BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL	OF,)
)
N. KHAYYAM and S. KHAYYAM,) OTA NO. 221212098
)
APPELLAN	rs.)
)
)

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS

State of California

Thursday, August 22, 2024

Reported by: ERNALYN M. ALONZO HEARING REPORTER

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF,)
6	N. KHAYYAM and S. KHAYYAM,) OTA NO. 221212098
7)
8	APPELLANTS.))
9	,
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Transcript of Electronic Proceedings,
15	taken in the State of California, commencing
16	at 9:40 a.m. and concluding at 10:25 a.m. on
17	Thursday, August 22, 2024, reported by
18	Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter, in and
19	for the State of California.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
3	Panel Lead:	ALJ TOMMY LEUNG
4	Panel Members:	ALJ JOHN JOHNSON ALJ VERONICA LONG
5		
6	For the Appellant:	N. KHAYYAM S. KHAYYAM
7		E. ZOTO K. LIPNER
8		
9	For the Respondent:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
10		ERIC BROWN
11		CYNTHIA KENT
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>INDEX</u>	
3	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	
5 6 7 8	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-2 were received into evidence at page 5.) (Department's Exhibits A-F were received into evidence at page 5.)	
9	<u>PRESENTATION</u>	
1011121314	By Mrs. Khayyam 8 By Mr. Brown 18	
15 16 17	WITNESS TESTIMONY PAGE	
18 19 20	By Mr. Khayyam 9 By Mr. Zoto 11 By Mr. Lipner 12	
2122232425	CLOSING STATEMENT PAGE By Mr. Khayyam 23	

1	California; Thursday, August 22, 2024
2	9:40 a.m.
3	
4	JUDGE LEUNG: This is Appeal of Khayyam, OTA Case
5	Number 221212098. Today is February 22nd [sic]. It's
6	approximately 9:40 in the morning.
7	The issue let's see. Before this hearing was
8	held, in a prehearing conference the parties agreed to the
9	following: That this hearing will be held electronically;
10	that the issue to be decided is whether the late-filing
11	penalty for the 2020 tax year should be abated; that
12	the let's see I forgot now that the, exhibits,
13	Franchise Tax Board's A through F, as in frank, and
14	taxpayer's 1 through 2 are all admitted into evidence and
15	into the record.
16	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-2 were received
17	in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)
18	(Department's Exhibits A-F were received in

in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

(Department's Exhibits A-F were received in evidence by the Administrative Law Judge.)

JUDGE LEUNG: I would ask the parties to please themselves before we get started, beginning with

introduce themselves before we get started, beginning wit you, Ms. Khayyam.

MRS. KHAYYAM: I'm Nikou Khayyam, one of the defendants.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE LEUNG: Taxpayer, not defendants.

```
1
               MRS. KHAYYAM:
                              Taxpayer.
2
               JUDGE LEUNG: Sir?
3
               MR. KHAYYAM: Soheil Khayyam, the taxpayer.
               JUDGE LEUNG: Good morning.
 4
5
               And the two witnesses.
 6
               MR. LIPNER:
                            Kevin Lipner, CPA for the Khayyams.
7
               JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Ms. Zoto.
               MS. ZOTO: I'm Enkaleda Zoto.
8
9
               JUDGE LEUNG: Good morning.
10
               MS. ZOTO: I'm controller. Good morning.
11
               JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Now for Franchise Tax Board.
12
               MR. BROWN: Eric Brown, California Franchise Tax
13
      Board.
14
               JUDGE LEUNG: Good morning.
15
               Ms. Kent?
16
               MS. KENT: Cynthia Kent, also appearing on behalf
17
      of the California Franchise Tax Board.
18
               JUDGE LEUNG: Good morning, Ms. Kent.
19
               Before we get started, I think for the taxpayer
20
      you all might be testifying about some of the facts. So
21
      I'm going to have you all get sworn in first. So will
22
      you, Mrs. Khayyam, Mr. Khayyam, Mr. Lipner, and Ms. Zoto,
23
      please raise your right hands.
2.4
      ///
      ///
25
```

1	N. KHAYYAM,
2	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
3	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined, and testified
4	as follows:
5	
6	S. KHAYYAM,
7	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
8	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined, and testified
9	as follows:
10	
11	K. LIPNER,
12	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
13	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined, and testified
14	as follows:
15	
16	E. ZOTO,
17	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn by
18	the Administrative Law Judge, was examined, and testified
19	as follows:
20	
21	JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you. Please put your hands
22	down.
23	Mrs. Khayyam, you may begin your presentation,
24	and start when you're ready.
25	///

PRESENTATION

MRS. KHAYYAM: Thank you very much. Thank you everyone for joining today.

2.4

We are presenting our case, which is that because of the COVID situation in 2019 extending into 2020, we were unable to file our 2020 taxes on time. We had a very difficult situation here in New York. Offices were closed for a very long time. Everyone was working from home. Our CFO at that time had underlying health issues. She had diabetes. And she was sick with COVID for a very long time, unable to work at all. Once we came back into the office, she refused to work from the office and stayed at home, worked from home. And we were unaware she had absolutely done nothing. She was not keeping up the work. She was not keeping up the records.

And once she quit and handed the -- she never handed the work over to anyone. Our new controller, Enka, who will be -- you will be hearing from later, was never handed over the work properly. She had to try to get everything. Nothing was done. Nothing was in time, and she was unable to get everything together. So, again, all of this was caused because of the COVID situation.

New York state at that point had extended -- offered a tax extension into February of -- and Ken Lipner, our personal controller will also testify to that. And we used this

extension because our paperwork wasn't ready.

Because of the New York extension, we were unable to calculate our personal taxes for California on time. So because we had this New York extension, we took the New York extension. We were not able to file on time in California. Once we did and the taxes were -- I have it here -- \$171,000.58, we actually overpaid and paid a penalty on that. But then later we're hit with a penalty of \$46,524. We asked for consideration to abate this penalty because of the unusual circumstances of COVID and were denied twice. So this is our case.

Soheil, is anything you would like to add?

2.4

WITNESS TESTIMONY

MR. KHAYYAM: No, you -- you explained it pretty good. But I just want to ask for the understanding of the situation that we were in, that you will recall New York was hit the hardest with the COVID, unfortunately. It was a very difficult situation. And as Nikou mentioned, our CFO had underlying situation with the overweight. And even after we decided to come back to work, she refused and said she wants to work from home. And we were not aware that the work is being done or not. And by the time we found it, it was just way past the time.

We had, actually, angel -- I call her an angel

1 because she saved me. I'm talking about our new CFO, 2 Enka, and came to the rescue. But when she came in, she 3 told me, "Soheil, this is going to be a big mess because nothing was done." Absolutely nothing was done, and we 4 5 were not aware of it. She tried to put it together the 6 best she can as fast as she can, and that caused the 7 delay, unfortunately, which caused us to file late; 8 because our personal tax are related to the K-1s that we 9 get from the companies. So because we didn't have that 10 prepared on time, automatically our personal taxes got 11 delayed. So we did -- we did pay the taxes of -- Nikou, 12 much? \$170-some thousand dollars? 13 MRS. KHAYYAM: \$171,058. 14 MR. KHAYYAM: -- 58. And hope and ask for your 15

understanding to -- we've been doing -- we've been in business many years. We've been paying our taxes on time all these years. This was just an unusual year, unusual time, and we hope we will have your understanding.

Thank you.

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

Enka, you want to add about when you came over and you took over the books of messy -- how messy was the books when you was came in.

MS. ZOTO: [INDISCERNIBLE]

MRS. KHAYYAM: You can. You can.

I'm -- I'm sorry. Can Enka continue, Judge?

Yes.

2.4

WITNESS TESTIMONY

MS. ZOTO: Oh, hi. I -- when I started -- I started -- my first day of work was in August 9, 2021, and Elizabeth, my predecessor, she was a -- she resigned in July 21st. So I never had a chance to meet with her, and she never hand me over the work or anything. So I went over all the books, all the statements, everything in the system, and nothing was done. It was no journal entries for 2020. There was no bank statements downloaded for 2020 in the system. It was no general entries. There was nothing -- nothing done.

And I have to redo and recollect everything, all information. I have to redo -- do all the financials -- to prepare all the financials, I have to do all the accountant analysis because they are all necessary for me to hand it over to the accountant for them to prepare the financial -- for the taxes for us. So all of this took me months and months, and I wasn't able to do it on time.

I'm -- I'm sorry about the whole thing, but that's what it was.

JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you.

Mrs. Khayyam, would you like Mr. Lipner to testify about something?

MRS. KHAYYAM: Yes. If -- Ken Lipner, our personal accountant -- if you could please.

2.4

WITNESS TESTIMONY

MR. LIPNER: Yes. Good morning, Judge.

Yes, so I've been the Khayyam's personal accountant for 20-plus years. Obviously, 2020 was a very unusual year for their filings. They had never been late in any previous filings with the State of California. One thing that was not mentioned was that there was — the IRS did grant automatic extensions for the State of New York. One, there was a natural disaster in September of 2021. The IRS then granted any New York resident additional time to file until February 15th of 2022.

The personal tax returns, which include New York and California as nonresident in California, was filed prior to that in early February of 2022, and the taxes were paid at that time. Like the Khayyam's said earlier, the books ask records were out of their control and were unable to file until they got those records. And I made them aware that we did have an extension until February of 2022 to file. Assuming that California would abide by that since they are nonresidents of California and not residents of California. So they file nonresident tax returns and did pay in the tax, which was granted by the

State of New York to pay in anything up until the filing date of February 15th of 2022.

2.4

So, you know, nothing was intentionally done to file late and, you know, not pay the taxes. The taxes were paid, like I said earlier, when the return was submitted on February 5th of 2022. So, again, there was no willful intent. There was no -- nothing intentionally done to not file timely. It was just, you know, very unusual circumstances for their business and for the State of New York for, you know, not being able to get records together, not being able to get into the office, not being able to put everything together in order to receive their outside K-1s for their businesses that they need in order to prepare their personal tax returns.

JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you. Mr. Lipner, I didn't mean to cut you off. So you have anything else to add?

MR. LIPNER: No. I -- you know, I just want to day hopefully, you know, the Franchise Tax Board will, you know, be, you know, have the understanding -- you'll have the understanding that there was no willful intent on anyone's part not to pay and file, you know, anything not on a timely basis.

Thank you, Judge.

JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you.

Mrs. Khayyam, anything else?

1	MRS. KHAYYAM: No. I think everything was
2	clearly stated.
3	JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Mr. Brown, anything for
4	Franchise Tax Board? Do you have any questions for the
5	witnesses?
6	I think you're muted. Can't hear you, Mr. Brown.
7	Still can't hear you.
8	MR. BROWN: Can you hear me now?
9	JUDGE LEUNG: Yes, thank you.
10	MR. BROWN: Something happened where I lost my
11	picture, but it is what it is.
12	JUDGE LEUNG: That happens to all of us.
13	MR. BROWN: Okay. I am prepared to proceed if
14	the Judge is ready.
15	JUDGE LEUNG: Well, I was wondering if you have
16	any questions for the witnesses first.
17	MR. BROWN: Oh, do I have any questions? No, I
18	do not have any questions. Thank you.
19	JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Let me go to my
20	Co-Panelists.
21	Judge Long, any questions for the witnesses?
22	JUDGE LONG: I do have a question about books and
23	records that were the basis for this. Are your books and
24	records located in the office or is this electronic?
25	MR. KHAYYAM: It's in the office.

JUDGE LONG: It's in the office. And your office 1 2 is located in New York? 3 MR. KHAYYAM: Yes, Manhattan, New York City. JUDGE LONG: All right. Thank you. That's all 4 5 my questions. 6 JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you, Judge Long. 7 Judge Johnson, any questions? 8 JUDGE JOHNSON: Thank you. I have one question. 9 You mentioned the prior CFO left in July of 2021. 10 And at that time, it sounds like everyone was back in the 11 office already. And when she left you realize she hadn't 12 been doing any work. Did you realize before of July of 13 2021 that she had not been properly keeping up with the 14 books? 15 MR. KHAYYAM: We -- we thought that she is 16 running behind, but we didn't know to the extent of how 17 backed up she was. I believe with her conditions, which 18 she did -- she did catch COVID, and she could not work for 19 a long period of time -- a long period of time because of 20 her underlying situation that she had. But we did not 21 know about how disastrous it actually was. 22 JUDGE JOHNSON: Okay. 23 MR. KHAYYAM: Yeah. 2.4 JUDGE JOHNSON: Sorry for one second. 25 Ms. Kent, I think we lost Eric Brown for a

1 second, but he's coming back. 2 Do you need any of that repeated, Franchise Tax 3 Board? Ms. Kent. JUDGE LEUNG: Well, Mr. Brown left again. Oh, 4 5 now he's back. 6 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. I think you're still muted, 7 Ms. Kent. 8 MS. KENT: It's taking forever get off of unmute. 9 I apologize. I am trying. But no, we don't need any of 10 that repeated. Thank you. 11 JUDGE JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you. 12 Okay. Then that's the only question. Thank you, 13 Judge Leung. 14 JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Judge Johnson, thank you. 15 Mr. Lipner, you mentioned the Feds granted 16 another extension in September of 2021 because of some 17 natural disaster. Was that like a flood, or what was 18 going on? 19 MR. LIPNER: It was Hurricane Ida. 20 JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Hurricane Ida. And is there 2.1 any -- this wasn't an individual -- by individual 22 extension. This is basically a public announcement made 23 by the IRS? 2.4 MR. LIPNER: Correct. 25 JUDGE LEUNG: Is there anywhere we can find that

1 announcement? MR. LIPNER: Yeah. I actually -- I have that. 2 3 I'm staring at that. I know -- I apologize. I didn't log into Zoom, but I do have -- I don't know how I can get 4 5 that over to you. I do have the announcement. 6 JUDGE LEUNG: You can wait until after the 7 hearing and email it to evidence@ota.state.gov, and also 8 send a copy to the Franchise Tax Board, wherever -- I 9 guess you must have Eric Brown's email. So you can 10 after --11 MR. LIPNER: Okay. Can you just give me that --12 that email again, evidence --13 JUDGE LEUNG: Evidence@ota.ca.gov. 14 MR. LIPNER: Okay. 15 JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you. 16 MR. LIPNER: Okay. Do I need to reference 17 anything in that email? 18 JUDGE LEUNG: You can reference this particular 19 OTA Case Number 221212098, Appeal of Khayyam. 20 MR. LIPNER: Just can you repeat the numbers one 2.1 more time? 22 JUDGE LEUNG: Sure. 221212098. 23 MR. LIPNER: Okay. I will send that over. 2.4 JUDGE LEUNG: Great. Thank you. 25 Mr. Brown, when you're ready.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge, and I apologize for the technical difficulty a moment ago.

JUDGE LEUNG: No worries.

2.1

2.4

PRESENTATION

MR. BROWN: Good morning. I'm Eric Brown, attorney for the Franchise Tax Board. Also from the Franchise Tax Board is Cynthia Kent.

In this appeal, Appellants have failed to establish reasonable cause to abate the late-filing penalty. The tax year is 2020. Because of the COVID environment, the due date for filing tax returns was extended to May 17th, 2021. Under California law, there is an automatic paperless extension by which an individual taxpayer may file a California income tax return by October 15th without imposition of a late-filing penalty. For tax year 2020, the automatic extension deadline was October 15, 2021.

Appellants did not file their California tax return until February 5th, 2022, 10 months after the original filing deadline. Appellants remitted a payment along with the tax return. Even though Appellants reported total payments of \$7,835 representing an estimate of tax payment, the evidence is clear that Appellants had not made any extension payments or estimate tax payments

or any other payments of any kind prior to February 5th, 2022, not even withheld tax.

2.4

Since Appellants filed their tax return almost 10 months after the filing deadline, FTB imposed a late-filing penalty. Appellants argue they were unable to file their tax return by the original deadline or the appended deadline because of the unavailability of their chief financial officer Appellants' manufacturing company. Appellants' company operated as a subchapter S corporation of which Appellant Soheil Khayyam was the sole shareholder. Appellants argue that because of the unavailability of their CFO, they were unable to obtain a K-1 from the S corporation in time to file a timely tax return.

Appellants also argue their tax preparer was likely unavailable due -- likewise unavailable due to COVID circumstances to prepare Appellants' tax return. Appellants have failed to provide evidence to support their assertion. Additionally, Appellants made no efforts to estimate their taxes based on prior tax years, and Appellants made no payments of any kind prior to February of 2022.

If Appellants had remitted an extension or estimate tax payment by May 17, 2021, based on an estimate from previous tax years, there may have been no late

filing penalty at all, since the penalty is based on a percentage of tax owed as of the original due date of the return. Alternatively to the extent Appellants might have remitted a payment in any amount, the late-filing penalty would have been less than the current penalty amount.

2.1

2.4

In the 2019 OTA precedential opinion of Appeal of Moren, the OTA stated that, quote, "If a taxpayer asserts that he does not have the information necessary to make a reasonably accurate estimate of his tax liability, he must show the efforts made to acquire that information from the source that held it, and the difficulties in obtaining the necessary information led to the delay in payment. An assertion that records were difficult to obtain without any substantiation of efforts made to retrieve those records or otherwise showing that they were unobtainable is not sufficient to show reasonable cause," unquote.

In the 2018 OTA precedential opinion of Appeal of Tao Xie, in which the OTA determined that a taxpayer had not shown reasonable cause to abate the late-filing penalty, the OTA held that taxpayers have an obligation to file timely returns with the best available information and then to subsequently file an amended return if necessary. The OTA also reiterated the difficulty in obtaining information does not constitute reasonable cause for the late filing of a return.

In the previously mentioned Moren precedential opinion, the OTA discussed one of the factors in determining whether a taxpayer had shown reasonable cause was the degree of taxpayer's access to the documents or information required to determine their tax liability.

The less access to the information, the more reasonable a taxpayer is unsuccessful efforts to obtain documents for information might be. In the present appeal, Appellants had control over the information they needed to determine their tax liability. Mr. Khayyam was the sole shareholder of the S corporation under which the manufacturing company was operated. Appellants did not need to go through third parties to obtain the information they needed.

2.4

Appellants have failed to produce any evidence to show the efforts they made to acquire the information to prepare the K-1, or the difficulties in obtaining the necessary information led to the delay in filing or payment. The only evidence they have produced is their unsubstantiated assertions to the effect that COVID shutdowns prevented them from obtaining the information they themselves control. Let's see. Appellants have failed to establish reasonable cause to abate the late-filing penalty, and Respondent respectfully request that the OTA sustain Respondent's actions.

I would be happy to answer any questions the

1 Panel may have. 2 JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you, Mr. Brown. 3 Judge Long, any questions for the Franchise Tax Board? 4 5 I don't have any questions right JUDGE LONG: Thank you. 6 now. 7 JUDGE LEUNG: You're welcome. Judge Johnson? 8 9 JUDGE JOHNSON: No questions. Thank you. 10 JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Mr. Brown, I do have one 11 question for you at this point, and you might not have the 12 answer right at the tip of your head. So if you don't, I will ask you the question, and then I will go to the 13 14 taxpayer to give you some time to check it out. But the 15 taxpayers in their presentation assert this is the first 16 time they've been late. So is that correct? 17 MR. BROWN: I don't know that, Judge, and I can 18 check that out. But I don't have any reason to doubt that 19 assertion. 20 JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. I'm going to let the 2.1 taxpayers make their closing and then maybe by the time 22 they're done you might have the answer for us. 23 Mrs. Khayyam, you have your closing statement and 2.4 rebuttal argument. 25 MRS. KHAYYAM: Go ahead, Soheil, do you want to

take the closing statement?

2.4

CLOSING STATEMENT

MR. KHAYYAM: It is -- it is very hard to explain the situation that we were in during that time. All the consequences of the late filings are -- I heard

Mr. Brown's argument. But it is very difficult for you to put yourself in my shoes while I'm trying to run a company at that time, which I had no access to a lot of our documents because we -- we were told by the governor of New York that we have to stay home for months and months. We were not prepared. We did not have that electronic capabilities, or my staff were not familiar the electronic expertise to, like, a lot of people who were working from home and manage to get the work done from home.

We -- we are a small company. We are a 41-year-old company. Many of my staff are with me these 41 years. So they're mostly as old as I am, not as technical myself, not as technical with the electronic complications to do the work from home. So to make a long story short, we just were not equipped to get it done, and that's what we -- that's why we suffered. And it's a miracle that we are still -- we managed to make it back, bring the company back and be here today still running the company.

But we were in a chaos -- chaos mode, and I'm not exaggerating using that word. It was a chaotic time.

I -- I -- it's hard for you to understand it because you were not in our shoes here what we were going through. I hope I explained it the best as I could to make the matters as -- as understandable and as -- as -- as real as what we were going through. We have paid our taxes every year. We manage to pay it on time. This was just one hiccup, which is caused by not something which was in our power. So I do explain -- I do hope -- I do hope that I will have your understanding that what happened was because of what we went through.

2.4

That's all I have to say. Thank you.

JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you, Mr. Khayyam.

Judge Long, any questions for anybody?

JUDGE LONG: Yes. I'm going to ask a follow-up question to Mr. Khayyam. You mentioned that you didn't have online tools or the availability to work remotely. Prior to this, you know, to this COVID incident in, you know, March 2020 and subsequent, had you or your staff done much work in terms of remote work, or were you office based?

MR. KHAYYAM: We were always office based. That's why when we had to work from home, it became impossible for us.

1 JUDGE LONG: All right. And I have one more 2 question. Now, I understand that 2020 is the year at 3 issue and also the year that COVID began. So I want ask for your 2021 return, did you have any -- FTB might be 4 5 able to respond to this too. Did you have any incident or 6 any issues with your 2021 return? 7 MR. KHAYYAM: Ken, can you answer that, please. 8 MR. LIPNER: No. 2021, Judge, was filed with a 9 valid extension. It was filed by the extended due date of 10 October 15th of 2022. 11 JUDGE LONG: All right. Thank you. That's all 12 my questions. 13 JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you, Judge Long. 14 Judge Johnson? 15 JUDGE JOHNSON: Thank vou. 16 I have one question for Appellants. I know we're 17 focusing largely on, I believe, Khayyam Industries, the 18 company at issue. Were there any -- or was there a 19 significant portion of your income that also came from 20 outside that company? Are there investment income, for 2.1 example, or business income? Or was it all basically just 22 from that company? 23 MR. KHAYYAM: It was all from the company. 2.4 JUDGE JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you. No further

25

questions.

JUDGE LEUNG: Thank you, Judge Johnson.

2.1

2.4

For the taxpayer, either Mrs. Khayyam or Mr. Khayyam, what business were you guys in manufacturing? What did you make?

MR. KHAYYAM: Ladies apparel, Judge. It's very hands on -- very hands-on type of a business.

MRS. KHAYYAM: That's why we're strictly in-office business because it's a clothing business.

People have to come, and people see the clothing. The staff has to work with each other. We had never, not even one person who was working remotely. Nobody had ever worked remotely because this is work that cannot be done remotely since it's a teamwork team effort.

So when we were hit with COVID, the first few months we were, like, up in the air. We didn't know how to manage it, and it took a very long time. And then even after we did try to work from home, there was no way for us to monitor what our accounting department was or was not doing. So it all added to the difficulties.

JUDGE LEUNG: Yeah, bringing back memories. My parents are in the fashion industry. All on Canal Street.

MRS. KHAYYAM: Oh, okay.

MR. KHAYYAM: So, Judge, you can relate to what we say that all hands-on business is business.

JUDGE LEUNG: Yes. Yes. So you're income

1 for the 2020 year, was it any different from the prior Was it more? Was it less or the same? 2 3 MRS. KHAYYAM: Because of COVID, yeah, no. Because of COVID, COVID was a crazy time when it was 4 5 closed. There was no income, no shipping, and then 6 suddenly everybody needed goods. And then there was that 7 shipping disaster where the goods got stuck. So it was a very different time from any other prior years. Very hard 8 to gauge. 10 JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. So was the income at the 11 higher or lower? 12 Lower. MR. KHAYYAM: Lower. 13 JUDGE LEUNG: Lower. Okay. Okay. Thank you. 14 For the Franchise Tax Board, the Form 540NR or the resident return, it's very independent on what 15 16 taxpayers -- how they file with the 1040 with the IRS. 17 And oftentimes the amounts come right off the lines from 18 the Form 1040. Is that not correct? 19 MR. BROWN: That's correct. 20 So in situations where the 1040 is JUDGE LEUNG: 2.1 not filed yet, would you say that it's almost impossible 22 for a taxpayer to file either a Form 540 or Form 540NR? 23 MR. BROWN: I don't know that, Judge, because it 2.4 depends. It's -- it's different for every taxpayer. 25 JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Has the FTB every

experienced a situation where -- where the IRS tells taxpayers you don't need to file during -- by the normal due date. We'll give you more time because whatever disaster, whether it's a hurricane in Florida or Texas, or floods in New York or what not, and -- which FTB would not follow that same extension?

2.1

2.4

MR. BROWN: Well, I'm not entirely prepared to answer that, Judge, because I -- I'm not an expert on our damage -- or pardon me -- our disaster policies. But I do know we have disaster policies with extensions, and they do follow the Internal Revenue Services. And I do know when a governor or the President declares a disaster, then there will usually be a following extension for many things. So, yes, FTB has handled those many times in the past; in particular, some of the California wildfires and earthquakes and floods that we encounter as well.

JUDGE LEUNG: Yes, I understand California disaster which are almost every year that that makes sense. I'm just wondering where you have taxpayers or nonresidents and in their state of residence, they have their own personal or natural disaster, and the IRS gives that state an extension. Would Franchise Tax Board follow up and do the same thing?

MR. BROWN: Oh, yes they would. I -- I'm sure they would. I was unaware of the specific extension of

any deadline, including a -- the -- any -- any deadlines that the IRS imposed for tax year 2020.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE LEUNG: Well, we'll see of Mr. Lipner's submission after the hearing.

MR. LIPNER: Judge, I just sent that over in an email.

JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. We'll just hold on. Yes. Thank you, Mr. Lipner. Let's hold on to that, because Mr. Brown may not be near his email.

But so in a situation like here, if the IRS had given the taxpayer any -- I sort of recall that -- that particular Hurricane Ida in 2021. So normally the 2020 federal return would have been filed with the extension by October 15th, 2021. They -- apparently, there was a disaster in New York in September of 2021 where the IRS said, okay, we're going to give you extensions through February 2022. If it that turns out to be correct, Mr. Brown, would Franchise Tax Board entertain or abide by the IRS extension to February 2022?

MR. BROWN: Well, I can't provide an answer to that, Judge. There's too many other circumstances that we just do not know. And that's one of the problems here, is we don't have any sufficient evidence in the form of any efforts or any kind of efforts made to even -- even estimate whatever taxes were due to file a return of any

kind, make any payments of any kind.

2.1

2.4

We don't know what communications were or were not made. We have no documentary evidence of any kind to show what the -- what the reactions were in the face of any such disaster. California and the IRS are two separate agencies. And, again, we deal with disasters manually. And so, you know, it's just part of the taxpayer world in which we live.

JUDGE LEUNG: Yes.

MR. BROWN: I'm not unsympathetic to what happened during COVID. And, in fact, California's response was to extend its own deadline for -- for a month. And that was the date that the Governor and legislature determined was reasonable for California. And, again, I don't have enough information or evidence to make a response as to this taxpayer's particular responses, other than the witness testimony that we heard today.

JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. That's a fair point.

And the taxpayer -- Mr. Lipner has already sent by email the IRS announcement. Do you need any other information to verify that the IRS gave extensions to everybody in New York through February of 2022? If you need it, what would you like?

MR. BROWN: I don't -- I -- I am not in a

position to even know, Your Honor. And if they say it was extended, I don't have any reason to disbelieve that. The question is, you know, what the individual circumstances are prevailing, more than whether there was a blanket IRS extension. That — that's my concern at this point. I've have not had an opportunity to address any of those concerns at all. And that was one of my concerns I articulated in the prehearing conference.

2.1

2.4

JUDGE LEUNG: Would you like an opportunity to address it?

MR. BROWN: It depends. I -- I don't know what I'm even asking to be responding to.

MS. KENT: This is Cynthia Kent, if may I add?

JUDGE LEUNG: Go ahead, Ms. Kent.

MS. KENT: We're happy to review the additional evidence, and we'll review it with our disaster relief team. And generally we do follow, if the IRS does allow an extension. So we will reevaluate based on that evidence. However, the tax payment was still late still. The taxpayers may, in fact, be subject to a late-payment penalty.

And I do have the answer to your earlier question, if I may. I did take a quick look at their -- their accounts. I did just look at it quickly, and it appears that they do have estimate tax payments for most

tax years that have been imposed and paid. They also have 1 2 a late-filing penalty that was imposed for the 2018 year 3 and also the 2021 tax year. So they did pay late for 4 those two years as well. 5 JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Let me put everybody on mute 6 so I can confer with my Co-Panelists to see whether we 7 would want additional briefing. So just --MR. LIPNER: Judge, can I just enter -- can I 8 9 just make one comment. This is Mr. Lipner. 10 JUDGE LEUNG: Sure. 11 MR. LIPNER: There was never a late filing by the 12 Khayyams. There might have been late payments, but 13 nothing was -- prior to the year in question, nothing was 14 ever filed late by the Khayyams on any tax years with the State of California. 15 16 JUDGE LEUNG: Okay. Give me about five minutes. 17 I will be right back. Stay where you are. 18 MR. LIPNER: Thank you. 19 (There is a pause in the proceedings.) 20 JUDGE LEUNG: We're back. Thank you everybody 2.1 for being patient. 22 This is what we've decided to do. We're going to 23 ask for additional briefing, and we'll let the FTB go 2.4 first. We'll give them 30 days, and then the taxpayer 30

days to respond after that. And then if FTB wants to

25

submit something after that, 30 days. So you're typical 30-30-30 type of additional briefing.

And what we would like to be answered is whether Franchise Tax Board will follow IRS guidance or pronouncements regarding extensions for filing when there's a disaster outside of New York -- outside of California, and the disaster occurs where the taxpayer state of residence is.

Mr. Brown?

2.1

2.4

MR. BROWN: That's fine. I have no issue with that, Your Honor.

JUDGE LEUNG: I just want to make sure that what we're asking you is clear.

MR. BROWN: It is clear. Am I to expect any of this in writing?

JUDGE LEUNG: We will be doing a -- I should be getting an order out as soon as I can after this hearing. So the answer is yes.

MR. BROWN: All right. Thank you.

JUDGE LEUNG: And you will at least have a start with what Mr. Lipner has sent you by email. You look at that and whatever research you guys are doing. You know what to do with that. So you have 30 days to prepare that brief.

Mr. And Mrs. Khayyam, after FTB prepares that

1 briefing, the OTA -- they will send you a copy, I believe. 2 The OTA will send you a copy and a letter saying that FTB 3 has submitted their brief, and they'll give you 30 days to respond to that. And then after you respond, the same 4 5 process, we'll send a copy to FTB. OTA will notify 6 everybody that we received the taxpayer's response, and 7 then we'll give FTB 30 days, if they desire, another 8 30 days. And that's it. MRS. KHAYYAM: Okay. Thank you. 10 MR. KHAYYAM: Thank you, sir. 11 JUDGE LEUNG: So we will keep the record open for 12 this hearing, and we will await the additional briefing. 13 And after we've gotten our briefing done, we will close 14 the record later, but we will keep in touch with everyone 15 with correspondence. 16 So we thank everybody for attending today's 17 appeal, and we will be he ending this hearing at this 18 point. 19 And the next hearing for OTA this morning will 20 begin around 10:50 a.m. Everybody have a great day. 2.1 care. 22 (Proceedings adjourned at 10:25 a.m.)

23

24

25

1 HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 I, Ernalyn M. Alonzo, Hearing Reporter in and for 3 the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the 6 7 testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically 8 by me and later transcribed by computer-aided 9 transcription under my direction and supervision, that the 10 foregoing is a true record of the testimony and 11 proceedings taken at that time. 12 I further certify that I am in no way interested 13 in the outcome of said action. 14 I have hereunto subscribed my name this 23rd day 15 of September, 2024. 16 17 18 19 ERNALYN M. ALONZO 20 HEARING REPORTER 21 2.2 23

2.4

25