BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF:) OTA NO. 220510435)
RVS 4 LESS,)
Appellant.)

CERTIFIED COPY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

FRESNO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2023

Reported by:

Cynthia P. Hernandez CSR No. 13521

Job No.: 44696 OTA(B)

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE) OTA NO. 220510435 APPEAL OF:)
6)
7	RVS 4 LESS,)
8	Appellant.))
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16	taken at 855 M Street, Suite 960, Fresno,
17	California, commencing at 1:00 p.m.
18	on Wednesday, October 18, 2023, reported
19	by Cynthia Hernandez, CSR No. 13521, a
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for
21	the State of California.
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	MICHAEL GEARY
4		
5	Panel:	JOSHUA ALDRICH TERESA STANLEY
6		
7	For the Appellant:	JOSEPH DOERR, Attorney
8		
9	For the Respondent:	DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION
11		AMANDA JACOBS, Attorney
12		CHAD BACCHUS, Attorney
13		JASON PARKER, Hearing Representative
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX
2	
3	EXHIBITS
4	
5	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-5 were received in evidence at page 9)
6	
7	(Respondent's Exhibits A-C were received in evidence at page 9)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	PRESENTATION
13	PAGE
14	BY MR. DOERR 13, 25
15	BY MS. JACOBS 20, 31, 44
16	BY MR. BACCHUS 31
17	
18	
19	
20	PRESENTATION
21	PAGE
22	BY MS. JACOBS 50
23	
24	
25	

1	FRESNO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2023
2	1:00 P.M.
3	
4	JUDGE GEARY: Welcome to the Office of Tax Appeals.
5	We're here for the hearing in the appeal of RVs 4 Less,
6	Inc., which is Office of Tax Appeals case number
7	220510435. Today is October 18, 2023, and the time is
8	1:01 p.m.
9	Let's begin with the parties identifying
10	themselves by please stating their names and who they
11	represent. Let's begin with the appellants.
12	MR. DOERR: Joseph Doerr for appellant, RVs 4 Less,
13	Inc.
14	JUDGE GEARY: Mr. Doerr, can I ask you is your mic on?
15	MR. DOERR: Oh, sorry.
16	JUDGE GEARY: Press the button and then pull it much
17	closer to you.
18	MR. DOERR: I talk kind of loud, so I figured
19	JUDGE GEARY: Well, you'd be surprised. You can see
20	how close I am and I haven't had anybody let me know
21	that I'm talking too loud, so keep it nice and close.
22	Thank you.
23	And there's somebody at the table with you. Are
24	you going to
25	MR. SMITH: Yes. My name is Douglas Smith, CPA. I am

1 a representative of the appellant, RVs 4 Less. 2 JUDGE GEARY: And let me ask you, Mr. Smith, will you 3 be providing testimony today? MR. SMITH: That is correct. 4 5 JUDGE GEARY: Will you also be providing argument 6 today? 7 MR. SMITH: No. 8 JUDGE GEARY: Just testimony? 9 MR. SMITH: That is correct. 10 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. You'll need to share your 11 microphone when you are testifying. 12 MR. DOERR: And we'll have our other witness step up. 13 JUDGE GEARY: I understand that. We'll talk about that in a sec. 14 15 And the respondent, please identify the 16 representatives. MS. JACOBS: Amanda Jacobs, attorney for the 17 18 California Department of Tax and Fee Administration. 19 MR. BACCHUS: Chad Bacchus, attorney also for the 20 department. 21 MR. PARKER: Jason Parker, Chief of Headquarters 22 Operations Bureau. 23 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. Welcome, everybody. 2.4 It's been agreed by the parties that the sole

issue to be decided by the panel is whether respondent

1 correctly imposed the negligence penalty. Is that 2. correct? 3 MR. DOERR: Correct. 4 JUDGE GEARY: Respondent, correct? 5 MS. JACOBS: Correct. 6 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 7 It's my understanding that appellants will be calling two witnesses to testify today: Daniel Saenz, 8 who was at the time, I believe, office staff during the 9 10 period in question. And then Mr. Smith, who is 11 appellant's accountant. 12 Is that correct, appellant? 13 MR. DOERR: Correct. 14 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 15 Appellant at one time estimated the time required for the presentation of testimony at 40 minutes, but 16 there were three witnesses anticipated at that time. 17 18 How much time are you currently estimating for 19 your two witnesses? 20 MR. DOERR: 20 minutes. Maybe less. I mean, it's 21 hard to say. That's all right. I am less concerned 22 JUDGE GEARY: 2.3 about overestimates than underestimates. 2.4 And will respondent be calling any witnesses?

25

MS. JACOBS:

No.

JUDGE GEARY: The exhibits have been marked for identification in this appeal. They consist of appellant's exhibits that have been marked 1 through 5 for identification. And respondent's exhibits that have been marked A through C for identification.

2.3

2.4

The parties provided copies of these exhibits to each other and to OTA. And OTA incorporated all proposed exhibits into an electronic binder, which should be in the possession of the parties or the representatives and also of the panel.

Has appellant confirmed that appellant's exhibits incorporated into the binder are complete and as legible as the ones that appellant's submitted?

MR. DOERR: Just checking legibility of that Exhibit 5, but I think they look pretty much the same.

JUDGE GEARY: So you have a hard copy of it?

MR. DOERR: I have a hard copy of it, yes.

JUDGE GEARY: If you wish to display for the panel the best copy of that exhibit you have during the proceedings, you can do that, if you think that would be helpful. We'll get to that point. At whatever point you choose to refer to it, you have the option of doing that.

MR. DOERR: I think this one is better.

JUDGE GEARY: I actually thought the one originally

1 submitted was better than the second one. 2 MR. DOERR: I have the original one here, so I'll 3 bring it up for you. 4 JUDGE GEARY: We actually have that. That's what they 5 put in the binder. Has respondent also reviewed the exhibits in the 6 7 binder and confirmed that they are complete and as legible as the one that respondent submitted? 8 9 Correct, yes. We have. MS. JACOBS: Sorry. 10 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you. 11 Does respondent have any objection to the admission of Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 5? 12 13 MS. JACOBS: No. 14 JUDGE GEARY: And does appellant have any objection to 15 the admission of Respondent's Exhibits A through C? 16 MR. DOERR: No. JUDGE GEARY: All of those exhibits, Appellant's 1 17 18 through 5 and Respondent's A through C, are admitted. 19 (Appellant's Exhibits 1-5 and Respondent's 20 Exhibits A-C were admitted.) 21 JUDGE GEARY: Just a little bit about logistics: 22 discussed this in our prehearing conference, although 23 the times have been adjusted slightly down because we 2.4 have fewer witnesses. But the way we will proceed is I 25 will administer an oath or affirmation to both your

witnesses at the outset.

You will have, if you choose it, about five minutes to give a very brief opening statement if you want it. No argument. Just to give us an outline of what the witnesses' testimony will be. Since the witnesses are going to testify immediately thereafter and since you don't expect to take very long, you may want to waive that five minutes. It's up to you.

We will follow that with your direct examination of your witnesses; one after the other. Whatever order you choose. After your direct examination, I will offer respondent an opportunity to ask questions and my fellow co-panelists will be offered an opportunity to ask questions.

When the questioning of that witness is completed, we'll move to your second witness. Same process for that witness. And when that witness is done, you will have your opportunity to give your main argument.

And I believe we are estimating about 15 minutes for your main argument?

MR. DOERR: Maybe closer to five.

JUDGE GEARY: I like that. Thank you. All right.

23 Good.

24 MR. DOERR: Keep it simple.

JUDGE GEARY: That's right.

And then, after you give your main argument, respondent will give its only argument, which it was at one time estimated about 15 minutes.

Is that still fairly accurate?

MS. JACOBS: That's correct.

2.4

JUDGE GEARY: And when respondent is done, we may have questions of a legal nature for respondent's representatives, just like we could also ask you questions of a legal nature; not factual. And when -- whatever questioning that occurs -- and it doesn't happen all the time -- we'll turn to you and you can give your concluding remarks. And you can have about five minutes, if you want it at that point. And that will conclude the hearing.

Assuming that there's no surprises during the hearing, that the parties are satisfied with the evidence that came in in writing and the testimony, we will -- OTA will close the record and that will conclude today's proceedings.

Any questions from appellant?

MR. DOERR: Just to clarify, we're going -- we're doing witnesses first? We don't do an opening?

JUDGE GEARY: You can do an opening. You can take five minutes. Respondent is not offering -- I'm an old litigator. Both the old and the litigator being

accurate. And, typically, if a party calls a witness, I allow them to give a very brief opening to -- just to summarize what they expect the testimony will be.

But don't forget we're not having 15 witnesses. You are going to have your witnesses right after your opening. You can waive it. I don't think we on the panel need it, but if you want to give it, you are free to do that.

MR. DOERR: Okay.

2.4

JUDGE GEARY: All right. Any questions, respondent?

MS. JACOBS: No questions. Thank you.

JUDGE GEARY: Okay. Thank you.

Then let's begin with the administration of the oath to your witnesses. And Mr. Smith is at the table and your witness is right behind him, I'm assuming. Is that correct?

Would you both -- you don't have to stand up.

Would you both raise your right hand, though? And do
you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you are
going to give in this matter will be the truth, the
whole truth and nothing but the truth?

First you, Mr. Smith.

MR. SMITH: Yes.

JUDGE GEARY: And?

MS. KUEHNE-SULLINS: Yes.

1	JUDGE GEARY: Thank you.
2	And your name? Would you state your name, too?
3	MS. KUEHNE-SULLINS: Yes. It's Danielle
4	Kuehne-Sullins.
5	JUDGE GEARY: Thank you.
6	All right. It is now 1:08. And, Mr. Doerr, you
7	can begin do you want to give an opening?
8	MR. DOERR: Just briefly, that our first witness,
9	Mr. Douglas Smith, will be testifying as to the
10	complexity of the appellant's state sorry, sales tax
11	returns.
12	JUDGE GEARY: Okay. And that's all you need for an
13	opening.
14	And will you question him first?
15	MR. DOERR: Yes, I will.
16	JUDGE GEARY: You may begin when you are ready.
17	Remember that would you is it possible for
18	you to sit next to each other? I think it will
19	facilitate the use of the microphone.
20	
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. DOERR:
23	Q. Mr. Smith, how long have you worked for the
24	appellant?
25	A. About 12 to 15 years. Something like that.

Q. What services do you normally provide for the appellant?

- A. Usually tax return preparation, planning, and sometimes sales tax-related issues.
- Q. Can you give a description of the taxpayer sales tax issues and the obligations for the returns that they are to file?
- A. Yes. They are very difficult. The -- anybody who gets involved with auto sales or RV sales -- it's really kind of a highly-specialized area; similar to construction accounting. It's not your normal every day debits and credits. Usually it takes several years to become good at it.

Some of the complexities include you have to determine taxability of smog fees. You have state, local taxes and different taxes depending where the person lives, what their tax jurisdiction is. You have state taxes. You have local issues that you have to determine whether the sale is taxable or not. That could be included in a foreign sale. You have out-of-state sales. You have wholesale sales. You have

JUDGE GEARY: Mr. Smith, slow it down a little bit, please.

THE WITNESS: Okay. And you have to account for

inventories. Inventories are a big deal, whether they're -- inventories are consignment or regular purchases.

You also get into issues for -- sales tax issues according to labor versus part sales. Was the majority or was it a sale to -- was it an issue that was mainly labor? Which would be more non-taxable. Or would it include parts? Whether you're manufacturing something or selling a part.

Furthermore, you get into bad debt sales, bad debt transactions, where you have to adjust your tax returns for bad debts.

You also get into the general accounting rules.

You have to have reconcile bank accounts. Usually, an entity this size, you have several bank accounts with a lot of activity going though.

You do the math. You have \$10 million in sales.

You do, say, \$35,000 per unit. You're looking at 2- to

300 units per year, which is significant.

JUDGE STANLEY: Mr. Smith, you are still talking very fast.

THE WITNESS: I'm so sorry. I'm so sorry.

JUDGE STANLEY: I think if you're reading something,
you might need to especially slow down.

THE WITNESS: I'm not really reading. I'm just --

JUDGE STANLEY: Just looking down at your notes?

THE WITNESS: I'm used to -- I go on vacation after this.

MR. DOERR: The tax deadline was just on the 16th.

2.4

THE WITNESS: October 16th was the worst tax day we've had in many years.

JUDGE GEARY: Actually, hadn't it been extended again?

(Unintelligible, simultaneous speaking.)

THE WITNESS: So you also get into foreign transactions, which are usually difficult. You have to keep track of foreign -- items that are financed, when they're sold. Foreign companies generally want to be paid immediately.

You get into other allocations of carrying charges, things like -- in other words, it can be a very difficult and time-consuming bit of transactions. It carries a lot of responsibility, because, even though 2-to 300 units doesn't sound like a huge number, it really is a large number, dealing with a lot of dollars. Any time you have a lot of dollars, it carries a lot of responsibility. So it's a very difficult area.

There's a comparison that the State Board usually does to DMV records. Well, the DMV records aren't always accurate. They don't always include wholesale sales, out-of-state sales or sales of truck and campers

that aren't DMV-registered. So you have to reconcile with the DMV records and whenever you're audited. And so there's a significant amount of work that needs to be done.

In short, the auto dealers -- auto or RV dealership business, vehicular transactions can be difficult. It's a specialized field. Many times you see people will stay in that field and become experts in it. And that's all -- the further they go. Same as construction. Same thing. It's a mirror example. People get very good at it. It's hard to find good people, as attorneys or accountants. In the past we've had difficulty finding good people. So what it is, it's a difficult area. It takes many several years to become good at it. And it's difficult to find people that are qualified.

BY MR. DOERR:

- Q. Mr. Smith, you mentioned out-of-state sales and foreign sales. Was that part of the total deficiency? Did they stem from those out-of-state sales or foreign sales?
- A. Some -- we have some transactions. I think we had two -- one or two foreign sales. I think they had to ship them by ship. And the other, I think, we had several out-of-state sales that, again, had to be

reconciled. When you go through those jackets you have to look at delivery records, make sure they're delivered out of state by a person representing RVs 4 Less. The owner didn't take it. There are certain specific requirements that you have to go through in order to determine whether that item is taxable or not. It takes a significant amount of time and you have to have a significant amount of expertise in order to recognize the correct documents.

Q. I'm going to turn you to our Exhibit 1.

2.4

If you take a look at Exhibit 1, Mr. Smith, would you say that the majority, if not only an insignificant part of the liability was prior to the third -- prior to the fourth guarter of 2017?

- A. No. It looks like it was almost zero. It pretty much zeroed out prior to the fourth quarter of '17. It looks like it was pretty much a push. The liabilities weren't incurred until, it looks like fourth quarter of 2017.
- Q. Can you explain the auditor's adjustment for timing differences in Column L for those quarters that were prior to the fourth quarter of 2017?
- A. Yes. Usually the auditors look at DMV records.

 And sometimes those DMV records don't reflect exactly
 the sale date occurred on the client's records.

Sometimes it will be before. Usually it happens near a cutoff period, like year-end. And you'll sometimes be reconciling differences, where it shows that it was a sale for DMV, but, in fact, it was some other -- it was some other -- like a month or two before or something to that effect.

(Reporter clarification.)

THE WITNESS: I'm so sorry.

The -- usually it creates a reconciliation difference when you have a sale, for instance, on the books. A lot of times it will happen before. For whatever reason, there is a delay in getting a report sale to the DMV. And so it may go into the subsequent year.

BY MR. DOERR:

2.4

- Q. So would it be fair to say that prior to the fourth quarter of 2017, the adjustments that the auditor made mostly had to do with timing differences and did not result into any of the deficiency that we see?
 - A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. One more question, Mr. Smith: Why did we have limited -- why did the appellant have limited records, as contented by the department?
- A. I'm not quite sure. Unless the -- it looks like they are pretty good records prior to '17, just based on

1	the adjustments. After '17 it looks like there were
2	significant deficiencies. I would say it probably had
3	to do with the quality of the person doing the work.
4	I'm not sure the like I say, the quality of the
5	person doing the work is what matters. Accountants, we
6	learn over time to make sure you document what it is
7	that you are producing.
8	Q. Just following up on that, do you know what an
9	SUTR worksheet is?
10	A. SUTR? State I'm not sure. State I
11	probably know what it is exactly. "State" something.
12	I'm not sure.
13	MR. DOERR: Okay. We're finished here.
14	JUDGE GEARY: Thank you.
15	Does Respondent have any questions for this
16	witness?
17	MS. JACOBS: We do have a question or two.
18	JUDGE GEARY: Proceed.
19	
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. JACOBS:
22	Q. Mr. Smith, what was your role during the liability
23	period for appellant?
24	A. Actually, back in '17, I'm not sure. We worked
25	for RVs 4 Less like 10, 12 or 15 years ago. And then we

- 1 ceased working with them for a period of time and then 2 we went back to work for them again. And I'm not sure I 3 had a role in that '17 -- '16 to '17 period. Although 4 they did talk to me after the fact, like, in --
- thereafter. And they consulted with me thereafter. O. Okay. The liability period extended 2016 to 2018? 6
 - A. That's right.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And you didn't have a role in 2018?
- A. I'm not sure. I'd have to go back and check our tax returns. But I'm not sure that we were involved in that period. I think we -- the first return we prepared, I want to say was that '18-19 period.
- 13 O. Thank you.
 - A. When I say "first return," I mean the first return after we had worked for them before. So there was a lapse.
 - And just to follow up on that question MR. BACCHUS: and answer, so when you speak of "we," you are speaking of your company. You weren't an employee of Appellant? THE WITNESS: We were not an employee. We were a No. CPA firm, that's correct.
- 22 MR. BACCHUS: Thank you.
- 23 JUDGE GEARY: That's it for Respondent? Let me ask my 2.4 fellow panel members if they have any questions.
 - Judge Stanley, do you have any questions?

1 JUDGE STANLEY: Not at this time. Thank you. 2 JUDGE GEARY: Judge Aldrich? 3 JUDGE ALDRICH: Not at this time. Thank you. 4 JUDGE GEARY: I do have a question or two. 5 Mr. Smith, early in your testimony you said you worked with them 12 to 15 years. I'm assuming that does 6 7 not include the break in the representation, correct? That is correct. I worked for them 8 THE WITNESS: 9 initially for a few years. And then they went someplace 10 else and then they came back. JUDGE GEARY: All right. Can you tell me -- can you 11 12 give me any reasonable estimate of when you came back to 13 handle their accounting work? 14 THE WITNESS: I think it was about that '18 period. 15 think the first return we did was about '18, I believe. And when you say "the first return," are 16 JUDGE GEARY: 17 you referring to a sales and use tax return? 18 THE WITNESS: No. It was income tax. 19 JUDGE GEARY: Which is principally what you did for 20 them? 21 THE WITNESS: Right. 22 JUDGE GEARY: Did you ever do a sales and use tax 23 return and sign a sales and use tax return for this --2.4 THE WITNESS: We didn't sign it, but I believe our 25 firm did do -- during the interim period, I believe we

1 had a couple sales tax returns. 2 JUDGE GEARY: Do you know whether you did any sales 3 tax returns during the period at issue here, which is 4 2016 through 2018? 5 THE WITNESS: I do not believe so, no. 6 JUDGE GEARY: During -- at the time at issue here, do 7 you know whether or not appellant used any type of 8 accounting management system? 9 THE WITNESS: They used QuickBooks, which you can --10 QuickBooks isn't necessarily as good as what some --11 like what retail sales programs are; however, you can --QuickBooks is very flexible. 12 It's like an accounting 13 Excel spreadsheet. It can be modified to do 14 sales-related issues. You can make QuickBooks 15 accomplish what you are trying to make it do. 16 JUDGE GEARY: So you know that they used QuickBooks? 17 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 18 JUDGE GEARY: To your knowledge, they did not use any 19 form of specialized accounting system that's 20 specifically for automobile and vehicle dealers? THE WITNESS: Daniel would be better able to answer 21 22 They might have done it, I think, for sales, 23 maybe for tracking. I believe they did for sales, to 2.4 keep track of inventory. But I'm not familiar with 25 that. I couldn't tell you.

```
1
                             Thank you. Those are the only
        JUDGE GEARY:
                     Okay.
 2
     questions I had, Mr. Smith.
 3
           Let me open it up one more time. Did you have any
 4
     follow-up questions?
5
        MR. DOERR:
                   No.
6
        JUDGE GEARY: Does respondent have any follow-up
7
     questions?
                          Thank you.
8
        MS. JACOBS:
                     No.
9
        JUDGE GEARY: Judge Aldrich, any follow-up questions?
10
        JUDGE ALDRICH:
                       No.
                             Thank you.
11
        JUDGE GEARY: Judge Stanley?
        JUDGE STANLEY: No, I don't.
12
                      Thank you. Then we are finished with
13
        JUDGE GEARY:
    Mr. Smith.
14
15
           Mr. Smith, you can stay there, I suppose, and we
     can invite the next witness up to sit next to Mr. Doerr,
16
17
     if you want.
18
           What's your preference, sir?
19
        MR. DOERR: She can fit there.
20
                      When you begin your examination, just
        JUDGE GEARY:
21
     ask the witness to identify herself and state what her
22
     relationship or connection with the taxpayer is.
23
        MR. DOERR: That's my first question.
2.4
        JUDGE GEARY: Thank you.
25
     ///
```

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. DOERR: 3 O. So can you please state your name? 4 A. Danielle Kuehne-Sullins. 5 Q. What's your position at RVs 4 Less during the period -- the tax period we're dealing with, which is 6 7 '16, '17, '18? A. I did the sales. 8 9 Q. Anything else? 10 A. Help with the office stuff. 11 Q. Can you describe your relationship with Dan 12 Kuehne? A. That's my father. 13 14 Q. To your knowledge, is Dan Kuehne the sole owner of 15 RVs 4 Less? A. He is hundred percent owner. 16 Q. Who makes hiring and firing decisions at RVs 4 --17 18 I'm sorry, appellant? A. We both do. We both hire and discuss and 19 20 terminate. We both do. 21 Q. Is it fair to say that you and Dan run the business? 2.2 23 A. We do. 2.4 O. Did you have any specialized experience or 25 knowledge during the period for preparing sales tax

1 returns?

2.

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

- A. Not at all.
- Q. How did you handle the preparation of sales tax returns?
 - A. Well, we hired people to take care of that for us.

 The bookkeeper, accounting people in our office.
 - Q. Do you recall the tax period of 2012 to 2014 when you had a sales tax audit and you were -- there was a deficiency?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What did you do after that period?
- A. We hired -- at that time we didn't have anybody
 that was trained. And then we hired people that were
 experienced in QuickBooks and bookkeeping and sales tax
 preparation.
 - Q. Based on what Mr. Smith said, looking at Exhibit 1, the most -- the deficiency started in the fourth quarter of 2017. Prior to that, who handled the sales tax forms?
- 20 A. In 2016?
- 21 Q. In 2016.
- 22 A. A gentleman named Daren was in there.
- Q. Did you have any issues with sales tax during that period?
- 25 A. No.

1	Q. What happened with this gentleman?
2	A. He went into his own practice of accounting.
3	Q. And who did you hire to replace him?
4	A. Anna Perez.
5	Q. Why did you hire Anna for that position or
6	Ms. Perez?
7	A. That was her skill set. She was experienced in
8	accounting.
9	Q. Are you familiar with Exhibit 2?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. On the basis of Exhibit 2, which includes an email
12	and also a resume, did you feel that she was competent
13	to handle sales tax returns?
14	A. Yes, absolutely.
15	Q. Did Dan Kuehne have any say in her hiring?
16	A. Yeah. He agreed that her resume showed that she
17	was skilled to have that position, yes.
18	Q. How did Ms. Perez work out for you?
19	A. She ended up having some mental issues. She ended
20	up not working out. But, yeah, she had a lot of
21	personal issues.
22	Q. I'm referring to Exhibit C, where she's
23	basically can you go to sorry, Exhibit 3.
24	What was she requesting in this email?

A. She was requesting to work from home. She was

- requesting to work from home seven days a week is what the email says.
 - Q. Did she also state that she hadn't been performing her job duties lately?
- A. She was apologizing, yes. Apologizing for her work.
 - Q. Ultimately what happened with Ms. Perez?
 - A. She ended up leaving.
 - Q. And once Ms. Perez left -- do you remember what quarter that was?
- 11 A. I don't have the exact quarter that she had left.
 12 That looks like it was 2018.
- 13 Q. Well, let me -- okay.
- 14 After Ms. Perez, what did -- who did you hire 15 after that?
- 16 A. John Cochran.

3

4

7

8

9

- 17 Q. And why did you hire Mr. Cochran?
- A. He had, like, well -- extremely -- knowledge in
 this department and QuickBooks and bookkeeping and sales
 tax, but he was, like, supposedly -- he represented
 himself as the best person we could ever have in that
 department.
- 23 | O. Did Dan Kuehne also interview Mr. Cochran?
- A. He did, yes.
- 25 Q. And the two of you decided to hire him to replace

- 1 | Ms. Perez and perform your sales tax?
 - A. Yes.

2.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. What ultimately happened with Mr. Cochran?
- A. The U.S. Marshals came in and arrested him at our job site.
 - Q. Do you know why they arrested him?
 - A. Fraudulent claims.
 - Q. Did you -- were you aware during Mr. Cochran's employ that there was any sales tax issues that were outstanding?
 - A. No. I wasn't aware of any issues.
 - Q. If you look at Exhibit 5, it looks like it's a write-up by you. Can you explain this document?
 - A. Yes. So our bank account was levied and that's how we came to find out that he wasn't being truthful on the tax return. Our bank account was levied, and so we wrote him up for that.
- 18 Q. Could you read that date for us?
- 19 A. 10/29/19.
- Q. So that would be after the period of -- that we're dealing with here?
- 22 A. Right.
- Q. So during that relevant period you were unaware of any sales tax issues?
- 25 A. Correct.

Q. Did you claim -- did you file a police report against Mr. Cochran?

2.4

- A. We did file a police report, because we ended up having theft. And employees ended up having their bank accounts hacked. We had check fraud. We had numerous issues; our bank account being logged into by other people.
- Q. So beyond the sales tax reporting that was not done correctly or timely, there was also a lot of other problems with Mr. Cochran?
- A. Payroll tax, sales tax, check fraud, Social Security numbers of employees, bank accounts of employees.
- Q. On Exhibit 4, it looks like it's an insurance claim form. Do you know why you didn't pursue an insurance claim against Mr. Cochran?
- A. Per our insurance company, when we renewed it, we weren't able to pursue it.
 - Q. Did they give you a specific reason?
- A. Because there's new insurance, I believe -- or a new policy, we weren't able to do it then.
- Q. Okay. So would you say that you -- during the period in question, that you used due diligence to hire proper employees that could handle sales tax?
 - A. Yeah, absolutely. We thought we had great people

1	in there working. We had no idea.
2	MR. DOERR: No further questions.
3	JUDGE GEARY: Thank you.
4	Does respondent have any questions for this
5	witness?
6	MS. JACOBS: We do.
7	JUDGE GEARY: You may proceed.
8	
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MS. JACOBS:
11	Q. Did was Ms. Perez's employment terminated or
12	did she leave on her own accord?
13	A. She left. She had a couple mental breakdowns and
14	left.
15	
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. BACCHUS:
18	Q. Just a just couple more questions: Did you have
19	access to the CDTFA online system? Did you have your
20	own login information?
21	A. I don't think so at that time. I never did
22	anything. Logged in at that time, no.
23	Q. Okay. The reason I ask, our records indicate that
24	you, or your login information, was used to submit some
25	of the tax returns.

1	Would there have been another employee or someone
2	that would have used your login information, that you
3	are aware of?
4	A. I don't know. From what I understood, there was
5	just one login for the company, so whoever would be
6	doing that would log into whatever our log in is. I
7	have no idea.
8	Q. Okay. Thank you.
9	JUDGE GEARY: That's it for respondent?
10	Judge Aldrich, do you have any questions for this
11	witness?
12	JUDGE ALDRICH: Hello. Good afternoon. Thank you for
13	joining us.
14	Yes, I do have a couple questions.
15	So you indicated that Mr. Cochran was arrested by
16	the U.S. Marshals' office for fraud. What relation did
17	that fraud have with RVs 4 for less?
18	THE WITNESS: He had a prior fraud conviction or
19	issue. It wasn't due to us.
20	JUDGE ALDRICH: So it was entirely unrelated to the
21	issues that are at present?
22	THE WITNESS: Correct.
23	JUDGE ALDRICH: According to appellant's response
24	brief dated September 6th, 2022, the third quarter 2017
25	and fourth quarter 2017 weren't filed by Ms. Perez. Is

1 that your understanding as well? 2 THE WITNESS: I don't know. Let me see. When is it? 3 So the third quarter 2017 sales and JUDGE ALDRICH: 4 use tax return and the fourth quarter 2017. 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. There was another gal that came 6 in to help her that was there temporarily for a couple 7 months. JUDGE ALDRICH: Would that be Ms. Garcia? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 10 JUDGE ALDRICH: What was RVs 4 Less' relationship with Ms. Garcia? 11 12 THE WITNESS: She was an employee. 13 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And why was she picked to file 14 the third quarter 2017 and fourth quarter 2017 returns? 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So when we hired her, she brought in -- she had degrees, like multiple degrees; five, 16 17 six-plus degrees. She was certified in everything. And 18 she said that she knew how to do all that. 19 JUDGE ALDRICH: When you say "degrees," is that a 20 bachelor's in science? Is that in accounting? 21 THE WITNESS: She had accounting degrees and she had other certifications. She had a lot of certifications 22 23 that she showed us. She had a whole binder of them. 2.4 And they were all laminated. She brought them in and

showed us all her certifications, so we thought we hit

1 the jackpot with her too. 2. JUDGE ALDRICH: But she was just on a temporary basis? 3 THE WITNESS: No. We hired her to help out with the 4 accounting, because she seemed to know more than Anna 5 And so -- but she didn't work out. She didn't work out. 6 JUDGE ALDRICH: 7 So you hired her -- for how long was she hired? So it looks like she filed July 5th, 2018, and then at 8 some point she is dismissed or --9 10 THE WITNESS: She quit. JUDGE ALDRICH: And do you know about the time frame? 11 12 Was it a month? Three months? A year? 13 THE WITNESS: She was in our office for about 14 three months or so, maybe four months. 15 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And so that would overlapped with Mr. Cochran? 16 THE WITNESS: He would have came in after her. 17 18 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. Could you tell me a little bit 19 about -- well, first, are you familiar with RVs 4 Less' 20 record-keeping system with respect to the dealer 21 iackets? 22 Like how they are kept? THE WITNESS: 23 JUDGE ALDRICH: Yeah. 2.4 THE WITNESS: In files. 25 JUDGE ALDRICH: And is that on premises?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 JUDGE ALDRICH: And during the liability period did 3 you have an outside firm reviewing or preparing the 4 sales and use tax returns? It was all internal? 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Just whoever was in the 6 accounting position at our office. 7 JUDGE ALDRICH: Thank you. That's all of my 8 questions. 9 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Judge Aldrich. 10 Judge Stanley, do you have questions? JUDGE STANLEY: I do not. 11 Thank you. 12 JUDGE GEARY: I have a couple of questions for you. When you hired -- when you hired any of these 13 14 individuals that you've mentioned, I take it you hired 15 them to be your primary bookkeeper? THE WITNESS: 16 Correct. JUDGE GEARY: And describe what their -- their duties. 17 18 Just give me a summary of your bookkeeping duties that 19 you assigned to these various individuals. 20 So they handled day-to-day transactions. THE WITNESS: 21 They do payroll. They'd do all the sales transactions. 22 They enter in any withdrawals, anything with the bank 23 account. They handled everything. 2.4 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. I'm going to just go back through 25 that.

1	So sales transactions. Do they handle the
2	financing?
3	THE WITNESS: Financing of what?
4	JUDGE GEARY: On what you are selling.
5	THE WITNESS: No, they don't.
6	JUDGE GEARY: Somebody else does that?
7	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
8	JUDGE GEARY: So what would the bookkeeper's role be
9	in connection with just a sale of any particular unit?
10	THE WITNESS: What do they do as their responsibility?
11	JUDGE GEARY: For each sale.
12	THE WITNESS: Yeah. So they enter in, like, money
13	that's received for it. They enter in the contract
14	information. They enter in how much we were paid on it,
15	make sure the funds were received. And they record the
16	whole transaction on QuickBooks. And then they record
17	the sales tax.
18	JUDGE GEARY: And then they also handle the deposits?
19	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Everything.
20	JUDGE GEARY: So when you hired I think the first
21	person you mentioned was Daren, right?
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
23	JUDGE GEARY: You had hired him in 2016. I'm assuming
24	that Daren is a male?
25	THE WITNESS: He is.

1 Who was your bookkeeper before Daren? JUDGE GEARY: 2 THE WITNESS: I know Adela was there for quite some 3 She was there for about eight or nine years. 4 was there a long time. I don't know if we had somebody before him or if he came in right after Adela. 5 6 JUDGE GEARY: And Daren was there how long? 7 THE WITNESS: He was there about a year, year and a half, maybe. 8 9 JUDGE GEARY: And you said he left to start his own 10 practice? 11 He did. THE WITNESS: 12 JUDGE GEARY: So when Daren came to work for you, what 13 did he tell you about his experience with sales and use 14 tax matters, if you can recall? 15 THE WITNESS: He was very skilled. I mean, everybody 16 tells us they are skilled. That's why we hired them, right? Because they said they knew how to do the full 17 18 bookkeeping and do sales tax, the day-to-day 19 transactions, debits, credits, everything. So he would 20 have had that same qualification. 21 JUDGE GEARY: Did you ever check on anybody's 22 references in the bookkeeper position? 23 No, we don't. THE WITNESS: 2.4 JUDGE GEARY: You just believed them and took their word for it? 25

```
1
        THE WITNESS: We do.
 2
        JUDGE GEARY: Now I want to ask you about how sales
 3
     tax -- sales and use tax returns were actually prepared
 4
    by the company. Take me through that process from
5
     beginning to end, describing what your bookkeeper's role
     is and what role any other employees in the business
6
7
    play in getting sales and use tax returns properly and
     accurately completed.
8
        THE WITNESS:
9
                      I don't know how they can figure it,
10
     because I have no involvement. They didn't share that
11
     information, so I'm not sure how they came with
     submitting their records to the system.
12
                                              I have no idea.
13
     They didn't share that.
14
        JUDGE GEARY: Did your father?
        THE WITNESS: No, no. He is not computer-savvy at
15
     all.
16
17
        JUDGE GEARY: So during this period that is the
18
     subject of this audit, '16, '17 and '18, essentially,
19
     were all returns filed electronically during that
20
    period? Do you know?
21
        THE WITNESS:
                      I don't know. I don't know how they
2.2
     were filed.
23
        JUDGE GEARY: Do you recall ever signing any sales and
2.4
     use tax return?
25
        THE WITNESS: I don't.
```

1 And I think you indicated earlier you JUDGE GEARY: 2 didn't recall ever registering for the company's online 3 access to CDTFA's system? 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I just assumed that it would be 5 one login for the business. I'm guessing. 6 JUDGE GEARY: But you weren't involved in that process 7 of creating that login? No. Not that I recall, no. 8 THE WITNESS: 9 JUDGE GEARY: And do you recall ever using that login? 10 THE WITNESS: I don't. I don't recall. 11 JUDGE GEARY: You don't recall. Okay. 12 Have you talked with your father about whether he 13 ever used the CDTFA login for the purpose of either 14 filing a return or making a payment? 15 THE WITNESS: I know he would not be able to figure out how to log in on there. He is not computer-savvy at 16 17 He has trouble doing his emails. 18 JUDGE GEARY: Do you recall any of your bookkeepers 19 ever coming to you and showing you a sales and use tax 20 return worksheet to explain to you what they planned to 21 report? 22 THE WITNESS: I don't recall that, no. 23 Would it be fair to say that the JUDGE GEARY: 2.4 bookkeepers were pretty much on their own for collecting

the data, recording the data and reporting the data?

25

1 THE WITNESS: Correct. 2 JUDGE GEARY: And neither you nor father was involved 3 in the process at all? 4 THE WITNESS: No. We didn't handle any of that. 5 JUDGE GEARY: Was there any other employee with accounting background besides the bookkeeper during this 6 7 period? THE WITNESS: 8 No. 9 JUDGE GEARY: Now, I want to ask you a little bit 10 about John. Is it Cochran? 11 THE WITNESS: It is. JUDGE GEARY: You said the Marshals came. Marshals 12 13 typically are out arresting people on fugitive warrants. 14 Did they have a fugitive warrant for him? 15 They couldn't explain much information THE WITNESS: on why they were arresting him or what they were 16 They said he wouldn't be back for a 17 arresting him for. 18 long time. That's what they said. 19 JUDGE GEARY: Okay. So I think you said in response 20 to Judge Aldrich's question that your understanding is 21 that whatever they took him away for had to do with 22 something that happened outside of your company. 23 Yes, correct. THE WITNESS: 2.4 JUDGE GEARY: And then you indicated that you discovered there were problems within your own company's 25

1 finances and employees' finances that you attribute to 2. Mr. Cochran. 3 THE WITNESS: Correct. 4 JUDGE GEARY: Why do you attribute these problems to 5 Mr. Cochran? 6 THE WITNESS: He had a personal laptop that we know was stolen by his son -- or in his household. 7 And he admitted that he had a lot of information on there of 8 9 the employees, because he used to log in to the 10 QuickBooks system on his laptop. 11 JUDGE GEARY: He was authorized to do that, though, 12 right? 13 THE WITNESS: He wasn't authorized to work at home and 14 have all that system on there, no. We didn't know. We 15 had an online system where he could do that, I quess. And so we found out later that's what happened. 16 And his 17 son was arrested as well. 18 JUDGE GEARY: Did you provide Mr. Cochran with a 19 computer to use -- or give him access to a computer 20 terminal at work? 21 THE WITNESS: Just at work, yes. 22 JUDGE GEARY: And you expected him to log on to 23 whatever systems belonged to your company on the work 2.4 computer only?

25

THE WITNESS:

Right.

1 And you are saying that he actually was JUDGE GEARY: 2 doing on his private computer at home? 3 THE WITNESS: Right. 4 JUDGE GEARY: All right. You said you filed a police 5 report, correct? We did. 6 THE WITNESS: 7 JUDGE GEARY: And has that police report been provided to OTA, do you know? I don't see it in the evidence. 8 If you look at Exhibit 5, there is kind of MR. DOERR: 9 10 a cover sheet which the Clovis Police Department gives. 11 I mean, it's very difficult to see. 12 JUDGE GEARY: There's nothing in there. Does it say 13 -- can you read what the complaint was or who the 14 complainant was, or what the wrongdoing was alleged or 15 It says who the officer is. It's got some 16 MR. DOERR: 17 numbers on it, but it doesn't have much more than that. 18 I don't believe it has that information in that what 19 they gave her. 20 I saw that. I thought I saw THE WITNESS: Yeah. 21 something else in here. 22 JUDGE GEARY: Have you ever seen the complete police 23 report that recites identifications and names of 2.4 witnesses and indicates what you told the investigating 25 officer Mr. Cochran did or failed to do?

1 We actually went in there because THE WITNESS: No. 2 we were also having, like, ransom -- people were calling 3 us demanding money. 4 JUDGE GEARY: Did you say "ransom"? 5 THE WITNESS: They were demanding money while Yeah. John was working there, yeah. Towards the end there, it 6 7 got really hairy. But, yeah, they were demanding us to 8 give them large amounts of money, which we found out was 9 through his son. So it was a whole mess. 10 JUDGE GEARY: But when you say "ransom," were they 11 holding your database, locking it up or somehow 12 preventing you access to --13 THE WITNESS: No. They told us that they had access to all of our information, bank accounts and stuff like 14 15 that. 16 JUDGE GEARY: They were trying to extort money from 17 you and using their apparent control of your system? 18 THE WITNESS: Right. 19 JUDGE GEARY: Those are all the questions I have. 20 Thank you. I want to make sure no one else has any 21 questions now. 22 Respondent, any further questions from you? 23 MS. JACOBS: We do have a few more questions. 2.4 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Proceed. 25 ///

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. JACOBS:
3	Q. Was Ms. Perez an employee of RVs 4 Less?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Was Mr. Cochran an employees of RVs 4 Less?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And did you have any concern with Ms. Perez's
8	competency relating to filing the sales and used tax
9	returns given her mental health issues?
10	A. Not competency on filing it. I felt like she
11	still could do her work. She just had breakdowns, a few
12	breakdowns.
13	MS. JACOBS: Thank you.
14	JUDGE GEARY: Judge Aldrich, do you have any other
15	questions?
16	JUDGE ALDRICH: I do have a couple follow-up
17	questions. Thank you.
18	So during the liability period how many bank
19	accounts did RVs 4 Less have? Was there one central?
20	Multiple?
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah, we would have one main bank
22	account. We had an old bank account, but money wasn't
23	going through there, but it was still open.
24	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And during that time who had

check-signing authority for the bank account?

25

1 THE WITNESS: It would be myself and my dad. 2 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And so after a sales and use 3 tax return was prepared, there would be presumably an 4 amount of money in taxes that needed to be paid. Can you walk me through that process, how that's resolved? 5 Whoever was filing the tax return would 6 THE WITNESS: 7 have paid it. Is that what you are referring to? 8 JUDGE ALDRICH: So --THE WITNESS: Because they had control of the bank 9 10 account, so they saw what was in the bank account. controlled what was going out. They just gave us checks 11 12 to pay, like accounting. Is that what you are referring 13 to? MR. DOERR: Did you actually sign -- who actually 14 15 signed the check? 16 THE WITNESS: No. We never signed a check to pay 17 sales tax. 18 MR. DOERR: How did they get paid? 19 THE WITNESS: They would have done it online, yeah. 20 So only you and your father have JUDGE ALDRICH: 21 check-signing authority, but somebody else is submitting 22 the payment? 23 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Whoever was in the accounting 24 position.

25

JUDGE ALDRICH: But --

1 MR. DOERR: So they had -- other people, they couldn't 2 sign checks, but they were authorized to go inside the 3 bank account and authorize payments out of there? 4 THE WITNESS: Well, they filed the tax returns and 5 paid it online. How do you pay it online if it wasn't for 6 MR. DOERR: 7 you and your dad? Like, did they have --THE WITNESS: They didn't have to have our signature 8 9 to do that. 10 JUDGE GEARY: They could go into your bank account and 11 do the online bill pay or something? 12 THE WITNESS: They went into the system to log in for 13 the sales tax, and then they paid it through the sales 14 tax system. 15 MR. DOERR: How did they get from the bank account to -- like, there has to be some link with --16 17 THE WITNESS: The sales tax would just be debited from 18 our bank. 19 JUDGE ALDRICH: So in setting up the account, was 20 there some sort of bank routing number, perhaps? 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. They would have our routing 22 number and account number to pay. It's just debited 2.3 from our bank. 2.4 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. So if I'm understanding 25 correctly, there were three different bookkeepers:

1 Perez, Ms. Garcia and Mr. Cochran, right? And you 2 indicated that you don't reference-check? 3 THE WITNESS: No. Don't do background check or 4 reference check. 5 JUDGE ALDRICH: But you also indicated that nobody 6 really oversees the bookkeepers? Nobody checks in on 7 what they're doing? THE WITNESS: I mean, we would ask them how things are 8 9 going, but none of us are skilled enough to oversee 10 We just trust what they are telling us on how they are doing with everything. 11 12 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. All right. Thank you. 13 JUDGE GEARY: Judge Stanley, do you have guestions? JUDGE STANLEY: I did, but they have all now been 14 15 Thank you. answered. JUDGE GEARY: Okay. I think we're through with the 16 17 witnesses now, correct? All right. 18 You are ready to give your argument? You can pull 19 that microphone right in front of you and you can begin 20 when you are ready. It's 1:50 p.m., 1:51. 21 MR. DOERR: As we heard from the testimony today, 22 especially from Mr. Smith, that appellant's sales tax 23 returns were incredibly complicated. As we heard from Ms. Sullins, it was her and her 2.4

Mr. Kuehne, being the sole shareholder of RVs 4

25

dad:

Less, and his daughter, Ms. Sullins, who oversaw the entire operation; however, their skills were strictly sales, sales of RVs. That's what they were good at.

They employed various bookkeepers and other staff members to take care of not only the sales tax, but payroll and all the accounting. And given the complexity of it, overseeing that operation was -- would be difficult. I mean, they can see that the job was done. And when it wasn't done, when Mr. Cochran in October of '18, when it was discovered or -- October of '19, it was discovered that the bank account was levied was the first time that they had any indication that during the tax period of '16 to '18, there was anything wrong with the sales tax reporting.

I mean, CDTFA, you know, contends that because of the past errors -- this was the period from '12 to '14, that they were put on notice. And, you know, after the period they did, you know, seemingly hire competent people. They did have one very competent person.

Unfortunately, he decided to open up his own shop. And from there, they went through a series of three different people. And it was during that time that the deficiencies -- the errors were made. And there was some timing -- if you look at all the quarters of the tax period, it wasn't until the fourth quarter of '17.

So at the very end of the period, all the liability is, I believe, over \$4 million of unreported sales. Before that, it was -- I think it was -- if we go to Exhibit 1, it was close to 56,000, so just a small fraction. And that was only in one quarter, which is the third guarter of 2017.

2.2

2.4

So in the past errors, they did attempt to correct that situation. The limited records argument that the CDTFA also bring, again, due to the employees that were hired to handle, this taxpayer through the principal shareholder and his daughter, they didn't know what sort of records needed to be kept. If they knew how to do that, they'd probably do it themselves. But, again, they had to hire out.

And CDTFA does talk in their briefing materials how there was liability in all quarters, but it doesn't seem, from Exhibit A -- or from Exhibit 1, that doesn't seem to be the case. And that lines up with the trouble they had with Ms. Perez and Mr. Cochran, with Ms. Garcia mixed in there for a couple of months.

JUDGE GEARY: All right. Thank you. Four minutes -- or three minutes.

Respondent ready to give its argument?

MS. JACOBS: We are. Thank you.

JUDGE GEARY: You may proceed.

PRESENTATION

2.2

MS. JACOBS: The sole issue in this appeal is whether appellant is entitled to relief at the 10 percent negligence penalty for the period of January 1st, 2016, through December 31st, 2018.

As you are aware, Revenue and Taxation Code
Section 6484 provides for the imposition of a 10 percent
penalty if any part of a deficiency determination was
made due to negligence or intentional disregard of the
law or authorized rules and regulations.

"Negligence" is generally defined as the failure to exercise such care that a reasonable and prudent person would exercise under similar circumstances.

Warner versus Santa Catalina Island Company; 1955, 44 Cal.2d 310, page 317. See also People versus Superior Court 2016, 248 Cal.App.4th 434, page 447. And also the CDTFA Audit Manual, section 0506.10.

In Independent Iron Works Incorporated versus State Board of Equalization; 1959, 167 Cal.App.2d 318, page 323, the Court held that a negligence penalty is justified where errors are continued from one audit to the next.

Taxpayers are required to maintain and make available for examination on request by the department all records necessary to verify the accuracy of any

return filed; or if no return has been filed, to ascertain and determine the amount required to be paid, sections 7053 and 7054 and Regulation 1698(b)(1). Such records include but are not limited to the normal books of account ordinarily maintained by the average, prudent business person engaged in the activity in question; bills, receipts, invoices, cash register tapes and other documents of original entry, and schedules or working papers used in connection with the preparation of the tax returns. Regulation 1698(b)(1).

2.4

Failure to maintain and provide complete and accurate records will be considered evidence of negligence. Regulation 1698(k). And a negligence penalty may also be based on failure to properly prepare returns. Audit Manual 0508.10.

In this case, appellant's records weren't adequate for sales and use tax purposes. This was appellant's second audit. And while some records were provided, appellant wasn't able to explain to staff how they prepared their returns and did not provide sales and use tax return worksheets for review. Exhibit C, pages 54 and 123. All page numbers are to pages within the hearing binder.

Because appellant's underreporting was so significant, the department determined that appellant

did not exercise due care in keeping its records. See Audit Manual 0507.35.

2.

2.4

Appellant's failure to maintain and provide adequate books and records is evidence of negligence. Regulation 1698(k). But appellant also significantly underreported taxable sales throughout the liability period, with underreported taxable sales of over \$4 million, representing an error ratio of approximately 31.5 percent when compared with reported taxable sales.

The significant error ratio and substantial understatement amount are evidence of negligence. See Exhibit A, page 35; Exhibit C, pages 53 and 327.

Moreover, appellant was previously audited for the period of January 1st, 2012, through December 31st, 2014, in which appellant was informed that it needed to improve its reporting. However, between the prior audit and the audit at issue, appellant repeated the same or similar errors, and its percentage of error actually increased from approximately one quarter to one-third, or 25 percent to around 31 percent.

JUDGE STANLEY: Ms. Jacobs, you sped up just now. MS. JACOBS: Oh, sorry.

Exhibit A, pages 35 to 36. And Exhibit C, page 123.

Appellant's errors continuing from one audit to

the next is evidence of negligence. See independent Iron Works, Incorporated, versus State Board of Equalization, which I've already cited.

2.4

In this instance, appellant failed to exercise the due care that a reasonable and prudent business person would exercise under similar circumstances, and was therefore negligent.

Appellant contends that its recording errors were due to its employee, Anna Perez, who appellant states was hired nearly two years into the liability period at issue, on or about November 15th, 2017, and who apparently left the corporation within a year of being hired.

We first note that appellant has not shown or provided documentary evidence that Ms. Perez was the individual who was tasked with filing the returns on behalf of appellant. Appellant has also described some of Ms. Perez's personal struggles during her time of employment; however, regardless of these, appellant made similar reporting errors in its prior audit and before Ms. Perez's employment in third quarter 2017.

Furthermore, appellant claims that it hired Ms.

Perez to prepare reported sales and use tax returns. As such, Ms. Perez was an agent of appellant. See Civil Code Sections 2295 and 2299.

It is well established that an employer is responsible for the acts of its agent in the scope of their employment, even if the acts are made without the employer's knowledge or consent and even when the acts are detrimental to the employer's interests. Civil Code Section 2338 and case Lisa M. Versus Henry Mayo Newhall Memorial Hospital, 1995, 12 Cal.4th 291, page 296. And also Audit Manual 0506.20.

2.4

Thus, the negligence penalty may still apply, even if negligence occurred due to an employee's -- in this case Ms. Perez's -- actions or inaction with respect to filing appellant's sales and use tax returns.

Furthermore, appellant's purported reliance on Ms. Perez's accounting experience did not obviate appellant's responsibility as the taxpayer to accurately report and pay its sales and use tax liability, nor do we consider that reliance reasonable.

Appellant's former employee, John Cochran, was hired after Ms. Perez on July 25th, 2018, or thereabouts. Again, appellant states it hired Mr. Cochran to prepare reported sales and use tax returns. And as such, Mr. Cochran was also an agent of appellant. See Civil Code Sections 2295 and 2299.

Appellant claims that Mr. Cochran committed fraud against the corporation, and has provided documents

related -- or I guess not against the corporation -- or maybe. I don't know. They provided documents related to his alleged misconduct. However, neither Exhibit 4 or 5 constitute actual evidence that appellant had suffered at the hands of Mr. Cochran, nor has appellant provided documentary evidence that Mr. Cochran was committed -- or was convicted of any criminal charges, or a satisfactory explanation of how these criminal activities impacted appellant's ability to accurately report its sales and use tax.

2.4

Regardless, according to Civil Code section 2338, appellant is responsible for the negligence of Mr. Cochran in the scope of his employment, including wrongful acts committed by him and willful omission to fulfill appellant's obligations.

In the case that I already cited, Lisa M. Versus Henry Mayo Newhall Memorial Hospital, the California Supreme Court held, quote, "An employer is vicariously liable for the torts of its employees committed within the scope of the employment." End quote. And that an employee's actions need not benefit the employer to be considered within the scope of employment. See 12 Cal.4th, 291, page 296.

And I'll pause for a drink of water.

Finally, significant underreporting began before

Mr. Cochran was hired in July 2018, and continued through Mr. Cochran's tenure.

2.4

Since appellant had underreporting issues in its prior audit, and Ms. Perez's employment ended apparently due to issues related to competency, appellant's complete reliance on Mr. Cochran to properly report its sales and use tax liabilities was unreasonable, and appellant did not exercise care that a reasonable and prudent person would exercise under similar circumstances.

In sum, appellant has not established that the understatement can be attributed to a good faith and reasonable belief that its bookkeeping and reporting practices were substantially compliant with the requirements of the sales and use tax law.

For these reasons, we request the appeal be denied. Thank you.

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you.

Judge Aldrich. Do you have any questions for CDTFA?

JUDGE ALDRICH: Yes, I do.

So the department's position is that the prior audit period and the current liability period, there are similarities between the two in the understatement. In what ways, if ant, are they similar, the two audit

periods?

2.4

MR. PARKER: So in the prior audit, the differences were basically recorded taxable sales versus reported taxable sales, which is the same types of errors when we added up all the recorded taxable sales based on the transactions that they had in the current audit period. We compare that to the reported taxable sales. So it's recorded versus reported taxable sales difference in each audit.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Thank you.

And a follow-up question: In the prior audit period, was there a spike or a constant amount that was being underreported? And then, comparatively, was it relatively constant in this liability period or is there a spike in the amount of underreporting?

MR. PARKER: In the prior audit period there were differences in most of the quarters. I realize the prior audit is not in the evidence here -- evidentiary record, but the differences -- there were differences in pretty much all of the quarters of -- there was 1.3 million in difference in the prior audit, and about 600,000 of that was from one quarter. But most of the other quarters had at least \$100,000 in difference.

JUDGE ALDRICH: CDTFA's position with respect to the liability period at issue, could you address whether or

not it was a constant underreporting or a spike?

2.4

MR. PARKER: In the current audit, obviously, they talked about the timing differences that we allowed in the -- so there were differences in all the quarters; however, the first seven quarters -- or six quarters pretty much offset.

We gave them the benefit of the doubt for the credit periods to offset against the liability periods. So even though there were differences in all those periods, we attributed it to timing. And so all of the differences in the current audit are in the last six quarters; mainly the last five. The third quarter of '17 is, I think, around 45,000 out of the 4.2 million.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Thank you.

So the department also indicated that the lack of records was a basis for evidence of negligence. Which records specifically?

MS. JACOBS: Well, as it's stated in the audit report letter, like, they were not able to explain -- they did not have records of how the sales and use tax returns were prepared. So we would be looking for, like, worksheets specifically relating to how she translated any records they did have into the sales and use tax records.

JUDGE ALDRICH: And a follow-up question, but for appellant's relative.

Was CDTFA's representation of the spike in the current liability consistent with your position or understanding?

MR. DOERR: Yes. Going back to Exhibit 1, you can see that they said accurately that the third quarter of '17 was the first understatement. And that was -- I have 59,000. He said a different number, but it was pretty close to that -- out of a total of over 4 million. So, yes, it's the last five quarters where you see the major spikes, especially the last quarter of '18 and the first -- I believe it's -- the second quarter of '18, which would correspond to Mr. Cochran's employ.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Thank you. No further questions from me.

JUDGE GEARY: Thank you.

Judge Stanley, any questions?

JUDGE STANLEY: Yes.

2.4

Because we can't accept your -- what you are saying about the prior audit as evidence, because we can only go based off of our record, is there anything within this audit or the decision other than -- I saw one phrase that I think just said there were similar concerns in the prior audit or similar mistakes in the

1 prior audit. Do you have anything that would -- within 2 the record that would show us that? MS. JACOBS: So I believe on -- in Exhibit A, in page 3 4 And then Exhibit C, pages 53 and 327, Exhibit C 5 being the current audit work papers, there are references to the prior audit within the current audit 6 7 work papers. JUDGE STANLEY: And I just want to be clear that it's 8 9 the department's position that a reasonable, prudent 10 business person or business owner would not -- who 11 didn't know anything about taxes would hire an expert. So you are saying that based on the agency rules, 12 13 it just -- it's attributed to them anyway? Well, in this case, as we heard today, 14 MS. JACOBS: 15 appellant hired two individuals without checking references, and then also gave them complete control of 16 their financials without any oversight. We would 17 18 consider that to be negligence, as well as being --19 because they were employees versus like an outside firm, 20 they are also agents of appellant, and therefore, their 21 actions are attributed to appellant. 22 JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. Thank you. 23 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Judge Stanley. 2.4 Mr. Doerr, I can -- if you'd like to take a few

minutes to make some concluding remarks, I'd be happy to

25

allow you to do so.

MR. DOERR: Okay. What we heard from the CDTFA right now, that even though it's not part of the record, in the first audit period, '12 to '14, it was kind of amore consistent underreporting situation that would indicate, perhaps, that there was more of a systematic problem.

However, if you look at the current audit period, you have -- I mean, as they said, six quarters of which there was only timing differences, which, you know, we explain how that could happen.

It wasn't until you got to the third quarter of '17, which you have a small \$59,000 discrepancy. Then it creeps up to 700,000. And then -- which corresponds to the trouble we had with employees. I mean, it is difficult to find qualified, competent people in Fresno to do the sales tax reporting.

And appellant did the best they could. With their knowledge base on tax and accounting and their skill set, they relied on people that came with resumes that looked really good and experienced. And for many quarters that was fine. It just happened to be we had two pretty poor employees. And I wouldn't say Ms. Perez was a poor employee per se, because she was going through a very difficult time, as they indicated in the email that -- I believe it's Exhibit 3.

Mr. Cochran, on the other hand, was a bad person who, we believe, has deceived other people, as he was arrested, we indicated. Not for any issue at RVs 4 Less, but for some employment. So he was a pretty good manipulator of people and he was able to get into our system and, gain, trust and commit some, you know, pretty awful things against appellant.

So I mean, I would say given the complexity of the sales tax and the skill set of the taxpayer, I think they were reasonable in their reliance on professionals that told us that they were competent in the job.

And there was no indication during that time that there was a problem, which happens in these audits. You find out later, outside of the tax period. During the tax period we didn't know that anything was going on. In fact, Danielle testified that she was not aware of any issue until the bank account was levied; and that was late October of 2019. And in the beginning of December, less than two months later, Mr. Cochran is arrested at the place of business. And from there, they -- you know, they're trying to find skilled people to fulfill that role.

I would think that they exercised reasonable care.

I'm not sure what taxpayers are supposed to do in this situation. If she was an expert she would do it

1 herself. They much rather do themselves, but they have 2 to rely on others. And, again, I can't stress more the 3 complexity of this type of sales tax compared to others. 4 That's all. 5 JUDGE GEARY: Thank you, Mr. Doerr. Mr. Doerr, does appellant submit the matter? 6 7 MR. DOERR: Yes. JUDGE GEARY: And Ms. Jacobs? 8 9 MS. JACOBS: Yes. Thank you. 10 JUDGE GEARY: All right. Thank you. The case is submitted on October 18th, 2023, at 2:15 p.m. the 11 12 record is now closed. Thank you, everyone, for 13 participating. In the coming weeks the panel will be meeting to discuss the matter and OTA will issue its 14 15 opinion within 100 days of today's date. This hearing is now concluded. And the hearing 16 17 calendar for OTA for today is also now concluded. 18 Thanks, everybody. 19 (Proceedings concluded at 2:15 p.m.) 20 21 22 23 2.4 25

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, CYNTHIA P. HERNANDEZ, CSR NO. 13521, Certified 3 4 Shorthand Reporter, certify; 5 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth. 6 7 That the testimony of the witness, the questions propounded, and all objections and statements made were 8 recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter 9 10 transcribed; 11 That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript 12 of my shorthand notes so taken. 13 I further certify that I am not a relative or 14 employee of any of the parties, nor financially 15 interested in the action. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of 16 17 California that the foregoing is true and correct. 18 Dated this 10th day of November, 2023. 19 20 21 22 CYNTHIA P. HERNANDEZ, CSR NO. 13521

23

2.4

25

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

Index: \$10..9

\$	1698(b)(1) 51:3, 10	2018 21:6,8 23:4 28:12 34:8 50:5 54:19 56:1	33:10 34:19 44:3 5,19 47:25 55:3 59:10 62:3
\$10 15:17	1698(k) 51:13 52:5	2019 62:18	4.2 58:13
\$100,000 57:23	16th 16:4,5	2022 32:24	40 7:16
\$35,000 15:18	17 18:16 19:25	2023 2:18 5:1,7	434 50:16
\$4 49:2 52:7	20:1,24 21:3 25:7	63:11	44 4:15 50:15
\$59,000 61:12	38:18 48:25 58:13 59:7 61:12	220510435 2:5 5:7	447 50:16
0	18 2:18 5:1,7 22:14,15 25:7	2295 53:25 54:23	45,000 58:13
0500.40, 50.47	38:18 48:10,13 59:12,13 18-19 21:12	2299 53:25 54:23	5
0506.10 50:17 0506.20 54:8		2338 54:6 55:11	
		248 50:16	5 8:3,15 9:12,18 29:12 42:9 55:4
0507.35 52:2	18th 63:11	25 4:14 52:20	50 4:22
0508.10 51:15	19 48:11	25th 54:19	53 52:12 60:4
1	1955 50:14	291 54:7 55:23	54 51:21
<u> </u>	1959 50:19	296 54:7 55:23	
1 8:3 9:12,17	1995 54:7	2:15 63:11,19	56,000 49:4
18:10,11 26:17 49:4,17 59:6	1:00 2:17 5:2		59,000 59:9
1-5 4:5 9:19	1:01 5:8	3	5th 34:8
1.3 57:21	1:08 13:6	3 27:23 61:25	6
10 20:25 50:3,7	1:50 47:20	300 15:19 16:18	
10/29/19 29:19	1:51 47:20	31 4:15,16 52:20	600,000 57:22
100 63:15	1st 50:4 52:14	31.5 52:9	6484 50:7
12 13:25 20:25		310 50:15	6th 32:24
22:6 48:16 54:7	2	317 50:15	
55:22 61:4	2 27:9,11	318 50:19	7
123 51:22 52:24	2- 15:18 16:17	31st 50:5 52:14	700,000 61:13
13 4:14	20 4:15 7:20		7053 51:3
13521 2:19	2012 26:7 52:14	323 50:20	7054 51:3
14 48:16 61:4	2014 26:7 52:15	327 52:12 60:4	
15 10:19 11:3 12:4 13:25 20:25 22:6	2016 21:6 23:4	35 52:12,23 60:4 36 52:23	8
15th 53:11	26:20,21 36:23 50:4,16		855 2:16
16 21:3 25:7 38:18	2017 18:14,19,22	4	
48:13	19:17 26:18	A 2:6 E:E 42 E:4	9
167 50:19	32:24,25 33:3,4, 14 49:6 53:11,21	4 2:6 5:5,12 6:1 18:3 20:25 25:5, 15,17 30:14 32:17	9 4:5,7

960 2:16 acts 54:2,3,4 55:3 appellants 5:11 55:14 7:7 allocations 16:14 Α actual 55:4 **apply** 54:9 allowed 58:3 added 57:5 approximately Amanda 3:11 **A-C** 4:6 9:20 52:8,19 address 57:25 6:17 ability 55:9 area 14:10 16:21 Adela 37:2,5 **amore** 61:4 17:14 absolutely 27:14 adequate 51:16 amount 17:3 30:25 argument 6:5 52:4 18:7,8 45:4 51:2 10:4,18,20 11:1,2 accept 59:20 52:11 57:12,15 47:18 49:8,23 adjust 15:11 access 31:19 amounts 43:8 adjusted 9:23 arrested 29:4,6 39:3 41:19 43:12, Anna 27:4,5 34:4 32:15 41:17 62:3, 13 adjustment 20 53:9 18:20 accomplish ant 56:25 arresting 40:13, 23:15 adjustments 16,17 19:17 20:1 anticipated 7:17 accord 31:12 ascertain 51:2 administer 9:25 anybody's 37:21 account 14:25 assigned 35:19 29:14,16 30:6 administration apologizing 28:5 35:23 44:22,25 3:10 6:18 12:13 assumed 39:4 apparent 43:17 45:10 46:3,10,15, admission 9:12, assuming 11:15 19,22 48:11 51:5 apparently 53:12 12:15 22:6 36:23 15 62:17 56:4 admitted 9:18,20 attempt 49:7 accountant 7:11 appeal 2:5 5:5 8:2 41:8 50:2 56:16 attorney 3:7,11, accountants affirm 12:19 12 6:17,19 17:12 20:5 **Appeals** 2:1 5:4,6 affirmation 9:25 attorneys 17:12 accounting **APPEARANCES** 14:11 15:13 22:13 attribute 41:1,4 afternoon 32:12 3:1 23:8,12,19 26:6 agency 60:12 appellant 2:7 3:7 attributed 56:12 27:2,8 33:20,21 5:12 6:1 7:12,15 58:10 60:13,21 34:4 35:6 40:6 agent 53:24 54:2, 8:11 9:14 11:20 45:12,23 48:6 audit 26:8 38:18 22 13:24 14:2 19:22 54:14 61:18 50:17,21 51:15,18 20:23 21:19 23:7 **agents** 60:20 52:2,16,17,25 accounts 15:14, 25:18 50:3 51:19, 53:20 54:8 56:4, 15 30:5,12 43:14 agreed 6:24 27:16 25 52:5,13,15,17 23,25 57:2,6,9,11, 44:19 **Aldrich** 3:5 22:2,3 53:4,8,9,14,17,19, 16.18.21 58:2.11. 22,24 54:20,23,24 accuracy 50:25 24:9,10 32:10,12, 19 59:21,23,25 20,23 33:3,8,10, 55:4,5,12 56:3,8, 60:1,5,6 61:4,7 accurate 11:4 11 60:15,20,21 13,19 34:2,6,11, 12:1 16:24 51:12 61:17 62:7 63:6 audited 17:2 15,18,23,25 35:2, 52:13 accurately 38:8 7,9 44:14,16,24 appellant's 4:5 45:2,8,20,25 54:15 55:9 59:7 auditor 19:17 7:11 8:3,11,13 46:19,24 47:5,12 9:12,17,19 13:10 actions 54:11 56:19,21 57:10,24 auditor's 18:20 32:23 47:22 55:21 60:21 58:15 59:1,15 51:16,17,24 52:3, auditors 18:23 activities 55:9 Aldrich's 40:20 25 54:12,13,15,18 **audits** 62:13 55:9,15 56:5 59:2 activity 15:16 alleged 42:14 51:6

Index: authority..Cochran

13 56:1,6 62:1,19

authority 44:25 24:20 47:19 51:6 53:5 60:10 **Chad** 3:12 6:19 45:21 62:20 beginning 38:5 charges 16:15 authorize 46:3 62:18 **button** 5:16 55:7 authorized 41:11, **behalf** 53:17 check 21:9 30:5, 13 46:2 50:10 C 11 37:21 45:15,16 **belief** 56:13 47:3,4 auto 14:9 17:5 believed 37:24 Cal.2d 50:15 check-signing automobile 44:25 45:21 belonged 41:23 Cal.4th 54:7 23:20 55:23 benefit 55:21 58:7 checking 8:14 average 51:5 60:15 Cal.app.2d 50:19 **big** 15:1 aware 29:8,11 **checks** 45:11 Cal.app.4th 32:3 50:6 62:16 **bill** 46:11 46:2 47:6 50:16 awful 62:7 **bills** 51:7 Chief 6:21 calendar 63:17 binder 8:8,12 9:5, **choose** 8:22 10:2, California 2:2.17. В 7 33:23 51:23 11 21 5:1 6:18 55:17 bit 9:21 14:23 circumstances Bacchus 3:12 calling 7:8,24 16:16 34:18 40:9 50:13 53:6 56:10 4:16 6:19 21:17, 43:2 **Board** 16:22 22 31:17 cited 53:3 55:16 **calls** 12:1 50:19 53:2 bachelor's 33:20 Civil 53:24 54:5, campers 16:25 bookkeeper 26:6 23 55:11 back 20:24 21:2,9 35:15 37:1,22 care 26:5 48:5 22:10,12 35:24 **claim** 30:1,15,16 40:6 50:12 52:1 53:5 40:17 59:6 56:8 62:23 claims 29:7 53:22 bookkeeper's background 40:6 54:24 36:8 38:5 **carries** 16:17,20 47:3 clarification 19:7 bookkeepers carrying 16:14 **bad** 15:10,12 62:1 39:18,24 46:25 clarify 11:21 case 5:6 49:18 47:6 48:4 **bank** 15:14,15 51:16 54:6,11 clear 60:8 29:14,16 30:4,6, bookkeeping 55:16 60:14 63:10 12 35:22 43:14 26:14 28:19 35:18 client's 18:25 44:18,21,22,25 cash 51:7 37:18 56:13 **close** 5:20,21 45:9,10 46:3,10, Catalina 50:14 **books** 19:11 51:4 11:18 49:4 59:10 15,18,20,23 48:11 52:4 **CDTFA** 31:19 62:17 **closed** 63:12 39:13 48:15 49:9, break 22:7 **base** 61:18 closer 5:17 10:21 15 50:17 56:20 breakdowns **based** 19:25 61:2 **Clovis** 42:10 26:16 51:14 57:5 31:13 44:11,12 **CDTFA's** 39:3 co-panelists 59:22 60:12 briefing 49:15 57:24 59:3 10:13 basically 27:23 briefly 13:8 ceased 21:1 **Cochran** 28:16, 57:3 **bring** 9:3 49:9 central 44:19 17,23 29:3 30:2, **basis** 27:11 34:2 10,16 32:15 34:16 brought 33:15,24 58:17 certifications 40:10 41:2,5,18 33:22,25 Bureau 6:22 42:25 44:5 47:1 began 55:25 48:9 49:19 54:18, certified 2:20 begin 5:9,11 business 17:6 21,22,24 55:5,6, 33:17

25:22 38:6 39:5

12:13 13:7,16

Cochran's 29:8 compliant 56:14 continuing 52:25 CROSS-56:2 59:14 **EXAMINATION** complicated contract 36:13 20:20 31:9,16 Code 50:6 53:25 47:23 control 43:17 44:1 54:5,23 55:11 computer 41:19, 45:9 60:16 **CSR** 2:19 collecting 39:24 24 42:2 controlled 45:11 current 56:23 **Column** 18:21 computer-savvy convicted 55:7 57:6 58:2,11 59:4 38:15 39:16 commencing 60:5,6 61:7 conviction 32:18 concern 44:7 2:17 cutoff 19:2 copies 8:6 commit 62:6 concerned 7:22 Cynthia 2:19 copy 8:16,17,19 committed 54:24 concerns 59:25 55:7,14,19 corporation conclude 11:14. D 53:12 54:25 55:1 companies 16:12 18 correct 6:4,9 7:2, dad 45:1 46:7 company 21:19 concluded 63:16, 3,4,5,12,13 9:9 47:25 30:17 32:5 38:4 17,19 11:5 12:16 18:9 40:22 41:23 50:14 Dan 25:11,14,21 concluding 19:20 21:21 22:7, 27:15 28:23 company's 39:2 11:12 60:25 8 23:17 29:25 40:25 32:22 35:16 40:1, **Daniel** 7:8 23:21 conference 9:22 23 41:3 42:5 comparatively Danielle 13:3 confirmed 8:11 47:17 49:7 57:13 25:4 62:16 9:7 correctly 7:1 30:9 compare 57:7 **Daren** 26:22 connection 46:25 36:21,24 37:1,6, compared 52:9 24:22 36:9 51:9 correspond 12 63:3 consent 54:4 59:14 data 39:25 comparison considered corresponds 16:22 database 43:11 51:12 55:22 61:13 competency date 18:25 29:18 couple 23:1 consignment 44:8.10 56:5 63:15 15:2 31:13.18 32:14 competent 27:12 33:6 35:12 44:16 dated 32:24 consist 8:2 48:18,19 61:15 49:20 daughter 48:1 62:11 consistent 59:4 Court 50:16,20 49:11 61:5 complainant 55:18 day 14:11 16:5 42:14 constant 57:12, cover 42:10 14 58:1 day-to-day 35:20 complaint 42:13 CPA 5:25 21:21 37:18 constitute 55:4 complete 8:12 creates 19:9 days 28:1 63:15 9:7 42:22 51:11 construction 56:6 60:16 14:11 17:10 creating 39:7 deadline 16:4 credit 58:8 completed 10:15 consulted 21:5 deal 15:1 38:8 credits 14:12 contends 48:15 dealer 34:20 complexities 53:8 37:19 dealers 17:5 14:14 **creeps** 61:13 contented 19:23 23:20 complexity 13:10 continued 50:21 criminal 55:7,8 dealership 17:6 48:7 62:8 63:3 56:1

Index: dealing..evidence

dealing 16:19 determination **Doerr** 3:7 4:14 employees 30:4, 25:6 29:21 50:8 5:12,14,15,18 12,13,24 38:6 41:9 44:5 49:9 6:12 7:3,13,20 **debited** 46:17.22 determine 14:15, 8:14,17,24 9:2,16 55:19 60:19 19 18:6 51:2 **debits** 14:12 10:21,24 11:21 61:14,22 37:19 determined 12:9 13:6,8,15,22 employees' 41:1 51:25 16:4 17:17 19:15 debt 15:10 20:13 24:5,16,19, employer 54:1 detrimental 54:5 23 25:2 31:2 42:9, 55:18,21 debts 15:12 difference 19:10 16 45:14,18 46:1, deceived 62:2 employer's 54:4, 6,15 47:21 59:6 57:8,21,23 60:24 61:2 63:5,6, December 50:5 differences 52:14 62:19 employment 18:21 19:3,18 31:11 53:19,21 dollars 16:19,20 decided 6:25 57:2,17,19 58:3,4, 54:3 55:13,20,22 28:25 48:20 9,11 61:9 doubt 58:7 56:4 62:4 decision 59:23 difficult 14:8 Douglas 5:25 end 38:5 43:6 49:1 16:10,16,21 17:7, 13:9 decisions 25:17 55:20 14,15 42:11 48:8 61:15,24 drink 55:24 ended 27:19 28:8 deficiencies 20:2 30:3,4 56:4 48:23 **due** 30:23 32:19 difficulty 17:13 49:9 50:9 52:1 deficiency 17:19 engaged 51:6 diligence 30:23 53:5,9 54:10 56:5 19:19 26:9,17 enter 35:22 36:12, 50:8 direct 10:9,11 duties 28:4 35:17, 13,14 13:21 25:1 18 defined 50:11 entire 48:2 discovered 40:25 degrees 33:16, 48:10,11 entitled 50:3 Ε 17,19,21 discrepancy **entity** 15:15 **delay** 19:12 61:12 earlier 39:1 **entry** 51:8 delivered 18:2 **discuss** 25:19 early 22:5 **Equalization** delivery 18:2 63:14 50:19 53:3 effect 19:6 demanding 43:3, discussed 9:22 error 52:8,10,18 electronic 8:8 5,7 dismissed 34:9 **errors** 48:16,23 electronically denied 56:17 display 8:18 49:7 50:21 52:18, 38:19 department 3:9 25 53:8,20 57:4 disregard 50:9 email 27:11,24 6:18,20 19:23 essentially 38:18 28:2 61:25 **DMV** 16:23 17:2 28:19.22 42:10 18:23,24 19:4,13 established 54:1 50:24 51:25 58:16 **emails** 39:17 56:11 DMVdepartment's employ 29:9 estimate 22:12 56:22 60:9 REGISTERED 59:14 17:1 estimated 7:15 depending 14:16 employed 48:4 document 20:6 11:3 deposits 36:18 **emplovee** 21:19. 29:13 estimating 7:18 20 32:1 33:12 describe 25:11 10:19 documentary 40:5 44:3 53:9 35:17 53:15 55:6 54:18 61:23 evidence 4:5,6 describing 38:5 11:17 42:8 51:12 documents 18:9 employee's description 14:5 52:4,11 53:1,15 51:8 54:25 55:2 54:10 55:21

55:4,6 57:18 financed 16:11 front 47:19 58:17 59:21 F finances 41:1 fugitive 40:13,14 evidentiary 57:18 financials 60:17 fulfill 55:15 62:22 facilitate 13:19 exact 28:11 financing 36:2,3 full 37:17 fact 19:4 21:4 examination 62:16 **find** 17:11,15 funds 36:15 10:9,11 13:21 29:15 61:15 factual 11:9 24:20 25:1 50:24 62:14,21 G failed 42:25 53:4 **Excel** 23:13 **finding** 17:13 failure 50:11 **exercise** 50:12,13 gain 62:6 fine 61:21 51:11,14 52:3 52:1 53:4,6 56:8,9 **gal** 33:5 finished 20:13 fair 19:16 25:21 exercised 62:23 24:13 39:23 Garcia 33:8,11 exhibit 8:15,19 47:1 49:19 firing 25:17 fairly 11:4 18:10,11 26:17 gave 42:19 45:11 27:9,11,22,23 firm 21:21 22:25 faith 56:12 58:7 60:16 29:12 30:14 42:9 35:3 60:19 familiar 23:24 49:4,17 51:21 **GEARY** 3:3 5:4, fit 24:19 27:9 34:19 52:12,23 55:3 14,16,19 6:2,5,8, 59:6 60:3,4 61:25 flexible 23:12 fast 15:21 10,13,23 7:4,6,14, 22 8:1,16,18,25 exhibits 4:5.6 8:1. follow 10:9 21:17 father 25:13 38:14 9:4,10,14,17,21 3,4,6,8,11 9:6,12, 39:12 40:2 45:20 follow-up 24:4,6, 10:22,25 11:6,23 15,17,19,20 9 44:16 57:11 Fee 3:10 6:18 12:10,12,24 13:1, expect 10:7 12:3 59:1 5,12,16 14:23 feel 27:12 16:7 20:14,18 expected 41:22 foreign 14:20 fees 14:15 21:23 22:2,4,11, 16:9,11,12 17:19, experience 25:24 16,19,22 23:2,6, 20.23 fellow 10:12 37:13 54:14 16,18 24:1,6,9,11, 21:24 forget 12:4 13,20,24 31:3,7 experienced 32:9 35:9,12,17, felt 44:10 26:14 27:7 61:20 form 23:19 30:15 24 36:4,6,8,11,18, fewer 9:24 expert 60:11 forms 26:19 20,23 37:1,6,9,12, 62:25 21,24 38:2,14,17, field 17:7.8 found 41:16 43:8 23 39:1,6,9,11,18, expertise 18:8 figure 38:9 39:15 fourth 18:14,16, 23 40:2,5,9,12,19, experts 17:8 18,22 19:17 26:18 24 41:4,11,18,22 figured 5:18 32:25 33:4,14 42:1,4,7,12,22 explain 18:20 file 14:7 30:1,3 48:25 43:4,10,16,19,24 29:13 39:20 40:15 33:13 44:14 46:10 51:19 58:20 61:10 fraction 49:5 47:13,16 49:21,25 filed 32:25 34:8 explanation 55:8 frame 34:11 56:18 59:17 60:23 38:19,22 42:4 63:5,8,10 46:4 51:1 extended 16:7 fraud 30:5,11 21:6 32:16,17,18 54:24 general 15:13 files 34:24 **extort** 43:16 generally 16:12 Fraudulent 29:7 filing 39:14 44:8, 50:11 10 45:6 53:16 extremely 28:18 free 12:7 54:12 gentleman 26:22 Fresno 2:16 5:1 27:1 Finally 55:25 61:15 give 10:3,4,18

Index: good..January

internal 35:4 11:1,2,12 12:2,7, helpful 8:21 imposed 7:1 20 13:7 14:5 Henry 54:6 55:17 imposition 50:7 interview 28:23 22:12 30:19 35:18 41:19 43:8 47:18 Hernandez 2:19 improve 52:16 inventories 15:1. 49:23 2 highlyinaction 54:11 **good** 10:23 14:13 inventory 23:24 specialized include 14:14 17:11,13,15 19:25 14:10 15:8 16:24 22:7 investigating 23:10 32:12 48:3 hire 25:19 27:3,5 51:4 42:24 56:12 61:20 62:4 28:14,17,25 30:23 included 14:20 **invite** 24:16 great 30:25 48:18 49:14 60:11 includes 27:11 invoices 51:7 guess 41:15 55:1 **hired** 26:5,12,13 including 55:13 involved 14:9 33:15 34:3,7 guessing 39:5 35:13,14 36:20,23 21:10 39:6 40:2 income 22:18 37:16 49:10 involvement Н 53:10,13,22 incorporated 38:10 54:19,20 56:1 8:7,12 50:18 53:2 Iron 50:18 53:2 hacked 30:5 60:15 increased 52:19 **Island** 50:14 hiring 25:17 27:15 **hairy** 43:7 incredibly 47:23 hit 33:25 issue 6:25 15:6 half 37:8 incurred 18:18 23:3,6 32:19 50:2 holding 43:11 hand 12:18 62:1 independent 52:17 53:11 57:25 home 27:25 28:1 50:18 53:1 62:3,17 63:14 handle 22:13 26:3 41:13 42:2 27:13 30:24 36:1, indication 48:12 **issues** 14:4,6,18 18 40:4 49:10 Hospital 54:7 62:12 15:4 23:14 26:23 55:17 27:19,21 29:9,11, handled 26:18 individual 53:16 24 30:6 32:21 35:20.23 household 41:7 44:9 56:3,5 individuals **hands** 55:5 huge 16:18 35:14,19 60:15 item 18:6 happen 11:11 hundred 25:16 information items 16:11 19:11 61:10 31:20,24 32:2 36:14 38:11 40:15 happened 27:1 I 41:8 42:18 43:14 J 28:7 29:3 40:22 41:16 61:21 informed 52:15 idea 31:1 32:7 jackets 18:1 **happy** 60:25 38:12 initially 22:9 34:21 hard 7:21 8:16,17 identification inside 46:2 jackpot 34:1 17:11 8:2,4,5 insignificant **Jacobs** 3:11 4:15, Headquarters identifications 18:12 22 6:17 7:5,25 6:21 42:23 9:9,13 11:5 12:11 instance 19:10 health 44:9 20:17,21 24:8 identify 6:15 53:4 31:6,10 43:23 24:21 heard 47:21,24 insurance 30:14, 44:2,13 49:24 60:14 61:2 identifying 5:9 16,17,20 50:2 52:21,22 hearing 3:13 5:5 immediately 10:6 58:19 60:3,14 intentional 50:9 11:14,16 51:23 16:13 63:8,9 interests 54:5 63:16 January 50:4 impacted 55:9 interim 22:25 held 50:20 55:18 52:14

Index: Jason..MICHAEL

Jason 3:13 6:21 justified 50:21 liabilities 18:17 **major** 59:11 56:7 **iob** 28:4 29:5 48:8 majority 15:5 Κ 62:11 liability 18:13 18:12 20:22 21:6 35:2 John 28:16 40:10 make 18:2 20:6 44:18 49:2,16 keeping 52:1 43:6 54:18 23:14,15 36:15 52:6 53:10 54:16 43:20 50:23 60:25 kind 5:18 14:10 **joining** 32:13 56:23 57:14,25 42:9 61:4 58:8 59:4 makes 25:17 Joseph 3:7 5:12 knew 33:18 37:17 liable 55:19 **making** 39:14 JOSHUA 3:5 49:12 limited 19:22 49:8 male 36:24 **Judge** 5:4,14,16, knowledge 23:18 51:4 management 19 6:2,5,8,10,13, 25:14,25 28:18 **lines** 49:18 23 7:4,6,14,22 23:8 54:4 61:18 8:1,16,18,25 9:4, link 46:16 Kuehne 25:12,14 manipulator 62:5 10,14,17,21 27:15 28:23 47:25 10:22,25 11:6,23 Lisa 54:6 55:16 **Manual** 50:17 12:10,12,24 13:1, 51:15 52:2 54:8 **Kuehne-sullins** litigator 11:25 5,12,16 14:23 12:25 13:3,4 25:4 manufacturing 15:20,23 16:1,7 lives 14:17 15:8 20:14,18 21:23,25 local 14:16,18 L 22:1,2,3,4,11,16, marked 8:1,3,5 19,22 23:2,6,16, locking 43:11 Marshals 29:4 labor 15:5.7 18 24:1,6,9,10,11, log 32:6 39:16 40:12 12,13,20,24 31:3, lack 58:16 41:9,22 46:12 7 32:9,10,12,20, Marshals' 32:16 23 33:3,8,10,13, laminated 33:24 logged 30:6 31:22 materials 49:15 19 34:2,6,11,15, lapse 21:16 login 31:20,24 18,23,25 35:2,7,9, math 15:17 32:2,5 39:5,7,9,13 10,11,12,17,24 **laptop** 41:6,10 matter 2:5 12:20 36:4,6,8,11,18,20, logistics 9:21 large 16:19 43:8 63:6,14 23 37:1,6,9,12,21, long 10:7 13:23 24 38:2,14,17,23 late 62:18 matters 20:5 34:7 37:4,6 40:18 39:1,6,9,11,18,23 37:14 law 50:10 56:15 40:2,5,9,12,19,20, looked 61:20 Mayo 54:6 55:17 24 41:4,11,18,22 **Lead** 3:3 lot 15:16 16:17,19, 42:1,4,7,12,22 meeting 63:14 learn 20:6 20 19:11 27:20 43:4,10,16,19,24 30:9 33:22 41:8 members 21:24 44:14,16,24 45:2, leave 31:12 48:5 8,20,25 46:10,19, loud 5:18,21 leaving 28:8 24 47:5,12,13,14, Memorial 54:7 16 49:21,25 52:21 left 28:9,11 31:13, 55:17 M 56:18,19,21 14 37:9 53:12 **mental** 27:19 57:10,24 58:15 legal 11:7,9 31:13 44:9 59:1,15,17,18,19 made 19:18 48:23 60:8,22,23 63:5,8, 50:9 53:19 54:3 legibility 8:14 mentioned 17:18 10 35:14 36:21 main 10:18,20 legible 8:12 9:8 July 34:8 54:19 11:1 44:21 mess 43:9 Less' 33:10 34:19 56:1 maintain 50:23 mic 5:14 **letter** 58:20 jurisdiction 51:11 52:3 MICHAEL 3:3 14:17 levied 29:14,16 maintained 51:5 48:11 62:17

microphone 6:11 nice 5:21 6,11 47:20 63:11,19 13:19 47:19 non-taxable 15:7 open 24:3 44:23 pages 51:21,22 million 15:17 49:2 48:20 52:12,23 60:4 **normal** 14:11 52:8 57:21 58:14 51:4 opening 10:3 paid 16:13 36:14 59:10 11:22,23 12:2,6 45:4,7,18 46:5,13 note 53:14 minutes 7:16,20 13:7,13 51:2 10:3,8,19 11:3,13, **notes** 16:1 operation 48:2,7 panel 3:3,5 6:25 24 49:21,22 60:25 notice 48:17 8:10,18 12:7 Operations 6:22 **mirror** 17:10 21:24 63:13 November 53:11 **opinion** 63:15 misconduct 55:3 papers 51:9 60:5, number 5:6 opportunity mistakes 59:25 16:18,19 46:20,22 10:12,13,18 59:9 Parker 3:13 6:21 mixed 49:20 option 8:22 57:2,16 58:2 numbers 30:12 modified 23:13 42:17 51:22 order 10:10 18:5,8 part 15:5,9 17:19 money 36:12 18:13 50:8 61:3 numerous 30:5 ordinarily 51:5 43:3,5,8,16 44:22 participating 45:4 original 9:2 51:8 63:13 0 **month** 19:5 34:12 originally 8:25 **parties** 5:9 6:24 8:6.9 11:16 months 33:7 OTA 2:5 8:7 11:18 oath 9:25 12:14 34:12,14 49:20 42:8 63:14,17 **parts** 15:8 objection 9:11,14 62:19 out-of-state **party** 12:1 obligations 14:6 move 10:16 14:21 16:25 55:15 past 17:12 48:16 17:18,20,25 multiple 33:16 49:7 obviate 54:14 44:20 outline 10:4 pause 55:24 occurred 18:25 outset 10:1 54:10 Ν **pay** 45:12,16 46:6, outstanding 11,22 54:16 occurs 11:10 29:10 named 26:22 payment 39:14 October 2:18 5:1, overestimates 45:22 names 5:10 42:23 7 16:5 48:10 7:23 62:18 63:11 payments 46:3 **nature** 11:7,9 overlapped **offer** 10:11 payroll 30:11 34:15 necessarily 35:21 48:6 23:10 offered 10:13 oversaw 48:1 penalty 7:1 50:4, needed 45:4 offering 11:24 oversee 47:9 8,20 51:14 54:9 49:12 52:15 office 2:1 5:4,6 overseeing 48:7 **people** 17:8,11, negligence 7:1 7:9 25:10 26:6 12,13,15 26:5,6, oversees 47:6 50:4,9,11,20 32:16 34:13 35:6 13 30:7,25 40:13 51:13 52:4,11 oversight 60:17 officer 42:16.25 43:2 46:1 48:19, 53:1 54:9,10 22 50:15 61:15,19 55:12 58:17 60:18 owner 18:4 25:14, offset 58:6.8 62:2,5,21 16 60:10 negligent 53:7 omission 55:14 percent 25:16 Newhall 54:6 one-third 52:19 50:3,7 52:9,20 Ρ 55:17 online 31:19 39:2 percentage 41:15 45:19 46:5, p.m. 2:17 5:2,8 52:18

Perez 27:4,6,18 position 25:5 problems 30:10 37:20 28:7,9,14 29:1 27:5,17 35:6 40:25 41:4 qualified 17:16 37:22 45:24 56:22 32:25 44:3 47:1 proceed 9:24 61:15 49:19 53:9,15,23, 57:24 59:4 60:9 20:18 31:7 43:24 24 54:19 61:22 quality 20:3,4 possession 8:9 49:25 Perez's 31:11 quarter 18:14,16, practice 27:2 proceedings 44:7 53:18.21 18,22 19:17 26:18 37:10 2:15 8:20 11:19 54:11,14 56:4 28:10,11 32:24,25 63:19 practices 56:14 33:3,4,14 48:25 perform 29:1 process 10:16 49:5,6 52:19 preference 24:18 performing 28:3 38:4 39:6 40:3 53:21 57:22 58:12 prehearing 9:22 45:5 59:7.12.13 61:11 period 7:10 19:2 premises 34:25 producing 20:7 20:23 21:1,3,6,11, quarters 18:21 12 22:14,25 23:3 48:24 49:16 professionals preparation 14:3 25:6,25 26:7,11, 57:17,20,23 58:4, 62:10 26:3,15 51:9 24 29:20,23 30:23 5,12 59:11 61:8, 35:2 38:17,20 prepare 51:14 programs 23:11 21 40:7 44:18 48:13, 53:23 54:21 question 7:10 proper 30:24 16,18,25 49:1 13:14 19:21 20:17 prepared 21:12 50:4 52:7,14 properly 38:7 21:17 22:4 24:23 38:3 45:3 51:20 53:10 56:23 57:6, 51:14 56:6 30:23 40:20 51:6 58:22 12,14,16,25 61:4, proposed 8:8 57:11 59:1 7 62:14.15 preparing 25:25 provide 14:1 questioning 35:3 periods 57:1 41:18 51:11,20 10:15 11:10 58:8,10 present 32:21 52:3 questions 10:12, **person** 14:17 presentation 14 11:7,9,20 provided 8:6 42:7 18:3 20:3,5 28:21 4:12,20 7:16 50:1 12:10,11 20:15 51:18 53:15 54:25 36:21 48:19 50:13 21:24,25 24:2,4,7, **Press** 5:16 55:2,6 51:6 53:5 56:9 9 31:2,4,18 32:10, 60:10 62:1 pretty 8:15 18:15, providing 6:3,5 14 35:8,10,12 17 19:25 39:24 personal 27:21 43:19,21,22,23 prudent 50:12 57:20 58:6 59:9 41:6 53:18 44:15,17 47:13 51:5 53:5 56:9 61:22 62:4,7 56:19 59:15,18 60:9 phrase 59:24 preventing 43:12 Quickbooks pull 5:16 47:18 **picked** 33:13 23:9.10.12.14.16 previously 52:13 purchases 15:3 26:14 28:19 36:16 place 62:20 primary 35:15 41:10 purported 54:13 planned 39:20 principal 49:10 quit 34:10 purpose 39:13 planning 14:3 principally 22:19 quote 55:18,20 purposes 51:17 play 38:7 **prior** 18:13,16,22 pursue 30:15,18 **point** 8:21 11:13 19:16,25 26:18 R 34:9 **push** 18:17 32:18 52:16 53:20 56:4,22 57:2,11, police 30:1,3 **put** 9:5 48:17 raise 12:18 16,18,21 59:21,25 42:4,7,10,22 60:1,6 ransom 43:2,4,10 **policy** 30:21 Q private 42:2 ratio 52:8,10 poor 61:22,23 problem 61:6 read 29:18 42:13 qualification 62:13

Index: reading..Saenz

reading 15:23,25 reference 47:4 58:19 responsibility 16:17,21 36:10 reference-check ready 13:16 reported 2:18 54:15 47:18,20 49:23 47:2 52:9 53:23 54:21 responsible 54:2 57:3,7,8 realize 57:17 references 37:22 55:12 60:6,16 reporter 2:20 reason 19:12 19:7 result 19:19 30:19 31:23 referring 22:17 27:22 45:7,12 reporting 30:8 resume 27:12,16 reasonable 22:12 39:25 48:14 52:16 50:12 53:5 54:17 reflect 18:24 **resumes** 61:19 53:20 56:13 61:16 56:8,13 60:9 register 51:7 retail 23:11 62:10,23 represent 5:11 registering 39:2 return 14:3 21:11, representation reasons 56:16 14 22:15,16,17,23 regular 15:2 22:7 59:3 recall 26:7 37:14 29:16 33:4 38:24 representative 38:23 39:2,8,9,10, Regulation 51:3, 39:14,20 45:3,6 11,18,22 10,13 52:5 3:13 6:1 51:1,21 receipts 51:7 representatives **returns** 13:11 regulations 50:10 6:16 8:10 11:8 14:6 15:11 21:10 received 4:5.6 23:1,3 26:1,4 represented 36:13,15 related 55:1,2 27:13 31:25 33:14 56:5 28:20 recites 42:23 35:4 38:3,7,19 relating 44:8 representing 44:9 46:4 47:23 recognize 18:8 58:23 18:3 52:8 51:10,15,20 reconcile 15:14 53:16,23 54:12,22 request 50:24 relation 32:16 58:21 17:1 56:16 relationship reconciled 18:1 Revenue 50:6 24:22 25:11 33:10 requesting **review** 51:21 reconciliation 27:24,25 28:1 relative 59:2 19:9 required 7:15 reviewed 9:6 relevant 29:23 50:23 51:2 reconciling 19:3 reviewing 35:3 reliance 54:13,17 requirements record 11:18 role 20:22 21:3,8 56:6 62:10 18:5 56:15 36:15,16 57:19 36:8 38:5,6 62:22 59:22 60:2 61:3 relied 61:19 resolved 45:5 routing 46:20,21 63:12 relief 50:3 respect 34:20 rules 15:13 50:10 record-keeping rely 63:2 54:11 57:24 60:12 34:20 **remarks** 11:12 respondent 3:9 run 25:21 recorded 57:3,5,8 6:15.25 7:4.24 60:25 **RV** 14:9 17:5 recording 39:25 9:6,8,11 10:12 remember 13:17 53:8 11:2,6,24 12:10 28:9 **RVS** 2:6 5:5,12 6:1 20:15 21:23 24:6 records 16:23 18:3 20:25 25:5. 31:4 32:9 43:22 renewed 30:17 15,17 32:17 33:10 17:2 18:2,23,24, 49:23 34:19 44:3,5,19 25 19:22,25 31:23 repeated 52:17 respondent's 4:6 47:25 48:3 62:3 38:12 49:8,12 replace 27:3 8:4 9:15,18,19 50:25 51:4,12,16, 28:25 18 52:1,4 58:17, 11:7 S 18,21,24,25 report 19:12 30:1, response 32:23 3 39:21 42:5,7,23 refer 8:22 40:19 Saenz 7:8 54:16 55:10 56:6

sale 14:19,20 15:6 set 27:7 61:19 situation 49:8 **spike** 57:12,15 61:5 62:25 18:25 19:4,10,13 62:9 58:1 59:3 36:9,11 setting 46:19 **spikes** 59:12 **six-plus** 33:17 sales 13:10 14:4. **share** 6:10 38:10, **size** 15:15 spreadsheet 5,9,21 15:4,5,10, 13 23:13 17 16:25 17:18, skill 27:7 61:18 shareholder 19,20,21,23,25 62:9 staff 7:9 48:4 47:25 49:11 22:17,22,23 23:1, 51:19 skilled 27:17 2,11,22,23 25:8, **sheet** 42:10 **stand** 12:17 37:15,16 47:9 25 26:3,8,14,19, 62:21 23 27:13 28:19 **ship** 17:24 **Stanley** 3:5 15:20, 29:1,9,24 30:8,11, skills 48:2 23 16:1 21:25 **shop** 48:20 24 33:3 35:4,21 22:1 24:11,12 slightly 9:23 36:1,17 37:13,18 **short** 17:5 35:10,11 47:13,14 38:2,3,7,23 39:19 slow 14:23 15:24 52:21 59:18,19 Shorthand 2:20 44:8 45:2,17 60:8,22,23 small 49:4 61:12 46:13,17 47:22 show 60:2 **start** 37:9 48:3,5,14 49:3 **Smith** 5:25 6:2,4, **showed** 27:16 51:17,20 52:6,7,9 started 26:17 7,9 7:10 12:14,22, 33:23.25 53:23 54:12,16,21 23 13:9,23 14:23 state 2:2,21 13:2, 55:10 56:7,15 **showing** 39:19 15:20 17:18 18:11 10 14:15,18 16:22 57:3,4,5,7,8 19:21 20:22 22:5 18:3 20:10.11 **shown** 53:14 58:21,24 61:16 24:2,14,15 26:16 24:21 25:3 28:3 62:9 63:3 **shows** 19:3 47:22 50:19 53:2 sales-related **smog** 14:15 sign 22:23,24 **stated** 58:19 23:14 45:14 46:2 **Social** 30:11 statement 10:3 **Santa** 50:14 signature 46:8 **sold** 16:12 states 53:9 54:20 satisfactory 55:8 signed 45:15,16 sole 6:24 25:14 stating 5:10 satisfied 11:16 47:25 50:2 significant 15:19 17:3 18:7,8 20:2 **stay** 17:8 24:15 schedules 51:8 solemnly 12:19 51:25 52:10 55:25 stem 17:20 science 33:20 someplace 22:9 significantly **step** 6:12 scope 54:2 55:13, son 41:7,17 43:9 52:5 20,22 stolen 41:7 sort 46:20 49:11 signing 38:23 **sec** 6:14 **Street** 2:16 **sound** 16:18 similar 14:10 **section** 50:7,17 **stress** 63:2 50:13 52:18 53:6. speak 21:18 54:6 55:11 20 56:9,25 59:24, strictly 48:2 speaking 16:8 sections 51:3 21:18 struggles 53:18 53:25 54:23 similarities 56:24 stuff 25:10 43:14 specialized 17:7 Security 30:12 **simple** 10:24 23:19 25:24 subject 38:18 seemingly 48:18 simultaneous specific 18:4 submit 31:24 63:6 16:8 selling 15:9 36:4 30:19 submitted 8:13 sir 24:18 September 32:24 specifically 9:1,8 63:11 sit 13:18 24:16 23:20 58:18,23 **series** 48:21 submitting 38:12 site 29:5 **sped** 52:21 services 14:1 45:21

trained 26:13 subsequent **tasked** 53:16 testify 7:8 10:6 19:13 transaction tax 2:1 3:9 5:4,6 testifying 6:11 substantial 52:10 6:18 13:10 14:3,6, 13:9 36:16 17 15:4,11 16:4,5 substantially testimony 6:3,8 transactions 21:10 22:17,18, 56:14 7:16 10:5 11:17 15:11 16:10,16 22,23 23:1,3 25:6, 12:3,19 22:5 17:6,22 35:20,21 suffered 55:5 25 26:3,7,8,14,19, 47:21 36:1 37:19 57:6 23 27:13 28:20 **Suite** 2:16 **TRANSCRIPT** 29:1,9,16,24 30:8, theft 30:4 Sullins 47:24 48:1 11,24 31:25 33:4 2:15 thereabouts 35:4 36:17 37:14, sum 56:11 54:20 translated 58:23 18 38:3,7,24 39:19 44:8 45:3,6, thing 17:10 **trouble** 39:17 summarize 12:3 17 46:4,13,14,17 49:18 61:14 summary 35:18 things 16:15 47:8 47:22 48:5,13,14, truck 16:25 62:7 25 51:10,17,21 Superior 50:16 53:23 54:12,16,21 trust 47:10 62:6 thought 8:25 suppose 24:15 55:10 56:7,15 30:25 33:25 42:20 truth 12:20,21 58:21,24 61:16,18 supposed 62:24 time 5:7 7:9,15,17, 62:9,14,15 63:3 truthful 29:15 18 11:3,11 16:20 supposedly tax-related 14:4 28:20 18:7 20:6 21:1 turn 11:11 18:10 22:1,3 23:6 24:3 taxability 14:15 **Supreme** 55:18 type 23:7 63:3 26:12 31:21,22 taxable 14:19 34:11 37:3,4 surprised 5:19 types 57:4 18:6 52:6,7,9 40:18 44:24 surprises 11:15 typically 12:1 57:3,4,5,7,8 48:12,22 53:18 40:13 61:24 62:12 **SUTR** 20:9,10 Taxation 50:6 time-consuming **swear** 12:19 taxes 14:16.18 U 16:16 45:4 60:11 **system** 23:8,19 timely 30:9 31:19 34:20 38:12 **U.S.** 29:4 32:16 taxpayer 14:5 39:3 41:10,14,15 24:22 49:10 54:15 times 9:23 17:7 **Uh-huh** 36:7,19 43:17 46:12,14 62:9 19:11 62:6 ultimately 28:7 taxpayers 50:23 timing 18:21 29:3 systematic 61:6 62:24 19:18 48:24 58:3, unaware 29:23 10 61:9 **systems** 41:23 **telling** 47:10 underestimates today 5:7 6:3,6 tells 37:16 7:23 7:8 47:21 60:14 Т temporarily 33:6 63:17 underreported table 5:23 12:14 52:6,7 57:13 temporary 34:2 today's 11:19 63:15 underreporting takes 14:12 17:14 tenure 56:2 18:6 51:24 55:25 56:3 told 42:24 43:13 TERESA 3:5 57:15 58:1 61:5 62:11 talk 5:18 6:13 21:4 terminal 41:20 49:15 torts 55:19 understand 6:13 terminate 25:20 understanding talked 39:12 58:3 total 17:19 59:10 7:7 33:1 40:20 terminated 31:11 talking 5:21 15:20 track 16:11 23:24 46:24 59:5 testified 62:16 tapes 51:7 tracking 23:23

understatement

52:11 56:12,24 59:8

understood 32:4

unintelligible

16:8

unit 15:18 36:9

units 15:19 16:18

unreasonable

56:7

unrelated 32:20

unreported 49:2

V

vacation 16:2

vehicle 23:20

vehicular 17:6

verify 50:25

versus 15:5

50:14,15,18 53:2

54:6 55:16 57:3,8

60:19

vicariously 55:18

W

waive 10:8 12:6

walk 45:5

Warner 50:14

warrant 40:14

warrants 40:13

water 55:24

ways 56:25

Wednesday 2:18

5:1

week 28:1

weeks 63:13

wholesale 14:21

16:24

willful 55:14

withdrawals

35:22

witnesses 7:8,17, 19,24 9:24 10:1,6,

10 11:22 12:4,5, 14 42:24 47:17

witnesses' 10:5

word 37:25

words 16:15

work 17:3 20:3,5

21:2 22:13 27:18,

05.00.4.0.04.5.0

25 28:1,6 34:5,6

37:12 41:13,20, 21,23 44:11 60:5,

7

worked 13:23

20:24 21:15 22:6,

8

working 21:1

27:20 31:1 43:6

51:8

Works 50:18 53:2

worksheet 20:9

39:20

worksheets

51:21 58:23

worst 16:5

write-up 29:13

writing 11:17

wrong 48:14

wrongdoing

42:14

wrongful 55:14

wrote 29:17

Υ

year 15:19 19:14

34:12 37:7 53:12

year-end 19:2

years 13:25 14:12 16:6 17:14 20:25 22:6,9 37:3 53:10

Ζ

zeroed 18:16