## BEFORE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF: ) GORDON S. SCHOLLER AND ) OTA CASE NO. 20056173 SHEILA G. SCHOLLER ) APPELLANTS. )

CERTIFIED COPY

VIRTUAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Zoom Videoconference

Friday, September 27, 2024

Reported by:

SHELBY K. MAASKE Hearing Reporter

Job No.: 50728 OTA

1	BEFORE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF: )
6	GORDON S. SCHOLLER AND ) OTA CASE NO. 20056173 SHEILA G. SCHOLLER )
7	APPELLANTS. )
8	)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken via
16	Zoom, commencing at 9:54 a.m. and concluding
17	at 1:37 p.m. on Friday, September 27, 2024,
18	reported by Shelby K. Maaske, Hearing Reporter.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Panel Lead:	Hon. Amanda Vassigh
4		
5	Panel Members:	Hon. Cheryl Akin Hon. Asaf Kletter
6		non. nour nicecer
7	For the Appellant:	Scott Scholler
8	ror ene appertant.	Sheila Scholler, Taxpayers
9		Mauro P. Colabianchi,
10		Attorney
11		
12	For the Respondent:	Brad Coutinho, Franchise Tax Board
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX	
2		
3	EXHIBITS	
4		
5		
6	(Appellants' Exhibits were received at pages 7)	
7		
8	(FTB's Exhibits were received at page 7)	
9		
10		
11		
12	WITNESSES:	PAGE
13	Scott Scholler	24
14	Gay Scholler	57
15	Ralph Bagley	60
16	Chris Perkins	77
17	Doug Detrick	82
18	Dave Caputo	87
19	Dan Hilderbrand	93
20	Chris LaBelle	98
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 Virtual Proceedings; Friday, September 27, 2024 2 9:54 a.m. 3 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: We are going 5 This is the appeal of Scholler, OTA Case 6 on the record. 7 No. 20056173. The date is September 27, 2024, and the time is 9:54 a.m. This hearing is being held 8 9 electronically with the agreement of the parties. 10 I am Judge Vassigh. I will be the lead judge for 11 the purpose of conducting this hearing. My co-panelist, Judge Akin and Judge Kletter and I, are equal participants 12 13 in deliberating and determining the outcome of this 14 appeal. 15 I'm going to ask the parties to identify themselves and who they represent, starting with the 16 17 Franchise Tax Board. 18 MR. COUTINHO: Good morning. My name is Brad 19 Coutinho and I represent Respondent, Franchise Tax Board. 20 Thank you. 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Good morning. 22 Thank you. 23 Okay. And for Appellant, who do we have? 24 MR. COLABIANCHI: Good morning, your Honor. This is Mark Colabianchi. I represent Appellant, Scott and Gay 25

1 Scholler.

2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you so 3 much.

And I believe we saw Mr. Scott Scholler here today.

6 MR. SCHOLLER: Yes, I'm Scott Scholler. I am the 7 taxpayer.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: And Gay Scholler is also here today.

10

8

9

MR. SCHOLLER: She's in the waiting room.

11ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. That is12fine. Thank you.

13 All right. As stated in the minutes and orders, 14 the issues to be decided in this appeal and that parties 15 have agreed to are, one, whether Appellants are entitled to a bad debt deduction for 2003 tax year. Two, whether 16 Appellants are entitled to worthless stock deduction for 17 18 the 2003 tax year. Three, whether the accuracy-related 19 penalty should be abated. And four, whether any interest 20 should be abated.

I'm going to move on to our exhibits. I know we have a bit to discuss in that regard. Appellants had submitted Exhibits 1 through 14 after the prehearing conference. Franchise Tax Board did not object to the admissibility of these exhibits and, therefore, Appellants' Exhibits 1 through 14 are admitted into
 evidence at this time.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

(Appellant's Exhibits 1 through 14 were marked for identification by the Administrative Law Judge and received in evidence.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: We will get to Appellants' recent proposed exhibits. But I want to mention first that FTB submitted Exhibits A through H and Exhibits L through O, and it should be noted that FTB did not submit exhibits labeled I, J, or K.

I decided not to relabel the exhibits following Exhibit H in order that any references to exhibits remain consistent with that in the briefing. So that should avoid any potential confusion.

Appellants did not object to the admissibility of these exhibits and, therefore, Exhibits A through H and Exhibits L through O are admitted into evidence.

> (Respondent's Exhibits A through H and L through O were marked for identification by the Administrative Law Judge and received in evidence.)

23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: So earlier 24 this week Appellants proposed additional exhibits, 15 25 through 18. These were a late submission. Proposed

1 Exhibits 16, 17, and 18, to my understanding, were in 2 possession of a witness, Mr. Ralph Bagley, who recently 3 found them in a mislabeled file, so Appellants did not 4 have possession of those exhibits until recently. 5 Proposed Exhibit 15 was not earlier submitted due to a miscommunication to Mr. Scholler and his representative. 6 7 Do I understand that correctly? Yes, I think so. That sounds 8 MR. SCHOLLER: 9 right. 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: So I 11 determined that that does not qualify as good cause for late submission, but we can discuss Exhibits 16, 17, and 12 13 18. I want to check in with Mr. Coutinho. 14 Does FTB have an objection to the admittance of 15 proposed Exhibits 16, 17, and 18? MR. COUTINHO: Yes. Respondent does object to 16 those exhibits as stated in the prehearing conference 17 18 minutes and orders. The deadline to submit additional 19 exhibit was September 12th, and this was an extension of 20 September 3rd deadline due to the moving of the hearing 21 date. 22 The exhibits were received on September 25th, 23 almost two weeks after the deadline stated in the 24 prehearing conference minutes and orders and, thus, 25 Respondent does not have sufficient time to evaluate the

evidence presented, evaluate it, and determine the veracity of it and how it may alter its position. And for those reasons, Respondent objects to those exhibits.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you, Mr. Coutinho. If these exhibits were to be admitted, would FTB like post-hearing briefing? We can't hear you.

MR. COUTINHO: Sorry. I clicked the wrong button. Yes. In the event that these exhibits are admitted, again, Respondent objects to the admission of them, but in the event they are admitted into the record, Respondent would then request a post-additional brief.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH:

Mr. Colabianchi, can you please speak to your argument that there is good cause for the late submission of these proposed exhibits?

MR. COLABIANCHI: Yes. So for these exhibits, based on our knowledge of the records of the company at issue here, we believed they had been destroyed previously. Mr. Bagley, when he was reviewing something -- some files he had to refresh his recollection, it was in an unrelated folder. I believe it was having to do with the development of the software.

23 Scott, can you correct me if I'm wrong on that? 24 MR. SCHOLLER: We were -- he was looking for the 25 exact dates of release for each of the game titles, and the software you keep is a -- you have a gold copy, which is kind of the master, from which games were replicated, and so that was where it was labeled, but a back-up file had been made and it happened to include those items. So if he hadn't have been looking for specific dates on the release of the games, he probably never would have noticed them.

8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. Thank9 you.

10

Mr. Colabianchi, anything else to add?

MR. COLABIANCHI: No, I don't believe so. We -just one thing, these include the balance sheet and a profit and loss statement, so these would be financial in nature and you wouldn't expect them to be in this kind of folder where it's discussing about the -- the folder having to do with the development of the software specifically.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: And it looks like the Panel has come to a decision on this. Since the exhibits were not in Appellants' possession and were recently discovered, for good cause, we are going to admit Exhibits 16, 17, and 18 into the record. And we are going to allow FTB post-hearing briefing to address those exhibits if FTB finds that necessary.

25

Appellants indicated during our prehearing

conference that they will be presenting testimony or
 written declaration from the following individuals:
 G. Scholler, S. Scholler, Dave Caputo, Doug Detrick,
 Chris Perkins, Dan Hilderbrand, Chris LaBelle, and Ralph
 Bagley. FTB did not raise objections to any of the
 witnesses.

Before we begin Appellants' presentation, I will place -- well, actually, I remember we had a request this morning that each witness be sworn in individually. So what we were going to do is, Mr. Colabianchi, you have 15 minutes for your opening presentation and then you will have up to two and a half hours to present witness testimony.

I will swear in each witness before they testify and they will remain under oath until the close of this hearing. Mr. Colabianchi, you can have the witnesses testify in the narrative form or you may ask them specific questions. Please proceed when you are ready.

19

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MR. COLABIANCHI: Thank you, your Honor.

This case is about a short-term loss based on the Scholler's 2003 tax return. The loss originates from a non-business bad debt deduction of \$1,233,460.00. The bad debt this refers to is a series of short-term loans given by Scott Scholler to a company call N'Lightning Software Development, Inc. or NSDI.

NSDI was in the video game business specifically targeting a Christian, family-friendly market. While initially successful, NSDI ultimately closed in 2023, and NSDI was unable to repay the loans Scott had made to it, and Scott, therefore, took the bad debt deduction.

While this deduction was ultimately disallowed by the IRS, we intend to show that this deduction was properly taken and only through a series of miscommunications did the tax due become final with the IRS. To prove that this deduction was properly taken, you must first show that the Schollers did, indeed, transfer funds to NSDI.

We will hear testimony from NSDI's former CEO, director of marketing, and others to corroborate these 14 payments. We have also admitted wire instructions and bank statements in the record to prove these payments took place.

18 Secondly, we will show that these loans qualified 19 as bona fide debts. Under federal tax law filed by 20 California, a bona fide debt is a debt which arises from a 21 debtor-creditor relationship based upon the valid and 22 enforceable obligation to pay a fixed or determinable 23 amount of money. For a debt to qualify for the bad debt 24 deduction, it must have been a bona fide debt.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

I would like to clarify that there were, in fact,

1 three lending events with NSDI as the borrower and Scott 2 Scholler as the lender. The first lending event started 3 in 2000, when Scott agreed to loan just under \$850,000.00 4 to NSDT. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: It looks like 5 6 we have lost Mr. Colabianchi again.

MR. COLABIANCHI: I apologize. I'm having technical issues on my end. It wasn't happening before this morning, so bear with me again. I'm sorry.

Mr. Colabianchi, why don't we try turning off your video so that maybe we are not taking up --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH:

MR. SCHOLLER: It's jumping, so your bandwidth 14 seems low.

15

19

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

MR. COLABIANCHI: I'll try that.

16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: And I'm going 17 to also let the parties know we are going to take a break at the 90-minute mark. So I will give you a little heads 18 up when we hit that point. And if someone is testifying, 20 we will let them finish their sentence or train of thought and we will take a little break at that point. 21

22

Mr. Colabianchi, back to you.

23 MR. COLABIANCHI: Okay. Thank you. Can you hear 24 me?

25

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: We can hear

you.

2

6

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

MR. COLABIANCHI: Thank you.

The first lending event started in 2000 when 3 4 Scott agreed to loan just over \$850,000.00 to NSDI. These 5 loan disbursement were ultimately made in two installments, one in 2000 and one in early 2001. This lending event was not included in the calculation of the 7 bad debt deduction; however, we will ask the witnesses 8 about this loan in order to show that there was a 10 lender-borrower relationship between Scott Scholler and 11 NSDT.

The second lending event started in June 2001, when Scott agreed to provide short-term loans to the business to help with development and marketing of its second video game title. These loans ultimately equaled approximately \$800,000.00. This loan was included in the calculation of the bad debt deduction.

18 The final lending event has to do with an 19 unsecured line of credit provided to NSDI by Home Valley 20 Bank started in September 2000. This line of credit was 21 renewed in 2001 and the interest rate was reduced in early In June 2002, Home Valley Bank was sold and a new 22 2022. 23 owner, on very short notice, less than 30 days, directed the whole line be called which included the line of credit 24 with NSDI. Scott Scholler refinanced this line of credit 25

of \$400,000.00 and stepped into the shoes of the bank.

We will show, using objective indicators, that both the second and third lending events were bona fide debts in that they, one, have the correct form of the instrument to be consider a loan, interest was charged, and promissory notes were drafted, and there was a board meeting to memorialize the agreement.

Number two, the intent of the parties was that these payments would be loans and NSDI intended to repay the loans as Scott intended to be repaid.

Number three, the objective and economic reality show that this was a loan. First, NSDI secured a third-party lender, Home Valley Bank, showing third parties would, indeed, loan to NSDI. And second, at the time of the loans, there was a reasonable expectation that NSDI's sales would be at a level to fully repay Scott Scholler.

Counsel for Respondent has argued that Scott's transfer of these funds was a gift or a paid in capital. Scott received a 20 percent interest in the company to provide NSDI with the first loan of just under \$850,000.00. A granting of shares as an incentive to provide debt financing was an often-used practice by startup companies.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

This agreement was drafted by Roger Rappoport who

headed up the Emerging Growth and Venture Capital
Practice, however, these loans were not included in the
calculation of the bad debt deduction. They weren't part
of the deduction. The short-term loans Scott provided in
June 2001 were not connected to the first loan agreement
and these made up the bulk of the debt that was written
off, over \$800,000.00 worth.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Mr. Colabianchi? Sorry. That last sentence you were kind of in and out. Can you repeat the last sentence, please?

MR. COLABIANCHI: Sure. The short-term loans Scott provide starting in June 2001 were not connected to first loan agreement and these made up the bulk of the debt which was written off, over \$800,000.00 worth.

The last element that must be proved is that the debt at least was worthless in the year that the deduction was taken. In our case, the deduction was taken in 2003. We have several objective identifiable events which point to the debt being worthless in 2003.

20 Number one, the sales of NSDI's 21 highly-anticipated second title were lower than expected, 22 and NSDI was unable to secure distribution agreements for 23 their product.

Number two, Michael Acton, a person at NSDI
 contracted to provide accounting services to the company,

1 was discovered to have embezzled more than \$115,000.00 2 from NSDI. This discovery happened in May 2023. Our 3 witnesses will testify how this embezzlement damaged the 4 business.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

And three, due to lower sales and revealed embezzlement, NSDI let go of its entire staff in September 2003. IRS records will prove that 2003 was the last year NSDI issued payroll.

Number four, a letter from CEO Ralph Bagley to Scott Scholler dated December 22, 2003, states that NSDI will not be able to repay the debt to Mr. Scholler. These events taken together show that NSDI's debt to Scott Scholler was utterly worthless in 2003, and therefore, it was proper for the Schollers to take this deduction on their 2003 return.

In conclusion, these debts were bona fide worthless in 2003, they were properly written off as bad debt in 2003.

Moving on to the penalty. Under Neonatology Associates Vs. Commissioner, Tax Court case, taxpayers will not be held liable for accuracy-related penalties if they relied upon their tax professionals for their reporting positions. The tax court set forth a three-prong test for the taxpayer to show reasonable reliance on a tax professional. The first prong is whether the advisor was a competent professional who had sufficient expertise to justify a reliance. The second prong is whether the taxpayer provided necessary and accurate information to the advisor. The third prong is whether the taxpayer actually relied in good faith on the advisors judgment. We believe this test has been met by my client, and thus, he should not be held liable for the proposed accuracy-related penalty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

My client discussed the bad debt deduction with a financial advisor, Richard Berry, and he reasonably relied on his advice when he claimed it in 2003, therefore, the accuracy-related penalty should be abated.

Regarding the interest abatement, Franchise Tax Board issued a Notice of Proposed Assessment to my client in 2009, and it was timely protested. My client was still trying to have the IRS reconsider the outcome of the IRS's prior audit, and therefore, the Franchise Tax Board granted him time to try to obtain this reconsideration.

However, the last time the FTB issued a letter to my client before the Notice of Action in 2020 was in 2016. We believe this is attributable in whole or in part to an unreasonable delay on the part of the Franchise Tax Board. No significant aspect of the delay after 2016 was due to actions attributable to my client. The FTB could have

1 issued the Notice of Action at any time. 2 They also occurred after the FTB first contacted 3 the taxpayer as the Notice of Proposed Assessment was 4 issued in 2009; therefore, we believe the interest of 2016 5 to 2020 should be abated. 6 That ends my opening statement. Thank you. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: 7 Thank you, Mr. Colabianchi. 8 9 I'm going to turn to my co-panelists to see if 10 they have any questions for you. I'll start with Judge Kletter. Do you have any questions? 11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: This is Judge 12 13 Kletter. No questions at this time. Thank you. 14 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Judge Akin, do 15 you have any questions? 16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: Also no questions 17 at this time. Thank you. 18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: I do have one 19 question. Mr. Colabianchi, regarding the accuracy-related 20 penalty, you mentioned that Appellants relied on the tax 21 professional for this position. Is there any 22 documentation of that legal position? 23 MR. COLABIANCHI: I don't believe there would be 24 a written legal position by Mr. Berry in the record. 25 Scott, do you have any -- could I ask Scott if he

has any input on that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

MR. SCHOLLER: No, I'm not sure I quite understand the question. Regarding --

MR. COLABIANCHI: So when you spoke to Mr. Berry about the bad debt deduction, did he issue any kind of opinion letter you should take the deduction in 2003 or any other documentary substantiation?

MR. SCHOLLER: Maybe. I didn't -- I haven't been looking for that. I mean, there's a lot of correspondence between Ayco Asset Management Richard Berry and myself at around that time.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: I'm going to stop us right here because Mr. Scholler is giving testimony, so I would like to swear you in so I can consider what you are saying as part of the record. So can you please, at this point, raise your right hand.

## SCOTT SCHOLLER,

19 having been first duly sworn was examined and testified as 20 follows:

MR. SCHOLLER: I do.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. So Mr. Scholler, you are sworn in and you will remain under oath for the remainder of this hearing. You may proceed

1 answering the question. What I specifically want to know 2 is do you have any documentation -- any e-mails or a 3 letter from the tax preparer documenting that this was the 4 position that --5 MR. SCHOLLER: I may. It would take me -- it would probably take me a few minutes to figure that out. 6 Maybe when we take a break I will attempt to do that. 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: No, it's okay. 8 9 I was just asking if it's already in the record. 10 MR. SCHOLLER: However, the meeting where that 11 was discussed was in tax preparation for the year 2003. 12 As he did every year, Richard would come to our home and 13 it was around the kitchen table with myself, Richard, and 14 my wife, who will be providing testimony later. 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. Thank 16 you. Mr. Colabianchi, who would you like to call as 17 18 your first witness? Mr. Colabianchi, can you unmute? 19 MR. COLABIANCHI: Yes, I'm back. I think I'll 20 try to call in, if that's all right with your Honor? 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: That's 22 absolutely fine. 23 MR. COLABIANCHI: Could we have a five-minute 24 recess to see if I can work with these issues I'm having? 25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: That's fine.

1 We can do that. So if everyone can still please stay on 2 the Zoom but go ahead and turn off your audio if it's on, 3 and turn off your video. We will see you at 10:23. 4 MR. COLABIANCHI: Thank you. 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Off the 6 record. 7 (There was a pause in the proceedings.) ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Back on the 8 record. Let's see and just make sure that we have 9 10 Mr. Colabianchi available for us. I do not see him. 11 So looks like he's still working on that. Our Okav. office is going to give him a call. Let's go back on a 12 13 little break. We will go off the record for a moment and 14 I will come back when I'm given a heads up that he has 15 returned. So please, again, turn off your video and turn off your audio. 16 17 (There was a pause in the proceedings.) 18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: So we are now 19 back on the record. And Mr. Colabianchi, you are going to 20 tell me which witness you would be calling first. 21 MR. COLABIANCHI: I'd like to call Scott Scholler 22 first, please. 23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. 24 Wonderful. My understanding is that, available to us, we 25 have Mr. Scholler, Mrs. Scholler, Doug Detrick, Chris

1	Perkins, and Ralph Bagley. So if possible, I would like
2	those individuals to be sworn in together just to save us
3	a little bit of time. So I'm going to just go and check
4	you can unmute yourself by pressing star 6. Really
5	quick, I think we have Gay Scholler.
6	Just let me know you are here.
7	MRS. SCHOLLER: I'm here.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Wonderful.
9	Scott Scholler, just confirm that you are still
10	with us here.
11	MR. SCHOLLER: Yes.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Doug Detrick?
13	I don't have him yet.
14	Chris Perkins?
15	MR. PERKINS: Yes, your Honor. I'm here.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Ralph Bagley?
17	MR. BAGLEY: Yes, your Honor. I'm here too.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: And I do see
19	Doug Detrick on the line. So Mr. Detrick, can you press
20	star 6, since it looks like you're calling in, and just
21	confirm that you can hear me. So I will swear him in
22	later.
23	For Gay Scholler, Scott Scholler, Chris Perkins,
24	and Ralph Bagley, I would ask that you please raise your
25	right hand.

1 GAY SCHOLLER, SCOTT SCHOLLER, CHRIS PERKINS, AND 2 RALPH BAGLEY, 3 having been first duly sworn, were examined and 4 testified as follows: 5 6 MR. BAGLEY: I swear. 7 MS. SCHOLLER: I do. 8 MR. PERKINS: Yes, I do. 9 MR. SCHOLLER: I do. 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you. 11 You are under oath and you will remain under oath 12 until the close of this hearing. Everyone but 13 Mr. Scholler can go back to the waiting room, but I ask 14 that each witness stay with us available in case there are 15 any questions from the Franchise Tax Board representative or any of the panel members. 16 17 So Mr. Colabianchi, please proceed with your 18 witness presentation. 19 MR. COLABIANCHI: Thank you, your Honor. 20 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. COLABIANCHI: 2.2 23 Good morning, Mr. Scholler. 0 24 Good morning. А As you and the Panel are aware, this case 25 0

involves the question as to a deduction of over \$1.2 million on your 2023 tax return, it's a non-business bad debt. I would like to start with background information and give the Court your involvement with the (unintelligible).

Throughout this hearing I will be referring to N'Lightning Software Development, Inc. as NSDI. Can you please provide us with a summary of your business and profession background prior to and during the time you were a lender to NSDI?

A Certainly. After graduating West Point, I served eight and a half years on active duty in the military. When I went off of active duty, I joined Applied Materials in the Bay Area, and over the course of the years that followed leading up to when I provided loans to N'Lightning, I was an executive in four startups and one turnaround of a failing company.

Those four startups ended up, two via merger and one is still now a 30-year-old public -- employee-owned company --

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

(Internet interruption.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Mr. Colabianchi and Mr. Scholler, I'm going to ask that you present that testimony again starting with where you left off that Ms. Maaske heard.

1	THE WITNESS: I think I can recall it. So where
2	I left off was one is now a 30-year-old, employee-owned
3	company. I misstated when I said public. It's an
4	employee-owned company. And the last two were became
5	public companies via IVO. I was at the time that I was
6	at the time that I was introduced to N'Lightning, I was
7	in discussions with that last company, Interlays
8	Corporation, and I went off on the side which I'll
9	leave out at this time. But so, yes, that's my
10	background.
11	BY MR. COLABIANCHI:
12	Q Okay. Thank you, Scott.
13	I believe you mentioned Ralph Bagley, or you may
14	have mentioned it, but I'm going to ask you about several
15	individuals and how you know them and how they're related
16	to NSDI. So the first name would be Ralph Bagley. How do
17	you know him and what was he to NSDI?
18	A Ralph Bagley was the CEO of N'Lightning. I was
19	introduced or he was referred to me by Russ Holm, who
20	was a classmate of mine at West Point and were in the same
21	year group and company, so that's, like, 20 people, so we
22	knew each other pretty well.
23	After I was when we started out, he was at LSI
24	Logic when I was at Applied Materials, so we kind of
25	traveled in the same circles and he knew me pretty well.

He's the founder and managing partner at Legacy Ventures, I believe it's now called Next Legacy. Ralph had met with him. And while it wasn't a good fit for Legacy Ventures, he thought it might be something I was interested in, so he referred Ralph to me.
Q Okay. And I'll get back to that. But I want to

move on to a couple mores names. Dave Caputo, are you familiar with that name?

A Yes. Dave Caputo was in charge marketing and sales at N'Lightning, and I believe we met once or twice. O And then Chris Perkins?

A Chris Perkins was the project lead, slash, lead developer for the -- N'Lightning's games.

Q Doug Detrick?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 A Doug Detrick was one of the original lenders to16 the company and a board member.

Q And then the last name is a Michael Acton? A Michael Acton was a person that N'Lightning contacted with to provide payroll and accounting services to the company. I spoke with him on the phone and exchanged e-mails with him on occasion mostly related to the loans.

Q Okay. And I'll get back to him later; however, I'd like to go back to Ralph Bagley, that you talked to him and he thought you might be interested in his business. So when and how was the pitch made to you to provide loans to NSDI, the business he was the CEO of?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

A Early in 2000, January, I believe, he came to where I lived in Poway, California, and introduced himself. Russ had already called me and told me he would be contacting me, and so he presented his concept or ideas, they had a demonstration of the software of the game, and, you know, basically told me that they were looking for debt financing to get the company going. That was it.

He had some other discussion about the market, the size, and that. As a Christian, as a parent, as a video game player, and as a parent of children who were video game players, I kind of understood the market a little bit, although it's not something I had ever dabbled in, and, you know, what they were proposing had a certain level of appeal.

18 Q Why was debt financing the chosen route rather19 than equity financing?

A Well, in my experience, and in early-stage companies, at the seed or what is commonly referred to as the angel phase of a business startup, debt financing loans are often the direction to go. I mean, you only have a concept. You don't have a product, you don't have sales. Until you get to those levels venture capital money isn't going to be available to you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Since you don't have any of those things, what -selling shares of the company, you know, you are probably not going to. One is venture capital companies are probably not even going to talk to you. And second, if you were, you would give up, basically, most of your shares of the company for probably not enough money to get you going.

9 So, you know, debt financing -- even in large 10 companies, like when I was at Simer, that had millions of 11 dollars raised from who would be their customers, which were 9th on Cannon, ASML and SVGL, and the form of that 12 13 money in was all in convertible ventures, basically, loans 14 that, at a point in time in the future, the lender could 15 choose to convert to shares at a predetermined price, but the fact is is they're loans. Right. So you know, I'm 16 17 familiar with debt financing as a vehicle in startups.

18 Q What form of agreement was there? A promissory 19 note?

A Yeah. They didn't have an agreement, so I said, well, I can have -- I'll have Roger Rappoport, who was the head of Emerging Growth and Venture Capital Practice for Procopio -- Cory, Savitch & Hargreaves, LLP -- and somebody that I had worked with or had worked with companies I've been in for some time, he prepared the

1	agreement, you know, which included, you know, the that
2	it was a loan, and that it came with a stock grant, and a
3	seat on the board. So yeah, it's a multipage document
4	that you have got there somewhere.
5	Q So what was the interest rate, do you recall?
б	A Not offhand. I think as I recall, it was
7	it was fairly high.
8	Q Okay. And did you expect to be repaid?
9	A Absolutely.
10	Q When did you make the first loan and how much was
11	it?
12	A We the document, it specified two tranches.
13	The first tranche was in March and it was for \$400,000.00.
14	The second tranche was slated for August, but then, by
15	mutual agreement, we N'Lightning allowed that it be
16	broken up into smaller tranches.
17	Q Okay. Let me see. To your knowledge after you
18	provided these initial loans, did NSDI receive financing
19	from any other sources?
20	A Yes, in September of 2000 N'Lightning reached an
21	agreement with Home Valley Bank to provide an unsecured
22	line of credit ostensibly for the acquisition of inventory
23	video games and the attended materials like displays
24	and so on. While I wasn't part of that negotiation,
25	because I was the first lender, I had to sign off on the

1 agreement -- or I was asked to sign off. I don't know if 2 I had to. 3 But anyway, so yes, they had an unsecured line of credit for \$400,000.00 from Home Valley Bank. 4

Okay. I want to come back to this. But 0 something regarding the first loan you made NSDI, two payments, did you include that in your calculation for the bad debt deduction? Was that included in the amount that was deducted?

> Α No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

17

Okay. Okay. Returning to Home Valley Bank. 11 0 What happened to this line of credit with them that NSDI has?

14 Well, it was renewed in 2001. Along the way, Α 15 there were several times where they reduced the interest 16 rate.

> 0 Okay.

18 For the line of credit. Sometime in the early А 19 spring of 2002, they reduced the rate the last time. 20 Ralph, in one of the telephone calls -- Ralph Bagley, the 21 CEO, in one of the phone calls, indicated they intended to renew the line of credit further. 22

23 The VP in charge at Home Valley Bank had said 24 that. But be that what it may, in June, Home Valley Bank 25 was sold to another bank. I don't know the name of it.

1 And they -- the new owner wasn't going to be in the 2 business of providing lines of credit to anybody, so they called them all, which included N'Lightning, and it --3 4 with less than 30 days notice, which, as you can imagine, 5 caused a panic situation. So because it had taken months to negotiate the first line of credit, finding someone to 6 7 replace it was going to be -- put a real strain on the 8 company.

Q Can you describe a little bit more why the line of credit canceled?

A Yeah. They got out of the business. It wasn't because of noncompliance or anything like that. It is -the new bank owner wasn't going to be -- you know, they were more of a retail bank and they were getting out of commercial banking, and so the lines of credit, they just called them all to be paid out by the end of June.

What happened to the line of credit after that? 17 0 18 Well, the company attempted to negotiate or find Α 19 a new -- a new bank to assume the line of credit, but if 20 you don't have a relationship with a bank, that's going to 21 be pretty hard. The only bank that had any sort of 22 relationship -- and I'm not even sure what that was, 23 whether it was N'Lightning or one of the board members, 24 whomever it was, with Bank of America.

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Bank of America would not do an unsecured line of

credit, so their terms were that all of the existing
 shareholders in N'Lightning provide personal guarantees
 for the line of credit.

Q Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

A That turned out to be not something that was palatable to the shareholders -- the other shareholders. And so you are now faced with one of two possibilities: Default on the line of credit, which, you know, would essentially crater the company immediately, or somebody needs to step in.

So I -- I didn't really want to, but I stepped in and assumed the line of credit basically under the same terms as had been with Home Valley Bank. It wasn't like we wrote a new agreement with different terms, it's, like, I'll just assume the line of credit under the existing terms and conditions.

17 Q So would it be fair to say you stepped into the18 shoes of the bank?

19 A Yes, yes, it would be fair to say I became the20 bank, the Bank of Scholler.

21 Q When you assumed the line of credit in June 2022, 22 did you receive any shares of the company?

A No.

Q Did you include this line of credit that is in issue with the bank or did you include that in the bad

1	debt deduction?
2	A I believe so, yes.
3	Q Okay. Let's see. We looked at one point
4	well, I'll return to that.
5	I want to move to the loans at issue. Do you
6	have Appellants' Exhibit 1 there?
7	A Do I?
8	Q Yeah. I don't know I don't think I can bring
9	it up.
10	A I have things on my tablet here.
11	Q Yeah.
12	A Exhibit 1. Okay. Yeah.
13	Q Okay. I have this labeled as Restructure of
14	Loans from you to NSDI, first came in dated June 4,
15	2001; is that correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q What happened in June 2001?
18	A The company's sales were not ramping up as
19	quickly as they had hoped. They're the initial
20	positioning or the initial thrust for their marketing and
21	sales was through Christian bookstores, Christian
22	retailers, and as reported to me to the rest of the
23	members of the board, and Ralph Bagley and Dave Caputo's
24	testament, it was simply a matter of retailer education.
25	This was something new to them, and they

basically had a hard time in knowing how to market and sell it to retail customers, but they were taking steps to remedy that. However, what that meant was you had two things going on. One was the cash-flow needs of the company based on their burn rate, and also, there were compliance terms and conditions related to the line of credit with Home Valley Bank that needed to be maintained.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

I don't remember exactly what those were, but, 8 you know, it involved how much -- you know, maintaining 9 10 certain balances in their accounts, et cetera. So they were -- you know, essentially needed additional cash, and 11 so I agreed to provide them -- this was believed to be a 12 13 short-term hump that they needed to get over, and I agreed 14 to provide a series of short-term loans as needed to, you 15 know, assure that they -- that their terms and conditions with Home Valley Bank were met and their cash-flow needs 16 17 for continuing operations were met.

Q Was there a meeting or call where the loans were discussed, or can you give us more information about that if there was one?

A Sure. There was a board meeting. For me, it was telephonic because they're in Medford, Oregon, and I was in California, at which time the -- my offer of providing short-term loans was discussed. It was a simple agreement that was reviewed with the board of directors and approved by them. Of course, I had to bow out of that portion of the discussion for obvious reasons. Anyway, but yeah, it was -- they had approved my providing the short-term loans to the company.

Q Okay. Do you know who else was on the call when it was discussed at the board meeting?

I believe Doug Detrick was in the office with 7 А them, although he may have been on the phone. That's a 8 better question for Doug. The -- I don't recall. 9 Ι 10 believe all of the board members were there, which would have include Cleta Charles. Unfortunately, she passed 11 12 away some years ago so it would be hard to ask her. So, 13 yeah.

14

15

5

6

Q Was Ralph Bagley on the call?

A Yeah, Ralph chaired the meeting.

Q Okay. What was the terms of the loans? You said they were short term, but was there a repayment schedule on interest rate?

A Well, obviously, if you are running short of cash, the interest would be accrued and then when the company's revenues or cash in exceeded their burn rate, that would be -- the loans would be repaid on a first-in-first-out basis, you know, so whatever -- in this case, the June loan would be repaid first with the accrued interest and then so on and until it was fully repaid.

1 Was there a written loan agreement for those Ο 2 short-term loans? 3 Α Yes. 4 Was it signed by the parties? 0 5 I believe so. I wasn't in the room, but yes. А Was it signed by you? 6 Q I think the sequence was after 7 I believe so. Α they signed it, it was sent back to me, you know, to be 8 9 signed -- but not as a board member, to be signed as the 10 lender. 11 Do you recall if it was mailed to you or faxed to 0 12 you? 13 Not specifically, but most other things of that Α 14 nature were mailed to me, so I'm guessing it was probably 15 mailed. I'd like to turn to the short-term loans, 16 0 Okay. 17 so let's talk about them, how it was that you made these 18 loan disbursements to NSDI? 19 Okay. Α 20 If you could explain? Q 21 Α The implementing logistics of it were that it 22 would -- the requests would have to be approved by Ralph 23 Sometimes I would get the request from Michael Bagley. 24 Acton, but that was always followed up with an e-mail or a 25 phone call with Ralph to confirm, you know, because that

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

was the deal.

My requests were Ayco Asset Management provided the umbrella financial management for me or for -- yeah. And so the requests, primarily -- not entirely, I also had accounts with Silicon Valley Bank. So in either case, it would be -- I would send them a fax with the wiring instructions for the amount to be disbursed to N'Lightning.

9 On the other side, N'Lightning, you know, put 10 them on their books as a loan increment and they kept 11 track of them and then periodically -- usually, like, once 12 a month, would compare notes. You know, here's what we 13 received, here's what I authorized, and just made sure 14 that the two matched up. We wanted to keep it simple.

15 And at the time I was in the middle of -- I was 16 hired as the eleventh employee at Interlays. Two years 17 later, we had 100 and some employees. We were -- you 18 know, I had to build an FDA-approved manufacturing 19 facility to get FDA compliance. I was working 14 to 16 20 hours day six to seven days a week. I only provide that, 21 not for sympathy, but simply I had to keep it simple, you 22 know.

Q So can we return to the wire transfers? A Yep.

25

23

24

Q Great. Exhibit 5, do you mind pulling that up?

1 It would be labeled -- if you are looking at the OTA Bates 2 stamp page, it's 8 of 99. 3 All right. Let me see what it says here. Α Ι 4 don't think it says either one of those things. 5 It would be in the bottom middle where it's the 0 6 page number. 7 Α I'm looking at the file. What I have up is OTA 8 CA-mysharepoint.com. 9 Yeah, go to page 89. Q 10 Okay. Hang on. Let me get --Α ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: This is Judge 11 12 While you are looking that up, I do want to Vassiqh. 13 mention that because we lost about six minutes of 14 Appellants' time, I did add to the end of your time, 15 Mr. Colabianchi, so you have until 12:45 to present the witness testimony. 16 17 And just a reminder that for some of your 18 witnesses, we do have submitted declarations too, so if 19 you would like to avoid having them repeat that, you can 20 just let us know there is a submitted declaration and we 21 can allow for any additional information or questions for Franchise Tax Board and the Panel. 22 23 Just to clarify, you were talking MR. COUTINHO: 24 about the entire witness testimony until 12:45; correct? 25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Yes, for all

witnesses.

1

2 MR. COUTINHO: Okay. Thank you very much. BY MR. COLABIANCHI: 3 4 Were you able to get to that exhibit? 0 5 Yes, I am here. А So we are on page 89, the OTA exhibit. 6 Okay. 0 7 What is this document here? It's wiring instructions from me to Emily Clayton 8 Α 9 at MSDW. 10 And how much was it for? 0 11 \$21,000.00. Α 12 And what was the date these instructions were 0 13 sent? 14 August 27th of 2001. Α 15 All right. And then can you go to the previous 0 16 page, please. It should be --17 А It's bank statement. 18 Who's bank statement is this? 0 19 It's N'Lightning's bank statement. Α 20 Q Great. And can you see midway down the page, is 21 there a deposit? Yeah, there's a deposit on 8/28 of 2001 from an 22 Α 23 incoming wire of \$21,000.00. 24 Is this exhibit that would generally capture the 0 25 payments paid to NSDI under the short-term loan

agreements?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A Yes.

Q Okay. At this time how were NSDI's sales progressing -- at this time when you began giving the short-term loans, how were the sales progressing and what do you recall about their financial situation?

A Well, you know, they still weren't at cash-rate even, so that necessitated the short-term loans, but -that's funny.

10 Q What were the prospects of the sales of their 11 software?

A They were starting to trend upward to -- sorry. Just a second.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: I'm going to take this moment to remind you that if you are overlapping each other in discussion, we lose something because Ms. Maaske can only transcribe one part of the conversation, so let's be careful not to overlap.

19THE WITNESS: What was the question again?20BY MR. COLABIANCHI:

21 Q At this time when you started making the 22 short-term loans to NSDI, how were software sales and what 23 were the prospects for the second title?

A Well, the sales of Catechumen, running back to the previous, was -- I mean, this is only a couple of 1 months later. They're still working on retailer education 2 and were taking some steps to provide better -- improved 3 tools, you know, like displays that you could use at an 4 end cap in a store. But a lot of it was you just had to 5 -- they just had to spend time with the retailers.

Their intent was -- again, you are a new genre. There weren't Christian video games, or faith-based games were not a genre of video games, just as if you went back into the 50s as Christian music wasn't a genre in the music industry. So there was a fair amount of work to be done. So the sales were still low, but growing and encouraging.

Q Did you receive anything for the short-term loans other than promise to pay and interest repayment?

A No.

Q Did you receive any repayments for these loans? A Not in that -- obviously, not in that timeframe, but I may have in early 2003. I don't -- if it was, it was minimal. I just don't recall.

20 Q But most of the funds you sent as well to NSDI 21 under the short-term loans were not repaid; is that 22 correct?

23 A Correct.

Okay.

24 Q

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A Let me clarify. If I received anything, it was

probably related to the line of credit, but I just don't remember.

Q Okay. I want to pivot a little bit now. As you know, one of the requirements for the bad debt reduction that it is taken in the year when the debt becomes worthless. The next question has to do with the closure of NSDI's operations. You just testified that on the whole NSDI did not repay you for the short-term loans. Why did they not repay you?

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

A They didn't have the money.

11 Q Okay. When did you realize that they would not 12 be able to repay you?

A The wheels started coming off in early 2003 when they discovered that they had been embezzled to the tune of something over \$115,000.00, which translated to one quarter's operating expenses. That, coupled with -- you know, the year of 2003 was kind of a make-or-break year for the company.

19 Prior to that, the focus had been on Christian 20 retailers, and then, in 2001, they shifted to youth groups 21 and the like. Something that Ralph can talk to later, I 22 So the forecast -- the projections from fall of quess. 23 2002 for the year 2003 showed the company selling 24 something around 250,000 games. That's a big number, but 25 not big in retail distribution.

They had done some pilot work with some major retailers -- Target and Game Stop, were two that I remember. There may be more. Again, that's a better question for Ralph Bagley. But it was -- their projections or forecast was initially dependent upon being able to -- to get into the large retail distribution channels.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

So the combination of all of a sudden you realize 8 9 that you are \$115,000.00 or so lighter than you thought 10 you were, and you have records that have been destroyed, both physical and digital, police reports, all of that 11 sort of thing, plus the impact of being able to get in 12 13 front of those retail distributors ended up -- and they're were a few other thing -- of the kind of demand the major 14 15 companies, like, specifically WalMart being one of them -and I don't know all of the specifics. Ralph could 16 17 probably talk to that as well.

18 But the end result was they were not going to be, 19 in any meaningful way, distributing through the likes of 20 Game Stop, WalMart, and/or Target for the holiday season 21 of 2003. That was the -- the games at this point --Catechumen was released in 2000 and Ominous Horizons in 22 23 2001. Games have a relatively short life span. 24 Technology changes and so on. So a three or four-year old game is not a seller. So if it got into retail 25

distribution in the U.S. in 2003, that opens up the doors to international sales -- South Korea, Europe and so on.

It's not true anymore, but at that time, that's the way most games traveled. It didn't include Japan because they developed many of their own games. But games developed in the U.S., if there was success in the U.S., then they could be successful in other markets. So when all of that is missed, you know, you are not going to get another shot next year.

10 It's -- if you had a new title, you know, there's 11 kind of that drafting effect, which you see in many of the 12 video game franchises. If you come out with Game X, 13 version 10, well, that means version 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4 and 14 previous ones will have a continued life span. But if you 15 don't, you don't.

So things were looking pretty severe by June. The company attempted to try to shore things up, but by August, it was clear, despite their best efforts, the company was going to fail.

20 Q Scott, I'd like to turn to Exhibit 2. It's 21 page 7.

A Page?

Α

23 Q On the PDF document, page 7. Let me know when 24 you have it.

25

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

I'm scrolling as fast as I can. Yes, I have it.

1	Q And this is a letter that appears to be to you
2	from Ralph Bagley dated December 22, 2003. Are you
3	familiar with this?
4	A Yes, I am.
5	Q In this letter, Ralph Bagley stated you loaned
6	NSDI more than \$1.9 million; is that correct?
7	A Correct.
8	Q Now would that include several lending events
9	A Yes, that would be a combination of all of the
10	original
11	Q Okay.
12	A and then the line of credit and then the
13	short-term notes.
14	Q Okay. And he also states in the letter that he
15	had been forced to eliminate NSDI's entire staff; is that
16	your recollection of
17	A Correct.
18	Q And that he would also be unable to repay the
19	loans you had made to NSDI; is that right?
20	A Correct.
21	Q Now when you received this letter, did you
22	believe your loans to be worthless?
23	A Yeah, unfortunately.
24	Q Okay. To your knowledge, did NSDI ever conduct
25	business or pay employees after 2003?

A Did not pay them -- did not have any employees and did not pay anybody after 2003.

Q Okay.

A I can talk probably later, but I made the decision as kind of the last person standing to not file anything to derive the company into bankruptcy but rather to detain it as a shareholder, and I asked Ralph Bagley to retain the title of CEO even though he was not an employee and was not going to be paid, for the simple reason that the company had built up a certain reputation and he was the face of the company.

12 He was the only person that the outside world knew that represented N'Lightning. Also, the company had 13 about 100 and some odd thousand customers out there. 14 15 Ralph Bagley and Chris Perkins volunteered to continue to provide technical support to customers for a period of 16 time -- I don't remember how long that went on, it was 17 18 quite some time -- without pay simply because it was the 19 right thing to do, and not having the customers who paid 20 and had bought games to be shortchanged on support.

21 22 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Q Did you discuss the letter with anyone else? A Sure, with Richard Berry, who is the financial planner who did our taxes from Ayco Asset manager.

Q And what action did he suggest for providing this letter? A Well, his first question is was there any chance these loans are going to be repaid and I said no. And as evidenced or as stated in the letter, and then -- you know, this is not something that I knew. And he said that you have to take bad debt reductions in the year that you know that it's a loss. You can't defer them or carry them over or anything like that. So a bad debt write off would have to have happened in 2003.

Q Did anyone else talk to Richard Berry with you about this?

A Yeah. This was part of his -- he would, every year at about that time, in September or thereabouts, he would meet with my wife and I at our home and go over the tax preparation requirements for that year, and then start talking about planning for the succeeding year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Q Do you believe he was a competent professional?

The how -- how that we came to use Richard 17 А Yeah. 18 was the compensation committee and the board of directors 19 at Simer interviewed a number of firms and selected Ayco 20 Asset Management to provide financial planning and tax 21 services to the executives at the company as part of our 22 compensation package. So in specific then, once they had 23 selected Ayco, then Richard Berry was selected. So he not 24 only was taking care of my financial planning and tax 25 needs, he was all of the other executives at Simer as

1 well. 2 And did you provide everything he asked you to 0 3 provide in order to draft a return? 4 Α T did. 5 And did you rely in good faith on his judgment, 0 what you should do with this letter and how you should 6 7 treat it on your tax returns? 8 Α Yes. 9 And when did you ultimately claim the bad debt 0 10 deduction, which tax year? 11 2003. Α 12 Were you subsequently audited by the IRS? 0 13 Yes. Α 14 What happened during that audit? Q 15 Well, it first started that they just said, hey, А we have some questions. And it was several years later, I 16 17 don't recall exactly what the time frame, but then they 18 followed up and asked for a bunch of documentation, which 19 we provided. And then it was -- I had provided Ayco power 20 of attorney so the interaction was going between them and 21 the IRS office, but they would update me with phone calls 22 and whatever, e-mails. They were not -- they were leaving 23 messages and not being able to get anything back from the 24 person that was -- that was -- was reviewing the information. 25

1 That person had sent a letter that said, okay, 2 here's how it goes, I'm going to ask for some 3 documentation and after I review it, I may ask for more 4 documentation, and then we will have a face-to-face meeting. Well, that never happened, ever. 5 There was never a meeting between either Ayco or me or anybody else 7 directly with anyone at the IRS.

6

9

On the -- about the anniversary -- I don't know 8 if it was the first or second anniversary of their initial 10 request -- we were informed that the person was no longer at the IRS. A new person was assigned, and I have no idea 11 how long they had that. They looked at what had been 12 13 provided, but they, you know, declined it and passed it off to someone else for further review. 14

15 That led to a sequence of, you know, that -- it is kind of hard to understand, but it passed through maybe 16 four or five different offices and a greater number of 17 18 individuals. Some of the things that I saw in the 19 correspondence back from Ayco to the various individuals 20 is they'd ask for information that had already been 21 provided or say that things had not been responded to when 22 they had.

23 At the end, we asked for documentation back from 24 the IRS when they had finished, and what they sent back 25 was a small fraction of what had been provided to them.

1	So I'm not sure, you know, exactly what went on. During
2	the course of this, I was advised by Ayco that there's two
3	routes you can take, one is an administrative review route
4	and the other is a legal route. And they said, you know,
5	this is a simple matter. You know, we have a pretty good
б	relationship with the IRS. This should be easily
7	resolved. We don't recommend they said you know, I
8	want to be concise. He said it's ultimately up to you,
9	but our suggestion is you don't need to go the legal
10	route, this can be resolved administratively and it will
11	be fine.
12	Q So to clarify, did you ever file a U.S. Tax Court
13	petition?
14	A No.
15	Q Was this upon the advice of your tax planner
16	A Yes.
17	Q tax preparer?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. I have no further questions at this time.
20	Scott, do you have anything you would like to add?
21	A No, I think we've basically covered it.
22	Judges, do you have any questions?
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you,
24	Mr. Scholler and Mr. Colabianchi. I'd like to check with
25	my panel members if they do have questions, and

1 Mr. Coutinho might have questions. I'd like to see first 2 if we have questions. If not, we will take a break right 3 If we do have questions, we will see -- maybe we now. 4 will take a question or two. 5 So Mr. Coutinho, do you have any questions for this witness? 6 7 MR. COUTINHO: This is Brad Coutinho for 8 Respondent. No questions at this time. Thank you. 9 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you, 10 Mr. Coutinho. 11 Judge Akin, do you have any questions for 12 Mr. Scholler? 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: I do have one 14 question. I can try to keep it brief, but we can take a 15 break first if that's your preference. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Let me check 16 17 with Judge Kletter. 18 Judge Kletter, do you have any questions? 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: I also just 20 have one brief question, so I'm happy to ask it before the 21 break or after. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: So let's do 22 23 the questions now, and I'll go back to Judge Akin. 24 Please qo ahead. 25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: Sure. Okay.

1 Judge Akin speaking. So since we don't have the 2 promissory note in the record, I just wanted to verify one 3 potential term, it's regarding the interest. And if I 4 recall your testimony correctly, you said the interest was 5 to be accrued and then paid along with the loan and, you know, once the company started bringing in sufficient 6 7 revenue is my understanding of your testimony. So did the promissory note, did the term provide for that interest to 8 9 accrue or did it provide for periodic payments for the 10 interest maybe monthly or annually --

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was to accrue. So when you paid off one of the increments it was to be done FIFO, first in first out. So in this case, the August one would be whenever they paid it, it would be the accrual up to that point in time plus the principal would be paid and then you would move on to the next one.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: Understood. And that answers my question. That was all I had. Thank you.

19ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you,20Judge Akin.

21

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Now we'll go to Judge Kletter.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: This is Judge Kletter. I just have a question on how, I guess, you know -- to recap my understanding, you mentioned that when the January 2000 loan was -- you know, that original \$400,00.00 to \$450,000.00 that was at a fairly high interest rate to the company, and then the 2001 loan and also the line of credit, those were at a lower interest rate, and I was just wondering if you could explain why those loans were at such a low interest rate, particularly for the Home Valley Bank?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

You had mentioned there was some interest rate reductions over the course of that line of credit but you just stepped in the shoes at that lower interest rate. So just wondering --

THE WITNESS: So the interest rate on the line of credit was what Home Valley Bank was charging. So they were -- if you recall during that time frame, interest rates were dropping like a stone, you know. I don't remember where they started at, like, 9 percent or something, and then 15 months later, they're, like, 2. So the original was at a fairly high rate. Simply because when the original loans were made, the company said they were going to be able to bring out a product in nine months.

That's a little bit incredulous because that seemed like a pretty aggressive time frame. So they had no track record, no product, no sales, so it's riskier. Right? By the time that I agreed to make short-term loans, interest rates were dropping, that's one thing. 1 The company had met its schedule and got its games out on 2 time. They were well received. They didn't have a lot of 3 bugs in them, and they were being reviewed well even by, 4 you know, what you might call secular reviewers, so you 5 know.

In any sort of startup, that debt financing is a negotiation of what's fair to both parties, and certainly while, you know, it wasn't as high interest rate as even the Home Valley Bank thing, it was more than I was going to make on it being in a savings account. So it seemed fair to me and it's seemed fair to them. Does that answer your question?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: This is Judge Kletter. So that does answer my question. I do not have any further questions. Thank you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: 16 Okay, 17 everyone, I'm going to ask you to mute your microphones 18 and turn off your video. My understanding is that the live stream continues while we are on break. We will be 20 taking a 10-minute recess and going off the record.

21 Mr. Lopez from OTA might be checking in with some of the new witnesses at this time. So please hold on for 22 23 a moment while he does that, and then we'll resume at 24 11:55.

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

(The morning recess was taken.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Back on the
 record.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

MR. COUTINHO: I had a quick question in regards to timing of the hearing. I know earlier you stated that Appellant's witness testimony would go to 12:45 and then, obviously, Respondent would have its argument, and then Appellants' rebuttal; is that still the time frame?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: I'm going to add 10 minutes to account for the break we just took, so Appellants have until 12:55. I realize they had seven witnesses, so they will have to be very efficient with their time, and then we will move to you for your 15-minute presentation, and Appellants will be given an opportunity to present a rebuttal of five minutes.

I see that Ms. Maaske is with us, and I just want to make sure we have Mr. Colabianchi. Can you let me know if you are here?

18 MR. COLABIANCHI: Hi, your Honor. Yes, I'm here. 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you for 20 that pause. Actually, I was going to mention that. Ιt 21 seems like we did have some overlap. In the transcription efforts, it makes it a little difficult, so we are going 22 23 to try with the next witnesses. I want to remind everyone 24 to please give a tiny pause after a question has been 25 asked and then go ahead and answer the question.

1	Okay. We are ready, Mr. Colabianchi, for the
2	next witness. Who would you be calling?
3	MR. COLABIANCHI: Your Honor, I'd like to call
4	Gay Scholler.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay.
6	Mrs. Scholler has been sworn in and she is under oath.
7	Please proceed when you're ready.
8	MR. COLABIANCHI: Thank you.
9	
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. COLABIANCHI:
12	Q Hi, Gay. Good morning good afternoon where
13	you are, I believe.
14	A Good afternoon.
15	Q How long have you and Scott been married?
16	A For 44 years.
17	Q And Scott testified about N'Lightning. When did
18	you first hear about N'Lightning, was it from Scott or
19	otherwise?
20	A It was from Scott. I heard about N'Lightning in
21	the year 2000 when he said he wanted to give them a loan
22	for approximately \$850,000.00.
23	Q And after that, when he spoke to you about it,
24	did the two of you discuss whether you should loan and was
25	there a decision made?

Г

1	A Yeah. We decided together to do that, yes.
2	Q And this was in 2000; is that right?
3	A Yes, it was.
4	Q Sorry. Okay. And after that time did you
5	discuss loans from you and Scott to NSDI at any other
6	point?
7	A I'm sure we did. I don't remember the specifics
8	on all that. He took care of all that. And so if he did
9	anything, he would run it by me and I probably said yes,
10	so that's all I have on that.
11	Q What happened to those loans?
12	A The loans that he made to them?
13	Q Correct.
14	A They didn't get paid. Is that what you mean?
15	Q Yes. And do you recall how much those loans were
16	for at the end?
17	A No, it was quite a lot, over a million dollars.
18	Q So it was more than the under \$850,000.00 that
19	was initially lent; is that correct?
20	A Yes, it was.
21	Q Okay. And then did you and Scott well, Scott
22	testified these loans were discussed with Richard Berry,
23	your tax preparer; is that correct?
24	A Yes, sir. Uh-huh. He came to our house every
25	year and he did an initial tax preparation. And the year

Г

1	
1	that it closed, he told us we need to take a loss off the
2	taxes of year that closed, which is 2003.
3	MR. COLABIANCHI: Okay. No further questions.
4	Thank you.
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you,
7	Mr. Colabianchi. Who will you be calling next?
8	MR. COLABIANCHI: Mr. Ralph Bagley.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. I would
10	like to double check. I believe Mr. Ralph Bagley was in
11	the first bunch of witnesses the was sworn in.
12	Is that correct, Mr. Bagley?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm here. And I have been
14	sworn.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: You were sworn
16	in. Great. Just a reminder that you will remain under
17	oath until the end of this hearing.
18	I do want to go back to Mrs. Scholler. Sorry. I
19	wanted to make sure and see if Mr. Coutinho has any
20	questions for Mrs. Scholler?
21	MR. COUTINHO: This is Brad Coutinho. No further
22	questions. Thank you.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. Judge
24	Kletter, did you have any questions for Mrs. Scholler?
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: No, I don't

1 have any questions. 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you. 3 And Judge Akin, do you have any guestions for 4 Mrs. Scholler? 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: No questions. 6 Thank you. 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Mr. Colabianchi, you can proceed. 8 9 MR. COLABIANCHI: Thank you. 10 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. COLABIANCHI: Good afternoon. Hi, Ralph. So let's see, Scott 13 0 14 testified that you were the founder and CEO of N'Lightning 15 Software Development, Inc; is that correct? 16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Mr. Bagley, 17 you can unmute yourself by pressing star 6. 18 THE WITNESS: Sorry about that. Yes. 19 BY MR. COLABIANCHI: 20 That was correct? 0 21 А Yes. 22 All right. Okay. Can you describe how, why, and 0 23 when NSDI was founded? 24 Well, back in 1998 -- actually, I began work on a Α 25 -- I took some theology classes and began working on game

design, a very raw one, to provide a healthy alternative to the games that were coming out at the time -- Doom, Grand Theft Auto, these real violent games -- as a high-quality alternative for people to play that wasn't just all death and destruction and satanic imagery.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

So once I got that done, I found some people here in the Valley that I had had previous relationships with, a couple of them were from my church, to go ahead and lend me the money to get a demo done, it's called a vertical slice in the gaming industry. It's just a very short piece of game play with graphics and audio and scripted game play that you can show people that this is what the quality of the game is going to be.

14 So we did that, and then at that point we had the 15 tools we needed to go out and seek, you know, financing 16 for a company, to actually be a company, which was considerably more, around \$900,000.00. And so I got in my 17 18 car and I had some appointments with some VC firms and I 19 went down to Menlo Park in the Bay Area and talked to some 20 VC people, and they quickly advised me equity financing 21 was not the way to go, that debt financing was really the 22 only option I had because we didn't have a company at that 23 point. We just had an idea.

And so I came back to talk to my team to create, basically, a presentation, and then went out and started 1 talking to VC people again, and they recommended probably 2 angel investors would be the best way to go and so I began 3 asking people, especially in these VC companies, do you 4 know anybody, and that's how I actually met Scott was 5 through the gentleman, Russ Hall, and they had been 6 friends previously.

So he connected me with Scott and I was able to meet with Scott and show him what we were doing, and then not too far past that, you know, Scott called me and said, hey, I think we can go ahead and do the loan for you.

Q You said Scott offered a loan for it. What was the terms of that initial loan?

A I believe it was around \$850,000.00. I don't have the exact numbers in my head. I'm just going by memory here.

Q Yeah.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A It was to be done in two segments. The first segment was \$400,000.00, and the second was \$430,000.00, which was fine because, you know, we weren't just going to use the whole \$850,000.00 off the bat. It was in the business plan to get us in development and out into the marketplace.

Q Okay. Scott has testified that NSDI established
an unsecured line of credit with Home Valley Bank in
September of 2000; is that your recollection as well?

So it's a good idea for really any Α Yeah. business to have a line of credit in case something happens or you get an opportunity to pivot and move quickly. We secured a \$400,000.00 credit line through Home Valley Bank.

And what happened to this line of credit? 6 0 7 Well, I mean, we were using it, and then I think А -- in fact, at one point they renewed it, and I don't 8 recall exactly when. And then after the renewal of the 10 loan, Home Valley Bank got bought out by another bank 11 called Banner Bank, and Banner didn't issue credit lines, and so they notified us with very short notice and said, 12 13 hey, you know, this loan is -- we are going to close it down. 14

15 And so I went into scramble mode and I believe we tried meeting with some other banks, and it would have 16 17 been months to get that thing approved, and so I went to 18 Scott and I said, hey, you know, this is the crunch we are 19 in, if we don't have this line of credit, it's going to be 20 really hard, especially to grow in the marketplace because 21 we were using that for inventory and marketing and things like that, and Scott basically went ahead and just took 22 23 over the credit line. So instead of dealing with Home 24 Valley Bank, we had a credit line with Scott.

25

0

1

2

3

4

5

9

Would it be fair to say that Scott stepped into

the shoes of the bank?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

A Yeah. That would be the best way to say it, actually.

Q Let's see. Scott has testified that in 2001, NSDI obtained commitments from him to provide a series of short-term loans in addition to the already \$850,000.00 he had loaned. So this is 2001; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And this was -- was this before he stepped into the shoes of Home Valley Bank?

Boy, I think we had that -- the unsecured line of 11 Α credit with Home Valley Bank, I believe, was in fall of 12 2000 that we initially established it. I'm trying to just 13 remember real quick here. I think they renewed the line 14 15 of credit, Home Valley Bank did, the following year, the fall of 2001. And then shortly thereafter, like, spring 16 of 2002, is when they told us that they wouldn't renew it 17 because -- I remember it was, like, June, that was the 18 19 deadline, and you know - and that was in May.

20 Q Going back to the short-term loans that began in 21 2001 from Scott, what were the circumstances surrounding 22 these loans and why did NSDI need them?

A Well, we had -- our sales were actually improving, and we had created Catechumen and Ominous Horizons, and we were really needing to launch these things into the marketplace and there was a lot of expenses that we didn't realize that we would have to do to get into some of the major retailers. We had talked with Target and Game Stop, and they had agreed to carry our products, but they needed marketing materials and end cap materials and, obviously, they needed a certain level of inventory available.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We had to -- you know, back then, games were on the shelf, so we had to purchase the boxes and the wrapping and the disks, and so we needed cash, and so that's why I approached him.

Q Okay. And was there a board meeting or anything similar that discussed the short-term loans that NSDI would be procuring from Scott?

A Yes, we had board meetings basically for every major decision.

Q And was there -- were there board meeting minutes
that were produced?

A Yes, there were. From every meeting there were board minutes produced.

Q And what do you think happened to those minutes? A Well, I know exactly what happened to them. A few years later, after the business had closed and we had a lot of this stuff just in storage, the records were on a pallet in the warehouse underneath a skylight. Well, we 1 had a massive snow storm, probably the biggest snow storm 2 I've ever had -- and I've been in the Valley for over 20 3 years -- and this skylight in the warehouse collapsed in 4 and ruined the -- I think it was six pallets of our stuff 5 and one of the pallets it ruined had our records on there, you know, because was all paper records. 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

It was buried in a massive pile of snow that then melted on top of them and all of those papers were basically mush, and they were already several years old. And so, you know, I thought that there was a seven-year statute of limitations and so at that point I wrote it off and said, well, these are not salvageable, and we tossed them.

14 Do you recall the terms of the short-term loans 0 with Scott?

16 А Oh, I mean, I don't recall the exact terms, it's 17 been over 20 years, but I know the basic terms were, when 18 we received the loans, that our sales would increase and 19 we had a plan to do that, to increase sales in 2003, and 20 that was going really well, until you know, we found out 21 we had been embezzled. And at that point everything kind 22 of caved in and we weren't able to meet sales that we had 23 hoped.

24 A lot of it too, I remember you know we had had 25 to pivot from the youth groups, which was a big deal with

1 And I remember in -- September 11, 2001, I was in the us. 2 airport in Portland, actually in the airport when the 3 first plane hit that tower in New York, and I was flying 4 out that day to meet with 600 youth pastors at a 5 convention that Youth for Christ organized. Obviously, they shut the airport down and the conference ended up 6 getting canceled because nobody could fly to it, and that 7 was a major wound in our marketing program because we had 8 9 to go back and redo everything and try to -- you know, and 10 we had missed the window, and so it put a real onerous 11 position for us as we went into -- you know, 2002 was a year where we didn't achieve our sales goals because we 12 13 had to pivot and kind of react to what happened with 9/11. 14 Mr. Bagley, do you have the proposed exhibits 0 that I submitted? It would be Exhibit 17. I believe I 15 sent them in an e-mail to you. 16 17 I do. А 18 Could you please turn to Exhibit 17? Q Okay. It's 19 statement of financial position. 20 Hold on here. Page what? Α Yes. 21 In the PDF I sent you, it would be page 33. 0 22 Bear with me. Okay. Α Hold on. I qot it. 23 Great. And what is this document? 0 It says 24 N'Lightning Software Development, Inc., statement of Financial Position. What is it? 25

1	A It's actually not the one I brought up. Hold on.
2	Okay. I got it. Okay. It is a P & L and balance sheet
3	that was created that details out what the liabilities and
4	assets we had at the time.
5	Q What was the context for the creation of this
6	document? Was it attached to another document?
7	A No. I actually found this when I was looking for
8	our official release date. It was in a sound asset folder
9	that it shouldn't have been in, and so when I found it, I
10	went, oh, and this is something that I just recently
11	discovered.
12	Q Okay. And to clarify, is this a printout of an
13	Excel file that you found?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. Let's go to line 28. It says Long-term
16	Debt.
17	A Yes.
18	Q Is it says Notes payable stockholder
19	\$1.5 million. Do you know what that number represents?
20	A That's the loan amount that he had given us up to
21	that point, yes.
22	Q When you say he, you are talking about Scott
23	Scholler?
24	A Yes. Sorry.
25	Q If you go above a little bit, it's line 22,

1 Accrued Interest Stockholder. Is that related to that 2 note payable? 3 Oh, yeah. Yeah, in the year --Α 4 And then below that -- okay. Sorry about that. 0 5 The agreement -- you know he had -- we had agreed А to pay interest in the shares in the company too. 6 And then below that you have Line of Credit 7 0 Refinanced by Stockholder. Is that the Home Valley Bank 8 line of credit? 9 10 Α Yes, it is. And then there's also interest on that refinanced 11 0 correct below that? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 Okay. I'd like to talk now about the end of life 0 of NSDI. 15 16 Α Okay. So Scott has testified that he wasn't repaid or 17 0 18 if he was repaid, it was a very small amount for these 19 loans. Scott mentioned Michael Acton, how did his actions 20 affect the business? 21 А This guy. He was hired as our CFO, and he had, 22 you know, all of the credentials. We went ahead and hired 23 him and he was a piece of work. He would come in and pray 24 with us in the morning and then go in and figure out ways 25 to steal from us.

I didn't realize what was happening until 2003, our sales were actually growing, but we had no money in the account and I was perplexed, so I took the weekend and just went through all of the documentation I had, and that Monday morning I remember I went to the bank and asked for printouts of all the checks, and that's when I caught him. What he was doing was showing myself and the board fake, like, quarterly tax returns.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

He would inflate the number to us and then write 9 10 himself a check for the difference. And sometimes he 11 wouldn't even pay the IRS, he would just keep the whole So when I caught him, obviously, I went to the 12 amount. 13 police immediately after I documented all of the checks that he had basically forged, and they charged him with 14 15 embezzlement of \$115,000.00 initially, but dropped down to \$102,000.00 because there was a few thousand dollars that 16 17 we couldn't prove.

18 Q Did his embezzlement have a direct effect on NSDI 19 closure?

A Oh, man, it was catastrophic. It was almost a full quarter of money we needed that was gone or missing, so that really hurt us. And then on top of that, all of the work that I was doing to market the games and get them out there, I had to stop and deal with this legal thing because I had to go through the whole set of books since

1 Michael Acton had been there and identify each and every 2 instance and talk with the police about it. It was 3 catastrophic. 4 Scott Scholler has testified that NSDI closed 0 5 down not long after that and had to let go of its employees; is that correct? 6 7 Yes, unfortunately. А 8 0 And do you recall what year that was? 9 Α It could have been 2003, I think, late summer, 10 early fall, somewhere in there. 11 Could you please turn to -- these would be the 0 previous -- not in the proposed exhibits, the main 12 13 exhibits on OTA's file. Let me see it. I think page 34 14 of 68 for Exhibit 4. If you have the whole PDF, it would 15 be page 45. 16 This is the hearing binder and exhibits? Α Okay. 17 Yes -- yeah. 0 18 Α Sorry. 19 Actually, if you could go to page 46 please on Q 20 the PDF. I'm here. 21 А Got it. 22 So this an account transcript from the 0 Great. 23 IRS? 24 Yes. Α And this -- let's see -- are you familiar with 25 0

1	payroll taxes are you familiar with the payroll taxes
2	that were part of the compliance with tax law?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And this says, Tax Period June 30, 2003, and it
5	says there was a tax return filed September 1st, 2003.
6	Does that generally comport with your recollection?
7	A Yes, it does.
8	Q And it also says that there was \$9,600.00 worth
9	of payroll tax paid for this period, it would have been
10	April, May excuse me. It would have been April, May,
11	June period, so that implies there were employees in that
12	quarter; is that correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. And let's go to the next page, please. It
15	would be page 36 of 69.
16	A Okay.
17	Q And this is the same account transfer IRS form
18	941, the tax period ending September 30, 2003, that would
19	include July, August, September, was the tax return filed?
20	A No because that's when everybody, basically, was
21	laid off.
22	Q Could you go to the next page, please.
23	A Okay.
24	Q And this is for the fourth quarter of 2003, there
25	was also no tax return filed. Do you remember it that

1	way?
2	A Yep.
3	Q So for the rest of this exhibit there are no tax
4	returns filed. In fact, it says requested data not found.
5	This goes to the end of 2009. Did NSDI ever have payroll
6	after 2003?
7	A No.
8	Q Okay. Exhibit 2, please, would be page 6 in that
9	same PDF.
10	A Okay.
11	Q Actually, page 7. This is a letter from you to
12	Scott. Do you recognize this document?
13	A Oh, yeah. This was very a painful one for me to
14	write.
15	Q Okay. You stated that Scott Scholler had loaned
16	NSDI more than \$1.9 million; is that correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And that NSDI would been unable to repay Scott;
19	is that correct?
20	A Yes, at that time it was quite clear.
21	Q Why didn't the business file for bankruptcy?
22	A Well, you know, when I first started this, part
23	of the reason that Scott was a very attractive option for
24	us, he understands this wasn't just about making money.
25	We wanted to provide a high-quality, faith-based

alternative out in the marketplace, and we did that.

These games have great -- they still have really good reviews from people that play them even though they're over 20 years old now, you know. And we wanted to set an example and, you know, there are so many so-called Christian businesses that, you know, they don't operate as a Christian business. They say they are, but they don't, so we didn't want to be that.

And Scott is one of the guys that I've known in my life now that has integrity, and so we both agreed that we would do whatever it took if we could to pay off anybody we owed and not stiff them, and so that was the whole reason that, you know, we wanted to make sure we 14 paid everybody off so they couldn't say that we were hypocrites.

Could Scott have initiated an action to recover 0 the loans or attempt to recover the loans?

18 А I don't see how. We had no assets. I mean --19 there was nothing we could do.

So would you say that if he had done something 0 like that it would have been futile?

> I mean, we had no money. Α Yeah.

0 Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

20

21

2.2

23

24 MR. COLABIANCHI: All right. No further 25 questions.

1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you for 2 your testimony, Mr. Bagley. I'm asking you to stay with 3 us while we go to Mr. Coutinho to see if he has any 4 questions. 5 THE WITNESS: I apologize about my camera not 6 working, but at lease the microphone works. 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: That's the 8 important part. MR. COUTINHO: This is Brad Coutinho. I have no 9 10 questions. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you. 11 12 I'd like to ask Judge Akin, do you have any questions for 13 Mr. Bagley? 14 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: Thank you. Yes, 15 I do have one question. Mr. Bagley, you testified that all of the 16 17 employees were laid off, you know, summer of 2003. Can 18 you describe what, if any, operations the company had 19 after that? Do you know of any in 2003 and after June and 20 then in any subsequent years? THE WITNESS: Well, I mean, the only operations 21 22 we actually had was just tech support for our customers. 23 You know, we -- again, we had close to 100,000 games out 24 there that people had purchased and I didn't want them to 25 be out there with no support and so I volunteered, along

with Chris Perkins, to take care of that tech support by phone or e-mail when we could, and then we did it. Ι think it went on for several years after that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: Okay. Thank you. And just one follow-up question. Was there any continued sales of products, you know, after approximately June 2003 and in subsequent tax years or any continued development of new games after that?

THE WITNESS: There was no continued development of new games. We did try to contract out with some people the might hire us but none of it panned out. But as far as, you know, sales, it had gone down to trickle. We did 12 have a few units in the warehouse that we ended up 14 donating to Campus Crusades for Christ and some other organizations. So the answer really is no. If it was, it was very, very minimal. Anything we did get, we paid off our vendors with.

18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: Okay. Thank you. 19 That's all of my questions.

20 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: And I have no 21 questions, so we are almost ready to move on to the next 22 witness. I do want to note that we will be factoring in 23 question-and-answer time in determining the Appellants' 24 remaining time allotment, Mr. Colabianchi. You don't have a lot of time, and you have several witnesses on the list. 25

1	So who will you be calling next?
2	MR. COLABIANCHI: Your Honor, can you remind me,
3	when is my time over at this point?
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Your time
5	would be over at 12:55. I'm going to add another three
6	minutes to that for the question-and-answer portion, so
7	12:58.
8	MR. COLABIANCHI: Okay. I would like to call
9	Dave Caputo, please.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay.
11	Mr. Caputo has not yet joined the meeting.
12	MR. COLABIANCHI: Okay. If Chris Perkins is
13	here, then I could call him.
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: I'm hearing
15	that Mr. Caputo has joined. Let's give it just a second
16	and see. I'm waiting for confirmation from the team.
17	They meant Mr. Perkins is in there.
18	Mr. Perkins, you have been sworn in already.
19	Mr. Colabianchi, proceed when you are ready.
20	MR. COLABIANCHI: Thank you.
21	
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. COLABIANCHI:
24	Q Hi, Mr. Perkins. Let's see, Ralph Bagley
25	testified that you were the project lead at NSDI; is that

Γ

correct?

1 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Mr. Perkins, 3 go ahead and press star 6 to unmute yourself. 4 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I thought I had already 5 pressed that. My apologies. Yes, that is correct, I was the project lead at N'Lightning. 6 BY MR. COLABIANCHI: 7 And briefly, what does that mean? 8 0 9 Well, I was in charge of the programmers, the А 10 artists, and the sound people, just making sure we have a cohesive product and delivering it on time. 11 So do you have a copy of your declaration or are 12 0 13 you familiar with it? It would be exhibit --I'm familiar with it. 14 Α 15 -- Exhibit 10. Okay. Great. 0 And I do have a copy of it. 16 Α And you stated that in this declaration that 17 0 18 Scott Scholler would provide NSDI a series of loans; is 19 that correct? 20 That is correct. Α 21 0 Do you recall when this was? 22 Boy, I think right up front, right in the Α 23 beginning and through the process especially toward the 24 end of the first one and beginning of second and maybe the end of the second. It's been a while so I apologize. 25

Q It's been over 20 years so it's understandable. How did you become aware that Scott would be providing these loans?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A Ralph Bagley kept the team informed. We had our Friday meetings, I believe, in the afternoon. Ralph was good at keeping everyone informed. It was important for all of us to know where we stood on everything.

Q Do you recall when you became involved with NSDI? A I want to say June of '99, something like that, right at the -- right at the beginning.

Q Okay. And in your declaration you state that Scott would be paid back when sales began to pick up. How optimistic was NSDI and you that sales would pick up and you would be able to repay these loans?

A Well, you know, we actually had a pretty good product for the day. We were pretty optimistic, honestly. Realistically, sales should have been much, much better. We just had issues with the Christian book sellers wanting anything to do with video games for whatever reason. We were optimistic.

21 Q And let's see, did you -- you stated to keep our 22 staff limited so we would be able to repay these loans, 23 was that your recollection? Were you constrained in any 24 way because of this concern that NSDI would have to repay 25 the loans later? A Constrained? I know, yes, I played a small part in coming up with the budget and telling the management what was needed as far as staffing and, yes, as things got tight, we had to do some cutbacks.

Q Okay. And then what caused NSDI to shut down and when was this, to your recollection?

A Well, I don't remember the year, but it was a while after our second title, and it was Mike Acton who was the controller, I believe was his title, and embezzled somewhat over \$100,000.00 over the course of a couple years he was there. That's enough to take any small business down.

Q And then after NSDI shut down, did you have any continued involvement with it?

A I stayed on unpaid for -- I don't know -- six months or a year. I can't remember. I did tech support. I would field e-mails and phone calls from customers who were having technical issues with our game. Yeah.

19 Q Well, thank you Mr. Perkins. I have no further20 questions.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you for your testimony, Mr. Perkins. I'm asking you to stay with us as we go to Mr. Coutinho to see if he has any questions for you.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MR. COUTINHO: This is Brad Coutinho. No

1 questions at this time. Thank you. 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you. Judge Kletter, do you have any questions at this 3 4 time? 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: This is Judge 6 Kletter. No questions. Thank you. 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Judge Akin, do 8 you have any questions? 9 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: This is Judge 10 Akin. I don't have any questions. Thank you. 11 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okav. 12 Mr. Colabianchi, who will you be calling next? 13 MR. COLABIANCHI: If Mr. Caputo has entered then 14 I can call him, otherwise, I can call Mr. Detrick. 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: It looks like Mr. Caputo is not here today on this call, so let's bring 16 17 Mr. Detrick in. 18 Mr. Colabianchi, I just want to let you know the 19 number that was provided for Mr. Caputo is not correct so 20 OTA has not been enable to reach him. Would you like to 21 take a two-minute recess and contact Mr. Caputo? MR. COLABIANCHI: We could or I could see if 22 23 Scott might be able to contact him. Scott should still be 24 here, yeah. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Mr. Scholler, 25

1	can you do that please? If you are interested in having
2	him. Okay. I'm being told there was a mistake.
3	Sometimes we don't see everything on the screen. So we
4	will move forward with Mr. Detrick.
5	Mr. Detrick, I will need to swear you in so we
6	can consider your testimony as part of the record, and you
7	will remain under oath until the end of this hearing.
8	Can you please raise your right hand?
9	THE WITNESS: Can you hear me now.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: I can hear
11	you?
12	
13	DOUG DETRICK,
14	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified
15	as follows:
16	
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you.
19	Mr. Colabianchi, please proceed.
20	MR. COLABIANCHI: Thank you.
21	
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. COLABIANCHI:
24	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Detrick.
25	A Hello.

1	Q So Ralph Bagley testified that you were a member
2	of NSDI's board of directors; is that correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Are you familiar with your declaration? It would
5	be Exhibit 9.
6	A I am.
7	Q Okay. You stated that you participated in
8	telephonic board meetings in 2001 where Scott Scholler
9	offered to loan money to NSDI; is that correct?
10	A That is correct.
11	Q And you say that the loan was to be up to
12	\$400,000.00 but that amount appeared to be optimistically
13	low. Did you recall how much Scott ultimately loaned
14	NSDI?
15	A No, I don't know the exact amount, but it was
16	probably more than double than that.
17	Q Were these incremental loans as needed by NSDI
18	according to your recollection?
19	A They were.
20	Q Do you recall any other terms of the loans?
21	A I don't. I don't.
22	Q Okay. Thank you.
23	You mentioned in your declaration there were two
24	factors that contributed to the end of NSDI. The first
25	factor was lower-than-expected sales. Can you tell me a

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

little bit about that briefly?

A Yes. I mean, I got involved because I had met Ralph and -- and I wouldn't typically get involved in something like that, but when he explained to me the market and that this market was needing these kinds of things, I got excited about it. And my only concern was how good the game was, and as it ended up -- it ended up it was a great game, because I actually played it.

9 I never played a video game before, and I loved 10 the game. The sales -- to answer your question, the sales 11 weren't doing well because a number of reasons, the market 12 just wasn't -- it was hard to penetrate a market that 13 wasn't used to using games. And distribution is also --14 it doesn't matter what business you are in, distribution 15 is always a problem.

This was a startup, and getting the word out there was a very difficult thing, and it took time to build. And then finally in the end, I mean, it just kept taking more and more money to continue to get the things to go. And in the end, the accountant -- I can't --Michael Acton took a bunch of money and that was it.

Q And what happened to NSDI after Mr. Acton embezzled from the company?

That was the end of it.

24

Α

0

22

23

25

And when did you leave NSDI board of directors?

1	A August of 2003.
2	Q Thank you, Mr. Detrick. No further questions.
3	A Okay. Thank you.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you.
5	I'm going to ask you to stay with us for a moment,
6	Mr. Detrick, while I check if anyone has any question for
7	you.
8	Mr. Coutinho, do you have any questions for
9	Mr. Detrick?
10	MR. COUTINHO: This is Brad Coutinho. No
11	questions. Thank you.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you.
13	Judge Kletter, do you have any questions for
14	Mr. Detrick?
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: This is Judge
16	Kletter. No questions.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay.
18	Judge Akin, do you have any questions for
19	Mr. Detrick?
20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: No questions from
21	me. Thank you.
22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay.
23	Mr. Colabianchi, I want to let you know that it
24	appears that Dave Caputo has joined the hearing room.
25	Mr. LaBelle is not in the hearing room, so if you would

1 like him present, maybe Mr. Scholler can give him a call. It sounds like the number listed for Mr. LaBelle was not 2 3 correct. Mr. Colabianchi, would you like to move forward 4 with your next witness? 5 MR. COLABIANCHI: Yes, with Mr. Caputo, please. 6 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. So 7 let's make sure he's entered. Just keep in mind I'm being told that Dan Hilderbrand is also present. 8 9 MR. COLABIANCHI: Great. 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. Ι 11 believe we have Mr. Caputo with us now. Can you press star 6 to unmute and just confirm that you are Dave 12 13 Caputo? We are looking for Dave Caputo. So I see a 14 Dave's phone on the screen, and if that is Dave Caputo, 15 please press star 6 to unmute yourself and confirm that 16 that is you. Okay. For whatever reason he doesn't seem 17 available right now. 18 Mr. Colabianchi, would you like to move on to 19 your next witness? 20 MR. COLABIANCHI: Yes, I think he said that Dan 21 Hilderbrand was here. 22 I'm sorry. Can you hear me? THE WITNESS: 23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Yes. Is this 24 Mr. Caputo? 25 THE WITNESS: It is. It wasn't star six. This

1	is the first time on Zoom on the phone, so you will have
2	to excuse my ignorance.
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: That's okay.
4	We can hear you loud and clear. I'm going to swear you
5	in, and you will remain under oath until the end of this
6	hearing. Can you please raise your right hand.
7	
8	DAVE CAPUTO,
9	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified
10	as follows:
11	
12	THE WITNESS: I do.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you.
14	Mr. Colabianchi, please proceed.
15	MR. COLABIANCHI: Thank you.
16	
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. COLABIANCHI:
19	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Caputo. Thank you for being
20	available. Are you familiar with your declaration that
21	you submitted? It's labeled Exhibit 8.
22	A I am.
23	Q Great. Okay. Ralph Bagley testified you were
24	the director of marketing and sales at NSDI; is that
25	correct?

1

A That is correct.

Q And do you recall when you were in that position? A It was early 2000s. I believe I left N'Lightning in the fall of 2003, if memory serves me correctly.

Q Okay. And now I'm going to refer you to your declaration. You stated that NSDI finances were tight. How familiar were you with NSDI's financial situation?

A Well, I mean, as far as financial situations, anything that I did as far as marketing and sales, I would submit a proposal and that would have to be approved from the board of directors before we could act on it. So as far as money, you know, I knew there was certainly a limited amount of financing that was available, so anything that I, you know, suggested or a direction we went, it would have to be approved.

Q Okay. You also stated that Scott Scholler would provide NSDI a series of loans; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q How did you become aware that Scott would be providing these loans?

A Well, Ralph came to me where I was presently working in Tampa, Florida and Ralph came to me and told me what his plan was and asked me if I would be interested in a position and I state I would consider it only after he secured financing. He had stated there again, he was in the process of working on financing, and at a later time he contacted me and said he had secured financing from a gentleman by the name of Scott Scholler. At that point I agreed to come out to southern Oregon to lead off the marketing and sales for N'Lightning.

Q Did Scott provide any further financing past that point?

A Amounts, I couldn't tell you; however there were multiple, multiple times things would get tight and Ralph would have to go back and secure additional financing through Scott.

Q Okay. And to your knowledge was there a -- well, in your declaration you said there was a loan instrument memorializing the arrangement; is that your recollection?

Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Could you define memorializing, please?

Q Was there a promissory note or a loan agreement that you were aware of with Scott?

A I mean, if you ask me, you know, I knew that there was an agreement, however, had I, you know, seen that agreement, I don't recollect seeing it. And Ralph would, you know, inform us as far as what he had secured and what we had to work with.

24 Q And then, briefly, when NSDI closed down, what 25 were the reasons and when did that happen?

1 Well, on my part, I actually left before they Α 2 closed down. They were in the process of doing that. The 3 money had just -- the finances ran out. There was no more 4 financing to be had. Obviously, it was hamstringing any 5 marketing or sales proposals that I had to propose to try to accomplish what I was tasked to accomplish so I went 6 ahead and left N'Lightning and went to work for another 7 8 company. 9 Do you recall which year that was? 0 10 I want to say I left N'Lightning, like I said Α before, I want to say -- again, going back 20 years ago, I 11 want to say fall of 2003. 12 13 Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. Caputo. 0 No 14 further questions. 15 Thank you. А 16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Mr. Caputo, 17 please stay with us for a few more minutes while I check 18 to see if anyone has any questions for you. 19 Mr. Coutinho, do you have any questions for this 20 witness? 21 MR. COUTINHO: This is Brad Coutinho. No 22 questions at this time. 23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you. 24 Judge Kletter, do you have any questions for 25 Mr. Caputo?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: This is Judge 1 2 Kletter. No questions. 3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you. 4 Judge Akin, do you have any questions for 5 Mr. Caputo? 6 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: Thank you. No 7 questions from me. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. And 8 9 there are no questions from me. Thank you very much, 10 Mr. Caputo. 11 Mr. Colabianchi, would you like to call your next 12 witness? 13 THE WITNESS: Excuse me, am I all finished? 14 Sorry for the interruption. 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: If you are 16 able to stay in the waiting room just in case there are 17 follow-up questions, that would be helpful. 18 THE WITNESS: All right. 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you very 20 much. 21 Mr. Colabianchi? 22 MR. COLABIANCHI: If Mr. LaBelle is available, 23 I'd like to call him. If not, I'd like to call Mr. Hilderbrand. 24 25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. I'm

1 checking with our staff to see if Mr. LaBelle is 2 available. Okay. It looks likes right now we have only 3 Mr. Hilderbrand in the waiting room, so let's bring 4 Mr. Hilderbrand in. I see his name here. 5 Good afternoon, Mr. Hilderbrand. Mr. Hilderbrand, can you hear me? He seems to have me on 6 7 If someone could give Mr. Hilderbrand a guick call mute. that would be appreciated. 8 9 Let's go on to quick break just to allow -- it 10 looks like Mr. Scholler is actually calling 11 Mr. Hilderbrand. So we will take a two-minute break and hopefully Mr. Scholler can get Mr. Hilderbrand up to -- so 12 he can participate. So let's take two minutes. 13 Τf 14 everyone will go off the record and if everyone can please 15 turn off your video and turn off your audio as the live stream does continue. 16 17 (There was a pause in the proceedings.) 18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: 19 Mr. Hilderbrand, thank you for raising your right 20 hand. 21 22 DAN HILDERBRAND, 23 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified 24 as follows: 25 THE WITNESS: I will.

1	
1	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you very
2	much.
3	Mr. Colabianchi, please proceed when you are
4	ready.
5	MR. COLABIANCHI: Thank you.
6	
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. COLABIANCHI:
9	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Hilderbrand. Thanks for
10	being available. I would like to direct you to
11	Exhibit 13. This is your sworn statement. Are you
12	familiar with this document?
13	A Yes, sir, I am.
14	Q Are you the president of CC Complete?
15	A I am, and chief operating officer.
16	Q Could you briefly explain what CC Complete is,
17	what kind of business it is?
18	A We are a software service. We write applications
19	and host them on our own equipment and then we charge our
20	customer base to access and use this very
21	specifically-designed software and applications.
22	Q Could you briefly explain how Scott Scholler is
23	related to CC Complete?
24	A Scott Scholler was one of the original founders
25	of CC Complete in 1995 or '94 or something like that, and

was a -- he is a primary stockholder in the company and CEO of CC Complete.

According to your letter, you state that CC 0 Complete wired money to N'Lightning at the direction of Mr. Scholler. Could you please briefly explain the circumstances surrounding those wires why CC Complete did this?

Now this happened before my time, and so 8 А I can. what I had to do is have our accountant go back and review 10 the accounting records. I joined in early 2002, but for the safe and expediency is our understanding that in lieu 11 12 of the company sending money to Scott, he asked that he 13 transfer monies over to him to N'Lightning, and that was the foundation of those transfers. 14

15

16

17

18

19

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

Great. And no further questions. Thank you. 0

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you for your testimony, Mr. Hilderbrand. I'm going to ask you to stay with us for a few moments. I'd like to see if Mr. Coutinho has any questions.

20 This is Mr. Coutinho. MR. COUTINHO: No 21 questions at this time.

> ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay.

23 Judge Kletter, do you have any questions for Mr. Hilderbrand? 24

25

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: This is Judge Kletter. I just had one quick question for
 Mr. Hilderbrand.

You said that you joined in early 2003; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: 2002.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: Sorry. 2002.7 Okay.

Could you please explain the relationship between CC Complete and N'Lightning, specifically with the Mike Acton and Bill Blenbo and what the relationship between the two companies were and why those employees were sent to N'Lightning?

13 So Acton was the controller of the company when I Α 14 came on board. He didn't last very long after I got 15 there, but he was controller and he also served as, I believe, controller for N'Lightning. But mind you, I 16 don't have a lot of information about N'Lightning. Other 17 18 than it was one of Scott's entities he was involved in, 19 and Acton served as a dual role working for CC Complete 20 and for N'Lightning as a controller.

21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: And was Bill 22 Blenbo also a CC Complete employee?

THE WITNESS: No, I was never familiar with Bill
Blenbo at CC Complete.

25

5

8

9

10

11

12

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: Okay. No

further questions. Thank you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you, Judge Kletter.

Judge Akin, do you have any questions for Mr. Hilderbrand?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: Thank you. No questions from me.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay.

Mr. Hilderbrand, I just want to ask for some clarification on your testimony. You testified that you were not at the company when CC Complete provided funds or transferred funds to N'Lightning. Can you reiterate for us how you came to that information and did you personally see records that led you to this claim?

15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I personally saw records. What I had was our then accountant go back and look at all 16 17 of the transfers to Scott or on Scott's behalf and went 18 through and did a complete -- not audit, but an exhibit to 19 show me exactly those transactions in the records that 20 were in the accounting files. So there's a ledger that 21 records every one of those disbursements to Scott or on 2.2 his behalf.

23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. Thank24 you.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

THE WITNESS: And the bank account corroborates

that by the way.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you, Mr. Hilderbrand. And I believe that we have no more questions for you, so if you don't mind staying in the Zoom in the waiting room, that would be helpful, in case there are later questions.

THE WITNESS: Okay. So I'll just mute and --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Yes, and you can turn off your video.

Okay. Mr. Colabianchi, I'm being told that Mr. LaBelle is in the waiting room. So would you like to call him next? You have a couple minutes.

13MR. COLABIANCHI: Yes, please. I would like to14call Mr. LaBelle.

15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. So if 16 this is Chris LaBelle, can you please press star 6 or 17 otherwise unmute yourself and let me know that you are 18 here? I see Mr. Chris LaBelle's name. Can you please let 19 us know that you are here and that you can hear me? So 20 Mr. LaBelle, if you are joining us not through a phone but 21 through Zoom, you might have to click unmute, the bottom 22 left of the your screen. And if you are calling in, you 23 would press star 6.

24 25 MR. LA BELLE: Can you hear me now? ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: We can hear

1	you.
2	MR. LA BELLE: I apologize. Let's move forward.
3	What can I do for you?
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. Great.
5	So first of all, I would like to confirm your name,
6	please.
7	MR. LA BELLE: Chris LaBelle.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. Great.
9	I'm going to ask you to raise your right hand so I can
10	wear you in and you will be under oath until the end of
11	this hearing today.
12	
13	CHRIS LA BELLE,
14	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified
15	as follows:
16	
17	MR. LA BELLE: Yes.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay.
19	Mr. Colabianchi, please proceed.
20	MR. COLABIANCHI: Thank you.
21	
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. COLABIANCHI:
24	Q Good afternoon, Mr. LaBelle. Thanks for being
25	available. How do you know Ralph Bagley and NSDI?

2 727 N. Central Avenue, Medford, Oregon. 3 What kind of items was Ralph storing at the 0 4 warehouse? 5 To my knowledge, he had software -- gaming and А software, games, things of that nature. 6 7 Could he have been storing documents and business 0 records there? 8 9 Highly possible. It seems like most of his Α 10 operation was there. 11 What happened to those items? 0 12 Α To my knowledge, there was a -- well, I remember 13 there was a very large, extremely heavy snow event. The 14 roof at the warehouse is pretty flat. It's a pitched roof 15 but it's flat, and it failed in many spots. I remember the landlord had to basically replace the whole roof. And 16 so a lot of water -- I had some water damage, and I know 17 18 that Ralph did as well. 19 You said you had some water damage. How badly 0 20 were your products damaged? 21 Α It depends on where it was with us. A lot of my 22 cases -- I'm a beverage distributor so a lot of my cases 23 are in flats of cardboard, and I had to repack a lot of cases into new cardboard cases because of the amount of 24 25 water that came through in various spots.

We were co-tenants at a building or warehouse at

1

Α

1	Q Great. No further questions. Thank you?
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you.
3	Thank you, Mr. LaBelle, if you can stay with us
4	for a moment. I'm just going to check and see if anyone
5	has any questions about your testimony.
6	Mr. Coutinho, do you have any questions?
7	MR. COUTINHO: This is Mr. Coutinho. No
8	questions at this time.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you.
10	Judge Kletter, do you have any questions?
11	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: This is Judge
12	Kletter. No questions. Thank you.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you.
14	Judge Akin, do you have any questions?
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: No questions from
16	me. Thank you.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: And I also
18	have no questions, so thank you, Mr. LaBelle. If you
19	don't mind staying in the waiting for a bit just in case
20	questions come up later, that would be helpful. Okay.
21	I just want to check in with the judges to see if
22	there are questions for any of the witnesses who have
23	testified so far today.
24	Judge Akin, do you have any other questions for
25	witnesses at this point?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: No additional questions from me at this point.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you.

Judge Kletter, do you have any questions for any of the witnesses at this point?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: Yeah, I do not have any additional questions. Thank you, Judge Vassigh.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: And I'll double check with Mr. Coutinho, do you have any questions for any of the witnesses at this point?

MR. COUTINHO: This Mr. Coutinho. No questions at this time. Thank you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. And in that case, Mr. Coutinho, we are ready for your presentation. You will have 15 minutes. Please begin when you are ready.

MR. COUTINHO: Give me one second to pull up mydocuments and I'll be ready.

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Sure.

20 MR. COUTINHO: My name is Brad Coutinho and I 21 represent Franchise Tax Board in this matter. There are 22 three main issues in this appeal. The first is that 23 Appellants have not shown that the proposed assessment 24 which is based on federal adjustments is erroneous.

Specifically, Appellants have failed to provide

consistent information and documentation demonstrating they're entitled to a non-business bad debt deduction claimed for the 2003 tax year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The second issue is that Appellants have failed to show that the accuracy-related penalty should be abated.

And the third issue is that Appellants are not entitled to interest abatement because Appellants significantly contributed to the error or delay during the protest by failing to note Respondent when their federal audit concluded.

To the first issue. In this case, Franchise Tax Board assessed additional tax based on federal information which reflected that the IRS disallowed non-business bad debt deduction. Appellants' federal account transcript and audit file do not reflect that the federal adjustments were ever revised or abated.

In regards to the non-business bad debt deduction, income tax deductions are a matter of legislative grace and the taxpayer who claims the deduction has the burden to maintain records that are sufficient to establish that amount of that deduction.

Internal Revenue Code Section 166, to which California comports to, allows a deduction for a business or non-business debt that has become worthless during the tax year. There are two sub-issues in regard to the non-business bad debt deduction. First, whether there was a bona fide debt and, second, whether the debt became worthless during the 2003 tax year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

To the first sub-issue, there is no bona fide debt because there was no formal loan instruments. Two, there is nothing that reflected a lender-borrower relationship. And three, the economic realities of the transaction would not have been taken on by a prudent outside lender.

To the first sub-point, the form of the instrument. The absence of any type of formality typically associated with a loan, such as a loan agreement, a promissory note, or demand for payment supports the conclusion that the advances were contributions to capital rather than a loan.

Appellants alleged that due to a winter storm, embezzlement by a former employee, and a hard drive crash, there are no promissory notes, no board minutes, and no financial statements from the 2003 tax year, no loan repayment schedule, no contemporaneous e-mail exchanges, or any other formal loan documents to reflect a bona fide debt.

Instead, Appellants have provided several
witnesses and testimony from Appellants to establish that

the loans existed; however, courts upheld that uncorroborated oral testimony is insufficient to satisfy the taxpayer's burden in an equity versus debt determination.

Today, Appellants have demonstrated some of the difficulties of relying on uncorroborated oral testimony. For instance, during his testimony, Mr. Bagley testified that the sales of NSDI's video games were actually growing in 2003, while Appellant Husband has testified that the company was beginning to show signs of stress in early 2003.

Moreover, in his testimony today, Appellant Husband seems to elude to the fact that the payments of 14 loan would only occur when the company was on sound financial footing with no definitive dates, which seems contrary to the nature of the short-term loan.

17 Further, as stated today during his testimony, 18 Appellant Husband is a sophisticated business person, he 19 has served as an investor for four separate companies, 20 some of which have become IPOs and are still in business 21 today. As a sophisticated investor and businessperson, 22 Appellant Husband knew or should have known the importance 23 of keeping proper recordkeeping, especially considering this loan of over \$1.2 million. 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

If Appellant Husband intended for those funds to

be repaid, he had an obligation to maintain records that reflected payment schedules, promissory notes, board minutes, or demands for repayments.

There's nothing in the record that reflects after one of the unfortunate events that occurred such as the embezzlement, such as the snow storm, such as the hard drive crash, that Appellants took any action to reconstruct or request declarations to corroborate the loans provided by NSDI. The lack of formal documents, including a promissory note and more importantly, any demands for repayment, reflect that the funds provided were not loans.

To the second sub issue, intent of the parties. 14 In Appellants' reply brief dated April 25, 2002, Appellants state that there were two lending events as they have stated today. The first lending event was a loan of over \$800,000.00 that was made in the year 2000, and that was to be repaid one year later.

19 The second lending event were short-term loans 20 which comprised the non-business bad debt deduction that 21 are at issue in this appeal. There is nothing in the record that reflects that the first lending event resulted 22 23 in a repayment of the loan. There's also no demand for 24 repayment regarding the first lending event.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

Despite the lack of repayment of the first loan,

Appellant Husband proceeded in 40 separate transactions over a two-year period to distribute \$1.2 million to NSDI. There's no indication that Appellants ever requested repayment, requested that the terms of the agreement be amended, or that any of NSDI's assets be immediately liquidated to repay the loan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

22

23

24

25

Given the lack of evidence reflecting repayment in the demand for repayment, it is fair to assume that neither party intended that the funds be repaid at the time the funds were issues.

11 To the third sub issues, economic realities. Tn this case the economic realities reflects that a prudent 12 13 outside lender would not have entered into the same 14 arrangement that Appellant Husband did with NSDI. As 15 explained earlier and to reiterate, Appellant Husband made an initial loan of \$800,000.00 that appears to have never 16 17 been repaid, yet Appellant Husband allegedly proceeded to 18 loan another \$1.2 million in several transactions over a 19 two-year period despite no evidence that the funds would 20 or could be repaid by NSDI considering the defaults of the initial loan. 21

No prudent lender would have continued to advance contributions when the business entity repeatedly and continuously defaulted on the terms of the reported loans.

Based on a lack of formal loan documents, the

lack of intent by the parties, and the repeated defaults by NSDI, there was no bona fide debt and thus, the IRS properly disallowed the non-business bad debt deduction for the 2003 tax year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

To the second sub issue, Appellants have not demonstrated that the debt became worthless in 2003. Appellants bear the burden of proof to show that the purported loans became worthless in the 2003 tax year. То determine whether a debt is wholly or partially worthless is based on all facts and circumstances including the financial condition of the debtor.

In Bishop V. Commissioner, a U.S. Tax Court case, the court found the testimony alone is insufficient absent documentary evidence to corroborate that the debt has become worthless. For example, the Bishop Court stated the while the lender himself may have concluded that the debt had become worthless, there was no financial and cash flow statements or earning reports that would corroborate the lender's conclusion in the Bishop case.

Similarly, while Appellants may have taken Mr. Bagley's September 22, 2003 letter to conclude that the debt had become worthless, there are no financial 23 statements from 2003 tax year, no cash flow projections, 24 no earnings report that support Appellants' conclusion.

The record also reflects that the debt did not

become worthless until much after 2003. Exhibit L to Respondent's reply brief dated March 17, 2021, reflects that NSDI did not dissolve until late 2009, six years 4 after Mr. Bagley's letter.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Exhibit M to Respondent's reply brief reflects several articles where Mr. Bagley touts NSDI's success, and more importantly, that the demand for Christian video games and the potential growth for years to come. Specifically, there is a question and answer interview with Mr. Bagley that is dated July 19, 2005, that can be found on Exhibit M, page 22.

In that question and answer, there is a question regarding the Christian game business. In response to that question, Mr. Bagley states that Christian game business is outstanding. My team is currently negotiating with a few different Christian authors to do games based Those would be \$4 to \$6 million projects. on their books.

There is a subsequent article in the LA Times dated May 10, 2006, that is attached to Exhibit M. At the very end of page 18 of Exhibit M, there is a line that states "Bagley saw demand for his games skyrocket during last year's holiday season, " presumably the December 2005 holiday season.

24 Moreover, Mr. Bagley even touted the past success 25 of NSDI in the investor presentation and the financial

1 statement provided in Exhibit 16, page 7 by Appellants. 2 In that financial statement it shows that the company has 3 sufficient assets to pay all or some of the alleged loans 4 owed to Appellant Husband. As testified Mr. Bagley today, 5 the sales of the company were growing in 2003. As testified by Appellant Husband, instead of demanding 6 repayment after receiving Mr. Bagley September 2003 7 letter, he instead decided to have the company continue 8 9 on, presumably so that him and Mr. Bagley could eventually 10 return to the company to sound financial footing.

The continuation of NSDI for multiple years, Mr. Bagley's statements about the future prospects of Christian video games, and Appellants' lack of demand for repayment, and lack of recordkeeping, all demonstrate the debt could not be considered worthless by the end of the 2003 tax year.

11

12

13

14

15

16

To the second issue regarding the accuracy-related penalty. During the pre-hearing conference, Appellants stated that they were not contesting the computation or imposition of the accuracy-related penalty, but rather assert that a accurate-related penalty should be abated in conjunction with withdraw of the proposed assessment.

Today, Appellants allege that the
accuracy-related penalty should be abated due to a

reliance on a financial advisor, but conceive that they have no documentary evidence to support this contention. As explained in its opening brief, Respondent followed the IRS's imposition of the accuracy-related penalty for a substantial understatement of tax, and there is no evidence in the record to support the abatement of the accuracy-related penalty nor withdrawal of Respondent's proposed assessment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

To the third issue regarding the interest 10 abatement. Appellants have failed to establish that they 11 are entitled to an abatement of interest of the proposed assessment because they significantly contributed to the 12 13 delay from the issuance of the Notice of Proposed Assessment in 2009 to when the Notice of Action was issued 14 15 in 2010.

As reflected in Exhibit G on page 5 of 16 17 Respondent's opening brief, in Appellants' protest letter, 18 they requested that the matter be deferred based on a 19 pending matter with the IRS related to the proposed 20 During the deferral period Respondent assessment. 21 followed up with Appellants on multiple occasions but the 22 record reflects that Appellants never responded.

23 Due to Appellants' initial request for deferral 24 and a subsequent nonresponse to FTB's letters related to 25 federal matter, Appellants significantly contributed to

the error or delay and thus interest cannot be abated under California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 19104.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

In conclusion, based on the evidence and in the record, Appellants have provide inconsistent non-contemporaneous documentation that fails to meet its burden to establish that they're entitled to the non-business debt deduction claimed for the 2003 tax year. As such, Respondent's proposed assessments should be sustained. I'm happy to address any questions or concerns the Panel may have at this time.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you, Mr. Coutinho. I'll check with my panelists if they have any questions.

Judge Akin, do you have any questions for FTB? ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: Judge Akin speaking. I do have one question.

Mr. Coutinho, I understand FTB's position is both that it's not a bona fide debt and also that it was not worthless in 2003. On the first of those positions, if it is not a bona fide debt, what is FTB's position as to what these contributions, assuming they were made, what are they?

23 MR. COUTINHO: It appears from the first loan 24 that there was some equity that was given to Appellant 25 Husband, I believe it was 20 percent as testified to today. It appears from the letter that was dated in
 September of 2003 from Mr. Bagley that essentially
 Appellant Husband would then be the owner of the
 corporation based off the debt that had been accrued. So
 it appears that there may have been equity.

Based off the testimony today, it appears that they were not loans based off the lack of demands for repayments, and that the company was going to continue on and pay other vendors instead of Appellant Husband, so it could potentially just be Appellant Husband believing in the company and hoping from a -- as he testified today, as a parent, that hopefully would succeed and potentially penetrate a larger market than it had.

14 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: Okay. And as 15 follow up, I guess, I'm wondering if it's not a bona fide debt, if it would be additional paid in capital or equity 16 to which IRC Section 165(g) would apply? Again, this 17 18 would be -- I'm not addressing the issue of when it become 19 worthless. I understand your position on that. I wanted 20 to address whether or not this could be potentially, if 21 not a debt, an equity interest to which IRC 165(g) would 22 apply.

MR. COUTINHO:

23 24 25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: Absolutely.

MR. COUTINHO: As stated in the Respondent's

Give me one second.

1 additional brief dated November 18, 2022, Appellants have 2 not shown that they qualify as a capital loss on IRC 3 Section 165(q), specifically they haven't provided any 4 evidence of any stock certificates, any registered 5 security that would reflect that he received the stock purchase from this. Second, there is a lack of adjusted 6 7 basis, again, to the inconsistent statements regarding the loan amounts and when it was provided. 8

And then third, I think more importantly it appears that a condition to qualify that the security became worthless -- I understand that's not quite your question, it was more just whether the first two, but as 12 stated earlier, Respondent's position is that the security 14 did not become worthless in 2000. Thank you.

9

10

11

13

15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: Understood. Т do understand your position on that and I don't mean to 16 17 short change you on it. My question wasn't to that, but 18 that answers my question. Thank you for that. I don't 19 have any additional questions.

20 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you, 21 Judge Akin.

22 Judge Kletter, do you have any questions at this 23 time?

24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: I do not have 25 any questions for FTB.

Mr. Colabianchi, would you like to make a final statement rebuttal to FTB's presentation?

Okav.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MR. COLABIANCHI: Hi, your Honor, this is Mr. Colabianchi. I did want to address a couple points here. So Mr. Coutinho said that the form of the instrument was not there for it to be a bona fide debt and that uncorroborated witness testimony is not enough.

While I agree that we don't have a copy of the promissory note or the meeting minutes where these loans were discussed, we do have testimony from multiple witnesses that corroborate each other, and we also have contemporaneous records that were provided, notably the profit and loss statements, showing that these loans were being treated as loans in the internal company records.

Then Mr. Coutinho also discussed the intent of the parties and that there was no demand for repayment. The problem with that is that Scott knew that a demand such as this would be futile, and that the only assets remaining in the company in 2003, one that was worthless, were -- was game stock that would be difficult for Scott to get any funds out of.

23 Mr. Coutinho mentioned the economic reality and 24 he said that no outside lender would provide loans to the 25 company when, in fact, the company did have an outside lender, it was Home Valley Bank, and this was contemporaneous with when Mr. Scott Scholler was providing these short-term loans and the Home Valley Bank line of credit was 2000, it was renewed in 2001.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

From 2001 to 2002, the interest rate was being lowered consistent with the market interest rate, and then it was finally canceled in 2002, June, but unrelated to their specific client because they had been bought out by a different bank and didn't want to offer that product anymore. But Scott's loan started in 2001, so contemporaneous with Scott's loan, there was an outside vendor providing funds to NSDI.

13 As far as worthlessness, Mr. Coutinho says that it can't be based on testimony alone, but we do have 14 15 several objective indicators, we have the embezzlement of Mr. Acton corroborated in Exhibit 3 talking about the 16 embezzlement, we have witnesses corroborating that event, 17 18 and we have IRS records showing that the business had 19 payroll in 2003, and then in the beginning of 2003 and 20 then toward the later part, they didn't, and then the rest 21 from 2003 to 2009, there's no payroll. So it's a strong 22 indicating that the business was defunct.

23 Mr. Coutinho mentioned that the business was not 24 technically dissolved until 2009. We think this is just 25 an administerial task that wasn't completed by Mr. Bagley and really is not very significant when compared to the payroll tax records which are more on the ground of something that, you know, if you are not paying payroll tax, you probably don't have employees.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

The articles that Mr. Coutinho mentioned, some of them are misleading. I think a lot of it is more aspirational on Mr. Bagley's part. And then, for example, they mentioned something about the Left Behind series, but NSDI never had a contract with Left Behind. There are some inaccuracies in the article that are noted in my briefs.

12 As far as the penalty, this is an 13 accuracy-related penalty, and my clients relied on their 14 professional, both Gay and Scott have testified to that. 15 And I think it's reasonable to see Mr. Bagley's letter dated in 2003, and say, well, if a tax professional looked 16 at that, this debt that he's referring to he's saying is 17 18 worthless now in 2003, so it needs to be declared on the 19 taxes, I think that would be a reasonable position to 20 uphold, and their reliance on the tax preparer was reasonable as well. 21

As for the interest, while it is true that Mr. Scholler was trying to get an audit reconsideration with the IRS and had asked the Franchise Tax Board for more time, it seems the last time that Franchise Tax Board 1 checked in with him was in 2016, several years after he 2 had already filed the protest. And so it seems like even 3 at that point it was seven years after the protest, but 4 Franchise Tax Board waited another four years with no 5 contacts to issue the Notice of Action, so we do feel that that interest should be abated. And if we have any more 6 7 time, I would like to see if Scott might have anything to add as well. 8

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: We are actually out of time. So I'm going to check my co-panelists and see if they have any final questions for yourself or for Franchise Tax Board or any of the witnesses today.

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

25

Judge Kletter, do you have any questions?
 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KLETTER: This is Judge
 Kletter. No questions.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okay. Judge Akin, do you have any questions?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: I think I just want to ask one quick question. So I asked Franchise Tax Board if it's not a bona fide debt whether it could potentially be a worthless security pursuant to IRC Section 165(g), and I just want to give Appellants an opportunity to respond to that same question.

MR. COLABIANCHI: Thank you, your Honor. Well,

our position has consistently been that it's a bad debt deduction. And my client is no longer a California resident, so the capital loss would, in this sense, would not be useful. I know that's not a legal argument, but the practicalities of it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

There was a brief, and I do believe if you follow it, there's some factors that are similar between the bad debt deduction and the worthless securities, the worthlessness is the same analysis, and obviously, we think it was worthless in 2003.

Whether it was the security -- there was, I believe Mr. Coutinho said that there was funds for security that was in the first loan that wasn't actually considered in the calculation of the bad debt deduction. We do think there's an argument to be made it could be a worthless stock capital loss, but it doesn't really help my client, and then I think he could say that it was a worthless stock if it's not going to be a bad debt deduction. I don't know how deeply you need to me to go into it, but I think it could be, yes.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AKIN: You answered my question. I do understand that's your primary position is that it's a bona fide debt and you know a bad debt deduction is under IRC Section 166. I just wanted to give you an opportunity to respond to the same question I had asked and you addressed it, so no further questions for Thank you. me.

1

Thank you, your Honor. MR. COLABIANCHI:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Okav. And I have no questions either. So I'm going to now go to a procedural matter and check with Mr. Coutinho. I don't know if you have decided whether you would like to provide any post-hearing briefing on Exhibit 16, 17, and 18?

MR. COUTINHO: Yes, I would like to provide -this is Mr. Coutinho. I would like post-hearing briefing.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: Thank you. And in that case, we will grant that and the record will be held open until next Friday for additional briefing on the issue of proposed Exhibits 16, 17, and 18, following additional briefing -- yes, Mr. Coutinho.

MR. COUTINHO: Can I just -- one week?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: How much time do you need?

MR. COUTINHO: Can I have -- can I get a month or two weeks --

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: I can do two weeks. We can do two weeks because this is -- you know, they're last minute exhibits.

25

MR. COUTINHO: Okay. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE VASSIGH: So we will hold the record open for two weeks, two Fridays from now, for additional briefing on the issue of proposed Exhibit 16, 17, and 18. Following the additional briefing period, OTA will close the record in this appeal and OTA will issue a written opinion within 100 days thereafter.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is the last appeal of the day, so this hearing is adjourned and this concludes the hearing calendar for today. Thank you to everybody who provided arguments and testimony, and thank you to Ms. Maaske for our stenography work today, and thank you to the OTA team who has been working so hard behind to scenes. Have a good day.

(The hearing was concluded at 1:37 p.m.)

# HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

1

2	
3	I, Shelby K. Maaske, Hearing Reporter in and for
4	the State of California, do hereby certify:
5	That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was
6	taken before me at the time and place set forth, that the
7	testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically
8	by me and later transcribed by computer-aided
9	transcription under my direction and supervision, that the
10	foregoing is a true record of the testimony and
11	proceedings taken at that time.
12	I further certify that I am in no way interested
13	in the outcome of said action.
14	I have hereunto subscribed my name this 14th day
15	of October, 2024.
16	
17	
18	
19	()
20	Shelby Maaske,
21	Hearing Reporter
22	
23	
24	
25	

# \$

\$1,233,460.00 11:22

**\$1.2** 25:2 104:24 106:2,18

**\$1.5** 68:19

**\$1.9** 46:6 73:16

\$100,000.00 80:10

\$102,000.00 70:16

**\$115,000.00** 17:1 43:15 44:9 70:15

**\$21,000.00** 40:11, 23

**\$4** 108:17

**\$400,00.00** 54:1

**\$400,000.00** 15:1 30:13 31:4 62:18 63:4 83:12

\$430,000.00 62:18

**\$450,000.00** 54:1

**\$6** 108:17

\$800,000.00 14:16 16:7,14 105:17 106:16

**\$850,000.00** 13:3 14:4 15:22 57:22 58:18 62:13,20 64:6

\$9,600.00 72:8

\$900,000.00 61:17

1

**1** 6:23 7:1,3 34:6, 12 **10** 45:13 56:9

78:15 108:19

10-minute 55:20 **100** 38:17 47:14 120:5 100,000 75:23 10:23 22:3 **11** 67:1 11:55 55:24 12:45 39:15,24 56:5 **12:55** 56:10 77:5 12:58 77:7 12th 8:19 **13** 93:11 **14** 6:23 7:1,3 38:19 **15** 7:24 8:5 11:11 54:16 101:15 15-minute 56:13 **16** 8:1,12,15 10:22 38:19 109:1 119:8,14 120:3 165(g) 112:17,21 113:3 117:23 **166** 102:23 118:24 **17** 8:1,12,15 10:22 67:15,18 108:2 119:8,14 120:3 **18** 7:25 8:1,13,15 10:22 108:20 113:1 119:8,14 120:3 **19** 108:10 **19104** 111:2 **1995** 93:25 **1998** 60:24 1:37 2:17 120:13 1st 72:5

# 2 2 45:20 54:16 73:8 **20** 15:20 26:21 66:2,17 74:4 79:1 90:11 111:25 **2000** 13:3 14:3,6, 20 28:3 30:20 44:22 53:25 57:21 58:2 62:25 64:13 105:17 113:14 115:4 2000s 88:3 2001 14:6,12,21 16:5.12 31:14 34:15,17 40:14,22 43:20 44:23 54:2 64:4,7,16,21 67:1 83:8 115:4,5,10 2002 14:22 31:19 43:23 64:17 67:11 94:10 95:5,6 105:14 115:5,7 **2003** 6:16,18 11:21 16:17,19 17:7,10,13,15,17, 18 18:12 20:6 21:11 42:18 43:13,17,23 44:21 45:1 46:2,25 47:2 48:8 49:11 59:2 66:19 70:1 71:9 72:4,5,18,24 73:6 75:17,19 76:7 85:1 88:4 90:12 95:3 102:3 103:4, 20 104:9,11 107:4,6,8,21,23 108:1 109:5,7,16 111:7,19 112:2 114:20 115:19,21 116:16,18 118:10 2005 108:10,22 20056173 2:6 5:7 2006 108:19 **2009** 18:16 19:4 73:5 108:3 110:14

115:21,24 2010 110:15

2016 18:21.24 19:4 117:1

2020 18:21 19:5

2021 108:2

2022 14:22 33:21 113:1

2023 12:3 17:2 25:2

2024 2:17 5:1.7

22 17:10 46:2 68:25 107:21 108:11

**24** 4:13

**25** 105:14

250,000 43:24

**25th** 8:22

27 2:17 5:1,7

27th 40:14

**28** 68:15

# 3

**3** 115:16 30 14:23 32:4 72:4,18

30-year-old 25:19 26:2

**33** 67:21

**34** 71:13

**36** 72:15

3rd 8:20

4

4 34:14 45:13 71:14

**40** 106:1

Index: \$1,233,460.00..40

# **44** 57:16 **45** 71:15

**46** 71:19

5

**5** 38:25 45:13 110:16

**50s** 42:9

**57** 4:14

6

6 23:4,20 45:13 60:17 73:8 78:3 86:12,15 97:16,23

**60** 4:15

**600** 67:4

••••

**68** 71:14

**69** 72:15

7

**7** 4:6,8 45:13,21,23 73:11 109:1

**727** 99:2

**77** 4:16

8

8 39:2 45:13 87:21
8/28 40:22
82 4:17
87 4:18
89 39:9 40:6

- ----

9

9 45:13 54:15 83:59/11 67:13

90-minute 13:18

93 4:19
94 93:25
941 72:18
98 4:20
99 39:2 79:9
9:54 2:16 5:2,8

**9th** 29:12

**311** 20.12

# Α

**a.m.** 2:16 5:2,8

**abated** 6:19,20 18:13 19:5 102:6, 17 109:22,25 111:1 117:6

abatement 18:14 102:8 110:6,10,11

**absence** 103:12

absent 107:13

**absolutely** 21:22 30:9 112:24

access 93:20

## accomplish 90:6

account 55:10 56:9 70:3 71:22 72:17 96:25 102:15

accountant 84:20 94:9 96:16

accounting 16:25 27:19 94:10 96:20

accounts 35:10 38:5

accrual 53:14

accrue 53:9,11

accrued 36:20,24 53:5 69:1 112:4

accuracy-related 6:18 17:21 18:9, 13 19:19 102:5 109:18,21,25 110:4,7 116:13

accurate 18:4

accurate-related 109:22

achieve 67:12

acquisition 30:22

act 88:11

action 18:21 19:1 47:24 74:16 105:7 110:14 117:5

actions 18:25 69:19

active 25:12,13

Acton 16:24 27:17,18 37:24 69:19 71:1 80:8 84:21,22 95:10, 13,19 115:16

**add** 10:10 39:14 51:20 56:9 77:5 117:8

addition 64:6

additional 7:24 8:18 35:11 39:21 89:11 101:1,7 102:13 112:16 113:1,19 119:13, 15 120:2,3

address 10:23 111:9 112:20 114:5

addressed 119:1

addressing 112:18

adjourned 120:7

adjusted 113:6

adjustments 101:24 102:16

administerial 115:25

administrative 5:5,21 6:2,8,11

7:5,7,21,23 8:10 9:4,12 10:8,18 13:5,10,16,25 16:8 19:7,12,14, 16,18 20:12,23 21:8,15,21,25 22:5,8,18,23 23:8, 12,16,18 24:10 25:22 39:11,25 41:14 51:3,23 52:9,13,16,19,22, 25 53:17,19,22 55:13,16 56:1,8, 19 57:5 59:6,9,15, 23,25 60:2,5,7,16 75:1,7,11,14 76:4, 18,20 77:4,10,14 78:2 80:21 81:2,5, 7,9,11,15,25 82:10,18 85:4,12, 15,17,20,22 86:6, 10,23 87:3,13 90:16,23 91:1,3,6, 8,15,19,25 92:18 93:1 94:16,22,25 95:6,21,25 96:2,6, 8,23 97:2,8,15,25 98:4,8,18 100:2,9, 11,13,15,17 101:1,3,6,8,13,19 111:11,15 112:14, 24 113:15,20,24 114:1 117:9,15, 17,19 118:21 119:4,11,17,21,25 administratively 51:10

admissibility 6:25 7:16

admission 9:9

admit 10:21

admittance 8:14

admitted 7:1,18 9:5,9,10 12:15

advance 106:22

advances 103:15

advice 18:12 51:15

arguments 120:9

arises 12:20

arrangement

89:15 106:14

article 108:18

articles 108:6

artists 78:10

**ASML** 29:12

aspect 18:24

aspirational

assert 109:21

116:7

116:10

116:5

**Asaf** 3:5

advised 51:2 61:20

advisor 18:1,5,11 110:1

advisors 18:6

affect 69:20

afternoon 57:12, 14 60:13 79:5 82:24 87:19 92:5 93:9 98:24

aggressive 54:22

agree 114:9

**agreed** 6:15 13:3 14:4,13 35:12,13 54:24 65:4 69:5 74:10 89:4

agreement 5:9 15:7,25 16:5,13 29:18,20 30:1,15, 21 31:1 33:14 35:24 37:1 69:5 89:17,20,21 103:14 106:4

agreements 16:22 41:1

ahead 22:2 52:24 56:25 61:8 62:10 63:22 69:22 78:3 90:7

airport 67:2,6

Akin 3:5 5:12 19:14,16 52:11, 13,23,25 53:1,17, 20 60:3,5 75:12, 14 76:4,18 81:7,9, 10 85:18,20 91:4, 6 96:4,6 100:14, 15,24 101:1 111:14,15 112:14, 24 113:15,21 117:18,19 118:21

allege 109:24

alleged 103:17 109:3

allegedly 106:17

allotment 76:24

allowed 30:15

alter 9:2

**alternative** 61:1,4 74:1

Amanda 3:3

amended 106:5

America 32:24,25

amount 12:23 31:8 38:7 42:10 68:20 69:18 70:12 83:12,15 88:13 99:24 102:22

**amounts** 89:9 113:8

analysis 118:9

and/or 44:20

angel 28:22 62:2

anniversary 50:8,9

annually 53:10

answering 21:1

**answers** 53:18 113:18

**anymore** 45:3 115:10

apologies 78:5

**apologize** 13:7 75:5 78:25 98:2

**appeal** 2:5 5:6,14 6:14 28:17 101:22 105:21 120:4,6

## APPEALS 2:1

APPEARANCES 3:1

appeared 83:12

appears 46:1 85:24 106:16 111:23 112:1,5,6 113:10 Appellant 3:7 5:23,25 104:9,12, 18,22,25 106:1, 14,15,17 109:4,6 111:24 112:3,9,10 appellant's 7:3

56:5

Appellants 2:7 6:15,17,22 7:16, 24 8:3 10:25 19:20 56:10,13 101:23,25 102:4, 7,8 103:17,24,25 104:5 105:7,15 106:3 107:5,7,20 109:1,19,24 110:10,21,22,25 111:4 113:1 117:23

appellants' 4:6 7:1,8 10:20 11:7 34:6 39:14 56:7 76:23 102:15 105:14 107:24 109:13 110:17,23

applications 93:18,21

Applied 25:13 26:24

apply 112:17,22

appointments 61:18

appreciated 92:8

approached 65:11

approved 35:25 36:3 37:22 63:17 88:10,15

approximately 14:16 57:22 76:6

April 72:10 105:14

Area 25:14 61:19

argued 15:18

argument 9:13 56:6 118:4,15 assessed 102:13 assessment 18:15 19:3 101:23

109:23 110:8,12, 14,20

assessments 111:8

asset 20:10 38:2 47:23 48:20 68:8

assets 68:4 74:18 106:5 109:3 114:19

assigned 50:11

Associates 17:20

**assume** 32:19 33:15 106:8

**assumed** 33:12, 21

assuming 111:21

assure 35:15

attached 68:6 108:19

attempt 21:7 74:17

attempted 32:18 45:17

**bit** 6:22 23:3 28:15

32:9 43:3 54:21

attended 30
-------------

attorney 3:10 49:20

attractive 73:23

attributable 18:22,25

audio 22:2,16 61:11 92:15

audit 18:18 49:14 96:18 102:11,16 116:23

**audited** 49:12

August 30:14 40:14 45:18 53:13 72:19 85:1

authorized 38:13

authors 108:16

Auto 61:3

**Avenue** 99:2

avoid 7:15 39:19

aware 24:25 79:2 88:19 89:18

Ayco 20:10 38:2 47:23 48:19,23 49:19 50:6,19 51:2

В

**back** 13:22 21:19 22:8.12.14.19 24:13 27:6,23,24 31:5 37:8 41:24 42:8 49:23 50:19, 23,24 52:23 56:1 59:18 60:24 61:24 64:20 65:8 67:9 79:12 89:11 90:11 94:9 96:16

**back-up** 10:3

background 25:3,9 26:10

**bad** 6:16 11:22 12:5,23 14:8,17 16:3 17:17 18:10 20:5 25:3 31:8 33:25 43:4 48:5,7 49:9 102:2,14,18 103:2 105:20 107:3 118:1,7,14, 18,23

## badly 99:19

Bagley 4:15 8:2 9:19 11:5 17:9 23:1,16,17,24 24:2,6 26:13,16, 18 27:24 31:20 34:23 36:14 37:23 44:4 46:2,5 47:7, 15 59:8,10,12 60:16 67:14 75:2, 13,16 77:24 79:4 83:1 87:23 98:25 104:7 108:6,10, 14,21,24 109:4,7, 9 112:2 115:25

Bagley's 107:21 108:4 109:12 116:7,15

68:2

bank 12:16 14:20, 22 15:1,13 30:21 31:4,11,23,24,25 32:13,14,19,20, 21,24,25 33:13, 18,20,25 35:7,16 38:5 40:17,18,19 54:6,12 55:9 62:24 63:5,10,11, 24 64:1,10,12,15 69:8 70:5 96:25 115:1,3,9 banking 32:15

73:21

**balance** 10:12

balances 35:10

bandwidth 13:13

bankruptcy 47:6

banks 63:16

Banner 63:11

**Bishop** 107:12, 15,19

**based** 9:17 11:20

12:21 35:5 101:24

basic 66:17

**base** 93:20

## basically 28:8 29:6.13 33:12 35:1 51:21 61:25 63:22 65:15 66:9 70:14 72:20 99:16

basis 36:23 113:7

bat 62:20

Bates 39:1

Bay 25:14 61:19

**bear** 13:9 67:22 107:7

began 41:4 60:24, 25 62:2 64:20 79.12

begin 11:7 101:15

beginning 78:23, 24 79:10 104:10 115:19

**behalf** 96:17.22

believed 9:18 35:12

believing 112:10

**BELLE** 97:24 98:2,7,13,17

Berry 18:11 19:24 20:4,10 47:22 48:9,23 58:22

beverage 99:22

**big** 43:24,25 66:25

biggest 66:1

**Bill** 95:10,21,23

**binder** 71:16

68:25 84:1 100:19 Blenbo 95:10,22, 24 **board** 3:12 5:17, 19 6:24 15:6 18:15,18,23 24:15 27:16 30:3 32:23 34:23 35:21,25 36:6,10 37:9 39:22 48:18 65:12,15,17,20 70:7 83:2,8 84:25 88:11 95:14 101:21 102:13 103:19 105:2 116:24,25 117:4, 12,21 **bona** 12:19,20,24 15:3 17:16 103:3, 5.22 107:2 111:18,20 112:15 114:7 117:21 118:23

**book** 79:18

**books** 38:10 70:25 108:17

bookstores 34:21

borrower 13:1

**bottom** 39:5 97:21

**bought** 47:20 63:10 115:8

**bow** 36:1

boxes 65:9

**Boy** 64:11 78:22

Brad 3:12 5:18 52:7 59:21 75:9 80:25 85:10 90:21 101:20

break 13:17,21 21:7 22:13 52:2, 15,21 55:19 56:9 92:9,11

briefing 7:14 9:6 10:23 119:8,10, 13,15 120:2,3

briefly 78:8 84:1 89:24 93:16,22 94:5

briefs 116:11

bring 34:8 54:19 81:16 92:3

bringing 53:6

broken 30:16

brought 68:1

budget 80:2

bugs 55:3

build 38:18 84:18

building 99:1

**built** 47:10

**bulk** 16:6,13

**bunch** 49:18 59:11 84:21

burden 102:21 104:3 107:7 111:6

buried 66:7

burn 35:5 36:21

business 12:1 14:14 17:4 25:8 28:1,2,22 32:2,11 46:25 62:21 63:2 65:23 69:20 73:21 74:7 80:12 84:14 93:17 99:7 102:24 104:18,20 106:23 108:13,15 115:18,

22,23 businesses 74:6

businessperson

104:21

button 9:8

# С

Camysharepoint. com. 39:8

**calculation** 14:7, 17 16:3 31:7 118:14

calendar 120:8

California 2:2 12:20 28:4 35:23 102:24 111:2 118:2

**call** 11:24 21:17, 20 22:12,21 35:18 36:5,14 37:25 55:4 57:3 77:8,13 81:14,16 86:1 91:11,23 92:7 97:12,14

**called** 14:24 27:2 28:5 32:3,16 61:9 62:9 63:11

**calling** 22:20 23:20 57:2 59:7 77:1 81:12 92:10 97:22

**calls** 31:20,21 49:21 80:17

camera 75:5

**Campus** 76:14

canceled 32:10 67:7 115:7

Cannon 29:12

**cap** 42:4 65:6

**capital** 15:19 16:1 28:25 29:4,22 103:16 112:16 113:2 118:3,16

**capture** 40:24

**Caputo** 4:18 11:3 27:7,9 77:9,11,15 81:13,16,19,21 85:24 86:5,11,13, 14,24 87:8,19 90:13,16,25 91:5, 10

Caputo's 34:23

**car** 61:18

**cardboard** 99:23, 24

care 48:24 58:8 76:1

careful 41:18

carry 48:6 65:4

**case** 2:6 5:6 11:20 16:17 17:20 24:14,25 36:24 38:5 53:13 63:2 91:16 97:5 100:19 101:14 102:12 106:12 107:12,19 119:12

cases 99:22,24

**cash** 35:11 36:20, 21 65:10 107:17, 23

**cash-flow** 35:4, 16

cash-rate 41:7

70:20 71:3

Catechumen 41:24 44:22 64:24

caught 70:6,12

caused 32:5 80:5

caved 66:22

Central 99:2

CEO 12:13 17:9 26:18 28:2 31:21 47:8 60:14 94:2

certificates 113:4

cetera 35:10

**CFO** 69:21

chaired 36:15

change 113:17 channels 44:7

chance 48:1

**charge** 27:9 31:23 78:9 93:19

**charged** 15:5 70:14

charging 54:12

Charles 36:11

**check** 8:13 23:3 51:24 52:16 59:10 70:10 85:6 90:17 100:4,21 101:9 111:12 117:10 119:6

checked 117:1

checking 55:21 92:1

checks 70:6,13

Cheryl 3:5

chief 93:15

children 28:13

**choose** 29:15

**chosen** 28:18

Chris 4:16,20 11:4 22:25 23:14,23 24:1 27:11,12 47:15 76:1 77:12 97:16,18 98:7,13

Christ 67:5 76:14

Christian 12:2 28:12 34:21 42:7, 9 43:19 74:6,7 79:18 108:7,13, 14.16 109:13

**church** 61:8

circles 26:25

**circumstances** 64:21 94:6 107:10 **claim** 49:9 96:14

claimed 18:12

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

## Index: briefing..claimed

Index: claims..continuation

102:3 111:7

claims 102:20

clarification

96:10

clarify 12:25 39:23 42:25 51:12 68:12

**classes** 60:25

classmate 26:20

Clayton 40:8

**clear** 45:18 73:20 87:4

Cleta 36:11

click 97:21

clicked 9:7

client 18:7,10,15, 16,21,25 115:8 118:2,17

clients 116:13

**close** 11:15 24:12 63:13 75:23 120:4

**closed** 12:3 59:1, 2 65:23 71:4 89:24 90:2

**closure** 43:6 70:19

co-panelist 5:11

**co-panelists** 19:9 117:11

co-tenants 99:1

Code 102:23 111:2

cohesive 78:11

**Colabianchi** 3:9 5:24,25 9:13,16 10:10,11 11:10, 16,19 13:6,7,11, 15,22,23 14:2 16:9,11 19:8,19, 23 20:4 21:17,18, 19,23 22:4,10,19, 21 24:17,19,22 25:23 26:11 39:15 40:3 41:20 51:24 56:16,18 57:1,3,8, 11 59:3,7,8 60:8, 9,12,19 74:24 76:24 77:2,8,12, 19,20,23 78:7 81:12,13,18,22 82:19,20,23 85:23 86:3,5,9,18,20 87:14,15,18 91:11,21,22 93:3, 5,8 97:10,13 98:19.20.23 114:2,4,5 117:25 119:3

collapsed 66:3

**combination** 44:8 46:9

commencing 2:16

commercial 32:15

Commissioner 17:20 107:12

commitments 64:5

committee 48:18

commonly 28:21

**companies** 15:24 26:5 28:21 29:4, 10,25 44:15 62:3 95:11 104:19

company 9:17 11:24 15:20 16:25 25:17,20 26:3,4,7, 21 27:16,20 28:9 29:3,7 32:8,18 33:9,22 35:5 36:4 43:18,23 45:17,19 47:6,10,11,13 48:21 53:6 54:2, 18 55:1 61:16,22 69:6 75:18 84:23 90:8 94:1,12 95:13 96:11 104:10,14 109:2, 5,8,10 112:8,11 114:15,20,25

company's 34:18 36:21

compare 38:12

compared 116:1

compensation 48:18,22

competent 18:2 48:16

complete 93:14, 16,23,25 94:2,4,6 95:9,19,22,24 96:11,18

115:25

compliance 35:6 38:19 72:2

comport 72:6

comports 102:24

comprised 105:20

computation 109:20

conceive 110:1

concept 28:6,24

**concern** 79:24 84:6

concerns 111:9

concise 51:8

conclude 107:21

concluded 102:11 107:16 120:13

concludes 120:7

concluding 2:16

conclusion 17:16 103:15 107:19,24 111:3

condition 107:11 113:10 **conditions** 33:16 35:6,15

**conduct** 46:24

conducting 5:11

**conference** 6:24 8:17,24 11:1 67:6 109:19

**confirm** 23:9,21 37:25 86:12,15 98:5

confirmation 77:16

confusion 7:15

conjunction 109:22

**connected** 16:5, 12 62:7

considerably 61:17

considered 109:15 118:14

**consistent** 7:14 102:1 115:6

consistently 118:1

**constrained** 79:23 80:1

contact 81:21,23

**contacted** 19:2 27:19 89:2

contacting 28:6

contacts 117:5

contemporaneo us 103:21 114:13 115:2,11

contention 110:2

contesting 109:20

context 68:5

continuation 109:11

Index: continue..declined

continue 47:15 84:19 92:16 109:8 112:8

**continued** 45:14 76:6,7,9 80:14 106:22

continues 55:19

continuing 35:17

continuously 106:24

contract 76:10

contracted 16:25

contrary 104:16

**contributed** 83:24 102:9 110:12,25

contributions 103:16 106:23 111:21

controller 80:9 95:13,15,16,20

convention 67:5

conversation 41:18

convert 29:15

29:13

**copy** 10:1 78:12, 16 114:9

**corporation** 26:8 112:4

**correct** 9:23 15:4 34:15 39:24 42:22,23 46:6,7, 17,20 58:13,19,23 59:12 60:15,20 64:7 69:12 71:6 72:12 73:16,19 78:1,5,19,20 81:19 83:2,3,9,10 86:3 87:25 88:1, 17,18 95:4 correctly 8:7 53:4 88:4

20:9 50:19

corroborate 12:14 105:8 107:14,18 114:12

corroborated 115:16

corroborates 96:25

corroborating 115:17

Cory 29:23

#### Counsel 15:18

couple 27:7 41:25 61:8 80:10 97:12 114:5

#### coupled 43:16

**court** 17:20,23 25:4 51:12 107:12,13,15

courts 104:1

Coutinho 3:12 5:18,19 8:13,16 9:5,7 39:23 40:2 52:1,5,7,10 56:3 59:19,21 75:3,9 80:23,25 85:8,10 90:19,21 94:19,20 100:6,7 101:9,11, 14,17,20 111:12, 17,23 112:23,25 114:6,16,23 115:13,23 116:5 118:12 119:6,9, 10,15,16,19,24

covered 51:21

**crash** 103:18 105:7

**crater** 33:9

create 61:24

created 64:24 68:3 creation 68:5

#### credentials 69:22

**credit** 14:19,20, 24,25 30:22 31:4, 12,18,22 32:2,6, 10,15,17,19 33:1, 3,8,12,15,21,24 35:7 43:1 46:12 54:3,8,12 62:24 63:2,4,6,11,19,23, 24 64:12,15 69:7, 9 115:4

**crunch** 63:18

Crusades 76:14

customer 93:20

customers 29:11 35:2 47:14,16,19 75:22 80:17

cutbacks 80:4

#### D

dabbled 28:15

damage 99:17,19

damaged 17:3 99:20

**Dan** 4:19 11:4 86:8,20 92:22

data 73:4

**date** 5:7 8:21 40:12 68:8

**dated** 17:10 34:14 46:2 105:14 108:2,10,19 112:1 113:1 116:16

dates 9:25 10:5 104:15

**Dave** 4:18 11:3 27:7,9 34:23 77:9 85:24 86:12,13,14 87:8

## Dave's 86:14

**day** 38:20 67:4 79:16 120:6,12 38:20 120:5 deadline 8:18,20,

days 14:23 32:4

23 64:19 **deal** 38:1 66:25 70:24

dealing 63:23

**death** 61:5

debt 6:16 11:22, 23 12:5,20,23,24 14:8,17 15:23 16:3,6,14,16,19 17:11,12,18 18:10 20:5 25:3 28:9,18, 22 29:9,17 31:8 34:1 43:4,5 48:5,7 49:9 55:6 61:21 68:16 102:2,15, 18,25 103:2,3,6, 23 104:3 105:20 107:2,3,6,9,14,17, 22,25 109:15 111:7,18,20 112:4,16,21 114:7 116:17 117:21 118:1,8,14,18,23

debtor 107:11

debtor-creditor 12:21

**debts** 12:19 15:4 17:16

**December** 17:10 46:2 108:22

**decided** 6:14 7:12 58:1 109:8 119:7

**decision** 10:19 47:5 57:25 65:16

declaration 11:2 39:20 78:12,17 79:11 83:4,23 87:20 88:6 89:14

declarations 39:18 105:8

declared 116:18

declined 50:13

# deducted 31:9

**deduction** 6:16, 17 11:22 12:5,6,7, 10,24 14:8,17 16:3,4,16,17 17:14 18:10 20:5, 6 25:1 31:8 34:1 49:10 102:2,15, 19,21,22,24 103:2 105:20 107:3 111:7 118:2,8,14, 19,24

deductions 102:19

deeply 118:19

Default 33:8

defaulted 106:24

defaults 106:20 107:1

**defer** 48:6

deferral 110:20, 23

deferred 110:18

define 89:16

definitive 104:15

defunct 115:22

delay 18:23,24 102:9 110:13 111:1

deliberating 5:13

delivering 78:11

**demand** 44:14 103:14 105:23 106:8 108:7,21 109:13 114:17,18

demanding 109:6

demands 105:3, 11 112:7

**demo** 61:9

demonstrate 109:14 demonstrated 104:5 107:6 demonstrating 102:1 demonstration 28:7 dependent 44:5 **depends** 99:21 deposit 40:21,22 **derive** 47:6 describe 32:9 60:22 75:18 design 61:1 destroyed 9:18 44:10 destruction 61:5 details 68:3 detain 47:7 determinable 12:22 determination 104:4 determine 9:1 107:9 determined 8:11 determining 5:13 76:23 **Detrick** 4:17 11:3 22:25 23:12,19 27:14,15 36:7 81:14,17 82:4,5, 13,24 85:2,6,9,14, 19 developed 45:5,6

developer 27:13

development 9:22 10:16 11:25 14:14 25:7 60:15 62:21 67:24 76:8, 9 difference 70:10 difficult 56:22 84:17 114:21

difficulties 104:6

digital 44:11

**direct** 24:21 57:10 60:11 70:18 77:22 82:22 87:17 93:7, 10 98:22

directed 14:23

direction 28:23 88:14 94:4

directly 50:7

director 12:14 87:24

directors 35:25 48:18 83:2 84:25 88:11

disallowed 12:6 102:14 107:3

disbursed 38:7

disbursement 14:5

disbursements 37:18 96:21

discovered 10:21 17:1 43:14 68:11

discovery 17:2

discuss 6:22 8:12 47:21 57:24 58:5

discussed 18:10 21:11 35:19,24 36:6 58:22 65:13 114:11,16

discussing 10:15

discussion 28:11 36:2 41:16

discussions 26:7

disks 65:10

displays 30:23 42:3

dissolve 108:3

dissolved 115:24

distribute 106:2

distributing 44:19

distribution 16:22 43:25 44:6 45:1 84:13,14

distributor 99:22

distributors 44:13

**document** 30:3, 12 40:7 45:23 67:23 68:6 73:12 93:12

documentary 20:7 107:14 110:2

documentation 19:22 21:2 49:18 50:3.4.23 70:4

102:1 111:5 documented

70:13 documenting 21:3

documents 99:7 101:18 103:22 105:9 106:25

**dollars** 29:11 58:17 70:16

donating 76:14

**Doom** 61:2

doors 45:1

**double** 59:10 83:16 101:9

**Doug** 4:17 11:3 22:25 23:12,19 27:14,15 36:7,9 82:13

draft 49:3

drafted 15:6,25

drafting 45:11 drive 103:18

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

#### 105:7

dropped 70:15

dropping 54:14, 25

dual 95:19

**due** 8:5,20 12:9 17:5 18:24 103:17 109:25 110:23

**duly** 20:19 24:3 82:14 87:9 92:23 98:14

duty 25:12,13

# Ε

e-mail 37:24 67:16 76:2 103:21

e-mails 21:2 27:21 49:22 80:17

earlier 7:23 8:5 56:4 106:15 113:13

**early** 14:6,21 28:3 31:18 42:18 43:13 71:10 88:3 94:10 95:3 104:10

early-stage 28:20

earning 107:18

earnings 107:24

easily 51:6

economic 15:11 103:8 106:11,12 114:23

education 34:24 42:1

effect 45:11 70:18

efficient 56:11

efforts 45:18 56:22

electronically 5:9 **element** 16:15 **eleventh** 38:16

eliminate 46:15 elude 104:13

embezzled 17:1 43:14 66:21 80:9 84:23

embezzlement 17:3,6 70:15,18 103:18 105:6 115:15,17

Emerging 16:1 29:22

**Emily** 40:8

employee 38:16 47:8 95:22 103:18

employeeowned 25:19 26:2,4

employees 38:17 46:25 47:1 71:6 72:11 75:17 95:11 116:4

enable 81:20

encouraging 42:12

end 13:8 32:16 39:14 42:4 44:18 50:23 58:16 59:17 65:5 69:14 73:5 78:24,25 82:7 83:24 84:18,20,24 87:5 98:10 108:20 109:15

ended 25:18 44:13 67:6 76:13 84:7

ending 72:18

ends 19:6

enforceable 12:22

entered 81:13 86:7 106:13 entire 17:6 39:24 46:15

entities 95:18

entitled 6:15,17 102:2,8 110:11 111:6

entity 106:23

equal 5:12

equaled 14:15

#### equipment 93:19

equity 28:19 61:20 104:3 111:24 112:5,16, 21

erroneous 101:24

error 102:9 111:1

essentially 33:9 35:11 112:2

establish 102:22 103:25 110:10 111:6

established 62:23 64:13

**Europe** 45:2

evaluate 8:25 9:1 event 9:8,10 13:2

14:3,7,12,18 99:13 105:16,19, 22,24 115:17 events 13:1 15:3

16:18 17:12 46:8 105:5,15

## eventually 109:9

evidence 7:2,6, 18,22 9:1 106:7, 19 107:14 110:2,6 111:3 113:4

#### evidenced 48:3

exact 9:25 62:14 66:16 83:15

## **EXAMINATION**

24:21 57:10 60:11 77:22 82:22 87:17 93:7 98:22

**examined** 20:19 24:3 82:14 87:9 92:23 98:14

exceeded 36:21

**Excel** 68:13

exchanged 27:21

exchanges 103:21

excited 84:6

**excuse** 72:10 87:2 91:13

executive 25:16

**executives** 48:21,25

## exhibit 7:13 8:5, 19 34:6,12 38:25 40:4,6,24 45:20 67:15,18 71:14 73:3,8 78:13,15 83:5 87:21 93:11 96:18 108:1,5,11, 19,20 109:1 110:16 115:16 119:8 120:3

exhibits 4:6,8 6:21,23,25 7:1,3, 8,9,10,11,12,13, 17,18,19,24 8:1,4, 12,15,17,22 9:3,5, 8,15,16 10:20,22, 24 67:14 71:12, 13,16 119:14,23

existed 104:1

existing 33:1,15

expect 10:14 30:8

expectation 15:15

expected 16:21

expediency 94:11

Index: expenses..FTB

expenses 43:16 65:2

experience 28:20

expertise 18:2

**explain** 37:20 54:4 93:16,22 94:5 95:8

explained 84:4 106:15 110:3

extension 8:19

extremely 99:13

F

face 47:11

face-to-face 50:4

faced 33:7

facility 38:19

fact 12:25 29:16 63:8 73:4 104:13 114:25

factor 83:25

factoring 76:22

factors 83:24 118:7

facts 107:10

fail 45:19

failed 99:15 101:25 102:4 110:10

failing 25:17 102:10

fails 111:5

fair 33:17,19 42:10 55:7,11 63:25 106:8

fairly 30:7 54:1,17

faith 18:6 49:5

faith-based 42:7 73:25 fake 70:7

fall 43:22 64:12,16 71:10 88:4 90:12

familiar 27:8 29:17 46:3 71:25 72:1 78:13,14 83:4 87:20 88:7 93:12 95:23

family-friendly 12:2

fast 45:25

fax 38:6

faxed 37:11

**FDA** 38:19

**FDA-APPROVED** 38:18

federal 12:19 101:24 102:10,13, 15,16 110:25

feel 117:5

fide 12:19,20,24 15:3 17:16 103:3, 5,22 107:2 111:18,20 112:15 114:7 117:21 118:23

field 80:17

**FIFO** 53:12

figure 21:6 69:24

file 8:3 10:3 39:7 47:5 51:12 68:13 71:13 73:21 102:16

**filed** 12:19 72:5, 19,25 73:4 117:2

files 9:20 96:20

final 12:9 14:18 114:2 117:11

finally 84:18 115:7

finances 88:6 90:3 financial 10:13 18:11 38:3 41:6 47:22 48:20,24 67:19,25 88:7,8 103:20 104:15 107:11,17,22 108:25 109:2,10 110:1

financing 15:23 28:9,18,19,22 29:9,17 30:18 55:6 61:15,20,21 88:13,25 89:2,3,7, 11 90:4

find 32:18

finding 32:6

finds 10:24

fine 6:12 21:22,25 51:11 62:19

finish 13:20

finished 50:24 91:13

firms 48:19 61:18

first-in-first-out 36:23

fit 27:3

five-minute 21:23

fixed 12:22

flat 99:14,15

flats 99:23

Florida 88:22

flow 107:18,23

fly 67:7

**flying** 67:3

focus 43:19

folder 9:21 10:15

68:8 follow 112:15

118:6

follow-up 76:5 91:17 footing 104:15 109:10

forced 46:15 forecast 43:22

44:5

forged 70:14

form 11:17 15:4 29:12,18 72:17 103:11 114:6

formal 103:6,22 105:9 106:25

formality 103:12

forward 82:4 86:3 98:2

found 8:3 61:6 66:20 68:7,9,13 73:4 107:13 108:11

foundation 94:14

founded 60:23

founder 27:1 60:14

founders 93:24

four-year 44:24

fourth 72:24

fraction 50:25

frame 49:17 54:13,22 56:7

Franchise 3:12 5:17,19 6:24 18:14,18,23 24:15 39:22 101:21 102:12 116:24,25 117:4,12,20

franchises 45:12

Friday 2:17 5:1 79:5 119:13

Fridays 120:1

friends 62:6

front 44:13 78:22

**FTB** 7:9,10 8:14

9:6 10:23,24 11:5 18:20,25 19:2 111:14 113:25

**FTB's** 4:8 110:24 111:17,20 114:3

full 70:21

fully 15:16 36:25

**funds** 12:12 15:19 42:20 96:11,12 104:25 105:11 106:9,10,19 114:22 115:12 118:12

**funny** 41:9

futile 74:21 114:19

future 29:14 109:12

G

**game** 9:25 12:1 14:15 28:8,13,14 44:2,20,25 45:12 60:25 61:11,12,13 65:4 80:18 84:7,8, 9,10 108:13,14 114:21

games 10:2,6 27:13 30:23 42:7, 8 43:24 44:21,23 45:4,5 47:20 55:1 61:2,3 65:8 70:23 74:2 75:23 76:8, 10 79:19 84:13 99:6 104:8 108:8, 16,21 109:13

**gaming** 61:10 99:5

**Gay** 4:14 5:25 6:8 23:5,23 24:1 57:4, 12 116:14

generally 40:24 72:6

genre 42:6,8,9

gentleman 62:5 89:3

#### gift 15:19

**give** 13:18 22:12 25:4 29:6 35:19 56:24 57:21 77:15 86:1 92:7 101:17 112:23 117:23 118:24

giving 20:13 41:4

# goals 67:12

**gold** 10:1

**good** 5:18,21,24 8:11 9:14 10:21 18:6 24:23,24 27:3 49:5 51:5 57:12,14 60:13 63:1 74:3 79:6,15 82:24 84:7 87:19 92:5 93:9 98:24 120:12

# GORDON 2:6

grace 102:20

graduating 25:11

Grand 61:3

grant 30:2 119:12

granted 18:19

granting 15:22

graphics 61:11

great 38:25 40:20 59:16 67:23 71:22 74:2 78:15 84:8 86:9 87:23 94:15 98:4,8 100:1

## greater 50:17

ground 116:2

group 26:21

**groups** 43:20 66:25

## grow 63:20

growing 42:11 70:2 104:8 109:5 growth 16:1 29:22 108:8

## guarantees 33:2

guess 43:22 53:23 112:15

guessing 37:14

guy 69:21

**guys** 74:9

# н

half 11:12 25:12

Hall 62:5

hamstringing 90:4

hand 20:16 23:25 82:8 87:6 92:20 98:9

Hang 39:10

happen 89:25

```
happened 10:4
17:2 31:12 32:17
34:17 48:8 49:14
50:5 58:11 63:6
65:21,22 67:13
84:22 94:8 99:11
```

happening 13:8 70:1

```
happy 52:20
111:9
```

hard 32:21 35:1 36:12 50:16 63:20 84:12 103:18 105:6 120:11

#### Hargreaves 29:23

head 29:22 62:14

## headed 16:1

heads 13:18 22:14

healthy 61:1

# **hear** 9:6 12:13 13:23,25 23:21 57:18 82:9,10 86:22 87:4 92:6

97:19,24,25

heard 25:25 57:20

hearing 2:18 5:8, 11 8:20 11:16 20:25 24:12 25:6 56:4 59:17 71:16 77:14 82:7 85:24, 25 87:6 98:11 120:7,13

## heavy 99:13

held 5:8 17:21 18:8 119:13

**helpful** 91:17 97:5 100:20

**hey** 49:15 62:10 63:13,18

**high** 30:7 54:1,17 55:8

**high-quality** 61:4 73:25

**Highly** 99:9

# highlyanticipated 16:21

Hilderbrand 4:19 11:4 86:8,21 91:24 92:3,4,5,6, 7,11,12,19,22 93:9 94:17,24 95:2 96:5,9 97:3

hire 76:11

hired 38:16 69:21, 22

hit 13:19 67:3

hold 55:22 67:20, 22 68:1 120:1

holiday 44:20 108:22,23

Holm 26:19

home 14:19,22 15:13 21:12 30:21

Index: Hon..involvement

31:4,11,23,24 33:13 35:7,16 48:13 54:6,12 55:9 62:24 63:5, 10,23 64:10,12,15 69:8 115:1,3

Hon 3:3,5

honestly 79:16

Honor 5:24 11:19 21:20 23:15,17 24:19 56:18 57:3 77:2 114:4 117:25 119:3

hoped 34:19 66:23

hoping 112:11

Horizons 44:22 64:25

host 93:19

hours 11:12 38:20

house 58:24

hump 35:13

hurt 70:22

Husband 104:9, 13,18,22,25 106:1,14,15,17 109:4,6 111:25 112:3,9,10

hypocrites 74:15

Т

idea 50:11 61:23 63:1 ideas 28:7 identifiable 16:18 identification 7:4,20 identify 5:15 71:1 ignorance 87:2 imagery 61:5

imagine 32:4

immediately 33:9 70:13 106:5

**impact** 44:12

implementing 37:21

implies 72:11

importance 104:22

important 75:8 79:6

importantly 105:10 108:7 113:9

imposition 109:20 110:4

improved 42:2

improving 64:24

inaccuracies 116:10

incentive 15:22

include 10:4,12 31:7 33:24,25 36:11 45:4 46:8 72:19

included 14:7.16. 24 16:2 30:1 31:8 32:3

including 105:10 107:10

income 102:19

incoming 40:23

inconsistent 111:4 113:7

increase 66:18,19

incredulous 54:21

increment 38:10

incremental 83:17

increments

#### 53:12

indicating 115:22 indication 106:3 indicators 15:2 115:15

individually 11:9

individuals 11:2 23:2 26:15 50:18, 19 industry 42:10

61:10

inflate 70:9

inform 89:22

information 18:4 25:4 35:19 39:21 49:25 50:20 95:17 96:13 102:1,13

informed 50:10 79:4,6

initial 30:18 34:19,20 50:9 58:25 62:12 106:16,21 110:23

initially 12:3 44:5 58:19 64:13 70:15

initiated 74:16

input 20:1

installments 14:6

instance 71:2 104:7

instructions 12:15 38:7 40:8, 12

instrument 15:5 89:14 103:12 114:7

instruments 103:6

insufficient 104:2 107:13

integrity 74:10

114:15

45:2

internet 25:21

interruption 25:21 91:14

interview 108:9

interviewed

introduced 26:6, 19 28:4

inventory 30:22 63:21 65:7

**investor** 104:19, 21 108:25

investors 62:2

involved 35:9 79:8 84:2,3 95:18

involvement 25:4 80:14

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

## intend 12:7

intended 15:9,10 31:21 104:25 106:9

intent 15:8 42:6 105:13 107:1 114:16

interaction 49:20

interest 6:19 14:21 15:5.20 18:14 19:4 30:5 31:15 36:18,20,25 42:14 53:3,4,8,10 54:2,3,5,7,9,11, 13,25 55:8 69:1,6, 11 102:8 110:9,11 111:1 112:21 115:5,6 116:22 117:6

interested 27:4, 25 82:1 88:23

Interlays 26:7 38:16

internal 102:23

international

48:19

involves 25:1 **IPOS** 104:20 **IRC** 112:17.21 113:2 117:22 118:24 **IRS** 12:7,10 17:7 18:17 49:12,21 50:7,11,24 51:6 70:11 71:23 72:17 102:14 107:2 110:19 115:18 116:24 IRS's 18:17 110:4 **issuance** 110:13 **issue** 9:18 20:5 33:25 34:5 63:11 102:4,7,12 105:13,21 107:5 109:17 110:9 112:18 117:5 119:14 120:2,5 issued 17:8 18:15,20 19:1,4 110:14 **issues** 6:14 13:8 21:24 79:18 80:18 101:22 106:10,11 items 10:4 99:3, 11 **IVO** 26:5 J January 28:3 53:25 Japan 45:4 joined 25:13 77:11,15 85:24 94:10 95:3 joining 97:20 judge 5:5,10,12,

21 6:2,8,11 7:5,7, 21,23 8:10 9:4,12 10:8,18 13:5,10, 16,25 16:8 19:7,

10,12,14,16,18 20:12,23 21:8,15, 21,25 22:5,8,18, 23 23:8,12,16,18 24:10 25:22 39:11,25 41:14 51:23 52:9,11,13, 16,17,18,19,22, 23,25 53:1,17,19, 20,21,22 55:13,16 56:1,8,19 57:5 59:6,9,15,23,25 60:2,3,5,7,16 75:1,7,11,12,14 76:4,18,20 77:4, 10,14 78:2 80:21 81:2,3,5,7,9,11, 15,25 82:10,18 85:4,12,13,15,17, 18,20,22 86:6,10, 23 87:3,13 90:16, 23,24 91:1,3,4,6, 8,15,19,25 92:18 93:1 94:16,22,23, 25 95:6,21,25 96:2,3,4,6,8,23 97:2,8,15,25 98:4, 8,18 100:2,9,10, 11,13,14,15,17,24 101:1,3,4,6,7,8, 13,19 111:11,14, 15 112:14,24 113:15,20,21,22, 24 114:1 117:9, 14,15,17,18,19 118:21 119:4,11, 17,21,25 judges 51:22 100:21

judgment 18:6 49:5

July 72:19 108:10

jumping 13:13

June 14:12,22 16:5,12 31:24 32:16 33:21 34:14,17 36:24 45:16 64:18 72:4, 11 75:19 76:7 79:9 115:7

# keeping 79:6 104:23 kind 10:2,14 16:9 20:5 26:24 28:14 43:17 44:14 45:11 47:5 50:16 66:21 67:13 93:17 99:3 kinds 84:5 kitchen 21:13 Kletter 3:5 5:12 19:11,12,13 52:17 18 19

justify 18:3

Κ

52:17,18,19 53:21,22,23 55:13,14 59:24,25 81:3,5,6 85:13,15, 16 90:24 91:1,2 94:23,25 95:1,6, 21,25 96:3 100:10,11,12 101:4,6 113:22,24 117:14,15,16

knew 26:22,25 47:13 48:4 88:12 89:19 104:22 114:18

knowing 35:1

knowledge 9:17 30:17 46:24 89:13 99:5,12

Korea 45:2

# L

LA 97:24 98:2,7, 13,17 108:18

labeled 7:11 10:3 34:13 39:1 87:21

Labelle 4:20 11:4 85:25 86:2 91:22 92:1 97:11,14,16, 20 98:7,24 100:3, 18

# Labelle's 97:18

**lack** 105:9,25 106:7,25 107:1 109:13,14 112:7 113:6

laid 72:21 75:17

landlord 99:16

large 29:9 44:6 99:13

larger 112:13

late 7:25 8:12 9:14 71:9 108:3

## launch 64:25

law 5:5,21 6:2,8, 11 7:5,7,21,23 8:10 9:4,12 10:8, 18 12:19 13:5,10, 16,25 16:8 19:7, 12,14,16,18 20:12,23 21:8,15, 21,25 22:5,8,18, 23 23:8,12,16,18 24:10 25:22 39:11,25 41:14 51:23 52:9,13,16, 19,22,25 53:17, 19,22 55:13,16 56:1,8,19 57:5 59:6,9,15,23,25 60:2,5,7,16 72:2 75:1,7,11,14 76:4, 18,20 77:4,10,14 78:2 80:21 81:2,5, 7,9,11,15,25 82:10,18 85:4,12, 15,17,20,22 86:6, 10,23 87:3,13 90:16,23 91:1,3,6, 8,15,19,25 92:18 93:1 94:16,22,25 95:6,21,25 96:2,6, 8,23 97:2,8,15,25 98:4,8,18 100:2,9, 11,13,15,17 101:1,3,6,8,13,19 111:11,15 112:14, 24 113:15,20,24 114:1 117:9,15, 17,19 118:21

Index: lead..manager

119:4,11,17,21,25

lead 3:3 5:10 27:12 77:25 78:6 89:5

leading 25:15

lease 75:6

leave 26:9 84:25

leaving 49:22

led 50:15 96:14

ledger 96:20

left 25:25 26:2 88:3 90:1,7,10 97:22 116:8,9

Legacy 27:1,2,3

**legal** 19:22,24 51:4,9 70:24 118:4

102:20

lend 61:8

lender 13:2 15:13 25:10 29:14 30:25 37:10 103:10 106:13,22 107:16 114:24 115:1

lender's 107:19

**lender-borrower** 14:10 103:7

lenders 27:15

**lending** 13:1,2 14:3,7,12,18 15:3 46:8 105:15,16, 19,22,24

lent 58:19

letter 17:9 18:20 20:6 21:3 46:1,5, 14,21 47:21,25 48:3 49:6 50:1 73:11 94:3 107:21 108:4 109:8 110:17 112:1 116:15 letters 110:24

**level** 15:16 28:17 65:6

levels 28:25

liabilities 68:3

liable 17:21 18:8

lieu 94:11

**life** 44:23 45:14 69:14 74:10

lighter 44:9

likes 44:19 92:2

limitations 66:11

limited 79:22 88:13

lines 32:2,15 63:11

liquidated 106:6

list 76:25

listed 86:2

live 55:19 92:15

lived 28:4

**LLP** 29:23

loan 13:3 14:4,5,9, 16 15:5,12,14,21 16:5,13 30:2,10 31:6 36:24 37:1, 18 38:10 40:25 53:5,25 54:2 57:21,24 62:10, 11,12 63:10,13 68:20 83:9,11 89:14,17 103:6, 13,16,20,22 104:14,16,24 105:17,23,25 106:6,16,18,21,25 111:23 113:8 115:10,11 118:13

loaned 46:5 64:7 73:15 83:13

loans 11:23 12:4, 18 14:13,15 15:9, 10,15 16:2,4,11

25:15 27:22 28:2, 23 29:13,16 30:18 34:5,14 35:14,18, 24 36:3,16,22 37:2,16 41:5,8,22 42:13,16,21 43:8 46:19,22 48:2 54:5,18,25 58:5, 11,12,15,22 64:6, 20,22 65:13 66:14,18 69:19 74:17 78:18 79:3, 14,22,25 83:17,20 88:17,20 104:1 105:9,12,19 106:24 107:8 109:3 112:7 114:10,14,15,24 115:3 Logic 26:24 logistics 37:21 long 47:17 50:12 57:15 71:5 95:14 Long-term 68:15 longer 50:10 118:2 looked 34:3 50:12 116:16 Lopez 55:21 **lose** 41:16 loss 10:13 11:20, 21 48:6 59:1 113:2 114:14

lost 13:6 39:13

118:3,16

lot 20:9 42:4 55:2 58:17 65:1,24 66:24 76:25 95:17 99:17,21,22,23 116:6

loud 87:4

loved 84:9

low 13:14 42:11 54:5 83:13 lower 16:21 17:5 lower-thanexpected 83:25

lowered 115:6

**LSI** 26:23

54:3,9

#### Μ

Maaske 2:18 25:25 41:17 56:15 120:9

made 10:4 12:4 14:5 16:6,13 28:1 31:6 37:17 38:13 46:19 47:4 54:18 57:25 58:12 105:17 106:15 111:21 118:15

**mailed** 37:11,14, 15

main 71:12 101:22

maintain 102:21 105:1

maintained 35:7

maintaining 35:9

major 44:1,14 65:3,16 67:8

**make** 22:9 30:10 54:24 55:10 56:16 59:19 74:13 86:7 114:2

make-or-break 43:17

makes 56:22

making 41:21 73:24 78:10

**man** 70:20

management 20:10 38:2,3 48:20 80:2

manager 47:23

managing 27:1

manufacturing 38:18

March 30:13 108:2

mark 5:25 13:18

marked 7:4,20

market 12:2 28:11,14 35:1 70:23 84:5,11,12 112:13 115:6

marketing 12:14 14:14 27:9 34:20 63:21 65:5 67:8 87:24 88:9 89:5 90:5

marketplace 62:22 63:20 65:1 74:1

markets 45:7

married 57:15

massive 66:1,7

master 10:2

matched 38:14

materials 25:13 26:24 30:23 65:5, 6

**matter** 2:5 34:24 51:5 84:14 101:21 102:19 110:18,19, 25 119:6

**Mauro** 3:9

meaningful 44:19

means 45:13

meant 35:3 77:17

Medford 35:22 99:2

meet 48:13 62:8 66:22 67:4 111:5

meeting 15:7 21:10 35:18,21 36:6,15 50:5,6 63:16 65:12,17,19 77:11 114:10

meetings 65:15 79:5 83:8

**melted** 66:8

member 27:16 37:9 83:1

members 3:5 24:16 32:23 34:23 36:10 51:25

memorialize 15:7

memorializing 89:15,16

memory 62:15 88:4

Menlo 61:19

mention 7:9 39:13 56:20

mentioned 19:20 26:13,14 53:24 54:7 69:19 83:23 114:23 115:23 116:5,8

merger 25:18

messages 49:23

**met** 18:7 27:2,10 35:16,17 55:1 62:4 84:2

Michael 16:24 27:17,18 37:23 69:19 71:1 84:21

microphone 75:6

microphones 55:17

middle 38:15 39:5

midway 40:20

Mike 80:8 95:9

military 25:12

million 25:2 46:6 58:17 68:19 73:16 104:24 106:2,18

# 108:17

millions 29:10 mind 38:25 86:7 95:16 97:4 100:19

mine 26:20

minimal 42:19 76:16

minute 119:23

**minutes** 6:13 8:18,24 11:11 21:6 39:13 56:9, 14 65:17,20,21 77:6 90:17 92:13 97:12 101:15 103:19 105:3 114:10

miscommunicati on 8:6

miscommunicati ons 12:9

mislabeled 8:3

misleading 116:6

missed 45:8 67:10

missing 70:21

misstated 26:3

mistake 82:2

mode 63:15

moment 22:13 41:15 55:23 85:5 100:4

moments 94:18

Monday 70:5

**money** 12:23 29:1,7,13 43:10 61:9 70:2,21 73:24 74:22 83:9 84:19,21 88:12 90:3 94:4,12

monies 94:13

month 38:12 119:19 monthly 53:10

**months** 32:5 42:1 54:16,20 63:17 80:16

mores 27:7

**morning** 5:18,21, 24 11:9 13:9 24:23,24 55:25 57:12 69:24 70:5

**move** 6:21 27:7 34:5 53:16 56:12 63:3 76:21 82:4 86:3,18 98:2

moving 8:20 17:19

**MSDW** 40:9

multipage 30:3

multiple 89:10 109:11 110:21 114:11

**mush** 66:9

**music** 42:9,10

**mute** 55:17 92:7 97:7

mutual 30:15

# Ν

N'LIGHTNING 11:24 25:7,16 26:6,18 27:10,18 30:15,20 32:3,23 33:2 38:8,9 47:13 57:17,18,20 60:14 67:24 78:6 88:3 89:6 90:7,10 94:4, 13 95:9,12,16,17, 20 96:12

N'Lightning's 27:13 40:19

names 27:7

narrative 11:17

**nature** 10:14 37:14 99:6 104:16

Index: managing..nature

## necessitated 41:8

needed 35:7,11, 13,14 61:15 65:5, 6,10 70:21 80:3 83:17

needing 64:25 84:5

negotiate 32:6,18

negotiating 108:15

negotiation 30:24 55:7

Neonatology 17:19

non-business 11:22 25:2 102:2, 14,18,25 103:2 105:20 107:3 111:7

noncontemporaneo us 111:5

noncompliance 32:12

nonresponse 110:24

notably 114:13

**note** 29:19 53:2,8 69:2 76:22 89:17 102:10 103:14 105:10 114:10

noted 7:10 116:10

**notes** 15:6 38:12 46:13 68:18 103:19 105:2

**notice** 14:23 18:15,21 19:1,3 32:4 63:12 110:13,14 117:5

noticed 10:6

notified 63:12

November 113:1

**NSDI** 11:25 12:1, 3,4,12 13:1,4 14:4,11,19,25 15:9,12,14,21 16:22,24 17:2,6,8, 10 25:7,10 26:16, 17 28:2 30:18 31:6,12 34:14 37:18 40:25 41:22 42:20 43:8 46:6, 19,24 58:5 60:23 62:23 64:5,22 65:13 69:15 70:18 71:4 73:5,16,18 77:25 78:18 79:8, 13,24 80:5,13 83:9,14,17,24 84:22,25 87:24 88:6,17 89:24 98:25 105:9 106:2,14,20 107:2 108:3,25 109:11 115:12 116:9

NSDI's 12:13 15:16 16:20 17:12 41:3 43:7 46:15 83:2 88:7 104:8 106:5 108:6

number 15:8,11 16:20,24 17:9 39:6 43:24 48:19 50:17 68:19 70:9 81:19 84:11 86:2

numbers 62:14

# 0

oath 11:15 20:25 24:11 57:6 59:17 82:7 87:5 98:10 object 6:24 7:16 8:16

objection 8:14

objections 11:5 objective 15:2,11

16:18 115:15 **objects** 9:3,9 obligation 12:22 105:1

obtain 18:19

obtained 64:5

**obvious** 36:2

occasion 27:21

occasions 110:21

occur 104:14

occurred 19:2

105:5

odd 47:14

offer 35:23 115:9

offered 62:11 83:9

offhand 30:6

office 2:1 22:12 36:7 49:21

officer 93:15

offices 50:17

official 68:8

often-used 15:23

Ominous 44:22 64:24

onerous 67:10

open 119:13 120:1

opening 11:11 19:6 110:3,17

opens 45:1

operate 74:6

operating 43:16 93:15

operation 99:10

operations 35:17 43:7 75:18,21

opinion 20:6 120:5

opportunity

56:14 63:3 117:24 118:25 **optimistic** 79:13,

16,20

optimistically 83:12

**option** 61:22 73:23

oral 104:2,6

order 7:13 14:9 49:3

orders 6:13 8:18, 24

Oregon 35:22 89:5 99:2

organizations 76:15

organized 67:5

original 27:15 46:10 53:25 54:17,18 93:24

originates 11:21

ostensibly 30:22

**OTA** 2:6 5:6 39:1, 7 40:6 55:21 81:20 120:4,10

OTA's 71:13

outcome 5:13 18:17

outstanding 108:15

overlap 41:18 56:21

overlapping 41:15

owed 74:12 109:4

**owner** 14:23 32:1, 13 112:3

Ρ

**p.m.** 2:17 120:13

Index: package..practicalities

#### package 48:22

pages 4:6

**paid** 15:19 32:16 40:25 47:9,19 53:5,12,14,15 58:14 72:9 74:14 76:16 79:12 112:16

painful 73:13

palatable 33:6

pallet 65:25

pallets 66:4,5

**panel** 3:3,5 10:19 24:16,25 39:22 51:25 111:10

panelists 111:12

**panic** 32:5

panned 76:11

paper 66:6

papers 66:8

parent 28:12,13 112:12

Park 61:19

part 16:3 18:22,23 20:15 30:24 41:17 48:11,21 72:2 73:22 75:8 80:1 82:6 90:1 115:20 116:7

partially 107:9

participants 5:12

participate 92:13

participated 83:7

**parties** 5:9,15 6:14 13:17 15:8, 14 37:4 55:7 105:13 107:1 114:17

partner 27:1

**party** 106:9

passed 36:11

50:13,16

past 62:9 89:7 108:24

#### pastors 67:4

pause 22:7,17 56:20,24 92:17

**pay** 12:22 42:14 46:25 47:1,2,18 69:6 70:11 74:11 109:3 112:9

payable 68:18 69:2

paying 116:3

payment 103:14 105:2

payments 12:15, 16 15:9 31:7 40:25 53:9 104:13

payroll 17:8 27:19 72:1,9 73:5 115:19,21 116:2,3

**PDF** 45:23 67:21 71:14,20 73:9

penalties 17:21

**penalty** 6:19 17:19 18:9,13 19:20 102:5 109:18,21,22,25 110:4,7 116:12,13

pending 110:19

penetrate 84:12 112:13

**people** 26:21 61:4,6,12,20 62:1, 3 74:3 75:24 76:10 78:10

percent 15:20 54:15 111:25

**period** 47:16 72:4, 9,11,18 106:2,19 110:20 120:4

periodic 53:9

periodically

#### 38:11

Perkins 4:16 11:4 23:1,14,15,23 24:1,8 27:11,12 47:15 76:1 77:12, 17,18,24 78:2 80:19,22

## perplexed 70:3

**person** 16:24 27:18 47:5,12 49:24 50:1,10,11 104:18

personal 33:2

personally 96:13, 15

petition 51:13

phase 28:22

**phone** 27:20 31:21 36:8 37:25 49:21 76:2 80:17 86:14 87:1 97:20

physical 44:11

pick 79:12,13

**piece** 61:11 69:23

pile 66:7

pilot 44:1

pitch 28:1

pitched 99:14

**pivot** 43:3 63:3 66:25 67:13

place 11:8 12:17

plan 62:21 66:19 88:23

plane 67:3

**planner** 47:23 51:15

**planning** 48:15, 20,24

play 61:4,11,12

74:3

played 80:1 84:8,

9

**player** 28:13

players 28:14

point 13:19,21 16:18 20:16 25:11 26:20 29:14 34:3 44:21 53:15 58:6 61:14,23 63:8 66:11,21 68:21 77:3 89:4,8 100:25 101:2,5,10 117:3

points 114:5

**police** 44:11 70:13 71:2

portion 36:1 77:6

Portland 67:2

#### position 9:2 19:21,22,24 21:4

67:11,19,25 88:2, 24 111:17,20 112:19 113:13,16 116:19 118:1,22

positioning 34:20

positions 17:23 111:19

**possession** 8:2,4 10:20

possibilities 33:7

post-additional 9:11

**post-hearing** 9:6 10:23 119:8,10

potential 7:15 53:3 108:8

potentially 112:10,12,20 117:22

**Poway** 28:4

power 49:19

practicalities 118:5

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682 practice 15:23 16:2 29:22

pray 69:23

pre-hearing 109:18

29:15

preference 52:15

prehearing 6:23 8:17,24 10:25

preparation 21:11 48:14 58:25

prepared 29:25

preparer 21:3 51:17 58:23 116:20

present 11:12 25:24 39:15 56:14 86:1,8

presentation 11:7,11 24:18 56:13 61:25 101:15 108:25 114:3

presented 9:1 28:6

presenting 11:1

presently 88:21

president 93:14

press 23:19 78:3 86:11,15 97:16,23

pressed 78:5

pressing 23:4 60:17

pretty 26:22,25 32:21 45:16 51:5 54:22 79:15,16 99:14

previous 40:15 41:25 45:14 61:7 71:12

previously 9:19 62:6 price 29:15

primarily 38:4

primary 94:1 118:22

principal 53:15

printout 68:12

printouts 70:6

**prior** 18:18 25:9 43:19

problem 84:15 114:18

procedural 119:6

proceed 11:18 20:25 24:17 57:7 60:8 77:19 82:19 87:14 93:3 98:19

**proceeded** 106:1, 17

proceedings 2:15 5:1 22:7,17 92:17

process 78:23 89:1 90:2

Procopio 29:23

procuring 65:14

**produced** 65:18, 20

**product** 16:23 28:24 54:19,23 78:11 79:16 115:9

products 65:5 76:6 99:20

profession 25:9

professional 17:25 18:2 19:21 48:16 116:14,16

professionals 17:22

profit 10:13 114:14

program 67:8

#### programmers 78:9

progressing 41:4,5

project 27:12 77:25 78:6

projections 43:22 44:5 107:23

projects 108:17

promise 42:14

promissory 15:6 29:18 53:2,8 89:17 103:14,19 105:2,10 114:10

prong 18:1,3,5

proof 107:7

proper 17:14 104:23

properly 12:8,10 17:17 107:3

proposal 88:10

proposals 90:5

propose 90:5

proposed 7:8,24, 25 8:5,15 9:15 18:8,15 19:3 67:14 71:12 101:23 109:23 110:8,11,13,19 111:8 119:14 120:2

proposing 28:16

prospects 41:10, 23 109:12

protest 102:10 110:17 117:2,3

protested 18:16

prove 12:10,16 17:7 70:17

proved 16:15

provide 14:13 15:21,23 16:12,25 25:8 27:19 28:2 30:21 33:2 35:12, 14 38:20 42:2 47:16 48:20 49:2, 3 53:8,9 61:1 64:5 73:25 78:18 88:17 89:7 101:25 111:4 114:24 119:7,9

provided 14:19 16:4 18:4 25:15 30:18 38:2 49:19 50:13,21,25 81:19 96:11 103:24 105:9,11 109:1 113:3,8 114:13 120:8

providing 21:14 32:2 35:23 36:3 47:24 79:2 88:20 115:2,12

prudent 103:9 106:12,22

**public** 25:19 26:3, 5

**pull** 101:17

pulling 38:25

**purchase** 65:9 113:6

purchased 75:24

purported 107:8

purpose 5:11

pursuant 117:22

**put** 32:7 38:9 67:10

## Q

qualified 12:18

**qualify** 8:11 12:23 113:2,10

quality 61:13

quarter 70:21 72:12,24

quarter's 43:16

#### quarterly 70:8

**question** 19:19 20:3 21:1 25:1 36:9 41:19 43:6 44:4 48:1 52:4,14, 20 53:18,23 55:12,14 56:3,24, 25 75:15 76:5 84:10 85:6 95:1 108:9,12,14 111:16 113:12,17, 18 117:20,24 118:22,25

question-andanswer 76:23 77:6 questions 11:18 19:10,11,13,15,16 24:15 39:21 49:16 51:19,22,25 52:1, 2,3,5,8,11,18,23 55:15 59:3,20,22, 24 60:1,3,5 74:25 75:4,10,12 76:19, 21 80:20,23 81:1, 3,6,8,10 85:2,8, 11,13,16,18,20 90:14,18,19,22,24 91:2,4,7,9,17 94:15,19,21,23 96:1,4,7 97:4,6 100:1,5,6,8,10,12, 14,15,18,20,22,24 101:2,4,7,9,11 111:9,13,14 113:19,22,25 117:11,14,16,18 119:1,5 quick 23:5 56:3

64:14 92:7,9 95:1 117:20

**quickly** 34:19 61:20 63:4

## R

raise 11:5 20:16 23:24 82:8 87:6 98:9

#### raised 29:11

#### raising 92:19

Ralph 4:15 8:2 11:4 17:9 23:1,16, 24 24:2 26:13,16, 18 27:2,5,24 31:20 34:23 36:14,15 37:22,25 43:21 44:4,16 46:2,5 47:7,15 59:8,10 60:13 77:24 79:4,5 83:1 84:3 87:23 88:21, 22 89:10,21 98:25 99:3,18

#### ramping 34:18

ran 90:3

Rappoport 15:25 29:21

rate 14:21 30:5 31:16,19 35:5 36:18,21 54:2,4,5, 7,9,11,17 55:8 115:5,6

rates 54:14,25

raw 61:1

reach 81:20

reached 30:20

react 67:13

**ready** 11:18 57:1, 7 76:21 77:19 93:4 101:14,16,18

real 32:7 61:3 64:14 67:10

Realistically 79:17

realities 103:8 106:11,12

reality 15:11 114:23

realize 43:11 44:8 56:10 65:2 70:1

reason 47:9 73:23 74:13 79:19 86:16 reasonable 15:15 17:24 116:15,19, 21

reasons 9:3 36:2 84:11 89:25

rebuttal 56:7,14 114:3

```
recall 26:1 30:5,6
36:9 37:11 41:6
42:19 49:17 53:4
54:13 58:15 63:9
66:14,16 71:8
78:21 79:8 83:13,
20 88:2 90:9
```

recap 53:24

receive 30:18 33:22 42:13,16

received 4:6,8 7:5,22 8:22 15:20 38:13 42:25 46:21 55:2 66:18 113:5

receiving 109:7

recent 7:8

recently 8:2,4 10:21 68:10

recess 21:24 55:20,25 81:21

recognize 73:12

recollect 89:21

recollection 9:20 46:16 62:25 72:6 79:23 80:6 83:18 89:15

recommend 51:7

recommended 62:1

reconsider 18:17

reconsideration 18:19 116:23

105:8

record 5:6 9:10 10:22 12:16 19:24 20:15 21:9 22:6,9, 13,19 53:2 54:23 55:20 56:2 82:6 92:14 105:4,22 107:25 110:6,22 111:4 119:12 120:1,4

recordkeeping

104:23 109:14

records 9:17 17:7 44:10 65:24 66:5, 6 94:10 96:14,15, 19,21 99:8 102:21 105:1 114:13,15 115:18 116:2

recover 74:16,17

redo 67:9

reduced 14:21 31:15,19

reduction 43:4

**reductions** 48:5 54:8

refer 88:5

references 7:13

referred 26:19 27:5 28:21

referring 25:6 116:17

refers 11:23

refinanced 14:25 69:8,11

reflect 102:16 103:22 105:11 113:5

reflected 102:14 103:7 105:2 110:16

reflecting 106:7

reflects 105:4,22 106:12 107:25 108:2,5 110:22

refresh 9:20

regard 6:22 103:1

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

Index: registered..ruined

#### registered 113:4

reiterate 96:12 106:15

relabel 7:12

related 26:15 27:21 35:6 43:1 69:1 93:23 110:19,24

relationship 12:21 14:10 32:20,22 51:6 95:8,10 103:8

relationships 61:7

release 9:25 10:6 68:8

released 44:22

reliance 17:25 18:3 110:1 116:20

relied 17:22 18:6, 11 19:20 116:13

rely 49:5

relying 104:6

remain 7:13 11:15 20:24 24:11 59:16 82:7 87:5

remainder 20:25

remaining 76:24 114:20

remedy 35:3

remember 11:8 35:8 43:2 44:3 47:17 54:15 58:7 64:14,18 66:24 67:1 70:5 72:25 80:7,16 99:12,15

remind 41:15 56:23 77:2

reminder 39:17 59:16

renew 31:22 64:17 renewal 63:9

renewed 14:21 31:14 63:8 64:14 115:4

repack 99:23

repaid 15:10 30:8 36:22,24,25 42:21 48:2 69:17,18 105:1,18 106:9, 17,20

**repay** 12:4 15:9, 16 17:11 43:8,9, 12 46:18 73:18 79:14,22,24 106:6

repayment 36:17 42:14 103:21 105:11,23,24,25 106:4,7,8 109:7, 14 114:17

repayments 42:16 105:3 112:8

repeat 16:10 39:19

# repeated 107:1

repeatedly 106:23

replace 32:7 99:16

replicated 10:2

**reply** 105:14 108:2,5

#### report 107:24

reported 2:18 34:22 106:24

Reporter 2:18

reporting 17:23

reports 44:11 107:18

represent 5:16, 19,25 101:21 representative

8:6 24:15

47:13

.....

represents 68:19

reputation 47:10

request 9:11 11:8 37:23 50:10 105:8 110:23

requested 73:4 106:3,4 110:18

requests 37:22 38:2,4

requirements 43:4 48:14

resident 118:3

resolved 51:7,10

respond 117:24 118:25

responded 50:21 110:22

Respondent 3:12 5:19 8:16,25 9:3, 9,11 15:18 52:8 56:6 102:10 110:3,20

respondent's 7:19 108:2,5 110:7,17 111:8 112:25 113:13

response 108:13

rest 34:22 73:3 115:20

Restructure 34:13

result 44:18

resulted 105:22

resume 55:23

retail 32:14 35:2 43:25 44:6,13,25

retailer 34:24 42:1

retailers 34:22 42:5 43:20 44:2 retain 47:8

65:3

return 11:21 17:15 25:2 34:4 38:23 49:3 72:5, 19.25 109:10

returned 22:15

Returning 31:11

returns 49:7 70:8 73:4

revealed 17:5

revenue 53:7 102:23 111:2

revenues 36:21

**review** 50:3,14 51:3 94:9

reviewed 35:25 55:3

reviewers 55:4

reviewing 9:19 49:24

reviews 74:3

revised 102:17

Richard 18:11 20:10 21:12,13 47:22 48:9,17,23 58:22

riskier 54:23

**Roger** 15:25 29:21

role 95:19

roof 99:14,16

**room** 6:10 24:13 37:5 85:24,25 91:16 92:3 97:5, 11

**route** 28:18 51:3, 4,10

routes 51:3

ruined 66:4,5

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800.231.2682

Index: run..show

#### run 58:9

running 36:19 41:24

**Russ** 26:19 28:5 62:5

## S

safe 94:11

**sales** 15:16 16:20 17:5 27:10 28:25 34:18,21 41:3,5, 10,22,24 42:11 45:2 54:23 64:23 66:18,19,22 67:12 70:2 76:6,12 79:12,13,17 83:25 84:10 87:24 88:9 89:6 90:5 104:8 109:5 **salvageable** 66:12

satanic 61:5

satisfy 104:2

save 23:2

savings 55:10

Savitch 29:23

scenes 120:11

schedule 36:17 55:1 103:21

schedules 105:2

Scholler 2:6 3:7,8 4:13,14 5:6 6:1,4, 6,9,10 8:6,8 9:24 11:3,24 13:2,13 14:10,25 15:17 17:10,11,13 20:2, 8,13,18,22,24 21:5,10 22:21,25 23:5,7,9,11,23 24:1,7,9,13,23 25:23 33:20 51:24 52:12 57:4,6 59:18,20,24 60:4 68:23 71:4 73:15 78:18 81:25 83:8 86:1 88:16 89:4 92:10,12 93:22,24 94:5 115:2 116:23

Scholler's 11:21

Schollers 12:11 17:14

Scott 3:7 4:13 5:25 6:4,6 9:23 11:24 12:4,5 13:1, 3 14:4,10,13,25 15:10,16,20 16:4, 12 17:10,12 19:25 20:18 22:21 23:9, 23 24:1 26:12 45:20 51:20 57:15,17,18,20 58:5,21 60:13 62:4,7,8,9,11,23 63:18,22,24,25 64:4,21 65:14 66:15 68:22 69:17,19 71:4 73:12.15.18.23 74:9,16 78:18 79:2,12 81:23 83:8.13 88:16.19 89:4,7,12,18 93:22,24 94:12 96:17,21 114:18, 21 115:2 116:14 117:7

Scott's 15:18 95:18 96:17 115:10,11

scramble 63:15

screen 82:3 86:14 97:22

scripted 61:11

scrolling 45:25

season 44:20 108:22,23

seat 30:3

Section 102:23 111:2 112:17 113:3 117:23 118:24 secular 55:4 **secure** 16:22 89:11 **secured** 15:12 63:4 88:25 89:3, 22 securities 118:8 security 113:5, 10,13 117:22 118:11,13 seed 28:21 seek 61:15 segment 62:18 segments 62:17 **selected** 48:19,23 sell 35:2 **seller** 44:25 sellers 79:18 selling 29:3 43:23 send 38:6 sending 94:12 sense 118:3 sentence 13:20 16:9,10 separate 104:19 106:1 September 2:17 5:1,7 8:19,20,22 14:20 17:7 30:20 48:12 62:25 67:1 72:5,18,19 107:21 109:7 112:2 sequence 37:7 50:15

series 11:23 12:8 35:14 64:5 78:18 88:17 116:8

served 25:11 95:15,19 104:19

**serves** 88:4

service 93:18 services 16:25 27:19 48:21

**set** 17:23 70:25 74:5

seven-year 66:10

**severe** 45:16

shareholder 47:7

shareholders 33:2,6

shares 15:22 29:3,7,15 33:22 69:6

sheet 10:12 68:2

Sheila 2:6 3:8

**Shelby** 2:18

**shelf** 65:9

**shifted** 43:20

**shoes** 15:1 33:18 54:9 64:1,10

shore 45:17

**short** 14:23 36:17, 19 44:23 61:10 63:12 113:17

short-term 11:20, 23 14:13 16:4,11 35:13,14,24 36:3 37:2,16 40:25 41:5,8,22 42:13, 21 43:8 46:13 54:24 64:6,20 65:13 66:14 104:16 105:19 115:3

shortchanged 47:20

shortly 64:16

**shot** 45:9

**show** 12:7,11,18 14:9 15:2,12 17:12,24 61:12 62:8 96:19 102:5 104:10 107:7

Index: showed..submit

**showed** 43:23

**showing** 15:13 70:7 114:14

115:18 **shown** 101:23

113:2

shows 109:2

**shut** 67:6 80:5,13

side 26:8 38:9

sign 30:25 31:1

signed 37:4,6,8,9 significant 18:24

116:1

significantly 102:9 110:12,25

signs 104:10

Silicon 38:5

Simer 29:10 48:19,25

similar 65:13 118:7

Similarly 107:20

simple 35:24 38:14,21 47:9 51:5

**simply** 34:24 38:21 47:18 54:17

sir 58:24 93:13

situation 32:5 41:6 88:7

situations 88:8

**size** 28:12

skylight 65:25 66:3

skyrocket 108:21

slash 27:12

slated 30:14

slice 61:10

**small** 50:25 69:18 80:1,11

smaller 30:16

**snow** 66:1,7 99:13 105:6

so-called 74:5

**software** 9:22 10:1,16 11:24 25:7 28:7 41:11, 22 60:15 67:24 93:18,21 99:5,6

sold 14:22 31:25

sophisticated 104:18,21

sort 32:21 44:12 55:6

**sound** 68:8 78:10 104:14 109:10

sounds 8:8 86:2

**sources** 30:19

South 45:2

southern 89:5

**span** 44:23 45:14

**speak** 9:13

**speaking** 53:1 111:16

**specific** 10:5 11:17 48:22 115:8

**specifically** 10:17 12:1 21:1 37:13 44:15 95:9 101:25 108:9 113:3

specificallydesigned 93:21

**specifics** 44:16 58:7

**spend** 42:5

**spoke** 20:4 27:20 57:23

**spots** 99:15,25

**spring** 31:19 64:16

**staff** 17:6 46:15 79:22 92:1

staffing 80:3

stamp 39:2

standing 47:5

star 23:4,20 60:17 78:3 86:12,15,25 97:16,23

start 19:10 25:3 48:14

**started** 13:2 14:3, 12,20 26:23 41:21 43:13 49:15 53:6 54:15 61:25 73:22 115:10

starting 5:16 16:12 25:24 41:12

**startup** 15:24 28:22 55:6 84:16

startups 25:16,18 29:17

state 2:2 79:11 88:24 94:3 105:15

stated 6:13 8:17, 23 46:5 48:3 56:4 73:15 78:17 79:21 83:7 88:6,16 89:1 104:17 105:16 107:15 109:19 112:25 113:13

**statement** 10:13 19:6 40:17,18,19 67:19,24 93:11 109:1,2 114:3

**statements** 12:16 103:20 107:18,23 109:12 113:7 114:14

states 17:10 46:14 108:14,21

statute 66:11

**stay** 22:1 24:14 75:2 80:22 85:5 90:17 91:16 94:18 100:3 **staying** 97:4 100:19

**steal** 69:25

stenography 120:10

**step** 33:10

**stepped** 15:1 33:11,17 54:9 63:25 64:9

steps 35:2 42:2

stiff 74:12

**stock** 6:17 30:2 113:4,5 114:21 118:16,18

stockholder 68:18 69:1,8 94:1

stone 54:14

**stood** 79:7

**stop** 20:13 44:2, 20 65:4 70:24

storage 65:24

store 42:4

storing 99:3,7

**storm** 66:1 103:17 105:6

strain 32:7

**stream** 55:19 92:16

stress 104:10

strong 115:21

stuff 65:24 66:4

sub-issue 103:5

sub-issues 103:1

sub-point 103:11

**submission** 7:25 8:12 9:14

**submit** 7:11 8:18 88:10

# 5 stayed 80:15

Index: submitted..time

**submitted** 6:23 7:9 8:5 39:18,20 67:15 87:21

subsequent 75:20 76:7 108:18 110:24

subsequently 49:12

substantial 110:5

substantiation 20:7

succeed 112:12

48:15

**success** 45:6 108:6,24

**successful** 12:3 45:7

sudden 44:8

sufficient 8:25 18:2 53:6 102:22 109:3

suggest 47:24

suggested 88:14

suggestion 51:9

summary 25:8

summer 71:9 75:17

**support** 47:16,20 75:22,25 76:1 80:16 107:24 110:2,6

supports 103:15

surrounding 64:21 94:6

sustained 111:9

**SVGL** 29:12

swear 11:14 20:14 23:21 24:6 82:5 87:4

**sworn** 11:9 20:19, 24 23:2 24:3 57:6

59:11,14,15 77:18 82:14 87:9 92:23 93:11 98:14

sympathy 38:21

# т

table 21:13

tablet 34:10

**taking** 13:12 35:2 42:2 48:24 55:20 84:19

talk 29:5 37:17 43:21 44:17 47:4 48:9 61:24 69:14 71:2

talked 27:24 61:19 65:3

talking 39:23 48:15 62:1 68:22 115:16

Tampa 88:22

Target 44:2,20 65:4

targeting 12:2

task 115:25

tasked 90:6

tax 2:1 3:12 5:17, 19 6:16,18,24 11:21 12:9,19 17:20,22,23,25 18:14,18,23 19:20 21:3,11 24:15 25:2 39:22 48:14, 20,24 49:7,10 51:12,15,17 58:23,25 70:8 72:2,4,5,9,18,19, 25 73:3 76:7 101:21 102:3,12, 13,19 103:1,4,20 107:4,8,12,23 109:16 110:5 111:7 116:2,4,16, 20,24,25 117:4, 12,20

Taxation 111:2

taxes 47:23 59:2 72:1 116:19

taxpayer 6:7 17:24 18:4,5 19:3 102:20

taxpayer's 104:3

taxpayers 3:8 17:20

team 61:24 77:16 79:4 108:15 120:10

tech 75:22 76:1 80:16

technical 13:8 47:16 80:18

technically 115:24

Technology 44:24

telephone 31:20

telephonic 35:22 83:8

telling 80:2

term 36:17 53:3,8

terms 33:1,13,14, 16 35:6,15 36:16 62:12 66:14,16,17 83:20 106:4,24

test 17:24 18:7

testament 34:24

testified 20:19 24:4 43:7 57:17 58:22 60:14 62:23 64:4 69:17 71:4 75:16 77:25 82:14 83:1 87:9,23 92:23 96:10 98:14 100:23 104:7,9 109:4,6 111:25 112:11 116:14

testify 11:14,17 17:3 testifying 13:19

testimony 11:1, 13 12:13 20:14 21:14 25:24 39:16,24 53:4,7 56:5 75:2 80:22 82:6 94:17 96:10 100:5 103:25 104:2,6,7,12,17 107:13 112:6 114:8,11 115:14 120:9

Theft 61:3

theology 60:25

thereabouts 48:12

- thing 10:12 44:12, 14 47:19 54:25 55:9 63:17 70:24 84:17
- things 29:2 34:10 35:4 37:13 39:4 45:16,17 50:18,21 63:21 65:1 80:3 84:6,19 89:10 99:6

third-party 15:13

thought 13:20 27:4,25 44:9 66:10 78:4

thousand 47:14 70:16

three-prong 17:24

thrust 34:20

tight 80:4 88:6 89:10

time 5:8 7:2 8:25 15:15 18:19,20 19:1,13,17 20:11 23:3 25:9 26:5,6,9 29:14,25 31:19 35:1,23 38:15 39:14 41:3,4,21 42:5 45:3 47:17, 18 48:12 49:17

Index: timeframe..Vassigh

51:19 52:8 53:15 54:13,22,24 55:2, 22 56:7,12 58:4 61:2 68:4 73:20 76:23,24,25 77:3, 4 78:11 81:1,4 84:17 87:1 89:2 90:22 94:8,21 100:8 101:12 106:10 111:10 113:23 116:25 117:7,10 119:17

timeframe 42:17

timely 18:16

times 31:15 89:10 108:18

timing 56:4

tiny 56:24

title 14:15 16:21 41:23 45:10 47:8 80:8.9

titles 9:25

today 6:5,9 81:16 98:11 100:23 104:5,12,17,21 105:16 109:4,24 112:1,6,11 117:13 120:8,10

told 28:5,8 59:1 64:17 82:2 86:8 88:22 97:10

tools 42:3 61:15

top 66:8 70:22

tossed 66:12

touted 108:24

touts 108:6

tower 67:3

track 38:11 54:23

train 13:20

tranche 30:13,14

tranches 30:12,

16

transaction 103:9

transactions 96:19 106:1.18

transcribe 41:17

transcript 2:15 71:22 102:15

transcription 56:21

transfer 12:11 15:19 72:17 94:13

transferred 96:12

transfers 38:23 94:14 96:17

translated 43:15

traveled 26:25 45:4

treat 49:7

treated 114:15

trend 41:12

trickle 76:12

true 45:3 116:22

tune 43:14

turn 19:9 22:2,3, 15 37:16 45:20 55:18 67:18 71:11 92:15 97:9

turnaround 25:17

turned 33:5

turning 13:11

two-minute

81:21 92:11

two-year 106:2, 19

type 103:12

typically 84:3 103:13

18:23

**U.S.** 45:1,6 51:12 107:12

U

**Uh-huh** 58:24

ultimately 12:3,6 14:5,15 49:9 51:8 83:13

umbrella 38:3

unable 12:4 16:22 46:18 73:18

uncorroborated 104:2,6 114:8

underneath 65:25

understand 8:7 20:3 50:16 111:17 112:19 113:11,16 118:22

understandable 79:1

understanding 8:1 22:24 53:7.24 55:18 94:11

understands 73:24

understatement 110:5

understood 28:14 53:17 113:15

unfortunate 105:5

unintelligible 25:5

units 76:13

unmute 21:18 23:4 60:17 78:3 86:12,15 97:17,21

**unpaid** 80:15

unreasonable

unrelated 9:21 115:7 unsecured 14:19 30:21 31:3 32:25 62:24 64:11 update 49:21 upheld 104:1

uphold 116:20

**upward** 41:12

utterly 17:13

# V

valid 12:21

Valley 14:19,22 15:13 30:21 31:4, 11,23,24 33:13 35:7,16 38:5 54:6, 12 55:9 61:7 62:24 63:5,10,24 64:10,12,15 66:2 69:8 115:1,3

Vassigh 3:3 5:5, 10.21 6:2.8.11 7:7,23 8:10 9:4,12 10:8,18 13:5,10, 16,25 16:8 19:7, 14,18 20:12,23 21:8,15,21,25 22:5,8,18,23 23:8, 12,16,18 24:10 25:22 39:11,12,25 41:14 51:23 52:9, 16,22 53:19 55:16 56:1,8,19 57:5 59:6,9,15,23 60:2, 7,16 75:1,7,11 76:20 77:4,10,14 78:2 80:21 81:2,7, 11,15,25 82:10,18 85:4,12,17,22 86:6,10,23 87:3, 13 90:16,23 91:3, 8,15,19,25 92:18 93:1 94:16,22 96:2,8,23 97:2,8, 15,25 98:4,8,18 100:2,9,13,17

101:3,7,8,13,19 111:11 113:20 114:1 117:9,17 119:4,11,17,21,25 **VC** 61:18,20 62:1, 3 vehicle 29:17 vendor 115:12 vendors 76:17 112:9 venture 16:1 28:25 29:4,22 ventures 27:1,3 29:13 veracity 9:2 verify 53:2 version 45:13 versus 104:3 vertical 61:9 video 12:1 13:11 14:15 22:3,15 28:13,14 30:23 42:7,8 45:12 55:18 79:19 84:9 92:15 97:9 104:8 108:7 109:13 violent 61:3 Virtual 5:1 volunteered 47:15 75:25 **VP** 31:23 W waited 117:4

waiting 6:10 24:13 77:16 91:16 92:3 97:5,11 100:19

Walmart 44:15,20

wanted 38:14 53:2 57:21 59:19 73:25 74:4,13 112:19 118:24

wanting 79:18

warehouse 65:25 66:3 76:13 99:1,4, 14

water 99:17,19,25

ways 69:24

wear 98:10

week 7:24 38:20 119:16

weekend 70:3

weeks 8:23 119:20,22 120:1

West 25:11 26:20

wheels 43:13

wholly 107:9

whomever 32:24

wife 21:14 48:13

window 67:10

winter 103:17

wire 12:15 38:23 40:23

wired 94:4

wires 94:6

wiring 38:6 40:8

withdraw 109:23

withdrawal 110:7

witnesses 4:12 11:6,16 14:8 17:3 39:18 40:1 55:22 56:11,23 59:11 76:25 100:22,25 101:5,10 103:25 114:12 115:17 117:13

Wonderful 22:24 23:8

wondering 54:4, 10 112:15

word 84:16

work 21:24 42:10 44:1 60:24 69:23 70:23 89:23 90:7 120:10

worked 29:24

working 22:11 38:19 42:1 60:25 75:6 88:22 89:2 95:19 120:11

works 75:6

world 47:12

worth 16:7,14 72:8

worthless 6:17 16:16,19 17:13,17 43:6 46:22 102:25 103:4 107:6,8,9, 15,17,22 108:1 109:15 111:19 112:19 113:11,14 114:20 116:18 117:22 118:8,10, 16,18

worthlessness 115:13 118:9

wound 67:8

wrapping 65:10

write 48:7 70:9 73:14 93:18

written 11:2 16:6, 14 17:17 19:24 37:1 120:5

wrong 9:7,23

wrote 33:14 66:11

#### Υ

year 6:16,18 16:16 17:8 21:11,12 26:21 43:5,17,23 45:9 48:5,12,14, 15 49:10 57:21 58:25 59:2 64:15 67:12 69:3 71:8 80:7,16 90:9 102:3 103:1,4,20 105:17,18 107:4, 8,23 109:16 111:7

year's 108:22

years 25:12,14 36:12 38:16 49:16 57:16 65:23 66:3, 9,17 74:4 75:20 76:3,7 79:1 80:11 90:11 108:3,8 109:11 117:1,3,4

**York** 67:3

**youth** 43:20 66:25 67:4,5

# Ζ

**Zoom** 2:16 22:2 87:1 97:5,21

Index: VC..Zoom