BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN	THE MATTER	ROF	THE	APPEAL	OF,)			
)			
Μ.	MAGANA,)	OTA	NO.	220811109
)			
			A	PPELLAN'	Γ.)			
)			
)			

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Friday, June 16, 2023

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS					
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
3						
4						
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)					
6	M. MAGANA,) OTA NO. 220811109					
7	APPELLANT.)					
8	AFFELLANI.)					
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,					
15	taken in the State of California, commencing					
16	at 9:30 a.m. and concluding at 9:46 a.m. on					
17	Friday, June 16, 2023, reported by Ernalyn M.					
18	Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and for the State					
19	of California.					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Administrative Law Judge:	JUDGE KEITH LONG
4	For the Appellant:	M. MAGANA
5		
6	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
7		DI NGUYEN
8		BRADLEY COUTINHO
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>I N D E X</u>						
2							
3		<u>EXHIBITS</u>					
4							
5	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-4 were received at page 8.)						
6	(Department's Exhibits A-I were received at page 8.)						
7							
8		PRESENTATION					
9		DACE					
10	Der Mer Massers	<u>PAGE</u>					
11	By Mr. Magana	8					
12	By Mr. Nguyen	12					
13							
14							
15		CLOSING STATEMENT					
16		<u>PAGE</u>					
17	By Mr. Magana	14					
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

California; Friday, June 16, 2023 9:30 a.m.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE LONG: Let's go on the record.

We are opening the record in the Appeal of
M. Magana. The OTA Case Number is 2119246 [sic]. This
matter is being held before the Office of Tax Appeals.
Today's date is June 16th, 2023. And the time is
approximately 9:30 a.m. This hearing is being held
electronically with the agreement of both the taxpayer and
the agency's representative.

Today's hearing is being heard and decided by a single Administrative Law Judge under the Office of Tax Appeals Small Case Program. The Office of Tax Appeals is an independent and neutral agency. It is not a tax court. My name is Keith Long, and I will be conducting the hearing and deciding the appeal.

Also present is a stenographer, Ms. Alonzo, who is reporting this hearing verbatim. To ensure we have an accurate record, we ask that everyone speaks one at a time and does not speak over each other. Also, speak clearly and loudly. When needed, Ms. Alonzo will produce the official hearing transcript which will be available on the Office of Tax Appeals website.

I'd like to offer a few reminders to help the

1 process run as smoothly as possible. First, please ensure 2 your microphone is not muted when you speak. Otherwise 3 your voice will not be picked up on the live stream even though we can hear you in the room. As a reminder these 4 5 proceedings are being broadcast live and anything said 6 today and any information shared today is publicly 7 viewable on the live stream. Please do not use the chat 8 function within Webex. 9 For the record parties, will the parties please 10 state their name and who they represent, starting with the 11 representatives for FTB. 12 MR. NGUYEN: My name is Di Nguyen. 13 representing the FTB. 14 MR. COUTINHO: And I'm Brad Coutinho. Also 15 representing the Franchise Tax Board. Thank you. 16 JUDGE LONG: Thank you. 17 And for Appellant, Mr. Magana? 18 MR. MAGANA: Is it me? Moises Magana. 19 JUDGE LONG: Thank you. 20 Today Mr. Magana will be testifying as a witness. 21 Is that correct; Mr. Magana? 22 MR. MAGANA: Yes. 23 JUDGE LONG: Okay. Before we go forward, please 2.4 raise your right hand. /// 25

M. MAGANA,

produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified as follows:

2.1

2.4

JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you.

The exhibits for this appeal consist of FTB

Exhibits A through I. At the prehearing conference and in our May 25th, 2023, minutes and orders, the Office of Tax

Appeals acknowledged FTB Exhibits A through H. At the prehearing conference, Appellant did not have any objection to these exhibits. Thereafter, FTB submitted Exhibit I consisting of a federal tax transcript for the tax year 2020.

Mr. Magana, do you have any objections to this exhibit?

MR. MAGANA: No.

JUDGE LONG: Thank you.

Additionally, at the prehearing conference,

Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 4 were identified. FTB did

not have any objection to Appellant's exhibits at that

time.

FTB, is this still correct?

MR. NGUYEN: That's correct.

JUDGE LONG: Thank you.

Accordingly, FTB's Exhibits A-I and Appellant's exhibits 1-4 are admitted without objection.

(Appellant's Exhibits 1-4 were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

(Department's Exhibits A-I were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

JUDGE LONG: The issue in this appeal is whether Appellant has established reasonable cause for the late payment of tax, such that's the late-payment penalty should be abated.

Mr. Magana, you requested 15 minutes to make your presentation, and you may begin when you are ready.

2.1

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. MAGANA: Okay. So I am making this request. I made this request a long time ago. Basically to summarize, 2022 was a tough year for me. My sister got diagnosed with cancer. My mother -- as my sister was going through treatment, my mother then got diagnosed with cancer. My sister was going through chemo. She was making that okay. Then we got surprised with my mother getting diagnosed, and then she passed three months after. It was very sudden.

So there was just a lot of personal time commitments and challenges and me just getting required

deadlines in order. And obviously, paid -- got everything together, paid when I could, but obviously it was late based on the respective time lines. I, you know, have never requested any kind of abatement of fees or penalties or whatever the case may be.

You know, I've always been a timely taxpayer, if

2.4

You know, I've always been a timely taxpayer, if you will, and I submitted the request in to the IRS and in to the state. Luckily, the IRS accepted and waived some of the fees or penalties, and I was hoping the State would as well, but here we are today. So it's a request as, you know, extraordinary situation where, you know, I'm just asking for it to be waived.

JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you.

Sorry. I hit the mute button too many times there.

Okay. Before I ask my questions, since you testified as a witness, I forgot to ask. Does FTB have any questions for Mr. Magana before we go forward?

MR. NGUYEN: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE LONG: Thank you.

Mr. Magana, I understand from -- from your appeals request that you were flying back and forth to take care of your relatives; is that correct?

MR. MAGANA: That's right.

JUDGE LONG: And you live where?

1 MR. MAGANA: L.A. and my mother and sister in 2 San Francisco. 3 JUDGE LONG: Okay. And about how frequently were 4 you traveling back and forth? 5 MR. MAGANA: At one point every four days, 6 five days. 7 JUDGE LONG: Wow. Okay. And do you know -- I'm sorry. Can you tell me what months that were? What time 8 9 period in 2022 was that? 10 MR. MAGANA: So my sister -- let's see. 11 sister got diagnosed March of 2020, and she went through 12 chemo basically through the end of 2020 but into 2021. My mother got diagnosed July of 2020 and passed at the end of 13 14 October 2020. 15 JUDGE LONG: Okay. And when you were in San 16 Francisco, were you providing full time care for them or --17 18 MR. MAGANA: Yeah. We tried to do like not 19 hospice, but we tried to do like in-nurse care. Then we 20 tried to do hospice care. It wasn't working out. When 21 you have limited time with your loved ones, then you --22 excuse me. You make the time to be there. So. 23 JUDGE LONG: I understand, and I'm sorry for 2.4 everything that you went through. I know this is hard to

talk about, but I just want to make sure I understand

25

everything that's going on. Okay? 1 2 MR. MAGANA: I understand. 3 JUDGE LONG: Okay. And so do you know -- so you were -- just so I'm clear, you were flying back and forth 4 5 from Los Angeles to San Francisco roughly every week from 6 March 2020 through 2021? 7 The traveling didn't spike MR. MAGANA: No. until like June where I had to go constantly. But prior 8 9 to that, it was like every two weeks, not as frequent. It 10 wasn't until they were both in their condition, where I 11 felt I had to be there because I didn't know how much time 12 I had. 13 And, you know, my mother had to go to the ER a 14 couple of times, was in and out of the hospital. It was 15 during the year of Covid. So, you know, not many people 16 could go into the hospital. If one person went it, then 17 that was it for the day. So there was a lot of logistical 18 challenges during that year that made it very, very tough. 19 JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you. I don't have any 20 additional questions at this time. So I'm going to move 2.1 forward with FTB's presentation. 22 Franchise Tax Board, you can -- you have 23 15 minutes, and you may begin when you are ready. 2.4 MR. NGUYEN: Thank you. /// 25

PRESENTATION

2.4

MR. NGUYEN: Good morning. My name is Di Nguyen. I'm representing the FTB. And again, the issue on appeal is whether Appellant has demonstrated reasonable cause to abate the late-payment penalty, which FTB imposed for tax year 2020. FTB's position is that Appellant has not demonstrated reasonable cause for abatement.

For tax year 2020, FTB postponed the filing and payment due date from April 15th, 2021 to May 17th, 2021. The records show that Appellant paid his taxes on October 5th, 2021, well five months after the May 17th due date. Consequently, the FTB imposed the late-payment penalty. Appellant argues that he could not timely pay his taxes because he had to care for his mother and sister, both of whom were diagnosed with cancer in 2020. Regretfully, Appellant's mother did not survive.

FTB is very sympathetic, you know, regarding
Appellant's circumstances. Nevertheless, our position is
that the application of the Appeal of Triple Crown
Baseball and opinion from the Office of Tax Appeals show
that no reasonable cause for abatement exists. In the
Appeal of Triple Crown Baseball, the Office of Tax Appeals
found that illness may constitute reasonable cause for
abatement, but not so if the illness did not prevent the
taxpayer from complying with the law and the illness

simply caused the taxpayer to sacrifice one aspect of his affairs to pursue another.

2.1

2.4

Again, FTB is very sympathetic to Appellant's circumstances, however, the record shows that illness did not prevent Appellant from complying with the law.

Appellant's mother had passed away about seven months prior to FTB's filing and payment deadline. Furthermore, the records show that Appellant reported W-2 and rental and royalties' income in tax year 2020. As Appellant was able to work, Appellant should have also been able to make arrangements with compliance to the law.

As previously stated, under the Appeal of Triple Crown Baseball, illness does not constitute reasonable cause where the taxpayer merely sacrifices one aspect of his affairs for another. Here, the fact that Appellant was able to work suggest that the Appellant sacrificed complying with the law for his other affairs.

Consequently, it's our position that there are no grounds for abatement of the late-payment penalty.

Thank you. And I'll gladly address any questions or concerns that you may have.

JUDGE LONG: Thank you.

I do not have any questions for Franchise Tax Board. So we will move straight to Mr. Magana's final statement.

Mr. Magana, you have five minutes to let us know anything else you would like us to know before we close this appeal, and you may begin when you're ready.

2.4

CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. MAGANA: Sure. So I mean it wasn't when somebody passes the time doesn't end there. There are other responsibilities and arrangements that need to happen. Not only did my sister continue to have chemo throughout the end of 2020 into 2021, but I also had to take care of all of my mother's information and order, and filing doesn't take, you know, five seconds. It took me awhile to get everything together and file.

So even though it was extended to May, and I filed in -- whenever you say I filed -- October. You know, it wasn't that -- it wasn't that I was not able to handle both or juggle both in terms of getting thing in order. I mean, whatever you stated in terms of whatever the rules or requirements are or comparative judgement, you know. It is what it is. I just had to ask and see if it would be a consideration. And if this is all a big-ass waste of time and I get nothing, then -- then so be it.

JUDGE LONG: Okay. Thank you.

While we -- I don't have any further questions, and so I think we're ready to conclude this hearing. This

case is submitted on Friday, June 16th, 2023. The record is now closed. I want to thank everyone for coming today. I will consider everything that was said today and send you a written opinion of OTA's decision within 100 days from today. Today's hearing in the Appeal of M. Magana is now adjourned. OTA's next hearing will resume at 10:30. Thank you all, and have a good rest of your day. (Proceedings adjourned at 9:46 a.m.) 2.4

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 18th day 15 of July, 2023. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25