BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Appeal of:)		
FOCACCIA CAFÉ, INC.,)	OTA NO.	230713796
Appellant.)		

CERTIFIED COPY

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Tuesday, September 17, 2024

Reported by:

CHRISTINA L. RODRIGUEZ Hearing Reporter

Job No.: 50607 OTA(A)

1	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF TAX APPEALS
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	In the Matter of the Appeal of:
6	FOCACCIA CAFÉ, INC.,) OTA NO. 230713796
7) Appellant.)
8)
9	
LO	
11	
L2	
13	
L4	
L5	
L6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS,
L7	commencing at 1:10 p.m. and concluding at
18	1:43 p.m. on Tuesday, September 17, 2024,
L9	reported by Christina L. Rodriguez,
20	Hearing Reporter.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Administrative Law Judge:	SHERIENE RIDENOUR TERESA STANLEY
4		JOHN JOHNSON
5	For the Appellant:	DAVID DAVARI
6		ALLIE DAVARI
7	For the Respondent:	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
8		TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION
9		NALAN SAMARAWICKREMA CHAD BACCHUS
10		RANDY SUAZO
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	I N D	E X
2		
3		
4	EXHIB	I T S
5		
6 7	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-9 wer Lambert's minutes and orders)	e received in Judge
8	(Department's Exhibits A-H we Lambert's minutes and orders)	
9		
10	PRESENTA	TION
11		PAGE
12	By Mr. Davari	11
13	By Ms. Davari	13
14	By Mr. Samarawickrema	17
15		
16	CLOSING ST	ATEMENT
17		PAGE
18	By Mr. Davari	22
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Remote Proceeding; Tuesday, September 30, 2024 1:10 p.m.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: We are opening the record in the Office Appeals oral hearing for the appeal of Focaccia Café, Inc., OTA Case No. 230713796. Today's date is Tuesday, September 17th, 2024, and the time is 1:10 p.m.

This hearing is being conducted electronically with the agreement of the parties. Due to unforeseen circumstances, Judge Aldrich was unable to be here today, and I have been asked to step in as lead ALJ. Previously, both parties indicated that they do not have an objection to the new panel.

Today's hearing is being heard by a panel of administrative law judges. My name is Sheriene Ridenour, and I am the Lead Judge. Judges Teresa Stanley and John Johnson are other members of the panel. All three judges will meet after the hearing and produce a written decision as equal participants.

Although the lead judge conducts the hearing, any judge on this panel may ask questions or otherwise participate to ensure that we have all the information needed to decide this appeal.

1	For the record, will the parties please state
2	their names and who they represent starting with the
3	Representatives for CDTFA.
4	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: This is Nalan
5	Samarawickrema here representating (sic) for CDTFA.
6	Thank you.
7	MR. SUAZO: Randy Suazo. Hearing
8	Representative, CDTFA.
9	MR. BACCHUS: Chad Bacchus, Attorney with the
10	department's legal division.
11	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
12	And for Appellant.
13	MR. DAVARI: Your Honor, David Davari.
14	MS. DAVARI: And I'm Allie Davari, his wife.
15	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you very much.
16	As stated in the Minutes and Orders for this
17	matter, dated August 8, 2024, there is one issue in this
18	appeal: Whether adjustments are warranted to the
19	taxable measure for unreported taxable sales.
20	In addition, both parties confirm that there
21	is no dispute as to the calculation of the audited
22	taxable measure and that the service fees and San
23	Francisco health mandate fees are, in fact, subject to
24	tax. Although, for the exhibits, Appellant has

submitted Exhibits 1-9 be admitted into evidence.

25

1	CDTFA, do you have any objection to
2	Appellant's 1-9.
3	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: This is Nalan
4	Samarawickrema. We don't have any objection, Judge.
5	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you. Appellant's 1-9
6	are hereby admitted into evidence.
7	(Appellant's Exhibits 1-9 were admitted
8	into evidence.)
9	JUDGE RIDENOUR: CDTFA, you submitted Exhibits
10	1-I, and subsequently provided a spreadsheet of the
11	count notes for the cafe. Is CDTFA submitting the count
12	notes as an additional exhibit as well?
13	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: No, Judge.
14	JUDGE RIDENOUR: No. Okay. I have reviewed
15	the exhibits, and it appears that Exhibit E is CDTFA's
16	appeal's bureau decision and with attached exhibits; and
17	Exhibit I is a response from CDTFA that is kin to a
18	brief.
19	CDTFA, can you confirm if that is correct?
20	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: This is Nalan
21	Samarawickrema. That is correct, Judge.
22	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Since thank you. Since
23	the appeal's decision bureau decision as well as a
24	brief is not evidence but rather can is argument,
25	neither will be admitted into evidence. Instead,

1	Exhibit E will consist solely of the exhibits attached
2	to the decision, and Exhibit I will not be admitted into
3	evidence.
4	As such, Appellant do you have any objection
5	to CDTFA's 1-H?
6	Appellant.
7	MR. DAVARI: Yes. Sorry about that
8	MS. DAVARI: Sorry about that.
9	MR. DAVARI: your Honor. We have no
10	objection.
11	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay.
12	MR. DAVARI: Yeah.
13	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay. So CDT pardon me?
14	MR. DAVARI: No, Judge. Just we have no
15	objection. That's fine.
16	JUDGE RIDENOUR: CDTFA's Exhibit's A-H are
17	hereby admitted into evidence.
18	(Department's Exhibits A-H were admitted
19	into evidence.)
20	JUDGE RIDENOUR: And going back to the party's
21	confirmation that there is no dispute to those two
22	items; does anyone have objection to that those
23	statements? CDTFA?
24	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: This is Nalan
25	Samarawickrema. No, Judge.

1	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay. Appellants?
2	MR. DAVARI: No objection.
3	MS. DAVARI: No.
4	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you. Witnesses: CDTFA
5	previously indicated it will not call any witnesses.
6	Appellant indicated that Ms
7	MS. DAVARI: You can say Al
8	JUDGE RIDENOUR: do you go by Ms. Davari?
9	MS. DAVARI: You can go by Ms. Allie
10	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Or Allie? Well, I just
11	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Allie Davari. Yeah, Allie
12	Davari.
13	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Davari. Okay.
14	Appellant's President will be testifying
15	today.
16	CDTFA, do you have any objections to
17	Appellant's calling Ms. Davari?
18	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: This is Nalan
19	Samarawickrema. No objection, Judge.
20	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Perfect. Ms. Davari will be
21	sworn in before Appellant's presentation, and there are
22	no other witnesses today.
23	As a reminder, the parties, during the
24	prehearing conference, it was decided that Appellant
25	will have 30 minutes to their it's presentation;

1	followed by CDTFA who will also have 30 minutes. Then
2	Appellant will have 5-10 minutes to provide closing
3	remarks.
4	These parties continue encourage to monitor
5	their own time. Does either party have any questions
6	before we move on to presentation? Appellant.
7	MS. DAVARI: No. No.
8	JUDGE RIDENOUR: All right. Thank you.
9	CDTFA?
10	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: No questions, Judge.
11	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Perfect. Before we proceed,
12	Ms. Davari, can I I need to place you under oath so
13	that we can consider your statements as testimony and
14	you will remain under oath until the close of this
15	hearing.
16	Mr. Davari, are you also wanting to be sworn
17	in as a witness?
18	MR. DAVARI: No.
19	MS. DAVARI: No. I'm the only witness.
20	JUDGE RIDENOUR: I just wanted to make sure
21	that we got that. Good.
22	So, Ms. Davari, will you please raise your
23	right-hand. Thank you.
24	Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the
25	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

1	Please say yes or no.
2	MS. DAVARI: Yes, I do.
3	
4	A. DAVARI
5	produced as a witness, and having been first duly sworn
6	by The Administrative Law Judge, was examined and
7	testified as follows:
8	
9	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you. The time is 1:15,
10	and when you are ready, Mr. Davari, please begin your
11	presentation.
12	
13	PRESENTATION
14	MR. DAVARI: Thank you, your Honor.
15	In order for me to present our position, I
16	would just like to provide some facts about our
17	relationship with the agency since 2015. We were
18	provided with the agency's communication logs which go
19	back to 2015.
20	These logs, in fact, show our closed
21	partnership and relationship with the agency as follows:
22	In 2015, we had 45 direct contacts, as you see. In
23	2016, we had 42 times; 2017, we had 34 times; in 2018,
24	we had 35 times; in 2019, 27 times; and in 2020, 83

times.

25

Mind you -- I have to add the purpose of this is because our store was only a block away from the agency's office where it was easier for us to communicate by going there rather than calling or E-mails and such.

2.4

In 2015, the agents conducted the scope audit. That's what they called it, scope audit, during which the agency asked us for 18 months of documents which are personally delivered to them. It was during that audit that the agency asked us to combine our two different recent numbers to one.

That same year, our company put into place a propriety POS system to make sure we are following the tax code and that we are correctly capturing all taxable items. The agency log shows that even the implantation of the POS system, we had communications with the agency during those 45 times that we have been there for different reasons and different matters.

Fast forward to 2019, the agency levied the company it counts for taxes past due which successfully apposed it, and the agency refunded over \$90,000 dollars in overpaid taxes at the end of March 2020 -- during the Covid time.

After 437 times combined meetings between 2015 to 2020, that we communicated with agency, a scope audit

having been conducted by agency and a new POS system that we put in place to compliance with what we learned from the agency -- at no time did the agency ever put us on a notice that we had failed to capture the sales tax on the San Francisco mandate and service.

2.4

This is despite the fact that we were an open book to the agency, and we shared to the agency exactly how we went about collecting taxes.

In fact, if you look at our files, you would acknowledge that we have not even a single penny that we have collected our sales tax, and you will recall we were refunded approximately \$90,000 dollars in 2020 as overpaid taxes. We take taxes seriously, your Honor.

So we strongly believe that we are dealing with the failure by the agency to correct the informant advises. Have we been correctly informed and advised, we would have captured the sales tax at issue, and we would have paid it to the agency. But, unfortunately, that did not happen.

My wife likes to add something to my statement.

MS. DAVARI: Your Honor, I can -- I wanted to, kind of, capturelate (sic) a conclusion, but I could do that in the conclusion portion of our meeting. But, essentially, David captured our appeal -- if that's

okay, or I can conclude now, if you like.

2.4

JUDGE RIDENOUR: It's up to you how you prefer
-- like to proceed. If you would like to provide
witness testimony now as opposed to --

MS. DAVARI: Okay.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: -- later. However you prefer.

MS. DAVARI: Sure. Sure. So, really, in conclusion, your Honor, in order to maintain and be up-to-speed on tax codes and tax laws, back in 2015, I encouraged David to invest in a CFO, a controller, and third-party accountant's -- why? Because we did not want to show up today on the level of ignorance and we didn't know.

We wanted to continuously, as we have done in the past with our relationship with the agency, to be up to speed. To make sure that we are current. To make sure that we oblige all tax codes, you know, that need to be. Which is why we work really closely in the excitement of producing our new POS system with the agency.

But, we are here today to share with all of you that, yes, we are accountable, and there is no question -- as David mentioned earlier. However, our deepest concern was the lack of judiciary responsibility

on the agency's behalf, and I'll share with you why I say that.

2.4

As small business owners -- and forget Covid, forget all those struggles -- but as small business owners, we look to the agency as a support system to not only help us continue to operate and grow, but to keep us compliant; to educate us; to provide timely responses -- and that portion was not there.

That portion was not there which is why David and I are here trying to continue this appeal. And if -- if the judiciary responsibility on behalf of the agency occurred, then we would be fulfilling all tax obligations as we have done in the past.

So, clearly, we're not tax experts, and we look to the agency for that type of support, for that type of education; and, more importantly, for a more proper turnaround time. I mean, there's been days and what not. So timely responses and guidance would have been completely beneficial to us.

But this was not the case. Which is, again, why we're here today, and we feel that our business was tremendously compromised. And, for that reason, we hope that all of you can consider our point-of-view and create a solution that David and I can meet.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you very much. So,

1	Ms. Davari, is there anything else you would like to
2	say?
3	MS. DAVARI: No, thank you.
4	MR. DAVARI: Thank you.
5	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you.
6	CDTFA, do you have any questions for Ms.
7	Davari as a witness?
8	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: This is Nalan
9	Samarawickrema. No. We don't have any question for the
10	witness, Judge.
11	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you.
12	Judge Stanley, do you have any questions?
13	JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley
14	speaking. I do, just, have one, and it's with respect
15	to the what has been termed "the mandate fee". I
16	notice that one of the locations was outside of the city
17	of San Francisco; did you still charge the mandate fee
18	for those employees that worked at that location?
19	MR. DAVARI: Your Honor, I'd have to go back
20	and check on that. You know, we have done what was
21	proper to do, but I'd have to go and get back to you on
22	that to be honest with you.
23	MS. DAVARI: Yes. South San Francisco which
24	our two other locations are located have slightly
25	different mandates, so we would have to go back just to

1	give you an accurate answer with our controller.
2	JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. This is Judge Stanley
3	speaking. It sounds like there was some sort of mandate
4	in South San Francisco as well; is that correct?
5	MR. DAVARI: Yes.
6	MS. DAVARI: Yes.
7	JUDGE STANLEY: Okay. That's fine, then. You
8	don't have to go back and look anything up. Thank you.
9	MS. DAVARI: Sure.
10	JUDGE STANLEY: I don't have any further
11	questions.
12	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Judge Johnson, do you have
13	any questions?
14	JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. No
15	questions. Thank you.
16	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you.
17	The time is currently 1:24. When you're
18	ready, CDTFA, please begin your presentation.
19	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Thank you, Judge.
20	
21	PRESENTATION
22	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Appellant of California
23	corporation operates by restaurants in San Francisco and
24	South San Francisco, California, serving breakfast and
25	lunch. Appellant also provides catering services which

include food, event planners, chairs, service stuff, rentals, tents, entertainment, gift and buy equipment.

2.4

The Department audited Appellant's business for a period October 1st, 2016, through September 30th, 2019. According to the minutes and orders dated August 28th, 2024, Appellant does not dispute the characterization of the audited taxable measure or that the service fees and San Francisco held mandate fees are subject to tax.

Why Appellant does not dispute the audit methodology or the taxability of the fees. Appellant argues it was not aware that the additional catering service fees and San Francisco mandate fees were taxable and believe the Department did not provide complete and correct guidance on the tax application and how to properly set up it's POS system to meet the sales tax filing requirements.

Regarding Appellant's argument that it was unaware the fees were taxable, the Department issued a special notice that explained sales tax application to restaurant's surcharges in February 2017; advising restaurant retailers that a surcharge added to any taxable sales is also part of gross receipts. That's taxable.

And this notice is shown on Exhibit A, Page

2722. This type of notice would have been issued to all retailers to which it apply including Appellant.

Nevertheless, even if Appellant never received the notice, the Department notes the ignorance on the law is not a valid defense.

2.4

And Appellant's lack of understanding or awareness of his responsibilities in a sales tax does not serve a basis upon which an adjustment is warranted. Appellant claims that when it launched its new POS system in 2016, it met with the Department to go over the sit down of its POS system to ensure the POS system was programmed correctly.

Additionally, Appellant asserts it relied on the Department's Statewide Complaints and Outreach Program review of his books and records in April 2015 in the San Francisco office because upon the conclusion of that review, there was no recommendation to start an audit, nor did they do a final followup to discuss any errors found leading Appellant to believe it's records and reporting were correct.

First, regarding Appellant assertion about the Statewide Compliance and Outreach Program, the Department records show that starting in February 2015, the Department reached out to Appellant regarding a potential Statewide Compliance and Outreach Program

review.

2.4

The Department performed a considerable review of Appellant's records and determined that it would not perform an audit at that time, and this information is shown on Exhibit B, Pages 1852 and 1853.

Additionally, this relief available to

Appellant based on its original lines on the Statewide

Compliance and Outreach Program review or the department

assistance in Appellant's POS system set up. It is

important to note that the Department does not offer POS

system set up services.

The Department will answer general questions about the taxability of certain transactions. However, it would be abnormal for the Department to go through a taxpayer's POS system and do a step-by-step set up of that system.

As to the claim that Appellant rely on the Department's advice, Revenue and Taxation Code Section 6596 provides that if a person's failure to make a timely return or payment was due to the person's reliance or written advice from the Department, the person may be relieved of the taxes imposed and any penalties or interest added in the actual for the purpose of Section 6596, written advice includes advice that was incorrect at the time it was issued.

1	As it's clear from the language of the
2	statute, any advice that Appellant could have relied or
3	must have been in writing and there's no evidence
4	that Appellant received any written advice. More why
5	there was a course of review of Appellant's records in
6	2015. There was no audit upon which Appellant could
7	have relied accordingly.
8	Appellant has not shown that it is entitled to
9	relief pursuant to Section 6596. Based on the
10	foregoing, the Department requests to they be denied.
11	This concludes our presentation. We are
12	available to answer any questions the panel may have.
13	Thank you.
14	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you very much.
15	Judge Stanley, do you have any questions?
16	JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley
17	speaking. I do not. Thank you.
18	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Judge Johnson, do you have
19	questions?
20	JUDGE JOHNSON: This is Judge Johnson. No
21	questions. Thank you.
22	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you. I just have a
23	couple questions to clarify for the record. Can you
24	what is a for the record, can you say what S-C-O-P

audit is?

25

1	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: What am I repeating,
2	Judge?
3	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Yes. Can you clarify
4	record with the S-C-O-P stands for?
5	MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: Oh, that is a Statewide
6	Compliance let me get an example, your Honor
7	Statewide Compliance and Outreach Program.
8	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you very much. That
9	was my only question for the record. Thank you very
10	much.
11	Mr. Davari, you may respond to CDTFA's
12	argument, further address anything else that you may
13	want. Would you like to make any closing remarks?
14	MR. DAVARI: I do, your Honor, and thank you
15	for the opportunity.
16	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay. You have about 10
17	minutes. Use them as you will.
18	MR. DAVARI: I'll make it short as I can.
19	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Okay.
20	
21	CLOSING STATEMENT
22	MR. DAVARI: The agency referred to the
23	section in the law that the ignorance of the law is not
24	an excuse and it's not dismissing any case, which I
25	completely agree.

But I think when they put that in place is because the burden is on the -- on us to make sure that if we don't know the law, we hire the right people and -- but -- you know, include the cost to make sure that we noted on.

I think it's very clear the amount of efforts that we have put in. It's been the money spent on not only on CFO and controller, and a CPA outside service that has been doing our taxes and our books for well over 20 years.

It's fair enough amount of efforts to know the law plus 437 contacts. The reason that nothing is inviting is because we were there, and we had the sheets of call of many items going over it with the representative -- with the -- this is taxable; this is not; this, this, you know, all of it. All the way down.

And, for the most part, we have done a great job, I think. This is only one item and, you know, I've been holding multiple resale numbers since 1984, multiple different small businesses, and multiple different audits that I never, never had to pay the agency for any taxes not paid.

So I take this very, strongly serious, and I have taken it all my life because I know you have consequences like this, that all of a sudden you get hit

with, you know, a big number.

2.4

So I think that the part upon ignorance of the law should not apply to us in any way because we made every efforts reasonable, both economically and efforts personally to make sure that we are not in ignorance of the law. That's what I wanted to talk and then my wife

MS. DAVARI: And, your Honor, also, if -- I thought I heard a mention there was a scope audit and I'm so glad that you asked the question because to this day, David and I did not understood what a scope audit was, but it was in the notes. And I think that it was mentioned that there was not a scope audit -- I just wanted to clarify that. That there was a scope audit per the notes.

MR. DAVARI: And it was verified --

MS. DAVARI: And it was verified by an actual employee of the agency. These are all in E-mail correspondence, so I'm a little bit weary as to if that's what I actually heard or not.

And, again, the key is is that this agency is a governmental agency provided for the citizens. And when I mentioned judiciary responsibility, I really spent a lot of time thinking about that word and whether it was response -- it was the right word to use.

And I do believe that even though it is not the agency's responsibility to work on POS systems, we were just so excited and wanting to comply with all the codes and the regulations that we made a tremendous effort on our part. And have the communications been available, I'm not even going to say consistent, I assure you that David and I wouldn't be here today.

2.2

2.4

So that part of it cannot be in deniability. Again, we did not come here to say this is not our fault, but we certainly don't want to say that we're using ignorance as an excuse. We are accountable, but we just wish that we were properly informed and set up so that we could pay those taxes in a timely basis.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you.

I would like to ask CDTFA to please clarify the S-C-O-P; whether it was an audit, a cursory review. Please.

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: This is Nalan Samarawickrema. According to Exhibit B, Page 1852, and Page 1853, specifically explain the scope of the review by this scope people -- investigators. It is not an audit.

It's just a, you know -- the taxpayer scope investigator requested documents and Appellant provided -- according to the page 1853, Exhibit B, under the

document, it specifically says 2013 Federal Income Tax Return, bank statements, and cash registers tapes for July, August, September. And, also, it specifically says the banks -- the register tapes were only monthly totaled.

2.4

And we only -- the depart -- the scope investigator considered a review -- 10 registered tape for each month for five locations. So it's not an audit, it's just a cursory review, and it doesn't give any opinion under Revenue and Taxation Code 6596.

MR. BACCHUS: This is Chad Bacchus. And just to clarify, I'm not sure exactly what notes Appellant is referring to. I know there was a 2019 scope letter that was sent to Appellant which then resulted in this current audit.

But like Mr. Samarawickrema said, the prior -the 2015 review was just a basic review of the records
to determine whether an actual audit needed to be
performed, and the result of that review was that no
audit would be performed.

JUDGE RIDENOUR: Thank you very much.

MR. SAMARAWICKREMA: And, also, Judge, the head -- San Francisco head surcharge was introduced in 2017. This -- this scope review's prior to that.

THE COURT: Thank you for clarifying.

1	Judge Stanley, do you have any questions
2	overall?
3	JUDGE STANLEY: This is Judge Stanley
4	speaking. No, I do not.
5	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Judge Johnson?
6	JUDGE JOHNSON: Judge Johnson. No questions,
7	thank you.
8	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Real quick, Mr. and Mrs.
9	Davari, so I have looked at the scope report, and it
10	does not appear to have anything regarding the mandate
11	fees. It appears mostly about soda and taking eating
12	there or take out; is that a correct? Am I reading
13	this would you confirm that? Would you feel that
14	that's also correct?
15	MR. DAVARI: Your Honor, I haven't read it,
16	and I don't know
17	MS. DAVARI: We don't
18	MR. DAVARI: but for me, as a business
19	owner, I take audit as an audit. And I took all these
20	paperwork there myself which included just about
21	everything.
22	That's how they recognize that we have two
23	different recent number that we're filing on, and they
24	recommended that we should combine that with one recent
25	number and make all the stores be under one company and

1	one recent number. And that happened after dealing that
2	scope audit
3	MS. DAVARI: Just the scope audit.
4	MR. DAVARI: so for me, I honestly, I'm
5	under oath, so I'd have to say I don't know the
6	difference of this audit, that audit. I appreciate you
7	just mentioned that, but I didn't know. So
8	MS. DAVARI: Yeah.
9	MR. DAVARI: that's all I can say.
LO	JUDGE RIDENOUR: Right. Thank you very much.
11	MR. DAVARI: My pleasure.
L2	JUDGE RIDENOUR: I want to thank everybody for
13	participating in today's hearing, and if there's nothing
L4	further, I'm now concluding the hearing. The record is
15	now closed.
L6	(The proceeding concluded at 1:43 p.m.)
L7	
18	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	HEARING REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Christina L. Rodriguez, Hearing Reporter in
4	and for the State of California, do hereby certify:
5	That the foregoing transcript of proceedings
6	was taken before me at the time and place set forth,
7	that the testimony and proceedings were reported
8	stenographically by me and later transcribed by
9	computer-aided transcription under my direction and
10	supervision, that the foregoing is a true record of the
11	testimony and proceedings taken at that time.
12	I further certify that I am in no way
13	interested in the outcome of said action.
14	I have hereunto subscribed my name this
15	September 30, 2024.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

Hearing Reporter

CHRISTINA L. RODRIGUEZ

25

22

23

24

Index: \$90,000..audit

	2024 5:1,8 6:17 18:6	accurate 17:1	Allie 6:14 9:9,10,
<u> </u>	230713796 5:7	acknowledge 13:10	amount 23:6,11
\$90,000 12:21 13:12	27 11:24	actual 20:23	appeal 5:6,25
	2722 19:1	24:17 26:18	6:18 13:25 15:10
1	28th 18:6	add 12:1 13:20	appeal's 7:16,23
1-9 6:25 7:2,5,7		added 18:22 20:23	Appeals 5:6
1-H 8:5	3	addition 6:20	appears 7:15 27:11
1-I 7:10	30 5:1 9:25 10:1	5.5.5.5.5	
	30th 18:4	additional 7:12 18:12	Appellant 6:12,24 8:4,6 9:6,24 10:2,
10 22:16 26:7	34 11:23	Additionally	6 17:22,25 18:6,
17th 5:8		19:13 20:6	10,11 19:2,3,9,13, 19,21,24 20:7,17
18 12:8	35 11:24	address 22:12	21:2,4,6,8 25:24
1852 20:5 25:19	4	adjustment 19:8	26:12,14
1853 20:5 25:20,		adjustments	appellant's 7:2,5, 7 9:14,17,21 18:3,
1984 23:19	42 11:23	6:18	18 19:6 20:3,9
	437 12:24 23:12	administrative	21:5
1:10 5:2,9	45 11:22 12:17	5:17 11:6	Appellants 9:1
1:15 11:9		admitted 6:25 7:6,7,25 8:2,17,18	application
1:24 17:17	5	advice 20:18,21,	18:15,20
1:43 28:16	5-10 10:2	24 21:2,4	apply 19:2 24:3
1st 18:4		advised 13:16	apposed 12:21
2	6	advises 13:16	approximately 13:12
	6596 20:19,24	advising 18:21	April 19:15
20 23:10	21:9 26:10	affirm 10:24	argues 18:12
2013 26:1	8	agency 11:17,21	argument 7:24
2015 11:17,19,22 12:6,24 14:10		12:8,10,15,16,19, 21,25 13:1,3,7,15,	18:18 22:12
19:15,23 21:6	8 6:17	18 14:16,21 15:5,	assertion 19:21
26:17	83 11:24	12,15 22:22 23:22 24:18,21,22	asserts 19:13
2016 11:23 18:4		agency's 11:18	assistance 20:9
19:10 2017 11:22 19:21	A	12:3 15:1 25:2	assure 25:7
2017 11:23 18:21 26:24	A-H 8:16,18	agents 12:6	attached 7:16 8:1
2018 11:23	abnormal 20:14	agree 22:25	Attorney 6:9
2019 11:24 12:19	accountable	agreement 5:11	audit 12:6,7,9,25
18:5 26:13	14:23 25:11	Aldrich 5:12	18:10 19:18 20:4 21:6,25 24:9,11,
2020 11:24 12:22, 25 13:12	accountant's 14:12	ALJ 5:13	13,14 25:16,22 26:9,15,18,20

Index: audited..correct

compliant 15:7 27:19 28:2,3,6 **city** 16:16 C audited 6:21 18:3, claim 20:17 comply 25:3 **claims** 19:9 compromised cafe 7:11 **audits** 23:21 15:22 clarify 21:23 22:3 Café 5:7 August 6:17 18:5 24:14 25:15 26:12 concern 14:25 calculation 6:21 26:3 conclude 14:1 clarifying 26:25 California 17:22, aware 18:12 concluded 28:16 clear 21:1 23:6 24 awareness 19:7 concludes 21:11 **close** 10:14 call 9:5 23:14 **closed** 11:20 concluding called 12:7 В 28:15 28:14 calling 9:17 12:4 closely 14:19 conclusion Bacchus 6:9 capture 13:4 13:23,24 14:9 26:11 closing 10:2 19:16 captured 13:17, 22:13,21 back 8:20 11:19 25 conducted 5:10 14:10 16:19,21,25 code 12:14 20:18 12:6 13:1 17:8 capturelate 26:10 13:23 conducts 5:22 **bank** 26:2 **codes** 14:10,18 capturing 12:14 25:4 conference 9:24 **banks** 26:4 case 5:7 15:20 collected 13:11 **confirm** 6:20 7:19 based 20:7 21:9 22:24 27:13 collecting 13:8 **basic** 26:17 cash 26:2 confirmation combine 12:10 **basis** 19:8 25:13 8:21 catering 17:25 27:24 begin 11:10 17:18 18:12 consequences combined 12:24 23:25 behalf 15:1,11 **CDT** 8:13 communicate considerable beneficial 15:19 **CDTFA** 6:3,5,8 12:4 20:2 7:1,9,11,17,19 big 24:1 communicated 8:23 9:4,16 10:1,9 considered 26:7 12:25 bit 24:19 16:6 17:18 25:15 consist 8:1 communication **CDTFA's** 7:15 **block** 12:2 consistent 25:6 11:18 8:5,16 22:11 **book** 13:7 communications contacts 11:22 CFO 14:11 23:8 **books** 19:15 23:9 12:16 25:5 23:12 Chad 6:9 26:11 breakfast 17:24 **company** 12:12, continue 10:4 chairs 18:1 20 27:25 15:6,10 burden 23:2 characterization **Complaints** continuously bureau 7:16.23 18:7 19:14 14:15 **business** 15:3,4, **charge** 16:17 complete 18:14 controller 14:11 21 18:3 27:18 17:1 23:8 **check** 16:20 completely 15:19 businesses 22:25 corporation 23:20 circumstances 17:23 5:12 compliance 13:2 buy 18:2 19:22,25 20:8 **correct** 7:19,21 citizens 24:22 22:6,7 13:15 17:4 18:15 19:20 27:12,14

correctly 12:14 decision 5:21 **eating** 27:11 experts 15:14 13:16 19:12 7:16,23 8:2 economically explain 25:20 correspondence deepest 14:25 24:4 explained 18:20 24:19 defense 19:5 educate 15:7 cost 23:4 F delivered 12:9 education 15:16 **count** 7:11 deniability 25:8 effort 25:5 fact 6:23 11:20 counts 12:20 **denied** 21:10 efforts 23:6,11 13:6.9 **couple** 21:23 24:4 depart 26:6 facts 11:16 **COURT** 6:11 electronically department 18:3, failed 13:4 26:25 5:10 14,19 19:4,10,23, **failure** 13:15 Covid 12:23 15:3 24 20:2,8,10,12, employee 24:18 20:19 14,21 21:10 **CPA** 23:8 employees 16:18 fair 23:11 department's create 15:24 encourage 10:4 6:10 8:18 19:14 Fast 12:19 20:18 current 14:17 encouraged fault 25:10 26:15 14:11 determine 26:18 February 18:21 cursory 25:16 end 12:22 determined 20:3 19:23 26:9 **ensure** 5:24 19:11 difference 28:6 Federal 26:1 entertainment D direct 11:22 fee 16:15,17 18:2 **discuss** 19:18 **feel** 15:21 27:13 entitled 21:8 **date** 5:8 dismissing 22:24 **fees** 6:22,23 18:8, **equal** 5:21 dated 6:17 18:5 11,13,19 27:11 dispute 6:21 8:21 equipment 18:2 **Davari** 6:13,14 18:6.10 files 13:9 8:7,8,9,12,14 9:2, **errors** 19:19 division 6:10 3,7,8,9,11,12,13, filing 18:17 27:23 essentially 13:25 17,20 10:7,12,16, document 26:1 final 19:18 18,19,22 11:2,4, **event** 18:1 documents 12:8 10,14 13:22 14:5, fine 8:15 17:7 25:24 evidence 6:25 8 16:1,3,4,7,19,23 Focaccia 5:7 7:6,8,24,25 8:3, 17:5,6,9 22:11,14, dollars 12:21 17,19 21:3 18,22 24:8,16,17 followup 19:18 13:12 27:9,15,17,18 examined 11:6 food 18:1 due 5:11 12:20 28:3,4,8,9,11 20:20 excited 25:3 foregoing 21:10 David 6:13 13:25 14:11,24 15:9,24 **duly** 11:5 excitement 14:20 forget 15:3 24:11 25:7 **excuse** 22:24 forget all 15:4 Ε 25:11 day 24:11 forward 12:19 exhibit 7:12,15,17 **days** 15:17 **E-MAIL** 24:18 found 19:19 8:1,2 18:25 20:5 dealing 13:14 25:19,25 **E-MAILS** 12:5 Francisco 6:23 28:1 13:5 16:17,23 Exhibit's 8:16 earlier 14:24 decide 5:25 17:4,23,24 18:8, **exhibits** 6:24,25 easier 12:3 13 19:16 26:23 decided 9:24 7:7,9,15,16 8:1,18

Index: fulfilling..meet

		J	learned 13:2
G	ignorance 14:13	job 23:18	legal 6:10
general 20:12	19:4 22:23 24:2,5 25:11	John 5:19	letter 26:13
gift 18:2	-	Johnson 5:19	level 14:13
give 17:1 26:9	implantation 12:15	17:12,14 21:18,20 27:5,6	levied 12:19
glad 24:10	important 20:10	judge 5:5,12,18,	life 23:24
Good 10:21	importantly 15:16	22,23 6:15 7:4,5, 9,13,14,21,22	likes 13:20
governmental 24:22	imposed 20:22	8:11,13,14,16,20,	lines 20:7
great 23:17	include 18:1 23:4	25 9:1,4,8,10,11, 13,19,20 10:8,10,	
_	included 27:20	11,20 11:6,9 14:2,	location 16:18
gross 18:23		6 15:25 16:5,10,	locations 16:16, 24 26:8
grow 15:6	includes 20:24	11,12,13 17:2,7, 10,12,14,16,19	
guidance 15:18	including 19:2	21:14,15,16,18,	log 12:15
18:15	Income 26:1	20,22 22:2,3,8,16,	logs 11:18,20
Н	incorrect 20:25	19 25:14 26:21,22 27:1,3,5,6,8	looked 27:9
	informant 13:15	28:10,12	lot 24:24
happen 13:19	information 5:24	judges 5:17,18,20	lunch 17:25
happened 28:1	20:4	judiciary 14:25	
head 26:23	informed 13:16	15:11 24:23	M
health 6:23	25:12	July 26:3	made 24:3 25:4
heard 5:16 24:9,	interest 20:23		maintain 14:9
20	introduced 26:23	K	
hearing 5:6,10,	invest 14:11	key 24:21	make 10:20 12:13 14:17 20:19
16,20,22 6:7 10:15 28:13,14	investigator 25:24 26:7	kin 7:17	22:13,18 23:2,4 24:5 27:25
held 18:8	investigators	kind 13:23	mandate 6:23
hire 23:3	25:21		13:5 16:15,17
hit 23:25	inviting 23:13	L	17:3 18:8,13 27:10
holding 23:19	issue 6:17 13:17	lack 14:25 19:6	mandates 16:25
honest 16:22	issued 18:19 19:1 20:25	language 21:1	March 12:22
honestly 28:4		launched 19:9	matter 6:17
Honor 6:13 8:9	item 23:18	law 5:17 11:6 19:4	matters 12:18
11:14 13:13,22 14:9 16:19 22:6,	items 8:22 12:15 23:14	22:23 23:3,12 24:3,6	measure 6:19,22
14 24:8 27:15		laws 14:10	18:7
hope 15:22		lead 5:13,18,22	meet 5:20 15:24 18:16

Index: meeting..provide

meeting 13:24 **Pages** 20:5 pleasure 28:11 0 paid 13:18 23:22 meetings 12:24 point-of-view 15:23 members 5:19 panel 5:15,16,19, oath 10:12,14 23 21:12 portion 13:24 28:5 mention 24:9 15:8,9 paperwork 27:20 objection 5:15 mentioned 14:24 **POS** 12:13,16 7:1,4 8:4,10,15,22 24:13,23 28:7 pardon 8:13 13:1 14:20 18:16 9:2.19 met 19:10 19:9,11 20:9,10, part 18:23 23:17 objections 9:16 24:2 25:5,8 15 25:2 methodology obligations position 11:15 18:11 participants 5:21 15:13 Mind 12:1 participate 5:24 potential 19:25 **oblige** 14:18 minutes 6:16 prefer 14:2,7 participating occurred 15:12 9:25 10:1,2 18:5 28:13 prehearing 9:24 22:17 October 18:4 **parties** 5:11,14 present 11:15 money 23:7 6:1,20 9:23 10:4 offer 20:10 presentation monitor 10:4 partnership office 5:6 12:3 9:21,25 10:6 11:21 19:16 **month** 26:8 11:11,13 17:18,21 party 10:5 21:11 open 13:6 monthly 26:4 President 9:14 party's 8:20 opening 5:5 **months** 12:8 previously 5:14 past 12:20 14:16 operate 15:6 move 10:6 15:13 9:5 operates 17:23 multiple 23:19,20 pay 23:21 25:13 **prior** 26:16,24 opinion 26:10 proceed 10:11 payment 20:20 Ν opportunity 14:3 penalties 20:23 22:15 proceeding 5:1 Nalan 6:4 7:3,20 penny 13:10 opposed 14:4 28:16 8:24 9:18 16:8 people 23:3 25:21 **oral** 5:6 25:18 produce 5:21 Perfect 9:20 order 11:15 14:9 names 6:2 produced 11:5 10:11 needed 5:25 orders 6:16 18:5 producing 14:20 perform 20:4 26:18 original 20:7 **Program** 19:15, performed 20:2 **note** 20:10 22,25 20:8 22:7 **OTA** 5:7 26:19,20 **noted** 23:5 programmed Outreach 19:14, period 18:4 19:12 notes 7:11,12 22,25 20:8 22:7 person 20:22 19:4 24:12,15 **proper** 15:17 overpaid 12:22 26:12 16:21 person's 20:19, 13:13 20 **notice** 13:4 16:16 properly 18:16 owner 27:19 18:20,25 19:1,4 25:12 personally 12:9 owners 15:3,5 24:5 number 24:1 propriety 12:13 27:23,25 28:1 **place** 10:12 12:12 provide 10:2 Ρ 13:2 23:1 numbers 12:11 11:16 14:3 15:7 23:19 18:14 planners 18:1 p.m. 5:2,9 28:16

provided 7:10 recommended 6:3 21:14,18,22 22:3, 11:18 24:22 25:24 27:24 8,16,19 25:14 requested 25:24 26:21 27:5,8 record 5:5 6:1 purpose 12:1 requests 21:10 28:10,12 20:24 21:23,24 22:4,9 28:14 requirements right-hand 10:23 pursuant 21:9 18:17 records 19:15,19, put 12:12 13:2,3 S 23 20:3 21:5 resale 23:19 23:1,7 26:17 respect 16:14 S-C-O-P 21:24 referred 22:22 Q respond 22:11 22:4 25:16 referring 26:13 response 7:17 sales 6:19 13:4, question 14:24 refunded 12:21 24:25 11,17 18:16,20,23 16:9 22:9 24:10 13:12 19:7 responses 15:7, questions 5:23 register 26:4 18 Samarawickrem 10:5,10 16:6,12 **a** 6:4,5 7:3,4,13,20, registered 26:7 responsibilities 17:11,13,15 20:12 21 8:24,25 9:18, 19:7 21:12,15,19,21,23 registers 26:2 19 10:10 16:8,9 27:1,6 responsibility 17:19,22 22:1,5 regulations 25:4 14:25 15:11 24:23 quick 27:8 25:18,19 26:16,22 relationship 25:2 **San** 6:22 13:5 11:17,21 14:16 restaurant 18:22 R 16:17,23 17:4,23, reliance 20:21 24 18:8,13 19:16 restaurant's 26:23 raise 10:22 relied 19:13 21:2, 18:21 7 **scope** 12:6,7,25 Randy 6:7 restaurants 24:9,11,13,14 relief 20:6 21:9 17:23 reached 19:24 25:20,21,23 26:6, relieved 20:22 result 26:19 13,24 27:9 28:2,3 read 27:15 **rely** 20:17 resulted 26:14 section 20:18,24 reading 27:12 21:9 22:23 **remain** 10:14 retailers 18:22 ready 11:10 17:18 19:2 September 5:1,8 remarks 10:3 **Real** 27:8 18:4 26:3 22:13 return 20:20 26:2 reason 15:22 **serve** 19:8 reminder 9:23 Revenue 20:18 23:12 26:10 **service** 6:22 13:5 Remote 5:1 reasonable 24:4 18:1,8,13 23:8 review 19:15,17 rentals 18:2 reasons 12:18 20:1,2,8 21:5 services 17:25 repeating 22:1 25:16,20 26:7,9, 20:11 recall 13:11 17,19 report 27:9 serving 17:24 receipts 18:23 review's 26:24 reporting 19:20 **set** 18:16 20:9,11, received 19:3 reviewed 7:14 15 25:12 21:4 represent 6:2 Ridenour 5:5,18 **share** 14:22 15:1 recent 12:11 representating 6:15 7:5,9,14,22 27:23,24 28:1 shared 13:7 6:5 8:11,13,16,20 9:1, recognize 27:22 representative 4,8,10,11,13,20 **sheets** 23:13 6:8 23:15 10:8,11,20 11:9 recommendation Sheriene 5:17 14:2,6 15:25 16:5, 19:17 Representatives 11 17:12,16

Index: short..wanted

short 22:18 statements 8:23 12:17,24 10:13 26:2 Т **show** 11:20 14:13 today 5:13 9:15, Statewide 19:14. 19:23 22 14:13,22 15:21 taking 27:11 22.25 20:7 22:5.7 25:7 **shown** 18:25 20:5 talk 24:6 21:8 statute 21:2 today's 5:7,16 28:13 tape 26:7 **shows** 12:15 **step** 5:13 totaled 26:5 tapes 26:2,4 **sic** 6:5 13:23 step-by-step transactions 20:15 tax 6:24 12:14 **single** 13:10 20:13 13:4,11,17 14:10, **store** 12:2 sit 19:11 18 15:12,14 18:9, tremendous 25:4 **stores** 27:25 15,16,20 19:7 slightly 16:24 tremendously 26:1 strongly 13:14 small 15:3,4 23:20 15:22 23:23 taxability 18:11 truth 10:25 soda 27:11 20:13 struggles 15:4 Tuesday 5:1,8 solely 8:1 taxable 6:19.22 **stuff** 18:1 12:14 18:7,13,19, solemnly 10:24 turnaround Suazo 6:7 23,24 23:15 15:17 solution 15:24 subject 6:23 18:9 Taxation 20:18 type 15:15,16 19:1 **sort** 17:3 26:10 submitted 6:25 **sounds** 17:3 7:9 taxes 12:20,22 U 13:8,13 20:22 submitting 7:11 **South** 16:23 17:4, 23:9,22 25:13 unable 5:12 subsequently taxpayer 25:23 7:10 speaking 16:14 **unaware** 18:19 17:3 21:17 27:4 taxpayer's 20:15 successfully understanding 12:20 **special** 18:20 tents 18:2 19:6 specifically **sudden** 23:25 Teresa 5:18 understood 25:20 26:1,3 24:11 **support** 15:5,15 termed 16:15 **speed** 14:17 unforeseen 5:11 surcharge 18:22 testified 11:7 26:23 spent 23:7 24:24 unreported 6:19 testifying 9:14 surcharges spreadsheet up-to-speed testimony 10:13 18:21 7:10 14:10 14:4 stands 22:4 swear 10:24 thinking 24:24 ٧ sworn 9:21 10:16 Stanley 5:19 third-party 14:12 16:12,13 17:2,7, 11:5 **valid** 19:5 10 21:15,16 27:1, thought 24:9 **system** 12:13,16 3 verified 24:16,17 13:1 14:20 15:5 time 5:8 10:5 11:9 18:16 19:10,11 **start** 19:17 12:23 13:3 15:17 20:9,11,15,16 17:17 20:4,25 W starting 6:2 19:23 24:24 systems 25:2 state 6:1 **wanted** 10:20 timely 15:7,18 stated 6:16 20:20 25:13 13:22 14:15 24:6, 14 statement 13:21 times 11:23,24,25 22:21

Index: wanting..years

wanting 10:16 25:3 warranted 6:18 19:8 **weary** 24:19 wife 6:14 13:20 24:6 witnesses 9:4,5, 22 word 24:24,25 work 14:19 25:2 **worked** 16:18 writing 21:3 written 5:21 20:21,24 21:4 Υ year 12:12 years 23:10