BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN	THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF:)			
)			
Ε.	HERNANDEZ (GOMEZ),)	CASE	NO.	21088374
)			
	APPELLANT.)			
)			

CERTIFIED COPY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Friday, October 20, 2023

Reported by:

DONNA S. BADGER CRAMIN, CSR No. 14530

Job No.: 44618 OTA(A)

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF:)
6	E. HERNANDEZ (GOMEZ),) CASE NO. 21088374
7	APPELLANT.)
8)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken via
16	Zoom Videoconference, commencing at 9:50 a.m.
17	and concluding at 10:51 a.m. on Friday,
18	October 20, 2023, reported by
19	Donna S. Badger Cramin, CSR No. 14530, a
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the
21	State of California.
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ Lauren Katagihara
4		
5	Panel Members:	Judge Mike Le Judge Eddy Lam
6		
7 8	For Taxpayer:	E. Hernandez (Gomez), Taxpayer Arthur Demerath, Representative
9		
10	For Franchise Tax Board:	Topher Tuttle, Attorney
11		
12	Also present:	Maria Gonzalez-Cardenas, Spanish Interpreter
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX
2	
3	EXHIBITS
4	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-4 were received at page 7)
5	(Respondent's Exhibits A-N were received at page 7)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	EXAMINATION
11	PAGE
12	By Mr. Demerath 9
13	
14	
15	
16	REPORTER'S NOTE:
17	All quotations from exhibits are reflected in the manner in which
18	they were read into the record and do not necessarily indicate an exact
19	quote from the document
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Remote Proceedings; Friday, October 20, 2023
2	9:50 a.m.
3	
4	MARIA CARDENAS,
5	Spanish interpreter, was duly sworn by the Administrative
6	Law Judge to translate from English to Spanish and Spanish
7	to English the following proceedings.
8	
9	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Okay. Let's go on the record.
10	We are opening the record in the appeal Oh, I'm sorry.
11	Ms. Gonzales-Cardenas, I think this portion you
12	will need to interpret.
13	THE INTERPRETER: That will be fine.
14	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Okay. Thank you.
15	We are opening the record in the appeal of Estela G.
16	Hernandez Gomez before the Office of Tax Appeal.
17	This is OTA Case No. 210888374. Today is Friday,
18	October 20th, 2023. The time is 9:51 a.m. We are holding
19	this hearing electronically upon agreement of all the
20	parties.
21	I'd like to begin by asking the parties to please
22	identify themselves by stating their names for the record.
23	Let's begin with Appellant.
24	MR. DEMERATH: I am Arthur Demerath, TAB student
25	representative here for Ms. Hernandez.

1	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: And if Ms. Hernandez Gomez can
2	introduce herself as well, please.
3	MS. HERNANDEZ GOMEZ: Yes.
4	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: And who is here for Respondent
5	FTB?
6	MR. TUTTLE: My name is Topher Tuttle. I
7	represent Respondent, the Franchise Tax Board.
8	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: And we also have Ms.
9	Gonzalez-Cardenas, who is a certified interpreter and is
10	interpreting this hearing from English to Spanish and
11	Spanish to English.
12	Ms. Gonzalez-Cardenas was sworn in prior to going
13	on the record.
14	I am judge Lauren Katagihara, the lead
15	Administrative Law Judge for this case, and with me today
16	are Judges Mike Le and Eddy Lam.
17	The parties have not submitted any objections to
18	the panel so we are the panel hearing and deciding today's
19	case.
20	As we confirmed at the pre-hearing conference, we
21	are considering one issue today and that is whether
22	Appellant's claim for a refund for the 2006 tax year is
23	barred by the statute of limitations.
24	Appellant has proposed Exhibits 1 through 4, and
25	Respondent has proposed Exhibits A through N.

1	Since there were no objection filed by the
2	parties, all the exhibits will be admitted into the record
3	as evidence.
4	(Whereupon, Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 4 were
5	received into evidence by the Administrative Law
6	Judge.)
7	(Whereupon, Respondent's Exhibits A through N
8	were received into evidence by the Administrative Law
9	Judge.)
LO	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Will Respondent please confirm
11	that it does not intend to call any witnesses?
12	MR. TUTTLE: That is correct. There will be no
13	witnesses for Respondent.
L4	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: And does Appellant still
15	intend to testify as a witness?
L6	MS. HERNANDEZ GOMEZ: Yes. Yes.
L7	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Okay. Then I will swear
18	Ms. Hernandez Gomez in now.
L9	Ms. Hernandez Gomez, please raise your right
20	hand. I know that we cannot see since you called in.
21	
22	ESTELA HERNANDEZ GOMEZ,
23	called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
24	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined and testified
25	as follows:

1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	
3	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Thank you.
4	Appellant, you have 15 minutes for your opening
5	presentation and 10 minutes for testimony.
6	Mr. Demerath, I will ask you to notify Ms.
7	Gonzales-Cardenas when you would like her to start
8	interpreting again.
9	MR. DEMERATH: Thank you, your Honor.
10	The testimony will be fairly quick into the
11	presentation.
12	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: And I'm sorry, before you
13	begin I would like to have it on the record that Ms.
14	Hernandez Gomez did agree to having the Appellant's
15	presentation not be interpreted.
16	Mr. Demerath, you can begin.
17	MR. DEMERATH: Thank you.
18	Good morning, Your Honors.
19	The unrefunded amount of \$12,282.22 the State
20	garnished from Ms. Hernandez's wages is an over-collection
21	and should be returned to Ms. Hernandez because the FTB
22	erroneously assessed tax of Ms. Hernandez's capital gains
23	from the sale of her principal residence despite
24	possessing or having ready access to a plethora of

information showing at the time that this was her

25

1	principal residence.
2	Before I go into details, I will first ask
3	Ms. Hernandez some questions.
4	So now, Ms. Gonzalez thank you.
5	
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. DEMERATH:
8	Q So the first question is or Ms. Hernandez, can
9	you please state your name for the record?
10	A Yes. Estela G. Hernandez.
11	Q Thank you. And what is your age?
12	A 63 years.
13	Q What languages do you speak, Ms. Hernandez?
14	A Spanish. Just Spanish.
15	Q Thank you. Did you own and reside on Welk
16	Avenue, Pacoima, from 2001 to 2006?
17	THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter is going to ask for
18	the streets. Was it wealth and
19	MR. DEMERATH: Welk, W-E-L-K and Pacoima,
20	P-A-C-O-I-M-A. Or Pacoima.
21	THE INTERPRETER: And then 2001 to 2006?
22	MR. DEMERATH: That's correct.
23	THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter clarification.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, Ma'am.
25	

1	Q BY MR. DEMERATH: Was that your principal
2	residence? That is, was that the house that you lived in
3	most of the time during that duration?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did you sell this home in February of 2006?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And did you work in California before the year of
8	2006?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And for the work that you did, did you have a tax
11	preparer do your taxes sometime between 2001 and 2006 when
12	you were working?
13	A Yes. Yes.
14	Q And did you use on those taxes did you
15	utilities Welk address as your residence for your returns?
16	A Yes, Ma'am.
17	Q Did you ever report any income other than wages
18	such as rental properties that you were renting out or
19	other kinds of investments?
20	A No.
21	Q Thank you.
22	That is all of my questions for Ms. Hernandez.
23	Thank you, Ms. Gonzales-Cardenas.
24	MR. DEMERATH: As we just heard through her
25	testimony, Ms. Hernandez lived at the Welk's residence

property as her principal residence from 2001 to 2006.

She sold this property in 2006 for a small capital gain. It is undisputed that this qualified for the capital gain exclusion based off principal residence. The FTB became aware of the sale through IRS sharing the 1099-S form. Subsequently instead of using all the information in its control to evaluate whether this was a taxable event, the FTB assessed, based on a partial transcription of the 1099-S form that the capital gain was not excludable. This culminated in an erroneous assessment of tax in the amount of \$9,669 with a delinquent filing penalty of \$2,417.25, plus interest.

Due to his Hernandez's language difficulties, she did not understand the FTB notices nor the reasons or basis for the FTB action. In 2021, after her wages had been garnished since 2014, the record was set straight and the FTB received her 2006 tax return indicating no tax liability due to the transaction qualifying for the principal residence exclusion. The FTB refunded only the amounts garnished over the last year relying on the statute of limitations precluding the remaining \$12,282.22.

However, in this instance the garnishment was not an overpayment which are susceptible to the statue of limitations, but an over-collection, which are not. We

will show that the Respondent failed to accurately assess the amount of tax due based on any available information. They did not utilize relevant available information in their assessment, and in making such a mistake, they caused an over-collection entitling Ms. Hernandez to the full return of her \$15,182.16.

Ms. Hernandez is an elderly non-English speaking California resident. She owned and resided in a home on Welk Avenue, Pacoima, California, the Welk residence, for five years, from 2001 through 2006. On February 9th, 2006, Applicant sold the Welk residence and that transaction, over a decade and a half ago, formed the basis for our being here today.

As a result of the transaction, the escrow company, Pinnacle Estate Properties, submitted a 1099-S form to the IRS. Due to Ms. Hernandez's licensed tax professional Jose Orellana's failure to submit her tax return to the State, the FTB's integrated non-filer compliance program detected that Ms. Hernandez had sold her residence and issued a request for tax return in May of 2009, with a follow-up notice of proposed assessment issued July of the same year.

During this time, Ms. Hernandez, an elderly non-English speaker relying heavily on family and friends for her English needs, did not possess the sophistication

1 to understand who the FTB was, what they were seeking. 2 From her perspective, she had paid a professional to 3 handle her taxes, as many of us do, so any legal 4 proceedings from some unknown State agency were best left 5 avoided as she had done nothing wrong. Mr. Demerath --6 JUDGE KATAGIHARA: 7 MR. DEMERATH: Yes? 8 JUDGE KATAGIHARA: -- I'm sorry to interrupt you. 9 It looks like -- Mr. Tuttle, can you see and hear 10 us? 11 MR. TUTTLE: I can. Sorry. It flipped out. 12 JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Thank you. You may continue, 13 Mr. Demerath. 14 MR. DEMERATH: Thank you. 15 Eventually Ms. Hernandez came to understand her 2006 tax refund had not been filed properly and found a 16 17 different professional tax preparer, Mr. Miguel 18 Guadalupe-Ocasio, to file the missing returns for her in 19 2011. However, once again, her returns were not received 20 by the FTB, and Ms. Hernandez once again went on with her 21 affairs having done what she could to comply with what was 22 requested. 23 She accepted the improper garnishment of her 2.4 wages based on income that should never have been 25 considered taxable for years until in 2021 when she sought out Mr. Guadalupe-Ocasio to seek modification of the garnishment order. In his conversation with the FTB on February 1st, he learned they had never received the 2006 returns, which was finally transmitted and received 11 days later and a decade late.

The principal residence exclusion allows a tax payer to exclude gross income or gains up to a limit of \$250,00 for a single filer where the taxpayer owned the home and used it as their principal residence for at least two of the last five years. Ms. Hernandez has owned the Welk residence since 2001 and used it as her principal residence during that time. This qualified Ms. Hernandez for the exclusion legally resulting in no outstanding balance for the tax year 2006.

As a result, the FTB became aware that they had collected outside of their legal entitlements as evidenced by their prompt withdrawal of the withholding order on February 9th, following the communication with Mr. Guadalupe-Ocasio on February 1st, but prior to the returns being processed by the FTB on February 12th.

However, due to the ongoing duration of these proceedings, the FTB eventually returned only \$2,899.94 based on application of the statute of limitations, and kept a total of \$12,282.22 based on income that should never have been recognized.

As you know, although the law provides a statute of limitations for refunds, there exists a type of collection that is not barred by the statute of limitations called over-collections. Over-collections are discussed extensively in the FTB Technical Advice Memorandum 2007-01. An over-collection occurs when the FTB collects, through its enforcement mechanisms such as garnishment of wages, more than the amount due under law as a result of some inaccuracy or error in the assessment of the amount of the taxpayer's liability.

2.4

The technical memorandum states: "The basic rule utilized in distinguishing between an over collection and a barred payment is whether amounts collected were based on an assessment that was accurate based on the information available to the FTB at the time the assessment was made."

On the topic of what qualifies as "available information," the memorandum states: "This information includes records from the Employment Development Department, the Internal Revenue Service, and various other reliable sources."

It is not in dispute that the funds at issue were collected through wage garnishment. So the collection prong is met. The only remaining question before you are on this issue then is whether the amounts collected were

based on an assessment that was accurate based on information available to the FTB at the time of the assessment was made. In other words, based on records form the Employment Development Department, the Internal Revenue Service and other various reliable sources.

2.4

Because the principal residence exclusion applies to Ms. Hernandez's 2006 property sale due to her owning and residing from 2001 to 2006 at the property and her gross proceeds being \$131,250, she should not have had any liability for the 2006 tax year.

In garnishing Ms. Hernandez's wages from 2014 to 2021, the FTB over collected \$15,182.16, by erring in assessing Ms. Hernandez tax liability for the 2006 tax year based on available information. As discussed, the FTB became aware of the Welk address sale through a 1099-S form resulting in an assessment that Ms. Hernandez had income she had not paid tax on.

Also, as discussed, that income is excluded from her 2006 net income based on the principal residence exclusion. There are multiple sources of information the FTB had access to that would alert them to the presence of a principal residence exclusion for the Welk address.

Based on these sources of available information, the FTB should have made a correct assessment and excluded the principal residence from the calculation of

Ms. Hernandez's income.

First, the IRS is listed as a source of available information in the 2007-01 Technical Memorandum. The IRS would have had the principal address information as part of Ms. Hernandez's file from her 2006 and prior tax returns, which the FTB could have accessed to assess whether the principal residence exclusion applies.

As Ms. Hernandez lived at the Welk residence for more than the required two years, this would have resulted in the FTB correctly detecting her proper tax liability based on available information.

Additionally, had the FTB used the available information from the IRS, it would have learned Ms. Hernandez filed a federal tax return with the IRS. However, unlike the FTB, the IRS did not perform an adjustment to Ms. Hernandez's tax liability based on the 1099-S and her federal returns, further indicating the sale of the Welk residence did not give rise to any tax liabilities. This information was accessible to the FTB in 2009 when they issued the notice of proposed assessment, and as part of IRS's information on Ms. Hernandez constitutes available information that should have been considered in their assessment for tax liability. The error from Respondent's failure to do so resulted in an over-collection.

Second, Ms. Hernandez had paid taxes for years in California with no problem with the FTB. In fact, her request for tax return, Exhibit A, paragraph 2, notes Ms. Hernandez has, and I quote, "an excellent history of filing her annual tax returns."

As a result, the FTB would have had her information on file, including the address of the Welk residence Ms. Hernandez testified to using on her returns in the prior years between 2011 and 2006. An examination of her address information on prior returns combined with her individual tax history would inform the FTB that, first, she was not an individual who had investment properties.

And second, the principal residence exclusion applied due to the home address being the same in her prior year's tax returns that the FTB did receive.

Third, the Welk's deed of sale displays that the address sold is the same as the address the FTB and the IRS would have had on file. If not fully conclusive independently, this would indicate to the FTB that

Ms. Hernandez, who does not have a history of trading capital assets and used the Welk residence to file her past returns, is selling her own home and puts her in the realm of the principal residence exclusion. In failing to consider this available piece of evidence, the FTB erred

in their computation of the assessment, resulting in an over-collection.

2.

2.2

2.4

Fourth, the Los Angeles County Assessor's office would qualify as a reliable source and may be considered available information. The office records a homeowner's exemption for the property at issue, which clearly indicates the property was Ms. Hernandez's principal residence, again informing the FTB of the exclusion based on available information.

Now, with all these pieces of available information, what did the FTB use for its assessment? The 1099-S. Respondents based their assessment on data gathered from the 1099-S submitted to the IRS from the brokerage company.

The 1099-S form the FTB received would have the address of the residence sold and the seller's current residence, however, in Exhibit N the FTB's internal 1099-S information is flawed.

First, it does not display the address sold, which when compared with information on file for Ms. Hernandez would indicate the presence of a principal residence exclusion.

Second, the box labeled "Income Exclusion" the field is filled with the word "No." And no further evidence or reasoning can be found. This is certainly not

a conclusion that could be gleaned from the 1099-S, most notably because the principal residence exclusion did, in fact, apply. This represents a failure to capture the appropriate information on the part of the FTB resulting in their being unaware of the applicable principal residence exclusion.

Additionally, the FTB 1099-S information indicates there was no tax withholding on the property sale. The fact there was no tax withholding further indicates there is some kind of exempt status excluding the property sale from being considered income.

One of the common reasons for such an exclusion is, of course, the principal residence exclusion, which was in effect here and offers another reason the FTB should have known or been aware of the exclusion.

In view of all the information available and because of all the reasons discussed above, the FTB should have accessed the IRS information or at least its own internal database, not to mention the plethora of other sources at its disposal, in order to accurately assess Ms. Hernandez's tax liability based on available information.

In failing to do so, the FTB did not accurately assess the penalties based on available information and through their error over collected the \$15,182.16 from

1 Ms. Hernandez's wages. 2 Finally, the information the FTB based their assessment on, their capture of the 1099-S form, was 3 4 neither accurate nor complete. This mistake on the part 5 of the FTB resulted in a miscalculation of Ms. Hernandez's tax liability through ignorance of a clearly applicable 6 exclusion, creating an over-collection. 7 Refund of the over-collected amount is not barred 8 9 by the statute of limitations, and as such the remaining 10 \$12,282.22 should be returned. 11 Thank you. 12 JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Thank you. 13 Do the panel members have any questions for the witness? 14 15 No question for me. JUDGE LE: Thank you. This is Judge Lam speaking. I don't 16 JUDGE LAM: 17 have any questions. Thank you. 18 JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Mr. Demerath, thank you for 19 your presentation. 20 I'm going to reserve questions from the panel 21 until after Respondent's presentation. 22 JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Respondent, you have ten 23 minutes for your presentation. You may begin now. 2.4 MR. TUTTLE: Thank you, and good morning.

My name is Topher Tuttle and I am representing

25

Respondent, the Franchise Tax Board.

2.4

The issue in this case is whether the Appellant's claim for refund for the 2006 tax year is barred by the statute of limitations. When Appellant failed to file a tax return for the 2006 tax year, Respondent's filing enforcement unit issued a notice of proposed assessment based on income Appellant received during the year from the sale of real estate.

When Appellant failed to contest the proposed assessment, it became a final liability on September 12th, 2009, and FTB pursued collection action.

THE INTERPRETER: And interpreter wants a repetition. September 12th. What was the year?

MR. TUTTLE: 2009.

THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter requests repetition of that last part. My apologies, Mr. Tuttle.

MR. TUTTLE: And FTB pursued collection action after that date.

Appellant filed her tax return for the 2006 tax year on February 12th, 2021. After processing the tax return, FTB issued a refund of about \$2,900. This amount relates to overpayment credits within one year of the claim for refund.

The law prohibits Respondent from crediting or refunding an overpayment when a claim for refund is not

filed within four years of the due date of the return or within one year from the date of overpayment, whichever is later.

THE INTERPRETER: Repetition of the last part, "or one year..."

MR. TUTTLE: From the date of overpayment, whichever is later.

2.4

In this case, Appellant's tax return for 2006 was due on or before April 16th, 2007. However, Respondent did not receive Appellant's tax return for this year until 2021, which was more than four years after the due date.

In addition, Respondent has already refunded all payments received within one year of the filing date of Appellant's claim for refund. The remaining overpayment credit at issue relates to payments made more than one year from the date of Appellant's claim for refund.

THE INTERPRETER: And interpreter repetition of the very last part. "More than..."

MR. TUTTLE: More than one year from the date of Appellant's claim for refund. Thus, Respondent is barred from issuing a refund.

Turning to FTB TAM 2007-01, the basic rule utilized in distinguishing between an over-collection and a barred overpayment is whether amounts collected were based on an assessment that was accurate based on the

1 information available to the FTB at the time the 2. assessment was made. Collection of amounts pursuant to a 3 valid assessment will never result in an over-collection 4 situation. 5 And from Example 4, the following quote is applicable to this case: FTB properly based the 6 7 assessment on correct information. It was the --8 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter repetition. 9 MR. TUTTLE: Sure. 10 FTB properly based the assessment on correct 11 information. 12 THE INTERPRETER: Repetition again. I'm sorry. 13 Because I'm not providing an exact interpretation of what 14 you are saying. My apologies. 15 MR. TUTTLE: FTB properly based the assessment on correct information. 16 17 It was the taxpayer's failure to file a timely 18 tax return that resulted in the overpayment, not a mistake 19 by the FTB. 20 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter repetition. And my 21 apologies, Mr. Tuttle. 22 MR. TUTTLE: It was the taxpayer's failure to 23 file a timely tax return that resulted in the overpayment, 2.4 not a mistake by the FTB.

25

Accordingly, Respondent's denial of Appellant's

1 claim for refund is proper and should be sustained. 2 Thank you. That concludes my presentation. 3 JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Before we move on to 4 Appellant's rebuttal and closing remarks, I'd like to ask 5 a question and give my co-panelists the opportunity to ask 6 questions that they may have. 7 Respondent, is there any dispute that the homeowner at issue was Appellant's principal residence and 8 9 qualified for the exclusion? 10 MR. TUTTLE: There is not a current dispute that it was the primary residence. The only dispute is that we 11 did not know that information at the time of the original 12 13 assessment. 14 JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Thank you. 15 Judge Lam, do you have any questions for the 16 parties? 17 JUDGE LAM: Ouestion for the Franchise Tax Board. 18 Was there a reason that you would not have known 19 that it is the sale of a principal residence since the 20 property was sold and the property is located at the 21 residence of the taxpayer? To that I 22 MR. TUTTLE: Thank you, Judge Lam. 23 would respond that the 1099-S does not -- information that 2.4 FTB received does not indicate that it was a primary

residence.

25

1	JUDGE LAM: Sorry. This is a question for
2	Franchise Tax Board. Would there be any other form that
3	would indicate that it is a primary residence such as a
4	Form 592?
5	MR. TUTTLE: Yes. Thank you, Judge Lam.
6	The Form 592 is often used for these kinds of
7	real estate transactions; however, we would not
8	necessarily receive a copy unless it's filed with the tax
9	return so that we can identify the taxpayer with the real
LO	estate transaction. And in this case, we did not get the
11	original tax return until 2021.
12	JUDGE LAM: This is Judge Lam speaking. I don't
L3	have any further questions. Thank you.
L4	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Judge Le, do you have any
L5	questions?
L6	JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. No questions, thank
L7	you.
L8	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Appellant, you may proceed
L9	with your rebuttal and closing remarks. You have five
20	minutes.
21	MR. DEMERATH: Thank you, your Honor.
22	As you know, California's Revenue and Taxation
23	Code section 19087(a) grants the FTB the power to estimate
24	a taxpayer's net income from any available information in

order to assess the amount of tax that is due when a tax

25

payer fails to file a return. On the topic of what qualifies as available information, the Technical Memorandum 2007-01 states: This information includes records from the Employment Development Department, the Internal Revenue Service, and various other reliable sources.

Under 19087(a) then, the Respondent has a duty to accurately estimate a tax payer's income and the tax due from available information, including records from the sources listed above, not only from information made available by the taxpayer.

THE INTERPRETER: And may Interpreter ask you to please break it down and pause?

MR. DEMERATH: Yeah.

2.4

So then under 19087(a), the Respondent has a duty to accurately estimate a tax payer's income and tax due from available information, including records from the sources listed above, not only from information made available by the taxpayer.

THE INTERPRETER: And may interpreter ask you to please break it down and pause?

In their failure to consider the IRS and internal FTB data available, the Respondent clearly failed to accurately assess the amount due and in doing so made a mistake resulting in amounts not owed by law collected.

1	Had Respondent only had access to the partial 1099 form
2	and nothing else, perhaps we could find the decision
3	reasonable; but given the breadth of available
4	information, it is clear the FTB did not perform an
5	accurate assessment.
6	THE INTERPRETER: Repetition of the very last
7	part.
8	MR. DEMERATH: However, given the breadth of
9	available information, it is clear the FTB did not perform
10	an accurate assessment.
11	Respondent's garnishment due to an assessment
12	based on an incomplete interpretation of the available
13	information renders the assessment invalid. This resulted
14	in an over-collection and we respectfully request that
15	this appeal be granted to allow Ms. Hernandez to fully
16	claim her unrefunded \$12,282.22.
17	Thank you.
18	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Thank you for your closing.
19	Do the co-panelists have any final questions?
20	Judge Le?
21	JUDGE LE: This is Judge Le. No questions.
22	Thank you.
23	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Judge Lam.
24	JUDGE LAM: This is Judge Lam speaking.
25	Question for Appellant. Are you aware that

1	Appellant filed any type of FTB form such as a 590				
2	withholding exemption certificates that would alert that				
3	the property sold is a principal residence?				
4	This is Judge Lam speaking. Maybe like a 593.				
5	Sorry.				
6	THE WITNESS: No, I didn't present anything.				
7	Mr. Jose was the one that prepared everything for				
8	me. I would go to him with every letter that I received.				
9	MR. DEMERATH: I'm not sure of the exact form. I				
10	would have to look through her tax returns again, but it				
11	does seem clear that once they spoke with Mr. Ocasio, her				
12	tax preparer, they immediately withdrew the withholding				
13	orders for her wages even before they even received the				
14	tax return to examine.				
15	I can check and get back to you on that, though.				
16	JUDGE LAM: This is judge Lam speaking. Thank				
17	you.				
18	Can we take a five-minute recess?				
19	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Yes, we can do that. Let's				
20	take a five-minute recess. Everyone please go off camera				
21	and mute your microphones.				
22	(Break taken.)				
23	JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Appellant, we are going to ask				
24	that you produce the 593 form if one was provided to FTB,				
25	within the time prescribed by the form, in addition to a				

1 proof of mailing if you have one. 2 We will leave the record open to give you time to 3 do that, and we will submit -- I'm sorry. We will issue a 4 post-hearing minutes and orders. I'm sorry, Ms. Gonzales-Cardenas, that was quite 5 6 long. 7 THE INTERPRETER: I've got it. JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Just to confirm, Judge Lam, 8 9 did you have any other final questions? 10 JUDGE LAM: This is Judge Lam speaking. No more 11 questions for me. Thank you. 12 JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Judge Le, do you have any 13 final questions? 14 JUDGE LE: No. Thank you. 15 JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Thank you. This will conclude the hearing. I want to thank the parties for their 16 17 presentations. 18 The judges will meet and decide the case based on 19 the evidence and testimony presented, but as I stated 20 earlier, we will leave the record open to allow for 21 post-hearing submissions. The post-hearing minutes and 22 orders will provide a specific deadline for the 23 submissions, but will not be less than 30 days from today. 2.4 Are there any final questions from the parties?

MR. TUTTLE: None from Franchise Tax Board.

25

```
1
                             None from the Appellant.
              MR. DEMERATH:
 2
              JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Okay. OTA will take a brief
 3
     recess before for the next hearing, which is scheduled to
 4
     begin at approximately 1:30 p.m.
 5
              (The court reporter asked for spellings.)
 6
              THE COURT REPORTER:
                                    Thank you.
7
              JUDGE KATAGIHARA: Thank you very much. You may
8
     now exit the meeting.
9
              (Whereupon, the proceedings concluded at 10:51
10
         a.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE				
2					
3	I, Donna S. Badger Cramin, a Certified Shorthand				
4	Reporter for the State of California, do hereby certify:				
5	That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was				
6	taken before me at the time and place set forth; that the				
7	testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically				
8	by me and later transcribed by computer-aided				
9	transcription under my direction and supervision; that the				
10	foregoing is a true record of the testimony and				
11	proceedings taken at that time.				
12	I further certify that I am in no way interested				
13	in the outcome of said action.				
14	I have hereunto subscribed my name this 6th day				
15	of November, 2023.				
16	Dona a D. Badan Cramin				
17	Donna S. Badger Cramia, CSR No. 14530 Certified Shorthand Reporter				
18	For The State of California				
19					
20					
21					
22	(The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply				
23	to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the				
24	direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter)				
25	or one octorrying reported,				

Index: \$12,282.22..assessment

\$			11:11 12:2 15:8,
<u> </u>	2006 6:22 9:16,21 10:5,8,11 11:1,2, 17 12:10,11 13:16 14:3,14 16:7,8,10, 13,19 17:5 18:9	9th 12:10 14:18	10 21:8 22:21
\$12,282.22 8:19 11:22 14:24 21:10			26:25 27:24
28:16			amounts 11:20 15:13,25 23:24
\$131,250 16:9	22:3,5,19 23:8	a.m. 5:2,18 31:10	24:2 27:25
\$15,182.16 12:6	2007 23:9	accepted 13:23	Angeles 19:3
16:12 20:25	2007-01 15:6 17:3	access 8:24 16:21 28:1	annual 18:5
\$2,417.25 11:12	23:22 27:3 2009 12:21 17:20	accessed 17:6	apologies 22:16
\$2,899.94 14:22	2009 12:21 17:20 22:11,14	20:18	24:14,21 appeal 5:10,15,16
\$2,900 22:21	2011 13:19 18:9	accessible 17:19	28:15
\$250,00 14:8	2014 11:16 16:11	accurate 15:14	Appellant 5:23
\$9,669 11:11	2021 11:15 13:25	16:1 21:4 23:25 28:5,10	6:24 7:14 8:4 22:4,7,9,19 26:18
1	16:12 22:20 23:11 26:11	accurately 12:1	28:25 29:1,23
	2023 5:1,18	20:20,23 27:8,16, 24	31:1
1 6:24 7:4	20th 5:18	action 11:15	Appellant's 6:22 7:4 8:14 22:2
10 8:5	210888374 5:17	22:11,17	23:8,10,14,16,20
1099 28:1		addition 23:12	24:25 25:4,8
1099-S 11:6,9 12:15 16:15 17:17	3	29:25	applicable 20:5 21:6 24:6
19:12,13,15,17	Additionally 17:12 20:7	Additionally 17:12 20:7	Applicant 12:11
20:1,7 21:3 25:23		address 10:15	application 14:23
10:51 31:9	4	16:15,22 17:4 18:7,10,15,18 19:16,19	applied 18:15
11 14:4	4 6:04 7:4 04:5		applies 16:6 17:7
12th 14:20 22:10, 13,20	4 6:24 7:4 24:5	adjustment	apply 20:3
15 8:4	5	17:16	approximately
16th 23:9		Administrative	31:4
19087(a) 26:23	590 29:1	5:5 6:15 7:5,8,24	April 23:9
27:7,15	592 26:4,6	admitted 7:2	Arthur 5:24
1:30 31:4	593 29:4,24	Advice 15:5	assess 12:1 17:6
1st 14:3,19	6	affairs 13:21	20:20,24 26:25 27:24
2	63 9:12	age 9:11 agency 13:4	assessed 8:22
	9.12	agree 8:14	11:8
2 18:3	9	agreement 5:19	assessing 16:13
20 5:1 2001 9:16,21 10:11 11:1 12:10	9:50 5:2	alert 16:21 29:2	assessment 11:11 12:4,21 15:9,14,16 16:1,3,

19:1,11,12 21:3 California 10:7 company 12:15 **current** 19:16 12:8,9 18:2 22:6,10 23:25 19:14 25:10 24:2,3,7,10,15 California's compared 19:20 25:13 28:5,10,11, D 26:22 13 complete 21:4 call 7:11 Assessor's 19:3 compliance data 19:12 27:23 called 7:20,23 12:19 assets 18:22 database 20:19 15:4 comply 13:21 Avenue 9:16 12:9 date 22:18 23:1.2. camera 29:20 computation 6,11,13,16,19 avoided 13:5 capital 8:22 11:3, 19:1 days 14:5 30:23 aware 11:5 14:15 4,9 18:22 conclude 30:15 16:15 20:15 28:25 deadline 30:22 capture 20:3 21:3 concluded 31:9 decade 12:12 **CARDENAS** 5:4 В concludes 25:2 14:5 case 5:17 6:15,19 conclusion 20:1 **decide** 30:18 22:2 23:8 24:6 back 29:15 26:10 30:18 conclusive 18:19 deciding 6:18 balance 14:14 caused 12:5 conference 6:20 decision 28:2 barred 6:23 15:3, certificates 29:2 confirm 7:10 30:8 13 21:8 22:3 deed 18:17 23:20,24 certified 6:9 confirmed 6:20 delinquent 11:12 based 11:4,8 12:2 **check** 29:15 considered Demerath 5:24 13:24 14:23,24 13:25 17:23 19:4 8:6,9,16,17 9:7, claim 6:22 22:3, 15:13,14 16:1,3, 20:11 19,22 10:1,24 23,25 23:14,16,20 14,19,23 17:11,16 13:6,7,13,14 25:1 28:16 constitutes 19:8.12 20:21.24 21:18 26:21 27:14 17:22 21:2 22:7 23:25 clarification 9:23 28:8 29:9 31:1 24:6,10,15 28:12 contest 22:9 30:18 clear 28:4,9 29:11 **denial** 24:25 continue 13:12 closing 25:4 basic 15:11 23:22 **Department** 26:19 28:18 control 11:7 15:20 16:4 27:4 basis 11:15 12:13 co-panelists conversation details 9:2 begin 5:21,23 25:5 28:19 14:2 8:13.16 21:23 detected 12:19 Code 26:23 31:4 copy 26:8 detecting 17:10 collected 14:16 Board 6:7 22:1 correct 7:12 9:22 **Development** 15:13,23,25 16:12 16:24 24:7,10,16 25:17 26:2 30:25 15:19 16:4 27:4 20:25 23:24 27:25 **box** 19:23 correctly 17:10 difficulties 11:13 collection 15:3. breadth 28:3,8 **County** 19:3 12,23 22:11,17 discussed 15:5 24:2 break 27:13,21 court 31:5,6 16:14.18 20:17 29:22 collects 15:7 creating 21:7 display 19:19 brokerage 19:14 combined 18:10 credit 23:15 displays 18:17 **common** 20:12 crediting 22:24 disposal 20:20 C communication credits 22:22 dispute 15:22 14:18 25:7,10,11 calculation 16:25 culminated 11:10

found 13:16 19:25 distinguishing estimate 26:23 failed 12:1 22:4,9 15:12 23:23 27:8,16 27:23 Fourth 19:3 evaluate 11:7 failing 18:24 **due** 11:13,18 12:2, Franchise 6:7 16 14:21 15:8 20:23 **event** 11:8 22:1 25:17 26:2 16:7 18:15 23:1,9, fails 27:1 30:25 11 26:25 27:8,16, eventually 13:15 24 28:11 failure 12:17 **Friday** 5:1,17 14:22 17:24 20:3 24:17, duly 5:5 7:23 **evidence** 7:3,5,8 friends 12:24 22 27:22 18:25 19:25 30:19 duration 10:3 **FTB** 6:5 8:21 11:5, **fairly** 8:10 14:21 evidenced 14:16 8,14,15,17,19 **family** 12:24 13:1,20 14:2,15, duty 27:7,15 exact 24:13 29:9 20,22 15:5,7,15 February 10:5 examination 9:6 16:2,12,15,21,24 12:10 14:3,18,19, Ε 17:6,10,12,15,19 18:9 20 22:20 18:2,6,11,16,18, examine 29:14 federal 17:14,17 20,25 19:8,11,15 earlier 30:20 20:4,7,14,17,23 examined 7:24 field 19:24 **Eddy** 6:16 21:2,5 22:11,17, excellent 18:4 21 23:22 24:1,6, file 13:18 17:5 **effect** 20:14 10,15,19,24 25:24 18:7,19,22 19:20 excludable 11:10 elderly 12:7,23 26:23 27:23 28:4, 22:4 24:17,23 exclude 14:7 9 29:1,24 27:1 electronically excluded 16:18. 5:19 **FTB's** 12:18 19:17 filed 7:1 13:16 24 17:14 22:19 23:1 **Employment** full 12:6 26:8 29:1 excluding 20:10 15:19 16:4 27:4 fully 18:19 28:15 filer 14:8 exclusion 11:4, enforcement **funds** 15:22 19 14:6.13 16:6. 15:7 22:6 filing 11:12 18:5 20,22 17:7 18:14, 22:5 23:13 English 5:6,7 24 19:8,22,23 G 6:10,11 12:25 filled 19:24 20:2,6,12,13,15 21:7 25:9 entitlements final 22:10 28:19 gain 11:3,4,9 14:16 **exempt** 20:10 30:9,13,24 gains 8:22 14:7 entitling 12:5 exemption 19:6 finally 14:4 21:2 garnished 8:20 29:2 erred 18:25 find 28:2 11:16,20 Exhibit 18:3 **erring** 16:12 **fine** 5:13 garnishing 16:11 19:17 erroneous 11:10 five-minute garnishment **exhibits** 6:24,25 29:18,20 11:23 13:23 14:2 erroneously 8:22 7:2,4,7 15:8,23 28:11 **flawed** 19:18 error 15:9 17:24 exists 15:2 gathered 19:13 20:25 flipped 13:11 exit 31:8 give 17:18 25:5 **escrow** 12:14 follow-up 12:21 extensively 15:5 30:2 estate 12:15 22:8 form 11:6,9 12:16 gleaned 20:1 26:7.10 16:4,16 19:15 F 21:3 26:2,4,6 28:1 Estela 5:15 7:22 **Gomez** 5:16 6:1,3 29:1,9,24,25 7:16,18,19,22 9:10 fact 18:2 20:3,9 8:14 **formed** 12:12

Gonzales**home** 10:5 12:8 27:2,3,9,10,17,18 J cardenas 5:11 14:9 18:15,23 28:4,9,13 8:7 10:23 30:5 informing 19:8 homeowner 25:8 Jose 12:17 29:7 Gonzalez 9:4 homeowner's instance 11:23 judge 5:6,9,14 Gonzalez-19:5 integrated 12:18 6:1,4,8,14,15 7:6, cardenas 6:9,12 Honor 8:9 26:21 9,10,14,17,24 8:3, intend 7:11,15 good 8:18 21:24 12 13:6,8,12 Honors 8:18 interest 11:12 21:12,15,16,18,22 granted 28:15 house 10:2 25:3,14,15,17,22 internal 15:20 grants 26:23 26:1,5,12,14,16, 16:4 19:17 20:19 18 28:18,20,21, ı 27:5,22 gross 14:7 16:9 23,24 29:4,16,19, interpret 5:12 Guadalupe-23 30:8,10,12,14, identify 5:22 26:9 15 31:2,7 **ocasio** 13:18 interpretation ignorance 21:6 14:1,19 24:13 28:12 judges 6:16 30:18 immediately interpreted 8:15 **July** 12:22 Н 29:12 interpreter 5:5,13 improper 13:23 6:9 9:17,21,23 Κ **half** 12:12 22:12,15 23:4,17 inaccuracy 15:9 hand 7:20 24:8,12,20 27:12, Katagihara 5:9, includes 15:19 20 28:6 30:7 14 6:1.4.8.14 handle 13:3 27:3 7:10,14,17 8:3,12 interpreting 6:10 hear 13:9 including 18:7 13:6,8,12 21:12, 8:8 27:9,17 18.22 25:3.14 heard 10:24 interrupt 13:8 26:14,18 28:18,23 **income** 10:17 hearing 5:19 29:19,23 30:8,12, introduce 6:2 13:24 14:7,24 6:10.18 30:16 15 31:2,7 16:17,18,19 17:1 31:3 invalid 28:13 kind 20:10 19:23 20:11 22:7 heavily 12:24 investment 18:12 26:24 27:8,16 kinds 10:19 26:6 Hernandez 5:16, investments incomplete 28:12 25 6:1,3 7:16,18, 10:19 independently L 19,22 8:14,21 9:3, **IRS** 11:5 12:16 18:20 8,10,13 10:22,25 17:2,3,13,14,15 labeled 19:23 12:5,7,19,23 indicating 11:17 18:19 19:13 20:18 13:15,20 14:10,12 17:17 Lam 6:16 21:16 27:22 16:13,16 17:8,14, 25:15,17,22 26:1, individual 18:11, 22 18:1,4,8,21 **IRS's** 17:21 5,12 28:23,24 12 19:21 28:15 29:4,16 30:8,10 issue 6:21 15:22, **inform** 18:11 Hernandez's 25 19:6 22:2 language 11:13 8:20,22 11:13 23:15 25:8 30:3 information 8:25 12:16 16:7,11 languages 9:13 11:7 12:2,3 15:15, issued 12:20,22 17:1,5,16 19:7 18 16:2,14,20,23 late 14:5 17:20 22:6,21 20:21 21:1,5 17:3,4,11,13,19, **issuing** 23:21 Lauren 6:14 21,22 18:7,10 **history** 18:4,11, 19:5,9,11,18,20 21 **law** 5:6 6:15 7:5,8, 20:4,7,16,18,22, 24 15:1,8 22:24 holding 5:18 24 21:2 24:1,7,11, 27:25 16 25:12,23 26:24

20:19

Le 6:16 21:15 **members** 21:13 notify 8:6 owned 12:8 14:8, 26:14,16 28:20,21 10 memorandum 30:12,14 0 15:6,11,18 17:3 owning 16:7 lead 6:14 27:3 objection 7:1 Ρ learned 14:3 mention 20:19 17:13 objections 6:17 met 15:24 P-A-C-O-I-M-A leave 30:2,20 **Ocasio** 29:11 microphones 9:20 left 13:4 29:21 **occurs** 15:6 p.m. 31:4 **legal** 13:3 14:16 **Miquel** 13:17 **October** 5:1,18 Pacoima 9:16,19, Mike 6:16 legally 14:13 20 12:9 offers 20:14 letter 29:8 **minutes** 8:4.5 office 5:16 19:3,5 paid 13:2 16:17 21:23 26:20 30:4, 18:1 liabilities 17:19 ongoing 14:21 panel 6:18 21:13, liability 11:18 open 30:2,20 miscalculation 20 15:10 16:10,13 21:5 opening 5:10,15 17:10,16,24 20:21 paragraph 18:3 8:4 21:6 22:10 **missing** 13:18 part 17:4,21 20:4 opportunity 25:5 licensed 12:16 mistake 12:4 21:4 21:4 22:16 23:4, 24:18,24 27:25 18 28:7 order 14:2,17 limit 14:7 20:20 26:25 modification partial 11:8 28:1 limitations 6:23 14:1 orders 29:13 11:21,25 14:23 parties 5:20,21 30:4.22 15:2,4 21:9 22:4 morning 8:18 6:17 7:2 25:16 21:24 30:16,24 Orellana's 12:17 listed 17:2 27:10, move 25:3 18 original 25:12 past 18:23 26:11 lived 10:2,25 17:8 multiple 16:20 pause 27:13,21 **OTA** 5:17 31:2 located 25:20 mute 29:21 payer 14:7 27:1 outstanding long 30:6 payer's 27:8,16 14:13 Ν Los 19:3 payment 15:13 over-collected payments 23:13, **names** 5:22 21:8 M 15 necessarily 26:8 over-collection penalties 20:24 8:20 11:25 12:5 made 15:16 16:3, net 16:19 26:24 15:6 17:25 19:2 penalty 11:12 24 23:15 24:2 non-english 21:7 23:23 24:3 27:10,18,24 perform 17:15 12:7,24 28:14 mailing 30:1 28:4,9 over-collections non-filer 12:18 making 12:4 15:4 perspective 13:2 notably 20:2 MARIA 5:4 **piece** 18:25 overpayment **notes** 18:3 11:24 22:22,25 mechanisms **pieces** 19:10 notice 12:21 23:2,6,14,24 15:7 17:20 22:6 24:18,23 Pinnacle 12:15 meet 30:18 notices 11:14 owed 27:25 plethora 8:24

meeting 31:8

refunds 15:2 portion 5:11 produce 29:24 16 28:19,21 30:9, 11,13,24 relates 22:22 **possess** 12:25 professional **quick** 8:10 12:17 13:2,17 23:15 possessing 8:24 program 12:19 quote 18:4 24:5 relevant 12:3 post-hearing prohibits 22:24 reliable 15:21 30:4,21 R 16:5 19:4 27:5 power 26:23 **prompt** 14:17 relying 11:20 pre-hearing 6:20 prong 15:24 **raise** 7:19 12:24 precluding 11:21 **proof** 30:1 ready 8:24 remaining 11:21 15:24 21:9 23:14 prepared 29:7 proper 17:10 25:1 real 22:8 26:7,9 properly 13:16 remarks 25:4 preparer 10:11 realm 18:24 26:19 13:17 29:12 24:6,10,15 reason 20:14 Remote 5:1 prescribed 29:25 properties 10:18 25:18 12:15 18:13 renders 28:13 presence 16:21 reasonable 28:3 19:21 property 11:1,2 **rental** 10:18 reasoning 19:25 16:7,8 19:6,7 present 29:6 renting 10:18 20:8,11 25:20 reasons 11:14 presentation 8:5. 29:3 20:12,17 repetition 22:13, 11,15 21:19,21,23 **proposed** 6:24,25 15 23:4,17 24:8, rebuttal 25:4 25:2 12:21 17:20 22:6, 12,20 28:6 26:19 presentations report 10:17 **receive** 18:16 30:17 provide 30:22 23:10 26:8 reporter 31:5,6 presented 30:19 provided 29:24 received 7:5,8 represent 6:7 primary 25:11,24 11:17 13:19 14:3, providing 24:13 representative 26:3 4 19:15 22:7 pursuant 24:2 5:25 23:13 25:24 29:8, **principal** 8:23 9:1 13 10:1 11:1,4,19 pursued 22:11,17 representing 21:25 14:6,9,11 16:6,19, recess 29:18,20 **puts** 18:23 22,25 17:4,7 31:3 represents 20:3 18:14,24 19:7,21 recognized 14:25 20:2,5,13 25:8,19 Q request 12:20 29:3 18:3 28:14 record 5:9,10,15, qualified 11:3 22 6:13 7:2 8:13 **prior** 6:12 14:19 requested 13:22 9:9 11:16 30:2,20 14:12 25:9 17:5 18:9,10,16 requests 22:15 qualifies 15:17 **records** 15:19 problem 18:2 required 17:9 16:3 19:5 27:4,9, 27:2 proceed 26:18 17 reserve 21:20 qualify 19:4 proceedings 5:1, refund 6:22 13:16 reside 9:15 qualifying 11:18 7 13:4 14:22 31:9 21:8 22:3,21,23, resided 12:8 25 23:14,16,20,21 question 9:8 proceeds 16:9 25:1 15:24 21:15 25:5, residence 8:23 processed 14:20 17 26:1 28:25 refunded 11:19 9:1 10:2,15,25 processing 23:12 11:1,4,19 12:9,11, questions 9:3 22:20 20 14:6,9,11,12 10:22 21:13,17,20 refunding 22:25 16:6,19,22,25 25:6,15 26:13,15,

Index: resident..trading

17:7,8,18 18:8,14, speaking 12:7 tax 5:16 6:7,22 S 21:16 26:12 28:24 22,24 19:8,16,17, 8:22 10:10 11:11, 29:4,16 30:10 22 20:2,6,13 25:8, 17 12:2,16,17,20 11,19,21,25 26:3 13:16,17 14:6,14 sale 8:23 11:5 specific 30:22 29:3 16:10,13,17 17:5, 16:7,15 17:18 spellings 31:5 10,14,16,18,23 18:17 20:9,11 resident 12:8 18:3,5,11,16 20:8, 22:8 25:19 **spoke** 29:11 residing 16:8 9,21 21:6 22:1,3, scheduled 31:3 start 8:7 5,19,20 23:8,10 respectfully 24:18,23 25:17 section 26:23 state 8:19 9:9 28:14 26:2,8,11,25 27:8, 12:18 13:4 seek 14:1 respond 25:23 16 29:10,12,14 **stated** 30:19 30:25 seeking 13:1 Respondent 6:4, taxable 11:8 7,25 7:10,13 12:1 **states** 15:11,18 **sell** 10:5 21:22 22:1,24 13:25 27:3 **seller's** 19:16 23:9,12,20 25:7 Taxation 26:22 stating 5:22 27:7,15,23 28:1 selling 18:23 taxes 10:11,14 **statue** 11:24 Respondent's September 13:3 18:1 7:7 17:24 21:21 **status** 20:10 22:10,13 22:5 24:25 28:11 taxpayer 14:8 statute 6:23 11:21 Service 15:20 25:21 26:9 27:11, Respondents 14:23 15:1,3 21:9 16:5 27:5 19:12 22:4 **set** 11:16 taxpayer's 15:10 result 12:14 14:15 straight 11:16 24:17,22 26:24 sharing 11:5 15:9 18:6 24:3 streets 9:18 technical 15:5.11 **show** 12:1 resulted 17:9,25 17:3 27:2 student 5:24 21:5 24:18,23 showing 8:25 28:13 ten 21:22 submissions single 14:8 30:21,23 testified 7:24 resulting 14:13 situation 24:4 16:16 19:1 20:4 18:8 **submit** 12:17 30:3 27:25 **small** 11:2 testify 7:15 submitted 6:17 return 11:17 12:6, 12:15 19:13 **sold** 11:2 12:11,19 testimony 8:5,10 18,20 17:14 18:3 18:18 19:16.19 10:25 30:19 Subsequently 22:5,19,21 23:1,8, 25:20 29:3 11:6 10 24:18,23 26:9, time 5:18 8:25 sophistication 11 27:1 29:14 10:3 12:23 14:12 susceptible 12:25 15:15 16:2 24:1 11:24 returned 8:21 25:12 29:25 30:2 **sought** 13:25 14:22 21:10 sustained 25:1 timely 24:17,23 source 17:2 19:4 **returns** 10:15 swear 7:17 today 5:17 6:15, 13:18,19 14:4,19 sources 15:21 **sworn** 5:5 6:12 17:6,17 18:5,8,10, 21 12:13 30:23 16:5,20,23 20:20 7:23 16,23 29:10 27:6,10,18 **today's** 6:18 Revenue 15:20 Spanish 5:5,6 Topher 6:6 21:25 Т 16:5 26:22 27:5 6:10,11 9:14 topic 15:17 27:1 rise 17:18 **speak** 9:13 **TAB** 5:24 total 14:24 rule 15:11 23:22 **TAM** 23:22 speaker 12:24 trading 18:21

transaction wage 15:23 11:18 12:12,14 wages 8:20 10:17 26:10 11:15 13:24 15:8 transactions 16:11 21:1 29:13 26:7 wealth 9:18 transcription Welk 9:15,19 11:9 10:15 12:9,11 translate 5:6 14:11 16:15,22 17:8,18 18:7,22 transmitted 14:4 Welk's 10:25 Turning 23:22 18:17 **Tuttle** 6:6 7:12 whichever 23:2,7 13:9,11 21:24,25 withdrawal 14:17 22:14,16,17 23:6, 19 24:9,15,21,22 withdrew 29:12 25:10,22 26:5 30:25 withholding 14:17 20:8,9 29:2, type 15:2 29:1 witnesses 7:11, U 13 word 19:24 unaware 20:5 words 16:3 understand 11:14 13:1,15 **work** 10:7,10 undisputed 11:3 working 10:12 unit 22:6 wrong 13:5 unknown 13:4 Υ **unlike** 17:15 unrefunded 8:19 year 6:22 10:7 28:16 11:20 12:22 14:14 utilities 10:15 16:10,14 22:3,5,7, 13,20,22 23:2,5, utilize 12:3 10,13,16,19 utilized 15:12 year's 18:16 23:23 years 9:12 12:10 13:25 14:10 17:9 ٧ 18:1,9 23:1,11 valid 24:3 view 20:16 W

W-E-L-K 9:19