## BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF: ) SAFAR & SAFAR BROTHERS, INC., ) APPELLANT. )

CERTIFIED COPY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Cerritos, California Thursday, July 13, 2023

Reported by:

HANNA JENKIN, Hearing Reporter

Job No.: 42731 OTA(B)

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS						
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
3							
4							
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF: )						
6	) File No. 21027307 SAFAR & SAFAR BROTHERS, INC., )						
7	APPELLANT.						
8	)						
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at						
15	12900 Park Plaza Drive, Suite 300, Cerritos,						
16	California, commencing at 1:00 p.m. and						
17	concluding at 3:00 p.m. on Thursday,						
18	July 13, 2023, reported by HANNA JENKIN,						
19	Hearing Reporter.						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	APPEARANCES:						
2	Panel Lead:	ALJ MICHAEL GEARY					
3							
4	Panel Members:	ALJ JOSHUA ALDRICH ALJ SHERIENE RIDENOUR					
5							
6	For the Appellant:	HARPEET DHALIWAL					
7	ror ene appertane.	AMANDA BUI					
8							
9	For the Department	STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND					
10		FEE ADMINISTRATION					
11		NALAN SAMARAWICKREMA, HEARING REP. DAMIAN ARMITAGE, HEARING REP.					
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	I N D E X					
2						
3	EXHIBITS					
4	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-5 were received at page 8)					
5	(Respondent's Exhibits A-H were received at page 8)					
6						
7						
8	PRESENTATION					
9	PAGE					
10	By Mr. Dhaliwal 14					
11	By Mr. Samarawickrema 42					
12						
13						
14	CLOSING STATEMENT					
15	PAGE					
16	By Mr. Dhaliwal 64					
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

Cerritos, California, Thursday, July 13, 2023 1 2 1:00 p.m. 3 4 5 JUDGE GEARY: Now we'll have the parties identify themselves beginning with the Appellant, both the 6 7 representative and Ms. Bui, is that correct? 8 MS. BUI: Yes, your Honor. 9 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Go ahead and introduce 10 yourselves. 11 MR. DHALIWAL: Your Honor my name is Harpeet Dhaliwal 12 besides being an owner and a shareholder of Safar and 13 Safar, Inc., I am the primary person who operated the Arco 14 Ampm since 2012. 15 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Thank you. And you? 16 MS. BUI: Yes, your Honor. My name is Amanda Bui I'm 17 the president of the company, your Honor. 18 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Thank you. 19 And for the California Department of Tax and Fee 20 Administration? 21 MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Nalan Samarawickrema, hearing 22 representative for the Department. 23 MR. ARMITAGE: Damian Armitage, hearing representative 24 for the Department. 25 MR. PARKER: Jason Parker, Chief of Headquarters

1	Operations Bureau with the Department and we also have					
2	Christopher Brooks, Tax Counsel with the Department in the					
3	audience.					
4	JUDGE GEARY: I see Mr. Brooks back there.					
5	Is it Armitage?					
6	MR. ARMITAGE: Armitage.					
7	JUDGE GEARY: Can you spell both of your names,					
8	please?					
9	MR. ARMITAGE: Armitage. First name Damian					
10	D-A-M-I-A-N. Last name Armitage A-R-M-I-T-A-G-E.					
11	JUDGE GEARY: And what is your title Mr. Armitage?					
12	MR. ARMITAGE: For this hearing it's hearing					
13	representative.					
14	JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Thank you.					
15	I'm going to talk a bit about the exhibits. The					
16	exhibits marked for identification in this appeal consists					
17	of Appellant's exhibits that OTA has marked, for					
18	identification only so far, as Exhibits 1 through 5, and					
19	Respondent's Exhibits that OTA has marked A through H for					
20	identification only.					
21	OTA incorporated these exhibits into a digital					
22	hearing binder, and the parties should have received					
23	notification that the binder was available for download					
24	and hopefully have downloaded them.					
25	I have a copy right now of the binder in front of					

1 me and I expect that my co-panelists do and I hope that 2 the co-parties have a copy of the binders in front of 3 them. 4 Mr. Dhaliwal, will you be the one primarily to be 5 speaking at this hearing today? 6 MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, your Honor. 7 Did you have an opportunity to download JUDGE GEARY: 8 the binder? And have you reviewed it? 9 MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, your honor. I have a copy with 10 me. 11 And can you confirm that the binder JUDGE GEARY: contains complete and legible copies of the documents that 12 13 Appellant wishes to submit to OTA. 14 MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, your Honor, we do. 15 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. 16 Let me ask CDTFA then, does Respondent have any 17 objection to the admission of Appellant's Exhibits 1 18 through 5? 19 No, Judge. MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: 20 JUDGE GEARY: And has Respondent reviewed the digital 21 hearing binder and satisfied itself that it contains the 22 complete and legible copies of the documents it wants OTA 23 to consider? 24 MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Yes. 25 JUDGE GEARY: And does Appellant have any objection to

1 the admission of Respondent's Exhibits A through H? 2 MR. DHALIWAL: No, your Honor. 3 JUDGE GEARY: Those exhibits are all admitted, the 4 Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 5 and the Respondent's 5 Exhibits A through H. (Appellant's Exhibits 1-5 were received in 6 7 evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) (Respondent's Exhibits A-H were received in 8 9 evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 10 JUDGE GEARY: The issue that we are here to address 11 today is whether further adjustments to the measure of reported taxable sales of unreported taxable sales is 12 13 warranted, but more specifically as we discussed, I 14 believe at the pre hearing conference in this matter, it 15 is my understanding that the parties dispute the correct tax treatment of a credit for overpaid diesel fuel excise 16 17 tax in the amount of \$197,077. 18 It is OTA's understanding that Appellant asserts 19 that it is entitled to have the credit applied to the net 20 deficiency, while Respondent argues that the credit must 21 be applied only to reduce recorded diesel fuel sales. 22 Let me ask Respondent first, have I correctly 23 identified the issue as you understand them? 24 MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Yes, Judge. 25 JUDGE GEARY: Mr. Dhaliwal, have I correctly

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

understand the issue as you understand them?

MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, your Honor, but there is a slight disparity.

JUDGE GEARY: All right. Go ahead.

MR. DHALIWAL: CDTFA gave us an initial audit of \$26,000 and from then on it built up to 197. And what they said was that they were basically disallowing the credit for -- disallowing our deductions for the diesel excise tax for three years. I will go -- I will go as we go along, but that's precisely what we are going to dispute.

JUDGE GEARY: All right. Explain to me how -- was the was the increase -- are you disputing that amount? Is the amount that you're disputing the \$197,077?

MR. DHALIWAL: Your Honor, if I can go over as I go over and I'll refer to the exhibits, it will be more clear rather than just my giving of summary.

JUDGE GEARY: Okay. So at some point, perhaps when you're done with your presentation, I'll need to have a better understanding and make sure that in your argument you let me know exactly the amount that is in dispute, you can describe it any way you want, but at some point let's make sure we have the amount down, okay?

MR. DHALIWAL: Your Honor, that is precisely what I want to do.

1 JUDGE GEARY: Great. 2 Appellant indicated at the prehearing conference 3 that it would require 60 to 90 minutes to present its argument and testimony. I found that amount to be 4 5 probably much longer than Appellant would in fact need. Mr. Dhaliwal, do you still estimate 60 to 90 6 7 minutes for your presentation? 8 MR. DHALIWAL: My estimation would probably be more 9 like 35 to 40, but when I said 60 to 90 I anticipated any 10 examination they would have for me. Okay. And by "they" you mean the 11 JUDGE GEARY: 12 Respondent? 13 MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, your Honor. 14 JUDGE GEARY: Do you intend to testify today? 15 MR. DHALIWAL: I intend to testify today. 16 JUDGE GEARY: And does Ms. Bui intend to testify 17 today? 18 Your Honor, I would make some comments, but MS. BUI: 19 all of the taxes and all of the -- relating to this was 20 handled by Mr. Dhaliwal, so he will be the main one 21 speaking, your Honor. 22 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. When you indicate, Ms. Bui, that 23 you will be making comments, what I need to find out 24 whether your comments will be in the nature of a 25 representing facts. If you plan to state facts in your

comments, representing to us that these are true facts then that is in the nature of testimony, and I will allow you to testify if that's what you're going to do, I'll just need to make sure that I place you under oath if you are going to testify.

MS. BUI: Yes, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE GEARY: You will be testifying.

MS. BUI: Yes. Yes, your Honor.

JUDGE GEARY: Okay. And Mr. Dhaliwal, would you plan on giving -- the order of your presentation, do you wish to intermit your testimony with your argument and then after you're done ask Ms. Bui questions? Is that your plan? Or ask Ms. Bui to make a narrative statement?

MR. DHALIWAL: After I complete my testimony then Mrs. Bui will give her testimony.

JUDGE GEARY: So right before we start with you, which will be just momentarily, I'll administer an oath or affirmation to both of you and you can proceed in that fashion, is that acceptable?

MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, your Honor.

JUDGE GEARY: All right. Respondent indicated that the prehearing conference said it would need approximately 30 minutes, is that still the estimate?

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Yes, Judge.

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. When the Department is done

what's the only argument, when it uses that approximately 30 minutes for its presentation, I will turn back to you Mr. Dhaliwal and you Ms. Bui and allow you approximately five minutes for final closing comments or rebuttal, okay?

MR. DHALIWAL: Thank you, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE GEARY: All right. I want you to be aware that witnesses can be questioned by the Department. I don't know whether the Department will have any questions, and by the Department I mean CDTFA.

They can also be questioned by the judges. Any of us who have questions of a witness can ask those questions. Any of us up here in the dais can also direct questions not of a factual nature to the person making the argument if we have questions about the legal arguments that the people are making.

You'll note that I am not going to be administrating an oath or affirmation to anybody at Respondent's table and the reason why I am not doing that is because no one at Respondent's table is testifying. They are only arguing their case, they may be referring to facts, but generally they will refer only to facts that are already in evidence because it's part of the documents I admitted just a few minutes ago.

> Do you understand the difference? MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, your Honor.

1	JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Mr. Dhaliwal, any questions						
2	before we begin your presentation?						
3	MR. DHALIWAL: No, your Honor.						
4	JUDGE GEARY: Ms. Bui, any questions?						
5	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: No, your Honor.						
6	JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Department, any questions?						
7	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: No, Judge.						
8	JUDGE GEARY: Let me ask both you, Mr. Dhaliwal and						
9	you, Ms. Bui to raise your right hands.						
10							
11	H. DHALIWAL,						
12	Produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by						
13	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified						
14	as follows:						
15							
16	A. BUI,						
17	Produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by						
18	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified						
19	as follows:						
20	JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. Ms. Bui, make sure your						
21	microphone is off if you're not talking.						
22	I believe that you, Mr. Dhaliwal, are going to be						
23	giving the presentation first. You may proceed when you						
24	are ready.						
25	///						

## PRESENTATION

MR. DHALIWAL: Your Honor, I have operated Arco Ampm's since the year 2006. I also have a master's degree in computer science and I'm well-versed with spreadsheets and I am very familiar with not necessarily accounting, but very familiar with calculations.

I need to give a bit of history first as to the entities of Safar and Safar brothers as to why -- how we are connected to Safar and Safar brothers because neither do I have the last name Safar, nor door is Amanda Bui have the last name Safar.

We took over the business and the property in June 2012 when the Safar brothers, especially mainly Mousa Safar and Malaki Safar had defaulted on their sales tax of about \$650,000. That was a figure given to us by that time the State Board of Equalization. In addition to that, they had also defaulted on \$224,000 in underground storage tank fees.

When we took over we melt with the State Board of Equalization and they told us that based on an audit in fact, Safar brothers had not even filed tax returns and their basis was on just some figures they had. We did not dispute these figures and we were well-aware when we walked in that we owed roughly about \$874,000.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The gas station at that time was in foreclosure

and the previous owner, Mousa Safar, had not paid his loan payments to the bank for about \$337,000.

I had a connection, because of my previous experience, I had some connections with Arco Ampm and I talked to them and essentially a conversation started where they wanted me to come in and save the station because otherwise they were going pull their flack and they would lose that station, which was located at a very busy corner.

We worked with the lender that we would take over the loan, but he would not charge us for the \$337,000 that were a backlog of the payments.

During that time when we took over, there were 14 employees, we kept all the 14 employees. But as soon as we took over, within seven days, the State Board of Equalization, especially a gentleman named Robert, was in there wanting to put a keeper. Amanda Bui negotiated with them that within three months we started paying \$5000, at that time, the station was not even making money to pay its own electric bill, leave alone anything else.

JUDGE GEARY: Mr. Dhaliwal, can I just ask you to slow
down just a little bit.

23	MR.	DH	HALIWAL:	Yes,	your	Honor.	
24	JUDG	ΞE	GEARY:	Thank	vou.		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

MR. DHALIWAL: After that, at that time, although this

is not relating to the State Board of Equalization, we found out that there was a lot of equipment that was not working, vendors had not been paid, electric bills had not been paid, but that is something we expected and that was coming up close to another \$150,000.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Within about six to eight months, we renegotiated with the State Board of Equalization and we were paying \$18,000 a month to clear out the backlog.

Within one and a half year of us taking over some time in December 2013, we had already paid the \$224,000 to the State Board of Equalization for the underground service tank fees. As such, for the first one and a half year, essentially we were keeping the employees paid, we were keeping the station afloat, but we had not made a penny.

In the meantime, after about one year, the State Board of Equalization started another audit and this was again, relating to the period before June 2012. We met them, we could not provide any documents, any tax returns because the previous owners refused to provide them.

By then, we had already signed the franchise agreements, we believe we had been \$874,000, but after the audit, we found out that the liability instead of \$650,000 was an excess of \$1,000,000. We could not file an appeal because we didn't have any documents to support our position to appeal that. So as such, we just gulped it down and said we would pay the \$1,000,000.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We caught up with all the payments, which included payments to the vendors which were outstanding \$43,000, the \$224,000 to the State Board of Equalization, \$10,000 to the Air Quality Board because they had not even got there permits for fuel for three years. Why they didn't come down them? I have no idea. \$6,000 to the IRS.

Both Amanda Bui and I worked tirelessly for almost about three years without taking a single penny because there was no money to be made. From June 2012 to about May 2014, we did not take a single penny from the gas station.

By June 2014 we were making monthly payments of \$18,000 a month to clear up that backlog of over \$1,000,000. In April, because the station had been in foreclosure, no bank would refinance us, so we had to wait six years before a bank would even consider refinancing us.

In April 2018, we determined that a bank would refinance us for the SBA loan. At that state, the outstanding balance by 2018 from the over 1.25 million was \$350,000, which means we had paid almost \$900,000 back to the State Board of Equalization. I did not have 350,000, 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

so I borrowed that for my sister.

In May 2018, we paid the State Board of Equalization \$350,000. There were 19 liens from different periods that we did not dispute, we just paid the amount. And as of May 30th, 2018, we had reduced that entire debt to zero, when I say zero, we paid the State Board of Equalization, we paid the vendors, everything was zero. But on May 2018, I still owed my sister \$350,000 that I had borrowed.

Did we make a mistake taking over the gas station from Mousa Safar? Yes, I believe we did because we had thought that we owed 874,000, but we did not shy away from making those payments. We did pay almost 1.5 million.

Now how much does a gas station make? A good gas station probably 250,000 a year. But if you just calculate over seven years, we probably took home 15, 20,000 a year which was almost making minimum wage. But we did not complain to the state board, there was never a conversation of the state board to give us some kind of relief or anything.

We happily paid because we believed that somebody had stolen those taxes, we had taken the responsibility of taking over, we saved the station. I had a very good name Amanda Bui had a very good name with Arco, which is something we liked. Did we come to the tax court and ask for relief? No, we did not. All this is documented with the State Board of Equalization, although it does not live within the purview of the state court.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

At the time of refinance, we wanted to go away from the name Safar and Safar because we believe that it was going to bring a bad name. However, clearing the tax liens took a long time and we spent almost a month, and we reached a stage when we needed another month to month and a half to even redo all the licenses in a different company.

We met with a Latino lady from the Riverside State Board Office and we asked her what the name carry any kind of a -- would it be reflected on us. And she said, "No. You've paid everything. You're zero as far as we are concerned. Safar and Safar is good to go."

In May 2018, we were given assurances that since there was no audit we were good to go and we could close the loan.

20 May 2018, with all the toxic going on for six 21 years I ended up having open-heart surgery for an 22 operation that lasted nine hours, which included 23 replacement of my heart valve and also part of my aorta. 24 And I went believe it was attributed to the excessive 25 stress for about six years that I went through. However, as soon as we close the loan in May 2018, I believe within a week or two, we were contacted by a lady, a Stephanie Swilling, who is a business tax specialist saying that she was opening an audit from the period of July 2015 to May 2018. This was despite assurances that we had been given by the Latino Lady, whose name I do not remember, from the State Board Office in Riverside that we were to go and everything was clear.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I was still recuperating from my heart surgery, as such it took me about two to three months to provide the documents for the next audit.

At that time, somewhere in -- I believe in August 2018, we were still paying roughly \$20,000 a month to my sister as I had promised to pay her in the 15 months. On August 28th, 2019 after about ten months of the audit, Stephanie Swilling, who was a business tax specialist and also she is a senior tax auditor, as per her e-mail, did the audit and after the audit she sent an e-mail to me saying she had completed the audit. I had a conversation with her and she said the audit came to about \$26,100.

I spoke with my accountant and my accountant said to go through a three year period. It would cost probably cost me more than that money, so it's at the best thing would be to just not challenge the audit, but just pay that amount. I told Stephanie Swilling that I would be very willing to pay that amount and clear that issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

However, she said that she needed to have it cleared to have this -- clarified by the review -- their own tax review department.

If your Honor can go to Exhibit 1, page 5 and 6. On page 5 at the very bottom, there's an e-mail from Stephanie Swilling from CDTFA saying the audit for Safar has gone to a review department and they need some clarification.

Your Honor, if you go to page 6, the main questions were, "Do you receive rebates of any kind? Cigarettes? Soda?" And they asked us for an explanation. There was some questions about frozen-dispense beverage category.

15 And then the third -- this is the big issue, "You provided the daily sales by department reports in which 16 17 you indicated the sales tax was included in the fuel, but 18 not the store sales. Our department needs clarification as to whether or not the fuel sales included the diesel 19 20 excise tax. Would you be able to contact Arco and obtain 21 in writing an e-mail if the fuel sales on the daily sales 22 department reports, including the sales tax. From our 23 reviews and experience, meaning the tax department, they 24 have indicated the fuel sales may already be adjusted for 25 the diesel tax and therefore no deduction should be

taken."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

I spoke with Stephanie Swilling and she said, "I was told that Marathon Petroleum had already, from their experience, had already taken that tax deduction for the diesel excise tax." These were the main queries after she gave a \$26,000 -- after she came to a conclusion that we owed \$26,100.

Stephanie Swilling, who was a business tax expert and an auditor spent ten months reviewing the tax returns and producing the spreadsheet. She must have been with experience, she must have been well-aware of the fuel deductions. However, since the tax review apartment came up with the query, we pursued it.

In less than one month, we were in arguments with the tax review Department, and our arguments were mainly only centered around the deductions for the diesel excise tax.

I asked Stephanie Swilling -- I said, "Is this the only thing that they are arguing about?" And she said, "Yes. As for the rest, we are good to go." She said, "As long as you provide clarification on the rebates for the cigarettes and the icy," which she said would only relate to maybe a few hundred, a few thousand dollars, but the big issue is the diesel excise tax.

JUDGE GEARY: Can I interrupt you just for a second?

1 Mr. Dhaliwal, now you are referring to that number that I 2 referred to? 3 MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, your Honor. 4 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Go ahead. On August 28th, Stephanie Swilling had 5 MR. DHALIWAL: 6 sent an e\_mail to Amanda Bui stating that the outstanding 7 tax was \$26,168 and it would have to go through the review 8 department. 9 Your Honor, if you can go to page 3 and 4. It is 10 at the -- on page 4 at the very top, there is an e-mail from Stephanie Swilling she said, "The tax is \$26,168 and 11 the interest is 2,460. No penalty has been applied. 12 13 Please keep in mind the audit still needs to go through 14 our review department which could take a month." 15 Then she said, "Some rebates are taxable. I need 16 to include an explanation to the review department if any 17 were received, what type." So your Honor, this is the 18 figure I'm talking about that after almost 10 to 12 months 19 of an audit and constant conversations with Ms. Swilling, 20 she came to a figure of 26,168. 21 Now, CDTFA has -- we provided an e-mail 22 conversation between a Melanie Do, who's a tax counsel for 23 CDTFA and a Ladon Caulton (phonetic) where Melanie Do 24 stated that the diesel excise taxes were removed on December 19th, 2019 workspace. 25

23

1 If you can go to page 10 of Exhibit 2. 2 JUDGE GEARY: Mr. Dhaliwal, when you refer to page 10 3 of Exhibit 2, are you referring to the number of the page 4 in the center at the bottom? 5 MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, sir. That's actually page 10 of the entire 6 JUDGE GEARY: 7 package. MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, your Honor. 8 JUDGE GEARY: 9 Okay. I'm there go ahead. 10 MR. DHALIWAL: So in the middle of the page there's an 11 e-mail from Melanie Do to Mr. Caulton, I believe Mr. Caulton -- somewhere in this Mr. Caulton is from I 12 13 don't know his position there, but it says BTFD. I don't 14 know what BTFD is -- responded, "On the above-referenced 15 case and in that you remove the diesel excise tax on December 18th, 2019 audit work papers based on a go back 16 17 review from their supervisor. Can you submit the e-mail 18 you are basing from author representative from Marathon 19 Petroleum that clearly states the diesel excise tax is 20 already included in the diesel sales?" 21 Your Honor, I spoke with Mr. Caulton and he said 22 that he had -- he had got a letter from -- he had spoken 23 directly to Marathon. And Marathon Petroleum had said 24 that they took the diesel excise tax credit, I immediately 25 disputed that. And I said I would contact Marathon

Petroleum because as far as I'm concerned, Marathon Petroleum had clearly told me they do not take any exemptions which is left to the retailer.

Your Honor, if you go to the top of the page number 10 -- actually, if you go to the previous page this is an e-mail from Amanda Bui to Melanie Do and to a, Mr. Ladon Caulton and it says, "Mrs. Do, both Harpeet and I, along with Stephanie Swilling, the business tax specialist," and as a matter of fact on that phone call even Mr. Caulton was on the phone call.

While on the phone with Marathon Petroleum when Marathon Petroleum conformed that any excise taxes or other taxes were taken by the retailer and not Marathon. So I asked Ms. Swilling, I said, "If that is the case then my tax deductions are correct," and she said, "Yes, they are correct."

So I asked her, I said, "In that case, it will go back to the original \$26,188. She said, "I believe so, but I do not make that decision, the tax review department makes that decision." I again spoke with my accountant and I told her that this was an issue. That the CDTFA Tax Review Department was disputing as to whether I could take the deductions or whether Marathon will take the deduction.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I was told that she does about 15 Arco Ampm's

and other gas stations, in every single one the retailer takes a deduction. And she said -- I asked, I said, "Can I get proof of that," she said, "I cannot give you those tax returns, but they are not under audit. It seems that CDTFA is singling you out. They are allowing those deductions to everyone of those retailers except you."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your honor, if you go to page 9 this is an e-mail -- in the center of the page, this is an e-mail from Melanie, "I am looking to see if BTFD," I believe BTFD was the team that was working on the audit, "has evidence to suggest otherwise, otherwise meaning as to suggest that Marathon Petroleum had taken the tax deduction, also if you have any written proof of the conversation, or the excise tax was not included in the diesel sales, please submit at your earliest convenience."

Your Honor, this brings me to the issue that Amanda Bui, Ms. Swilling, and Mr. Caulton, we were all on the same conference call with Marathon, and Marathon clearly told not only us, but the business tax specialist Stephanie Swilling and Mr. Caulton that they do not take the deduction, the retailer does.

Despite that, Stephanie Swilling and Mr. Caulton should have informed Melanie Do, but now we are being asked if we had any record of the conversation. Obviously, we did not recall the call because we expected CDTFA to hold up their end and say that they were on the call. However, we got the necessary letter after that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

24

25

Your honor, can you go to page 8 to continue with this conversation? Amanda Bui sent an e-mail on November 28th saying, "Mrs. Do, I could not have recorded the conversation and Marathon was not sent any e-mail addresses. Also any follow up should have been done my SBOE," meaning Mrs. Swilling and Mr. Caulton.

Also, we mentioned why is there a column in the sales tax reduction and the sales tax return that we can claim diesel excise taxes if Marathon is supposed to do it? Why is the retailer even allowed on the form to claim the diesel tax exemptions if the wholesaler is allowed to do so?

15 Your Honor, they did not -- to this we got a 16 response. Now, on the same page if you go to the top 17 Melanie Do sent an e=mail to Amanda Bui and Mr. Caulton 18 saying, "Can you provide sample invoices from Marathon?" 19 What this shows is even despite our getting the CDTFA on a 20 phone call, despite Marathon telling Mrs. Swilling and 21 Mr. Caulton directly that it's left to the retailer to 22 take the deduction, they did not believe either Marathon 23 Now they were asking us for invoices. or us.

> JUDGE GEARY: Mr. Dhaliwal, can I ask you a question? MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, your Honor.

1 Just so I stay a little bit focused JUDGE GEARY: 2 Is it your belief that CDTFA has not allowed your here. 3 company a credit for that those overpayments? 4 MR. DHALIWAL: Your Honor, besides that we believe 5 that CDTFA has targeted us because Mrs. Swilling is a tax specialist and she told me -- she didn't define how many 6 7 years, and she said, "Once I do it, it's just a review." 8 I believe we were targeted because of a previous 9 -- CDTFA thought we had a previous history of not paying 10 taxes, which were the old owners Mousa Safar and Safar 11 brothers. And they also knew that we don't argue, we paid 12 the 1.25 million. 13 So you have a business tax specialist who's 14 coming up with a spreadsheet, that spreadsheet took her 15 almost ten months. Now I'm being expected within a month or two to understand, which I did, when I pointed out they 16 said -- at one time they said, "We are disallowing it." 17 Then we said they are allowing, if they did allow it then 18 19 why didn't it go past their only questions were the Icee 20 rebates and the diesel. 21 Why did it not go back to 26,188? I kept on

Why did it not go back to 26,188? I kept on asking them. All they would refer to is the spreadsheet with no clarity, but they kept on saying we have allowed it.

25

24

22

23

When I talked to Mrs. Swilling again, she told me

the matter is no longer with me, it is with the tax review department. And by, I believe, December or January --December '20 or January '21 we were in a tax appeal because we were constantly disputing and we were told that this has to go to an appeal board.

JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Carry on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DHALIWAL: Your Honor, if you can go to page 16 -page 16 at the bottom, at the very bottom there is an e=mail on December 1, 2020. It says, "Thank you. I noticed one of the invoices outside the liability period."

At that time, I spoke to Mrs. Swilling and she said she agreed. She said, "The audit is beyond the liability period right now." So I said, "What does that mean? She said, "I'm out of it. You have to talk to the tax review Department."

Then, your Honor, if you look at the next line it says, "BTFD, please review," we provided the invoices from Marathon as they asked us. "Please review the invoices provided by taxpayer today and provide a response including whether you need additional documentation, supporting documentation to allow the credit for deduction of the diesel excise tax of \$197,077," your Honor, that is what we were disputing.

"If your response is negative, please provide legal authority denying the credit and specific reasons for denying. Note that your comment instates a phone conference with Marathon Petroleum, the daily report, include diesel excise tax which is contrary to your finding." So they were already saying it's contrary to the finding of the tax Review Department.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Below that it says, "To the taxpayer, in the meantime please review the e-mail," which BTFD based on to disallow the credit in the e-mail. "Craig Waters from BP America confirmed that the diesel sales exclude -- state diesel tax the customer does not need to deduct state excise tax from the sales."

So basically, they are quoting a conversation that I was not present on that basically, what they're saying is that Marathon Petroleum took it and the retailer does not need to take it. So I had to contact Marathon again. We contacted -- we sent this letter through our franchise to Marathon.

18 And, your Honor, if you can go to page 26. After 19 we spoke to Marathon, this is the e-mail we got from 20 Marathon -- from a Sala Ghana (phonetic) and it says, 21 "Tesoro remits a full \$0.36 per gallon diesel excise tax on the invoice to the State of California. 22 Tesoro remits 23 the full \$0.25 per gallon in a prepaid sales tax on 24 invoice to the State of California. We are not taking a 25 deduction against these amounts before remitting to

state."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This was contrary to the previous e-mail where CDTFA claims they spoke to Marathon and Marathon had said that they were taking the -- and then it said, "Feel free to forward this e-mail to your contact at CDTFA and have them reach out to me directly."

Obviously this shows that if Marathon is paying those taxes directly, which includes excise tax and the prepaid sales tax to the State of California and they are not taking the deduction, we are entitled to take the deduction for the diesel excise tax and the prepaid sales tax. The prepaid sales tax is entirely separate appendix on the tax return.

Despite this, I spoke with Stephanie Swilling and asked her, I said, "Did you take this -- allow this deduction?" And she said, "Yes, I did allow the deductions and based on this that is why my figure came to \$26,188."

Your Honor, on page 26 if you can go to the very top, I sent an e-mail on December 2nd to Mr. Caulton and also to Melanie Do and I said, "Please see the e-mail from Marathon Petroleum that Tesoro remits \$0.36. There is a reason I'm allowed the diesel tax exception. I have already sent this letter to my accountant, and she says the same thing. It appears the CDTFA is allowing the

1 exemption for the \$0.36 diesel excise tax for every gas 2 station and truck stop except Safar and Safar brothers." 3 At that time, I spoke to my accountant and she 4 was doing audits for even truck stops. And she told me, 5 "Mr. Dhaliwal truck stops do almost 700,000 gallons of diesel a month and if they are not allowed this diesel tax 6 7 exemption, they would be losing money every month. All I can tell you is, I cannot provide you the paperwork. 8 No truck stop would be doing business if CDTFA disallowed 9 10 this diesel tax exemption." Your Honor, if you can go to page 15. 11 12 JUDGE GEARY: I should mention that you are at about 13 32 minutes so far. 14 MR. DHALIWAL: I'm sorry, your Honor? 15 JUDGE GEARY: You're at about 32 minutes. Yes, sir. I'll probably take another 16 MR. DHALIWAL: 17 10 to 15 minutes. 18 JUDGE GEARY: What page now? 19 MR. DHALIWAL: Page 15, your Honor. 20 At that stage, your Honor, this was on December 2 21 I was told my cases now in an appeal process because I was 22 appealing it. And you see the very beginning, "Please 23 note that the case is still an appeal process and I'm 24 waiting for your invoices and confirmation, " your Honor 25 that was in December 2020.

1 Next thing I know, April 21 we got hit by COVID 2 and all communication with CDTFA ceased. During the 3 COVID, our sales fell dramatically almost by about --4 store sales went down 35 percent and gas sales went down 5 almost 40 percent. 6 At that stage, we were not thinking of anything, 7 but we were thinking for almost seven years we had no money and now nothing to do with the CDTFA we get hit and 8 9 again we are not making money. 10 Again, I had open heart surgery and I was a fine 11 candidate for losing my life, if I got caught by COVID. Both of us used to go out to vendors, go out to the stores 12 13 to pick up stores, we did not lay off a single employee, we received the PPP1 loaned the PPP2 loan and we survived 14 15 it, we managed to make just make enough money to make payments on the houses. We also \$150,000 loan from the 16 17 EID from the state for EID and that is the reason why we 18 survived. 19 At the end of it, this continued I believe until 20 about '22 -- late '22. And then at that stage, the gas

volume still had not picked up, the vendors were still not delivering, so we took another loan of \$350,000, which we are paying.

21

22

23

Now Stephanie Swilling -- the issue is Stephanie
Swilling spent 10 months -- 10 to 12 months on this audit.

She went through every single facet. The e-mail shows that all the e-mails are centered around just one issue, the diesel tax exemption, which as you see up to the appeal they were not allowed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If Mrs. Swelling, who later became a business tax auditor, if she didn't find it, if she allowed it, why is the tax review department now targeting us? And despite showing proof from Marathon Petroleum that we are allowed then the next thing is they say, "Oh, no. You are allowed the tax deduction, but there are other issues."

If there were other issues why is it that Mrs. Stephanie Swilling didn't find those? After all she spent 10 months and here we have a review department spending less than one month. And while they are arguing -- arguing the diesel tax exemption they're talking about other issues.

We offered to pay in September 2019 -- 2018 --2019 we offered to pay the \$26,000, which belonged to \$197,000, which through no fault of ours, based on almost four years of interest because of the COVID shutdown has now ballooned to \$228,000.

It is obvious to me, your Honor, that CDTFA has seen a history that we do pay, we paid the previous owners, but stole the money, it was our mistake, but we paid a 1.35 million, we believe they saw that we are for better word, the suckers here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

For example in 2009, I was audited by the IRS and they came up with a deficiency of \$85,000 from my previous station. I went to tax court. I was again audit reviewed and at the review which lasted about two hours, they found that I had adequately provided all the documentation and it went down to zero. There was no argument after that.

The CDTFA is different. Stephanie Swilling again, knew what she was doing, as the tax review department now saying that Stephanie Swilling, who did the audit for almost 10 months, was wrong, she wrongly allowed the deductions.

Even Marathon confirmed that we are allowed the deductions, but then found there are other reasons. Even if there are other reasons, the 197,000 should have gone to a different figure. The figure that was disallowed stated at 197, but the explanations changed. It was for that reason we appealed it and we are here for the tax court.

It is e-mail communications between CDTFA officials confirm that they were bouncing around as to how to disallow the diesel tax exemption. We did not select the tax auditor, CDTFA did, we worked with her, we provided all the documents, and based on that on 10 month review she came up with a figure of 26,188. We believe that for a three year tax period, that is very low and we understand we make mistakes. I request this court now to find judgment of my tax bill at \$26,188, your Honor, as determined by their own tax specialist and their own business tax auditor, who asked for Google is still of working with them, and no interest after September 2019 because any interest or penalties that accrued after September 2019 are not because of us, it is because of CDTFA taking their time and because of COVID. COVID finished sometime in 2022, but it's taken CDTFA almost one year to bring it to a tax court.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, yes, we made a mistake taking over the gas station, but the main thing is that we made up the CDTFA or the state board every single penny they were owed. We did not dispute it at any time. What we are disputing now is the figure that they came up with and they tried to amend it after that. That is what we are disputing.

We had bad luck in the beginning, we didn't complain. We had bad luck with COVID, we were not complaining about that. We are still paying on the loan, the PPP loans have been forgiven, but in the 11 years that we have operated this gas station, we have probably made money only for one year.

And now, as of the last 10 months or so that we are making money, we are now facing a \$228,000 tax bill

which shows that if this tax bill is upheld, we will not be making money for another year and a half. It is just not fair to us as taxpayers who have paid almost 1.5 million.

We are willing to pay the 26,188 that Stephanie Swilling. The question is how far will this go? We believe we are being unfairly targeted, and I believe we are being unfairly targeted because we had a previous history of paying and not arguing with them.

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Mr. Dhaliwal.

Ms. Bui, Do you still wish to make any comments? MS. BUI: Just a quick one, your Honor. Five minutes, your Honor.

JUDGE GEARY: How long?

MS. BUI: Just about two minutes, just really quick. JUDGE GEARY: Go ahead.

MS. BUI: Your Honor, when we took over this gas station we had to deal with every single people possible, IRS, everybody, but what I found with all of the entities that they were fair. They audited, we showed, they would fine, and they let us go and they forgot about us because we've been -- there's no other issue.

Whenever we dealt with an auditor with the IRS, he's done, he gives a report, the management confirms that we pay and that we move on. The same thing with AKMD, we have their investigator assessed a payment, we agreed, we entered into an agreement with the legal counsel, and we moved on, we never had to hear back from them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

What frustrates me is we took over an Arco Ampm that had employees working and they could not -- CDTFA left the Safar brothers for years and we found out after we came in that they were the biggest number one defaulter in CDTFA. Why didn't they do the job beforehand?

We came in, we didn't know anything, we came in we voluntary, we came to them and say, "We want to take over this business, we will be responsible for our agreement, so we would like to make payments." That's what we did, they instead -- we volunteered and went in and complied.

I'm a Vietnamese boat immigrant, I came in with nothing on my back. And I went to school, I paid my student loan, and I've been paying my debt. However, of all the entities I had, environmental health, every entity, the only entity --

What happened was in 2018 when we said -- we talked to Leticia Gonzalez, we talked to Robert, I said, "Are we done? All these liens I pull on title for the property. Are we done Leticia, Ms. Gonzalez." She said, "Yes. You are done." She sent me to some other lady who

1	made who cleared all the liens. And then I said,
2	"Fine. Since we're done, you've cleared us I'm gonna take
3	over the loan now and I will be respondent with the loan
4	for the lender."
5	However, when we unbeknownst to me, I just
6	paid all the liens cleared, I'm just being paid, I'm
7	oh, you have another audit. I'm saying okay. That's
8	never happened, no other entities have just continued to
9	pound us, pound us, they just done, they go on even
10	Franchise Tax Board.
11	We had because of Safar, they didn't pay also,
12	we but this is my first time dealing with its entity
13	that doesn't seem to let us go. We cleared, few months
14	afterward they opened an audit.
15	Then we dealt with Stephanie Swilling, for 10 12
16	months we gave her everything she wanted, she issued a
17	report. We don't know her, we've never met her before.
18	We did what she asked, she gave a report like other
19	auditors and then we said, "Okay. Fine. We will agree."
20	When we said we about to pay and unbeknownst to
21	me she said, "Oh, no, no, no. This isn't the right
22	amount." So from 26,000 and change it went up to 199,000.
23	I don't understand.
24	There it seems like everybody has a different

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

version of tax liability. There should be only one

25

39

version of tax liability. We file our taxes, we pay, it should not be -- Stephanie Swilling, who should be the definitive person because she spent 10 to 12 months looking at our records, reviewing our documents, she was the one in the authority who did actually review, how is it that she spent that much time, she gave us her findings and the determination and suddenly here -- I don't know who else came in and said, "Hey. No, you have to pay 199,000," and we have all this dispute, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I feel that because I'm Asian, I'm female, and a single mom, I'm an easy target to go after me on -- after. I feel that when we took over Safar, we didn't know all the problems, but once we got in, we stayed to our commitment, we saved employees, we managed.

Even through COVID, we worked throughout COVID even though everyone was at home, we didn't have that luxury of staying home. We put our health at risk. We work with anything, with all the governmental entities, we give everything. But last minute they're saying, "No, no, no, it goes to 199." And now in addition, we're being charged interest on all that.

I -- what I request this court is CDTFA honor the findings that its senior representative, senior auditor had given to us and honor our statement at that time, we would pay that amount at that time, and not suddenly after

1 all these times come in -- and a supervisor that we don't 2 know or some individual we don't know come in -- and have 3 a different assessment, a different opinion of what she or 4 he views as the tax liability. 5 So your Honors, we would like the amount to be the amount that Stephanie Swilling had determined and I 6 believe at the end of 2018 or beginning of 2019 and that 7 8 we will agree to that, your Honor. 9 Thank you. 10 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 11 Oftentimes we defer questions to Appellants until 12 after the Department makes its presentation, but let me 13 check in with my co-panelist to see how they wish to 14 proceed. 15 Judge? That's fine with me. 16 JUDGE ALDRICH: JUDGE RIDENOUR: Works for me too. 17 18 JUDGE GEARY: All right. So we will defer questions, 19 they may not have questions, but we won't address that 20 until after the Department gives its presentation. 21 CDTFA, you can proceed with your presentation 2.2 when you're ready. 23 Thank you, Judge. MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: 24 JUDGE GEARY: Let me just ask and make sure our 25 stenographer is okay.

1 Are you all right going forward? 2 (Brief off the record discussion.) 3 All right. CDTFA, would you like to JUDGE GEARY: 4 proceed? 5 6 PRESENTATION 7 MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Thank you, Judge. Appellant is a California corporation that 8 9 operates an Arco Ampm franchise gasoline station with a 10 minimart in Perris, California. Appellant sells both gasoline and diesel fuel, taxable sales at the minimart, 11 include beer, wine, liquor, cigarettes, carbonated 12 13 beverages, hot prepared food, propane, and miscellaneous taxable merchandise. 14 15 The Department audited Appellant's business for the period of July 1st 2015 through June 30th, 2018. 16 17 During the audit period, Appellant reported around 18 \$40,000,000 as total sales and claimed various types of 19 deductions, resulting in reported taxable sale of around 20 8.5 million dollars and that will be on your Exhibit A, 21 pages 31 through 34. 22 Appellant also claimed around \$825,000 in prepaid 23 sales tax on purchases of gasoline and diesel, and that

During the audit, Appellant failed to provide

will be on your Exhibit A, page 55.

24

25

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682 complete sales records. Appellant did not provide complete sales invoices, or cash registers details, and did not provide copies of credit card sales receipts for the audit period.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition, Appellant failed to provide complete purchase invoices or purchase journals for the audit period. Appellant was unable to explain how it reported its sales on its sales in use tax returns. Appellant was also unable to explain what sources it relied upon to complete its sales and use tax return.

The Department did not accept Appellant's report of taxable sales due to lack of reliable records and overall low reported book markups.

Since Appellant did not provide complete sales records and could not explain how the returns were prepared, the Department completed four verification methods to verify the reasonableness of Appellant's reported taxable sales.

First, as a retail of gasoline and diesel fuel, Appellant was required to repay a portion of the sales tax for each gallon of fuel Appellant purchased from his suppliers. Then Appellant was required to report and claim the prepaid sales tax on a Schedule G.

The Department completed Appellant's claimed prepaid sales tax. With a prepaid sales tax out of

Appellant's gasoline and diesel windows reported to help collected from Appellant and calculated some differences for the audit period, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 55.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Second, the Department reviewed Appellant's federal income tax returns and compared the gross receipt to reflected on Appellant's federal income tax returns with the total sales reported on sales and use tax returns and calculated an overall difference of around \$4,000,000 for years 2015 through 2017, and that will be on your Exhibit A, page 54.

The Department compared reported total sale of a round \$40,000,000 to the cost of goods sold of around \$39,000,000 reflected on the available federal income tax returns and calculated an overall reported book markup of around 2 percent.

Third, Appellant was able to provide daily sales by department reports for the period January 1st, 2016 to June 30th, 2018, but was unable to provide records for July 1st, 2015 through December 31st, 2015.

The Department reviewed and analyzed Appellant's available daily sales by department reports, and that will be on your Exhibit A, page 53.

A comparison of sales reflected on Appellant's daily sales by department reports with reported gasoline sales, diesel sales, and minimart taxable sales show material differences during the recorded period of January 1st, 2016 to June 30th, 2018 and that will be on Exhibit A, page 43.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Fourth, the Department reviewed and analyzed Appellant's available daily sales by department reports and discovered that Appellants sold around stop 9.4 million gallons of gasoline, and one million gallons of diesel for the recorded period, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 51.

For that same period, the Department calculated fuel selling prices based on Appellant's reported gasoline and diesel sales and similarly calculated fuel selling prices based on Appellant's recorded gasoline and diesel sales, and that will be on Exhibit A, pages 48 and 50.

The Department noted that Appellant's selling prices based on daily sales by department reports were higher than the reported average gasoline and diesel selling prices for the recorded period.

During the audit, Appellant failed to provide complete sales records such as, sales invoices, cash registry tips, and credit card sales receipts for the audit period.

In addition, Appellant failed to provide purchase invoices or purchase journals for the audit period. Appellant was unable to explain the federal income tax return sales differences, daily sales by department report differences, low net income low, low reported book markups, and low reported average selling prices of a gallon of gasoline and diesel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Therefore, the Department conducted for the investigation by analyzing available daily sales by department reports, and average weekly retail fuel prices published by the US Department of Energy.

The Department analyzed the available daily sales by department reports to determine the amount of unreported sales for the recorded period, and then used the percentage of error calculated for the recorded and reported sales from first quarter 2016 to estimate unreported sales for the unrecorded period of July 1st, 2015 through December 31st, 2015.

The Department determined gasoline sale of around \$27,000,000 for the recorded period, And that will be on Exhibit A, page 51.

Appellant informed the Department that these 27 million include sales tax reimbursements. The Department therefore adjust a recorded gasoline sale of around \$27,000,000 to remove sales tax reimbursement of around \$1,000,000, resulting in recorded ex-tax taxable gasoline sales of around \$26,000,000 for the same period, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 43.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Department used a percentage calculated for the recorded and reported gasoline sales for first quarter 2016 to estimate unreported taxable gasoline sales for the unrecorded period, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 40.

In total, the Department determined total recorded gasoline sales of around \$32,000,000 for the audit period, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 40.

The recorded gasoline sale of around \$32,000,000 were compared with the reported taxable gasoline sales of around \$31,000,000 resulting in unreported taxable gasoline sales of around \$1,000,000 for the audit period, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 40.

Similarly, the Department used the available daily sale by department to determine the recorded diesel sale of around 2.7 million dollars for the recorded period, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 51.

Appellant informed the Department this 2.7 million dollars include diesel excise tax reimbursement and sales tax reimbursement. The Department therefore adjusted the recorded diesel sale of around 2.7 million dollars to remove diesel excise tax reimbursement of around \$197,000, and sales tax reimbursement of around \$243,000, resulting in recorded ex-tax taxable diesel sale of around 2.2 million dollars, and that will be on Exhibit

> Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A, page 41.

Again, the Department used the percentage of error calculated for the recorded and reported diesel sales for first quarter 2016 to estimate on reported taxable diesel sales for the unrecorded period, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 41.

In total, the Department determined total recorded ex-tax diesel sale of around 2.7 million dollars for the audit period, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 41.

The recorded diesel sale of around 2.7 million dollars were compared to the reported taxable diesel sale of around 2.9 million dollars, resulting in over-reported taxable diesel sale of around \$216,000 for the audit period, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 41.

The Department also use the available daily sales by department reports and the first quarter 2016 percentage of error to determine the total recorded ex-tax minimart taxable sale of around 4.7 million dollars for the audit period, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 42.

The recorded minimart taxable sale of around 4.7 million dollars were compared to the reported minimart taxable sale of around 2.6 million dollars, resulting in unreported minimart taxable sales of around \$2,000,000 for the audit period, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 42.

1 In total, the Department determined unreported 2 taxable sales of around 2.9 million dollars for the audit 3 period, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 36. 4 In addition, the Department noted that Appellant claimed more gasoline and diesel prepayments then reported 5 by its vendors, and that will be on Exhibit A, pages 38 6 7 and 39. Appellant did not provide its complete purchase 8 9 invoices to support its claimed prepayments and therefore, 10 over-claimed gasoline and diesel prepayments were 11 disallowed, and that will be on Exhibit A, pages 38 and 12 39. 13 When the Department is not satisfied with the 14 accuracy of the sales and use tax returns filed, it may 15 rely upon any facts contained in the return or upon any information that comes into the Department's possession to 16 17 determine if any tax liability exists. 18 A taxpayer shall maintain and make available for 19 examination on request by the Department all records 20 necessary to determine the current tax liability under the 21 sales and use tax law and all records necessary for the

proper completion of the sales and use tax return.

22

23

24

25

When a taxpayer challenges a Notice of Determination, the Department has the burden to explain the basis for that deficiency. When the Department's explanation appears reasonable, the burden of proof shifts to the taxpayer to explain why the Departments asserted deficiency is not valid.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To verify the reasonableness of the recorded gasoline and diesel sales, the Department used the alternative audit approach. This alternate audit approach used the number of gallons of gasoline and diesel fuel an audited sale price per gallon to determine audited fuel sales.

The Department reviewed Appellant's daily sales by department reports and calculated the quantity of gasoline and diesel fuel Appellant sold. Those records reflect that Appellant had sold around 9.4 million gallons of gasoline in 1 million gallons of diesel to the reported, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 51.

The Department obtained the average weekly retail fuel prices from the weekly database published by the US Department of Energy, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 49.

The Department of Energy serves gasoline stations in various areas one day each week and determines an average selling price for that week. Using the corresponding average weekly prices the Department determined a weighted average selling price for gasoline for each quarterly period in the audit, and that will be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

on Exhibit A, page 49.

The Department also used a calculated recorded monthly selling price per gallon and converted the monthly selling price to a quarterly basis for each grade of -grade level of fuel and was then compared to the price posted by the Department of Energy, and that will be on Exhibit A, pages 48 and 50.

This information was used to determine the audited quarterly selling price of a gallon of gasoline, and that will be on Exhibit A, pages 48 and 50.

These audited selling prices of a gallon of gasoline and the quantity of gasoline sold were used to determine audited gasoline sales for the period January 1st, 2016 to June 30th, 2018, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 49.

Audited gasoline sales were compared with a recorded amounts and immaterial differences were noted, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 49. Therefore, the recorded gasoline and diesel sales amounts were accepted.

In preparation for this hearing, the Department discovered a computational error in Exhibit A, page 47. However, this computational error did not affect the calculated unreported sales tax using Appellant's sales records.

25

Appellant is claiming that it is entitled to tax

credit of around \$197,000. Appellant notes that the Department adjusted recorded diesel fuel sales for the recorded period by diesel excise tax reimbursement of around \$197,000 to calculate recorded taxable diesel sales for this period, but Appellant argues that the Department should have applied the diesel fuel excise tax reimbursement period of around \$197,000 as a tax credit to offset the tax deficiency calculated in the audit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In other words, Appellant argues that diesel fuel excise tax reimbursement of around \$197,000 should be subtracted from a sales tax liability, not just a calculation of recorded ex-tax taxable diesel fuel sales.

As mentioned earlier, the Department adjusted the recorded diesel fuel sale of around 2.7 million dollars to remove diesel fuel excise tax reimbursement of around \$197,000, and sales tax reimbursement of around \$243,000 to calculate recorded ex-tax taxable diesel fuel sales of around 2.2 million dollars for the period January 1st, 2016 through June 30th, 2018, which the Department then compared with reported taxable diesel sale of around 2.2 million dollars for the same quarters to calculate over-reported taxable diesel sale of around \$184,000 for the same period, and that will be on Exhibit A, page 41.

Appellant is not entitled to a tax credit of \$197,000. The diesel fuel excise tax is reported and

remitted to the Department by the diesel fuel supplier and not by diesel retailers, such as Appellant. The diesel fuel taxable imposed a tax per gallon on the removal of diesel fuel in this state for a terminal rack, or refinery rack, or on the entry of diesel fuel into the state for sales or use then.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Revenue and Taxation Code Section 60501 provides a refund of the diesel fuel excise tax for diesel fuel sold, only if the diesel fuel was sold for export outside of California, sold the United States Government, or sold to a train operator. There are no other refunds allowable for sale of clear diesel fuel under Revenue and Taxation Code Section 60501.

The Department is unaware of any provision in the sales and use tax law that would warrant an adjustment to sales tax deficiency using diesel fuel excise tax. If Appellant gross sales include diesel fuel and sales tax reimbursement, Appellant must deduct those diesel fuel excise tax reimbursement and sales tax reimbursement to calculate its ex-tax diesel fuel sales.

As mentioned earlier, the Department correctly determined Appellant's reported ex-tax diesel fuel sales by deducting diesel fuel excise tax reimbursement and sales tax reimbursement from his diesel fuel sale for the audit period.

1	Appellant has not provided any reasonable
2	documentation or any evidence that supports an adjustment
3	to the audit finding. Therefore, for of these reasons,
4	the Department requests the op appeal be denied.
5	This concludes our presentation, we are available
6	to answer any questions the panel may have. Thank you.
7	JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. I should have asked
8	Respondent if it had any questions for the Appellants
9	representatives or the Appellants.
10	Does Respondent have any questions?
11	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: No, Judge.
12	JUDGE GEARY: All right. Let me ask my co-panelists.
13	Judge Aldrich, do you have any questions for
14	either party before we allow the Appellant to give
15	concluding remarks?
16	JUDGE ALDRICH: I do not. Thank you.
17	JUDGE GEARY: And Judge Ridenour, do you have any
18	questions?
19	JUDGE RIDENOUR: I do not. Thank you very much.
20	JUDGE GEARY: I have some questions.
21	Mr. Dhaliwal, you talked about actually, both
22	Ms. Bui and you talked about having been informed by a
23	representative of Respondent that your liability was going
24	to be something in the range of \$26,300.
25	Is there anywhere within the exhibits, either the

exhibits offered by Appellant or the exhibits offered by Respondent that indicates that amount? And more importantly. How that's amount was calculated? Which would probably require the various audited items be set out, the deficiencies or credit set out, and the net being something from the \$26,300 range.

MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, your Honor. This is in the e-mails.

JUDGE GEARY: Is your mic on too? I should inquire. MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, your Honor.

JUDGE GEARY: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DHALIWAL: This is in the e-mail, I believe page 1 of Exhibit 4, this is an e-mail from Stephanie Swilling.

JUDGE GEARY: I see the amount on the e-mail of \$26,168, but what I'm looking for primarily is the calculation that led to that amount. Is there anything in the e-mails or that you have seen in the Department's exhibits that has that information?

MR. DHALIWAL: Your Honor, she just said she had gone through a spreadsheet and we discussed the spreadsheet. After that, she did not provide me the spreadsheet because she said it's going to go to the tax review department all she said was that that was the figure she has come to.

JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Thank you.

Now let me ask Respondent if it is aware of any

1 document contained within its exhibits, because I'm pretty 2 certain it's not in any of the Appellant's exhibits, that 3 contains a calculation that might have led Ms. Swilling to 4 the \$26,168 figure that we've been talking about. 5 MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: No, Judge. 6 JUDGE GEARY: You're not? 7 MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: No. 8 JUDGE RIDENOUR: Judge Geary, I believe Appellant would like to --9 10 JUDGE GEARY: Ms. Bui, yes? 11 MS. BUI: Yes, your Honor. I was not aware that it's 12 She did send us her work documents, papers not in there. 13 and I was surprised that it's not in there because that's 14 part of the state board paperwork. But she did send us 15 after it ended she sent us her work papers. I don't can't understand it, but she did send us how calculated out, if 16 17 it's not available after this hearing, I can submit those 18 e-mails that she sent me and she had attached in her 19 e-mail her -- those are her work papers. 20 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Ms. Bui. 21 Let me ask the Respondent, if it is aware of 22 whether or not there exists within its files that have not 23 been submitted as exhibits in this matter, the 24 calculations that led Ms. Swilling to the \$26,168 figure.

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: I didn't understand the question,

25

Judge.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JUDGE GEARY: Say again?

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: I don't understand your question. JUDGE GEARY: Do you have Ms. Swilling's work papers that contain such a calculation, but have not been offered into evidence?

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: The Department submit all the working papers, all the documents and our audit folders, and those are the documents that we submitted as our exhibits.

JUDGE GEARY: All right. And Ms. Bui I take it you're asking for an opportunity to submit such document or documents?

MS. BUI: Yes, your Honor. We don't know her findings and after she completed, she would e-mail me and say, "Amanda, here is the number and here are my work documents and I can provide that to this panel.

JUDGE GEARY: Okay. I'm a little surprised that they are not -- that this information is not contained in the documents that Respondent has submitted since they've indicated they've submitted all the work papers from their files.

I will at the conclusion of our discussion today, I won't close the record. At your request, I'll leave the record open and allow you an opportunity to submit these

1 I don't want to place any unnecessary documents. 2 restrictions on what you do submit, but I'd like you to 3 submit only the documents that will elucidate for us on 4 the panel how she calculated that \$26,168 figure, okay? MS. BUI: Yes, your Honor. MR. DHALIWAL: Your Honor, can I just --JUDGE GEARY: Hold on. Go ahead Mr. Dhaliwal. MR. DHALIWAL: I do remember now because this happened in 2019, we actually went to through a spreadsheet. I 10 believe as part of an e-mail, I will find that e-mail. Ι had thought it was sufficient to provide this one, but I 11 didn't know the tax court would want that spreadsheet. 12 13 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Thank you. 14 Mr. Parker, did you have a question? 15 No. I was just going to provide some MR. PARKER: additional information. 16 17 JUDGE GEARY: Go ahead. 18 MR. PARKER: Generally, we have many versions of preliminary audit working paperworks that are not part of 20 our packages that are saved because they are preliminary 21 in nature, and they do go through a review process. So 22 the finalized audit working papers for the original audit 23 and the re-audit are in the record, which are the ones 24 that have gone through a review and have been approved. JUDGE GEARY: I understand. And I'm not suggesting

5

6

7

8

9

19

25

that the Department or anybody has failed to disclose information that that party deemed necessary. But in order for us in the panel to assess the argument, if this \$26,168 figure is the correct figure, we're going to need to know how Ms. Swilling calculated it, recognizing that it is not an approved calculation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

25

I think I had another question. Hold on.

I don't believe we had discussed at the pre-hearing a request for a relief of interest, somebody can correct me if I mistaken about that, but my understanding is that Appellant, in addition to the other relief, the reduction of the deficiency of the tax of \$26,188, Appellant wish the panel to grant relief of interest after September of 2019, did I correctly state it?

MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, your Honor. That is based on the deduction and what was the first audit.

JUDGE GEARY: And I take it your request specifically -- and I need to know the specifics because I'll have to set it out on the decision -- is that you're requesting relief of any interest that accrued after September 30th, 2019?

MR. DHALIWAL: After -- what is the date here, your
Honor? After August 28th, 2019.

JUDGE GEARY: August, 28th. All right.

1 Respondent talked about a computational error in 2 its argument and indicated that it has no impact on the 3 calculated deficiency. Do you want to provide any 4 information to us about what the error was? 5 MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Of course, yeah. Let's see. 6 Give me one minute. Page 47. 7 JUDGE GEARY: Page 47 of what? MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Yeah. 8 Exhibit A. 9 JUDGE GEARY: Hold on a moment. And you're referring 10 to the Department's numbering, correct? Of the exhibit 11 itself? 12 MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Yeah Bate No. 47. 13 JUDGE GEARY: It's Bates No -- your Bates No. 47. Т 14 noticed that have one in the center and you have one off 15 to the right hand side, perhaps it's vice versa. So is it your Bates No. 47 that I should be looking at? 16 17 MR. DHALIWAL: Yeah. That is Schedule R1-2LH. 18 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Hold on a minute. It's very easy 19 for us to go our Bates number, but it's a little harder to 20 go to a party's separate Bates numbering. Okay. R1-12H, 21 I'm there. 22 MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: So the Column F, the computation 23 -- the formula is C minus D, minus E. Column D shows the 24 priced differential of minus, the right formula should be 25 C plus D, and minus E.

JUDGE GEARY: C plus D, minus E. And that is Column F, correct?

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE GEARY: And are you saying that the formula indicated here is incorrect, but is the calculation in fact also incorrect?

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: The calculation is also incorrect because when the Department calculated the price differential, basically what the Department did, they just used the recorded selling price and compared that to the Department of Energy prices.

And they basically -- just looking at the schedule, it appears the price differential should be less than the price differential listed and Schedule 2LD.

JUDGE GEARY: What is the difference? You said it did not make a difference. Did it make a difference in the total for Column F?

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Yeah. The column F makes a difference because the right formula should be C plus D, minus E and this is just the secondary approach. The Department used this approach to verify whether the taxpayer's records were reasonable and we did the same exercise for diesel and also gasoline.

Gasoline numbers like a little less than 30,000 difference, so when you have actual sales data and it is

our practice to use actual data, rather than estimating. JUDGE GEARY: Okay.

MR. PARKER: Judge Geary, I would just like to add that if I applied the formula correctly for Column J the total amount it's currently 2,652,000 and change. It would be reduced to 2,360,000, it's about a \$400,000 difference just based on the correct formula.

JUDGE GEARY: And as Mr. Sharma (sic) indicated, this was more of a verifying type of analysis that you did?

MR. PARKER: That's correct. It's part of our reasonableness test.

JUDGE GEARY: And was your conclusion -- I take it from Mr. Sharma's argument that the Department's conclusion unreasonableness would not have changed despite the fact that it's \$400,000 difference.

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: That's correct.

MR. PARKER: Yes. I would agree with that. The taxpayer's recorded sales are a better representation of the true sales.

JUDGE GEARY: All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me just make sure that my fellow judges have no additional questions.

Judge Aldrich, did you have anything? JUDGE ALDRICH: No further questions.

JUDGE GEARY: Judge Ridenour, did you have anything?

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

JUDGE RIDENOUR: No, thank you.

JUDGE GEARY: Judge Aldrich, you're referring to Form 735? Is that what you're asking me about? Okay.

Judge Aldrich wisely pointed out to me that a request for relief of interest requires that you make a formal written request.

I'm going to have to ask the Department, taxpayers can simply submit the request originally to us when we're at this point of the appeal, isn't that right Mr. Sharma?

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Yes. And also CCS.

JUDGE GEARY: Yeah, of course.

It is called Form 735. It is still called Form 735, correct?

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Yes, Judge.

JUDGE GEARY: You can find it on CDTFA's website. It is a pro forma document that allows a taxpayer to request, I think, relief of penalties, and fees, and interest. Different boxes get checked and you have to provide an explanation.

As part of your post-hearing submission that we're going to be talking about, you should also, in addition to submitting the documents that we already referred to, submit an original form of those -- of that Form 735 and make sure you copy the Department on anything

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you send to OTA.

MS. BUI: I just have one question. I just want to confirm that there was just interest that was assessed, but no penalty, correct? So I can fill out that form? JUDGE GEARY: Mr. Sharma?

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: No penalty. All right. I have other questions. You still have your brief few minutes, please, no more than five for concluding remarks, if you'd like to take that time now you're welcome to it.

MR. DHALIWAL: Your Honor, I hate to say this, but with this I think it's going to be about seven or eight minutes.

JUDGE GEARY: Try to keep it to five, please.

## CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. DHALIWAL: Your Honor, I'd like to start with page 47, the very form that they discussed they discussed. And they discussed about Department of Energy selling price, they came up with an average. Now, in Perris where we are located Walmart prices are 4.09, my price is 4.49, Chevron price is 5.29.

Now if I resell roughly 3.5 million gallons of gas, so there is a differential between Walmart and 4.09 and Chevron at 5.29, that's almost over a dollar. 3.5 million gallons of gas would escalate to 3.5 million

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

dollar figure.

That is how much the difference is, for me alone, for our gas station, compared to those prices, that figure has to be based -- is almost \$1,000,000. They're taking their average, they're looking out of the window, that is not my price.

You look in LA the price is different, you look in Sacramento the price is different, Perris is very aggressive. At our intersection we almost have 7, 8 gas stations, yet we sell about 3.5 million gallons because we are amongst the lowest price gas. So that average when you translate to 3.5 million gallons would have come to a lot of difference.

Second thing, your Honor, is they say we failed to provide invoices. I already stated in my testimony that for the first 2, 3 months I was recovering from my heart surgery. I did not provide the invoices, but after that I did.

There was no e-mail communication that they have provided that ever says that we did not provide invoices. On the contrary, their invoices state we had provided something called "sales by department." Those are the exact sales that we pull from -- not from the website, from our computers and just e-mail them directly, we don't even provide it on paper. We provided all the gas invoices and otherwise there would have an e-mails galore that we have not provided us, penalizing us or anything like that.

Then, your Honor, they talked about 9.4 million gallons of gas sales in three years, divide that by three, 9.4 million gallons that is exactly what I'm talking about, 3.2 million gallons of gasoline a year. That is almost 300,000 gallons, that's exactly what I'm talking about.

If you translate that to even to a \$0.20, a \$0.30 differential, that is \$600,000 difference, that does not show up here. If they are looking outside the window and they are seeing even 76 and Chevron where the gas price is \$0.30 higher, as per their audit, they are accounting for \$0.30 higher on 3 million gallons of gas. That alone translates to over 1 million that they will be saying we owe taxes on.

Then, let's just say we did not provide the documents. And let's just say all this audit, Stephanie Swilling would have found it. She would have found this disparities, with all the disparities they've talked about, they would have been a long e-mail saying, "This is what I found different from January -- from June 2015 to December 2015, January to June."

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

There were only three questions that went to the

tax review department, rebate, Icee, an excise tax. There was no questions as to that we were short or we were over, none at all. Obviously, what they are finding out since we have come to tax court, they have to pad it somehow to show it, but this alone shows that they are carrying on.

We do not pay taxes based on them looking outside the window, we based it on our price sign. Which would be, to give you an idea, today I'm at 4:49, my competitor right across the intersection is 4.83, and the other competitor is 4.92, almost a \$0.50 difference. I would obviously pay less taxes.

They're talking about \$2,000,000 over three years and underreported tax sales, over three years that translates to about 700,000, you divide that by 9 million gallons, that is exactly the differential when they look outside the window in the average. I calculated, that comes to about \$0.30 per gallon.

That would account for my -- I'm not under-reporting I'm under-reporting as per their average DOE price. I'm reporting as per my sales department. I don't need to calculate, I just find out my sales, take out my deductions, take out the prepaid sales tax which is provided to me by Marathon, and I just all my accountant does is --

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

The other thing also say is that as per today

they have no knowledge of CDTFA even allowing diesel excise tax deduction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, in my report to you I can produce the very same tax return that says that we are allowed, not only that, I have recently as of last month, I have received an e-mail from CDTFA where my accountant made a mistake and she did not take that sales tax deduction -diesel excise tax deduction.

I will provide that e-mail from CDTFA asking me and telling me that I overpaid for my sales tax because I did not pay the sales tax, the diesel excise tax deduction.

They are now saying that there is no form, that e-mail itself shows that -- from them saying in their form which is an electronic form, I'm allowed to take the diesel excise tax deduction.

Your Honor, prepaid taxes, I only pay the difference between the prepaid taxes and my sales tax. As such, according to them they said that my prepaid taxes were different from what Marathon had provided.

I remember that when Stephanie Swilling actually contacted Marathon and asked them for the prepaid taxes they didn't believe us. So if there was any difference in the prepaid taxes, which is normally the most substantial, it would have been in that very same e-mail telling us that we have not paid.

That's a very simple question, they have paid it, and we have not reflected it, based on the number gallon.

So all I'm saying is, your Honor, that whatever their disputes are now as to how the prices are calculated that we under-report prepaid sales taxes or we underreported our tax and anything, it is not reflected in any of their own e-mails, any of their own -- there was no evidence of any communication to us.

Today was the first time we are actually this. Why is it today after five years after audit started? Why is it the first time we were hearing? Why is there no e-mail? No communication to us listing out exactly these issues so that we had an opportunity to even answer to this and provide evidence that we have paid?

16 |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you Mr. Dhaliwal. Let's talk about --

MS. BUI: If I just -- you know, I just have less than a minute.

JUDGE GEARY: You said that before.

MS. BUI: This is really quick. I apologize.

I just want to say that Ms. Swilling actually looked at our real numbers. She got the numbers from -we have a system that -- we are franchise, so everything has to be reported to Tesoro or at that time -- or Marathon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So she asked us, we pulled that data from our computer for this particular store, and we just sent it to him -- to Ms. Swilling.

So what I'm hearing from after -- at this time is that the -- after the numbers -- because as Mr. Dhaliwal has just spoken, our price on our gas is really low, it's not any near the average prices that are out there. So I believe just from my -- just sitting here that after Stephanie submitted her report, the numbers were not what based on the average, so that's why they made an adjustment.

So your Honor, I will submit those paperwork that Ms. Swilling did and that will show that the number that we did give the information that she requested or we gave permission to directly request from Marathon and those are the numbers that came to her determination \$26,000, your Honor.

Thank you.

JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Thank you.

Let's talk about how much time we can allow for you to submit two things. One is a document or documents that shows how the auditor arrived at the \$26,000 and change figure, and then the other document that I'm allowing you an opportunity to submit is that Form 735 to request relief of interest.

Can you do that? Are those documents -- well, I know that the 735 is readily available on CDTFA's website.

Are the other documents in your file and easily accessible to you?

MS. BUI: Yes, your honor. I just have to search her name in which she was discussing and attach the e-mail and her attachment that she sent to us.

JUDGE GEARY: Tell me how much time you need to submit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DHALIWAL: By Tuesday, your Honor.

MS. BUI: -- we will just go home and pull it out.

JUDGE GEARY: I never thought I would say this, but that's probably too short. I'm going to allow -- I'll allow you two weeks. Let's see, 15 days from today which should be on a Friday to submit those documents. I'm not encouraging you to use all 15 days, if you could submit them by Tuesday then that's fine.

And you should make sure that you send copies of the documents that you send to me to the representative at CDTFA that you've been dealing with, and then I will allow CDTFA an opportunity.

I typically allow parties 30 days to respond to a new submission. Since you are able to produce the

1 documents faster, I'm giving you 15 days probably more 2 than you need, but I still feel obligated to give the 3 opposing party, Respondent in this case, 30 days to 4 respond to whatever new documents you submit. 5 Please do not submit documents that are other than those we're discussing here today. 6 I want to focus 7 on two things, the 735 Form and exactly how that auditor 8 arrived the \$26,000 and change figure that she represented 9 to you as an unapproved -- as an unapproved liability 10 basically. 11 Any questions, Ms. Bui? 12 No, your Honor. Thank you. MS. BUI: 13 JUDGE GEARY: Mr. Dhaliwal, any questions? MR. DHALIWAL: Your Honor, I'm simply concerned that 14 15 how do we prevent this further targeting, further targeting by the CDTFA. I don't even know if it's within 16 17 the purview of this court. 18 JUDGE GEARY: Well, it's not, really. You know, we 19 are authorized by the statutes that created OTA and by the 20 regulations that govern what we do to use our expertise to 21 determine the correct liability, whether it's a deficiency 22 or a refund that somebody might be entitled to, we 23 authorize to deal with complaints of unfairness of the 24 type that you've described here.

And I will address that in my decision is all I

25

1	can do and I will probably give you in my decision some
2	ideas about who might be authorized to do that, but it is
3	not going to be us.
4	MR. DHALIWAL: Thank you, your Honor.
5	JUDGE GEARY: So that's the most I can offer. Any
6	other questions?
7	MR. DHALIWAL: No, your Honor, thank you.
8	JUDGE GEARY: Does Respondent have any questions about
9	what the OTA expects from it over the next 30 to 60 days?
10	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: When the taxpayer verifies 735 we
11	will analyze it and if there's unreasonable delay, we will
12	provide that information.
13	JUDGE GEARY: And I realize that you're dealing with
14	we're going back to 2019 and CDTFA has to look about
15	okay. Where was this file at that point, and it moved
16	through lots of different departments or bureaus, whatever
17	they're called, within your agency.
18	I'm assuming 30 days would be enough time for you
19	to do what you need to do with respect to a submitted 735.
20	Will it be enough also it's hard to anticipate what
21	other documents may be submitted, but will 30 days be
22	sufficient do you think?
23	MR. DHALIWAL: Yes, Judge.
24	JUDGE GEARY: Any other questions?
25	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: No.

Γ

JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Then I will issue a brief post-conference order that addresses those matters. I believe this is our -- I'm looking to the back for some guidance. I believe this is the last hearing on our calendar for today, so I'm not gonna close the record. I'm not gonna ask the parties to submit the matter, but I will adjourn the proceedings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We will not be coming back together for any more hearing, but I'm leaving the record open as I indicated and you will get a formal order to that effect.

Don't wait for the order to do what you need to do to get the documents together, to submit them because we're not always able to get the orders out within a few days, sometimes it takes a little longer. All right. Thank you --

MR. DHALIWAL: Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you all. This concludes this hearing and OTA's hearings for today. Thank you.

(Hearing adjourned at 3:00 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF HEARING REPORTER

The undersigned hearing reporter does hereby certify: That the foregoing was taken before me at the time and place therein that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings were duly sworn; that a record was made of the proceedings by me using a machine shorthand, recorded stenographically, which was thereafter transcribed under my direction.

I further certify I Amanda Bui neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party to this action.

Dated July 13, 2023

Hanna Jenkin

1

Index: \$0.20..26,188

2.7 47:16,18,21

	<b>\$26,168</b> 23:
\$	55:15 56:4,2 58:4 59:4
<b>\$0.20</b> 66:10 <b>\$0.25</b> 30:23	<b>\$26,188</b> 25: 31:18 36:3 5
<b>\$0.30</b> 66:10,14,15 67:17	<b>\$26,300</b> 54: 55:6
<b>\$0.36</b> 30:21 31:22 32:1	<b>\$27,000,000</b> 46:18,23
<b>\$0.50</b> 67:10	<b>\$31,000,000</b> 47:11
<b>\$1,000,000</b> 16:24 17:2,17 46:24 47:12 65:4	<b>\$32,000,000</b> 9
<b>\$10,000</b> 17:6	<b>\$337,000</b> 15
<b>\$150,000</b> 16:5 33:16	<b>\$350,000</b> 17 18:3,8 33:22
<b>\$18,000</b> 16:8 17:16	<b>\$39,000,000</b> 44:14
<b>\$184,000</b> 52:22	\$4,000,000
<b>\$197,000</b> 34:19 47:23 52:1,4,7,10,	<b>\$40,000,000</b> 42:18 44:13
16,25	<b>\$400,000</b> 62
<b>\$197,077</b> 8:17 9:14 29:22	<b>\$43,000</b> 17:
<b>\$2,000,000</b> 48:24 67:12	<b>\$5000</b> 15:18 <b>\$6,000</b> 17:8
<b>\$20,000</b> 20:13	<b>\$600,000</b> 66
<b>\$216,000</b> 48:14 <b>\$224,000</b> 14:17	<b>\$650,000</b> 14 16:23
<b>5224,000</b> 14:17 16:10 17:5	<b>\$825,000</b> 42
<b>\$228,000</b> 34:21	<b>\$85,000</b> 35:
36:25 <b>\$243,000</b> 47:24	<b>\$874,000</b> 14 16:22
52:16	<b>\$900,000</b> 17
<b>\$26,000</b> 9:6 22:6 34:18 70:18,24 72:8	1
<b>\$26,000,000</b> 46:25 <b>\$26,100</b> 20:20	<b>1</b> 6:18 7:17 8 21:5 29:9 50 55:12 66:16
22:7	<b>1-5</b> 4:4 8:6

<b>68</b> 23:7,11	<b>1.25</b> 17:23 28:12
5 56:4,24 59:4	<b>1.35</b> 34:25
<b>88</b> 25:18	<b>1.5</b> 18:13 37:3
8 36:3 59:13	<b>10</b> 23:18 24:1,2,6
<b>00</b> 54:24	25:5 32:17 33:25 34:13 35:11,24 36:24 39:15 40:3
<b>00,000</b> 8,23	<b>11</b> 36:21
<b>00,000</b>	<b>12</b> 23:18 33:25 39:15 40:3
<b>00,000</b> 47:7,	12900 2:15
	<b>13</b> 2:18 5:1
<b>000</b> 15:2,11	<b>14</b> 4:10 15:13,14
<b>000</b> 17:24 ,8 33:22	<b>15</b> 18:16 20:14 25:25 32:11,17,19 71:16,18 72:1
<b>00,000</b> 4	<b>16</b> 29:7,8
<b>0,000</b> 44:9	<b>18th</b> 24:16
00,000	<b>19</b> 18:3
8 44:13	<b>197</b> 9:6 35:17
<b>000</b> 62:6,15	<b>197,000</b> 35:15
<b>00</b> 17:5	<b>199</b> 40:20
<b>)</b> 15:18	<b>199,000</b> 39:22
<b>0</b> 17:8	40:9
<b>000</b> 66:11	19th 23:25
<b>000</b> 14:15	<b>1:00</b> 2:16 5:2
3 <b>000</b> 42:22	<b>1st</b> 42:16 44:18,20 45:3 46:15 51:14
<b>00</b> 35:3	52:18
<b>000</b> 14:24 2	2
<b>000</b> 17:24	<b>2</b> 24:1,3 32:20 44:16 65:16
1	<b>2,360,000</b> 62:6
8 7:17 8:4	<b>2,460</b> 23:12
29:9 50:14 2 66:16	<b>2,652,000</b> 62:5
.1 9.6	<b>2.2</b> 47:25 52:18,20

**2.6** 48:23

48:8,11 52:14 **2.9** 48:13 49:2 **20** 29:3 20,000 18:17 2006 14:3 **2009** 35:2 **2012** 5:14 14:13 16:18 17:12 **2013** 16:10 2014 17:13,15 **2015** 20:5 42:16 44:10,20 46:16 66:23,24 2016 44:18 45:3 46:14 47:4 48:4, 17 51:14 52:19 2017 44:10 2018 17:21,23 18:2,5,8 19:17,20 20:2,5,13 34:17 38:21 41:7 42:16 44:19 45:3 51:14 52:19 2019 20:15 23:25 24:16 34:17,18 36:7,8 41:7 58:9 59:14,22,24 73:14 2020 29:9 32:25 2022 36:10 2023 2:18 5:1 29:3 33:1 21027307 2:5 **22** 33:20 250,000 18:15 26 30:18 31:19 26,000 39:22 26,168 23:20 26,188 28:21 35:25 37:5

<b>27</b> 46:20
<b>28th</b> 20:15 23:5
27:5 59:24,25
<b>2LD</b> 61:14
<b>2nd</b> 31:20
3
<b>3</b> 23:9 65:16 66:15
<b>3.2</b> 66:7
<b>3.5</b> 64:22,24,25 65:10,12
<b>30</b> 11:23 12:2
71:24 72:3 73:9, 18,21
<b>30,000</b> 61:24
<b>300</b> 2:15
<b>300,000</b> 66:8
30th 18:5 42:16
44:19 45:3 51:14 52:19 59:21
<b>31</b> 42:21
<b>31st</b> 44:20 46:16
<b>32</b> 32:13,15
<b>34</b> 42:21
<b>35</b> 10:9 33:4
<b>350,000</b> 17:25
<b>36</b> 49:3
<b>38</b> 49:6,11
<b>39</b> 49:7,12
<b>3:00</b> 2:17 74:19
4
<b>4</b> 23:9,10 55:13
<b>4.09</b> 64:20,23
<b>4.49</b> 64:20
<b>4.7</b> 48:19,21
<b>4.83</b> 67:9

<b>4.92</b> 67:10
<b>40</b> 10:9 33:5 47:5, 8,13
<b>41</b> 48:1,6,10,15 52:23
<b>42</b> 4:11 48:20,25
<b>43</b> 45:4 47:1
<b>47</b> 51:21 60:6,7,
12,13,16 64:17
<b>48</b> 45:15 51:7,10
<b>49</b> 50:19 51:1,15, 18
<b>4:49</b> 67:8
5
<b>5</b> 6:18 7:18 8:4 21:5,6
<b>5.29</b> 64:21,24
<b>50</b> 45:15 51:7,10
<b>51</b> 45:10 46:19 47:17 50:15
<b>53</b> 44:23
<b>54</b> 44:11
<b>55</b> 42:24 44:4
6
<b>6</b> 21:5,10
<b>60</b> 10:3,6,9 73:9
60501 53:7,13
<b>64</b> 4:16
7
<b>7</b> 65:9
<b>700,000</b> 32:5 67:14
<b>735</b> 63:3,13,14,25 71:1,4 72:7 73:10,

19

**76** 66:13 8 8 4:4,5 27:3 65:9 8.5 42:20 **874,000** 18:12 9 **9** 26:7 67:14 **9.4** 45:7 50:13 66:4,6 **90** 10:3,6,9 Α **A-H** 4:5 8:8 A-R-M-I-T-A-G-E 6:10 abovereferenced 24:14 accept 43:11 acceptable 11:19 accepted 51:19 accessible 71:6 account 67:18 accountant 20:21 25:20 31:24 32:3 67:23 68:6 accounting 14:5 66:14 accrued 36:8 59:21 **accuracy** 49:14 actual 61:25 62:1 add 62:3 addition 14:16 40:20 43:5 45:24 49:4 59:11 63:23

Index: 27..agreements

additional 29:20 58:16 62:22

address 8:10 41:19 72:25

addresses 27:7 74:2

adequately 35:6

adjourn 74:7

adjourned 74:19

adjust 46:22

adjusted 21:24 47:21 52:2,13

adjustment 53:15 54:2 70:13

adjustments 8:11

administer 11:17

administrating 12:17

Administration 3:10 5:20

Administrative 8:7,9 13:13,18

admission 7:17 8:1

admitted 8:3 12:23

affect 51:22

affirmation 11:18 12:17

afloat 16:14

afterward 39:14

agency 73:17

aggressive 65:9

**agree** 39:19 41:8 62:17

agreed 29:12 38:2

agreement 38:3,

13

agreements

#### 16:22

ahead 5:9 9:4 23:4 24:9 37:17 58:7,17

**Air** 17:6

AKMD 38:1

Aldrich 3:4 41:16 54:13,16 62:23,24 63:2,4

**ALJ** 3:2,4

allowable 53:11

allowed 27:12,13 28:2,23 31:23 32:6 34:4,6,8,9 35:11,13 68:4,15

allowing 26:5 28:18 31:25 68:1 71:1

alternate 50:6

alternative 50:6

Amanda 3:7 5:16 14:10 15:17 17:10 18:24 23:6 25:6 26:17 27:4,17 57:16

amend 36:17

America 30:9

#### amount 8:17 9:13,14,21,23 10:4 18:4 20:25 21:1 39:22 40:25 41:5,6 46:11 55:2, 3,14,16 62:5

amounts 30:25 51:17,19

Ampm 5:14 15:4 38:5 42:9

Ampm's 14:2 25:25

analysis 62:9

analyze 73:11

analyzed 44:21 45:5 46:10 analyzing 46:7 anticipate 73:20 anticipated 10:9 aorta 19:23 apartment 22:12 apologize 69:22 **appeal** 2:5 6:16 16:24 17:1 29:3,5 32:21.23 34:4 54:4 63:9 appealed 35:18 appealing 32:22 APPEALS 2:1 APPEARANCES 3:1 appears 31:25 50:1 61:13 Appellant 2:7 3:6 5:6 7:13,25 8:18 10:2,5 42:8,10,17, 22,25 43:1,5,7,8, 14,20,21,22 44:2, 17 45:20,24 46:1, 20 47:18 49:4,8 50:12,13 51:25 52:1,5,9,24 53:2, 17,18 54:1,14 55:1 56:8 59:11, 13 appellant's 4:4 6:17 7:17 8:4,6

6:177:178:4,6 42:15 43:11,17,24 44:1,5,7,21,24 45:6,12,14,16 50:10 51:23 53:22 56:2

Appellants 41:11 45:7 54:8,9

appendix 31:12

applied 8:19,21 23:12 52:6 62:4

approach 50:6 61:20,21 approved 58:24 59:6 approximately 11:22 12:1,3 April 17:17,21 33:1 **Arco** 5:13 14:2 15:4 18:24 21:20 25:25 38:5 42:9 areas 50:21 argue 28:11 argues 8:20 52:5, 9 arguing 12:20 22:19 34:14,15 37:9 argument 9:20 10:4 11:11 12:1, 14 35:7 59:3 60:2 62:13 arguments 12:14 22:14,15 Armitage 3:11 5:23 6:5,6,9,10, 11,12 arrived 70:24 72:8 Asian 40:10 asserted 50:2 asserts 8:18 **assess** 59:3 assessed 38:2 64:3 assessment 41:3 assuming 73:18 assurances 19:17 20:6

attach 71:8

attached 56:18 attachment 71:9

attributed 19:24

audience 6:3 audit 9:5 14:20 16:17,23 19:18 20:4,11,15,18,19, 20,24 21:7 23:13, 19 24:16 26:4,10 29:12 33:25 35:4. 11 39:7,14 42:17, 25 43:4,6 44:3 45:20,23,25 47:8, 12 48:9,14,20,25 49:2 50:6,25 52:8 53:25 54:3 57:8 58:19,22 59:17 66:14,19 69:11 audited 35:2

37:21 42:15 50:8 51:9,11,13,16 55:4

auditor 20:17 22:9 34:6 35:23 36:5 37:24 40:23 70:24 72:7

auditors 39:19

audits 32:4

August 20:12,15 23:5 59:24,25

author 24:18

**authority** 29:25 40:5

authorize 72:23

authorized 72:19 73:2

average 45:18 46:4,8 50:16,22, 23,24 64:19 65:5, 11 67:16,19 70:9, 12

aware 12:6 55:25 56:11,21

## В

**back** 6:4 12:2 17:24 24:16 25:18 28:21 38:4,17

73:14 74:3,8 **backlog** 15:12 16:8 17:16 **bad** 19:7 36:18,19 balance 17:23 ballooned 34:21 bank 15:2 17:18, 19,21 **based** 14:20 24:16 30:7 31:17 34:19 35:24 45:12.14.17 59:16 62:7 65:4 67:6,7 69:3 70:12 basically 9:7 30:12,13 61:9,12 72:10 basing 24:18 basis 14:22 49:25 51:4 Bate 60:12 **Bates** 60:13,16, 19,20 **beer** 42:12 begin 13:2 beginning 5:6 32:22 36:18 41:7 **belief** 28:2 believed 18:21 belonged 34:18 beverage 21:13 beverages 42:13 big 21:15 22:24 biggest 38:8 bill 15:20 36:3,25 37:1 **bills** 16:3 **binder** 6:22.23.25 7:8,11,21 binders 7:2

**bit** 6:15 14:7 15:22 28:1

**board** 14:16,19 15:15 16:1,7,11, 17 17:5,6,25 18:2, 6,18,19 19:3,13 20:7 29:5 36:14 39:10 56:14

boat 38:16

**book** 43:13 44:15 46:3

borrowed 18:1,9

**bottom** 21:6 24:4 29:8

bouncing 35:21

**boxes** 63:19

**BP** 30:8

bring 19:7 36:11

brings 26:16

Brooks 3:12 6:2,4

**brothers** 2:6 14:8, 9,13,21 28:11 32:2 38:7

BTFD 24:13,14 26:9 29:17 30:7

Bui 3:7 5:7,8,16 10:16,18,22 11:6, 8,12,13,15 12:3 13:4,9,16,20 14:10 15:17 17:10 18:24 23:6 25:6 26:17 27:4,17 37:12,13,16,18 54:22 56:10,11,20 57:11,14 58:5 64:2 69:19,22 71:7,13 72:11,12

#### built 9:6

**burden** 49:24 50:1

Bureau 6:1

bureaus 73:16

business 14:12

20:3,16 22:8 25:8 26:19 28:13 32:9 34:5 36:5 38:12 42:15

**busy** 15:9

## С

**calculate** 18:16 52:4,17,21 53:20 67:21

**calculated** 44:2, 9,15 45:11,13 46:13 47:2 48:3 50:11 51:2,23 52:8 55:3 56:16 58:4 59:5 60:3 61:8 67:16 69:5

**calculation** 52:12 55:16 56:3 57:5 59:6 61:5,7

**calculations** 14:6 56:24

calendar 74:5

**California** 2:2,16 3:9 5:1,19 30:22, 24 31:9 42:8,10 53:10

**call** 25:9,10 26:18, 25 27:2,20

**called** 63:13 65:22 73:17

#### candidate 33:11

carbonated 42:12

card 43:3 45:22

carry 19:13 29:6

carrying 67:5

**case** 12:20 24:15 25:14,17 32:23 72:3

cases 32:21

cash 43:2 45:21

# category 21:14 caught 17:3 33:11

Caulton 23:23 24:11,12,21 25:7, 10 26:17,20,22 27:8,17,21 31:20

#### CCS 63:11

CDTFA 7:16 9:5 12:9 21:7 23:21, 23 25:21 26:5 27:1,19 28:2,5,9 31:3,5,25 32:9 33:2,8 34:22 35:8, 20,23 36:9,10,13 38:6,9 40:22 41:21 42:3 68:1,6, 9 71:22,23 72:16 73:14

**CDTFA's** 63:16 71:4

ceased 33:2

**center** 24:4 26:8 60:14

**centered** 22:16 34:2

Cerritos 2:15 5:1

challenge 20:24

challenges 49:23

change 39:22 62:5 70:25 72:8

changed 35:17 62:14

charge 15:11

charged 40:21

check 41:13

checked 63:19

**Chevron** 64:20,24 66:13

Chief 5:25

Christopher 3:12 6:2

cigarettes 21:12

Index: claim..date

22:22 42:12

**claim** 27:11,12 43:23

**claimed** 42:18,22 43:24 49:5,9

claiming 51:25

**claims** 31:3

clarification 21:9,18 22:21

clarified 21:3

clarity 28:23

**clear** 9:16 16:8 17:16 20:8 21:1 53:12

**cleared** 21:3 39:1, 2,6,13

clearing 19:7

**close** 16:5 19:18 20:1 57:24 74:5

**closing** 4:14 12:4 64:15

co-panelist 41:13

**co-panelists** 7:1 54:12

co-parties 7:2

**Code** 53:7,13

collected 44:2

**column** 27:9 60:22,23 61:1,17, 18 62:4

2:16

comment 30:1

comments 10:18, 23,24 11:1 12:4 37:12

40:14

**communication** 33:2 65:19 69:9, 13

communications 35:20

**company** 5:17 19:11 28:3

**compared** 44:6, 12 47:10 48:12,22 51:5,16 52:20 61:10 65:3

comparison 44:24

**competitor** 67:8, 10

**complain** 18:18 36:19

complaining 36:20

complaints 72:23

**complete** 7:12,22 11:14 43:1,2,5,10, 14 45:21 49:8

**completed** 20:19 43:16,24 57:15

49:22

complied 38:15

computation 60:22

computational 51:21,22 60:1

**computer** 14:4 70:4

computers 65:24

concerned 19:16 25:1 72:14

**concludes** 54:5 74:17

**concluding** 2:17 54:15 64:8

**conclusion** 22:6 57:23 62:12,14

conducted 46:6

conference 8:14

10:2 11:22 26:18 30:2

**confirm** 7:11 35:21 64:3

confirmation 32:24

**confirmed** 30:9 35:13

confirms 37:25

conformed 25:12

connected 14:9

connection 15:3

connections

consists 6:16

constant 23:19

constantly 29:4

contact 21:20 24:25 30:15 31:5

contacted 20:2 30:16 68:22

contained 49:15 56:1 57:19

continue 27:3

**continued** 33:19 39:8

**contrary** 30:3,4 31:2 65:21

26:15

conversation 15:5 18:19 20:19 23:22 26:13,24 27:4,6 30:12

conversations 23:19

converted 51:3

**copies** 7:12,22 43:3 71:20

**copy** 6:25 7:2,9 63:25 **corner** 15:9

corporation 42:8

**correct** 5:7 8:15 25:15,16 59:4,10 60:10 61:2 62:7, 10,16 63:14 64:4 72:21

correctly 8:22,25 53:21 59:14 62:4

**cost** 20:22,23 44:13

counsel 3:12 6:2 23:22 38:3

**court** 19:1,4 35:4, 19 36:3,11 40:22 58:12 67:4 72:17

COVID 33:1,3,11 34:20 36:9,10,19 40:15

Craig 30:8

created 72:19

**credit** 8:16,19,20 9:8 24:24 28:3 29:21,25 30:8 43:3 45:22 52:1,7, 24 55:5

**current** 49:20

customer 30:10

## D

**D-A-M-I-A-N** 6:10

**daily** 21:16,21 30:2 44:17,22,25 45:6,17 46:2,7,10 47:15 48:16 50:10

dais 12:12

**Damian** 3:11 5:23 6:9

**data** 61:25 62:1 70:3

database 50:17

date 59:23

-----

day 50:21

**days** 15:15 71:16, 18,24 72:1,3 73:9, 18,21 74:14

deal 37:19 72:23

dealing 39:12 71:22 73:13

dealt 37:24 39:15

debt 18:5 38:18

December 16:10 23:25 24:16 29:2, 3,9 31:20 32:20, 25 44:20 46:16 66:24

decision 25:19,20 59:20 72:25 73:1

**deduct** 30:10 53:18

deducting 53:23

**deduction** 21:25 22:4 25:24 26:2, 12,21 27:22 29:21 30:25 31:10,11,16 34:10 59:17 68:2, 7,8,12,16

deductions 9:8 22:12,16 25:15,23 26:6 31:17 35:12, 14 42:19 67:22

**deemed** 59:2

**defaulted** 14:14, 17

defaulter 38:8

**defer** 41:11,18

deficiencies 55:5

**deficiency** 8:20 35:3 49:25 50:3 52:8 53:16 59:12 60:3 72:21

define 28:6

definitive 40:3

degree 14:3

delay 73:11

delivering 33:22 denied 54:4

denying 29:25 30:1

department 3:9 5:19,22,24 6:1,2 11:25 12:7,8,9 13:6 21:4,8,16,18, 22,23 22:15 23:8, 14,16 25:19,22 29:2,15 30:5 34:7, 13 35:10 41:12,20 42:15 43:11,16,24 44:5,12,18,21,22, 25 45:5,6,11,16, 17 46:2,6,8,9,10, 11,17,20,21 47:2, 6,14,15,18,20 48:2,7,16,17 49:1, 4,13,19,24 50:5, 10.11.16.18.20.23 51:2,6,20 52:2,5, 13,19 53:1,14,21 54:4 55:22 57:7 59:1 61:8,9,11,21 63:7,25 64:18 65:22 67:1,20

Department's 49:16,25 55:17 60:10 62:13

**departments** 50:2 73:16

describe 9:22

details 43:2

determination 40:7 49:24 70:18

determine 46:11 47:15 48:18 49:17,20 50:8 51:8,13 72:21

determined 17:21 36:4 41:6 46:17 47:6 48:7 49:1 50:24 53:22

determines 50:21

16 5:11 7:4,6,9,14 8:2,25 9:2,5,15,24 10:6,8,13,15,20 11:9,14,20 12:3,5, 25 13:1,3,8,11,22 14:2 15:21,23,25 23:1,3,5 24:2,5,8, 10 27:24,25 28:4 29:7 32:5,14,16, 19 37:11 54:21 55:7,10,12,19 58:6,7,8 59:16,23 60:17 64:10.16 69:17 70:7 71:12 72:13,14 73:4,7, 23 74:16

**Dhaliwal** 3:6 4:10,

diesel 8:16,21 9:8 21:19,25 22:5,16, 24 23:24 24:15, 19,20,24 26:14 27:11,13 28:20 29:22 30:3,9,10, 21 31:11,23 32:1, 6,10 34:3,15 35:22 42:11,23 43:19 44:1 45:1,9, 13,14,18 46:5 47:15,19,21,22,24 48:3,5,8,11,12,14 49:5,10 50:5,7,12, 14 51:19 52:2,3,4, 6,9,12,14,15,17, 20,22,25 53:1,2,4, 5,8,9,12,16,17,18, 20,22,23,24 61:23 68:1,8,11,16

difference 12:24 44:9 61:15,16,19, 25 62:7,15 65:2, 13 66:11 67:10 68:18,23

differences 44:2 45:2 46:2,3 51:17

differential 60:24 61:9,13,14 64:23 66:11 67:15

digital 6:21 7:20

direct 12:12

Index: day..documents

directly 24:23 27:21 31:6,8 65:24 70:17

disallow 30:8 35:22

disallowed 32:9 35:16 49:11

**disallowing** 9:7,8 28:17

disclose 59:1

discovered 45:7 51:21

**discussed** 8:13 55:20 59:8 64:17, 18

discussing 71:8 72:6

discussion 42:2 57:23

disparities 66:21

disparity 9:3

**dispute** 8:15 9:11, 21 14:23 18:4 36:15 40:9

disputed 24:25

disputes 69:5

disputing 9:13,14 25:22 29:4,23 36:15,17

divide 66:5 67:14

document 56:1 57:12 63:17 70:23,25

documentation 29:20,21 35:6 54:2

documented 19:2

documents 7:12, 22 12:22 16:19,25 20:11 35:24 40:4 56:12 57:8,9,13, 16,20 58:1,3 63:23 66:19 70:23 71:3,5,17,21 72:1, 4,5 73:21 74:12

**DOE** 67:20

dollar 64:24 65:1

**dollars** 22:23 42:20 47:16,19, 22,25 48:8,12,13, 19,22,23 49:2 52:14,18,21

**door** 14:10

download 6:23 7:7

downloaded 6:24

dramatically 33:3

Drive 2:15

**due** 43:12

duly 13:12,17

Е

e-mail 20:17,18 21:6,21 23:10,21 24:11,17 25:6 26:7,8 27:4,6 30:7,8,19 31:2,5, 20,21 34:1 35:20 55:12,13,14 56:19 57:15 58:10 65:19,24 66:22 68:6,9,14,25 69:13 71:8

e-mails 34:2 55:8, 17 56:18 66:2 69:8

e=mail 27:17 29:9

**e\_mail** 23:6

earlier 52:13 53:21

earliest 26:15

easily 71:5

easy 40:11 60:18

effect 74:10

**EID** 33:17

electric 15:20 16:3

electronic 68:15

elucidate 58:3

employee 33:13

employees 15:14 16:13 38:6 40:14

encouraging 71:18

**end** 27:1 33:19 41:7

ended 19:21 56:15

Energy 46:9 50:18,20 51:6 61:11 64:18

entered 38:3

entire 18:5 24:6

entities 14:8 37:20 38:19 39:8 40:18

entitled 8:19 31:10 51:25 52:24 72:22

entity 38:20 39:12

entry 53:5

environmental 38:19

Equalization 14:16,20 15:16 16:1,7,11,17 17:5, 25 18:3,7 19:3

#### equipment 16:2

error 46:13 48:3, 18 51:21,22 60:1, 4 escalate 64:25 essentially 15:5 16:13

estimate 10:6 11:23 46:14 47:4 48:4

estimating 62:1

estimation 10:8

evidence 8:7,9 12:22 26:10 54:2 57:6 69:9,15

**ex-tax** 46:24 47:24 48:8,18 52:12,17 53:20,22

exact 65:23

examination 10:10 49:19

examined 13:13, 18

exception 31:23

**excess** 16:24

excessive 19:24

excise 8:16 9:9 21:20 22:5,16,24 23:24 24:15,19,24 25:12 26:14 27:11 29:22 30:3,11,21 31:8,11 32:1 47:19,22 52:3,6, 10,15,25 53:8,16, 19,23 67:1 68:2,8, 11,16

exclude 30:9

exemption 32:1, 7,10 34:3,15 35:22

exemptions 25:3 27:13

exercise 61:23

exhibit 21:5 24:1, 3 42:20,24 44:3, 11,23 45:3,10,15 46:19 47:1,5,8,13, 17,25 48:6,9,15, 20,25 49:3,6,11 50:15,18 51:1,7, 10,14,18,21 52:23 55:13 60:8,10

exhibits 4:4,5 6:15,16,17,18,19, 21 7:17 8:1,3,4,5, 6,8 9:16 54:25 55:1,18 56:1,2,23 57:10

exists 49:17 56:22

expect 7:1

**expected** 16:4 26:25 28:15

expects 73:9

experience 15:4 21:23 22:4,11

expert 22:8

expertise 72:20

**explain** 9:12 43:7, 9,15 46:1 49:24 50:2

explanation 21:12 23:16 50:1 63:20

explanations 35:17

**export** 53:9

## F

facet 34:1

facing 36:25

fact 10:5 14:21 25:9 61:6 62:15

facts 10:25 11:1 12:21 49:15

factual 12:13

**failed** 42:25 43:5 45:20,24 59:1 65:14

fair 37:3,21

familiar 14:5,6

fashion 11:19

faster 72:1

fault 34:19

federal 44:6,7,14 46:1

Fee 3:10 5:19

feel 31:4 40:10,12 72:2

fees 14:18 16:12 63:18

fell 33:3

fellow 62:21

female 40:10

figure 14:15 23:18,20 31:17 35:16,25 36:16 55:23 56:4,24 58:4 59:4 65:1,3 70:25 72:8

figures 14:22,23

file 2:5 16:24 40:1 71:5 73:15

filed 14:21 49:14

files 56:22 57:22

fill 64:4

final 12:4

finalized 58:22

find 10:23 34:6,12 36:3 58:10 63:16 67:21

finding 30:4,5 54:3 67:3

findings 40:6,23 57:14

fine 33:10 37:22 39:2,19 41:16 71:19

finished 36:10

flack 15:7

focus 72:6

folders 57:8 follow 27:7 food 42:13 foreclosure

focused 28:1

14:25 17:18

forgiven 36:21

forgot 37:22

form 27:12 63:2, 13,24,25 64:4,17 68:13,14,15 71:1 72:7

forma 63:17

formal 63:6 74:10

formula 60:23,24 61:4,19 62:4,7

forward 31:5 42:1

found 10:4 16:2, 23 35:5,14 37:20 38:7 66:20,23

Fourth 45:5

franchise 16:21 30:17 39:10 42:9 69:25

free 31:4

Friday 71:17

front 6:25 7:2

frozen-dispense 21:13

#### frustrates 38:5

fuel 8:16,21 17:7 21:17,19,21,24 22:11 42:11 43:19,21 45:12,13 46:8 50:7,8,12,17 51:5 52:2,6,9,12, 14,15,17,25 53:1, 3,4,5,8,9,12,16, 17,18,20,22,23,24

full 30:21,23

## G

**gallon** 30:21,23 43:21 46:5 50:8 51:3,9,11 53:3 67:17 69:3

**gallons** 32:5 45:8 50:7,13,14 64:22, 25 65:10,12 66:5, 6,7,8,15 67:15

galore 66:2

**gas** 14:25 17:14 18:10,14 26:1 32:1 33:4,20 36:12,22 37:18 64:23,25 65:3,9, 11 66:1,5,13,15 70:8

**gasoline** 42:9,11, 23 43:19 44:1,25 45:8,12,14,18 46:5,17,22,24 47:3,4,7,9,10,12 49:5,10 50:5,7,12, 14,20,24 51:9,12, 13,16,19 61:23,24 66:7

gave 9:5 22:6 39:16,18 40:6 70:16

**Geary** 3:2 5:5,9, 15,18 6:4,7,11,14 7:7,11,15,20,25 8:3,10,25 9:4,12, 18 10:1,11,14,16, 22 11:7,9,16,21, 25 12:6 13:1,4,6, 8,20 15:21,24 22:25 23:4 24:2,6, 9 27:24 28:1 29:6 32:12,15,18 37:11,15,17 41:10,18,24 42:3 54:7,12,17,20 55:9,11,14,24 56:6,8,10,20 57:2, 4,11,18 58:7,13, 17,25 59:18,25 60:7,9,13,18 61:1, Index: fashion..hands

4,15 62:2,3,8,12, 20,25 63:2,12,16 64:5,13 69:17,21 70:21 71:10,14 72:13,18 73:5,8, 13,24 74:1,17

generally 12:21 58:18

gentleman 15:16

Ghana 30:20

**give** 11:15 14:7 18:19 26:3 40:19 54:14 60:6 67:8 70:16 72:2 73:1

**giving** 9:17 11:10 13:23 72:1

**Gonzalez** 38:22, 24

**good** 18:14,23,24 19:16,18 22:20

**goods** 44:13

Google 36:5

govern 72:20

Government 53:10

governmental 40:18

grade 51:4,5

grant 59:13

Great 10:1

gross 44:6 53:17

guidance 74:4

gulped 17:1

## н

half 16:9,12 19:10 37:2 hand 60:15 handled 10:20 hands 13:9 **HANNA** 2:18

happened 38:21 39:8 58:8

happily 18:21

hard 73:20

harder 60:19

Harpeet 3:6 5:11 25:7

hate 64:10

Headquarters 5:25

health 38:19 40:17

hear 38:4

hearing 2:19 3:11,12 5:21,23 6:12,22 7:5,21 8:14 51:20 56:17 69:12 70:6 74:4,9, 18,19

hearings 74:18

heart 19:23 20:9 33:10 65:17

**Hey** 40:8

higher 45:18 66:14,15

history 14:7 28:9 34:23 37:9

hit 33:1,8

hold 27:1 58:7 59:7 60:9,18

home 18:16 40:16,17 71:13

honor 5:8,11,16, 17 7:6,9,14 8:2 9:2,15,24 10:13, 18,21 11:6,8,20 12:5,25 13:3,5 14:2 15:23 21:5, 10 23:3,9,17 24:8, 21 25:4 26:7,16 27:3,15,25 28:4 29:7,16,22 30:18

31:19 32:11,14, 19,20,24 34:22 36:4 37:10,13,14, 18 40:9,22,24 41:8 55:7,10,19 56:11 57:14 58:5, 6 59:16,24 64:10, 16 65:14 66:4 68:3,17 69:4,16 70:14,19 71:7,12 72:12,14 73:4,7 74:16 Honors 41:5 hope 7:1 hot 42:13 hours 19:22 35:5 houses 33:16 hundred 22:23 L Icee 28:19 67:1 icy 22:22 idea 17:8 67:8 ideas 73:2 identification 6:16,18,20 identified 8:23 identify 5:5 immaterial 51:17 immediatelv 24:24 immigrant 38:16 impact 60:2 importantly 55:3 imposed 53:3 **include** 23:16 30:3 42:12 46:21 47:19 53:17 included 17:4

19:22 21:17,19 24:20 26:14 includes 31:8 including 21:22 29:20 income 44:6,7,14 46:1,3 incorporated 6:21 incorrect 61:5,6,7 increase 9:13 individual 41:2 information 49:16 51:8 55:18 57:19 58:16 59:2 60:4 70:16 73:12 informed 26:23 46:20 47:18 54:22 initial 9:5 inquire 55:9 instates 30:1 intend 10:14,15, 16

interest 23:12 34:20 36:6,7 40:21 59:9,14,21 63:5,18 64:3 71:2

intermit 11:11

interrupt 22:25

intersection 65:9 67:9

introduce 5:9

investigation 46:7

investigator 38:2

invoice 30:22,24

invoices 27:18,23 29:10,17,18 32:24 43:2,6 45:21,25 49:9 65:15,17,20, 21 66:1

IRS 17:9 35:2 37:20,24 **issue** 8:10,23 9:1 21:1,15 22:24 25:21 26:16 33:24 34:2 37:23 74:1

issued 39:16

**issues** 34:10,11, 16 69:14

items 55:4

# J

January 29:2,3 44:18 45:2 51:13 52:18 66:23,24

Jason 3:12 5:25

**JENKIN** 2:18

**job** 38:9

JOSHUA 3:4

**journals** 43:6 45:25

**Judge** 5:5,9,15,18 6:4,7,11,14 7:7, 11,15,19,20,25 8:3,7,9,10,24,25 9:4,12,18 10:1,11, 14,16,22 11:7,9, 16,21,24,25 12:6 13:1,4,6,7,8,13, 18,20 15:21,24 22:25 23:4 24:2,6, 9 27:24 28:1 29:6 32:12,15,18 37:11,15,17 41:10,15,16,17, 18.23.24 42:3.7 54:7,11,12,13,16, 17,19,20 55:9,11, 14,24 56:5,6,8,10, 20 57:1,2,4,11,18 58:7,13,17,25 59:18,25 60:7,9, 13,18 61:1,4,15 62:2,3,8,12,20,23, 24,25 63:1,2,4,12, 15,16 64:5,13 69:17,21 70:21 71:10,14 72:13,18 73:5,8,13,23,24

#### 74:1,17

judges 12:10 62:21

judgment 36:3

July 2:18 5:1 20:5 42:16 44:20 46:15

June 14:13 16:18 17:12,15 42:16 44:19 45:3 51:14 52:19 66:23,24

## Κ

keeper 15:17 keeping 16:13,14 kind 18:19 19:14 21:11 knew 28:11 35:9 knowledge 68:1

LA 65:7 lack 43:12 Ladon 23:23 25:7 lady 19:12 20:3,6 38:25 lasted 19:22 35:5 late 33:20 Latino 19:12 20:6 law 8:7,9 13:13,18 49:21 53:15 lay 33:13 Lead 3:2 leave 15:20 57:24 leaving 74:9 led 55:16 56:3,24 left 25:3 27:21 38:7 legal 12:14 29:25

#### 38:3

legible 7:12,22 lender 15:10 39:4

Leticia 38:22,24

letter 24:22 27:2 30:16 31:24

level 51:5

**liability** 16:23 29:10,13 39:25 40:1 41:4 49:17, 20 52:11 54:23 72:9,21

#### licenses 19:10

liens 18:3 19:8 38:23 39:1,6

life 33:11

liquor 42:12

**listed** 61:14

listing 69:13

#### live 19:3

loan 15:1,11 17:22 19:19 20:1 33:14, 16,22 36:20 38:18 39:3

loaned 33:14

loans 36:21

located 15:8 64:20

long 19:8 22:21 37:15 66:22

longer 10:5 29:1 74:14

looked 69:24

**lose** 15:8

losing 32:7 33:11

lot 16:2 65:13 lots 73:16

low 36:2 43:13

46:3,4 70:8

lowest 65:11 luck 36:18,19 luxury 40:17

## Μ

made 16:14 17:12 36:12,13,22 39:1 68:6 70:12

main 10:20 21:10 22:5 36:13

maintain 49:18

**make** 9:20,23 10:18 11:4,13 13:20 18:10,14 25:19 33:15 36:2 37:12 38:13 41:24 49:18 61:16 62:21 63:5,25 71:20

makes 25:20 41:12 61:18

making 10:23 12:13,15 15:19 17:15 18:13,17 33:9 36:25 37:2

Malaki 14:14

managed 33:15 40:14

management 37:25

Marathon 22:3 24:18,23,25 25:1, 11,12,13,23 26:12,18 27:6,11, 18,20,22 29:18 30:2,14,15,17,19, 20 31:3,7,22 34:8 35:13 67:23 68:20,22 70:2,17

marked 6:16,17, 19

markup 44:15

markups 43:13

46:4

master's 14:3 material 45:2

matter 2:5 8:14 25:9 29:1 56:23 74:6

matters 74:2

meaning 21:23 26:11 27:8

means 17:24

meantime 16:16 30:7

measure 8:11

Melanie 23:22,23 24:11 25:6 26:9, 23 27:17 31:21

melt 14:19

Members 3:4

mention 32:12

mentioned 27:9 52:13 53:21

merchandise 42:14

**met** 16:18 19:12 39:17

methods 43:17

**mic** 55:9

MICHAEL 3:2

microphone 13:21

middle 24:10

million 17:23 18:13 28:12 34:25 37:4 42:20 45:8 46:21 47:16,19, 21,25 48:8,11,13, 19,22,23 49:2 50:13,14 52:14, 18,21 64:22,25 65:10,12 66:4,6,7, 15,16 67:14

mind 23:13

#### Index: judges..mind

minimart 42:10, 11 45:1 48:19,21, 22.24

minimum 18:17

**minus** 60:23,24, 25 61:1,20

**minute** 40:19 60:6,18 69:20

minutes 10:3,7 11:23 12:2,4,23 32:13,15,17 37:13,16 64:7,12

42:13

mistake 18:10 34:24 36:12 68:7

mistaken 59:10

mistakes 36:2

**mom** 40:11

**moment** 60:9

momentarily 11:17

money 15:19 17:12 20:23 32:7 33:8,9,15 34:24 36:23,25 37:2

month 16:8 17:16 19:8,9 20:13 22:14 23:14 28:15 32:6,7 34:14 35:24 68:5

monthly 17:15 51:3

months 15:18 16:6 20:10,14,15 22:9 23:18 28:15 33:25 34:13 35:11 36:24 39:13,16 40:3 65:16

Mousa 14:13 15:1 18:11 28:10

**move** 38:1

moved 38:4 73:15

Ν

Nalan 3:11 5:21

named 15:16

narrative 11:13

nature 10:24 11:2 12:13 58:21

necessarily 14:5

needed 19:9 21:2

negative 29:24

negotiated 15:17

net 8:19 46:3 55:5

**note** 12:16 30:1 32:23

noted 45:16 49:4 51:17

#### notes 52:1

**Notice** 49:23

noticed 29:10 60:14

notification 6:23

November 27:4

number 23:1 24:3 25:5 38:8 50:7 57:16 60:19 69:3 70:15

numbering 60:10,20

numbers 61:24 69:24 70:7,11,18

#### 0

oath 11:4,17 12:17 objection 7:17,25 obligated 72:2 obtain 21:20

**Dotain** 21:20

obtained 50:16 **obvious** 34:22 offer 73:5 offered 34:17,18 55:1 57:5 Office 2:1 19:13 20:7 officials 35:21 offset 52:8 Oftentimes 41:11 **op** 54:4 open 33:10 57:25 74:9 open-heart 19:21 opened 39:14 opening 20:4

operated 5:13 14:2 36:22

operates 42:9

operation 19:22

**Operations** 6:1

operator 53:11

opinion 41:3

opportunity 7:7 57:12,25 69:14 71:1,23

opposing 72:3

order 11:10 59:3 74:2,10,11

orders 74:13

original 25:18 58:22 63:24

originally 63:8

**OTA** 6:17,19,21 7:13,22 64:1 72:19 73:9

OTA's 8:18 74:18

outstanding 17:4,23 23:6 over-claimed 49:10

over-reported

48:13 52:22

overpaid 8:16 68:10

overpayments 28:3

owe 66:17

**owed** 14:24 18:8, 12 22:7 36:14

owner 5:12 15:1

owners 16:20 28:10 34:24

#### Ρ

**p.m.** 2:16,17 5:2 74:19

package 24:7

packages 58:20

**pad** 67:4

**pages** 42:21 45:15 49:6,11 51:7,10

paid 15:1 16:3,4, 10,13 17:24 18:2, 4,6,7,21 19:15 28:11 34:23,25 37:3 38:17 39:6 69:1,2,15

**panel** 3:2,4 54:6 57:17 58:4 59:3, 13

paper 65:25

papers 24:16 56:12,15,19 57:4, 8,21 58:22

paperwork 32:8 56:14 70:14

paperworks 58:19

Park 2:15

**Parker** 3:12 5:25 58:14,15,18 62:3, 10,17

part 12:22 19:23 56:14 58:10,19 62:10 63:21

parties 5:5 6:22 8:15 71:24 74:6

party 54:14 59:2 72:3

party's 60:20

past 28:19

**pay** 15:19 17:2 18:13 20:14,24 21:1 34:17,18,23 37:5 38:1 39:11, 20 40:1,8,25 67:6, 11 68:11,17

**paying** 15:18 16:7 20:13 28:9 31:7 33:23 36:20 37:9 38:18

payment 38:2

payments 15:2, 12 17:3,4,15 18:13 33:16 38:13

penalizing 66:3

penalties 36:7 63:18

penalty 23:12 64:4,6

penny 16:15 17:11,13 36:14

**people** 12:15 37:19

**percent** 33:4,5 44:16

percentage 46:13 47:2 48:2, 18

**period** 16:18 20:5, 22 29:10,13 36:1 42:16,17 43:4,7 44:3,18 45:2,9,11, 19,23,25 46:12, 15,18,25 47:5,8, 12,17 48:5,9,15, 20,25 49:3 50:25 51:13 52:3,5,7,18, 23 53:25

periods 18:4

permission 70:17

permits 17:7

Perris 42:10 64:19 65:8

person 5:13 12:13 40:3

Petroleum 22:3 24:19,23 25:1,2, 11,12 26:12 30:2, 14 31:22 34:8

phone 25:9,10,11 27:20 30:1

phonetic 23:23 30:20

pick 33:13

picked 33:21

place 11:4 58:1

plan 10:25 11:9,13

Plaza 2:15

point 9:18,22 63:9 73:15

pointed 28:16 63:4

portion 43:20

**position** 17:1 24:13

49:16

post-conference 74:2

post-hearing 63:21

posted 51:6

PPP 36:21PPP1 33:14PPP2 33:14

pound 39:9

practice 62:1

**pre** 8:14

pre-hearing 59:9

precisely 9:10,24

prehearing 10:2 11:22

preliminary 58:19,20

prepaid 30:23 31:9,11,12 42:22 43:23,25 67:22 68:17,18,19,22,24 69:6

preparation 51:20

prepared 42:13 43:16

prepayments 49:5,9,10

present 10:3 30:13

presentation 4:8 9:19 10:7 11:10 12:2 13:2,23 14:1 41:12,20,21 42:6 54:5

president 5:17

pretty 56:1

prevent 72:15

previous 15:1,3 16:20 25:5 28:8,9 31:2 34:23 35:3 37:8

price 50:8,22,24 51:3,4,5,9 61:8, 10,13,14 64:18, 20,21 65:6,7,8,11 66:13 67:7,20 70:8 Index: Parker..provided

**priced** 60:24

prices 45:12,14, 17,19 46:4,8 50:17,23 51:11 61:11 64:20 65:3 69:5 70:9

**primarily** 7:4 55:15

primary 5:13

**pro** 63:17

problems 40:13

**proceed** 11:18 13:23 41:14,21 42:4

proceedings 2:14 74:7

process 32:21,23 58:21

produce 68:3 71:25

**Produced** 13:12, 17

producing 22:10

promised 20:14

**proof** 26:3,13 34:8 50:1

propane 42:13

**proper** 49:22

property 14:12 38:24

provide 16:19,20 20:10 22:21 27:18 29:19,24 32:8 42:25 43:1,3,5,14 44:17,19 45:20,24 49:8 55:21 57:17 58:11,15 60:3 63:19 65:15,17, 20,25 66:18 68:9 69:15 73:12

provided 21:16 23:21 29:17,19 35:6,24 54:1 65:20,21 66:1,3

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

Index: provision..report

#### 67:23 68:20

provision 53:14

**published** 46:9 50:17

**pull** 15:7 38:23 65:23 71:13

pulled 70:3

purchase 43:6

45:24,25 49:8 purchased 43:21

purchases 42:23

pursued 22:13

. **purview** 19:4

72:17

**put** 15:17 40:17

## Q

Quality 17:6

quantity 50:11 51:12

quarter 46:14 47:3 48:4,17

quarterly 50:25 51:4,9

quarters 52:21

queries 22:5

query 22:13

**question** 27:24 37:6 56:25 57:3 58:14 59:7 64:2 69:2

questioned 12:7, 10

**questions** 11:12 12:8,11,12,13,14 13:1,4,6 21:11,13 28:19 41:11,18,19 54:6,8,10,13,18, 20 62:22,24 64:7 66:25 67:2 72:11, 13 73:6,8,24 quick 37:13,16 69:22 quoting 30:12 R R1-12h 60:20 R1-2lh 60:17 rack 53:4,5

raise 13:9

range 54:24 55:6

re-audit 58:23

reach 31:6

reached 19:9

readily 71:4

ready 13:24 41:22

real 69:24

realize 73:13

reason 12:18 31:23 33:17 35:18

reasonable 50:1 54:1 61:22

reasonableness 43:17 50:4 62:11

reasons 29:25 35:14,15 54:3

rebate 67:1

rebates 21:11 22:21 23:15 28:20

rebuttal 12:4

recall 26:25

receipt 44:6

receipts 43:3 45:22

receive 21:11

received 4:4,5 6:22 8:6,8 23:17 33:14 68:6

recently 68:5

recognizing 59:5

record 26:24 42:2 57:24,25 58:23 74:5,9

recorded 8:21 27:5 45:2,9,14,19 46:12,13,18,22,24 47:3,7,9,15,16,21, 24 48:3,8,11,18, 21 50:4 51:2,17, 19 52:2,3,4,12,14, 17 61:10 62:18

records 40:4 43:1,12,15 44:19 45:21 49:19,21 50:12 51:24 61:22

recovering 65:16

20:9

redo 19:10

reduce 8:21

reduced 18:5 62:6

reduction 27:10 59:12

refer 9:16 12:21 24:2 28:22

referred 23:2 63:24

referring 12:20 23:1 24:3 60:9 63:2

refinance 17:18, 22 19:5

refinancing 17:19

refinery 53:4

reflect 50:13

reflected 19:14 44:7,14,24 69:3,7

refund 53:8 72:22

refunds 53:11

registers 43:2 registry 45:22 regulations

refused 16:20

72:20

reimbursement 46:23 47:19,20, 22,23 52:3,7,10, 15,16 53:18,19, 23,24

reimbursements 46:21

relate 22:23

relating 10:19 16:1,18

reliable 43:12

relied 43:9

**relief** 18:20 19:1 59:9,12,13,21 63:5,18 71:2

rely 49:15

remarks 54:15 64:8

remember 20:7 58:8 68:21

remits 30:21,22 31:22

remitted 53:1

remitting 30:25

removal 53:3

remove 24:15 46:23 47:22 52:15

removed 23:24

- renegotiated 16:6
- **REP** 3:11,12

repay 43:20

replacement 19:23

report 30:2 37:25 39:17,18 43:11,22

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

#### 46:2 68:3 70:11

- reported 2:18
- 8:12 42:17,19 43:7,13,18 44:1,8, 12,15,25 45:12,18 46:3,4,14 47:3,10 48:3,4,12,22 49:5 50:15 52:20,25 53:22 70:1

#### Reporter 2:19

#### reporting 67:20

reports 21:16,22 44:18,22,25 45:6, 17 46:8,11 48:17 50:11

representation 62:18

## representative 5:7,22,23 6:13 24:18 40:23 54:23 71:21

representatives 54:9

## represented 72:8 representing

10:25 11:1

request 36:2 40:22 49:19 57:24 59:9,18 63:5,6,8, 17 70:17 71:2

requested 70:16

requesting 59:20

requests 54:4

require 10:3 55:4

required 43:20,22

requires 63:5

resell 64:22

respect 73:19

respond 71:24

72:4

responded 24:14

respondent 7:16,

20 8:20,22 10:12 11:21 39:3 54:8, 10,23 55:2,25 56:21 57:20 60:1 72:3 73:8

respondent's 4:5 6:19 8:1,4,8 12:18,19

response 27:16 29:19,24

responsibility 18:22

responsible 38:12

rest 22:20

restrictions 58:2

resulting 42:19 46:24 47:11,24 48:13,23

retail 43:19 46:8 50:16

retailer 25:3,13 26:1,21 27:12,21 30:14

retailers 26:6 53:2

return 27:10 31:13 43:10 46:2 49:15,22 68:4

returns 14:21 16:19 22:9 26:4 43:8,15 44:6,7,8, 15 49:14

**Revenue** 53:7,12

review 21:3,4,8 22:12,15 23:7,14, 16 24:17 25:19,22 28:7 29:1,15,17, 18 30:5,7 34:7,13 35:5,9,25 40:5 55:22 58:21,24 67:1

reviewed 7:8,20 35:4 44:5,21 45:5 50:10 reviewing 22:9 40:4

reviews 21:23

Ridenour 3:4 41:17 54:17,19 56:8 62:25 63:1

risk 40:17

**Riverside** 19:12 20:8

Robert 15:16 38:22

roughly 14:24 20:13 64:22

round 44:13

#### S

#### Sacramento 65:8

Safar 2:6 5:12,13 14:8,9,10,11,13, 14,21 15:1 18:11 19:6,16 21:7 28:10 32:2 38:7 39:11 40:12

#### Sala 30:20

**sale** 42:19 44:12 46:17,22 47:9,15, 16,21,24 48:8,11, 12,14,19,21,23 50:8 52:14,20,22 53:12,24

sales 8:12,21 14:14 21:16,17, 18,19,21,22,24 24:20 26:14 27:10 30:9,11,23 31:9, 11,12 33:3,4 42:11,18,23 43:1, 2,3,8,10,12,14,18, 20,23,25 44:8,17, 22,24,25 45:1,6, 13,15,17,21,22 46:2,7,10,12,14, 15,21,23,25 47:3, 4,7,10,12,20,23 48:4,5,16,24 49:2, 14,21,22 50:5,9,

10 51:13,16,19,23 52:2,4,11,12,16, 17 53:6,15,16,17, 19,20,22,24 61:25 62:18,19 65:22,23 66:5 67:13,20,21, 22 68:7,10,11,18 69:6

## Samarawickrem

a 3:11 4:11 5:21 7:19,24 8:24 11:24 13:5,7 41:23 42:7 54:11 56:5,7,25 57:3,7 60:5,8,12,22 61:3, 7,18 62:16 63:11, 15 64:6 73:10,25

sample 27:18

satisfied 7:21 49:13

**save** 15:6

**saved** 18:23 40:14 58:20

**SBA** 17:22

**SBOE** 27:8

**schedule** 43:23 60:17 61:13,14

school 38:17

science 14:4

search 71:7

secondary 61:20

Section 53:7,13

**select** 35:22

**sell** 65:10

**selling** 45:12,13, 16,19 46:4 50:22, 24 51:3,4,9,11 61:10 64:18

sells 42:10

send 56:12,14,16 64:1 71:20,21

senior 20:17 40:23 separate 31:12 60:20

September 34:17 36:7,8 59:14,21

serves 50:20

**service** 16:12

**set** 55:4,5 59:20

shareholder 5:12

Sharma 62:8 63:10 64:5

Sharma's 62:13

SHERIENE 3:4

shifts 50:1

**short** 67:2 71:15

**show** 45:1 66:12 67:5 70:15

showed 37:21

showing 34:8

**shows** 27:19 31:7 34:1 37:1 60:23 67:5 68:14 70:24

shutdown 34:20

**shy** 18:12

**sic** 62:8

**side** 60:15

sign 67:7

signed 16:21

**similarly** 45:13 47:14

**simple** 69:2

simply 63:8 72:14

**single** 17:11,13 26:1 33:13 34:1 36:14 37:19 40:11

singling 26:5

sir 24:5 32:16

sister 18:1,8

20:14

sitting 70:10

slight 9:2

**slow** 15:21

Soda 21:12

**sold** 44:13 45:7 50:12,13 51:12 53:9,10

sources 43:9

**speaking** 7:5 10:21

**specialist** 20:4,16 25:9 26:19 28:6, 13 36:4

specific 29:25

specifically 8:13 59:18

specifics 59:19

**spell** 6:7

spending 34:14

spent 19:8 22:9 33:25 34:13 40:3, 6

**spoke** 20:21 22:2 24:21 25:20 29:11 30:19 31:3,14 32:3

**spoken** 24:22 70:8

**spreadsheet** 22:10 28:14,22 55:20,21 58:9,12

spreadsheets 14:4

stage 19:9 32:20 33:6,20

start 11:16 64:16

**started** 15:5,18 16:17 69:11

**state** 2:2 3:9 10:25 14:16,19 15:15 16:1,7,11, 16 17:5,22,25 18:2,6,18,19 19:2, 4,13 20:7 30:9,10, 22,24 31:1,9 33:17 36:14 53:4, 5 56:14 59:14 65:21

**stated** 23:24 35:17 65:15

statement 4:14 11:13 40:24 64:15

states 24:19 53:10

stating 23:6

**station** 14:25 15:6,8,19 16:14 17:14,17 18:10, 14,15,23 32:2 35:4 36:13,22 37:19 42:9 65:3

stations 26:1 50:20 65:10

statutes 72:19

stay 28:1

stayed 40:13

staying 40:17

stenographer 41:25

Stephanie 20:3, 16,25 21:7 22:2,8, 18 23:5,11 25:8 26:20,22 31:14 33:24 34:12 35:8, 10 37:5 39:15 40:2 41:6 55:13 66:19 68:21 70:11

stole 34:24

stolen 18:22

**stop** 32:2,9 45:7

stops 32:4,5

storage 14:18 store 21:18 33:4 70:4

stores 33:12,13

stress 19:25

student 38:18

submission 63:21 71:25

**submit** 7:13 24:17 26:15 56:17 57:7, 12,25 58:2,3 63:8, 24 70:14,23 71:1, 11,17,18 72:4,5 74:6,12

**submitted** 56:23 57:9,20,21 70:11 73:19,21

submitting 63:23 substantial 68:24 subtracted 52:11 suckers 35:1

suddenly 40:7,25

**sufficient** 58:11 73:22

suggest 26:11

suggesting 58:25

Suite 2:15

summary 9:17

supervisor 24:17 41:1

supplier 53:1

suppliers 43:22

support 16:25 49:9

supporting 29:21

supports 54:2

supposed 27:11

surgery 19:21 20:9 33:10 65:17

surprised 56:13 57:18

**survived** 33:14, 18

#### Swelling 34:5

**Swilling** 20:3,16, 25 21:7 22:2,8,18 23:5,11,19 25:8, 14 26:17,20,22 27:8,20 28:5,25 29:11 31:14 33:24,25 34:12 35:8,10 37:6 39:15 40:2 41:6 55:13 56:3,24 59:5 66:20 68:21 69:23 70:5,15

Swilling's 57:4

sworn 13:12,17

system 69:25

## Т

table 12:18,19

takes 26:2 74:14

taking 16:9 17:11 18:10,23 30:24 31:4,10 36:9,12 65:4

talk 6:15 29:14 69:17 70:22

talked 15:5 28:25 38:22 54:21,22 60:1 66:4,21

talking 13:21 23:18 34:15 56:4 63:22 66:6,8 67:12

tank 14:18 16:12

target 40:11

targeted 28:5,8 37:7,8

targeting 34:7 72:15,16

tax 2:1 3:9,12 5:19 6:2 8:16,17 9:9 14:14,21 16:19 19:1,7 20:3,16,17 21:4,17,20,22,23,

25 22:4,5,8,9,12, 15,17,24 23:7,11, 22 24:15,19,24 25:8,15,19,21 26:4,12,14,19 27:10,13 28:5,13 29:1,3,15,22 30:3, 5,10,11,21,23 31:8,9,11,12,13, 23 32:1,6,10 34:3, 5,7,10,15 35:4,9, 18,22,23 36:1,3,4, 5,11,25 37:1 39:10,25 40:1 41:4 42:23 43:8, 10,20,23,25 44:6, 7,8,14 46:1,21,23 47:19,20,22,23 49:14,17,20,21,22 51:23,25 52:3,6,7, 8,10,11,15,16,24, 25 53:3,8,15,16, 17,19,23,24 55:22 58:12 59:12 67:1, 4,13,22 68:2,4,7, 8,10,11,16,18 69:7

taxable 8:12 23:15 42:11,14,19 43:12,18 45:1 46:24 47:4,10,11, 24 48:5,12,14,19, 21,23,24 49:2 52:4,12,17,20,22 53:3

**Taxation** 53:7,12

taxes 10:19 18:22 23:24 25:12.13 27:11 28:10 31:8 40:1 66:17 67:6, 11 68:17,18,19, 22,24 69:6

taxpayer 29:19 30:6 49:18,23 50:2 63:17 73:10

taxpayer's 61:22 62:18 taxpayers 37:3 63:8

team 26:10 telling 27:20

68:10,25 ten 20:15 22:9

28:15

terminal 53:4

**Tesoro** 30:21,22 31:22 70:1

test 62:11

testified 13:13,18

**testify** 10:14,15, 16 11:3,5

testifying 11:7 12:19

testimony 10:4 11:2,11,14,15 65:15

thing 20:23 22:19 31:25 33:1 34:9 36:13 38:1 65:14 67:25

things 70:23 72:7

thinking 33:6,7

thought 18:12 28:9 58:11 71:14

thousand 22:23

Thursday 2:17 5:1

time 14:16,25 15:13,19,25 16:10 19:5,8 20:12 28:17 29:11 32:3 36:9.15 39:12 40:6,24,25 64:9 69:10,12 70:1,6, 22 71:10 73:18

times 41:1

tips 45:22

tirelessly 17:10

title 6:11 38:23

today 7:5 8:11 10:14,15,17 29:19 57:23 67:8.25 69:10,11 71:16 72:6 74:5,18

told 14:20 20:25 22:3 25:2,21,25 26:19 28:6,25 29:4 32:4,21

top 23:10 25:4 27:16 31:20

total 42:18 44:8, 12 47:6 48:7.18 49:1 61:17 62:5

toxic 19:20

train 53:11

TRANSCRIPT 2:14

translate 65:12 66:10

translates 66:16 67:14

treatment 8:16

truck 32:2,4,5,9

true 11:1 62:19

**Tuesday** 71:12,19

turn 12:2

type 23:17 62:9 72:24

types 42:18

typically 71:24

## U

unable 43:7,9 44:19 46:1

unapproved 72:9

unaware 53:14

unbeknownst 39:5,20

under-report 69:6

under-reporting

#### Index: underground..years

#### 67:19

underground 14:17 16:11

underreported 67:13 69:7

**understand** 8:23 9:1 12:24 28:16 36:2 39:23 56:16, 25 57:3 58:25

understanding 8:15,18 9:20 59:11

unfairly 37:7,8

unfairness 72:23

United 53:10

unnecessary 58:1

unreasonable 73:11

unreasonablene ss 62:14

unrecorded 46:15 47:5 48:5

unreported 8:12 46:12,15 47:4,11 48:24 49:1 51:23

V

upheld 37:1

valid 50:3

valve 19:23

**vendors** 16:3 17:4 18:7 33:12, 21 49:6

verification 43:16

verifies 73:10

verify 43:17 50:4 61:21

verifying 62:9

versa 60:15

version 39:25 40:1

versions 58:18

vice 60:15

Vietnamese 38:16

views 41:4

volume 33:21

voluntary 38:11

volunteered 38:14

### w

wage 18:17 wait 17:18 74:11 waiting 32:24 walked 14:24 Walmart 64:20.23 wanted 15:6 19:5 39:16 wanting 15:17 warrant 53:15 warranted 8:13 Waters 30:8 website 63:16 65:23 71:4 week 20:2 50:21, 22 weekly 46:8 50:16,17,23 weeks 71:16

weighted 50:24

well-aware 14:23 22:11

well-versed 14:4 wholesaler 27:13

window 65:5 66:12 67:7,16

windows 44:1

wine 42:12

wisely 63:4

wishes 7:13

witnesses 12:7

word 35:1

words 52:9

**work** 24:16 40:18 56:12,15,19 57:4, 16,21

worked 15:10 17:10 35:23 40:15

working 16:3 26:10 36:6 38:6 57:8 58:19,22

Works 41:17

workspace 23:25

writing 21:21

written 26:13 63:6

wrong 35:11

wrongly 35:11

Y

year 14:3 16:9,13, 16 18:15,17 20:22 36:1,11,23 37:2 66:7

years 9:9 17:7,11, 19 18:16 19:21,25 28:7 33:7 34:20 36:21 38:7 44:10 66:5 67:12,13 69:11