BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ΙN	THE	MATTER	OF	THE	APPEAL	OF,)			
_)	0.00		000010005
Α.	NES'	roras,)	O'I'A	NO.	230312827
				A.	PPELLAN'	Г.)			
)			
)			

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Cerritos, California

Thursday, February 15, 2024

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN MUE MAMMED OF MUE ADDEAL OF
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,) Output Out
7	A. NESTORAS,) OTA NO. 230312827)
8	APPELLANT.)
9)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Proceedings,
15	taken at 12900 Park Plaza Dr., Suite 300,
16	Cerritos, California, 90703, commencing at
17	9:34 a.m. and concluding at 9:49 a.m. on
18	Thursday, February 15, 2024, reported by
19	Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and
20	for the State of California.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:				
2					
3	Administrative Law Judge:	JUDGE EDDY Y. H. LAM			
4	For the Appellant:	A. NESTORAS			
5	ror the Apperrant.	A. NEGIONAS			
6	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD			
7		SARAH FASSETT			
8		JACLYN ZUMAETA			
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1		I N D E X
2		
3		EXHIBITS
4		
5	(Appellant's Exhibi	ts 1-6 were received at page 6.)
6	(Department's Exhib	its A-K were received at page 7.)
7		
8		OPENING STATEMENT
9		PAGE_
10	Dec Mar Nachara	
11	By Mr. Nestoras	8
12	By Ms. Fassett	9
13		
14		
15		CLOSING STATEMENT
16		<u>PAGE</u>
17	By Mr. Nestoras	13
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Cerritos, California; Thursday, February 15, 2024
2	9:34 a.m.
3	
4	JUDGE LAM: We're opening the record in the
5	Appeal of Nestoras. This matter is being held before the
6	Office of Tax Appeals. The OTA case number is 230312827.
7	Today's date is Thursday, February 15th, 2024, and the
8	time is approximately 9:30.
9	Appellant elected to have this appeal determined
10	pursuant to the procedures of the Small Case Program. As
11	such, a single Administrative Law Judge would be assigned
12	to this appeal. My name is Eddy Lam, and I am the
13	Administrative Law Judge for this appeal.
14	Now, for introductions, can we please have
15	Appellant start introducing yourself on to the record.
16	MR. NESTORAS: Anastasios Nestoras.
17	JUDGE LAM: Thank you.
18	And Franchise Tax Board.
19	MS. FASSETT: Sarah Fassett for the Franchise Tax
20	Board.
21	MS. ZUMAETA: And Jackie Zumaeta for the
22	Franchise Tax Board.
23	JUDGE LAM: Thank you.
24	As discussed and agreed upon by the parties at
25	the prehearing conference on January 23rd, 2024, and

notated in my minutes and orders, the issues in this 1 2 matter are: whether the Appellant has demonstrated error 3 in FTB's proposed assessments; whether Appellant has demonstrated reasonable cause to abate the late-filing 4 5 penalty; and whether Appellant established that interest 6 should be abated. No objections were raised, and these 7 are admitted through the prehearing conference minutes and 8 orders on January 23rd, 2024. 9 For exhibits, Appellant has identified Exhibits 1 10 through 4, and FTB has no objections to them. Appellant 11

through 4, and FTB has no objections to them. Appellant then offered two separate new exhibits, which is a resident ledger, which I have renumbered as Exhibit No. 5, and a screenshot of Appellant's purported checking account balance, which I renumbered it as Exhibit 6 for purposes of this appeal.

And there are no other exhibits to offer as evidence; is that correct, Mr. Nestoras?

MR. NESTORAS: Correct.

JUDGE LAM: Thank you.

Does FTB have any objections to them?

MS. FASSETT: No, we don't. Thank you.

JUDGE LAM: Great. Thank you. So Exhibit No. 1 through 6 are admitted into the record.

(Appellant's Exhibits 1-6 were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1	JUDGE LAM: Now, for Respondent Franchise Tax
2	Board, Franchise Tax Board has identified Exhibits A
3	through K, and has no other exhibits to offer as evidence.
4	Appellant also has no objections to them pursuant to the
5	prehearing conference minutes and orders. Since no
6	objections were raised, Exhibits A through K are admitted
7	into the record.
8	(Department's Exhibits A-K were received in
9	evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)
10	JUDGE LAM: Mr. Nestoras, you had indicated at
11	the prehearing conference that you will be testifying as a
12	witness at this oral hearing. Is this still true?
13	MR. NESTORAS: Yes.
14	JUDGE LAM: Okay. Thank you. I will then swear
15	you in, Mr. Nestoras.
16	Mr. Nestoras, would you raise your right hand.
17	
18	A. NESTORAS,
19	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
20	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined, and testified
21	as follows:
22	
23	JUDGE LAM: Thank you, Mr. Nestoras.
24	Okay. We have all the housekeeping items
25	completed. This oral hearing will begin.

Mr. Nestoras, you have about 15 minutes for your presentation, which includes your witness testimony. As a reminder, Mr. Nestoras, you will be offered a final statement after FTB's closing remarks for about 10 minutes. You can begin whenever you're ready.

MR. NESTORAS: Okay.

JUDGE LAM: Thank you.

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. NESTORAS: The money in question was from life insurance value that was take without taken without my knowledge by my ex, the beneficiary. When I call State Farm and said I didn't get the money, they said you are the policy-holder. On the divorce case, the first judge allow me to take \$90,000 from the value of my insurance policy because of my medical bills. The second judge, though, he said that he's a community property, and she actually took almost \$7,000 back and gave it to the settlement. So I really got only \$12,000 from my insurance policy, the total amount at this date.

Even though my ex is a State Farm Insurance agent, and she had to display her income, which is more than \$250,000 and I'm in a very bad hardship, the judge didn't see that fit. So that went really the wrong way against me. I'm 73-years old, way below property level,

as you see from my Social Security income that you have on 1 2 the forms in front of you, and as well as from the State 3 of California that I qualify for medical assistance because the -- you know, the very low income. 4 5 Currently, I live on food stamps. I'm legally 6 handicap. I'm an ex-personal trainer. Even though I'm 7 73, I wish I could work, but I cannot. I am behind on my rent, if you can see on the statements, and I'm in danger 8 9 of being homeless. The \$600 that the State of California 10 is asking from me is going to really mean of me not having 11 food, not having benefit, or even be homeless. That's an 12 extreme hardship that I am going through. 13 So I would very much appreciate everybody if you 14 can please dismiss that \$600 tax that the State of 15 California is asking me because of my extreme hardship. 16 Thank you. 17 JUDGE LAM: Thank you. Mr. Nestoras, is that 18 your argument and testimony? 19 MR. NESTORAS: Yes. 20 JUDGE LAM: All right. Franchise Tax Board, 2.1 whenever you're ready. 22 MS. FASSETT: Thank you, Judge Lam. 23 2.4 PRESENTATION 25 So good morning. MS. FASSETT:

So here, Appellant has made the same arguments for all three issues that half of the life insurance proceeds went to his ex-spouse as part of a divorce settlement, and that this assessment of tax and penalty presents an economic hardship due to his age, medical conditions, and financial situation. His offered documentation only speaks to his current financial condition.

2.4

Appellant bears the burden of proving that FTB's proposed assessment is incorrect, and Appellant has not met this burden. None of Appellant's arguments or the information presented by the Appellant establish error in the proposed assessment, or show that he has established that he does not have a filing requirement for the 2020 tax year. And while FTB is sympathetic to Appellant's situation, there's no provision under the law that allows FTB or OTA to either withdraw proposed assessment or abate tax due to hardship.

FTB has provided Appellant in writing and verbally with information regarding its collection programs that address a taxpayer's financial hardship concerns. After the appeal is concluded and is happy to provide that information again.

With regard to the late-filing penalty, Appellant has yet to file a 2020 California tax returns. And his

arguments and documentation concerning his limited income, age, and medical issues do not constitute reasonable cause, nor do they show that he has been continuously prevented from filing a 2020 tax year return and, therefore, do not meet his burden.

Finally, as to the interest, FTB's is imposition of interest is mandatory, and FTB is only authorized to abate interest in certain very limited circumstances.

Appellant has not alleged, and the record does not reflect any basis for interest abatement. Therefore, on the facts and evidence in the record, Franchise Tax Board respectfully request you sustain its position.

I'm happy to address any questions you may have. Thank you.

JUDGE LAM: Thank you.

2.1

2.4

This is Judge Lam speaking. I have a question for Appellant. You have indicated that the -- the distribution from State Farm, only half of it belongs to you; is that correct?

MR. NESTORAS: That's the judge -- yes, that is what the judge -- that's what the judge admitted -- decided on the finalizing of the divorce.

JUDGE LAM: Thank you. And I noticed that in the opening brief -- in FTB's opening brief and also as I stated in my minutes and orders, is there a reason that

you didn't provide a copy of your divorce decree and the payment made to your ex-spouse, half of the proceeds from State Farm?

2.1

2.4

MR. NESTORAS: I was under the impression that I did provide when I put the -- I had a copy of that, and I did provide. I don't know why it's not here.

JUDGE LAM: This is Judge Lam speaking. FTB, do you have a response?

MS. FASSETT: Yeah. We have not seen a copy of the divorce decree. But I would mention that typically a copy of the divorce decree would not bind FTB to any kind of a finding on that. Typically that's an administrative issue that would be resolved between the spouses, or ex-spouses in this case.

JUDGE LAM: This is Judge Lam speaking. Thank you.

And then, Franchise Tax Board, I have a question on Exhibit F, which is the IRS transcript, specifically, Exhibit F, page 7. I understand that it says a gross distribution, which is around \$80,000, and then the taxable amount, which is almost half of \$38,000. Does that indicate that Appellant had received a distribution in which half of it had already went to the spouse?

MS. FASSETT: From this information, all we can ascertain is that, yes, there was a gross distribution of

\$80,000. And the only portion that was taxable to
Appellant was the \$38,000, which does appear to be half,
maybe with withholding as well.

JUDGE LAM: Thank you. This is Judge Lam speaking.

This is Judge Lam Speaking. I don't have any further questions.

Appellant, would you want to start your final closing remarks?

MR. NESTORAS: Yes, please.

2.1

2.4

CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. NESTORAS: I would very much appreciate talking to human beings. I understand the law. I understand what you're supposed do and all that stuff. But please consider the overall situation that you're talking to a 73-year old legally handicap that is way below the poverty level and cannot really afford the medicine or be, you know, almost homeless if I don't make arrangements with the landlord to start pay small amounts and all that stuff; so that \$600 that State of California is asking me to pay.

When I was in good shape throughout my life I paid a lots of money on the State of California. This is a hardship. This is a real hardship as is exhibited from

the State of California qualify me for the Medi-Cal, you know, below the poverty level, and the Social Security, and all the stuff. So I will appreciate the human beings that I'm talking to right now, not what the actual letter of the law says. Yes, it does says, and I understand it has to be, but that's a very, very unique hardship situation.

That \$600, if I have to pay that, you know, even

That \$600, if I have to pay that, you know, even with arrangements, it means cutting my medicine in half or, you know, minimizing the food that I eat or, you know. So I will appreciate if you consider my hardship and dismiss the \$600 that it makes a huge difference in my life at this time.

Thank you. That's it.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE LAM: This is the Judge Lam speaking. Thank you.

Does either party have any questions before we conclude the hearing?

MS. FASSETT: This is Sarah Fassett from FTB. We don't have a question, but we are, again, happy to explain the collection programs that FTB has at the conclusion of the appeal, if Appellant would like.

JUDGE LAM: Oh, sure. Thank you.

MS. ZUMAETA: And we just wanted to mention that that would be the method for having those conversations

about financial hardship. We would be absolutely happy to 1 address the financial hardship through that route. 2 3 JUDGE LAM: Thank you. Okay. We're ready to conclude this hearing. 4 5 So this case is submitted on February 15, 2024. The record is now closed. 6 7 Thank you everyone for coming in today. 8 I will decide your case, and I will send you a 9 written opinion of the decision within 100 days. 10 Today's hearing in the Appeal of Nestoras is now 11 adjourned. 12 This concludes all of our oral hearing matters 13 for today. Thank you and goodbye. 14 (Proceedings adjourned at 9:49 a.m.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 2.4 25

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 8th day 15 of March, 2024. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4 25