BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN	THE	MATTER	OF	THE	APPEAL	OF,)			
D.	ABRA	AHAMI,)	OTA	NO.	221212079
				Al	PPELLAN'	Г.)			
)			

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Thursday, February 22, 2024

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS					
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
3						
4						
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)					
6)					
7	D. ABRAHAMI,) OTA NO. 221212079)					
8	APPELLANT.))					
9	,					
10						
11						
12						
13						
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,					
15	taken in the State of California, commencing					
16	at 10:50 a.m. and concluding at 11:19 a.m. on					
17	Thursday, February 22, 2024, reported by					
18	Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and					
19	for the State of California.					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Administrative Law Judge:	JUDGE RICHARD TAY
4	For the Appellant:	D. ABRAHAMI
5	for the appearance.	<i>5</i> • 1151(41111111
6	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
7		PAIGE CHANG
8		MARIA BROSTERHOUS
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		I N D E X				
2						
3	<u>EXHIBITS</u>					
4						
5	(Appellant's Exhibit	s 1-3 were received at page 6.)				
6	(Department's Exhibits A-G were received at page 7.)					
7						
8		PRESENTATION				
9						
10		<u>PAGE</u>				
11	By Mr. Abrahami	8				
12	By Ms. Chang	12				
13						
14						
15		CLOSING STATEMENT				
16		PAGE				
17	By Mr. Abrahami	16				
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	California; Thursday, February 22, 2024
2	10:50 a.m.
3	
4	JUDGE TAY: We are on the record.
5	This is the Appeal of Dalia Abrahami, OTA
6	Case No. 221212079. Today is February 22nd, 2024. It's
7	approximately 10:50 a.m.
8	This appeal is being conducted electronically
9	lead by myself, Judge Richard Tay. This appeal is being
10	heard and decided by single Administrative Law Judge under
11	the Office of Tax Appeals Small Case Program.
12	I just want to remind everyone and all of our
13	viewers that the Office of Tax Appeals is not a court but
14	is an independent appeals body. The office is staffed by
15	tax experts and is independent of the State's tax agency.
16	OTA does not engage in any ex parte
17	communications with either party, and my decision will be
18	based on the arguments and evidence provided by the
19	parties on appeal in conjunction with an appropriate
20	application of the law.
21	Now, for the record, I'm going to ask the parties
22	to introduce themselves, starting with Appellant.
23	Will you please introduce yourself for the
24	record.
25	MR. ABRAHAMI: Appellant would be me?

1	JUDGE TAY: Yes.
2	MR. ABRAHAMI: Doron Abrahami speaking for Dalia
3	Abrahami.
4	JUDGE TAY: Thank you.
5	Franchise Tax Board.
6	MS. CHANG: Page Chang for the Franchise Tax
7	Board.
8	MS. BROSTERHOUS: Maria Brosterhous also for the
9	Franchise Tax Board.
10	JUDGE TAY: Thank you everyone.
11	Now, the issue on appeal today is whether
12	Appellant has shown that Franchise Tax Board erred in its
13	proposed assessment of tax in the late-filing penalty for
14	the 2019 tax year.
15	During the appeal process, Respondent provided
16	Exhibits A through G, and Appellant provided Exhibits 1
17	through 3. No objections were made to the exhibits.
18	Is that correct, Franchise Tax Board?
19	MS. CHANG: Yes. That's correct. Thank you.
20	JUDGE TAY: And Mr. Abrahami?
21	MR. ABRAHAMI: Correct.
22	JUDGE TAY: The exhibits are now admitted as
23	evidence into the record.
24	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-3 were received
25	in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

1 (Department's Exhibits A-G were received in 2 evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 3 Mr. Abrahami, I believe that you decided to make your presentation and that you wanted to maybe testify to 4 5 certain facts. Is that still the case? Because, if so, 6 I'd like to swear you in as a witness as well. 7 MR. ABRAHAMI: Yes. JUDGE TAY: Okay. If you would please just raise 8 9 your right hand. 10 11 D. ABRAHAMI, 12 produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by 13 the Administrative Law Judge, was examined, and testified 14 as follows: 15 16 JUDGE TAY: Okay. Thank you very much. 17 We're going to start with presentations, and 18 we'll start with Appellant's presentation. You will have 19 10 minutes to make you're presentation, after which I will 20 give Franchise Tax Board an opportunity to make its 2.1 presentation, and then you will have 5 minutes on 22 rebuttal. 23 Okay. So, Mr. Abrahami, you have 10 minutes. 2.4 Feel free to begin whenever you're ready. /// 25

PRESENTATION

2.4

MR. ABRAHAMI: Okay. What I've asked the courts to do is dismiss the case completely because I think that this -- that they failed to analyze the financial state of Dalia Abrahami completely. They based it on the wrong assumption that she was paying mortgage payments and whatever have you, and they failed to look at their previous tax years to see that she only paid the year before a fraction of what they're presenting. And they just came up with a number, and they caused a lot of damage to Mrs. Abrahami by demanding a very large payment that was based on the wrong information that I don't know where they received.

I have submitted the paperwork showing that Dalia Abrahami is not at all on the mortgage loan. It's in the name of Natalie Abrahami, and the payments were made by Cherry Chase, LLC. Dalia was never involved in making the mortgage payments. So I don't know where they came up with that. And I also submitted the previous year of tax to show. And they have previous taxes also for '17 and '15 and '16 that she only paid, like, maybe \$1,000 a year or \$1,200 a year. Where they came up with \$3,000 is totally an invented number.

I'm done.

JUDGE TAY: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Abrahami.

I have just a few clarifying questions regarding some of the documents that you submitted. And so I know that you submitted some statements, it looks like credit card statements to Franchise Tax Board. Now, some of them are credit card statements of Dalia Abrahami and January, June, July, and December of 2019. Could you just elaborate a little bit about why those statements are relevant to this appeal?

MR. ABRAHAMI: Well, they -- they asked for statements, so I sent her statements, you know. I didn't even read them. Because, you know, they -- it was basically to prove that she did not make any payments to Rez [sic] Mortgage Company. It's not really showing anything except that she's never made payments to Rez. This is what their -- the entire number is based on. They --

JUDGE TAY: Okay.

2.4

MR. ABRAHAMI: They think she made enough money to pay -- to make a large living expenses, and I don't know where they came up with that \$3,000.

JUDGE TAY: Okay. And there's also a statement of Cherry Red LLC. It looks like a checking account statement. There are a few transactions that are highlighted -- it seems like they're highlighted. Would you be able to also kind of elaborate on the relevance of

this statement?

2.1

2.4

MR. ABRAHAMI: Well, they -- they wanted me to show that -- where the payments to Rez Mortgage came from. And it always, for the last three or four years, as long as we had that loan, came from Cherry Red LLC. They requested those statements. I sent them.

JUDGE TAY: Would you be able to point to which transaction they're referring to regarding the mortgage payment?

MR. ABRAHAMI: I don't have it in front of me, but I think it's for \$1,097 and I remember that's the amount that is being deducted automatically from the Cherry Chase account for Rez Mortgage. But that's the only relevant number that is on that statement. It's basically they wanted proof that -- that the mortgage payment is being made not by Dalia. So I sent those statements.

JUDGE TAY: Would this be related to the Flamingo Heights HOA?

MR. ABRAHAMI: No. The Flamingo Heights is my property. It's only for, think, \$375 or \$286 previously. I don't know which statement but probably \$216. That's an HOA for one of my condominiums that I own.

JUDGE TAY: Okay. That's --

MR. ABRAHAMI: And, again, it's that -- those are

1 my expenses, not Dalia's. She's not on that account. 2 JUDGE TAY: Okay. And the amount you said is 3 \$900 or --MR. ABRAHAMI: For the Rez Mortgage, it's -- I 4 5 think it's \$1,097 for the mortgage company. 6 JUDGE TAY: Okay. Well, I'm not seeing it 7 exactly, but perhaps I'm looking at the wrong thing. 8 I'll maybe let Franchise Tax Board weigh in a little bit 9 on that. And the mortgage statement that you submitted in 10 December from Newrez, it does show Natalie Abrahami on it. 11 I note that it's a mortgage statement dated November 2023. 12 But is it your understanding or was it the fact that 13 Natalie Abrahami was on the mortgage statement in all of 14 2019 as well? 15 MR. ABRAHAMI: Yes. She is the one that is the 16 only one on the mortgage paper. She's the one that sign 17 those loan documents. The mortgage is in her name solely, 18 completely. 19 JUDGE TAY: Okay. All right. That's all the 20 clarifying questions I have right now. 21 I will turn it over to Franchise Tax Board for 22 its presentation. Franchise Tax Board, you have 10 minutes. 23 2.4 begin whenever you're ready. 25 MS. CHANG: Thank you.

PRESENTATION

MS. CHANG: Good morning. My name is Paige Chang, along with my Co-Counsel Maria Brosterhous, representing the Franchise Tax Board.

2.4

At issue today is: First, whether Appellant has demonstrated error in FTB's proposed assessment; second, whether Appellant has established reasonable cause to abate the delinquent filing penalty; and third, whether interest may be abated.

Turning to the first issue, Appellant has not met her burden of proof to show error in FTB's proposed assessment. When FTB makes a tax assessment based on estimate of income, its initial burden is to show that the assessment is reasonable and rational. When the assessment is based on the reasonable inference that the taxpayer must have had sufficient income to pay for cost of living expenses for years where no income is reported and statistics are used to reconstruct income, the courts require a minimal evidentiary foundation for the presumption of correctness to attach.

Here in this case, upon Appellant's failure to file a 2019 tax return, FTB properly proposed an assessment based on Appellant's mortgage interest payment information. Although the initial burden is on Respondent, once the taxing authority triggers the

presumption of correctness, the burden shifts to the taxpayer to show error in the assessment. In addition, the taxpayer must produce evidence to establish the proper amount of tax.

2.4

Here, Appellant's claim that she did not work for the 2019 tax year, having closed her business, and that her ex-husband, Mr. Abrahami, paid for her living expenses and the mortgage payments in 2019. Appellant has provided some documents. However, Appellant has not met her burden to establish error in the proposed assessment.

Appellant provided these following documents:

Appellant provided four months of personal bank
statements, which show some online transfer deposits.

However, the statements do not indicate the source of
these deposits or that it was Appellant's ex-husband who
made these deposits. Appellant provided one bank
statement from the entity Cherry Red LLC in order to show
a mortgage payment to JP Morgan Chase bank. However, from
the transaction detail listed to JP Morgan Chase bank,
it's not clear that the transaction was for a mortgage
payment.

Appellant provided a mortgage statement under the name Natalie Abrahami for the period of November 2023.

It's not clear from the mortgage statement that because the year on appeal is 2019 that Dalia Abrahami was not the

one making the mortgage payments in 2019. However,
Mr. Abrahami has explained here today that it was the case
that Dalia Abrahami was also not on the mortgage in 2019.
Lastly, Appellant provided a copy of her 2018 federal tax
return. However, the tax year on appeal is 2019 and,
based on federal information that FTB received from the
IRS, Appellant has not yet filed her 2019 federal tax
return.

2.1

2.4

Beyond the provided documents with regards to additional information or documents, in order to explain how Appellant paid for cost of living expenses and mortgage interest payments the following items would be helpful: First, bank statements from Appellant's ex-husband, Mr. Abrahami, that showed the corresponding online transfer payments that were indicated in Appellant's personal bank statements to show that Mr. Abrahami was paying for Appellant's cost of living expenses; second, mortgage statements from the year of 2019; third, Appellant may file her 2019 California tax return; lastly, any additional information or evidence as to Appellant's business, the date that her business closed or the reason that her business closed would be helpful as well.

A taxpayer's failure to provide evidence that is within his or her control gives rise to the presumption

that such evidence would be unfavorable, and supported assertions are insufficient to meet a taxpayer's burden of proof. Thus, Appellant has not met her burden of proof to establish error in FTB's proposed assessment.

2.4

Now, turning to the second issue, FTB properly imposed a delinquent filing penalty when Appellant failed to timely file a 2019 tax return. To date Appellant has not yet filed her 2019 California return. Although, the delinquent filing penalty may be abated upon a showing of reasonable cause, Appellant has not made a reasonable cause argument for abatement of the penalty. Thus, the delinquent filing penalty may not be abated.

Turning to the third and final issue regarding accrued interest, there is no reasonable cause exception to the imposition of interest, and Appellant has not alleged or established any of the statutory grounds for interest abatement. Thus, interest may not be abated.

In conclusion, Appellant has failed to satisfy her burden of proof because Appellant has not demonstrated error in Respondent's proposed assessment or established any grounds to abate the delinquent filing penalty or any grounds to abate interest, Respondent's actions should be sustained.

Thank you. I'm happy to answer any questions.

JUDGE TAY: Thank you, Franchise Tax Board.

oobon ini. inank you, iii

Maybe just a couple of clarifying questions. First, on
the late-filing penalty, if Appellant were to show that
they did not have sufficient income or to show that there
was a tax due, then what would be the consequence to the
late-filing penalty?

MS. CHANG: Generally, the late-filing penalty i

MS. CHANG: Generally, the late-filing penalty is calculated based on the amount of tax due. And so if Appellant filed a tax return and the tax return were accepted at a lower amount of tax than the proposed amount, then the late-filing penalty would also be reduced based on the calculation, if that's helpful.

JUDGE TAY: Yes. Thank you. I appreciate that.

I have no further questions for Franchise Tax Board.

So I will turn to Mr. Abrahami to close us out with a 5-minute rebuttal to what Franchise Tax Board had to say, so if you have anything to add. And if you would like to make any kind of closing remarks, please feel free to do so. You have 5 minutes. Please begin whenever you're ready.

MR. ABRAHAMI: Yes, Your Honor.

2.4

CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. ABRAHAMI: I just want to re-emphasize that Dalia Abrahami has not worked in 2019. All the payments were made by me to the mortgage company in the name of

Natalie Abrahami, which is also on the bank accounts for Cherry Chase LLC. Dalia is disabled. She's not working. She was receiving compensation from the uninsured -- from the whatever it's called -- the EDD. She's still not working. She's unable to work.

2.4

I don't really know what they want from her.

They should just -- they should just go and leave this lady alone. There is no income. She has no income now. She didn't have income in 2019, and they're just making things very difficult for Dalia Abrahami, a lot of stress. And I -- I'm asking the Court to dismiss her case. It's based on the assumption that she paid mortgage, and she paid this, and she paid this. She didn't pay anything. She didn't have any money to pay.

I think -- I think I made that clear with the Cherry Chase statements that if it wasn't for me and my goodwill, she -- she would be a burden on the State even more.

JUDGE TAY: Mr. Abrahami, did that conclude your final statement?

MR. ABRAHAMI: Yes, sir.

JUDGE TAY: Okay. I'm going to ask just a couple of clarifying questions just to close us out here. Now, it occurs to me that this appeal has to do with documents that may or may not be able to be provided. Franchise Tax

Board just articulated a list of a few documents that they believe would be helpful to them in terms of being able to understand your position.

2.4

Do you have any interest in providing any of those documents, because I can leave the record open for a short amount of time? So for example, if Appellant would like to file their 2019 California income tax return or provide any mortgage statements from 2019 or any other payment evidence.

MR. ABRAHAMI: I -- I will be willing to submit all of 2019 mortgage payments that were made by Cherry Chase LLC. For some reason, the tax accountant that gave Dalia the last -- the last five years of tax returns -- just no reason for her to file. She didn't make any income. She stopped filing and filed for welfare -- not welfare. She filed for Social Security, and she's receiving \$460 a month.

Okay. So I will be willing to go through my records for 2019, even though it's -- it's -- I told them pick a money month, any months that you want, and I will give you that month. If you want January or if you want April, I'll show that in the April of 2019 Cherry Chase made that payment. They didn't respond. I don't -- I don't see a reason for them to make things so difficult and request all 12 months.

JUDGE TAY: Fair enough. I'm going to turn to Franchise Tax Board and ask one clarifying question, which is, if Appellant Dalia Abrahami made no money in 2019, my understanding from what you're saying is that you'd still like to see a tax return filed that shows no income; is that correct? MS. CHANG: Yes, that's correct. Appellant may file a return even showing zero income. FTB would welcome

that, yes.

JUDGE TAY: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

MS. BROSTERHOUS: Can I just make a clarifying statement, sir -- Judge Tay.

JUDGE TAY: Sure.

MS. BROSTERHOUS: We're not saying that she has to require one -- or excuse me -- file one if she has no income, but that's one way she can demonstrate it. We -we just need to understand the source of income that she was receiving and how the mortgage was being paid.

MR. ABRAHAMI: Well, I think I have established -- excuse me for interrupting I think I've established about the mortgage. You know, I think they should just accept the fact that they made the wrong calculation and stop asking for those mortgage payments. They were made by Cherry Chase LLC. Just say -- just say -- name three months. Name four months -- any four

months that you want in 2019, and we'll show you that it was paid in automatic payment from Cherry Chase LLC to Rez Mortgage.

2.4

I -- I think they're just being very difficult on a poor woman. How about having her make a statement? I think they -- they requested that -- that she did not work in 2019. Why file taxes and -- if you don't make any money?

JUDGE TAY: Mr. Abrahami, so I think one -- part of the issue is --

MR. ABRAHAMI: If it's -- excuse me for interrupting you, Judge. But if -- you know, I can ask her old accountant if it's worthwhile. You know, it's \$150 to do the filing. And what, show zero amount of whatever little she made. I don't know if she made any. She -- but okay. Go ahead. I'm sorry.

JUDGE TAY: That's okay, Mr. Abrahami.

MR. ABRAHAMI: It's been very frustrating.

JUDGE TAY: I understand, and I know it's a difficult process. So hopefully I can provide a little bit of clarification here. I think one issue -- well, one aspect of this is that Franchise Tax Board is estimating an income based on mortgage payments that were allegedly made. And so what you're -- I understand that you're arguing that those payments were not made by her. Now,

that's, kind of, part of the larger question, which is, did Appellant Dalia Abrahami have any income at all that year?

2.4

And so what they're also saying is that if you can just demonstrate that the actual amount of income that Dalia Abrahami had in 2019, which from what I understand from what you're saying she made no income, then that would also would be helpful to Franchise Tax Board. And one way to demonstrate, kind of, the correct amount of income is just to file a 2019 California income tax return, even if it shows that she made zero income. Okay.

So I think that's what Franchise Tax Board is trying to say here is that there's an amount of income that she may or may not have made that's uncertain right now. And so if you could provide any information that shows what her income was in 2019, even if it were a minimal amount of income, then that would be helpful.

Now, what I'm hearing from you, for the purpose of this appeal, is that you would like an opportunity to provide additional documents. And so what I'm going to do is I'm going to hold the record open for 30 days at the conclusion of this hearing. And I'll issue an order asking you to provide any other documents that you would like to submit. If you do provide any documents, I will allow Franchise Tax Board time to review and respond to

those documents before I close the record. Okay. 1 2 MR. ABRAHAMI: I appreciate that, Judge. 3 JUDGE TAY: Okay. All right. MR. ABRAHAMI: I'll have a talk with Dalia and 4 5 see what she's willing to do. I don't really know what the level of income she had, if it was below the minimum 6 7 of reporting. I'm not sure because I was not involved. 8 Like I said, you know, I was in Brazil at the time, and I 9 did not live with her. I'm only here right now to take 10 care of her while she's sick. But I'll be willing to find 11 out. And if it makes sense to file 2019, I'll 12 see where -- if she can come up with \$150 to -- to file for it. 13 14 JUDGE TAY: Okay. And I understand that it can be a little bit of a burden in terms of the cost to file a 15 16 I do believe that there are some fairly low cost 17 or free opportunities to file as well. I'll look into it. 18 MR. ABRAHAMI: 19 JUDGE TAY: You can look into those as well. 20 MR. ABRAHAMI: Yes. 21 JUDGE TAY: Okay. Any final questions before we 22 conclude today's hearing? 23 Franchise Tax Board? 2.4 MS. CHANG: No questions. Thank you. 25 JUDGE TAY: Okay. Mr. Abrahami, do you have any

1 final questions for me before we conclude today's hearing? 2 MR. ABRAHAMI: I don't have any questions. 3 is just a request to -- actually, an appeal to these Just let it go. You can see from the taxes she 4 5 never paid that much in her life. You know you made a 6 mistake. Just admit the mistake and -- and let her go. 7 You know, she never paid \$3,000. 8 JUDGE TAY: Okay, Mr. Abrahami. 9 MR. ABRAHAMI: Just an appeal. 10 JUDGE TAY: Okay. Thank you. 11 And, again, I just want to thank both parties 12 again for participating in this appeal. This concludes 13 the hearing for this appeal. We will hold the record open 14 to allow Appellant an opportunity to provide additional 15 documents, and so please look out for that post-hearing 16 order from me. 17 With that, we are now off the record. 18 Thank you again, everyone. 19 (Proceedings adjourned at 11:19 a.m.) 20 21 22 23 2.4 25

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 13th day 15 of March, 2024. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25