BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ΙN	THE	MATTER	OF	THE	APPEAL	OF,)			
)			
Ε.	HONA	ARCHIAN,	,)	OTA	NO.	221212081
)			
				A.	PPELLAN'	Γ.)			
)			
)			

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Fresno, California

Wednesday, April 17, 2024

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)
6	E. HONARCHIAN,) OTA NO. 221212081
7	APPELLANT.)
8))
9	/
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Proceedings, taken
15	at 855 M Street, Suite 960, Fresno, California,
16	90703, commencing at 11:00 a.m. and concluding
17	at 11:37 a.m. on Wednesday, April 17, 2024,
18	reported by Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter,
19	in and for the State of California.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ JOSHUA ALDRICH
4	Panel Members:	ALJ TERESA STANLEY
5	raner members.	ALJ JOSHUA LAMBERT
6	For the Appellant:	E. HONARCHIAN
7		
8	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		I N D E X				
2						
3		E X H I B I T S				
4						
5	(Department's Exhibits A-D were received into evidence page 11.)					
6						
7	OPENING STATEMENT					
8						
9		PAGE				
10	By Mr. Honarchian	12				
11	By Mr. Sharma	14				
12						
13		CLOSING STATEMENT				
14		PAGE				
15	By Mr. Honarchian	19				
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

Fresno, California; Wednesday, April 17, 2024
11:00 a.m.

2.4

JUDGE ALDRICH: This is Judge Aldrich.

We're opening the record in the Appeal of

E. Honarchian, doing business as Eddie's Auto World,

before the Office of Tax Appeals, OTA Case Number

2212120081. Today's date is Wednesday, April 17th, 2024,

and the time is approximately 11:00 a.m. This hearing is

being conducted in Fresno, California, and is also being

live streamed on the OTA's YouTube channel.

The hearing is being heard by a panel of three Administrative Law Judges. My name is Josh Aldrich. I'm the lead judge for purposes of conducting the hearing.

I'm joined by Judges Teresa Stanley and Josh Lambert.

Excuse me. During the hearing, Panel Members may ask questions or otherwise participate to ensure that we have all the information needed to decide the appeal. And after the conclusion of the hearing, we three will deliberate and decide the issues presented.

As a reminder, the Office of Tax Appeals is not a court. It is an independent appeals body. The Panel does not engage in ex parte communication with either party.

Our opinion will be based off the parties' arguments, the admitted evidence, and the relevant law. And we have read

the parties' submissions, and we look forward to hearing 1 2 your arguments today. 3 Who is present for Appellant? MR. HONARCHIAN: Eddie Honarchian. 4 5 JUDGE ALDRICH: Thank you. 6 And who is present for the California Department 7 of Tax and Fee Administration, which I may refer to as the 8 CDTFA? MR. SHARMA: Ravinder Sharma, Hearing 10 Representative; Mr. Jason Parker, Chief of Headquarters 11 Operations Bureau; and Mr. Christopher Brooks, Tax 12 Counsel. 13 JUDGE ALDRICH: Thank you, Mr. Sharma. 14 The March 30th, 2024, minutes and orders, as distributed to the parties, listed four issues. 15 16 interest of time, I will not be restating the issues. 17 However, I wanted to ask both parties whether the issues 18 summarized on the minutes and orders are correctly 19 summarized. 20 I'll begin with Mr. Honarchian. 21 MR. HONARCHIAN: Yes. 22 JUDGE ALDRICH: And Mr. Sharma? 23 MR. SHARMA: That's correct. Thank you. 2.4 JUDGE ALDRICH: Thank you. 25 Moving on to exhibits, CDTFA's exhibits are

1 identified alphabetically as Exhibits A through D. 2 through D were timely submitted during briefing on 3 April 3rd, 2023. And for Appellant, I haven't received an exhibit 4 5 index or any exhibits; is that correct? 6 MR. HONARCHIAN: Yes, that's correct. 7 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And are you -- do you have any that you're asking to submit untimely? 8 9 MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, in our hearing we had a 10 continuance, and I was supposed to ask for an additional 11 continuance because the first appeal is not completed yet. 12 So we need -- I wanted to ask if we could keep the records 13 open until the first appeal is complete. And then I 14 haven't started. I'm not prepared for this appeal yet. haven't completed the first appeal yet. So I have three 15 16 appeals pending right now, and the first one is not -- I 17 mean, it's not even completed yet. And now I'm doing the 18 second one, and I haven't even started on the second one 19 yet. 20 JUDGE ALDRICH: So with respect to the first 2.1 appeal that you're referencing, would that be -- one 22 moment -- for the audit period between April 1st, 2012, 23 through March 31st, 2015? 2.4 MR. HONARCHIAN: Correct. 25 JUDGE ALDRICH: So my understanding is that that

opinion was issued in November of 2023. And then you filed a petition for rehearing, and that the petition for rehearing -- the opinion on the petition for a rehearing was already issued relatively recently, but --

MR. HONARCHIAN: Yes.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE ALDRICH: So, in essence, it has concluded.

MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, it's not completely final

yet as -- as of -- I just received a -- a notice that it

was denied, but there's still 30 days to finalize. So

it's still not final, and then I -- once that's completed,

I wanted to start working on this appeal because we're not

able to consolidate them all together. I need to do them

one by one. And --

JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. So if I were to leave the record open, what would you be submitting? Something in the nature of a brief? Something in the nature of evidence? What are you hoping to get into the record?

MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, the first audit we had several VIN numbers that were not sold by my business. And so I need to go over all the second audit's VIN numbers and make sure that they were sold at my business and go through them; and then if there's anything wrong, to submit those.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. So did you receive a copy of the minutes and orders that we issued in this appeal

back in September of 2023?

2.4

MR. HONARCHIAN: I -- I did, yes. I did receive it, and it did -- it did state there was a 120-day continuance for the oral hearing. And if I needed more time, I was supposed to ask for it. And I -- I mean, I received it, but I -- I just didn't read a continuance because I -- when we had the oral hearing on the -- on the Webex, I was under the assumption that I had until -- I don't know why I assumed -- but I had -- I had until October of 2024 on this, but I didn't read that it was only 120 days. So --

JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. So back to the records that you are hoping to introduce into the record. Are these things that, like, weren't in your possession previously, or have you had them for the entire time?

MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, I -- I have three audits right now, and I'm really confused with all three of them. So -- and I've moved about four times since 2012, or maybe five times. And so a lot of this stuff is mixed up. And so I -- I believe I have, or I can get the second audit's --

JUDGE ALDRICH: I quess --

MR. HONARCHIAN: -- VIN numbers.

JUDGE ALDRICH: -- maybe we're not understanding each other. Is there something new that you didn't have

previously that -- something you recently discovered or 1 2 acquired? 3 MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, that's the thing. haven't started working on the second audit yet. 4 5 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. MR. HONARCHIAN: So I haven't discovered anything 6 7 as of now. I have not yet discovered anything wrong, but I -- I mean, I don't think it's correct, but I don't -- I 8 9 can't say it's wrong because I haven't really started 10 working on Audit 2 yet 'cause I'm not done with Audit 1. 11 And I still got to do -- and now Audit 3 is here. So I've 12 got to figure out, you know, what I got to do. 13 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. So I think we will proceed 14 today, and I may ask -- I may decide whether or not to 15 leave the record open later on in the hearing. But with 16 respect to exhibits, I'm going to -- well, do you have any 17 objection to admitting Exhibits A through D into evidence, 18 so the exhibits that CDTFA submitted? 19 MR. HONARCHIAN: I don't have any objections. 20 No. 21 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. 22 THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry. What did you say? 23 MR. HONARCHIAN: I don't have any objection. THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. 2.4 25 JUDGE ALDRICH: Sorry. There's some construction

going on in a nearby office, so I apologize for the noise. 1 Okay. All right. So we plan for the hearing to 2 3 proceed. Oh, sorry. Back to the exhibits. I'm going to 4 go ahead and admit Exhibits A through D into evidence, if 5 I didn't catch that before. 6 7 (Department's Exhibits A-D were received in 8 evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.) 9 So we plan for the hearing to proceed as follows: 10 You'll have 30 minutes to present your opening. 11 I didn't get any response on whether or not there 12 would be witness testimony. Do you plan to testify today, or is it just going to be argument? 13 14 MR. HONARCHIAN: Basically, yeah, I don't really have much to say other than if I may get a continuance 15 16 or -- or a -- or if we could just keep the records open. 17 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. 18 MR. HONARCHIAN: The record open to --19 JUDGE ALDRICH: We'll get there, but like in your 20 presentation, do you plan to provide testimony under oath, 2.1 or do you plan to just make an argument? 22 MR. HONARCHIAN: Probably just an argument. 23 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. Thank you. 2.4 And for the Department, I allotted 30 minutes for 25 their presentation as well. And then I allotted 5 to

10 minutes for questions from the Panel members, and then 5 minutes for a rebuttal from Appellant. So these are estimates for calendaring purposes. If you need more time, ask for it. If you need less time, you can waive it. No problem there. And any questions before we get started with the

presentations, Mr. Honarchian?

MR. HONARCHIAN: No.

JUDGE ALDRICH: No.

Mr. Sharma?

MR. SHARMA: No question. Thank you.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. So we're ready to proceed with your argument, Mr. Honarchian.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

PRESENTATION

MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, I don't know if I've received -- I may have, but I have to go through my records to see what I've received on Audit No. 2, if I've received all the VIN numbers, which I'm sure will be in the exhibits. So if I don't have them, I could get them and go through the exhibits and see if there's anything I can arque about.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay.

MR. HONARCHIAN: But, at this time, I really, you know, don't -- don't have an argument yet --

1	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay.
2	MR. HONARCHIAN: until they speak. I mean,
3	until they say something I might be able to argue on.
4	JUDGE ALDRICH: Would you like to reserve your
5	time until after CDTFA has presented?
6	MR. HONARCHIAN: Yes. Yes, please.
7	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. That's fine. And just to
8	confirm, you did receive a copy of the minutes and orders
9	that I most recently issued, March 20th, 2024?
10	MR. HONARCHIAN: Yes, I have it right here in
11	front of me.
12	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And attached to those
13	minutes and orders was a hyperlink which contained all of
14	the exhibits that CDTFA submitted back in April of 2023, I
15	believe.
16	MR. HONARCHIAN: That, I did not receive.
17	JUDGE ALDRICH: It's attached to the minutes and
18	orders.
19	MR. HONARCHIAN: I have the minutes and orders
20	here. I it didn't. It was not attached to it.
21	JUDGE ALDRICH: CDTFA, did you receive a copy of
22	the minutes and orders for March?
23	MR. SHARMA: Yes, we did, and there's a hyperlink
24	attached to that. And when you click on the hyperlink,
25	all the working papers are there Exhibit A through D

JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay.

MR. SHARMA: Thank you.

JUDGE ALDRICH: In the working papers, are there references to VINs?

MR. SHARMA: That is correct. Each and every vehicle has a VIN number listed on the schedule.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. Thank you. So pursuant to Mr. Honarchian's request, CDTFA, if you'd like to present your opening and closing.

MR. SHARMA: Yes.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Please go ahead.

MR. SHARMA: Okay. Thank you.

2.1

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. SHARMA: Appellant, operated a car dealership in Fresno, California, since June 2009. Appellant had previously been audited. The Department performed an audit examination for the period of January 1, 2016, through December 31st, 2018. Appellant reported total sales of approximately \$4.6 million, claimed deductions of little more than \$78,000, resulting in reported taxable sales of \$4.5 million for the audit period. Appellant also reported ex-tax purchases subject to use tax for a little more than \$4,000 for the audit period; Exhibit A, pages 7 through 8.

Appellant did not provide any books and records except six dealer jackets for the audit period.

2.4

THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry. Is that -
MR. SHARMA: Six. Six, number six. Five then

six. I know this is -- I'm trying to do my best to -
with that noise.

Due to lack of books and records, the Department could not verify the reporting method and the accuracy of reported amounts. To verify the accuracy of reported amounts, the Department obtained federal income tax returns and Department of Motor Vehicle data from its own resources. The Department compared reported total sales for sales and use tax returns and reported gross receipts for federal income tax returns to determine unreported taxable sales of around \$271,000 for 2017; Exhibit A, page 34.

The Department used the estimated sale price for each vehicle from DMV data and other available information to calculate audited taxable sales of around \$7.5 million for the audit period. Appellant reported taxable sales of \$4.5 million, resulting in a difference of \$3 million for the audit period. Then the Department subtracted unreported taxable sales of \$271,000 for 2017 as determined, based on federal income tax returns analysis, to calculate unreported taxable sales of around

\$2.8 million for the audit period; Exhibit A, pages 14 and 23 through 31.

2.4

The Department used available books and records to determine unreported taxable measures of around \$29,000 subject to use tax for the audit period; Exhibit A, pages 17 through 19. Appellant claimed returned merchandise of little more than \$60,000 for the audit period. Despite various requests, Appellant failed to provide any documents to support the claimed returned merchandise. Due to lack of supporting documents, the Department disallowed claimed returned merchandise of \$60,000 for the audit period; Exhibit A, page 16. Based on the stated audit procedures, the Department determined unreported taxable measure of around \$3.2 million for the audit period; Exhibit A, page 11.

When the Department is not satisfied with the amount of tax reported by the taxpayer, the Department may determine the amount required to be paid based on any information which is in its possession, or may come into its possession. In the case of an appeal, the Department has a minimal initial burden of showing that its determination was reasonable and rational. Once the Department has met its initial burden, the burden of proof shifts to the taxpayer to establish that a result differing from the Department's determination is

warranted. Unsupported assertions are not sufficient to satisfy a taxpayer's burden of proof.

2.4

The Department used DMV data, federal income tax return data, and other best information -- other best available information to determine the audit liability.

Doing so produced a reasonable and rational determination.

The Department assessed a 10 percent negligence penalty for the audit period. Negligence penalty is appropriate for several reasons. Unreported taxable measure is

70 percent of the reported taxable sales, which is due to negligence in maintaining necessary books and records as required and mandated by Revenue & Taxation Code 7053 and 7054 and Regulation 1698.

This is Appellant's second audit with similar errors. Appellant's vehicle sales reported to the DMV substantially exceeded reported taxable sales to the Department. Significant high percentage of understatement demonstrates that Appellant was negligent in reporting the correct amount of sales tax to the Department. The understatement cannot be attributed to a bona fide and reasonable belief that the bookkeeping and reporting practices were sufficiently complied with the requirements of sales and use tax law. Therefore, Appellant was negligent, and the penalty should be upheld.

During its opening statement, Appellant claimed

that he did not receive the audit working papers. Based on the Department's records, those working papers were provided to him as early as in 2019 and various times after that, including the submission through the OTA's office.

2.4

Based on the foregoing, the Department has fully explained the basis for the deficiency. Further, the Department used approved audit methods to determine the deficiency. Therefore, based on the evidence presented, the Department requests that the Appellant's appeal be denied.

This concludes my presentation, and I'm available to answer any question you may have. Thank you.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Thank you.

Okay. So, Mr. Honarchian, with respect to the continuance request, I'm going to deny that. I understand that you have had a different audit period before OTA.

However, the first minutes and orders that we issued -- or OTA issued back in September of 2023 did designate a timeframe to request a continuance, and you didn't request a continuance. You didn't show up for the prehearing conference. And then when I included language in the most recent minutes and orders that indicated, you know, whether or not confirm the hearing, you confirmed that you wanted to proceed with the hearing. So those are various

opportunities to request continuance in there, but you didn't avail yourself of them.

And with respect to holding the record open,
CDTFA, do you have any objections to Appellant's request?

MR. SHARMA: Department has no objection, but based on the information, Appellant had sufficient time. But if the OTA decides to allow this, we would request some time to review those information in case.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. All right. I guess before we determine whether or not to give you an opportunity to submit additional documentation, I did have some questions for you.

MR. HONARCHIAN: Yes.

JUDGE ALDRICH: But before then, did you want to add anything to your opening presentation or respond to CDTFA?

2.1

2.4

CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. HONARCHIAN: Basically, the only thing is
I've been in business since 2021 -- I mean, I'm sorry -2001, August of 2001. And I've been in the car lot
business since 2009, and I have all the records. I've
never thrown anything away. I have all the records.
They -- they fail to come even look at the records. They
only wanted to come see two -- I mean, six -- six jackets

with invoice and contracts. That's all they -- actually, they asked to see seven, and they kicked one out. So they only -- they only looked at six, really, six of them. And one of them was -- the VIN number wasn't correct or it wasn't a unit I sold, so they kicked that one out, and they only looked at six. So, I mean, I still have all my records, but they don't -- they refuse to come look at all my records.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. So you say you have all of these records?

MR. HONARCHIAN: Yes.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE ALDRICH: Why didn't you submit any of these records during the briefing? So you filed a request for appeal, and then there was a briefing period; correct?

MR. HONARCHIAN: On this appeal?

JUDGE ALDRICH: On this appeal.

MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, I -- I haven't even looked at the VIN numbers for this appeal yet on which vehicles they are saying that I reported to DMV that I sold them for so much, and I owe so much in taxes, and I reported to them a wrong number than I reported to DMV. If I'm going to report to them a wrong number, I'm going to report to DMV a wrong number. It's not like I'm going to report to DMV that I -- that I sold the car for \$10,000 and report to them that I sold the car for \$1,000.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay.

MR. HONARCHIAN: You know, I mean, if I'm going to report to them that I sold the car for \$1,000, I can report to DMV that I sold the car for \$1,000 also, right?

JUDGE ALDRICH: So I guess I'm wondering, you filed a request for appeal in December of 2022, and then you're saying that you haven't even looked at the VIN numbers. And we're at the hearing for that request for appeal. It just --

MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, I have 30 days to request for an appeal. They, like, give you only 30 days. So I -- the reason I -- I did the appeal is to -- to prevent from what they do after 30 days. So but my main -- my main objection here is just to have the number -- the first appeal finished, completed, which is almost there. And then, you know, get a payment plan on that one -- or whatever I have to do for whatever they're saying I owe -- and then start on the second one and, again, get another payment plan going on this one. And -- and then I still have the third one that's ongoing right now.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. So just some substantive questions. So in the request for appeal, you indicated that you believe you owe \$56,987.21 in sales tax. Could you explain how you arrived at that?

MR. HONARCHIAN: That's what --

2.4

1 JUDGE STANLEY: I'm sorry to interrupt. 2 just asking that if you're going to testify to certain 3 facts, that maybe we should have you sworn in under oath. MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, I -- I --4 5 JUDGE ALDRICH: Did you want to provide testimony? You understand that, like, testimony can be 6 7 considered evidence, whereas argument is not. Argument, you're going to be relying on facts in evidence. 8 9 MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, I -- I was just hoping, if 10 I may, just to keep it open and -- and have more time to 11 work on this second appeal. 12 JUDGE ALDRICH: So are you declining to provide testimony? You can. That's fine. 13 14 MR. HONARCHIAN: At this point, I don't have 15 anything ready, so yes. 16 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. That's fine. So going 17 back to that request for appeal, you indicated that you I 18 believed you owed \$56,987.21 in sales tax. Where did that 19 come from? How did you arrive there? 20 MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, I went over the sales tax 2.1 that I provided to OTA -- I mean, the CDTFA, and that's --22 that's what I reported. So that's what I believe I owe. 23 JUDGE ALDRICH: So you're saying that you think 24 that you reported it correctly, and no more than what you 25 reported?

MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, there was -- there was a 1 2 time where -- where vehicles weren't funded in the same 3 month that we did the -- that we did the -- when we sold the vehicle, it wasn't reported like -- let me think. 4 5 wasn't reported to them that we sold the vehicle, and it 6 was reported on a later date. So sometimes that later 7 date wasn't -- wasn't concluded into that. So there is 8 some money that I do owe, so on top of that. So that --9 but that's what I figured I owed was about \$56,000 on top 10 of what I've already paid. 11 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. Judge Stanley, did you 12 have any questions for either party? 13 JUDGE STANLEY: I was just wondering if somebody, 14 Mr. Honarchian or Mr. Sharma, if you could tell me -- give 15 me an idea of how the DMV gets their data. Is that 16 reported by your company? 17 MR. HONARCHIAN: Yes. 18 That was my only question. JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. 19 Thank you. 20 JUDGE ALDRICH: Judge Lambert? 21 I have no questions. JUDGE LAMBERT: Thanks. 22 JUDGE ALDRICH: Just one second. 23 So with respect to the request to leave the 2.4 record open, we're going to deny that request as well,

similar to the reason for the continuance. You had a lot

of opportunities to provide documentation to OTA. You 1 2 So there was a briefing period. There was the 3 response to the prehearing conference statement request and together with the Notices of Prehearing Conference, 4 5 the Notice of Hearing, and there were at least two of 6 And, similarly, with the first minutes and orders, 7 I indicated there that I had requested you identify each particular VIN that you disputed. I didn't get a response 8 9 to that request. And so with respect to the request to 10 leave the record open, that's denied. 11 MR. HONARCHIAN: I could show you the email that 12 I did receive. I only received by email. I didn't 13 receive anything by mail from you. I mean, actually, it 14 was from Nia that -- that submitted an email to me that all it was was a minute order. It wasn't -- there was no 15 16 link to open that showed everything else. 17 JUDGE ALDRICH: So you're asking to submit a copy 18 of an email showing that you didn't receive --19 MR. HONARCHIAN: Yes. 20 JUDGE ALDRICH: You didn't receive what? 21 MR. HONARCHIAN: The link you're talking about. 22 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. But as Mr. Sharma 23 indicated, the audit work papers were provided at the end of --2.4

Could you tell me when the audit work papers were

1 provided, according to the exhibits? 2 MR. SHARMA: Just give us one second. I can find 3 the exact date based on the comments. 4 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okav. 5 Yeah. August 7th, 2019. MR. PARKER: It's in -it's page 67 in our exhibits. 6 7 JUDGE ALDRICH: Thank you. In addition to that, CDTFA submitted it on 8 9 April 3rd, 2023. And then, once again, you know, we did 10 provide them in the hyperlink. But you also didn't 11 indicate that you weren't able to access them prior to the 12 oral hearing. 13 MR. HONARCHIAN: Yeah, but if the CDTFA is not 14 objecting to continuing it -- or not continuing -- but 15 keeping the records open until I get everything done, 16 why -- why -- may we just keep it open until we -- I mean, 17 'cause if we don't keep it open, basically, it takes me 18 out of business. But, you know, I just need time to get 19 everything to get situated for Audit 2. 20 JUDGE ALDRICH: I appreciate that, but you were 2.1 provided multiple deadlines. You didn't avail yourself of 22 those deadlines, so I'm going to deny that request. 23 MR. HONARCHIAN: All right, sir. 2.4 JUDGE ALDRICH: Would you like to add anything

before we conclude the hearing?

MR. HONARCHIAN: I'm just not ready for this audit. I'm not ready for Audit 2 yet. There's nothing I could add. I haven't started working on it because I haven't finished Audit 1 yet.

2.4

JUDGE ALDRICH: Right. And the first minutes and orders addressed whether or not to consolidate. That consolidation request was denied. The continuance was granted for 120 days with the option to inform us of additional request. You didn't inform us of additional request to continue.

MR. HONARCHIAN: Yeah. For some reason I assumed it was until October. I should have read it correctly.

It's -- yeah. I mean, there's no excuses. It's my fault.

JUDGE ALDRICH: Is there anything you'd like to add to your argument?

MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, if -- if they would just come and look at the records instead of just looking at only six. They denied. They tell me they're not my accountant. I have to prove that they're wrong. So they would just -- if -- if you could just order for them to come look at my records instead of just look at only six.

JUDGE ALDRICH: So the opportunity to have them review the records was during the audit stage.

MR. HONARCHIAN: I've asked them several times.

1 JUDGE ALDRICH: And then also, you had the 2 separate opportunity to submit them to OTA, which would 3 have -- they would have also had an opportunity to review the records then. No records were submitted, and so it's 4 5 been going on. The audit concluded in 2019. The request 6 for appeal was filed in December of 2022. We didn't 7 receive any records from you. 8 MR. HONARCHIAN: Yes. 9 JUDGE ALDRICH: Is there anything that you could 10 point to as to, like, sales that they're saying that were 11 sold by your business that that didn't happen. Or --12 MR. HONARCHIAN: Well, on the first audit, there 13 were several sales that did not happen. 14 JUDGE ALDRICH: Well, just try to focus on this 15 audit period, if you could. 16 MR. HONARCHIAN: Like I said, this audit -- I 17 haven't started working on this audit yet. I was trying 18 to get Audit 1 finished. 19 JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. Anything further? 20 MR. HONARCHIAN: No. 21 JUDGE ALDRICH: Any questions, Judge Stanley? 22 JUDGE STANLEY: No. 23 JUDGE ALDRICH: Judge Lambert? 2.4 JUDGE LAMBERT: No.

JUDGE ALDRICH: So do you waive the remaining

1	time?
2	MR. HONARCHIAN: Yes.
3	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. And Department?
4	MR. SHARMA: Yes. We are done. Thank you.
5	JUDGE ALDRICH: Okay. Well, thank you everyone
6	for your time. We're ready to conclude the hearing. The
7	record is now closed.
8	The Panel will meet and decide the case based off
9	of the evidence and arguments submitted today. We'll send
10	both parties our written decision no later than 100 days
11	from today.
12	The hearing calendar for this afternoon will
13	begin, I believe, at 1:00. Thank you everyone, and have a
14	wonderful afternoon.
15	(Proceedings adjourned at 11:37 a.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was 6 taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 1st day 15 of May, 2024. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23 2.4