 74:7 82:17 91:6 **backup** 148:2 **basic** 65:22 authorizing 20:8 attorney 120:22 bad 20:15 188:16 basically 29:8 136:20 137:2 **Auto** 26:7 **badges** 25:3,5 33:10,12,14 34:19 151:10 average 91:9,21, 35:24 37:13 42:17 **Bailey** 51:25 attributable 18:6 23 46:5 49:6 50:11 64:17 **Baker** 30:25 54:7 69:22 70:18 avoid 66:21 90:3 100:18 102:1 **audit** 10:21.22 Baker's 31:20 179:20 106:8 108:2 112:3 16:5 17:17 18:10, balance 22:12 **aware** 21:18 131:17 137:7 12,17,18,20 19:7, 127:2,15,19,22 31:16,19 38:16 140:20,25 153:6 19,24 20:1,10,19 165:6 21:12 22:6,8,11, 41:13,16 45:12 162:24 168:7 57:10 86:25 12,14,15 23:4,6, **bank** 21:11 69:24 **basics** 44:24 116:19,21 150:8 12,13,20 25:16 70:9,15 77:6,14 basis 17:6 23:17 187:6 41:24 46:10,13, 123:19,20 124:1, 24:13 42:20 53:9 16,19 52:18 54:19 11 131:4,7,15,19, 56:5,6 61:24 83:15 121:6,12 25 132:11 153:9 64:16 140:15 145:6 148:4,13

Index: Bauer..bring

156:11 174:23 17 159:3 171:22 **biggest** 113:18 159:3,14,15,18,19 180:12 166:6,7,21,22 **beer** 107:11,13 bike 56:16 162:23 167:5 181:15,20 Bauer 37:25 38:2, beer-soaked bill 105:16 106:22 182:16 3.4 125:2 128:3 **boomed** 43:10 billbacks 105:5 Bay 35:25 37:16 began 16:3 97:9 53:24 68:10 69:23 **billed** 106:2 booth 113:15 107:17 128:21 144:23 **booths** 113:7 **BBC** 27:25 28:10 begin 15:10,13 29:18 30:2,4,6,16 **billing** 106:12 boots 112:17,23 28:14 67:19 94:16 31:21 32:2,3,7,15, 95:2,14 135:8 bills 73:23 113:2,5,10,19,24 23 33:1,8 34:11, 136:23 172:10 22 35:16,22 36:2, binder 9:14 boots-on-the-9 37:4,12,19,22 beginning 35:11 ground 112:20 bird's 111:11 38:6 41:5 49:21 47:17 91:20 boss 42:21 52:5.19.24 53:21 134:21 136:16 bit 8:7 24:13 43:8 54:4,10,14 55:19, 155:10 156:6 47:10 50:25 51:9 **bottom** 34:9 22 56:1,14,18 63:13 65:14 66:12 84:14 92:1 begins 49:2 91:8 57:9 60:2,9,19 81:20 85:1 93:10 **Bowl** 116:11,20 71:7 72:21 73:14, behalf 6:14 34:11 96:4 100:2,6 165:25 22,23 75:6 76:15 107:21 124:23 104:25 105:24 77:9,12,19 86:8 138:7 139:25 107:3 131:17 Bowl's 116:23 89:4,8,10,18 90:8 154:14 138:22 154:12 **box** 163:14 91:13 92:10 96:5, 161:13 168:5 behavior 25:11 8,17,20 97:4,6,9, 185:20,22 Bradford 25:4 15 98:5,7 99:13 **belief** 171:7 179:15 blatantly 21:1 101:8 102:10,23 believed 64:16 **branch** 186:7 103:5.17.22 **board** 15:16 17:10 104:14 106:1,10 believer 34:14 20:11 31:1 35:23 branched 45:2 107:4,18,21 126:5 145:15 **believes** 84:7,19 brand-new 44:15, 110:15,21 113:16 157:1 189:8 16 114:19,22 115:24 beverage 15:24 **BOE** 25:18 117:23 116:20 124:11,13, 27:25 28:4.7 break 9:17 66:23 118:12 149:22 15,23 125:24 29:20 31:14 32:11 71:16 72:11 92:24 155:17,21 186:2 128:20 129:15,20, 36:17 43:10 93:8,11,16 94:5 25 130:2,10 132:7 47:11,24 49:9,10, **book** 78:12 122:10 133:14,15, 136:19,20,24 11,15 50:5 53:10, 17,20 134:9,16 **booked** 79:23 137:5,13,20 138:8 17 54:5,7,17 137:12 139:12,14,18 55:10 61:25 62:9, bookkeeper breakdown 85:6 140:1 143:10 10,16,19 68:4,7 45:21 146:16 147:20 96:3,8,20 97:8 **breaks** 74:12 bookkeepers 148:23 151:15,18 98:7 112:16 142:19 bride 56:10 90:14 152:5 154:15 116:12 125:13 155:24 156:7,20 143:8 176:2 bookkeeping briefed 184:5 157:22 161:3 32:24 33:17 42:22 beverages 51:13 162:10,22 164:25 **briefing** 12:14,18 57:18 68:25 69:10 65:15 66:1,2,5 165:1,11 170:17 13:2,6,10,14 65:6 70:24 79:25 82:14 106:21 171:1 116:19 184:4,8, 111:13 175:19 180:25 12,23 189:22 **BBC's** 31:4,8 37:4 **books** 21:7 38:9 190:13 192:1,2 big 42:19 53:16 40:18 54:21 89:24 46:2 52:17 85:12 79:18 90:23,24 bring 44:13 66:10 125:6 132:9.11 141:1,16,17,22,25 138:25 188:21 139:23 146:13 89:1 142:1,4,7,11,15 147:22 148:1,11, 148:11,14 158:6

Index: bringing..Cdtfa's

bringing 12:16 **busy** 70:2 cards 75:21 78:5 98:6 174:15 89:8,10 90:8,21 **buy** 107:10 **brings** 16:2,17 catering 27:25 18:11 care 191:22 55:23,24 56:2,9, 10,20 90:14 96:3, broader 24:21 C career 107:18 9,19,21,22 98:2,3, **Broadway** 52:22 careless 39:22 5,7 105:3,7,23,25 cafe 53:3,4,6,8,11, 106:10 112:16 53:1 carried 83:2 14,15,23 54:15 115:25 116:12 **broken** 74:17 62:5,10 104:22 138:1 143:8 carry 25:21 79:13 80:15 160:14 162:7,11, cafes 53:17 54:16 case 5:8 12:2 13:4 12 163:10 178:2 brother 68:8 61:22,23 62:2 15:14 18:19 Cathedral 123:8. brought 33:10 calculate 45:22 20:11,14 21:15,24 12,13 51:3,11 58:11 24:13 25:4 27:22 calculated 73:6 62:20 69:3 107:7 28:12 30:10 34:8 **CD** 114:19 173:21 181:2 146:19 38:17 41:21 47:3 182:18 **CDTA** 152:20 66:22 89:22 budgets 70:18 153:13 154:15 calculation 102:9 **CDTFA** 6:25 7:1, 85:17 165:2 188:16 2,3 10:15,23 California 2:2,17, **build** 91:10 190:6 192:3 11:23 13:5 14:11 21 3:9 5:1 15:20, 15:15 17:17 20:17 cash 21:9 36:11, buildings 62:6 25 17:25 19:12 24 45:23 51:7 21:4,14,19 22:13, 21:1 24:10,17 **built** 29:11 43:23 64:8 68:25 69:12 22 23:14,24 24:1, 30:6 31:18 37:6 65:20 137:17 70:1,7 77:2,4,10, 22 25:2,15,20 38:19 42:3 45:2 **burden** 20:17 11,19 85:16 26:2,21 31:16 47:8 50:20 52:6 89:18,20 102:8 38:16 40:16,19 25:21 174:11,23, 56:16,17,19,25 107:3,7,9 111:23 41:3 42:2,11,25 25 176:15 178:19 77:18 80:7 84:22 114:11 115:6,9, 43:2 44:2,21 179:5 92:11 96:2 108:1 11,18 119:3,6,17 45:21 46:1,19 116:24 135:15 **Bureau** 186:20,23 64:11 76:18 124:6,25 125:9, 141:21 158:7 187:6 13,14 126:12,19, 84:15,16,18 94:4 159:19 163:1 22 127:5,8,14,16, 111:14 113:11 Burr 142:17 165:24 166:5 115:4 117:16,25 17,19,23 128:1,8, 167:2 169:24 business 15:23 11 129:24 131:6, 120:8,11 121:8,11 18:25 26:3 27:24 California's 14 132:22 137:21 126:6.10 133:19 29:11 30:12 33:14 24:14,15 142:22 145:15 168:20 188:3 36:21 38:12,14 148:12 149:15,17 call 7:22 27:2,4 catch 93:4 39:14 40:18 43:24 153:18 155:17,21 35:14 48:11 50:11 44:15 45:10 46:2, 156:24 165:19 categories 54:21 93:16 100:14 3 47:6,11 49:14 112:5 160:6 183:21 184:3 105:4 167:4 85:10 95:25 186:2,7 187:8 category 159:17 111:7,8 112:12,14 **called** 27:10 28:6 188:12,20 160:4 161:17 120:12 123:23 29:5 67:6 76:7 **CDTFA's** 15:19 163:2,7 129:20 137:11 95:8 122:16 135:2 17:2,7,11 19:21 138:7 155:25 143:7,23 164:7 cater 90:13 96:25 22:16 23:2 30:10 156:1,20 171:22 168:19 31:21 32:15 33:1 178:11 181:18 catered 90:13 capacity 108:9 34:23 35:16 36:2 182:12,15 caterer 62:20 136:23 37:5 52:18 63:18 76:6 174:17 businessperson 111:2 112:11 **card** 45:7 86:13 18:22 21:2 24:9 133:12 160:5 **caterer's** 174:20 89:5,6,19 90:1,2, 43:1 111:4,5 188:7 4,7,15 188:1 caterers 62:11

center 29:13,14 53:7,12 62:4 63:7 **Cerritos** 2:16 5:1 certificate 76:12 83:21 99:24 162:1 174:13 178:20,22 certificates 76:23 99:13 126:7 145:16,22 178:4 179:3 Certified 2:20 **CHAD** 3:12 challenged 146:25 challenges 174:22 **chance** 12:21 **change** 77:11,13, 22 81:2,6,12 137:19 changed 28:5,8

107:12 110:12,22 60:5 110:5 137:23 155:25 156:1,2

charge 42:23 55:1,8,16 58:3 65:11 88:24 90:25 112:14 138:15,24 143:9,12 174:17

165:10 167:17

168:4

chargebacks 105:5

charged 73:19 103:15 105:8 180:4 181:13 182:17

charges 65:9 73:18 90:23,24 146:1 174:18,19,

charging 162:17 Charles 152:1

Chat 7:2

cheap 170:8

check 87:7 92:25 93:7 113:14 114:6 118:15.16 133:10 147:2 167:11 168:7,8,11 189:12

checkbook 43:16,17

checked 167:13 **checking** 117:17,

19 118:10,11

checkout 57:7 58:4,14 60:10,23 65:8 71:3,23 76:4 82:18 101:11 109:5 113:21 114:4,5 119:16 127:25 128:7 144:6,10,12 146:4

checkouts 129:15 165:8

checks 85:9

Children's 54:9, 11,12,13

Chocolate 104:22

choose 30:13 150:11

CHP 120:11 186:17,22

Christine 108:18, 20 109:10

Circuit 25:4

circumstances

19:17 55:18 124:16 147:17 180:10

circumstantial

19:5 21:21 179:23,25

city 85:5 103:22 106:1 123:8,12,13 159:4

civil 121:20

claimed 7:12 18:5 172:18,25 173:5

claims 24:6

clarification 6:5 64:19 123:6

clarify 94:17 113:2 121:8,11

Clarity 31:24

Clarity's 32:14

classes 28:23

clause 109:19

clauses 110:4

cleaning 113:8

clear 7:13 15:7 21:20 22:22 25:21 49:9 63:5 109:4 147:25 179:21 182:21 183:25 190:3

clerk 130:3,4

client 32:13 34:18 48:13 53:16 56:4 62:19,20 78:7 90:14 96:19,21,22 104:5,6,10 116:12 124:11

clients 43:7 48:13 75:20 96:19 116:18

close 47:2 59:20

close-out 101:7. 11 102:25 103:19, 21 114:8,14 144:16 156:16 163:24 185:19

close-outs 101:5 102:21 103:15 109:2 115:7 167:15

closely 108:14

CLOSING 4:24

closure 12:14

cloud 86:15

cloud-based 90:11

club 54:22,23,25 55:2,3,7,15,19 64:8 96:14,15,17, 23 108:4 143:10 161:14,21,25 162:5

clue 155:1

co-creator 21:2

Coachella 35:13 45:18 79:18 113:13 117:17 124:19,23 128:21 129:3 144:1 145:5 155:13 156:10,14 158:19 163:12,17 177:7,8

Coachella's 113:16

Coast 72:13

code 15:20 84:15 177:15 179:14

colleagues 97:17

collect 45:12 55:16 75:22 98:15 105:15 119:6,19

collected 20:25 45:6 59:9 79:3 102:2 162:19 167:24,25 175:21 181:13 182:17

collecting 21:8 104:1 161:20,21

collection 44:4 111:17

collectively 15:5

college 35:5 123:3

Colorado 95:16, 18

column 72:7,19 74:6,24 75:16

76:20,23,24 77:24 companies 62:1 concede 63:14 76:23 85:11 78:6 79:3 83:19 187:13 104:13 169:21 conceded 19:25 company 28:6 columns 54:20 29:5 32:6.21.22 concern 187:22 75:15 78:11 79:8 33:16,19 34:21 35:4 36:15 38:11 concession 57:6 coma 157:13,14, 39:15 42:18 43:9 63:15 71:3 73:25 20 45:4 55:25 56:2, 76:4 102:19 combination 10 61:24,25 65:9 103:18 107:1 64:4 66:1,7,14 68:9,14, concessionaire 16 69:9 70:9 combine 141:3, 49:6 63:20 110:11 86:23 89:14 90:15 100:14 123:17 concessionaires 141:19 154:24,25 combined 72:5,8 144:3 177:5 160:14 162:12 81:25 concessions 163:10 165:24 comfortable 15:24 17:12 20:2, 166:17 168:7 123:25 7 29:22 36:12 compared 17:19 49:5 53:1 58:4 commencing 174:3 180:15 116:13 176:9 2:17 compelling 40:4 conclude 26:9,19 comment 11:2 181:8 182:13 40:5 183:1 112:20 compensate concluded 22:6 commission 161:23 64:10,11 180:7 73:14 103:14 192:8 104:9,10 105:18 competent 19:11 concluding 2:18 128:11 146:10 compile 10:17 161:22 175:16,23, conclusion 22:21 25 176:20 185:13 compiled 80:19 41:23 119:5 189:8 86:15 87:13 Commissioner concurrently compiling 39:23 25:5 179:16 17:25 complaints 113:9 commissions conduct 5:15 26:5 65:11 72:20, complete 84:20 19:3 132:12 24,25 76:22 99:11 175:6 192:2 conducted 17:2 102:3 104:13 completed 23:6 106:16 125:5 121:18 144:18 155:12 119:20 conducts 47:11 173:16 180:21 complexities conference 7:5, 24:17 **common** 24:16 6.18 16:15 19:9 102:16 117:2 computer 43:20 154:18 44:1 171:18 commonsense confident 22:20 26:6 169:5 computers 25:20 communication 60:13,14 confiscated 28:18,22 95:17 computing

185:15

conceal 180:11

concealed 25:12

communications

29:4 109:16

community

123:2

connection 120:17 considerable 182:15 considered 113:24 131:22 **consist** 148:11 153:5 consistent 24:5 25:11 60:8 82:25 83:15 129:17 156:3,19 158:16 165:7 consolidate 13:14 158:6 consolidated 14:9 conspiracy 18:8 constant 165:14 constitute 20:16 131:14 consumption 179:2 contact 32:13 109:3 contacts 43:12 contained 87:20 92:4 148:17 175:3 contend 155:10 contention 177:18 187:9 contentions 20:24 context 15:15 52:15 continue 27:5 38:11 59:17 68:20 75:14 141:12 60:18,20 150:24 157:25 confused 28:6 continued 17:1, conjunction 13 23:19 182:8

189:2

147:9

continues 19:20 23:9 26:2 88:6 188:22

Continuing 155:4

contract 48:11 97:2 98:15 99:10 109:19,25

contracted 98:17

contracting 50:14 109:20,22

50:14 109:20,22 162:20

contractor 139:15,16

contracts 51:23 52:3,5 111:15,22, 25 175:5,17 176:3

contrary 21:10 40:5

control 18:25 21:7,8 46:1,6 49:8 84:21 89:7 112:12 158:7

controller 88:10

convenience

14:13 151:10

conversation 57:3

conversations 41:7 70:22

convincing 7:13 21:20 22:22 25:22 179:21 182:21

Cooking 76:7

cooperate 151:22 180:5

cooperating

150:13 153:18 **cooperation**

25:15,16

coordinate 97:23

coordinating 96:2 113:5 175:23 coordination 58:5

coordinator 58:15,18 75:24 97:23 98:9,12 107:22,23 108:13,

coordinators

16 112:23

50:12 51:6 57:17 71:9,15 111:25 115:17 138:21 146:2

copied 12:25 152:6

copies 114:17,20

copy 58:24,25 102:24 103:7,8 119:21

copy-and-paste

22:14

corner 46:22

Corona 96:14,16, 17,23

corporate 30:11 32:12 62:8 68:19 136:9

corporation 28:3 30:6,13,17 32:1,2 38:8,9

correct 7:14 8:11, 16,18 13:11 14:7, 19,22 27:22,23 28:1,12 30:7,8 31:6,18 36:25 39:6 40:25 41:1, 25 50:5 55:11 58:1,2 59:25 61:23 62:2 66:4 69:18,19 72:11,12 73:5,15 74:25 75:4,5 76:2 78:18 79:1,10,11,12,13, 14,20 80:2,3,16, 17 82:1,2,11,15, 24 83:3,5,22 86:4 89:5 98:9 103:23 104:18 105:22

110:1,19 115:4 125:15,23 126:20 127:6 128:17,22 129:22 130:13 131:4,5,7,8,11,12 139:19,22 140:8,9 141:8 143:17,19 146:6,13,18 147:21 148:13,17, 18,24,25 149:7,8, 11,19,20,23,24,25 150:2,22,23 151:4,6,14,17,19, 20 152:3,4,6,10 153:2,16 154:16 155:15,18 158:8

107:1,18 108:12

159:11 160:7 161:3,4,15,17,18 163:8 164:15,18, 20,21,23 165:8 168:10 169:22

170:2,23 171:10, 25 190:8,19,20

correctly 74:18 78:14 144:18,21

corroborate 26:15

corroborated 188:17

corroborates 64:15

cost 56:12 65:19 **costs** 50:1 100:9 161:24

counsel 169:19

count 124:6 127:20

counted 125:1 126:23 127:11,14, 17,19 128:1,8,11

counter 124:10 125:1

Counting 124:19, 23 125:14,18,19 126:18 127:7,8 129:24

county 79:13 85:5 140:17 142:5 158:18

couple 33:20 42:9 49:8 61:20 70:12 78:3 91:6 119:2 120:9 143:23 149:13 187:20

coupled 180:10

Court 26:18

courts 180:7

cover 15:1 49:25

COVID 86:23

CPA 19:12 39:5 135:13,17 136:6 170:20

create 50:16 51:13 110:2

created 18:22 23:13 101:17 119:16 141:2

creates 112:3

creating 109:25

creation 83:14

credentials 84:17 86:19

credibility 180:6

credible 131:1

credit 78:5 89:4,6, 8,10,19 90:1,4,8, 15,21 188:1

credits 130:6

crime 22:4

criminal 121:1,20

critical 15:18 24:8

Crony 96:15

cry 25:25

CSR 2:20

culmination 16:4

Culture 169:24 131:10 demonstrated 132:7,9 155:11,16 156:22 curating 49:16 dealings 107:20 **derive** 185:13 108:3 demonstrates curious 63:7 describe 28:16 20:18 25:14 deals 52:4 101:25 29:3 31:7 50:9,25 current 15:18 123:23 demonstrating 67:19 69:20 70:16 19:21 40:13 182:16 96:4 97:24 98:11 148:23 182:6 dealt 88:15 100:2 101:23 demonstrative customary December 103:25 114:2 81:20 150:25 152:9,23 129:17 131:17 156:23 144:12 145:11 denied 182:25 customers 79:18 decide 5:18 168:15 131:6 138:22 192:2 **Denver** 108:1 describes 17:8 **decided** 138:19 cut 45:14 51:15 department 3:10 139:5 35:25 40:1 50:19 **desert** 97:16 cuts 17:14 123:18 143:7,14, 57:18 61:15 decision 5:13 22 163:9,10,11 **cycle** 58:13 138:16,17,18 13:25 16:13 21:13 139:6 155:11 167:7 23:7 38:21 39:19 172:21 173:8,13, design 29:13,14 D 40:24 41:2,8,23 21,25 174:23 53:12 174:19 138:12 160:17 175:7,12 176:7 177:14 183:18,19, daily 53:9 desired 93:17 177:3 178:1,6,8 20 192:3 179:1 184:1 data 71:17 82:22 desk 90:10 decline 23:21 83:2 130:19 **Department's** detail 26:14 31:15 142:22 152:23 **defer** 120:22 134:3 176:9 65:24 96:5 146:3 153:4 133:18,21 183:7 178:14 detailed 176:10 date 154:7 185:25 departments deferred 185:6 191:10,12,14,15 139:2 **details** 56:23 deficiency 17:5 70:23 71:13 106:1 dated 19:18 21:13 depended 143:4 18:21 19:20 20:12 42:7 46:23 151:24 144:15,20 166:4,7 23:20.23 174:4.24 determination 180:13 181:24 16:6 17:11 18:14 day 74:12 75:4 depending 64:13 121:15 91:9,21,23 107:14 159:13 169:4 **define** 179:14 160:25 172:13,16 119:5 129:11 **defined** 179:18 depends 65:22 174:22 176:14 132:23 164:16 85:10 117:22 179:11 182:4 168:3 defraud 17:25 184:19 186:9 deposit 74:3,4,9, 20:25 31:17 38:19 day-to-day 42:20 42:3 47:7 92:10 11,16 78:16,24,25 determine 42:9 61:24 124:5 188:10 79:5 99:11 160:22 161:12 days 10:12 56:25 126:24,25 190:5 defrauded 37:5 106:5 132:3 deposited 70:18 determined 24:1 157:15 189:24,25 degree 29:4 75:3,6 109:2 39:20 49:21 191:3,9,10,15 95:18,21 123:3 121:17 175:12 127:16 135:11,25 **de** 56:17 depositing 21:8 determines delegated 88:16 **Dead** 129:11 44:8 72:23 111:22 183:20 112:5 126:12 **Deli** 53:3 deal 48:12 57:18 develop 36:19 108:23 116:16 deposits 44:5,11 38:14 44:17 58:10 delivery 191:3,5 80:7 137:10 69:23,24 70:8 dealing 15:15 **Dell** 26:17 71:14 111:17 22:2 31:9 34:17 developed 26:7 124:9 131:22,24 delta 56:13 44:12 56:3 113:9 44:19 45:1.4.9

58:7 **Dirk** 4:18 6:17 104:12 119:15 20 7:20 27:4,9 75:23 developing 30:2, documentation drink 105:2,10,11, 85:25 108:15,22 4 38:12 50:13 98:16 99:3.6 14 113:2 138:5 51:23 60:23 138:9 175:7 176:18.23 drinks 174:18.21 disallowed 7:12 177:6 179:8 development 24:6 172:18,25 187:23 **Drive** 2:16 36:13 65:21 173:5 182:1 documents due 24:22 39:22 devices 86:12 disappear 150:17 26:14 60:3,15,16 63:25 73:1,14 died 157:11,12 80:19 110:22 105:19 130:6 disciplinary 150:16 153:8 179:11 181:2 **Diego** 37:17 19:13 154:3 171:3,21 182:18 189:5,24 54:20,23 79:17,25 disclosed 172:17 176:4,21 177:1 191:14 91:14 135:11,25 185:9,18,19,21,25 136:2 141:14,15, discovered 168:2 **duly** 27:10 67:6 186:4,10,18 16,22 142:1,2,3, 95:8 122:16 135:2 discrepancies 187:4,10 12,15,23 143:3,6, 127:24,25 180:2 **duties** 39:15 25 144:2,7 158:6, **dollar** 16:25 181:5 50:12,22 180:17,18 13 160:6 161:16 163:2 165:23 discretionary dollars 17:6 18:15 166:7,21,22 167:3 Ε 78:20,21 20:3 162:17 178:2 168:20,21,22 **discuss** 12:17 **e-file** 84:16 **Diego's** 85:12 41:2,5 46:16,19 172:15,18 173:10, 159:24 19 174:3.4 e-mails 12:25 discussed 7:6,18 180:14,16 181:25 **differ** 114:3 46:14 153:25 earlier 5:19 20:5, 163:24 188:22 doubt 152:15 19 38:15 39:19 50:7 57:1 58:8 doubts 84:15 difference 17:21 discussing 63:19 61:23 64:6 65:2 125:12,16 181:12 142:18 drafting 48:15 71:2 73:19 75:21 differences discussion 78:25 79:4,6 draw 34:1,8 42:8 128:6 153:21 177:10 100:8 101:16.18 47:16 49:1 74:7 104:21 107:17 82:17 91:6 differentiate dishes 174:18 109:1 110:25 18:24 143:2 **Dressel** 4:22 7:20 dispute 187:8 130:23 138:6 differentiated 16:19 19:11,14 141:6 145:14 disputing 63:8 142:23 33:4,8,21,23,25 161:13 165:22 distinction 24:8 34:12.13 39:5 167:12 170:24 differently 89:9 41:8,13 46:14,16 187:24 188:6 141:18 160:1 distribute 102:19 80:1 83:5 84:2,7, 189:22 difficult 113:6 9,11,14,17,18 district 74:17,18 **early** 51:23 85:2,13,20 88:10, direct 21:15 27:14 dive 144:19 17 93:23 109:12 ease 141:18 40:2 67:10 95:12 110:2,3 122:11 diverted 43:9 122:20 135:6 **easier** 13:14 134:24,25 135:1,8 179:22 **division** 121:18 141:20 156:22 158:4 138:24 186:17 159:2 163:16 directed 63:4 **easily** 128:4 165:16,18 166:12 document 46:9 directing 24:20 edification 82:6 47:18,21 57:13,16 Dressel's 34:22 directive 42:18 edit 110:12 59:4 60:11 75:9 85:24 122:6 76:20 84:1,13 134:22 188:24 directly 16:20 Eduardo 87:4 86:6.8 87:19.21 21:5 90:14 109:11 dressing 106:19, 91:18 101:17 education 67:18 112:4

123:2 132:11 157:13 erroneous 26:1 event 21:9 28:3,6 157:6 29:14 32:9,13 educational end 33:13 46:8 44:3,4 45:5 48:1 28:16 67:20 95:15 59:20 61:9 72:4 erroneously 49:3 53:4,5 56:22, 122:23,24 135:10 73:1 85:23 87:16 23:15 23 59:25 64:9 97:7 105:15 efficiency 13:13 error 20:1 147:17 68:17,18 74:14 107:14 113:25 52:2 83:11 110:24 167:23 180:14 76:10 77:3,8,15 114:7 125:10 78:7,8 79:1 82:15, efficient 10:18 127:3,22 132:23 errors 17:3 25:24 21 87:25 88:23 14:9,15 161:22 162:20 39:20 147:15 90:25 96:2,6,11, 170:14,15 187:25 148:20 175:10 25 99:8 100:12 **Egusa** 37:9,11 91:11,19 142:20 end-user 56:3 **escape** 24:18 101:13 103:6 105:16 106:4,5 elaborate 35:8 ended 60:24 **essence** 14:7,10 111:15,16,17,21 72:23 105:18 Electric 47:24 112:23 113:16 48:1 49:3 **engage** 139:7 essentially 64:1 114:7 118:10 119:5 124:25 electronic 36:12. English 95:25 establish 25:24 125:10 147:10 24 57:24 30:16 33:23 64:14 148:10 155:13,14 enjoy 34:17 43:25 156:8 174:2,25 156:10,14 162:17 electronically ensure 5:17,25 176:16 178:19 174:19 175:23 52:9 179:6 180:22 184:14 entails 100:3 Elisa 142:17 189:6 event's 88:25 enter 96:22 130:5. Elizabeth 32:18, established 7:8, 12 events 26:5 29:16 10,13 21:25 40:19 19,20 32:8 35:13 36:16 entered 90:12 175:14 176:12 emphasis 19:2 39:25 43:24 44:8, 178:7 105:1 10 52:5,6 53:10, emphasize 16:16 establishes entering 130:18 18 54:16 60:3 17:6 181:13 182:14 62:1,11,14 63:20 **entire** 112:16 emphasized 68:13,15 69:1,12 125:1 139:11 establishing 25:17 75:3,7 77:10,18 174:16 176:18 78:6 87:21 89:7,9, **Empire** 98:3 **entities** 105:12 establishment 10,20 90:4,13 143:10 20:12 30:11 112:22,24 113:12, entitled 46:9 84:1 employ 26:6 18 114:1 115:16 estimate 93:21 entity 15:16 30:12 116:7,8 119:3 employed 68:6 187:4 estimated 59:15 129:12 137:22,23, employee 33:16 25 138:25 142:4 estimates 85:14, entries 79:16,21 36:10 37:13,19 145:1,17 159:9 15 139:14,18 143:16 entrusted 34:19 163:12 165:11,25 estimating 93:19 161:3 170:22 41:17 58:10 166:1,3 175:19,21 176:3 **ethical** 135:23 employees 19:1 entry 70:8 76:11 30:18 85:10 eventually 16:12 evade 22:19,24 **equal** 5:14 19:8,25 69:13 24:21 40:8,19 employer 96:23 Equalization 90:10 127:4 179:12 182:21 employment 15:16 17:10 20:11 183:25 evidence 7:14 126:6 145:15 29:10 86:4 95:22 8:20 9:11 11:13 evading 189:5 108:6 128:20 157:1 12:12,14 14:14 130:2 131:15,19, **Evaporation** equipment 26:14 20:18 21:14.20 20 136:1,19 148:8 31:14 55:21 22:16,23 24:2 encephalitis 172:19 173:1 25:9,12,13,22

Index: evidencing..fee

26:8 40:1,4 156:8 175:20 176:7,13 178:7 179:4,21, 22,24,25 180:3 181:8,16 182:13, 14,21 186:2 187:1

evidencing 185:17

evolved 90:11 141:23,24

ex-employees 28:5

ex-wife 32:20

exact 22:13 154:7

examination

10:16 17:3 27:14 63:18 67:10 95:12 122:20 135:6 178:14

examinations

148:22

examined 27:11 67:7 95:9 122:17 135:3 146:24

examples 83:12 104:23 129:7

Excel 80:19 85:7 87:21 111:13 127:21

Excel-formatted

87:19

excluded 64:11 174:9

exclusively 21:8 66:7,8 88:6 89:20 188:2

excuse 21:4 22:5 38:3 67:1 71:25 93:5 114:19 117:18 151:18 158:12 163:18 164:17 169:15

executed 111:15 149:25

executing 111:21

exempt 174:9

exhibit 9:15 34:5 39:1,3,17,18 40:16,24 42:5,24 46:8,23 47:16 49:2 52:4 57:12 59:24 71:20,21,25 72:20 74:8 76:4, 20 80:20 81:21 82:7,17 83:3,4,7, 15,24 84:13 85:1, 19 86:6 87:17 91:7 101:10 103:19 104:12 106:25 141:7,9 149:4,21 150:24 151:23 153:13 154:13 155:4,9 156:6 163:21 169:18 175:18 176:9 186:12

exhibits 4:4,5,6 8:8,9,10,14,17,19, 23,24,25 9:2,4,6, 10 10:7,11,14,20 11:1,10,12 12:24 16:25 25:23 34:2 47:13 80:19 149:1 163:16 169:19 176:21 180:24 186:13

exist 20:14 91:2 188:5

existed 18:21

existence 17:8 20:20 21:20 23:23 53:8

exists 24:2

expected 11:19

expecting 192:4

expenses 45:14 160:12

experience 67:19 68:1 84:23 86:8, 21 122:24 129:14 132:10 137:9

182:15

experiences 24:16

explain 47:7 49:4 68:6 73:18 92:9 96:4 104:25 105:24 107:6 124:22 125:12 140:10 141:20 153:24 155:21 162:14 174:23

explained 180:3

explanation 24:5 110:17 135:9 155:24,25 174:24 181:5

explanations 25:11 156:3,19

explore 185:22

extended 151:12, 15,19

extending 25:18

extension 52:18 149:16 150:19 151:6

extensions

149:16 150:20,21 151:3

extensive 50:13

extent 63:15,24

extremely 10:17 43:5 188:1

eye 111:11 148:3

F

facilities 29:15

facility 53:4,5

fact 17:4 23:14 38:21 39:12 43:20 81:9 125:20,21 150:8 178:7,24 179:6 188:20 **factor** 14:13

factors 23:2

facts 19:5 20:23 21:21 22:11 190:23

failed 14:12 22:22 25:10,21 180:18, 22 181:3,4,15,19 182:19

failure 40:6 180:5 181:7

fair 22:2

faith 22:2

fallacy 23:11

false 21:22

familiar 30:24 31:23 32:18 33:4 35:1,19 36:5 37:8, 25 38:4 47:21 48:1 52:19 53:25 55:22 56:14 57:6, 8,9 71:3,6,21 86:3 89:24 91:11 101:7 107:4 131:9 142:24 162:7,9, 21,23

fashion 62:15

favor 15:19 17:7 22:1 23:3 25:6 33:1 34:23 36:2

FCF 83:13

Fe 54:22 55:7,19 64:7 108:4 161:14,25 162:5

feature 52:17

featured 81:21 142:21

February 151:1, 18,24 172:16

federal 17:18,24 25:3 179:15 180:7 188:8,11

fee 3:10 50:3 160:15 161:22

162:18 163:4,14 165:1,3 175:23 feed 162:25 feedback 88:5 110:10 feel 88:15 feeling 158:20 fees 72:24 102:3 174:20 fest 74:20 159:4 festival 48:5 49:16 50:13,18 71:24 73:3 79:18 82:8,15,18 103:22 106:2 107:1,8 137:24 144:15 148:15,16 177:7 festivals 26:5 36:14 43:11 44:9. 18 45:11 47:12 52:6 62:16 77:20 83:16 91:2 97:23 98:15 99:23 107:25 109:6 126:6 129:8 144:2,14,15 145:2 175:5 176:22 177:4 181:1,6 182:19 185:18 field 24:11 46:10 60:14 **fields** 98:3 figure 17:1 78:15 121:4 168:16 169:1 **figures** 75:18 78:25 102:5 159:10 164:2 167:11,13 169:20

> figuring 88:24 file 10:16 14:4 25:10 70:25 84:21 121:6 150:19 157:22

176:25

filed 12:13 16:11 83:8 99:14 140:5 150:20 155:6 177:12 189:1

files 52:10,11 80:21 99:15 114:25 140:7

filing 84:20 136:7 140:5 171:4

filings 34:20

fill 47:14

fill-in 87:13

filled 140:16

filling 44:1

filters 112:8

final 72:4,22 92:7 140:5

finally 25:13

financial 84:21 136:7 152:24 153:4

find 23:23 121:19 148:19 151:7,8 158:21 179:22 183:24

finding 20:13 180:9

findings 20:1 21:12 23:9 46:13, 17,19

fine 11:3 27:7 94:9 134:10

finished 61:11 66:17

firm 30:14 136:2, 5,6

fit 33:8 35:21 36:8 37:11 52:24

fixed 140:14

flawed 15:19 19:21

flight 93:5

flimsy 22:17

flow 55:12 85:16

focus 74:19 130:8

follow-up 78:19 149:13

food 15:24 31:13 36:17 43:10 45:10,11,17 49:8, 12,13,14,16,17,23 50:6,14,17 54:24 55:10 61:25 62:9, 17,21 65:3 66:3, 11 82:14 104:7 106:10,21 113:7 169:11 174:17,21 175:20 176:2 178:12

foregoing 182:23

foremost 10:15 187:22

Forest 47:24 48:1 49:3

forget 70:5

form 58:4,14 65:8 71:12,23 73:25 74:2,11,13,16 76:8,9,11 101:11 102:25 107:1 114:8 119:16,20, 21 146:7,9 149:22

format 143:24

formed 32:1

forms 25:18 44:1 57:7 60:10,23 71:3 75:24 76:5 83:9 101:21 102:20 103:19 109:5 110:11 113:21 114:4,5,14 128:1 144:6,10, 13,16 146:4 175:17 176:24

formulas 111:13

forward 89:15 93:11

found 17:20 22:9 40:17 42:4 68:23 173:18 178:1

foundation 17:11 24:19 91:11

four-year 123:3

fourth 24:8 63:17

frame 15:17

Francisco 28:19 29:14 42:12 52:23 53:7,13,24 54:3 67:21,24 68:2,23 69:2,7,8 74:15,16 79:2,3 85:4 142:7 159:5 167:3

Francisco's 85:22

frankly 150:15

fraud 7:13 14:12 15:21 17:7,11 18:19 20:4,8,13, 16 21:18,24 22:9 23:3,16 24:10 25:3,5 26:1 31:15 33:2 34:24 35:17 36:3 38:17 63:7, 14 83:24 111:2 131:10,15,18 154:8 172:15 173:6 179:10,12, 14,18,21,22,23,25 180:9,12 181:8,16 182:13,20 183:21, 23 184:14 190:5

fraudulent 18:7 23:17,24 24:21 25:1,22,25 63:11 132:5,12 188:18 189:9

fraudulently 19:23 22:7,18,23 32:16 111:3

freely 153:1

frequency 178:13

frequent 90:21

Index: frequently..heading

frequently 87:12 166:11,13,17,19, 66:24 89:14 94:8 guy 169:15,16,17 90:19 108:9 139:7 21 167:1,6,9,11, 101:6 109:21 **guys** 61:8 94:4 22 168:5,11 134:11 135:8 Fresh 53:3.6 98:22 133:13,16 169:10,18,23 graduate 123:1, 170:4,13,16,20,24 Friday 62:5 10 164:13 171:6,13,20 Н 172:1,3,4 183:5,7 graduated 67:22 friend 35:5 170:9 185:4,5,6,20,24 95:17 123:15 half 11:20 181:7 186:16 187:2,8,14 front 124:25 150:5 grant 189:23 **han-** 66:9 189:14,15 170:13 grasp 23:25 **hand** 15:3 65:18 **full** 18:25 21:7 general 17:20 77:10 46:1,5 79:2,6 31:1,3,4,7 67:17 gray 65:23 85:16 95:15 112:12 handle 34:19 39:9 great 121:22 137:11 139:1 41:17 44:20 **full-time** 130:1 133:18 146:3 153:7 158:13,20 49:10,15,18 58:11 160:3 fund 77:15,19 greater 24:10,12 62:14,16 69:10 31:15 47:14 70:22 85:14 115:6 generally 13:5 fundamentally 87:23 125:2.11 26:2 **green** 6:20 handled 19:16 179:18 185:18 66:6 71:9 82:24 funds 30:20 45:13 grew 67:13 generate 86:14 83:14 144:8 furnishing grid 137:25 165:11 187:7 Gentile 69:11 174:20 **Grill** 53:20,22 handles 44:10 gentleman 36:5 **future** 78:6,16 47:12 groom 56:10 **German** 67:14 FYF 71:24 73:3 90:14 handling 68:25 74:20 82:1,23 69:12,22,23,24 Gift 53:7 62:4 gross 73:10,11 107:1 129:11 70:1.7 79:24 87:25 88:2 102:5 159:4,9 **give** 45:14 46:6 85:12 89:2 125:8 104:6,9,18 110:18 47:14 56:8,22 126:18 131:6 125:24 147:20 70:17,18 90:6,15 G 164:19 170:6 hands 105:12 93:8 98:23 107:13 174:8 181:8 115:8 108:25 118:15 Galleria 53:12 129:7 135:9 141:5 **grossly** 188:12 **happen** 65:22 62:4 189:23 78:8 126:22 ground 112:17,24 **gather** 114:8 giving 57:3 95:14 113:3,5,10,20,24 happened 78:13 149:16 79:2 158:24,25 Gatorade 100:22 **grounds** 118:23 Glueck 4:19 7:21 happening gave 41:9 47:10 **group** 29:24 66:25 67:1,5,13, 168:17 107:11 171:18 30:18 32:10 33:21 15,16,17,20 84:8 36:18 49:12 hard 43:5 49:13 **Geary** 3:5 5:11 85:3,4 88:17 91:5 115:13 82:22 83:13 88:1 14:1,4,16,21,23 92:16 93:3 108:23 101:13 114:17 61:18,20 62:14, 109:9,10,11 **growth** 137:9 22,24 64:20,23 159:4,9 130:23 131:1 138:11,13 65:1,25 66:5,15, 138:19 140:23 hardware 26:20 **guess** 37:24 16 92:19,20 142:8 159:5 120:24 121:4 head 90:23 117:13,14,16,22, **Glueck's** 158:7 132:24 142:7 138:18 139:6 25 118:5,7,9,18, 159:16 170:5 162:17,18 20,22,25 119:2,9, goals 138:11 184:6 12,14,19,23,25 headed 154:3 good 6:13 9:21 120:2,4 133:4,5, guidance 179:17 22:1 27:16 43:3,4 23 134:2,6,9 heading 154:5

Index: heads..indicative

in-house 49:15 **heads** 138:16 123:2 170:6,8 **hot** 113:7 **health** 50:19 higher-level 46:6 hours 11:20 in-state 158:24,25 65:17 highest 43:6 housed 62:2 inadequate 25:9 hear 6:20 14:8 180:4 highlight 187:20 **Hudson** 72:15 15:22 16:20,24 inapplicable 19:14,17 21:5 **Highway** 171:16 huge 53:9 184:15 23:1 24:24 25:7, hire 62:17 98:14, 10 27:18 33:25 inappropriate I 25 162:14 24:12 heard 5:7,9 6:21 hired 30:14 33:11, 64:6 71:2 73:18 inception 35:7,10 **I.D.** 28:8 16 39:14 69:10 101:16 104:21 84:9,11 96:8,17 incident 120:18 i.e. 188:12 110:25 125:4 121:3 147:9 148:3 126:4,10 129:16 ice 51:12 65:4,16 162:12 175:19 143:25 144:5.25 include 76:6,8 100:23,24 145:14 155:19 hires 116:12 78:4 146:13 idea 13:2 41:18 156:4 159:4,8 hiring 85:10 96:7, included 16:8 161:13 163:25 ideal 141:23 18 98:5 22:5 34:23 75:24 164:5 185:14 78:25 100:4 identified 71:24 187:21,24 188:19 history 15:15 163:14 166:22 167:23 176:8 189:7 19:12 23:12 29:3, 181:25 186:3 177:4 17 95:22 136:1 **hearing** 5:9,13, includes 180:1 16.19.25 6:4.5.6 identify 34:10 hold 13:17 24:16 9:13 10:12 11:5, 147:14 186:3,17 141:11 184:21 including 12:12 16 12:11,19 13:3, 86:19 139:2 identifying holders 123:24 19 15:18 26:8 174:18 182:15 131:13 39:7,11 111:1 honest 18:6 20:15 **income** 17:18 149:14 187:11 ignorance 20:14 64:1,4 186:11 136:11 137:13 188:16 191:17,24 honesty 22:2 139:23 180:8,12 **images** 82:11 hearings 192:6 188:8.12 **Honor** 6:13 7:15, imagine 124:8 held 7:5 20:12 incomplete 23 8:12 10:14 169:15 74:22 178:25 12:8,20 13:11 176:23 181:17 188:4 14:7 27:5 59:19 immediately inconsistent 192:1 63:14 64:3 66:24 115:9 17:23 72:1 93:12 94:21, **helped** 35:11 **impact** 15:18 Incorporation's 25 122:4 133:17 36:10 43:19 44:14 88:14 187:19 191:2,19 48:20 97:20,21 important 15:17 110:2 17:22 20:3 22:13 incorrect 154:11 **Honors** 15:12 43:22,23 22:25 42:4 46:7 helping 70:4 independent 92:13 122:12 97:17,22 importantly 72:13,15,17 132:14 134:23 15:25 19:24 104:23 113:17 hesitated 42:15 187:24 189:10 170:20 imposed 63:12 **Hetu** 152:1.2.13 horizontally 173:6 179:13 independently 72:10 Hey 98:24 106:15 182:22 141:15 127:10 161:6 hospital 41:21 **imposes** 174:6 index 9:15 186:12 54:9,12 high 96:1 123:1,5, improvements indication 121:16 8,16 hospitality 182:7 106:19,20 116:8, indicative 178:13 higher 23:14 179:25

Index: Indio..JARRETT

Indio 96:2,6 97:16 170:7 interrupting 101:23 109:13 107:18,20 117:21 59:15 110:7 112:9 institutions 62:2 118:6,12,22 142:5 113:20 115:23 intervening 163:11 166:23 **instruct** 110:21 124:22 126:15,19 178:25 150:11 130:18 137:14,15 indirect 175:8,10 interview 84:2 139:4 144:12 instructed 81:12 individual 30:24 86:9 91:19 149:6 160:24 186:22 131:18 150:6,20 31:23 35:1 37:8 involving 121:1 intricacies 24:14 38:1 52:10 59:11 instructions introduced 89:13 **iphone** 43:19 76:4 83:9 86:2 161:5 88:13 98:1 131:2 insufficient 24:2 introductions **Island** 53:20,22, 136:8 187:3 6:9 23 insurance 66:10 individuals 36:19 inventory 148:9 98:16 99:9 issue 12:10 23:4, 42:23 51:20 76:22 13 47:2 83:24 integral 36:18 investigating industry 24:25 89:21 129:2 40:18 121:20 29:19 36:18 58:9 158:22 169:6 131:10 137:8 intend 7:22 investigation 170:25 171:1,22 183:18,20 184:6, 186:8 inferring 180:12 intended 14:13 17 187:10 190:4 Investigations 22:19 information 5:18 191:13 121:18 186:6,20, 17:19 41:10 57:3, intent 20:25 21:22 issued 16:6,13,21 23 187:6 19 58:17 80:4,6, 22:24 23:14,17,24 18:13 19:8 23:7 18 83:6 84:21 25:1,22 40:8,19 investigative 38:22 40:24 87:1,20 90:12 179:12,20 180:11 186:16 172:12 177:14 92:3,4 101:17 182:21 183:25 invited 152:20 182:3,5 186:9 103:12 104:1 189:10 109:8 110:12 issues 7:7 9:16 invoice 105:3,7, intentional 119:19 122:23 13:4 26:11 88:16, 23 106:8 130:10 179:18 128:10,14 146:5, 25 89:21 94:20 137:18 138:1 17 156:14,15 intentionally 100:13 110:4 invoices 130:5,6 158:11,13,14 111:1 137:1,2 20:24 24:21 31:17 131:21 159:8 188:4 190:3 173:3 interact 108:7 191:18 192:4 involved 35:12 item 143:11 interactions 36:13,17 39:13 informed 84:7 156:12 109:13 42:20 43:9 44:7, **informs** 85:13 items 24:7 26:4, 13 48:15 56:18,22 interchangeable 19 60:10 66:21 60:25 62:21 71:9, infrastructure 167:10 76:22 85:8 89:23 13,17 101:20 65:20 100:10 102:12 105:1,4 interest 18:16 109:24 116:8 **initial** 16:25 131:10 177:4 52:2 66:20 83:11 121:2,3 127:7 186:21 189:4 88:1 91:5 110:24 138:7,12 140:3,4, initially 20:5 10,19,22,23,24 interested 43:21 **initiate** 111:25 142:14.19 144:10 J 59:8 87:24 103:11 147:3 148:22 innocence 22:2.4 110:4 124:18 187:5 James 37:25 inquiry 135:23 internal 46:3 59:4 involvement 38:3,5,8 88:11 104:4 **inserter** 123:18 19:15 21:3,15 January 150:1 interpreting 31:21 32:15 33:1 inside 52:6 34:22 35:16 36:2 78:14 Jared 7:1 instance 85:8 37:4 40:2 58:6 interrupted 123:6 JARRETT 3:11

60:23 70:16 75:11

107:10 158:17

Index: Jason..LAUGHLIN

Jason 3:12 7:3 8,13,17,18,20 184:3,10,21 Κ 186:19 62:14,22,24,25 189:17,18 190:4, 63:2,23 64:19,20, 8,9,24 191:8 **Jerry** 4:22 7:20 23,25 65:1,25 Kavert 35:19,21 16:18 19:11 33:4, 66:5,15,16 67:3,7 L 9 34:12,19 39:4,9, Kavert's 36:1 71:25 72:2 92:14, 15 41:6,20 46:5, 18,19,20,21,22, keeping 77:17 14 48:18 79:24 **L.A.** 77:18 82:22 23,24 93:6,19,24 80:1,21 84:2 83:13 101:14 **Kelly** 32:19 94:2,7,10,15,22 88:10 112:4 159:4.9 95:1,9 117:9,12, Kevin 3:7 6:14 134:23 135:1 13,14,15,16,22,25 30:25 L.a.-related 75:7 Jerry's 37:17 118:5,7,9,18,20, labeled 173:9 key 17:6 112:7 22,25 119:2,9,12, 178:2 14,19,23,25 keystone 19:21 **Jesse** 4:19 7:21 120:2,3,4,5,6,17, labor 55:20 85:11 20:19 36:19 58:19 66:25 21,24 121:7,9,10, 67:5 81:5 82:6 lack 69:6 175:6 **kind** 29:12 33:11, 22,25 122:1,9,17 83:23 84:8 86:17 180:5 15 34:1 41:17 123:12 132:15,18, 87:22 88:7,9 42:15 43:19 21 133:3,4,5,6,7, lacking 24:20 108:23 112:4 44:16,17 45:4 8,9,19,22,23 115:13,19 126:21 47:5 49:4 55:2 lady 69:10 134:1,2,6,9,11,13, 128:12,13 130:22 60:10 62:12 65:18 15,20,25 135:3 laid 16:24 138:5,19 140:22 69:6 70:12,21 165:18,21 166:10, 141:4 142:8.9 71:16,17 74:19 **Lali's** 104:22 11,13,17,19,21 159:12 88:24 99:2 103:25 167:1,6,9,11,22 large 10:17 18:20 112:19 113:19 168:5,11 169:10, **Jesse's** 141:10 20:20 39:24 44:4 124:15,22 127:13 159:19 18,23 170:4,13, 45:10 81:10 128:3 131:25 16,20,24 171:6, 111:17 123:25 job 29:25 30:1 132:4,6,23 138:9 13,20 172:1,3,4,5, 131:24 97:14 98:12 143:24 149:1 6,8 183:1,4,5,7,9, 113:4,6 123:17 160:20 186:6,10 large-scale 35:12 10,11,16 184:3, 162:19 166:9 10,21,25 185:2,4, knew 29:25 45:3.5 largely 89:18 5,6,20,24 186:16 jobs 31:10 161:11 189:6 165:7 187:2,8,14,16 Johnny 169:25 knowing 158:20 189:11,14,15,16, larger 62:11,14 17,18,20 190:4,8, 144:14 **joined** 97:15 knowledge 18:23 9,12,20,24 191:1, 19:1 24:19 44:22 late 74:21 ioint 29:24 30:19 5,8,13,20 45:19 81:1 110:9 38:7 143:10 **LAUGHLIN** 3:7 judges 5:10,12 117:2 121:14 6:13 7:15,23 8:1, journal 74:4 21:18 27:1 28:9 135:21 187:12 12 10:14,22 12:8, 92:8 192:2 journalism 95:17, knowledgeable 10,20 13:11 14:7, judges' 74:7 18:21 21:2 24:9, 19,22 15:12 27:4, 11 42:25 43:13 15,20 34:4,6 judge 5:5,10,11, July 16:14 150:22 88:8,13 111:3,5 38:25 39:2 42:4,6 15,16 6:16,18 7:4, 155:6 153:19 59:19,23 61:2 17,24 8:2,5,13,21, jump 191:8 63:13 64:3 66:24 22 9:4,9,12,17,20, **Kwee** 3:5 5:11 67:11 72:1,3 23 10:4,10,20,25 62:25 63:2,23 June 2:19 5:1 92:13 93:4,12,21 11:7,9,14,15 12:9, 64:19 92:22,23 12:11 18:13 67:25 94:1,21,24 95:3, 16,21 13:8,16 182:5 120:5,6,17,21,24 13 122:4,12,21 14:1,3,4,16,21,23, 121:10,22 133:7,8 justified 175:7 123:9,14 132:14 24 15:6 27:3,7,11, 134:13 172:5,6 133:17 134:8,23 19 59:14,22 61:4, 183:7,10,11,16

135:7 149:3,5 liaison 87:22 locations 62:3 26:24 65:9 85:8 165:16 184:16 129:7 112:20 154:10 license 30:20 187:19 190:22 163:6 174:17 66:10 135:17,19 **log-in** 70:25 84:16 191:2,7,19 176:5 180:25 86:19 licensed 19:12 181:6 183:18 laundering 135:13 log-ins 87:6 187:3 188:6 131:22 lieu 14:17 main 42:18 Logic 18:1 law 5:10 8:20 9:11 110:25 life 58:13 11:13 20:16 21:24 logical 23:11 maintain 40:6 27:11 64:18 67:7 life-threatening logistically 56:25 95:9 122:17 135:3 76:15 177:16 41:20 188:25 179:12 188:17 logistics 86:10 181:19 190:23 light 6:20 88:21 maintained 24:5 **laws** 18:7,23 limit 131:25 132:8 long 69:6,15 46:2 114:19 161:16 24:15,18 44:22 118:3 129:12 limitations 25:19 134:2,4 lead 3:3 5:11,15 maintaining 151:13,16,19 97:22 184:24 39:23 141:22 longer 53:7 93:10 limited 88:1 142:6,11,15 122:6 177:21 **leading** 170:25 108:13 109:15,16 maintenance 175:2 180:1 188:1 longtime 35:5 learned 131:18 85:22 186:14 line-item 103:9 lookout 132:1 **make** 6:18,21,23 leasing 143:16 lines 109:19 loosely 19:5 13:14 15:1 17:16 leave 69:14 94:23 liquor 30:20 66:9 Los 42:12 74:23, 44:17 49:13 59:18 133:15 73:10 74:18 77:15 25 75:3 list 49:3 98:24 81:4 90:15 94:12 ledger 17:20 146:16 176:10 loss 148:4,6,7 100:11 117:20 158:13 160:3 186:24 187:3 118:17 130:5 **lot** 13:10 31:10 131:23 147:12 ledgers 70:14 listed 7:19 57:25 51:22 56:22 60:12 155:11,17 156:24 153:7 73:17 184:18 62:8,19 78:5 81:3, 158:15 167:16,17 7 93:14 116:7 left 69:8,13 70:9 lists 72:7 187:1 190:14 131:21,23 185:14 93:13 191:5 literally 119:4 **lots** 131:6 legal 24:18 28:22, makes 49:9 80:22 literate 43:20 25 loud 91:24 123:24 160:17 live 10:1 186:21,24 legitimate 64:16 loudly 6:3 livestream 6:22 makeup 126:20 legs 93:9 lower 154:6,7 94:12 making 69:24 leisure 94:6 Ls 33:13 livestreamed 118:3 138:2 **letter** 16:21 5:20 luck 24:13 43:8 144:17,21,22 151:23 152:1 load 100:11 lunch 66:23 93:10 malpractice 156:25 157:2,23 133:14 135:22 189:1 loading 50:17 100:4 lunches 163:14 manage 36:20 level 21:3 23:14 49:11,17 50:17,18 24:12 33:12 96:1 local 118:6 136:2, 85:16 123:2 4 138:25 144:14 M managed 42:23 liability 16:8 63:9 located 116:23 machine 128:4 173:11 177:9 management location 127:2,15 178:23 182:11 made 20:1,7 49:5 50:9,10 178:2

51:18,21 98:18 151:9 meeting 39:9 merchant 123:21, 100:4 137:1 89:12 150:4 22,23 124:2 **matters** 19:13 152:12,16,18 manager 31:2,3, 121:21 mere 17:7 20:6,12 4.8 86:11 87:22 Megan 51:24 21:20 22:14 23:22 **MC** 3:7 6:13 7:15, 88:21 30:11 180:7 23 8:1,12 10:14, member 31:1 manages 37:14 22 12:8,10,20 50:10 51:17 55:7 met 91:14,16 124:13 152:9 13:11 14:7,19,22 115:18 managing 32:10 15:12 27:4,15,20 45:10 68:9,18 members 3:5 method 129:14 34:4,6 38:25 39:2 100:18 175:8,11 5:12 11:24 13:20 42:4,6 59:19,23 55:1,17 64:10 mandatory 78:20 61:2 63:13 64:3 **Mexican** 169:17 189:12 66:24 67:11 72:1, **Miami** 38:13 Manipulate 81:15 3 92:13 93:4,12, membership **manner** 10:18 21 94:1,21,24 55:8 MICHAEL 3:5 14:15 129:14 95:3,13 122:4,12, memo 23:3 Michigan 48:5 21 123:9,14 **Manual** 175:9 132:14 133:17 memorandum microphone 134:8,23 135:7 manually 43:16 20:8 42:7 84:1 6:19,23 190:10 149:3,5 165:16 86:8 91:18 149:6 MARCENA 2:19 microphones 184:16 187:19 memorandums 9:25 190:22 191:2,7,19 March 16:14 23:3 186:13 42:7 78:8.9 mics 94:13 Mcguire's 169:25 **Mendez** 36:6,8 151:12 Mike 31:23 Mclaughlin 4:12, 37:3 70:10 86:3,9, Marchica 17:10 17,25 6:14 10:11 10,11,14,16 million 16:25 17:5 20:11 23:22 13:9 15:8 59:14 87:12,25 88:6,9, 18:15 172:15,18, 94:17,19 187:17 margins 170:6 15,20 19,24 173:10,19, 190:20 24,25 174:2,4 Mendez's 86:20 marketing 29:8,9 180:14,16 181:12, meal 105:2,10,11, 35:24 42:18 43:3, meningitis 41:21 14 114:8 174:21 157:13 million-dollar meals 105:8,20 marketplace **mention** 19:10 16:8.9 17:4 156:9 106:3,10,11 28:6 31:20 32:14,25 173:19 174:17 marshal 186:1 35:15 36:1 50:8 millions 20:3 **meaning** 154:14 120:8 massive 132:9 mind 10:2 121:7 means 35:8 mentioned 5:19 master 49:6 65:17 180:16 36:22 37:4 43:6 mine 35:5 125:6 57:1 58:8,21 65:4, match 74:2 109:1 measure 156:12 minimum 25:24 127:23 5,25 70:14 75:21 172:23 174:5 184:2 86:2 99:3 107:17 175:12 176:12,19 matched 71:10, 138:6 167:12 minus 72:24 178:15 179:9 11.14 185:15 186:25 180:13 181:24 minute 57:4 material 17:8 mentions 88:20 measured 174:8 minutes 9:18,21 116:20 127:25 mentor 69:7 128:6 147:15 11:16 12:2,4 measures 148:20 59:15 92:25 172:17,23 173:4 mentored 69:8 93:22,23 94:3 180:23 181:25 Matt 87:4 89:13 menu 50:13 65:21 134:1,4,8,12 meet 5:13 21:22 matter 2:5 5:7 7:6 172:10 185:3 menus 65:20 89:2 124:15 192:2 39:12 117:2 miscellaneous 120:25 121:1,13

19 21:13 23:7

40:25

NATASHA 3:3 160:4 monthly 74:4,9, 172:12 183:3,15, 10,11,13 24 184:9 185:8. misleading 19:6 nationwide 50:19 12,23 186:5,19,25 107:25 108:2 **months** 79:4,6 mispronounced 187:12 190:13 86:23 67:12 **nature** 31:11,14 nonalcohol Moreno 87:5 33:18 50:16 51:10 missed 13:22 66:12 143:4 161:12 33:22 morning 6:13 nonalcoholic 15:22 16:20,24 necessarily 11:5 51:12 65:15,25 misstate 180:11 19:14 20:22 27:16 147:2 161:11 66:2 82:14 100:22 34:1 misstated 128:5 needed 5:18 6:3 188:13,23 motion 12:13,22 34:20,21 84:15 nonbbc 125:8 13:8.19 14:4 88:24 138:10,20, misstatements 184:23 189:21 nonbeer 128:5 147:15 23 noncommission mistake 18:6 move 12:6 14:24 nefarious 30:11 15:8,9 61:3 **able** 105:17 mistaken 50:4 nefariously 18:2 119:12 122:9 79:17 nonfestival negative 78:10,22 137:18 115:24 mistakes 20:15 79:4,5 **moved** 38:12 63:16,18 64:1,4, nonrevenue 74:6 95:24 123:19 neglected 65:2 17 77:25 78:2,6,15, moving 8:8 93:11 negligence 18:19 23 79:5 misunderstand 20:15 22:9 23:17 much,' 85:23 26:3 nontaxable 7:12 135:22 154:9 18:24 24:7 26:23 misunderstandin multiple 17:2 183:22 184:6,13 45:16 54:20 74:15 190:6 **q** 18:6 20:16 64:5 142:24 143:3 188:14 Munguia 2:19 negligent 25:25 156:10 160:6,9 5:24 94:8 184:2 161:16 163:2,6 misunderstandin 172:19,25 173:5, **gs** 64:18 188:16 **Museum** 54:11,13 negotiated 20,21 175:13 111:15 170:13 mixed 26:19 music 36:14,17, 178:2,6 179:7 18 43:10,24 44:18 news 95:23 180:21 **mobile** 86:13 48:13 54:2.6 104:22 newspaper northern 141:21 62:16 65:4,12 123:17 142:7 158:7 **model** 49:14 79:18 83:16 175:5 159:19 165:24 niche 15:23 29:9 moment 81:19 166:5 167:2 43:4 44:16 62:9, 126:4 142:21 Ν note 11:4 13:20 12 Monday 62:5 79:15 105:25 **Niels** 35:1 **named** 30:24 180:13 181:17 money 49:13 31:23 35:1 36:5 **niaht** 68:12 55:12 77:5 81:5,7 **noted** 79:2 173:8 37:8 85:6 86:2 113:25 125:1 112:5 123:25 **notice** 16:6 18:13 **names** 30:22 124:10,19,23 **Noble** 3:11 4:13 41:19 127:24 33:20 125:1,2,3,18,19 7:1,16 8:4,16,18, 172:13 182:4 127:1,20 128:3 25 9:2,7,8,13,19, narrative 37:5 184:18 131:22 146:23 22 10:8 11:2,3,8 161:23 169:2,3 narrow 190:15 notwithstanding 12:22,23 61:13,15 92:15,17 94:6 17:9 23:8 **Monterey** 103:23 **Natalie** 4:21 7:21 117:10,11 121:14 51:25 65:13,23 November 19:8, month 78:12 132:16,17 133:20 115:19 122:15

134:4 165:20

79:23 80:8,15

132:2 152:23

nuanced 47:6 number 5:8 18:12,18 20:20 22:6,8,11,12,15 23:4,13,20 28:4,8 45:5 76:3 78:22 103:1 106:7.11 136:3 154:10 163:16 167:19 **numbers** 81:6,13 88:1 168:7,8 170:1 188:20 numerous 20:1,7 25:17 155:23 0 oath 11:22 object 13:5 objection 8:15 9:1,2,5 11:8,9,11 13:18 objections 8:3,4, 10 10:6,8 obligation 81:10 171:2 observation 17:16

objections 8:3,4
10 10:6,8

obligation 81:10
171:2

observation
17:16

observed 22:3

obtain 67:23
95:18 135:17

obtained 28:21
95:21 135:25

occasion 49:13
167:22

occurred 41:24

180:20 188:2 Octavio 70:13 October 10:23 16:5 18:9,14 20:8 23:5 40:12 52:12 84:2 86:9 91:19

151:15 158:1

152:18 173:16

175:14 177:12

172:13 177:12,22 181:23

odious 21:25 offer 124:20

offered 26:14

offering 14:5,16 98:5

offers 42:2

office 2:1 5:7,8,22 6:7 37:16,17 85:4 90:3 91:14 97:17, 21 118:3,5,6,12, 20 119:7,9,14,17 141:22 152:19,20 166:1 171:11,15

officer 35:4,23

offices 39:24 42:12 119:11 120:14 141:2

official 6:6 offset 78:11

oftentimes

106:20

omission 180:8

on-site 49:4 50:24 54:17 98:2,18,20 100:4,10 105:6,12 106:3,4,18 107:7, 11 113:14 118:16, 22 119:10,12

on-stage 105:3

onboard 100:1

onboarding 99:2

ongoing 22:12 25:15 140:17

online 43:15 86:16 87:1,5,13 90:18

open 117:6 178:10 192:1

opening 11:17 12:7 15:9,14 188:7

operate 34:21 49:10 54:16 82:20 175:24

operated 28:2 53:8 61:24 82:9

operates 35:13

operating 30:12

operation 143:7, 14,22

operations 19:1 31:2,4,5,8 32:6,7 35:6,9 42:19 46:3 47:6,15 112:12, 15,17 139:3 142:2 144:6

opinion 13:15 17:9

opportunities 136:12 138:13

opportunity 11:23,25 13:23 26:25 157:25 184:17,20 186:1

opposite 147:5

option 16:21 156:25 157:2,23 188:25 189:25

oral 13:12 14:5,6, 8,17,20 188:17

orchestrating 24:9

order 11:15 99:8 100:9 101:2 190:2 191:11,14,15 192:4

ordered 101:3

organization 45:16 64:9

organized 141:19,21

organizing 15:24

origin 15:23

original 17:3,4

19:25 31:25

originally 28:3 30:18 45:3

Osley 32:18

Osley's 32:25

OTA 2:6 10:18 11:5 13:6,20 17:13 22:20 26:6, 18 133:21 157:2 177:13 183:18,20, 23.24 184:12

OTA's 183:17 191:17

out-of-state 38:12,14 44:25 52:5 159:1 166:3, 14 173:16 176:19

out-of-states 165:25

outcome 22:11 23:16 153:22 158:1

oversee 42:12,17, 21 49:17 51:10

oversees 112:16 overstate 23:9

overstates 19:20

overview 41:9 44:14 70:21

overviews 46:18 70:17

owe 72:25 80:8 106:14,15,16

owed 102:2,4 179:20 189:6

owing 24:22 189:5

owner 27:21 42:21

owns 154:24,25

Р

p.m. 2:18 192:8

paid 64:9 71:11 72:20 73:8,12 74:18 75:25 76:10 78:7 83:8 101:4 102:12 103:2 104:14 130:7 144:18 161:22 162:24 173:16 175:22 179:1

Palm 42:13 123:18 141:17 142:4 166:23 167:4

pandemic 70:11 139:16

panel 3:3,5 5:9, 12,16 11:24 12:3, 15 13:15,20 14:13 15:13 17:17 28:16 34:4 38:25 61:2 64:13 117:7 133:18 149:3 165:17 189:12 190:5

paper 52:10,11 79:21 99:15 127:13

papers 52:18 54:19 63:22 121:7 142:22 171:11,19

paragraph 155:10 156:7

paragraphs 91:8,

Park 2:16

Parker 3:12 7:3 186:19,20 187:2,5

part 5:21 11:6 17:17 28:7 30:10 32:21,22 39:16 40:18 42:11 79:3 115:20 121:15 147:14 173:17 174:20 176:1,22 177:7 179:11,19 185:24 187:1

part-time 130:1

participants 5:14

participate 5:17 39:7 61:25

participated 39:11

parties 9:24 32:12 68:19 93:1,7,10 94:11 139:1 142:3 184:5 190:4 191:18

parties' 16:25

partners 30:21,23 38:6

partnership 136:10

party 6:8 148:1 159:17,20,21 173:9

pass 65:20

passed 45:15 108:15 115:9

passwords 86:19 87:5

past 19:4

Patrol 171:16

patron 55:6 82:14 107:10

pause 10:3 68:15 143:15

paused 17:6 42:15

pay 29:25 44:25 45:7 56:11 70:19 75:23 104:9 114:10,11 126:2 164:22 167:20 168:1 170:5,8,11

payable 130:3,4, 17 140:20

payables 37:14, 16 44:12 130:8, 15,19

paying 21:9 55:19 73:22 101:1 102:15 104:10 116:14 162:4,6

payment 26:13 40:20 70:23 80:22 89:24,25 90:1,8, 16 130:10 182:22 183:25

payments 26:22 40:8 71:10 78:6 155:12 175:16,25 176:5,20 185:13

payouts 75:25

payroll 37:14 139:17 143:7

pays 73:23 103:16

penalties 154:2

penalty 15:21 16:9 18:16 20:9 21:19 22:8,9 26:1 38:17 63:11 154:8,9 172:15 173:6 179:10,13 182:20,22 183:21, 22,23 184:13,14 190:5,6

pending 184:22

people 29:16,19, 25 32:11 52:13 55:15 60:12,13 62:5,17 70:12 76:13 87:6 90:24 97:20 106:7,11 138:19,23 140:4, 20 142:16 147:3,5 163:15 169:10 170:9 171:17

percent 17:21 49:24 103:16,17 104:17 148:6 165:3,4 169:24 170:11,12 172:15 179:13 180:15 188:9

percentage 165:2 167:23 169:20 170:8 173:22 175:22

percentages 104:17 167:18

perfect 9:22

perfectly 186:11

performed 172:21

period 10:21,23 13:24 18:11,15,17 19:24 38:23 40:11,13 47:1 51:7 60:7 80:8 102:17 108:10,24 116:4,21,24 121:1,16 126:16 129:2,21,24 137:23 142:18 145:6 147:8 154:13 157:16 158:2 161:2 166:1 170:21 171:12,19, 21,22 172:13 173:11,14,20 175:10 176:4,22 177:8,9 181:23 182:3,6,11 187:25

periods 16:5 19:22 23:4,13,18 47:2 83:15 108:17 158:16 172:2 187:10

permission 118:15

permit 65:17 99:10 178:9 181:18

permits 98:16 113:15 117:17 118:11,14

permitting 50:19

person 43:4 48:20,21 56:9,11 68:22 142:10,13

Index: personal..print

152:16 154:24,25 87:1 50:21 126:24,25 160:15,19 platforms 86:13 post-hearing prepares 75:9 personal 28:15 13:6 14:4 184:4 **platter** 106:21 preparing 39:12 43:16.17.25 67:18 190:13 44:7 111:22 173:18 174:7 plausible 25:10 postpreponderance personally 24:3 play 52:22 53:1 investigation 175:1 44:2,4,13 111:16 186:9 **Plaza** 2:16 115:6 118:1 present 5:24 12:2 post-trial 14:10 126:11 138:13 14:14 26:25 33:6 pleases 27:1 28:9 115:10,15 126:6 posted 5:22 personnel 115:15 **point** 16:16 19:10 144:3 145:16 118:17 138:10.13 31:13 32:11 36:15 154:17 180:12 48:21 51:8 68:18 posting 58:20 **pertain** 171:21 186:1 190:22 97:10 99:23 187:10 potentially 78:17 110:22 138:21 presentation pertinent 144:1 146:5 153:22 4:10 15:10,14 power 51:13 65:4 133:12 172:9 177:22 183:19 73:19 100:10,13, **petition** 16:11,17 183:2 186:2 188:6 14 151:10 34:7,10 153:12 155:6 156:23 point-of-sale presentations practice 102:16 36:14 86:12 89:23 12:7 petitioned 19:7 practices 88:8,14 185:14 188:3 presented 14:12 petitioner 39:4 pre 38:10 point-of-sales 21:14 25:14,23 154:14 156:7 51:8 155:19 156:8 precedent 23:22 **PFC** 26:13 89:23, 26:18 188:16 pointed 20:5 presumed 21:25 25 90:1,8 91:1 179:22 precedential **policy** 60:11 physical 60:15,16 17:9 presumption 76:16,17 89:23 polo 54:22,23 22:1,3 178:17 precedents 90:18 114:17,20 55:7,15,19 64:8 179:15 98:3 108:4 118:22 pretty 41:20 60:7 physically 90:7 89:3 143:10 161:14,21, precondition 140:16 25 162:5 24:20 **previous** 188:21 **pickup** 127:3 **Pop** 169:24 predecessor previously 27:10 **Pie** 72:17 81:22 15:16 40:18 portion 55:4 67:6 76:3 78:23 82:1.4.9.11.15 95:8 111:11 65:16 155:5 156:9 **preface** 183:17 104:21 169:13 122:16 124:24 POS 36:12,20,24 preferred 96:20 pizza 98:24 135:2 178:17 86:10 88:20,21,25 181:22 169:10,14,15 prehearing 7:5, 89:3 102:8 187:23 18 **place** 37:1 43:12 **price** 56:8 position 14:11 111:24 118:12 preliminary primarily 36:14 23:8 63:5,6,25 122:22 **places** 61:9 155:17 183:22 37:15 49:14 50:20 52:4 53:17 54:5 preparation placing 100:5 positive 78:11,15 107:25 123:23 19:16 24:3 plan 137:11 138:9 125:6 136:11 possess 24:18 prepare 34:13 **primary** 160:15 planner 45:6 possession 85:2,5 127:3 114:22 177:24 136:12 139:23,25 principal 42:2 planning 137:1, 166:14 111:1 10 138:7 174:19 **possibly** 169:14 prepared 12:15 **print** 130:15 platform 86:16 post-festival 16:18 44:3 111:15

prior 11:5,21 13:2, 111:16 promoters' 99:1 54:24 55:20 56:20 4 23:12 44:18 57:19 89:23 99:23 producing 31:9 pronounce 51:24 106:5 158:16 100:25 105:8 57:16 178:24 182:13,16 pronounced 37:9 106:3,10,11,18 product 55:21 108:15 132:25 private 36:16 pronunciation 73:4 100:15 133:2 146:6,8,15 54:25 55:17 116:7 67:14 116:15 141:9,10 148:12 149:15,19, 139:1 142:3 169:4 **proof** 22:1 179:4 23 152:23 153:1 170:20 156:20 175:2,4,18 production 35:6 proper 67:14 99:9 privy 121:19 176:3,17 177:6 101:20 144:22 167:18 179:7 186:13 186:7 products 73:17 190:18,24 properly 40:6 **problem** 147:17 100:20,22 160:5 63:12 173:6 180:4 168:22 provider 55:2 181:13 182:17,22 90:1 96:20 **profess** 163:17 problems 113:9 properties 62:7 providing 36:17 137:5 147:19 **Professional** 43:10 56:4 85:11 33:21 property 26:16 proceed 122:7 97:7 136:23 136:7,14 140:2, professionals 139:20 146:21 proceeding 12,13,16 173:18 34:14 147:20 175:23 83:25 174:7,12 178:24, **profit** 56:12 185:9 25 179:2 proceedings 161:24 **proving** 174:12 2:15 10:3 192:8 proposals 97:20, programming 24,25 98:2 provision 50:7 proceeds 44:8 86:11 47:12 49:18 55:5 propose 93:12 provisions 14:11 project 23:15 process 6:4 proposing 38:16 84:4 91:6 24:12 17:12 25:16 49:18 **public** 5:20,21 prospective 15:4 51:1 52:1 57:2 projecting 21:21 100:16 116:13 60:5 71:10,17 protest 157:1 projection 175:9 **pull** 77:5,7 168:19, 72:21 74:1,2 90:2, 158:1 20,23 4,6,12 99:3 prominent 83:24 **prove** 14:12 22:22 103:25 105:1 **pulled** 71:20 prominently 177:19 178:23 107:6 109:22 52:17 81:22 **pulls** 168:19 110:8 112:4.8.9 **proven** 21:19 140:3,4,10,18,19, promoter 48:12, 179:20,23 Pumping 65:23 24 142:14 147:14 13,14 49:19,23 provide 33:16 158:9 purchase 178:10, 57:19 59:5,6,7 41:10 53:16,21 12,13 103:6,7,11,13,17 processed 50:23 54:4,14 61:25 104:11,14 105:5, purchaser 66:1 97:6 100:20 processes 42:22 12,19 106:9,23 174:14 178:9,24 104:3 105:20 44:15 58:12 60:4 146:16 147:9.23 106:1 116:15,20 179:1 125:17 148:2,3 164:25 122:25 128:19 purchaser's 165:5 170:10 processing 129:3,5 146:17 178:10 113:21 promoter's 45:15 150:3,16 156:13, purchases 106:3 15 163:13 177:16, procure 49:16 172:20 173:1 21,24 178:4 98:13 promoters 49:21, 179:16 181:4 purchasing 22 59:3 62:7 produce 5:13 6:6 184:4,7,12 98:23 103:13 31:11,12,13 32:13 57:18 113:3 116:17 provided 10:15, 178:11 137:17 141:4 146:6,8 148:10 21,22 11:4 40:1 **Purdy** 4:20 7:20 producers 44:3 168:6 48:4 51:12 52:20 48:23 51:22 93:21

94:1,2,19 95:4,7, 14 101:6 110:25 115:23 117:6,9,10 122:1 126:4

purely 124:24

purportedly 47:23

purpose 48:9 57:15 71:6 104:25 146:21 147:11 174:10

purposes 13:13 21:18 30:12 102:10 104:4 121:12 141:18 144:17

pursuant 16:9 120:12 171:13 175:9 177:11

push 133:13

put 48:18,20 52:15 74:3 76:1,11 80:9 83:18 90:7,24 97:20 99:10 101:24,25 127:13, 18 139:17 160:8 191:14

puts 58:15,18

putting 15:14 58:3 69:24 71:13 90:18 97:25 109:5

Q

qualified 151:11 154:22,23

quality 42:19 43:6

quarter 80:16 173:13 182:6

quarterly 80:9,12 85:2

quarters 26:22

question 14:2 58:16 62:23 63:2, 3,10 64:21 78:19

92:7 109:18,21 111:13 120:7,20 121:23 132:19 159:16 165:22 171:12 183:12,17, 19 184:6 190:11, 24 191:2

questioned

146:24 179:6

questions 5:16 11:23,25 12:3,6 28:15 30:9 34:2 38:23 39:18 42:1 61:14,16,18,21 62:25 67:18 83:25 92:15,19,20,22,23 94:23 95:4 109:3 110:16 117:6,10, 11,13 119:2 120:2,5,9 132:16 133:4,5,8 149:13 158:4 165:19,20 166:11 172:6 183:5.10 185:7 187:15 189:13,18 191:23

quick 9:19

Quickbooks

43:14 70:8,14,25 85:4,22,25 92:2 130:5,12,19 137:16,17,18 141:3,4 176:25

quickly 97:22

quit 30:1

quote 85:22,23 86:10 112:23

R

R3 176:9

race 162:23

raided 52:12

raise 15:3

raised 30:20 190:4 **Ralston** 3:3 5:5, 10 6:16,18 7:4,17, 24 8:2,5,13,22

9:4,9,17,20,23 10:4,10,20,25 11:7,9,15 12:9,16,

21 13:8,16 14:1,3, 24 15:6 27:3,7,19

59:14,22 61:4,8, 13,17 62:24

64:24,25 65:1 66:16 67:3 71:25 72:2 92:14,18,21,

24 93:6,19,24

94:2,7,10,15,22 95:1 117:9,12,15

120:4 121:7,9,25 122:1,9 123:12

132:15,18,21

133:3,6,9,19,22 134:1,11,15,20,25

165:18,21 166:10 172:4,8 183:1,4,9

184:25 185:2,5

187:16 189:11,16, 20 190:12,20 191:1,5,13,20

ran 32:8 38:8

Rancho 54:21 55:7,19 64:7 108:3 161:14,25 162:5

rapid 23:21

rare 179:22

rate 144:22 148:6, 7 168:3 180:15

rates 99:11 167:17

ratio 173:21

Raul 70:5,6

re-de 76:25 77:1,9

read 84:4 91:9,20,

reader 86:13

reads 85:20

ready 7:24 10:4

14:24 15:8,11 94:16 95:2 172:9, 11 185:2

real 9:19 65:22

realized 154:9

reason 10:12 144:20 152:15 162:2 188:14

reasonable

174:24 176:15 181:4

reasons 66:11 103:1 182:23

reaudit 63:17

reaudited 178:15

reaudits 17:2 172:22

rebuttal 12:5 133:12,25 184:25 185:3 187:17

recall 31:20 32:14,25 34:22,25 35:15 36:1 37:3, 21 39:8 41:7,19 51:20 57:2 65:6 74:21 96:12 97:12 107:24 118:13 147:8 149:16

recalled 149:14

157:2 162:2

recap 57:20,22,25 59:5 144:16 146:7,8 164:1 190:18

recapped 144:21

receipt 64:8 186:17 189:25

receipts 44:5 111:18 174:8 177:1 178:18 181:8 185:9,10, 12,16

receive 9:7 10:18 12:23 28:22 110:10 119:3

124:1 130:6,10 131:13 161:5 **received** 4:4.5.6 8:20 9:11 11:13 28:25 45:23 52:14 68:1 71:10 74:3 83:6 103:13 125:22 160:15 175:25 188:19 receiving 35:14 125:19 recent 142:17 recently 118:1 142:1 170:21 Recess 94:14 134:19 recognize 57:13 103:19 149:9 recognized 17:2 recollection 148:19 152:13 recommend 156:11 recommendation 16:13 21:13 23:8 30:15 38:22 39:19 40:24 41:3,8,23 reconcile 103:2 reconciling 21:9 70:8,15 127:25 reconvene 93:17 record 5:5,22 6:1, 12 9:21,25 10:5 11:6 15:6 45:22 94:18 123:7 127:1 134:21 137:18

159:25 186:21 191:25 recorded 5:20 54:19 71:18 140:15 141:2,16, 18 142:2 144:18 159:15,20 160:2, 20 166:5,6 173:9 174:1 180:2 181:1 recording 5:21 13:22 21:15 39:21 40:2 85:14 137:8, 15 144:6

recordkeeping 182:8

records 17:19 21:7,11 25:7 40:6 46:2 52:8,18 60:9 69:25 76:15,16,17 85:12 120:9,12,15 121:2,5,11,16,23 141:14,22 148:11, 14,17 152:14,24 153:4,8 158:6 159:3,14 171:5,6, 7,8,17 175:2 177:11,14,16,19, 21,23 180:4 181:10,20 182:16

recruit 50:12

redeposit 77:8

redeposited 77:2,22 107:15

redepositing 77:12

redetermination 16:12,17 19:7 34:7,10 153:12 155:7 156:23

redo 76:24

reduce 20:2

reduced 17:5 172:24,25 173:2

reducing 105:18

reduction 17:14 22:12 23:19 156:11 189:2

reductions 172:22

redundant 66:22

refer 18:11,17 28:10 34:4 51:4 191:18 reference 40:11 65:7 121:6

referred 33:9 52:20 56:15 136:20 137:2 167:7

referring 28:11 32:2 48:23 51:4 59:10 80:1 81:9 87:15 88:22 138:3 185:10

refund 85:11

regard 9:6 132:21 189:21

region 97:16

regional 98:4

Regulation 174:15 178:20

regulations 22:19,24

reimburse 45:14 143:12,13

reimbursed 51:14 55:4 65:16 101:4,5 160:12

reimbursement 85:9 155:12 175:21 180:3 181:11,14 182:17

reimbursements 39:22,24 143:6 144:7

Reinhold 35:2,9, 15

related 18:3 26:16 47:12 155:12 162:5

relation 65:8

relations 34:18 124:16

relationship 33:23 54:10,14,21 55:22 56:1,14 89:25 97:9 121:5, 24 136:19,21,22 137:19 162:10,22

relationships 43:25 109:17 113:21

released 186:12

relied 39:14

relieved 178:23

remain 94:11 129:17

remained 165:14 remaining 66:19 93:20

remember 33:9, 19 69:16 89:12 90:20 116:25 117:4 118:2 128:6 152:18 154:7

remembering 76:7

reminder 9:24 94:11

removed 147:18 154:8 176:8 177:3

removing 154:9

render 13:15

rent 30:1 160:12

rental 26:22 31:14 62:7 89:22

rented 26:20

repeated 180:9 182:10

repeatedly 111:2

report 40:7 46:9 74:10 80:9 87:19, 21 109:8 127:16, 21 128:10,16 132:3,22 143:11 167:19 172:17 180:18 181:3,7, 15,21 182:3,20

reportable 180:8

reported 2:19 71:15 108:14,17, 21 110:18 147:22 148:1 158:23 173:11 174:3 180:2,4,16,17 181:11

reporter 2:20 94:9 95:23,24 123:6 133:23

reporting 5:25 17:24 18:3 21:16 33:12,17 36:20 39:21 40:3 46:6 125:24 147:13 184:2 188:8

reports 70:14 80:12 85:5 86:14 87:13,14,16 108:15,25 127:18 130:15

represent 6:11 75:2 78:2,16 176:4

representative 63:4 113:11 184:11

representatives 126:5 145:15 149:17

represented 19:11 50:4

representing 7:1 represents 76:21

104:2 176:13 180:14,20

request 105:6

requested 184:13 189:21

required 25:21 119:24

requirement 25:19

requirements 88:25 requires 177:15

resale 24:7 26:4 45:5,7 63:21 66:3 75:16,18,20,21 76:1,8,9,11,12,21, 23 83:19,20 98:16 99:10,13,23 126:7 145:16,22 161:25 174:11,13 175:15 176:19 177:25 178:4,8,12,13,20, 22 179:1,3,7 180:21 182:2

resales 18:5 173:15

resold 100:16 178:24

respect 17:24 59:25 63:24 83:16 116:5 117:7 157:3 173:7 175:3,16 176:20 177:10,25 188:11,13 190:13

respectfully 23:1

respective 87:20 112:5 138:16

respond 44:23 111:4 189:25

responded 88:9,

Respondent 3:9 7:13 8:2,8 12:1,3 92:8 117:7 149:22 151:23 165:17 183:6 187:22 189:24

respondent's 4:6 8:10,19 150:24 172:9

Respondents' 8:14

response 46:4 157:22 189:1

responsibilities 68:21 69:13,17,21 97:14 98:11 100:17 129:25

responsibility 33:14 112:8

responsible 24:3
44:4 57:16 73:9
75:22,25 76:9
86:11 101:1
102:14 103:4
109:4 111:17,21
126:12 142:6,9,
11,13 159:13
160:16.22 162:6

rest 49:25 52:3 117:6

restrictions 67:2 93:17,18 122:8

rests 20:17

result 119:16

resulted 172:22 173:23 174:4 178:14 181:24

retail 174:7,10,12

retailer 119:21 174:13 178:18,19

retailer's 174:6

retailers 66:3

retain 60:9 171:2,

retained 165:4

retention 60:11

return 71:19 140:5

returned 60:19 115:2 171:24

returns 17:18 19:16 21:17 24:4 25:10 33:13 34:20 39:13 40:3,7 84:16,22 85:3 136:6,7,8,9,11,12 139:23,25 140:2, 12,13,25 166:15 171:3 173:12 181:3 revenue 15:20 26:4 44:12 49:11, 23 50:6 51:2,11, 16 52:16 55:13 59:12 66:10 85:6 125:7,8 159:21,22 164:14,17 173:9

review 13:23 19:18 51:6 130:16

reviewed 145:16

reviewing 26:8

Revised 46:10

revoked 135:19

right-hand 46:22

Riverside 79:17, 19,22 142:4,12,15 144:1 158:17,18 166:23

Riverside's 159:24

road 160:21

Rocko's 104:21

role 35:24 85:21 116:4

roles 68:20 69:20 98:13

rolling 89:15

room 6:20 94:12 106:19,20 117:3 143:7,9,18

routed 166:2

row 73:10 168:14, 15.17

rule 12:15

rules 22:19,24

ruling 13:17

run 32:8,9 35:11 90:8 137:16 143:8 148:4

runs 35:24

Ryan 36:5,10,18 70:10 86:2 89:13

S

S&r 164:7,13

Sacramento 166:22 167:3

sale 79:2,6 143:3, 4 160:20 161:6,7 174:8,10,12 175:14

sales 7:10,12 18:3,5,7,23 19:2, 16 20:2,6,25 21:16 23:21 24:4, 6,15,17 26:7 29:8, 9 35:24 36:11 39:21.23 40:2.3.7 42:18 43:3 44:22, 25 45:6,8,13,22 48:19 51:7 52:12 58:20 59:6 63:20 64:5 69:25 70:1 71:19 72:24 73:6, 11 74:5,10 75:2 76:8,10 78:12,13 80:6 81:10,17 83:4,8 84:22 85:2, 13,15 87:13,15, 19,21,25 88:2,5 90:4 97:21 102:4, 5,7,9,12,15 103:4, 8,9 104:6,7,18 110:18 112:3,7 113:4 116:13 125:5,13,14,25 126:2 128:5 132:22 136:15 137:13,16 140:2, 25 141:2,4,14,15 142:24 143:5,11 144:17,22 146:11, 13,16 147:13,20, 22 148:1 152:23 153:4 155:13 156:10 158:11,14, 17 159:9 160:10, 11,18 161:17,20, 21 162:4,6,19 164:1,19,22 166:14 167:11,13,

16,18,21 168:3

172:18,19,23,24, 25 173:5,8,12,14, 15,17,18,20,22, 23,24 174:1,2,3,5, 6,7 175:4,8,13,15, 22 176:2,5,14,19, 25 177:15,25 178:2,6,7,8,9 179:6,7,12 180:16,20,21,25 181:2,3,6,10,14, 20,21 182:1,9,18, 19 185:11,17

salespeople

salesperson 29:15 38:8

sample 173:14,19 175:10 176:3,22 177:8 182:6

San 28:19 29:14 37:17 42:12 52:23 53:7,13,24 54:3, 20,23 67:21,24 68:2 74:15,16 79:2,3,17,25 85:4, 12,22 91:14 135:11,25 136:2 141:14,15,16,22 142:1,2,3,7,12,15, 23 143:3,6,25 144:2,7 158:6,13 159:5,24 160:6 161:16 163:2 165:23 166:7,21, 22 167:3 178:2

Santa 54:22 55:7, 19 64:7 108:4 161:14,25 162:5

Sara 4:20 7:20 48:21,23 51:22 65:13,23 95:7

sat 90:10 124:25

savvy 84:20

SBA 21:4

SBE 16:6 18:13,20 19:25 20:5 21:4 22:5,6,9,21 23:7

25:15 39:20 40:5, 17 41:3 46:13 189:8

SBE's 19:4 20:4, 19,23

schedule 50:3 74:9 83:9 176:9 181:9

schedules 44:3,7 111:16,22

scheme 24:21

school 68:11,12 96:1 123:1,5,8,16

scope 50:8

sealed-off 186:7

search 120:12 171:13 177:11 186:14

second-to-last 169:21

section 15:20 16:9 18:16 21:19 45:10 174:6,11 175:9 179:10

seek 19:18

seeking 188:10

sees 80:24

segue 101:6

segued 36:11,15

seized 120:8,12 121:12,14 171:8, 10,11,23 185:21, 25 186:4,18,22 187:4,11

seizure 121:2,3,5

selected 98:21 100:5

selecting 14:10

sell 56:10 65:15 66:12 118:16 175:20

seller 178:21,22

seller's 178:9 181:17

sellers' 113:14 117:17 118:11,14

selling 54:6 170:7

send 80:21,23 85:9 156:25 169:7 190:2 192:3

sends 143:6

sense 21:22

separate 26:22 120:25 121:12 146:14 186:6

separation 142:24

September 16:6 18:9,14 23:5 40:12 46:24 151:5 158:2 172:14 181:23

series 149:22

serve 71:7

served 66:6

service 26:23 29:20,21 32:12 53:10 54:24 62:10,17,19 106:18 116:14,15 162:13 174:19

services 26:20 29:23 33:17 37:14 47:19 48:4,10 49:3 50:8 53:17, 21 54:4,14 55:20 56:4 62:1 78:16 97:6,7 115:25 116:6,20 128:19 129:3 136:24 139:20 162:15

serving 174:17

set 100:11 119:11 160:1,2 166:24

sets 141:1 166:25 167:1

Index: setting..split

setting 68:17 89:2 **show** 21:6 54:6 simultaneous sort 112:6 138:10 150:17 171:3 127:20 186:14 settle 50:20 114:7 180:25 181:10 119:8 simultaneously **sorts** 163:12 **showed** 120:11. 127:22 settlement 28:7 **Soul** 104:22 15 171:15 50:21 51:14 52:1 **single** 104:7 **sounds** 35:9 59:1 104:3,5 showing 103:8 singular 18:2 55:24 115:14 105:9 106:13 180:11 112:2 114:6 singularly 19:3 **source** 33:15 Showplace 29:13 125:3 153:8 171:2 settlements **sinks** 65:18 73:19 **shows** 74:12,13 177:1 185:18 45:12 57:23 113:8 104:6,8,9,10 187:23 113:23,25 114:2,3 sir 184:9 185:23 shrinkage 148:9 sources 26:3 **settling** 51:1 57:2 sit 27:6 61:7.9 52:17 85:7 sic 74:21 **share** 45:14,15 140:16 **space** 160:12 49:19 59:2,8 **sick** 157:9,10 site 96:6 175:24 103:5 side 29:23 35:6,9 **spaces** 29:16 sitting 61:7 118:2 **shared** 58:21 59:4 36:20 39:13 65:19 87:22 49:10,11,15 54:17 situation 53:12 speak 6:2,3,19 164:25 133:20 137:10 16:19 62:18 144:20 147:19 153:19 166:4 shareholder 32:5 speaking 6:22 **sign** 25:17 99:10 situations 65:12 shareholders speaks 6:1 114:10 119:24 128:6 31:25 150:6,9,14,21 spearhead 39:15 size 20:6 sheet 51:14 59:1 151:2,11 153:20 special 29:16 82:18 141:4 **sizes** 10:17 **sign-out** 175:6,17 62:1 146:14,15,16,20 176:24 **Skip's** 143:23 147:6 specific 41:10 signature 149:9 slightly 160:1 45:17 54:18 57:25 **sheets** 85:7 128:7 72:11 160:3 147:1,4 156:16 **signed** 16:18 **small** 53:23 174:15 179:19 175:6 185:19 34:10 112:1 150:1 126:20 129:12 190:15 151:6 153:12 131:21,22,24 **shifts** 174:25 137:25 specifically 176:15 179:5 significant 13:12 22:18,25 34:5 smaller 26:11 shipping 35:14 silo 18:3 46:8 63:2 65:25 smell 132:4 82:3 161:9 174:9 shopper 169:7 silverware 175:4 176:23 174:18 snack 54:7 **Shorenstein** 178:8 52:21,22,25 similar 18:16 snacks 54:7 speech 28:18,21 21:12 23:19 52:5 **short** 111:9 29:4 **soda** 100:22 60:10 62:4,15 133:15,17,20 66:21 82:22 144:2 **spend** 81:8 **sold** 29:16 73:3 134:9 169:3 100:15 169:14 **Spicy** 72:17 81:22 shortages 148:8 175:19 similarly 22:16 82:1,4,8,11,15 shorter 93:11 60:2 82:18 103:22 104:21 169:13 Solutions 26:13 106:24 113:16 28:7 89:24.25 Shorthand 2:20 **spinal** 41:21 90:1,8 **simple** 64:17 157:13 **shortly** 16:19 188:14 sophisticated split 49:20 66:9 Shotskis 50:15 168:13 164:24 **simply** 127:8

spoke 165:22 **stands** 184:23 **States** 16:1 subdivision 174:16 178:21 Stanford 53:14, **stations** 168:18 sponsorship 105:13 subject 26:10 statute 25:18 135:22 140:13 sporting 43:24 start 6:8 10:4 37:1 149:15,16 150:19, 160:10,11,18,19 53:18 77:3 89:8,10 21 151:3,6,13,16, 172:20 173:1,15 107:8 170:17 19 174:9 sports 116:7,10 176:6 178:18 **started** 29:18,23 **stay** 39:17 **submit** 12:18 **spot** 100:5 145:25 30:1,2,3,20 37:21 **stealing** 168:16 14:14,17 118:14 spot-check 38:6,12,13 68:8, 141:11 167:16 12,13,21,24 70:2, stenographer 7 77:16 89:6.15. 5:24 6:4,5 134:13 submitted 8:9.23. spreadsheet 24 10:12 12:12,24 16 96:3 100:12 87:5 127:13,21 **steps** 47:7 123:17 130:2 **subs** 54:25 137:22 138:2 sticking 103:18 spreadsheets 143:23 154:1 subsequently 176:25 177:2 stickler 42:19 172:21 starting 6:10 **Springs** 42:13 43:6 77:14 137:9,21 substantial 63:9 123:18 141:17 stop 6:4 35:14 142:4 166:23 138:21 180:1,25 167:4 storage 60:17 starts 72:10 106:6 substantially **SQ4** 85:6 **store** 99:13 182:9 state 2:2,21 3:9 substantive 88:5 6:11 15:16 17:10, **Square** 86:13,16, stored 52:8 25 18:7 20:11,25 17,18 87:1 89:13 straight 30:1 substitution 14:5 185:15 24:10,14 28:19 31:17 37:5 38:19 subtotal 73:11 strategic 138:9 **Stacy** 37:8 91:11, 42:3 47:7 56:24 19 142:20 subtracting strategies 43:5 67:21,24 68:2 106:17 92:10 95:16,19 **Stacy's** 37:18 streaming 10:1 91:21 116:23 135:11,15 **success** 24:25 streams 50:6 136:1 163:1 174:7 stadium 66:13 successive 175:14 180:20 **street** 53:13 180:10 staff 105:13 106:4 **stated** 19:13 20:5 strength 22:4 **Sue** 69:11 117:16 38:15 71:8 84:14. stretch 93:9 17 124:24 178:17 staffing 130:7 sufficiency 138:24,25 179:11 struck 20:4 22:7 statement 4:24 23:16 11:17 40:21 42:13 sufficient 180:8 Stagecoach 44:5 84:24 85:17, 129:11 144:2 structure 44:9 suggest 189:4 20 88:3 103:21 155:14 158:19 65:19 132:7 111:4 112:11 163:18,21 164:11, **Suite** 2:16 137:22 166:7 126:13 138:3 19 **suites** 56:21 structured 188:7 190:8,23 **stand** 169:8 161:10 summaries 112:2 statements 21:9 standard 21:23 struggles 136:25 40:9.11 42:9 summarize 60:22 70:15 86:20 91:22 standards 58:9 studying 68:23 summarizes 92:5 101:7 111:18 standing 124:5 190:10 **stuff** 50:15 137:22 128:11 130:16 148:8 163:13 136:7,8 153:9 standpoint 138:1 summary 44:7 163:25 141:13 168:14 111:16,22 124:4 subcontractor 182:14 190:17 160:13

summer 69:5 97:13 **sums** 123:25 Sun 123:18 super 116:11,20, 23 162:23 165:25 superficial 16:5 Superior 26:18 Superscope 179:16 supplement 14:6 supplied 167:12 168:8 **supply** 62:17 supplying 65:3 support 14:11 18:1,19 20:23 23:3 25:3,22 42:2 48:20 56:20 100:13 supported 19:5 182:20 supporting 43:24 48:19 86:12 98:20 100:2 surrounding 26:13 suspended 135:19 suspicious 131:10,14 132:3 sustain 20:13 Suzanne 35:19 swear 8:6 11:20 14:25 switch 54:18 61:8 switched 90:20 sworn 15:5 27:11

67:7 95:5,9

122:17 135:3

system 36:14

51:8 111:24 137:16 168:13 **systems** 36:13,24 88:22 89:3 185:15 187:23 **T tab** 72:4 82:3 105:25 **table** 61:6

105:25 **table** 61:6 **tack** 49:24 143:7, 9,18 **tacos** 98:24 104:22

takes 111:11 165:1 191:9 taking 89:16 94:4

115:8 142:22 **talk** 26:12 31:15 101:6 109:21

125:4 **talked** 50:7 100:8 166:21 168:5

talking 50:15 61:22 65:2 74:9 81:19 100:1 108:10 113:3 116:13 117:22 133:24 154:8 155:1,2

Tana 51:24

tangible 26:16 173:17 174:7

tantamount 24:25

tasked 129:25

tasks 88:16

taught 131:20 132:1

Tavern 143:8,9

tax 2:1 3:10 5:8,22 6:7 17:4,18,24 18:3,7,23 19:16

20:25 21:16 23:22 24:4,15,18,21 26:10,13 28:8,23, 25 33:12,13 34:19 39:21,23 40:3,7,8, 20 44:22,25 45:6, 8,13,22 50:1 51:15 52:13 59:11 69:25 71:19 72:24 73:6,12 74:10,17, 18 75:22 76:1,10 79:7 81:10 83:4,8, 9 84:22 85:2,13 102:4,9,12,15 103:4,9 112:7 126:2 136:6,7,8, 11 139:23,25 140:2,12,13,16,25 141:4 144:17,22 154:6 155:2 156:12 158:11,14 160:10,11,18 161:21 162:4,6,19 164:22 166:14 167:11,13,17,18, 21 168:3 172:15, 20 173:1,12,15 174:6,16 175:21 176:6 177:15 178:18 179:1,12, 20 180:3,5,11 181:2,10,11,14

taxable 7:9 18:24 20:2 24:6 40:7 45:22 64:10 80:7 142:23 143:3 155:3 160:6,9,11, 21,23 161:6,7 172:17,23 173:5, 7,24 174:1,3,5 176:14 178:15 180:11,16 181:7, 21 182:1,9 188:15

182:17,18,22

189:5

183:25 188:8,12

taxation 15:20 174:9 179:14

taxes 50:2 59:7,9 80:21 89:21 104:8 136:13,14,15

taxpayer 28:4 174:22,25 177:16 179:19 186:8 188:8 189:4

teaching 95:25

team 39:14 44:10 46:5 50:9,10,23 58:5 112:3 115:9, 11,18,21 147:10

teams 44:19 51:18,21 58:11

technically 166:25

techno 84:19

technologically 10:19 84:19

teller 123:19,20, 21,22,23 124:2

telling 81:5 110:11

tells 127:14

template 87:13

templates 52:7

temporary 119:10

ten 9:21 134:1,2, 12 185:3

ten-minute 134:15

tenting 51:13 100:9

tents 65:5 73:19 100:25 101:2

tenure 139:11

Tenzer 179:16

term 69:7 168:6 171:8

terminal 89:23 90:7,9,10

terms 71:8 90:2 128:2

Index: testified..turn

testified 27:12 threw 150:4 19:5,22 21:5 transaction 67:8 95:10 122:18 22:16 23:2,4 26:17 131:24 Thursday 2:18 130:23 135:4 24:24 25:7,14,23 160:8 161:9,10 5:1 26:9,12,14 27:1 188:4 testify 7:25 8:6 **TI** 53:19 33:6 37:19 48:24 11:21 48:24 156:4 transactions 52:11 64:6 71:2 tickets 105:2,10, 18:25 26:9 44:11 testifying 66:21 99:19 101:16 11,14 114:9 55:3 89:5.6.19 110:25 122:2 90:2 132:2 142:25 testimony 4:16 time 6:2 10:19 125:4 130:24 11:18,21 12:1,12 159:2 162:5 163:3 135:9 144:5 23:12 26:21 29:19 165:12 173:10 13:12 14:9,20,21 145:1,14 155:19 35:12 36:10 38:9, 15:9 25:23 26:9, 175:3 176:8,10 18 40:5 41:16 156:4,20 159:9 15 59:21 63:6 178:5 179:4 188:2 43:12 45:1,9 177:10 185:14 64:6,15 66:22 187:24 188:17 transcript 2:15 48:18 58:7 61:3 71:2 73:18 94:22 190:14 191:3,21 62:13 65:23 66:20 5:21 6:6 191:4,6, 99:22 101:16.18 68:4,22 69:2,15 192:7 9.16 122:5 126:4,10 70:20 90:22 91:5 today's 5:9 12:19 transcripts 13:21 134:6,22 143:25 93:9,14 99:18 191:12,24 145:14 159:4,8 transfers 26:16 102:6,14 107:9 161:13 163:25 told 68:11 154:1 108:10 110:22 180:6 185:14 transition 36:23 113:23 116:1,4, **Tony** 70:12 187:24 188:17 21,24 117:8 118:3 transitioned 190:14,18 top 47:18 72:24 119:23 124:24 97:22 98:8 tests 158:15 85:12 90:22 165:2 126:16.17 129:9. translated 87:24 169:23 12 133:1,24 134:7 **Thai** 164:7,13 142:18 147:7,8 transmitted 169:11,16 total 37:24 45:23 149:14 157:16 71:18 159:10,12 81:25 106:14 thankful 26:25 161:2,24 162:2 164:19 173:23 travel 67:1 93:16, 166:4 167:17 Theater 54:2 174:2 176:25 18 94:19 117:8 168:2 170:21 180:13 122:8 177:23 178:10 theaters 52:21, 185:1 189:13 totaled 178:3 traveled 162:25 22,23,25 53:2 191:16 **totaling** 173:10 thing 54:15 114:5 Treasure 53:20, timely 16:11,22 21,23 things 31:11,14 totality 72:20 19:7 174:13 33:17 34:20 tremendous 178:20.22 tough 56:23 51:10,11 52:15 126:18 56:21 65:3,5,10, times 62:19 81:3 tour 56:16,17,18 **trenches** 112:25 90:17 91:15,16 22 74:15 75:13 tours 163:13 113:1,5,19 145:1, 141:25 142:16 78:3 93:9 95:25 12 145:5 155:23 98:17 100:23 town 151:9 166:6 105:6,10 110:17 troubleshooting track 80:6 106:22 112:18 118:4 100:18 **timewise** 133:11 130:16 137:8 tracked 106:9,11 truck 35:14 127:3. timing 158:22 148:12 158:15 tracking 69:25 4 167:16 187:21 tips 78:4,17,19 74:5 102:1,7 true 17:14 168:24 third-party 98:14 **titled** 86:8 trailer 119:12 105:15 trust 17:13 26:6 126:20 Tobias 35:2 189:7 thought 89:14 training 29:1 today 7:25 13:13 truth 42:10 thousand 168:20, 124:1 131:13 14:6,8,17,20,21 21 turn 46:7 47:5 16:3,18 18:11,17

Index: turned..verify

64:20 92:8 97:3 105:14 119:17 121:24 165:17 184:25

turned 6:23

type 34:16 47:21 48:9 50:3,15 54:13 56:1 57:13, 16 71:21 99:6 100:20 115:14,15 127:21 135:22 136:4 148:8 162:10

types 50:6 52:3 60:3 65:10 101:17,21 115:25 116:5 136:13,14 142:25 145:1 155:18 165:11 176:1

typical 138:1 148:8

typically 49:23 114:11

U

Uh-huh 96:24 112:21 114:16 118:19,21,24 119:1 120:1 123:13 129:1 130:9,11 132:20 159:23

ultimate 142:9,13

ultimately 22:5 25:20 60:24 71:18 84:16 87:16 140:7 159:10 181:24

umbrella 103:8 112:18

unable 178:4

unaware 86:18,24

unconcluded 23:12

undeniably 21:6

undermine 22:16

undermined 17:12 20:21

underreport 81:17 182:9

underreported 20:24 24:6

underreporting 17:9,14 18:5 19:2 20:20 23:10

63:10,16

understand

28:11 55:6 58:16 63:23 74:20 80:4 92:4 98:21 146:5 159:3 167:13 177:18

understanding

15:19 24:14,17 47:10,14 63:5 76:2 77:9 95:15 137:5 163:4,5,6 171:2,7 184:22 190:17,21 191:10

understatement 21:21 63:24.25

understatements

20:13 180:9 182:11

unfitting 188:10

unit 90:18

United 16:1

units 88:25

University 28:19 67:21,24 68:3 95:16,19 135:11 136:1

Unlimited 29:6,7, 22

unquote 112:23

unreported 7:9 20:2,6 23:21 155:13 172:17,23 173:4,7 174:5 181:6 182:1 unresolved 13:4

unsystematic

25:8

untrue 21:1

unused 77:11

updated 12:24

upper 46:22

usage 107:4

user 161:22 162:20

usual 165:3

٧

V.A. 26:7

valid 175:10 178:20,22

varied 85:6

vary 170:1

varying 104:16

VC 51:18,21

VCS 50:11 51:6

venders 175:24

vendor 45:17 49:25 50:8,9,11, 17,21,22 51:1,6, 12,18,21 57:6,17, 20 58:4,5,14,15, 17 59:11 70:19 71:3,8,15 72:13, 15,17 73:25 75:24 76:4,21 78:23 97:22 98:9,12 99:8 100:1 101:3 102:19,23,24 103:8,16,18 106:25 107:22,23 108:13,16 109:18 110:11.13.14

114:6 115:17 119:6,15,21 125:5,14,22 128:7,11 129:15

111:25 113:5

138:21 144:6,19 146:2,4,11 147:4, 18,19 156:15 164:9,10,11 167:15,20,21,23, 24 169:1,2 175:5 176:8,24 177:5 185:12

vendors 21:9

44:12 45:11 49:12,16,17,20 50:14 57:2,25 58:22,23 63:20 65:3,10,11 66:11 70:23 71:15 72:8, 11 73:3,9,12,20 75:20 81:21 83:20 96:7,8 97:23 98:13,14,18,22,25 99:4,7,22 100:21 101:1 102:1,6,14 103:14 104:23 105:15,20 110:16, 18 113:17,22 114:15 115:7 116:16 118:4,10 119:4 125:9,19 132:21 144:3 145:17,21 146:17 167:12 168:9,11, 12,14,24,25 170:1,2,4 175:20, 21 176:1 185:10

venture 29:24 30:19 38:7 143:10

venue 54:2 65:12 87:22 96:12,13 160:3.4

venues 36:15 43:11 62:21 65:4 66:6 85:9 142:3

verbatim 5:25

verification 132:23

verified 145:25 146:1 160:20

verify 132:24 147:22 175:8 176:4 177:1

Index: verifying..zone

185:19 180:9 186:15 witnesses 7:19, **writing** 13:24 20,22 8:3 11:19, verifying 126:7 warranted 7:9,11 written 5:13 14:18 20,24 13:10 15:1, 173:4 175:1 183:18 192:3 versa 169:17 2,4,7 21:6 59:18 176:16 177:9.20 66:19 93:2,13,16, wrong 60:20 version 57:24 179:9 180:23 20 122:5 125:4 158:19 168:4 182:24 versus 25:4 26:17 167:7 171:8 washing 65:18 90:18 160:9 word 60:20 wrongdoing 179:15,16 watch 169:9 171:10 179:19 vertical 72:7 words 141:1 water 65:23 74:24 75:14,16 Υ 100:22 105:3 77:24 work 29:3.17 162:25 170:7 38:11,13 43:5,22 vice 169:17 Yacht 96:14,15, weak 34:15,16 49:16 50:12 51:23 17,23 video 5:21 52:18 54:19 63:22 website 5:23 6:7 67:19 68:1,11 year 33:13 69:4 view 87:24 111:12 90:11 178:10 72:21 77:15 79:21 78:8,9 117:22 112:18 wedding 162:16 91:13 98:23 140:18 158:18 123:15 124:10 views 147:5 182:5 weddings 32:12 125:17 136:4 68:18 vindicate 25:24 years 10:24 16:3 141:9,10 142:22 48:6 136:3 142:20 148:4 160:5 violation 135:23 week 150:18 143:23 156:1 168:14 170:4 191:11 192:5 **volume** 137:7 170:24,25 171:1 171:11,19 169:1 178:12 weekend 73:4 180:10 181:19 124:18 worked 29:5,13 182:12 voluntarily 25:18 32:6 69:11 70:6 152:22 153:1 weeks 150:17 York 108:2 95:23 96:6,12 108:14 109:13 weigh 25:6 **Youtube** 13:21 126:20 131:4 W 191:17 West 72:13 working 30:2 whatnot 98:24 waive 14:17 32:7 35:5 37:21 Ζ 99:12 106:22 62:6 68:8,9,12,13, waiving 14:20 112:7 15 69:5 84:8 96:3, zone 80:7 wanted 11:4 7 98:9 121:7 Wheels 104:22 12:10.18 13:6 124:18 136:2.19 whoever's 58:20 21:11 29:20 42:8 170:17 93:7 146:10,23 wholesale 45:4 works 91:14 159:3 167:17 55:2 56:5,6,12 104:1 169:6 187:20 75:23 worksheet 190:14 wi-fi 88:25 89:1 158:14 **wanting** 110:17 wife 43:17 worksheets warehouse 35:12 163:17 willingness 68:13 139:3 25:17 world 137:24 warehouses wing 69:3 68:10 worst 19:6 withheld 153:10 Warfield 53:25 worth 73:3 54:2,4 witnessed write 127:21 113:11 118:1 warrant 120:13 writes 152:8 171:14 177:11