# BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ΙN	THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,	)			
D.	ASSH and C. LEMAY,	)	ОТА	NO.	220911455
٠.	incom and o. Emily	)	0111	1,0,	220311100
	APPELLANTS.	)			
		)			
		)			

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Wednesday, December 13, 2023

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5 6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF, )
7	D. ASSH and C. LEMAY, ) OTA NO. 220911455
8	APPELLANTS. )
9	)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,
15	taken in the State of California, commencing
16	at 1:11 p.m. and concluding at 1:37 p.m. on
17	Wednesday, December 13, 2023, reported by
18	Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and
19	for the State of California.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Administrative Law Judge:	JUDGE OVSEP AKOPCHIKYAN
4	For the Appellant:	D. ASSH
5	TOT ONE TIPPOTTAME.	J. 110011
6	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
7		NOEL GARCIA-ROSENBLUM
8		BRADLEY COUTINHO
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>INDEX</u>			
2				
3	<u>EXHIBITS</u>			
4				
5	(Appellants' Exhibits 1-5 were received at page 7.)			
6	(Department's Exhibits A-F were received at page 6.)			
7				
8	PRESENTATION			
9	PAGE			
10				
11	By Mr. Assh 8			
12	By Mr. Garcia-Rosenblum 11			
13				
14	CLOSING STATEMENT			
15	<u>PAGE</u>			
16	By Mr. Assh 16			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	California; Wednesday, December 13, 2023
2	1:11 p.m.
3	
4	JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: We're going on the record in
5	the Appeal of Assh and Lemay before the Office of Tax
6	Appeals. The OTA Case No. is 220911455. Today is
7	Wednesday, December 13th, 2023, and the time is
8	approximately 1:11 p.m. We're holding this appeal
9	electronically via Webex by the consent of all parties.
10	My name is Ovsep Akopchikyan, and I'm the
11	Administrative Law Judge deciding this appeal. I have
12	reviewed the parties' briefs and exhibits and may ask
13	questions to make sure I have all the information I need
14	to decide this appeal.
15	Now for introductions, will the parties please
16	identify themselves by stating their name for the record,
17	beginning with Appellant.
18	MR. ASSH: Daniel Assh.
19	JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you, Mr. Assh.
20	For Franchise Tax Board?
21	MR. GARCIA-ROSENBLUM: Good afternoon. Noel
22	Garcia-Rosenblum for Respondent Franchise Tax Board.
23	JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you.
24	MR. COUTINHO: And Brad Coutinho, also for
25	Respondent Franchise Tax Board.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you.

2.4

MR. ASSH: And if possible, I would prefer to be addressed by Dan or Daniel.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: I prefer to keep Mr. Assh, if that's okay with you.

MR. ASSH: Your choice.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you.

As discussed and agreed upon by the parties at the prehearing conference and as noted in my prehearing conference minutes and orders, there are two issues on appeal: Issue One, whether Appellants have established reasonable cause to abate the late-payment penalty for the 2021 tax year; Issue Two, whether Appellants have established a basis to abate interest for the 2021 tax year.

With respect to the evidentiary record, FTB submitted Exhibits A through F during the briefing process. Appellant did not object to the admissibility of these exhibits and, therefore, all of FTB's exhibits are entered into the record.

(Department's Exhibits A-F were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: With respect to Appellants'

exhibits, Appellants submitted five documents during the briefing process, which I relabeled as Exhibits 1

1	through 5 in my prehearing conference minutes and orders.
2	FTB did not object to the admissibility of these exhibits
3	and, therefore, all of Appellants' exhibits are entered
4	into the record.
5	(Appellants' Exhibits 1-5 were received
6	in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)
7	JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Lastly, as discussed Mr. Assh
8	will be testifying at this hearing. As agreed, the
9	hearing will begin with Appellants' presentation,
10	including Mr. Assh's testimony, for a total up to
11	15 minutes. FTB will then have 10 minutes for its
12	presentation, and Appellants will have 5 minutes for final
13	remarks.
14	Does anyone have any questions before I swear in
15	Mr. Assh for his testimony? Thank you. Hearing none,
16	Mr. Assh, will you please raise your right hand.
17	
18	D. ASSH,
19	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
20	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined, and testified
21	as follows:
22	
23	JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you, Mr. Assh. You can
24	proceed with your preparation when you are ready.
25	///

#### PRESENTATION

2.1

2.4

MR. ASSH: I think I explained it fairly well in my request for reasonable cause. I was relying on both my accountant and her tax software specialist to determine what to do. I was a little bit shocked that I qualified for the subsidy, but seeing it was Covid, I didn't -- I wasn't going to argue with my accountant of 30 years. She just recently retired. But I did pay for two hours of her time to research it.

And I'm an engineer, so I may be a little bit more astute than a common man. But I also researched it myself and could not find the tables that they were talking about, other than in draft. And the accountant researched the tables that they were talking about in the form, and so did the accounting software firm. Because, normally, the accounting software firm would automatically flag that as a, no it does not apply, but they were not able to find any official version either.

After the fact, I found out that instead of putting in -- the tables into the form itself, the tables were moved to the instruction sheet, which I as a common man could not find. My tax professional didn't understand why tables that should, according to her, be in the tax form itself and not under the instructions. The instructions are how to use the form, not vital part of

the form. And the tax preparing software -- and I don't have the name of the software she was using -- also couldn't find anything.

2.4

And I searched Bing, and I searched Google for the worksheet that was in question. And because we couldn't find it -- and that was prior to filing our taxes -- and had I known back in April that I owed money, I would have put the money in and got it back. Normally, I get a refund. It's not a big deal. I was not strapped for cash. I proceeded under what I believe was the reasonable man. If my accountant says this is what the State of California owes you in a tax credit, I'm not going to argue you, but I did my due diligence to make sure that I did try to confirm it.

And then we just -- it was during Covid, and there was the American Civil Right that did a whole bunch of other things, and we just couldn't find anything. So I'm at the mercy of the Court. I think I went -- in fact, I actually paid more for my accountant to research this than the interest and penalties, which I find rather ironic. And I believe that the Franchise Tax Board will agree that as soon as I received notice, within two days they had payment.

It was not something that, oh, I have to get money out and it's an issue and I'm trying to withhold

I've always paid my taxes on time. Maybe it's 1 something my father taught me. And I can go into all the 2 3 other explanations if you wish, but I think bottom line is I did my due diligence. My accountant did her due 4 5 diligence. The tax preparing software, that does it for 6 thousands of accounts, did their due diligence, and nobody 7 could find anything. And if you search Google, there isn't anything. However, there is the draft that says, 8 9 "Do not use this." So I didn't use it. 10 Do you have any questions? Because I can go and 11 redo what is written, but I believe you've already read 12 it. And for expediency, unless you have any questions, I think -- I've done my due diligence, and I'm sort of 13 14 asking -- I'd like to make this quick for you. I know 15 we've probably spent more on your time and the two 16 Franchise Tax Board's time than the amount in question. 17 JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you, Mr. Assh. I don't 18

have any questions at this time.

I'm going to ask if the Franchise Tax Board has any questions.

MR. GARCIA-ROSENBLUM: Noel Garcia-Rosenblum. No questions.

> JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you.

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Okay. Well, that concludes your presentation, Mr. Assh?

MR. ASSH: Yes, it does.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you.

I'll go ahead and turn it over to the Franchise Tax Board. You have about 10 minutes. You can proceed when you're ready.

MR. GARCIA-ROSENBLUM: Thank you.

2.4

#### PRESENTATION

MR. GARCIA-ROSENBLUM: My name is Noel

Garcia-Rosenblum, and I, along with my co-Counsel Bradley

Coutinho, represent Respondent Franchise Tax Board in this

matter.

There are two issues at appeal today: Whether the Appellants have shown reasonable cause to abate the late-payment penalty imposed during the 2021 taxable year, and whether the Appellants are entitled to the abatement of interest.

Respondent received Appellants' 2021 tax return on July 19th, 2022, reporting a total tax due in the amount of \$7,559. After applying the Net Premium Assistance Subsidy of \$15,056, Appellants reported an overpayment and corresponding refund of \$7,497. Respondent reviewed the Appellants' tax return and disallowed the Net Premium Assistance Subsidy in full, resulting in a revised tax assessment of \$7,636.

Additionally, because the balance due is not paid by the payment due date, a late-payment penalty of \$529.13 was imposed for the 2021 taxable year. Appellants paid their revised balance due in full on August 5th, 2021, and filed a claim for refund requesting the penalty be abated for reasonable cause. According to their request, Appellants worked with her accountant and made a mistake completing California Form 3849, the Premium Assistance Subsidy form, because they could not locate the reference worksheets and tables on that form.

2.4

Instead, Appellants and their accountant assumed Respondent followed IRS Form 8962, the Premium Tax Credit, and use the worksheets to this form to complete California's Premium Assistance Subsidy Form. This caused the Appellants to claim the subsidy when they were not eligible, resulting in an improperly claimed overpayment. Appellants contend that they have established the reasonable cause by exercising due diligence when completing the return, and because they promptly paid the revised balance due upon learning of their mistake. After review, Respondent denied the claim for refund because the information provided did not constitute reasonable cause, and Appellants filed this timely appeal.

California law under Revenue & Taxation Code section 19132 provides that a late-payment penalty is

imposed when a taxpayer fails to pay the amount due on the tax return on or before the due date of the return. Here, Respondent calculated the late-payment penalty based on the unpaid tax balance of \$7,559, which resulted in a penalty amount of \$529.13. It does not appear that Appellants dispute the imposition or the calculation of the late-payment penalty but instead, contend that their actions constitute reasonable cause based on the reliance in their tax professional in claiming the Premium Assistance Subsidy.

2.4

To establish reasonable cause, a taxpayer must show that the failure to timely pay the amount shown on a tax return occurred despite the exercise of ordinary care and business prudence. As explained in the United States v. Boil, while a taxpayer's reliance on the improper advice of a tax professional may be considered reasonable cause, the advice relied upon must be made as to a matter of substantive tax law. In calculating the Net Premium Assistance Subsidy, California Form 3849 instructs taxpayers to use Worksheet 2 and Table 1-2, located in the form's instructions, to determine whether or not they are eligible to take the subsidy. Appellants properly calculated that their household income was 829 percent of the federal poverty line which, according to Table 1-2, confirms that the Appellants were not

eligible to claim the Premium Assistance Subsidy for the 2021 tax year.

2.1

2.4

While Respondent appreciates Appellants' circumstances, the mistake here appears to be a computational error, rather than a substantive question of legal interpretation. A prudent taxpayer exercising both business care and due diligence refers to the specific form instructions when directed to do so, rather than rely on unreferenced [sic] federal form for guidance.

Therefore, Appellants' failure to follow the directions listed on both Form 3849 and its accompanying instructions does not constitute reasonable cause for abatement of the late-payment penalty, and FTB's imposition of the late-payment penalty should be sustained.

and Respondent is not allowed to abate interest except where authorized by law. Appellants have not raised any issues which would allow interest abatement.

Additionally, after review, Respondent has confirmed that there were no unreasonable delays warranting interest abatement. Therefore, the Appellants are not entitled to the abatement of interest.

Lastly, the imposition of interest is mandatory,

I'm happy to answer any questions you may have. Thank you.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you,

Mr. Garcia-Rosenblum.

2.4

Mr. Assh, I see your hand. I'm going to give you an opportunity to speak in a minute. You are muted, so I can't hear you, but I'll give you an opportunity in a minute.

I don't have any questions for the Franchise Tax Board. I'll go ahead and allow Mr. Assh his five minutes for rebuttal.

Mr. Assh, you may proceed, unless you have a specific question.

MR. ASSH: I have a specific question to start with.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Okay. Go ahead.

MR. ASSH: Daniel Assh. To the Franchise Tax

Board, can you explain why in the draft the worksheets

were included with the tax form? And for some reason,

unbeknownst to my accountant or her tax preparing software

specialist, why were the forms moved to the instructions

instead of being part of the tax form? I -- I'm at a

loss.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Mr. Assh, this is not an opportunity for you to ask questions to the Franchise Tax Board. This is to direct any question you have to me.

MR. ASSH: Oh, I thought --

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Yeah. It's okay.

MR. ASSH: -- I was allowed to ask questions first and then a rebuttal.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: No worries.

MR. ASSH: Am I incorrect?

opportunity to ask questions. Mr. Garcia-Rosenblum is not testifying as a witness. He was just presenting his -the FTB's position. So there's no opportunity to
cross-examine him or ask him any follow-up questions
because he was not giving his testimony. I understand
your question, and I'm not going to relay that question to
FTB. Because for me, I'll take it under consideration
that you have a question, but I don't think it's important
to ask FTB that question at that time. But I do have a
question for you, but I'll go ahead and wait until after
your rebuttal, and we could take it from there.

2.4

### CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. ASSH: Again, I think I did my utmost to find the proper information. I don't understand why from a draft to a final version the tables were moved to a different location. As a reasonable man, I think I did my due diligence. I went beyond the call by paying two hours of my accountant's time to research the item, which she in turn researched with her accounting software provider.

```
You know, I can't do anymore. I don't understand it, and
1
 2
      I don't think I'll ever understand it.
 3
               I'm done.
               JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Thank you, sir.
 4
 5
               Now my question for you is what draft are you
      referring to?
 6
 7
               MR. ASSH: Can I show it to you?
               JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Show it to me how? Sorry.
 8
9
      With the --
10
               MR. ASSH: Share my screen, and I'll give you
11
      the --
12
               JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Wait. Was it part of your
      exhibit?
13
14
               MR. ASSH: Yes. It's just easier for me to --
15
               JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Just tell me -- you reference
16
      what exhibit you're referring to, and I can just take a
17
      look at that.
               MR. ASSH: It would be -- it would -- I don't --
18
19
      it would be hard for me to pull up which exhibit, but it
20
      will be the one that is identical to this. It's 2020
2.1
      Premium Assistance Subsidy. It says, "Draft of" -- "as of
22
      11/12/2020. Do not file." And the information --
23
               JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Is that something you --
2.4
               MR. ASSH: Say it again?
25
               JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Wait. Is that something
```

1	that's
2	MR. ASSH: And that's what we relied on was
3	JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Okay. I
4	MR. ASSH: the form, the draft form.
5	JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: I feel like we're speaking
6	over each other. So give me a minute to finish my
7	question before you proceed.
8	This draft document, did that have your
9	confidential information on there?
10	MR. ASSH: No, it doesn't.
11	JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: It doesn't. Okay. This is
12	2020 instruction. Now, give me a minute while I look
13	through your exhibits to see which exhibit this is.
14	MR. ASSH: It would be one of the first ones.
15	JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Give me a minute, please.
16	Thank you.
17	Okay. At this time I'm going to ask you to stop
18	sharing your screen while I look for this document.
19	I'm going through the file. I don't see any
20	document that says the term, like, watermarked "draft" on
21	there. Is that something the Franchise Tax Board
22	submitted? Because I don't see it with your submissions.
23	MR. ASSH: It was with my initial submission to
24	for the
25	JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: To the Franchise Tax Board or

to the Office of Tax Appeals?

2.1

2.4

MR. ASSH: Franchise Tax Board.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Got it. So we are an independent agency. We do not have any documents you submitted to the Franchise Tax Board before you filed your appeal. Therefore --

MR. ASSH: But in my -- in the appeal, I did quote the tax form.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Okay. So you included language on that form, but you did not actually include that form. Okay.

MR. ASSH: I included it.

Office of Tax Appeals. Just -- I'm just trying to understand what's going on here. I'm not trying to argue or anything. So this document, I don't see a copy of it. It seems like it was not submitted as part of the appeal package. I remember your briefing had some quoted language. I can pull that up. But if you included the relevant portion of that draft in your brief, that should be fine. But I just want to understand now with respect to what the timeline of events.

You looked at a draft of the 2020 instruction, not the 2021 instruction and got -- you're saying that document didn't -- I guess you could just tell me what you

mean when you say you looked at the draft and what is the significance of your position again, because I don't totally understand.

MR. ASSH: Normally in October of -- before the filing, my accountant and I go over our tax returns. And because it was only a draft, we used the draft. And in the draft it clearly showed that we were not eligible for the Premium Tax Assistance because it was in the form draft and said "did not use."

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Okay.

2.4

MR. ASSH: When we came to file the taxes, we no longer pulled up the form that was draft. We pulled up the final form, but this form did not include any worksheets. We searched the web for the worksheets, and the only place we could find the worksheets was what labeled draft. Therefore, I asked my accountant to research the issue and move on with the accounting software firm because she uses — to research the issue. Neither of them could find the worksheets in a final version of the form. Therefore, we assumed that it was not included.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Right. Because I'm -
MR. ASSH: Later, after the fact, when I got the

notice from the Franchise Tax Board that I owed money,

they showed that it wasn't the instructions and not in the

tax form. Obviously, seeing that they told me where it 1 2 was, although I couldn't find it through Google or Bing, I 3 had no argument because I agreed with them. But I didn't agree with them was the moving of the information from the 4 5 tax form draft to take out information, have a tax form 6 without the information included that we could use, and we 7 couldn't find one. Is that clear. 8 9 JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Yeah, I think I understand. 10 But the document that you just shared was a 2020 tax year. 11 It was definitely the 2020 tax year, but when I look at 12 the 2021, which is the year at issue, the Form 3849, Item 6 on part 1 says, "Go to Worksheet 2 and Table 1-2 in the 13 14 instructions." So I don't --MR. ASSH: That is what --15 16 JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: From my --17 MR. ASSH: That is where the misunderstanding is, 18 is it says, "Go to the instructions," but it's Table 1 and 19 2 of worksheet and Table 1. We misunderstood that as to 20 mean use the worksheet, but the worksheet wasn't there. 21 JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: I mean, I am looking at the 22 instructions.

MR. ASSH: And we looked for --

23

2.4

25

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Okay. I understand your position. I'm just letting you know I looked up the 2021

instructions, and it has that worksheet in there as FTB referenced in its briefing. So that's what I was trying to understand what --

MR. ASSH: And I agree. That's why when I got the --

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Okay.

2.4

MR. ASSH: -- the notification --

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Okay. I understand you. So to summarize your position, you saw this document. You saw the Form 3849 for the 2021 tax year. You saw Line 6 referencing the instructions. You just couldn't locate what instruction this form was referring to. Neither you nor your tax professional could pull up the instruction for Form 3849 and look at that table. Is that correct?

MR. ASSH: I believe that in error, neither of them actually looked at the instructions on how to fill out the form because they knew how to fill out the form.

Neither of them and myself thought that the worksheet would actually be included in the instructions and not in the tax form.

JUDGE AKOPCHIKYAN: Got it. Okay. I think I understand your position now. Sorry for the back and forth, but yeah, I get it now. Okay. I don't have any follow-up questions for you, Mr. Assh.

Does anybody have any questions for me? No.

Okay. So I think we are ready to conclude this hearing. 1 This case is submitted on December 13th, 2023, 2 and the record is now closed. 3 I want to thank the parties for their 4 5 presentation today. And thank you, Mr. Assh, for your testimony. 6 7 We will decide this case based on the arguments 8 and evidence presented to the Office of Tax Appeals and 9 issue our written decision within 100 days from today. 10 We're going to take a brief recess before the 11 next hearing, which is scheduled to begin at approximately 12 1:50 p.m. 13 Thank you. 14 (Proceedings adjourned at 1:37 p.m.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 2.4 25

## 1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 26th day 15 of December, 2023. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25